

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DR. RICHARD CORDERO,

Appellant,

DECISION AND ORDER

05-CV-6190L

v.

DAVID DeLANO and MARY ANN DeLANO,

Respondents.

Currently pending with the Court are three motions (Dkts. ##9, 10, and 14) filed by appellant, Richard Cordero ("Cordero"), seeking various relief. The respondents/debtors have responded to the motions by Dkts. ## 12 and 16, as has Mr. Pfuntner (who is not a party to this appeal, but who wished to preserve his rights) by Dkt. #15.

As set forth below, Cordero's motions are denied in their entirety.

By motion filed June 23, 2005 (Dkt. #9), Cordero moves for a stay of an Adversary Proceeding, *Pfuntner v. Gordon et al.*, A.P. No. 02-2230, and to join the parties in *Pfuntner* to this appeal since "their rights and liabilities have already been prejudged." Cordero's motion is denied in all respects. There is no basis in law to support such relief.

By motion filed July 18, 2005 (Dkt. #10), Cordero moves for, *inter alia*, a stay of the confirmation hearing and any subsequent order arising therefrom related to the debt repayment plan


in the underlying Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case, *In re DeLano*, Case No. 04-20280 (“the DeLano case”). That motion is also denied, as there is no basis to support such relief. In addition, the confirmation hearing has already taken place, and Judge Ninfo has entered an order, dated August 9, 2005, confirming the repayment plan. Moreover, in accordance with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8005, United States Bankruptcy Judge Ninfo previously denied a stay of the April 4, 2005 Order from which Cordero appeals, because he found that there was little likelihood that Cordero would prevail on the merits of this appeal, there was no public interest involved in the matter, and because the DeLanos and their creditors would be prejudiced by any further delay. The Court sees no reason to disturb Judge Ninfo’s determination.

By Dkt. #10, Cordero also moves for an order withdrawing from the Bankruptcy Court the DeLano case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d), an order removing Trustee George Reiber as trustee in the DeLano case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 324(a), an order for production of documents, and an order referring the DeLano case to the U.S. Attorney’s Office for investigation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3057(a). These motions are wholly without merit and they are denied in their entirety.

Finally, by motion filed August 31, 2005 (Dkt. #14), Cordero moves to compel the production of documents and for other miscellaneous relief he believes is necessary in order to “safeguard judicial integrity and due process.” That motion, too, is denied in all respects because it completely lacks merit.

Cordero is reminded of this Court's Order entered October 14, 2005, directing him to take the necessary steps to perfect his appeal, and reiterates that the failure to do so could result in dismissal of the appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


DAVID G. LARIMER
United States District Judge

Dated: Rochester, New York
October 17, 2005