

April 28, 2025

**Giving practical application to
Cornell Law Professor Maggie Gardner's article
District Court en bancs;**

**inviting lawyers targeted by Trump executive orders to join in
an amicus curiae brief to support
a motion for en banc review in SDNY; and
proposing UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS
that can turn universities, the media, and law firms into
a new powerhouse of American governance**

Professor Maggie Gardner mgardner@cornell.edu, gj13@cornell.edu,
Cornell Law School lyc8@cornell.edu, liz.flint@cornell.edu
Ithaca, NY 14853-4901 tel. (607)255-5346;

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP,
Perkins Coie LLP,
Susman Godfrey LLP,
Jenner & Block LLP,
Harvard,

lawyers, law firms, and universities targeted by Trump administration executive orders,
and
Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Dear Professor Gardner, Wilmer Cutler, Perkins Coie, Susman Godfrey, Jenner & Block, Harvard,
lawyers, law firms, universities, and Advocates,[‡]

1. This is a proposal to join forces to apply Professor Gardner's article *District Court en bancs*¹ in a [case pending](#)² in the U.S. District Court, SDNY, concerning healthcare insurers and other medical services and equipment providers' plotting and committing with the complicity of Medicare abusive insurance claims evasive "delay, deny, defend" tactics:

*Cordero v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, Medicare,
EmblemHealth* [healthcare insurer],
Maximus Federal Services [reviewer of insurance claims denials],
et al. [including many of the top officers of
the Medicare Appeals Council and
the Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA)];
docket no. 24-cv-9778-JAV;

¹ *District Court en bancs*, Professor Maggie Gardner, vol 90 Fordham Law Review 1541 (2022);
https://fordhamlawreview.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Gardner_March.pdf

² Complaint at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OLJ/24-12-15DrRCordero-v-Medicare_EmblemHealth_et_al.pdf >SDNY:111

filed in U.S. District Court, SDNY,
on 16 December 2024.

2. I cited you, Prof. Gardner, and your article in my [April 11 motion](#)³, which requests en banc review. With your amicus curiae⁴ support of it, you can capitalize on the enormous research and writing

³ Id. >SDNY:251

⁴ i. The SDNY Local Rules, <https://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/rules>, do not provide for amicus briefs. But some guidance can be derived from its rules on motions:

Rule 6(b). On all civil motions...

- (1) the moving papers must be served by the moving party on all other parties that have appeared in the action,
- (2) any opposing or response papers must be served within 14 days after service of the moving papers, [my motion was e-filed and emailed on Friday, 11 April] and
- (3) any reply papers must be served within seven days after service of the answering papers. In computing periods of days, refer to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. [\[FRCP\]](#)

ii. This tends to indicate that at any time after 14 +7 days after my filing on April 11= Thursday, May 2, the District Court, SDNY, could decide my motion. If an amicus brief reached it after that date, the amicus might not be taken into consideration in deciding my motion to convene the district court en banc. This means that time is of the essence.

iii. Consider [Local Rule 7.1. Form and Length of Briefs, Motions, and Other Papers](#):

- (b) (1) all text must be 12-point type or larger, except for text in footnotes which may be 10-point type;
- (2) all documents must have at least one-inch margins on all sides;
- (3) all text must be double-spaced, except for headings, text in footnotes, or block quotations, which may be single-spaced.
- (c) Length of Memoranda of Law. If filed by an attorney or pre-pared with a computer, briefs in support of and in response to a motion (except for motions for reconsideration) may not exceed 8,750 words, and reply briefs may not exceed 3,500 words.

iv. In the same vein is "Local Rule 6.3. Motions for Reconsideration...if filed by an attorney or prepared with a computer, briefs in support of and in response to a motion may not exceed 3,500 words". FRAP "Rule 29. Brief of an Amicus Curiae", http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf, appears too technical to be imported wholesale into a jurisdiction that has no formal en banc mechanism.

v. On the contrary, at this early stage of the proposed regular use of en bancs, district courts should be encouraged to liberally and imaginatively use them to attain the purpose set by FRCP 1 when 'district courts construe, administer, and employ FRCP': "to secure

effort that you must have invested in your article.

3. Wilmer Cutler⁵, Perkins Coie⁶, Susman Godfrey⁷, Jenner & Block⁸, Harvard⁹, and the lawyers, law firms, universities, and Advocates challenging the Trump administration are invited to join in one amicus brief or write their own amicus to benefit from the greater use of district court en bancs.
4. Indeed, my motion was addressed to SDNY Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain to request that she convene her district court en banc to resolve the conflict between an order of hers in my case and a subsequent one of the currently assigned judge, i.e., J. Annette A. Vargas; and decide other issues of public interest in these turbulent judicial times, e.g., whether:
 - a. a decision of a district court en banc, convened on a party's or the judges' motion, can more effectively persuade the public and a court of appeals than a decision of a single judge. If so, it would better resist arbitrary and capricious attacks by the current administration;
 - b. access to justice can become 'speedier and more inexpensive' in line with the purpose for the FRCP set forth in Rule 1¹⁰ through review by a district court en banc compared with an appeal to an appeals court;
 - c. the sovereign and judicial immunity doctrines violate Articles II §4 and III §1; and Amendments XIV §1 and V of the [Constitution](#), which provide for holding all officers of the United States, including those of the Executive branch and all judges, accountable so that against those officers *We the People* can secure "the equal protection of the laws" instead of being at the mercy of officers who arrogate to themselves an unconstitutionally superior place: Above the Law;
 - d. district court en bancs are mechanisms for judges to police themselves so that they can deter, detect, and correct their fellow judges' abuse of discretion/power or incompetence;
 - e. characterizing mine as a test case in defense of civil rights and in the public interest, so it

the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding". See my motion^[3] >SDNY:260SD. Why review by a district court en banc is the proper course of action.

vi. In conclusion, you could write an amicus brief of no more than 3,500 words and file it as soon as possible to give practical application to your article and support my motion for CJ Swain and/or the other judges in her court to convene en banc to review and grant my requested relief as they treat this as a test case in the public interest.

⁵ Wilmer Cutler; <https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/doc1/045111284993>

⁶ Perkins Coie; <https://storage.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.dcd.278290/gov.uscourts.dcd.278290.1.0.pdf>

⁷ Susman Godfrey; <https://www.susmangodfrey.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/04/Complaint-4.11.25.pdf>

⁸ Jenner & Block; <https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/doc1/045111284768>

⁹ Harvard; <https://www.harvard.edu/research-funding/wp-content/uploads/sites/16/2025/04/Harvard-Funding-Freeze-Order-Complaint.pdf>

¹⁰ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf

will benefit the 67.3 million¹¹ old, sick, and disabled insureds of Medicare, and the additional millions of non-Medicare insureds, the overwhelming majority of all of whom lack the physical and emotional stamina and knowledge of the law needed to defend their rights individually, e.g., through four levels of administrative appeals and climb to the fifth level of judicial review in a federal district court, never mind appealing to a circuit court;

f. such characterization will induce law, journalism, and IT/AI professionals¹² as well as professors and students to use my case to expose providers of healthcare insurance, other services, and equipment who commit “delay, deny, defend” tactics, and those who cover for them.

5. It is in the same SDNY district court that federal criminal charges seeking the death penalty have been brought against Luigi Mangione for allegedly murdering UnitedHealthcare CEO Brian Thompson in NYC last December 4. Given the overwhelming public support for Mr. Mangione and the public outrage at those tactics, it is reasonable to expect that his trial will attract the national and international media.
6. As a result, this is the most opportune time to ask law firms, universities, and the media to investigate how district court en bancs can launch the reform of the judiciary by providing a speedier, affordable, and meaningful level of judicial review of cases, such as healthcare cases, where time is of the essence but insurers have an interest in dragging out the case until the insured is dead or too weak to press their claim anymore.
7. Courts of appeals do not provide that kind of review because they can dispose of appeals perfunctorily in reliance on the fact that the Supreme Court grants barely 1.5% of certiorari petitions.¹³ Such unaccountability allows riskless abuse of power by healthcare insurers and providers, among others, and an individual judge presiding over a case.
8. We can contribute to the wider use of district court en bancs by making presentations thereon at law, journalism, and IT/AI¹⁴ schools, beginning with our local ones and our alma maters, as well as many other public interest entities.
9. At those presentations, we will propose concrete actions that the audience can undertake to expose the abusers, such as press releases, the publication of articles, press conferences, interviews, podcasts, townhall meetings, rallies; etc.
10. Moreover, we will propose **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**.
 - a. The citizens hearings will be held by media outlets and universities at their stations and

¹¹ <https://www.forbes.com/advisor/health-insurance/medicare/medicare-statistics/>

¹² http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_IT_experts.pdf

¹³ 2024 Year End Report on the Federal Judiciary by Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.; <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2024year-endreport.pdf> >page 10

¹⁴ http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Cybersecurity_experts.pdf

auditoriums during the first semester of an academic year¹⁵.

- b. They will afford people the opportunity to tell their story¹⁶ of the abuse of power that they have suffered or witnessed.
- c. They will allow people throughout the country to tell and listen to the stories in person and online.
- d. The more stories are told and heard, the more people will be informed about the abuse of power and become outraged. Ever more will scream, "That happened to *Me-Too!*" They will be driven to ask to be allowed to tell their stories to vent that fire that is burning in their soul: the constant cry for justice and compensation² >SDNY:156§L. Nothing oppresses the soul more harshly and persistently than the feeling of having been or being abused. The informed and outraged people will thus energize another self-reinforcing cycle of citizens hearings.
- e. During the [second academic semester](#)¹⁷, the findings of the hearings will be established and the preparation undertaken for their presentation near the end of the semester at the first-ever national conference on abuse of power. It will be held simultaneously at several interconnected universities and news media networks.
- f. At that conference, the findings of the citizens hearings will be presented in speeches, panel discussions, and the first edition of the *Annual Report on Unaccountability and Abuse of Power in America*.
- g. The citizens hearings will be a rich source of materials for the proposed multidisciplinary summer internships of students.
- h. There will also be offered semester-long multidisciplinary clinics for academic credit. Students will learn, among other things:
 - 1) administrative law;
 - 2) agency and social data gathering;
 - 3) news gathering and reporting;
 - 4) public relations and image managing;
 - 5) [IT/AI](#)¹⁸ to audit massive amounts of writings, speeches, and footage to detect patterns of unlawful meeting of the minds and coordinated abusive conduct;
 - 6) the application of IT/AI to detect misinformation and deep fakes;
 - 7) representing clients before agencies and arguing motions;

¹⁵ Cf. Syllabus of DeLano Case Course; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_Case_Course.pdf >DCC:23

¹⁶ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf

¹⁷ Syllabus for preparing the conference; endnote ¹⁵ >DCC:31

¹⁸ http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_law&journalistic_research_proposals.pdf

- 8) developing journalistic sources;
- 9) auditing with IT/AI and detecting deep fakes;
- 10) legislative drafting;
- 11) lobbying legislative bodies;
- 12) strategizing and implementing a campaign of mass communication and demonstrations to develop and lead a national, nonpartisan *Me-Too!*-like civic movement for civil rights, accountability, liability, and reform;
- 13) the setting up of a new type of practice for handling a flood of motions to vacate decisions, remand for a new trial, and obtain compensation. This practice can be lucrative and build national name recognition for the practitioners;
- 14) working as a team inside an institution and among institutions in one or several states under the guidance of an editor or a lead attorney; etc.

- i. In addition, the students will learn to do what abusees cannot do individually: prepare and initiate non-class aggregate actions and class actions, and multidistrict litigation in the public interest of exposing the nature, extent, and gravity of abuse of power by a big multistate entity¹⁹ and collectively demanding compensation.
- j. Students will gain unique hands-on experience that will impress job recruiters or enable them to open multidisciplinary boutiques upon graduation. Their success can build up the reputation of their schools and increase admission applications and enrollment of better qualified applicants.
- k. The citizens hearings' findings of abuse of power coordinated and committed as institutionalized modus operandi should sufficiently inform and outrage the public to compel politicians, lest they be primaried or voted out of, or not into, office, to legislate transparency, accountability, and liability for, e.g., the healthcare industry.
- l. The unprecedented citizens hearings have the potential for becoming a rallying point for abusees and reformers. They can set in motion the joint development of academe and the media as a powerhouse that can hold accountable and liable private and public entities so big that *We the People* separated into millions of individuals cannot fight.¹⁹
- m. The citizens hearings can lead to the creation of the *Institute of Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy*²⁰, to be attached to a preeminent university or news network, and engaged in research, publishing, classroom and public education, consulting, litigation, lobbying, etc.

11. This proposal is realistic:

- a. multistate law firms from several states have represented Harvard;
- b. hundreds of universities as well as professors have added their names and efforts to

¹⁹ Blurbs and abstracts of articles on abuse of power: an abusive big commercial entity;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-blurbs&abstracts_of_cases&articles.pdf >¶¶7, 15; ¶¶8, 16

²⁰ http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_E_5-8_jur130-169.pdf

challenge Trump administration executive orders;

- c. on April 5 over 1,200 demonstrations in all 50 states were reportedly held in communities across the country to protest Trump administration actions²¹ and more have been held every Saturday since then²²; etc.

12. In the same vein, my website at Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org has become a rallying point. There I post some of my law and business articles²³, the product of my professional research and writing, and strategic thinking. They have attracted so many webvisitors and impress them so favorably that as of 12 June 2025, the number of subscribers was **56,817+**.

13. Some of my articles have been added to my three-volume study of abuse of power, titled thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

14. I offer to make a presentation to you and your guests via video conference or, if my expenses and fee are paid, in person on the reformative potential of district court en bancs and their interplay with unprecedented citizens hearings. Thus, I look forward to your call to schedule it.

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Put your money where your outrage at abuse of power and quest for justice are.

Support
the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking conducted at

Judicial Discipline Reform

DONATE

by making a deposit or an online transfer through
either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or other payment facility, such as Zelle,
from your account

to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;

or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"

You may trigger history and even enter it...as a *Champion of Justice*.

²¹ Photos: See demonstrators around the country rally in 'Hands Off!' protests: The Picture Show; NPR staff; <https://www.npr.org/sections/the-picture-show/2025/04/05/g-s1-58479/photos-see-hands-off-protests-trump-musk>

²²<https://www.bing.com/images/search?q=were+demonstrations+held+against+trump+and+his+policies+on+april+26&qpv=were+demonstrations+held+against+Trump+and+his+policies+on+April+26&form=IGRE&first=1>

²³ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

Users

Add User

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | Administrator | Editor | Author | Contributor | Subscriber | Customer | Shop manager

Bulk actions



Apply

Change role to...



Change

56,817 items



1

of 2,841



<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts	WordPress.com account [?]
<input type="checkbox"/>	1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.b engira.com	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.c om	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1win_rsEr	—	cklmbhqEr@ventura17.ru	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1winUp2128Neort	—	1winup2128@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1xbet	—	fgdsgdf89@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0	

APPENDIXES

to

the Study of Judges and their Judiciaries

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of
judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

By

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England

M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School

D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial-Discipline-Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

tel. 1(718)827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-
Reform.org, Corderoric@yahoo.com

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

TABLE OF CONTENTS
of
The Appendixes

- [App.1.](#) Volumes of the study of judges and their judiciaries
- [App.2.](#) Offer of a presentation; and
Activities to support with donations and investment
- [App.3.](#) Number of subscribers to Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
- [App.4.](#) Statement by LinkedIn that Dr. Cordero has “one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012”
- [App.5.](#) Resume of Dr. Cordero
- [App.6.](#) Links to articles ready for review and publication; subjects for commissioned articles; and links to external sources of information
- [App.7.](#) Blocs of email addresses of the people to whom to send one’s story of judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated abuse of power as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated abuse of power as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

November 22, 2024

Table of Contents

of the sections laying out the main concepts in Volume I,
with references to articles in Volumes II and III, of the study:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting¹ * † ♣

This file contains only pages ggl:1-38. For a comprehensive list of articles, see Appendix 6. i.

Introduction: The goal is not only to expose judges' abuse of power, but also to enable *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and [liable to compensation](#), and thereby *trigger history!* [jur:1](#)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf

- ii. Tables compiling judicial statistics, and graphs, all supporting probable cause to believe that judges have complicitly coordinated an agreement for their 100% dismissal of complaints against any of them and 100% denial of petitions to re-view those dismissals, thus mutually ensuring their survival and continued abuse of power to [grab](#) illegal, unethical, and rules-disregarding gains and convenience[jur:9](#)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf

- A. Means, motive, and opportunity of federal judges to engage in, and so to coordinate their, abuse of power as to make it their institutionalized modus operandi, thereby ensuring that the Federal Judiciary is a safe haven that they run as a [racketeering enterprise](#)[jur:21](#)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf

- B. *In re DeLano*, Then-Circuit Judge Sonia Sotomayor presiding, and her nomination to the Supreme Court by President Barak Obama: evidence of a [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) and her concealment of assets dismissed with knowing indifference and willful blindness to a bankruptcy mill operated by the bankruptcy judges appointed under [28 U.S.C. §152](#) by the circuit judges: the appointers cover for their appointees[jur:65](#)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf

- C. Nature and [forms of judges' abuse](#) of power and strategy to expose their unaccountability and riskless abuse, e.g., [auditing](#) their decisions and other people's writings to detect their patterns, trends, and schemes of individual and coordinated abuse[jur:81](#)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf

- D. Multimedia public presentation made by judicial unaccountability reporters on:

¹ * http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >OL3:394-1143

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1760+

i) the available evidence of judges' abuse of power and the <i>In re DeLano-J. Sotomayor</i> story;	
ii) their own findings through their <i>Follow the money!</i> and <i>Follow the wire!</i> investigations; and	
iii) the <i>We accuse!</i> denunciation at a press conference, in articles, and through broadcast reportage	jur:97
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_investigation_&_presentation.pdf	
E. Multidisciplinary academic and business venture leading up to the creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy	jur:119-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_academic_biz_venture&Institute.pdf	
§§1-4. The academic and business venture that implements the business plan in activities that include the holding of unprecedented citizens hearings , and publications to inform the national public of, and outrage it at, judges' abuse of power	jur:119
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf	
§5. Creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy	jur:130
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf	
§§5-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:130-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
§§6-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:158-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
F. Offer to present The Business of Justice to expose judges' abuse of power, implement the business plan , and engage in actions to trigger history	jur:171
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf	
G. Evidence of interference with Dr. Cordero's email accounts	ggl:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf	
H. Presentation at schools on judges' abuse of power and a plan for judicial reform.....	Lsch:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf	
I. The <i>DeLano</i> case course: syllabus of classwork on investigating a case on judges' abuse of power and the organization of a conference to present its findings	DCC:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	
J. Creative Writings: using storytelling to persuade and inspire readers;	
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	CW:1

K. OL:1-393; first part of the OL series of articles; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf	OL:1
---	------

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"
You may trigger history and even enter it as
a Champion of Justice.

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support Judicial Discipline Reform and its [business plan](#) to:

1. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣**

2. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively that as of 22 Nov. '24, the number of those who had become subscribers was 52,603([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a [research center](#) for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the plan's business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy at a university or news network;
3. organize and embark on a tour of [presentations](#) at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or in person to form [local chapters](#) of a national movement to investigate and hold judges accountable and liable under [Strickland v. U.S.](#);
4. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
5. [organize](#) the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
6. publish an academics/journalists multidisciplinary [Annual](#) Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
7. launch an abuse [investigation](#) that attracts the media, for *Scandal sells & wins Pulitzer Prizes*;
8. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.([¶57](#)).

Put your money where your [outrage at abuse](#) and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through
either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

or TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

9. I offer to present any article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set the terms and schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Users

Add User

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | Administrator | Editor | Author | Contributor | Subscriber | Customer | Shop manager

Search Users

Bulk actions ▾

Apply

Change role to... ▾

Change

56,817 items

<<







<

1

of 2,841

>

>>

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username ↕	Name	Email ↕	Role	Posts	WordPress.com account [?]
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1win_rsEr	—	cklkmbhqder@ventura17.ru	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1winUp2128Neort	—	1winup2128@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1xbet	—	fgdsgdf89@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0	



Richard Cordero <dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com>

Congratulations! You have one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012.

1 message

LinkedIn <linkedin@e.linkedin.com>
Reply-To: LinkedIn <donotreply@e.linkedin.com>
To: dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com

Thu, Feb 7, 2013 at 4:02 PM

LinkedIn now has 200 million members.



Richard, congratulations!

You have one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012.

LinkedIn now has 200 million members. Thanks for playing a
unique part in our community!

[Read More](#)



This is an occasional email to help you get the most of LinkedIn. [Unsubscribe](#)
This email was intended for Richard Cordero (Lawyer, researcher-writer, and advocate of judicial
accountability and discipline reform). [Learn why we include this.](#)
If you need assistance or have questions, please contact [LinkedIn Customer Service](#) .
© 2013, LinkedIn Corporation. 2029 Stierlin Ct., Mountain View, CA 94043, USA.



Hi Richard,

Recently, LinkedIn reached a new milestone: 200 million members. But this isn't just our achievement to celebrate — it's also yours.

I want to personally thank you for being part of our community. Your journey is part of our journey, and we're delighted and humbled when we hear stories of how our members are using LinkedIn to connect, learn, and find opportunity.

All of us come to work each day focused on our shared mission of connecting the world's professionals to make them more productive and successful. We're excited to show you what's next.

With sincere thanks,

Deep Nishar
Senior Vice President, Products & User Experience

P.S. What does 200 million look like? [See the infographic▶](#)

A stat this delightful
deserves to be shared

Hurray! I have one of the top 5% most viewed @LinkedIn profiles for 2012.
<http://www.linkedin.com/pub/profile/4b/8ba/050>

Share

BAR MEMBERSHIP AND SPECIAL SKILLS: • U.S. citizen; member of the NYS Bar; specialized in field and library research and writing of legal briefs and business and IT studies

- I would like to work for you as a lawyer and researcher-writer strategist in a position where I can contribute to your business or legal problem solution a talent that gives me a competitive advantage: I can gather seemingly unconnected pieces of information, select those relevant to the prioritized objectives to be pursued, and imaginatively integrate them into a coherent new structure -expressed clearly and concisely both orally and in writing- that renders those pieces meaningful and useful, like a mosaic that depicts a realistic and decorative scene of the ancient Romans, yet originates in insignificant stone fragments expertly sifted from dirt and artfully set together to appeal to the spirit and the mind while serving the practical purpose of making money.

ADVANCED KNOWLEDGE OF: • computers and their use for word processing, graphics composition, presentations, and research; and for developing IT products to audit cases through statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of opinions to give lawyers an informational advantage

LANGUAGES: • I speak English, Spanish, and French; and converse in German and Italian.

RELEVANT EXPERIENCE

FOUNDER OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM, 2008-to date New York City

- A non-partisan and non-denominational organization that advocates the study of the judiciary and the adoption of legislation to replace the inherently biased and ineffective judges-judging-judges system of judicial self-discipline with a system based on independent boards of citizens unrelated to the judges and empowered to publicly receive, investigate, and resolve complaints

RESEARCHER AND WRITER ATTORNEY, 1995-to date New York City

- Prosecution of cases from bankruptcy, district, and circuit courts to the SCt; practice in NY courts
- Developed the Euro Project, a 3-prong business package consisting of the Euro Conference, the Euro Consulting Services, and the Euro Newsletter; aimed at enabling firms to capitalize on their expertise in the euro by providing services for the adaptation of business practices and IT systems to the European Union's new common currency that replaced its national currencies

WAYNE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE, 1994 Detroit, MI

- Developed economic and marketing features of the master plan for the intermodal transportation and industrial complex of Willow Run Tradeport in Detroit
- Drafted and implemented proposals for increasing office productivity using IT and equipment

LAWYERS COOPERATIVE PUBLISHING, 1991-1993 Rochester, NY

- Member of the editorial staff of LCP, the foremost publisher of analytical legal commentaries.
- Researched and wrote articles on securities regulations, antitrust, and banking under U.S. law

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES, 1984-1985 Brussels, Belgium

- Devised proposals for harmonizing supervisory regulations on mortgage credit and on reporting large loan exposures by one and all members of a banking system to one and related borrowers
- My proposals were adopted by the EEC Banking Division and negotiated with the national experts in the supervision of financial institutions of the Member States
- Drafted replies to financial questions put by the European Parliament to the Commission

EDUCATION

THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, Faculty of Law, Ph.D., 1988 Cambridge, England

- Doctoral dissertation analyzed the existing European legal and political environment and proposed a new system for harmonizing the regulation and supervision of financial institutions

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, Business School, MBA, 1995 Ann Arbor, Michigan

- Emphasis on corporate strategies to maximize profitability and competitiveness through the optimal use of IT expert systems using artificial intelligence, and telecommunications networks

LA SORBONNE, Faculty of Law and Economics, French law degree, 1982 Paris, France

- Was awarded a French Government scholarship
- Concentrated on the operation of a currency basket to achieve monetary stability and on the application of harmonized regulations & antitrust rules on companies with dominant positions

RESEARCH WORKS

1. Study of judges and their judiciaries, based on an original and innovative analysis of the Federal Judiciary' statistics submitted to Congress annually, reports, judges' statements and websites, etc

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

2. List of articles on judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power offered for publication individually or as a series; †>[OL2:719§C](#);
3. Complaint against Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues of the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC), submitted to the DCC Court of Appeals and ““Because of the exceptional circumstances related to this complaint”, referred by it to Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., who assigned it to the 11th Circuit for disposition; includes the official letters of referral and the decision of the 11th Circuit chief judge; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
4. The official statistics of the U.S. District of Columbia Circuit show that P. Trump SCt nominee Judge Brett Kavanaugh, P. Obama SCt nominee Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers received during the 1oct06/30sep17 11-year period, 478 complaints against judges in their Circuit and dismissed 100% of them and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals, thus covering as a matter of policy for abusive judges regardless of the gravity of their abuse; 1jun18; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/1DrRCordero_Judges_Unaccountability_Riskless_Abuse.pdf
5. Availability of an Implied Right of Action under the Tender Offer Provisions of §14d-f of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 USCS §78n(d)-(f)), added to the Exchange Act by the Williams Act of 1968, and Rules Promulgated thereunder by the SEC, **120 ALR Federal 145**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/2DrRCordero_120ALRFed145.pdf
6. Venue Provisions of the National Bank Act (12 USCS §94) As Affected By Other Federal Venue Provisions and Doctrines, **111 ALR Federal 235**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/3DrRCordero_111ALRFed235.pdf
7. Construction and Application of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (12 USCS §§ 3401-3422), **112 ALR Federal 295**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/4DrRCordero_112ALRFederal295.pdf

8. Exemption or Immunity From Federal Antitrust Liability Under the McCarran-Ferguson Act (15 USCS §§1011-1013) and the State Action and Noerr-Pennington Doctrines for the Business of Insurance and Persons Engaged in It, **116 ALR Federal 163**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/5DrRCordero_116ALRFed163.pdf
9. Who May Maintain an Action Under §11(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 USCS §77k (a)), in Connection With False or Misleading Registration Statements, **111 ALR Fed. 83**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/6DrRCordero_111ALRFed83.pdf
10. Judicial Conference's Reforms Will Not Fix the Problem of Abusive Judges Who Go Undisciplined, Letter to the Editor, National Law Journal, March 3, 2008; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/7DrRCordero_Letters_To_Editor_NYLJ3mar8.pdf; <http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1204212424055>
11. The Creation of a European Banking System: A study of its legal and technical aspects, Peter Lang, Inc., NY, XXXVI, 390 pp., 1990; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/8DrRCordero_Creation_European_Banking_System.pdf; this book earned a grant from the Commission of the European Communities and was reviewed very favorably in 32 Harvard International Law Journal 603 (1991), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Harvard_Int_Law_J.pdf; and 24 New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 1019 (1992), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYU_JIntLaw&Pol.pdf
12. Competition Strategies Must Adapt to the Euro, 17 Amicus Curiae of the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, London, 27 (May 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/9DrRCordero_Competition_Strategies_&_euro.pdf
13. Why Business Executives in Third Countries and Non-participating Member States Should Pay Attention to the Euro, European Financial Services Law 140 (March 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/10DrRCordero_6European_Financial_Services_Law93.pdf
14. Some Practical Consequences for Financial Management Brought About by the Euro, 5 European Financial Services Law 187 (1998); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/11DrRCordero_5European_Financial_Services_Law_187.pdf
15. Impending Conversion to the Euro Prompts New Guidelines from the IRS, New York Law Journal, pg. 1, Friday, October 2, 1998; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/12DrRCordero_Conversion_to_the_Euro_&_IRS_NYLJ.pdf
16. The Development of Video Dialtone Networks by Large Phone and Cable Companies and its Impact on their Small Counterparts, 1 Personal Technologies no. 2, 60 (Springer-Verlag London Ltd., 1997); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/13DrRCordero_Dialtone_1Personal_Techonologies2.pdf
17. Video Dialtone: Its Potential for Social Change, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (1996) http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/14DrRCordero_Dialtone_&_Social_Change_15JBF16.pdf
18. Video Dialtone Network Architectures, by Richard Cordero and Jeffery Joles, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (Summer 1996); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/15DrRCordero_Dialtone_networks_15JBF16.pdf
19. A Strict but Liberalizing Interpretation of EEC Treaty Articles 67(1) and 68(1) on Capital Movements, 2 Legal Issues of European Integration 39 (1989); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/16DrRCordero_Strict_but_liberalizing_interpretation_2LIEI39.pdf

12 June 2025

APPENDIX 6 (of 7*)

**A study and articles already written on
abuse of power by judges and their judiciaries;
collective compensation for abusees; and
transformative judicial reform;
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing†**

Table of Contents

A. The study and articles available for review and publication	1
B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles.....	19
C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing.....	22

A. The study and articles available for review and publication

1. The study and its volumes

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume I: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all
prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume II: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page
OL2:394-1143

♣ Volume III: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from
OL3:1144-1716+

1. Downloading and navigating the volumes:

- Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome.
- Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html), which is available for free at
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.
- In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use
its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † ♣ >blue footnote-like
references) very easy.

2. Downloading articles

- The three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries contain many articles that can be downloaded as
individual and much smaller files by clicking on the corresponding link in each entry hereunder:

†1 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

♦ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_Appendixes1-7.pdf

3. To search for articles on a given topic, go up to this file's menu bar, click the binocular icon, and in the search page that opens type in its search box one keyword at a time. In the return list, click on the phrase that contains the keyword to go to the full entry containing it.
4. If a link in an entry hereunder does not download the corresponding individual file, download the volume whose range of page numbers contains the number of the file's first page indicated in the entry; e.g. If in entry 222. the link http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-FBI_SDNY.pdf fails to download its corresponding individual file, download Volume III, whose page range OL3:1144-1733+ contains OL3:1712, and scroll down to page 1712.
5. A similar search can be done by downloading each of the volumes and searching in each one at a time. Begin your search in Volume III, then II, and finally I because every downloaded file contains references to previous related files.

3. The website

6. Many of the articles described in the entries hereunder have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
7. Visit the website and join its **56,817+** subscribers to its articles. Go to:
 - a. [Judicial Discipline Reform](#) <left panel ↓Register; or
 - b. + New or Users >Add New; or
 - c. fill out the New User form at <https://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/user-new.php>.

4. The individual sections of Volume I of the study

8. jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Intro_jur1-8.pdf
9. jur:10, 11; the official statistics that the federal courts produce and submit to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and the latter aggregates and files with Congress as a public document in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §§604\(a\)\(3-4\)](#) and (h)(2), show that federal judges dismiss 100% of complaints against their fellow judges and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals; this betrays an implicit or explicit complicit agreement among the judges to cover for each other regardless of the nature, frequency, and gravity of their misconduct complained about; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf; see also OL2:546; 548; OL3:1253; 1176
10. jur:21§A; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_A_jur21-63.pdf
11. jur:65§B; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_B_jur65-80.pdf
12. jur:81§C; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_C_jur81-95.pdf
13. jur:97§D; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_launch_investigation.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_D_jur97-112.pdf

14. jur:119§§E1-4; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur119-130.pdf
15. jur:130§E5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_E_5-8_jur130-169.pdf
16. jur:130§E5-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting&agenda.pdf
17. jur:158§§E6-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf
18. jur:171§F; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf
19. ggl:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf
20. jur:i-lix; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_jur_i-lix_summarizing_articles.pdf
21. Lsch:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Lsch/DrRCordero_presentation_at_schools.pdf; see also http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
22. DCC:1; The *DeLano Case Course*, a hands-on, role-playing, fraud investigative and expository multidisciplinary course for graduate and undergraduate students; with DCC:23-38: two 15-week-by-week syllabi for case investigation classwork and the organization of a conference to present the findings; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_Case_Course.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_docs.pdf
23. CW:1; Creative writings: blurbs, synopses of novels and movie scripts, drama scenes, and a short story by Dr Cordero; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/CW/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
24. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/a&p/DrRCordero-Agent&Publisher.pdf>
25. OL:1-393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf

5. Written articles available for review and publication

26. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf. Cf.:
 - a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; official statistics of dismissal of complaints against fellow judges while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit;

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf

- e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
- f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
- g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
- 27. jur:32§§2-3; Congress's finding of **cronyism in the federal courts**, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
- 28. jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
- 29. jur:72fn144d; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
- 30. jur:106-112 research proposals, see OL:42
- 31. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
- 32. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
- 33. jur:131-153 research proposals, see OL:42
- 34. Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf; the means of judges' retaliation: Lsch:17§C
- 35. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
- 36. OL:1; to the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-ICIJ.pdf>;
 - a. §F. Moral and material rewards for exposing abusers of power;
 - b. OL:5footnote7: federal judges grant 100% of the intelligence agencies' secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act(50 U.S.C. §§1801-1885). As a result, the judges are in a position to do what since the first impeachment of President Trump officers at the highest level of government are known to do or suspected of doing: enter into quid pro quos to exact from the agencies the cooperation for the judges' own benefit that the judges are not entitled to obtain.
- 37. OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf; collected law and journalistic research proposals; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_law&journalistic_research_proposals.pdf
- 38. OL:60; research proposals, see OL:42
- 39. OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
- 40. OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
- 41. OL:190; a plan for investigating federal judges based on the leads already gathered; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

[Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf)

42. OL:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CBS_Reporter_SAttkisson.pdf
43. OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
44. OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
45. OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
46. OL2:395; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_communications.pdf; see next
47. OL2:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf; see also OL2:395↑, 582§C, 929, 1081; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550↓
48. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
49. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
50. OL2:453; analysis of official statistics of the U.S. Courts, which by law must be filed with Congress annually as a public document; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
51. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
52. OL2:491; skit: How Sen. Clinton stole the show at the charity gala, causing Mr. Trump to concede that “She’s such a naspy, naspy woman”, and the strategy that she devised to turn “naspy” into the theme that would win her the election; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/mag/DrRCordero-SecHClinton.pdf>
53. OL2:530; skit: Trump and the Four Chicks; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-GSuss.pdf>
54. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
55. OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
56. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
57. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through ‘dumping forms’, which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
58. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
59. OL2:645. How Chief Justice John Roberts’s statement “I am sure that the overwhelming number of judges have no tolerance for harassment” is knowingly misleading and contradicted by official statistics showing that he and his fellow justices and judges cover-up all forms of their abuse, including the abusive use by justices and judges of their letters of recommendation that their law clerks need to seek their first job after the end of

their clerkship; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_CJJRoberts_toleration_of_abuse.pdf

60. OL2:677; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_amicus_curiae.pdf
61. OL2:687; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_clerks_as_judges_abusers_by_proxy.pdf
62. OL2:724; skit: The Trumpeter and his White House Circus; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero-AOcasio-Cortez_CNixon_SenBSanders.pdf
63. OL2:760; see OL2:608
64. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
65. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
66. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf; see also OL2:395, 440; 582§C↑, 929, 1081; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550↓
67. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
68. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
69. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
70. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
71. >OL2:879; exposing judges who alone or with their cronies prey on parties and the public; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
72. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
73. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
74. OL2:929; interception of people's mail and emails to detect and suppress those critical of judges; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf; see also OL2:395, 440; 582§C↑, 1081; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550↓
75. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
76. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
77. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
78. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
79. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
80. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
81. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
82. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf

83. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
84. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
85. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
86. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
87. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
88. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
89. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
90. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
91. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
92. >OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
93. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
94. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf, discussing a proposal to LexisNexis
95. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
96. >OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf = <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>; see also OL2:395, 440; 582§C, 929↑; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550↓
97. OL2:1084; Adapting to the Covid legal market by Making Money While Doing Justice; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Ruters.pdf
98. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
99. >OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
100. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
101. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
102. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
103. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
104. OL2:1119; patterns of judges' abuse of power that journalists and lawyers can investigate; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
105. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
106. >OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
107. OL3:1144; analysis of Thomson Reuters's report "The Teflon Robe"; which found "hardwired judicial corruption", i.e., corruption that is an integral element of state judiciaries and that intertwines their judges

and the conniving state commissions on judicial conduct duty-bound to supervise them but in practice covering up their abuse of power by not even investigating, let alone punishing, them; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

108. OL3:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
109. OL3:1164 and 1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
110. OL3:1168; Joining forces with Reuters to extend its investigation of state commissions on judicial conduct to the Federal Judiciary and connect it with U.S. Senator Elizabeth Warren's denunciation of "unaccountable federal judges' abusive self-enrichment"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
111. OL3:1172; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
112. OL3:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
113. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
114. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
115. OL3:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
116. OL3:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
117. OL3:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
118. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf; see also OL2:395, 440; 582§C, 929, 1081↑; OL3:1544, 1550↓
119. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
120. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
121. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
122. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
123. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
124. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
125. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
126. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
127. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
128. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf
129. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCt_reform_Commission.pdf
130. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

[Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf)

131. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_ScT_Commission.pdf
132. OL3:1329; the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
133. OL3:1338; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf
134. OL3:1342; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf
135. OL3:1348; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_politicians-judges_connivance.pdf
136. OL3:1351; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_folly_of_pro_se.pdf
137. OL3:1367; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf
138. OL3:1371; proposal to apply to judges expertise in financial criminality investigations; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
139. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
140. OL3:1378; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
141. OL3:1380; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_writing_reliable_stories&telling_national_public.pdf
142. OL3:1383; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf
143. OL3:1389; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar&story_workshop_slides.pdf
144. OL3:1393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_&_workshop_dates.pdf
145. OL3:1394; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_thinking_strategically_to_gain_result_allies.pdf
146. OL3:1399; analysis of *The Wall Street Journal* article series "Hidden Interests" exposing how "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf
147. OL3:1407; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading_strategizing_taking_action.pdf
148. OL3:1411; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf
149. OL3:1415; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_concrete_actions_by_advocates.pdf
150. OL3:1417; a joint venture proposal for Reuters to develop a law website with 49,763 subscribers at the most propitious time: when the attention of the national public has been focused on the impact of judges on national politics and the outcome of presidential elections; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OLf2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf
151. OL3:1426; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_forming_local_chapters&appealing_to_schools.pdf

152. OL3:1430; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ABC_investigate_judges_abuse.pdf
153. OL3:1436; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLE_webinar_exposing_judges.pdf
154. OL3:1440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_workshop_for_preparing_your_story.pdf
155. OL3:1445; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf; also ↓OL3:1619¶6
156. OL3:1449; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_need_to_read&best_time_to_distribute.pdf
157. OL3:1451; to publishers to offer them my articles and describe a plan of action to enter jointly a multidisciplinary academic and business venture for judicial abuse exposure, compensation and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_articles_actions_to_expose_judges.pdf
158. OL3:1457; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading&telling_knowledgeable_judicial_abuse_stories.pdf
159. OL3:1460; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf
160. OL3:1470; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Offshoot_Oases_Project.pdf
161. OL3:1473; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_offering_law_services&articles.pdf
162. OL3:1476; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CIRS_&_trainees.pdf
163. OL3:1479; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf
164. OL3:1485; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Commission_Jurists.pdf
165. OL3:1487; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_distributing_articles_exposing_judges.pdf
166. OL3:1489; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf
167. OL3:1491; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf
168. OL3:1493; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_recusal_principles.pdf
169. OL3:1495; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_The_Business_of_Justice.pdf
170. OL3:1497; letters to NYS Chief and Administrative Judges, NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau Chiefs, and Inspectors Generals; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf
171. OL3:1520; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf
172. OL3:1522; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Legal_Aid_Society.pdf
173. OL3:1525; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
174. OL3:1527; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_proposal_for_class_actions.pdf
175. OL3:1530; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_assets_for_negotiating_table.pdf
176. OL3:1532; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_ReplyAll_read_write_your_story.pdf

177. OL3:1533; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_think_proceed_strategically_to_expose_abuse.pdf
178. OL3:1538; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf
179. OL3:1542; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Medicare&HMO_class_action.pdf
180. OL3:1544; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Cybersecurity_experts.pdf; see next
181. OL3:1550; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_IT_experts.pdf; see also OL2:395, 440; 395, 582§C, 929, 1081; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550
182. OL3:1555; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Presentation_to_Honest_Judiciaries_Advocates.pdf
183. OL3:1558; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommissioner.pdf>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
184. OL3:1578; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/23-3-30DrRCordero-Settle_or_Expose_Team.pdf
185. OL3:1579; containing a list of media outlets that have exposed judges' abuse in an unaccountable justice system; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_holding_justice_system_accountable.pdf
186. OL3:1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ProfLTribe_AttRKaplan.pdf
187. OL3:1587; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-LegalAidSociety_DebevoisePlimpton.pdf
188. OL3:1588; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf
189. OL3:1589; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ExecDirGRoth_CoanchorGBennett.pdf
190. OL3:1593; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_class_actions-Duane_Morris_LLP.pdf
191. OL3:1602; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-pro_ses.pdf
192. OL3:1604; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-DA_Candidate_TCohen.pdf
193. OL3:1607; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
194. OL3:1609; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-schools_&_media.pdf
195. OL3:1611; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/23-8-28DrRCordero_class_action_v_Medicare.pdf
196. OL3:1618; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_fabricated_indictments-public_officers.pdf
197. OL3:1623; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_defamation_specificity_analogy_distinction.pdf
198. OL3:1625; Complaint to New York State (NYS) Court of Appeals (CA) Chief Judge Rowan Wilson and Associate Judges about fabricated indictments based on false and insufficient evidence presented to a grand jury by prosecutors and NY Police Department (NYPD) officers with the support of judges of the NYS Unified Court System (UCS) and the cover-up by those CA judges, the NYS and NY City (NYC) administrative judges, the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct, two NYPD Commissioners and two Chiefs of its Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB), and their appointer, i.e., a former NYPD captain and current mayor of NYC, and NYPD and UCS inspectors general (IG): the black robe over judges' holding each other unaccountable covers the NYPD officers' blue wall of complicit silence to make their enterprise corruption under NY law and racketeering organization under the federal RICO Act invisible and soundproof (see §C.10 *infra*);

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

199. OL3:1630; To U.S. Senator Kirsten Gillibrand of New York to request assistance in dealing with a federal agency, namely, Medicare; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/DrRCordero-SenKGillibrand_Medicare.pdf
200. OL3:1635; proposal to Moms for Liberty for jointly expanding the addressees of their message to people other than parents concerned about their children's education by holding unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-MomsforLiberty.pdf>;
201. OL3:1638; the folly of laypeople improvising themselves as lawyers given the complexities of procedural rules and substantive provisions and to propose that they promote the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_folly_laypeople_as_lawyers.pdf
202. OL3:1640; proposal for homeowners and tenants to join forces to denounce abusive landlords and real estate investors and lenders by promoting the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Stop_Wall_Street_Landlords_Acts.pdf;
203. OL3:1644; proposal for holding citizens hearings and law clinics at universities and media stations to expose judges' and their judiciaries' abuse of power; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/24-2-1DrRCordero-ProfMHutter.pdf>
204. OL3:1645; Blurbs of serial articles by top national media outlets exposing abuse of power by judges and their judiciaries; and blurbs of cases ripe for class action to hold those abusers accountable for their abuse and liable to collective compensation for their victims; *id.*
205. OL3:1646; proposal to AI and GPT investors interested in "revolutionizing access to justice" on behalf of pro ses for a joint venture that would persuade media outlets and universities to hold unprecedented citizens hearings in their media stations and auditoriums, where pro ses and represented parties alike would tell their stories of judges' and their judiciaries' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power; cause an informed and outraged national public to force politicians to discuss the issue as a decisive electoral one and investigate those abusers; and subsequently finance class actions to hold the abusers accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse because if a former president and his top aides; lawyers and their law firms; police officers and their departments; doctors and their hospitals; clergy and their churches; pharmaceutical officers and their companies; pundits/moderators and their media outlets, can be prosecuted and ordered to compensate their victims, so can judges and their judiciaries given that in a democracy governed by the rule of law, which aims at administering "Equal Justice Under Law", it follows that "Nobody is Above the Law"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/24-2-5DrRCordero-Pro-se_Pro.pdf
206. OL3:1647; proposal to the proponents of declaring the formerly incarcerated a protected class because upon their release they face discrimination when seeking a job or housing, which prevents their incorporation into the civil society and a productive and normal life; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/24-2-10DrRCordero-formerly_currently_next_incarcerated.pdf
207. OL3:1648; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-joint_venture_with_lawyers&journalists.pdf
208. OL3:1650; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Court_of_Appeals_cover-up.pdf
209. OL3:1654; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Reuters_joint_venture_proposal.pdf
210. OL3:1656; Letter from NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct of 26 February 2024, acknowledging receipt

of Dr. Cordero's complaint of 24 January 2024; see next

211. OL3:1657; Letter to NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Chair Joseph Belluck, Esq., about the Commission's failure to investigate his complaint against two judges of the NYS Unified Court System, received first on 23 September 2022, as per its acknowledgment of November 3, 2022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
212. OL3:1659; reply to a law student and proposal for her and her law school to hold unprecedented citizens hearings where people will tell their stories ([above](#), OL3:1329) of the abuse of power by unaccountable judges that they have suffered or witnessed, which will inform the public of the nature, extent, and gravity of the abuse, and so outrage it at judges as to cause the public to force politicians to investigate judges officially; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-schools_holding_citizens_hearings.pdf
213. OL3:1665; proposal to criminal defense lawyers to join forces to expose the fabrication of indictment on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors and police officers and covered up by judges; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-criminal_defense_lawyers.pdf
214. OL3:1667; an eye-witness account of an indictment fabricated on false and insufficient evidence and a proposal to The Legal Aid Society to jointly expose it on behalf of thousands of 'fabricated' inditees; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-LAS_exposing_false_accusations.pdf
215. OL3:1673; general considerations for reviewing indictments and determining their validity; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_reviewing_indictments.pdf
216. OL3:1680; Proposal to the American Civil Liberties Union to expose indictments fabricated with false and insufficient evidence; and seek compensation for thousands of 'fabricated' inditees; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-ACLU.pdf>
217. OL3:1683; When Jews and pro-Palestinian advocates think strategically to form a coalition that organizes a 1963 MLK "March on Washington"-like demonstration at the Lincoln Memorial next June 16 at noon to force P. Biden to choose between a chance of reelection by supporting the coalition demands and appearing nationally and internationally as an unprincipled, hypocritical, and subservient non-leader incapable of asserting American values; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Jews_Palestinians_demonstration_in_DC.pdf
218. OL3:1686; Neither pro ses nor abusees can afford to pay for a class action against judges and their cronies who work together to abuse their power. Nor do they have the technical knowledge to prosecute such a complex type of action in court. Instead, they should join forces to take affordable and strategic action by writing their story of the abuse that they have suffered or witnessed; distributing the article that proposes unprecedented citizens hearings at university auditoriums and media stations, where they will have the opportunity to tell their story; and promoting the proposed demonstration of Jews and pro-Palestinian advocates at the Lincoln Memorial in Washington, DC, on September 29, 2024, where also the abuse by judges and their cronies can be brought to the attention of the public countrywide and turned into a decisive electoral and national debate issue; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-only_talking_v_acting_strategically.pdf
219. OL3:1690; proposal to Thomson Reuters's staff at *The Daily Docket* and the Business Development Department for a joint business venture to develop a law website with 53,004+ subscribers as of 29 December 2024, and to implement a plan of action to protect court employees and parties from risklessly abusive unaccountable judges and their judiciaries; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_biz.venture.proposal-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
220. OL3:1696; Proposal to *The Atlantic* for a joint venture that through a series of articles and *unprecedented*

citizens hearings aims to enable *We the People* to exercise our sovereign power over a kingly judiciary and the politicians who have connivingly put it in office and cover-up its judges' abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-joint_venture_proposal-The_Atlantic.pdf

221. OL3:1698; after *The Wall Street Journal* published its article "Insurers Pocketed \$50 Billion From Medicare for Diseases No Doctor Treated", on 9 July 2024, a proposal to it was made for a joint venture to investigate and prosecute through a class action Medicare and its providers of medical services and equipment for their coordinated abuse of millions of senior and disabled people out of the 67 million covered by the Medicare program who individually lack the health, knowledge, and money necessary to sue Medicare et al.; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-WSJ_on_Medicare.pdf
222. OL3:1700; on finding legal assistance from Thomson Reuters's Practical Law and Reference Attorney; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_legal_help_from_Thomson_Reuters.pdf
223. OL3:1702; proposal for deans of top [law](#), [journalism](#), [IT](#) and [business](#) schools; officers of media outlets; as well as professors, journalists, and multidisciplinary experts to enter a multidisciplinary academic and journalistic joint business venture to organize a joint demonstration of Jewish and pro-Palestinian students at the Lincoln Memorial in Washington, DC; citizens hearings; produce their report and a documentary; publish a series of articles to implement the inform and outrage strategy for exposing public officers' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power; embark on a tour of presentations; create the Institute for Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy; give rise to representative journalism; and carry out many other actions; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-leaders_demonstration_citizens_hearings.pdf
224. OL3:1708; requesting a court permission to file an amicus curiae brief by offering to discuss the statistics of the industry in question so as to establish what therein is and is not reasonable conduct and thereby assess the parties' conduct; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_amicus_curiae_permission_basis.pdf
225. OL3:1710; proposal to attorneys, journalists, and academics to expose prosecutors and police officers who engage in, and the judges and their judiciary who condone, the fabrication of indictments on false and insufficient evidence; and other rewarding cases; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-lawyers-exposure_rewards.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_abuse_exposure_rewards.pdf
226. OL3:1712; after the probe by the FBI office for the Southern District of NY into corruption by NYPD Commissioner Edward Caban and others involving money and nightclubs caused his resignation, the evidence gathered by Dr. Cordero of the cover-up by Comm. Caban and the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau of indictments fabricated by police officers, prosecutors, and judges was sent to the FBI with the request that it use that evidence to further its investigation of the systemic corruption in the NY system of justice that has victimized thousands of fabricated indictees throughout the state; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-FBI_SDNY.pdf
227. OL3:1718; the investigation by the District Attorney's Office in Manhattan, NY City, and those of the FBI SDNY and EDNY into wrongdoing by Mayor E. Adams and his aides have led to the indictment of the Mayor, the resignation of NYPD Commissioner E. Caban, the early retirement of Schools Chancellor D. Banks, the guilty plea of others, etc. They show that [enterprise corruption](#) pervades NYC government. These events buttress the credibility of the evidence of their corruption described in this article, to wit, their fabrication of indictments based on false and insufficient evidence by NYPD officers, prosecutors, and judges, and the cover-up by NYC and NYS administrative judges, the successive chief and associate judges of the NYS Court of Appeals, inspectors general, etc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Manhattan_DA_Office.pdf

228. OL3:1722; proposal to the investigative journalists of THE CITY to jointly investigate the evidence of fabricated indictments (see supra) and of pervasive corruption in the NYS system of justice, where abuse of power has become the institutionalized modus operandi; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-THE_CITY.pdf
229. OL3:1725; proposal to the non-for-profit investigative news organization The Intercept to investigate two stories of abuse of power that affects practically everybody, namely, fabricated indictments (see supra) and the interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those critical of judges, and thereby instill in everybody the feeling that it is investigating their own story and that everybody's donation will make such investigation possible; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-The_Intercept.pdf
230. OL3:1728; proposal to National Catholic Reporter Executive Editor James V. Grimaldi, formerly a reporter at *The Wall Street Journal* and three time winner of the Pulitzer Prize for investigative journalism, to publish some articles already written that can inform the national public of corruption in the federal and state judiciaries so outrageous as to have the effect of 'an October surprise scoop' whereby the public pressures politicians into taking a stand on it, thus impacting the last days of the presidential campaign and the outcome of the election; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-NCRExecEdJGrimaldi.pdf>
231. OL3:1733; blurbs and abstracts of cases and corresponding articles with the potential to outrage an informed national public, and proposed for joint prosecution by lawyers, journalists, multidisciplinary experts, professors and students of law, journalism, IT, and business schools; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-blurbs&abstracts_of_cases&articles.pdf
232. OL3:1741; Proposal to business development officers and venture capitalists to turn a successful law and business website with 53,004 subscribers, which provides news, critical analysis, and a strategy for realistic action, into a commercial undertaking that sells goods and services guided by the principle "Making Money While Doing The Business of Justice"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-website_business_development.pdf
233. OL3:1742; a table of socially acceptable talking points paired to clips available on the Internet where Trump is seen and heard making statements ever more profane, vulgar, supportive of violence, dismissive of the Constitution, and assertive of his own "absolute power" and unaccountability, so that he cannot be reasonably expected to hold judges accountable for their abuse of power, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Democrats_October_Surprise.pdf
234. OL3:1748; proposal to ALM to publish the key articles of my cases ripe for class action and leverage its knowledge of the class action and mass tort bars to form teams of lawyers, journalists, and multidisciplinary experts to prosecute them for-profit and in the public interest, thus giving rise to a new form of journalism: representative journalism, which can challenge the Establishment and become a powerhouse of American governance; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-ALM_RepABronstad_Critical_Mass.pdf
235. OL3:1749; short blurbs and longer abstracts of the cases proposed to lawyers, journalists, professors, students, and potential class members to join and prosecute cases as class actions to be supported by a successful website with **56,776** as of 8 June 2025+ subscribers, which can be developed as a business guided by the principle "Making Money While Doing Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-blurbs_abstracts_class_action_cases.pdf
236. OL3:1751; discussion of the evidence of tens of thousands of mail and emails critical of judges and other entities for their abuse of power sent by Dr Cordero, yet they give rise to no return letters and to only email replies automatically generated by servers and stating that his emails were either "Delivered" or "Undeliverable", which is a unique reaction that can only result from the intentional interception and

suppression of replies composed by recipients, especially abusees, who would naturally reply to seek Dr. Cordero's help; and proposal to law firms and lawyers to join forces to prosecute the abusers in class actions; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-ProflTribe_HeckerFink.pdf

237. OL3:1754; proposal to Thomson Reuters of an academic and business venture; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_joint_venture-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
238. OL3:1758; proposal to NYU Law Professor Stephen Gillers (Emeritus) and colleagues to join an appeal from a decision of Medicare and thereby assist many of its 67 million insureds that suffer its abuse of power and that of its medical services and equipment providers; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-NYUProfSGillers&colleagues.pdf>
239. OL3:1761; Dr. Cordero's statement to the IT technicians of the company, Hostmonster, hosting his website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, of the problems that he has been encountering with sending emails and receiving replies composed by individuals in response to my emails, as opposed to boilerplate emails automatically sent by an email server; links in my emails and articles posted to my website that do not download the corresponding materials and instead causing the display on the screen of a 404 Page Not Found error or displaying nothing at all, a problem known as broken links; and the abnormally low number of recipients of his emails or visitors to his website that are able to subscribe to his website; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Hostmonster_ITtechnicians.pdf
240. OL3:1769; proposal for Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq., Marc Agnifilo, Esq., and Dr. Cordero to join forces to help their client Luigi Mangione, who may be unable to win his freedom, but whose objective through the crimes of which he has been accused we may help save, namely, expose the healthcare industry's abusive claim evasion tactics, pithily described in the paraphrase 'delay, deny, defend'; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-K&MAgnifilo-LMangione.pdf>; and illustrated in the complaint-appeal filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, *Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, EmblemHealth* [healthcare insurer], *Maximus Federal Services* [reviewer of healthcare insurance claims denials] et al. [including many of the top officers of the Medicare Appeals Council and the Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA)]; 24-cv-9778-UA; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/24-12-15DrRCordero-v-Medicare_EmblemHealth_et_al.pdf, of which a copy was mailed to Mr. Mangione
241. OL3:1774; appeal to the sincerity of NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch and Interim Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Edward Thompson when stating repeatedly that no task is more important to them than to restore ethical behavior among police officers and earn back public trust in the NYPD so as to ask that they investigate the evidence of indictments fabricated on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors, police officers, and detectives, and covered up by judges; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf
242. OL3:1775; proposal to a group of successful women, namely, U.S. Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, NYS Sen. Nathalia Fernandez, NYS Assemblywoman Karinés Reyes, and NYC Councilwoman Amanda Farias, to join forces and together with other successful women, to wit, NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch and Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq., the attorney for Luigi Mangione, expose fabricated indictments -OL3:1625 above- and abusive evasion of health insurance claims -OL3:1769 above-; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-women_leading_movement.pdf
243. OL3:1776; to inform NYPD IAB Chief Thompson that I was informed by the USPS that the complaint that I sent him by two-day priority mail could not be delivered as addressed, although I mailed it to IAB's official mailing address, as automatically corrected by the label form page of www.USPS.com; and that the complaint mailed to NYPD Commissioner Tisch by priority mail was delivered late seven days later; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

244. OL3:1777; my fee and retainer; my current brief in the public interest filed in federal court; and the description of my other cases that are ripe for class action; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-fees_brief_cases.pdf
245. OL3:1779; proposal to lawyers to join forces to expose the fabrication of indictments based on false and insufficient evidence by New York State prosecutors and NYPD police officers and detectives, and the cover-up by grand jury, NYS and NYC administrative, and Court of Appeals (the highest court in the NY judiciary) judges; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-JScolaEsq.pdf>
246. OL3:1781; joining forces with groups throughout the U.S. to expose abuse of power in health insurance, indictments, child protective services, mortgage foreclosure, etc.; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_state_groups_joining_to_expose_abuse.pdf
247. OL3:1782; Proposal to Cornell Law Professor Maggie Gardner to advocate the greater use of federal district court en bancs and her article showing how such en bancs have a long history and unregulated use by district judges in the Federal Judiciary; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-ProfMGardner.pdf>
248. OL3:1784; Proposal to journalists, lawyers, professors and students, and experts to expose abuse of power in the fabrication of indictments with false and insufficient evidence; and the use by Medicare together with its medical services and equipment providers of healthcare insurance claims evasive “delay, deny, defend” tactics; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_proposal_expose_abuse_power.pdf
249. OL3:1786; Invitation to Professor Maggie Gardner to give practical application to her *District Court En Bancs* by writing an amicus supporting a motion for SDNY Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain to convene the court en banc to resolve a series of objections to the actions taken by Judge Jeannette A. Vargas in *Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, Medicare, EmblemHealth* [healthcare insurer], *Maximus Federal Services* [reviewer of healthcare insurance claims denials], *et al.* [including many of the top officers of the Medicare Appeals Council and the Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA); 24-cv-9778-JAV; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-ProfMGardner.pdf>
250. OL3:1788; Proposal to Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq., and Marc Agnifilo, Esq., attorneys for Luigi Mangione, to work together with Prof. Maggie Garner to write an amicus curiae in support of the motion in SDNY for convening a district court en banc and to lobby law schools to invite them and Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., to make presentations to the faculty and students on exposing fabricated indictments and abusive healthcare insurance claims evasive “delay, deny, defend” tactics; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-K&MAgnifilo-LMangione.pdf>
251. OL3:1790; Proposal to NY City mayoral candidates to expose fabricated indictments and Medicare and its medical services and equipment providers’ coordinated abusive healthcare insurance claims evasive “delay, deny, defend” tactics; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-mayoral_candidates.pdf
252. OL3:1792; Proposal to Cornell Law Professor Maggie Gardner, author of the article *District Court En Bancs*, and lawyers, law firms, and universities challenging Trump administration executive orders to join in an amicus curiae to support a motion for U.S. District Court, SDNY, Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain to convene a district court en banc to decide a conflict between a decision of hers and that of Judge Annette A. Vargas in that court and in the same case; to advocate the frequent use of district court en bancs for the benefits flowing therefrom; and to support the proposal for unprecedented citizens hearings as a mechanism for universities and the media to become a powerhouse as they lead *We the People* in holding governmental and commercial entities accountable and liable which are too big for individuals to challenge their abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_v_exec_orders&for_citizens_hearings.pdf

253. OL3:1801; Appeal to NYU President L Mills and College of Dentistry Dean Charles Bertolami concerning a case of abuse of power and condonation at the College; 8 May 2025; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-WSHB_JPChen_NYU.pdf
254. OL3:1809; A most opportune time for you to benefit from two test cases exposing indictments fabricated with insufficient and false evidence and their cover-up by prosecutors, police, judges, and the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct; and Medicare and other healthcare insurers' abusive claim evasive "delay, deny, defend" tactics; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/25-5-27DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
255. OL3:1817;
256. next article starts at OL3:1814
257. 274 reserved for Section A;

NOTE: Section B:275 begins on the next page.

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles

275. judges' unaccountability(*>[OL:265](#)) and their riskless abuse of power(*>[jur:5§3](#); [OL:154§3](#));
276. statistical analysis for the public(†>[OL2:455§§B-E](#), 608§A) and for researchers([jur:131§b](#));
277. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"]", unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable([OL2:453](#));
278. to receive 'justice services'([OL2:607](#)) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs([OL2:608§A](#)), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract([OL2:609§2](#));
279. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back([OL2:546](#));
280. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"([jur:68^{123a}](#));
281. abuse-enabling clerks([OL2:687](#)), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse([jur:30§1](#));
282. law clerks' vision is fixated on the end of their clerkship, when they must ask the judge for whom they clerked for a glowing letter of recommendation ([OL2:645§B](#)) to a potential employer, which can bring in a signing-up bonus of hundreds of thousands of dollars; clerks are beholden to judges' power to write or not to write that letter, which morally blinds clerks to their being used by judges as executioners of their abuse;
283. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them([jur:10-14](#); [OL2:548](#)), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority([jur:21§a](#));
284. escaping the futility of suing judges([OL2:713](#), [609§1](#)): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation([OL2:581](#));
285. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation([jur:81§1](#); [Lsch:17§C](#)): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public([OL2:635](#), [593¶15](#));
286. turning insiders into Deep Throats([jur:106§C](#)); outsiders into informants([OL2:468](#)); and judges into criers of 'Me Too! Abusers'([OL2:682¶¶7, 8](#)) that issue an *I accuse!*([jur:98§2](#)) denunciation of judges' abuse: thinking and acting strategically([OL2:635](#), [593¶15](#)) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice([OL2:687](#)), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
287. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab([OL2:614](#)), conceal([jur:65^{107a,c}](#)), and launder([105²¹³](#)) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics([OL2:440](#); [OL2:582 § C](#); [OL2:395](#), 929, 1081; [OL3:1228](#));
 - 1) made all the more credible by former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the U.S. Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting([OL2:612§b](#));
 - 2) by using Information Technology examination and statistical analysis, such interception and

contents-based suppression can be exposed, which will provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);

- 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
- 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception of people's emails and mail;

288. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;

289. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;

290. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:

- a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
- b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL3:1228; OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
- c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608¶5);
- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571 ¶ 24a);

291. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;

292. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>,

which as of 12 June 2025, had **56,817**+ subscribers, into:

- a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
- b. a **research center** for professionals and parties([OL2:575](#)) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
- c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture ([jur:119 § § 1-4](#)). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130 § 5](#));

293. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

294. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;

the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the [Constitution](#), Article V.

C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing

295. Dr. Cordero's collected law and journalistic research proposals; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_law&journalistic_research_proposals.pdf

1. Treatises

296. **Start your research here to gain an overview of the subject and proceed to the ever more specific:** <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law.
- a. Also search using the keywords "encyclopedia", "cyclopedia", "jurisprudence", "manual", or "treatise" of your state law, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/New-York-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100029357>.
297. Corpus Juris Secundum, a restatement of the law as it has developed from reported cases and legislation; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/Corpus-Juris-Secundumreg-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104934968>
298. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction
299. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea

2. Law reviews and journals

300. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

301. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
302. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

303. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; cf. Legal Information Institute (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
304. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), Bankruptcy Code; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Code.pdf
305. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), Criminal Code, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Code.pdf
306. E.g., US Code, Title 28 (28 USC), Judicial Code; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

307. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

6. Federal procedural and evidentiary rules applicable in federal court

308. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (11 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Rules.pdf
309. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (18 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Rules.pdf
310. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (28 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence** (FRCP, FRAP, FRE); <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf
311. *Federal Civil Judicial Procedure and Rules*, 2022 ed.; 1,248 pages; Thomson Reuters; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2022-ed/p/106767284>
312. *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules and Commentary*, 2021 ed.; Steven S. Gensler and Lumen N. Mulligan; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Rules-of-Civil-Procedure-Rules-and-Commentary-2021-ed/p/106676872?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
313. *Federal Civil Rules Handbook*, 2022 ed.; Steven Baicker-McKee and William M. Janssen; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Civil-Rules-Handbook-2022-ed/p/106744908>
314. For the rules of the Supreme Court, see subsection 25 infra.

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

315. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

316. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law; <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

317. https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b_three_sections_with_teasers/active_leg_page.htm
318. <https://www.house.gov/legislative-activity>

10. Federal laws -and a state law version- of particular interest

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

319. The **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 U.S.C. [the Code of the laws of the federal government]; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_in_Government.pdf
320. **Racketeer Influenced** and Corrupt Organizations Act(**RICO**); 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; <http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title18-section1961&num=0&edition=prelim>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc1961_RICO.pdf
 - a. Enterprise Corruption; NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/PEN/460.00>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf
321. 18 U.S.C. [Federal Criminal Code] §2511; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited: (1) ...any person who— (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;...shall be punished...or shall be subject to suit....; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18_usc_11.pdf
322. Duty to report abuse, **18 USC §3057**; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
323. Supreme Court justices assigned to federal circuits and known as circuit justices, **28 U.S.C. §42**
324. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, titled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
325. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the meetings of the judicial councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
326. **Judicial Conduct** and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 U.S.C. §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#)), setting forth a procedure for anybody to file a complaint about a federal judge with the chief circuit judge where the complained-about judge sits
327. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints filed under **28 U.S.C. §§351-364**; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
328. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 11 on the duties of lawyers and pro ses who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance; https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11
329. **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978; 5 U.S.C. Appendix; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
330. Rule 23 on class actions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Rule_23_Class_Actions_FRCp.pdf
331. **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act** (FISA) 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc_FISA.pdf
332. Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the **Social Security Act**, https://www.ssa.gov/OP_Home/ssact/ssact-toc.htm, found in **Title 42** of the U.S. Code of federal laws, <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>, as modified by Section 4714 of the **Balanced Budget Act of 1997**, <https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>, prohibits Medicare providers from balance

billing Medicaid QMBs [Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries] for Medicare cost-sharing. The provider must submit its bill to Medicaid and accept as full payment what Medicaid pays. See also Overview of Medicaid Provisions in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, P.L. 105-33;
<https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>.

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

333. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>

334. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx

335. The annual report of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who discusses the key issues of the Federal Judiciary and statistics on the cases filed with it and those handled by its judges during the reported year:

a. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2021year-endreport.pdf>

b. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

336. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice;
<https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

337. Table 1

Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020		
Supreme Court		69
Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	
Federal circuit	1,568	
94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

12. Landmark cases in the federal courts

365. *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254 (1964); <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/376/254/>

366. *Strickland v. U.S.*, No. 21-1346, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/opinions/211346.p.pdf>, a federal civil case decided on April 26, 2022, by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/>, held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers, including judges in their official and individual capacities, can be held accountable for their performance and liable to compensation.

13. Forms

367. E.g., District Courts—Civil (Vols. 2-4A, West's® Federal Forms);
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/District-CourtsmdashCivil-Vols-2-4A-Westsreg-Federal-Forms/p/100001667>

368. Bankruptcy Courts (Vols. 6-6C, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Bankruptcy-Courts-Vols-6-6C-Wests174-Federal-Forms/p/100001669>

14. Judicial Conference of the U.S. (the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary)

369. 28 USC §331. Judicial Conference; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>

370. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference>, which contains a list of its 20 committees

371. The Chief Justice appoints the members of the Judicial Conference committees; <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference/about-judicial-conference>

372. Reports of the Judicial Conference's biannual meetings, <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us>

373. Regulations on judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, <https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/judiciary-policies/ethics-policies/financial-disclosure-report-regulations>

15. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal, as opposed to state, courts)

374. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>

375. <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

376. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, <https://www.uscourts.gov/>; established as provided for in **28 USC §§601-613**, <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

377. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>

378. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports>

379. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document(**28 USC §604(a)(3-4)**); the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court(**§601**); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>

380. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/caseload-statistics-data-tables>

381. U.S. Federal Courts - Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2023/09/30>

382. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>

383. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

384. Table 2

Number of federal judicial officers https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9

circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges <i>id.</i>	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

426. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and
427. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
428. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
429. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints about federal judges in Table S-22(28 USC §604(h)(2)); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
430. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>
431. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>
432. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

16. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

433. <https://www.fjc.gov>
434. List of the 8 impeached federal judges since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

17. PACER and other and other case and court finders

435. Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER); <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>
436. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF); <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf>
437. Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>
438. To find the website of each federal court, where its cases are posted go to <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

18. Other federal entities and people

439. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States”; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>
440. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge

and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>

441. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>

442. Judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

443. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

19. United States Postal Service

444. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

20. Sources of state legal authority

a. Treatises

445. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231>

b. State constitutions and laws

446. https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8glVh9zlCh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS_PP_Law-Books_Main_Search_Brand-Phrase_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&ef_id=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8glVh9zlCh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE:G:s&s_kwid=AL!7944!3!440994957489!p!g!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books

447. Search for a compilation of all state codes, laws, rules, and regulations; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>

c. Uniform laws (the product of agreements among the states)

448. Uniform Laws Annotated; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/p/100028543>

449. Uniform Commercial Code; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Commercial-Code-2020-2021-ed/p/106675446?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

d. Restatement of laws

450. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=restatement+of+laws>

e. Omnibus site collecting the law materials of a state or the links to them

1) Illustrated with New York materials. For materials of your state, go to the website of your state legislature; your department of state; or Thomson Reuters and search for its [law books on you state](#).

451. NY State Law, Cases & Legislation | NYCOURTS.GOV;
<https://ww2.nycourts.gov/lawlibraries/nycodesstatutes.shtml>

f. Rules of procedure applicable in the courts of a state (the equivalent of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at 28 U.S.C. Appendix; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>)

452. The Consolidated Laws of New York; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CONSOLIDATED>; McKinney's **Consolidated Laws** of New York Annotated® (Annotated Statute & Code Series); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york>

453. In New York, the judicial procedural rules adopted by the state legislation to regulate the procedural aspects of lawsuits in New York state courts -and in federal courts when diversity of citizenship jurisdiction is asserted- are codified to the Consolidated Laws of NY, Chapter 8, Civil Practice Law and Rules; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CVP>; annotated in <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Court-Rules/McKinneys-New-York-Civil-Practice-Law-and-Rules-2023-ed/p/106962400?trkcode=recrsrerp&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

- a. From the Internet: "The **New York Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR)** is chapter 8 of the *Consolidated Laws of New York*^[1] and governs legal procedure in the NY Unified Court System such as jurisdiction, venue, and pleadings, as well as certain areas of substantive law such as the statute of limitations and joint and several liability.^[2] The CPLR has approximately 700 individual sections and rules which are divided into 70 articles."
- b. The CPLR can be composed piecemeal for free by going to <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CVP>, downloading each provision of each article, and pasting them in their official order of appearance in a Word document so as to end up with one searchable file; otherwise, the one volume of the CPLR published by Thomson Reuters can be bought for \$383; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Court-Rules/McKinneys-New-York-Civil-Practice-Law-and-Rules-2023-ed/p/106962400?trkcode=recrsrerp&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
- c. NY Civil Practice Law and Rules, CVR, **Civil Practice Law & Rules** (West's®... | Legal Solutions (thomsonreuters.com)); "The hundreds of official and authored forms provided in *Civil Practice Law and Rules* help you comply with the various New York civil practice laws and court rules while saving you research and drafting time. Comprehensive commentary guides you through every stage of a case from commencement of an action to judgment, enforcement, and appeal." <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Civil-Practice-Law--Rules-Westsreg-McKinneys-Forms/p/100028288>. The price of this set of volumes is \$5,033 as of 9 February 2024.

454. Developments in the application of the NY Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR) in 2023; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYSATL_2024_CPLR_Update.pdf

g. Law regulating a judiciary (the equivalent of the code regulating the federal judiciary at 28 U.S.C.; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>)

455. Consolidated Laws of New York, Chapter 30, Judiciary; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/JUD>

h. Treatises on state law or topics of it

456. E.g.: Carmody-Wait, 2d, *Cyclopedia of New York Law* | Legal Solutions (thomsonreuters.com); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Carmody-Wait-2d-Cyclopedia-of-New-York->

[Practice-with-Forms/p/100027436](#)

457. **Modern New York Discovery, 2d**, a reference that discusses significant cases on discovery; **Book (Full Set) \$773.00**, **ProView eBook** [its digital version] **\$773.00** as of 9 February 2024; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Modern-New-York-Discovery-2d/p/100001845>

i. Rules of the state administrative judges

458. The rules issued by the state office of court administration, such as those found in PART [#]. **Uniform Civil Rules For The Supreme Court & The County Court** | NYCOURTS.GOV; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/>; and **Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge - HOME** | NYCOURTS.GOV; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>
459. Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge (Parts 100 to 154), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>
460. Uniform Rules of the New York State trial courts (Parts 200 to 221), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/index.shtml>; e.g., the supreme and the county courts; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.shtml>.
- a. Rules of the First Department Supreme Court [of four departments], which in NY is a trial court; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/Commencement-of-Cases-2.shtml>
 - b. There are uniform rules (Parts 205 to 221) for specialized courts, e.g., family and surrogate, capital cases, and particular activities, e.g., jury selection, depositions

j. Rules of the court where a case or motion is being filed

461. E.g., in New York; <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/index.shtml>
462. Rules of the Chief Judge, <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefjudge/index.shtml>, of the Court of Appeals, <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/courtofAppeals.shtml>, the highest NY State court (Parts 1 to 81)
463. Joint Rules of the Departments of the Appellate Division (partial: 22 NYCRR Parts 1200-1400); <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml>
- a. Rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>
464. Each court may have supplementary rules of its own as well as rules of specific judges...so much for a New York State Unified Court System.

k. Regulations of state administrative agencies

465. Go to your state's department of state website; Google the state administrative agency in question; or search for a compilation of the state codes, laws, rules, and regulations
466. E.g., Description from the Internet: "**The New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations (NYCRR)** contains the exact wording of the **codes, rules, and regulations** adopted by more than 100 New York state departments and agencies to implement state statutes¹. The NYCRR primarily contains state agency rules and regulations adopted under the State Administrative Procedure Act (SAPA)². The 23 Titles include one for each state department, one for miscellaneous agencies and one for the Judiciary²."
- a. New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations, published digitally by the New York Department of State,

Division of Administrative Rules, and Thomson Reuters Westlaw;
<https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/index?contextData=%28sc.Default%29&transitionType=Default>

- b. Title 22 of NYCRR concerns the rules of the Judiciary and its several courts;
[https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Browse/Home/NewYork/NewYorkCodesRulesandRegulations?guid=151e975e0ac3d11dd9f72c1eb90efe723&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)](https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Browse/Home/NewYork/NewYorkCodesRulesandRegulations?guid=151e975e0ac3d11dd9f72c1eb90efe723&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default))

467. E.g.,
<https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Index?bhcp=1&transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>

468. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/New-York-Codes-Rules-and-Regulations-NYCRR/p/100019553>

L. Bills pending in the state legislatures

469. E.g.: <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation>

m. State laws of particular relevance

470. E.g.: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf

n. Sources of state cases

471. For information on state cases Google the highest court in the state, which may have a state court locator or a “Links of interest”; otherwise, Google the lower state court in question, which may have a website and post its cases to it; e.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/>

472. E.g., Court of Appeals of the State of New York (the highest court in New York State),
<https://www.nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm>

473. E.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/cts-NYC-SUPREME.shtml> (the supreme courts in NYS are trial courts)

474. E.g., Supreme Court for the County of New York (Manhattan and Bronx)
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/index.shtml>

475. When a court issues a decision, it is first published in ‘slip form’ or ‘advanced sheets’, that is, separate from any other decision, hence, neither in a book nor a pamphlet.

- a. Thereafter the decisions issued during a period of months are published in a pamphlet.
- b. Finally, the pamphlets are bound in a hardcover volume. A set of such volumes containing the decisions for a number of years is normally referred to as a ‘reporter’ or ‘reports’. One set can cost tens of thousands of dollars.
 - 1) Normally, reporters are enhanced editorially by the publisher, rather than the writing judge or their courts, with a summary; headnotes summarizing the key point of law of a section of the decision; key numbers identifying the same point everywhere in any law book published by the same publisher; historical notes; and references to other cases, pertinent laws and regulations; etc. Those enhancements are practically indispensable to conduct cost-efficient law research. They save an enormous amount of research and reading time.
 - 2) Reporters -or reports- may be available online on a subscription basis. They may also be accessible, whether online or physically, in the library of a court, a law school, a bar association, a law firm, a public library, or a law institute or service, e.g., Legal Information

Institute of Cornell Law School (LII), <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>, and Findlaw, <https://www.findlaw.com/>, provided you are a member; have been granted or have purchased a temporary pass; or there is some measure of public access.

- 3) The decisions for a given court may be published together in their own reporter, such as the U.S. Supreme Court, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=Supreme+Court+reporter>; or
- 4) the highest state court, such as the New York State Court of Appeals, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Case-Law/New-York-Court-of-Appeals-Reports-2d-and-3d/p/100001560>; or
- 5) the courts of a state, e.g., New York Supplement, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Reporters/New-York-Supplement-2d-and-3d/p/100030135>; search for your state here: <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=reporters>; or
- 6) the courts of a region comprising several states, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Reporters/Atlantic-Reporterreg-3d/p/100024131>.
- 7) The decisions on a particular area of the law may be published in a reporter; e.g.; commercial law, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Commercial-Litigation-in-New-York-State-Courts-5th-Vols-2-4H-New-York-Practice-Series/p/106667772>; or bankruptcy, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Case-Law/Westsreg-Bankruptcy-Reporter-National-Reporter-Systemreg/p/100002692>
- 8) The decisions of the federal courts are normally published in volumes separate from the state court decisions. However, there are online subscription plans that provide access to the federal and state decisions concerning a state or a federal circuit; e.g., call Thomson Reuters Customer Service at (800)328-4880 and ask about its plans.

o. Forms

476. E.g.: Carmody-Wait, 2d, Cyclopedia of New York Law | Legal Solutions (thomsonreuters.com); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Carmody-Wait-2d-Cyclopedia-of-New-York-Practice-with-Forms/p/100027436>
477. E.g., Domestic Relations (Volume 7, West's Legal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Domestic-Relations-Vol-7-Westsreg-Legal-Forms/p/100001671>

21. Entities representing state courts and compiling their statistics

478. Conference of **Chief Justices** of the states; <https://ccj.ncsc.org>
479. National Center for State Courts; www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics
480. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
481. Conference of State Court **Administrators** (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
482. National Association for Court **Management** (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>

483. National Conference of Appellate **Court Clerks** (NCACC); www.appellatecourtclerks.org

484. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

22. Rules and codes of conduct for judges

485. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>

486. American Bar Association Model **Rules** of Professional Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/

487. American Bar Association Model **Code** of Judicial Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/

488. Rules of the NYS Chief Administrative Judge, Part 100. Judicial Conduct; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/100.shtml>

- a. E.g.: Section 100.2 A judge shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all of the judge's activities; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/100.shtml#02>

23. Rules of conduct for lawyers

489. Joint Rules of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of each of the four Judicial Departments, Rules of Professional Conduct [for lawyers] Part 1200 – (22 NYCRR [Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations] Part 1200); <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml> New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

24. Reports by media outlets and VIPs that have exposed judges, prosecutors, the FBI, and Medicare and insurance officers

a. Reports exposing judges

490. Enhancing Efforts to Coordinate Best Workplace Practices Across the Federal Judiciary; Federal Judicial Center and National Academy of Public Administration; July 2024; <https://www.fjc.gov/content/388247/enhancing-efforts-coordinate-best-workplace-practices-across-federal-judiciary>

491. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters. They journalists found “hardwired judicial corruption”, i.e., corruption that is an integral element of state judiciaries and that intertwines their judges and the conniving state commissions on judicial conduct. Although the latter are duty-bound to supervise the judges, in practice the commissions cover up the judges’ abuse of power by not even investigating, let alone punishing or holding, them liable to the victims of their fraud and dereliction of duty.

- a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
- b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
- c. Part 3, 14juy21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
- d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
- e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>

- f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
492. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>
493. *The Wall Street Journal* “Hidden Interests” serial articles by James.Grimaldi@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/james-v-grimaldi>; Coulter.Jones@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/coulter-jones>; reach Mr. Jones at 212-416-3778; Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/joe-palazzolo>
- a. 131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest; https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdrn4VM9Ssvk_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ; 28sep21;
- 1) updated under the title “Federal Judges Heard Cases Despite a Financial Interest”; 29sep21; https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-the-journal-found-judges-violations-of-law-on-conflicts-11632833775?mod=Searchresults_pos11&page=1
 - 2) updated under the title: Dozens of Federal Judges Had Financial Conflicts: What You Need to Know: A Wall Street Journal investigation finds more than 130 federal judges unlawfully ruled in cases involving companies in which they or their families held shares; *Michael Siconolfi, Coulter Jones, Joe Palazzolo, and James V. Grimaldi*; WSJ; April 27, 2022; <https://www.wsj.com/articles/dozens-of-federal-judges-broke-the-law-on-conflicts-what-you-need-to-know-11632922140>
- “A Wall Street Journal investigation found that 152 federal judges around the nation have violated U.S. law and judicial ethics by overseeing 1,076 [court cases](#) involving companies in which they or their family owned stock.
- As a result of the Journal’s reporting, judges in 883 cases have notified courts that they presided in the lawsuits improperly and that the cases are eligible to be reopened.”
- b. Texas Judge Leads Tally of Cases With Financial Conflicts --- Gilstrap didn't recuse in 138 suits involving firms in which he or his wife had an interest; 30sep21
- c. Judges or Their Brokers Bought And Sold Stocks of Litigants --- 61 report trades made while they oversaw suits involving the companies; 16oct21
- d. U.S. News: Bill Would Toughen Stock-Trading Rules for Federal Judges; 26oct21
- e. Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law; 2nov21
- f. U.S. News: Judge Acknowledges Possible Recusal Errors; 3nov21
- g. U.S. News: Bill on Judge Disclosures Passes House Panel; 18nov21
- h. U.S. News: Bill Gains To Speed Disclosure by Judges; 2dec21
494. Friends of the Court: SCOTUS Justices’ Beneficial Relationships With Billionaire Donors; ProPublica; <https://www.propublica.org/series/supreme-court-scotus>; <https://www.propublica.org/article/clarence-thomas-scotus-undisclosed-luxury-travel-gifts-crow>; <https://www.pulitzer.org/winners/propublica-work-joshua-kaplan-justin-elliott-brett-murphy-alex-mierjeski-and-kirsten-berg>;

495. Federal Judges Admit Conflicts Of Interests, Leaving Litigants Reeling; HuffPost Latest News; Henry Kerali contributed to this report; Center For Public Integrity; Apr 28, 2014, 12:50 PM; https://www.huffpost.com/entry/judges-conflicts-of-interest_n_5227031
496. House panel to explore impeachment, judicial ethics in wake of Ginni Thomas texts; Emily Brooks; *The Hill*; April 2, 2022; https://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=SocialThru&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alertshttps://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=SocialThru&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alerts
497. Price of Protection: Woman loses Seffner home after father's guardian sues her for libel: Former guardian faces felony charges; Adam Walser; ABC Action News Plus; February 10, 2022; https://www.abcactionnews.com/news/local-news/i-team-investigates/the-price-of-protection/price-of-protection-woman-loses-seffner-home-after-fathers-guardian-sues-her-for-libel?fbclid=IwAR0aejMvfcxBAJ4UqHm4xWHXolqRx7fkEX2_NXpmXahHH27L3snV54foPdw; "...If you have a story you think the I-Team should investigate, email us at adam@abcactionnews.com."
498. Senator Elizabeth Warren's "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", where she denounces federal judges who fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in a company that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor to protect or increase their stock's value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as judges' abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to judges' **unaccountability**; <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
499. Several of the above-listed reports are collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
500. The constitutional convention that 34 states since 2 April 2014, have petitioned Congress to convene as provided for in the amending provisions of Article V of the Constitution; <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/did-michigan-just-trigger-constitutional-convention-bid-gains-steam?msocid=23d8d337d2db6a7d2c59c75cd32b6be7>
501. A Pennsylvania state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay victims **\$206 million** in compensatory and punitive damages; www.TheLuzerneCountyRailroad.com; https://www.abajournal.com/web/article/ex-judges-ordered-to-pay-more-than-200m-to-victims-of-juvenile-detention-corruption-scheme?utm_medium=email&utm_source=salesforce_569848&sc_sid=03050232&utm_campaign=weekly_email&promo=&utm_content=&additional4=&additional5=&sfmc_j=569848&sfmc_s=51600549&sfmc_l=1527&sfmc_jb=27008&sfmc_mid=100027443&sfmc_u=16628812

b. Prosecutors

502. Prosecutors Who Break The Rules Go Unpunished, Leading To Unfair Trials And Unjust Imprisonment; WGBH News (GBH, wgbh.org) reporter Isaiah Thompson and The New England Center for Investigative Reporting (NECIR; at Boston University and WGBH News) interns Naomi LaChance, Bret Hauff,

Jacqueline Roman, Amanda Lucidi and Tristan Cimini; NECIR and GBH; 3 April 2016;
<https://www.wgbh.org/news/local/2016-04-03/prosecutors-who-break-the-rules-go-unpunished-leading-to-unfair-trials-and-unjust-imprisonment>

c. The FBI

503. 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty.

d. Medicare and insurance officers

504. Insurers Pocketed \$50 Billion From Medicare for Diseases No Doctor Treated; Christopher.Weaver@wsj.com, Tom.McGinty@wsj.com, Mark.Maremont@wsj.com, Anna.Wilde.Mathews@wsj.com; *The Wall Street Journal*; 9 July 2024;
https://www.wsj.com/health/healthcare/medicare-health-insurance-diagnosis-payments-b4d99a5d?%20mod=Searchresults_pos1&page=1

e. Reports with leads and methodology useful for investigating judges

505. Pandora Papers; International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, Washington, D.C.; 3oct21;
<https://www.icij.org/investigations/pandora-papers/>

25. Journalists and media outlets

506. CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
507. *Biden's court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
508. *The Associated Press*; <https://www.ap.org/about/>

26. Entities accrediting educational institutions (and serving as portals to them)

509. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
510. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
511. (business schools) <https://acbbsp.org/page/contact-event>
512. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

27. Law book publishers

513. Thomson Reuters is the largest publisher of law books and related materials in the U.S.;
<https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
514. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>

515. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drccordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
516. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

28. Other private entities and people

517. American Association of University Professors, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>
518. American Association of Retired People; <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>
519. Judicial Watch, <https://www.judicialwatch.org>
- a. Judicial Watch's repository of judges' financial disclosure reports, <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
 - b. Judicial Watch representing former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson in her suit against the U.S. Department of Justice for hacking her office and home computers, for which she is demanding \$35 million in damages; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/cases/sharyl-attkisson-judicial-watch-v-u-s-department-justice-no114-cv-01944/>
520. 5 Young Women in Montana's Climate Case on How They Pulled Off Their Historic Win; Rachel Janfaza; Cosmopolitan; 18 August 2023; <https://www.yahoo.com/news/lifestyle/5-young-women-montana-climate-173600590.html>
- 521.

Appendix 7

Two blocs of email addresses of journalists, media outlets, professors, and students who can be persuaded to hold **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.**

Place each in the To: box of separate emails containing your story of abuse that you have suffered or witnessed. You can easily write it in up to 500 words by applying the [two-phase method](#). By so doing, your story will be informative, accurate, and verifiable by those who can enable you to tell it at the hearings.[‡]

To: [journalists and media officers]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, cjc@cjc.ny.gov, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com,
twallack@gmail.com, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, gpduf@aol.com,
jimwdean@aol.com, investigate@ap.org, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
drew@americanthinker.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com,
ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org,
Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com,
Evan.Allen@globe.com, causecollector@msn.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com,
oped@nytimes.com, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com,
Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, info@AP.org, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,
Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com, sarah.childress@washpost.com, david.fallis@washpost.com,

To: [lawyers and professors]

jsg@law.harvard.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
krooseve@law.upenn.edu, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

End Page

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1143-1555+

End Page

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1143-1555+

Blank

