

July 9, 2020, as of 21 October

Reuters, a major news organization, investigated state judges, found “hardwired judicial corruption”, and asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges

To write a story of outrageous abuse that may be further investigated and reported, apply the two-phase method for writing it provided below.

Ask that Reuters together with universities and other media outlets, such as Boston Globe and LexisNexis, hold **unprecedented citizens hearings** via video conferences and interactive multimedia where you too may have the opportunity to tell the national public your story of abuse by judges and cause the public to feel in its bones the total impotence and vulnerability of your piercing cry: “The judges had all the power...and I was nothing!” ‡

Abstract

Reuters is a major U.S. news organization with some 2,500 journalists and some 600 photojournalists. In “The Teflon Robe” report, whose [first of three parts](#) was published on June 30, 2020, it reported “hardwired judicial corruption”: corruption that is an integral element of state judiciaries and that intertwines their judges and the conniving entities duty-bound to supervise them but in practice covering up their abuse of power by not investigating, let alone punishing, them, not even disclosing the names of complained-about judges. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges. That is what victims of, and witnesses to, judges’ abuse of power have always wanted: to tell journalists their stories.

Boston Globe published on September 30, 2018, its [investigative report](#) “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.

[LexisNexis](#) is Reuters’s main competitor in computer-assisted law research. It and other publishers, such as *The Washington Post* and *Above the Law*, may be presumed not to want to cede to either Reuters or Boston Globe the “[pioneering of the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)”.

In this article, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., provides his creative/editing two-phase method for you to write your story for these media outlets: ask yourself the journalists’ W-questions to answer with informational ‘dots’; ask ‘Then what?’ of the ‘dots’; and connect them into a story that consists of the relevant and verifiable facts most capable of outraging the reader and the rest of the national public at judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

Dr. Cordero proposes that these and all other media outlets jointly investigate federal judges, who are life-tenured, in practice irremovable regardless of what they do, and the models for their state counterparts. They can publish one or a series of articles on judges and their judiciaries that Dr. Cordero has written and made downloadable for them to review. They together with universities can hold unprecedented citizens hearings. Conducted via video conferences before a national public with access to them through interactive multimedia, the hearings will enable people to testify to the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.

Thanks to your stories, the investigation, the articles, and the citizens hearings, the issue of judges’ abuse of power can be inserted into the 2020 campaign and the confirmation of a Supreme Court nominee, which will focus the attention of the national public on all things judicial. These sources of information will make it possible to inform that public about, and outrage it at, how

federal judges risklessly grab gains and convenience by coordinating their individual and collective abuse as their modus operandi to run the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.

Until and on Election Day and thereafter, an informed and outraged public can hold accountable the politicians who recommended, endorsed, nominated, and confirmed judicial candidates and since then connivingly protect them as '*our* men and women on the bench' regardless of the harm that they inflict upon parties in court and the rest of the public nationwide.

That is how transformative change in the judicial and legal system can be set in motion by you, the media, and universities. It can lead to a new form of "government of, by, and for" *We the People* in the U. S. and the rest of the World. In that government, *the People* will for the first time in history assert their status as the sovereign source of all political power, entitled and empowered as masters of all *their* public servants, including *their* judicial public servants, to hold them accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

Table of Contents

- [¶¶1-3] Introduction
- A. [¶¶4-6] The failure to read dooms pro ses to being disregarded and abused
- B. [¶¶7-8] On being yet another lawyer or a historic Champion of Justice
- C. [¶¶9-11] References to the paragraphs¶¶ that address readers' frequent concerns
- D. [¶¶12-13] Reuters has been "inundated" with stories; make yours light to float to the top story
- E. [¶¶14-17] What you and all Advocates stand to gain by reading on and writing your
- F. [¶¶18-22] Free aids to researching and writing your story
- G. [¶¶23-65] Instructions for writing your story of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power
 - 1. [¶¶25-31] Length of your story for Reuters: 500-words
 - 2. [¶¶32-36] The risk of opening an attachment and how to deal with its content
 - 3. [¶¶37-40] Subject, addressees, email addresses, and introductory paragraphs
 - 4. [¶¶41-50] The contents of your story: kind and quality of its information
 - 5. [¶¶51-56] The two-phase method for writing your story of up to 500 words
 - 6. [¶57] Title and subtitle of a story of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power
 - 7. [¶¶58-64] Additional information in links embedded in text and as endnotes
 - 8. [¶¶65-67] Sign and date your story
- H. [¶¶68-71] Advocates' reciprocal revision of their stories, checklist, and chapter
- I. [¶¶72-77] Proposals and their benefits to media outlets
- J. [¶¶78-80] Unique opportunity arising from the concurrence of circumstances
- K. [¶¶81-86] Offer of a presentation that can lead to forming a national movement
- L. [¶87] Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Introduction

1. For decades, parties to lawsuits, whether represented by lawyers or without legal representation, i.e., pro ses, and other people have complained that journalists do not pay attention to their stories of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed. But presently you and each of them have the opportunity to provide your respective story to a major news organization: Reuters. Last June 30, it published the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe” on its massive investigation of state judges. It found “hardwired judicial corruption”. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges.
2. You endured judges’ abuse and fought back alone. That was a losing battle. Now you can fight back with Reuters on your side. That can be a winning battle. Endure the effort to read on, learn how to write your story, and send it to Reuters.
3. If you find it unreasonable to be asked to read an article written by a lawyer to help victims of, and witnesses to, judges, who are unaccountable and risklessly abuse their power, you did not suffer or see any abuse; the abuse was less painful than having to read; or you do not want to be compensated. Or maybe it is that you do not care that when you have to go to court, you will not be administered Equal Justice Under Law, but rather will be abused by “hardwired judicial corruption”.

*Seize this opportunity to tell your story to Reuters and
through it perhaps to the rest of the world!*

A. The failure to read dooms pro ses to being disregarded and abused

4. The questions that some pro ses have asked, the concerns that they have expressed, and the way they wrote their story and sent it to me rather than to Reuters, make me wonder whether they read the Subject of my email or the title of the article, let alone the article itself.
5. Failure to read invites abuse. Most pro ses go to court, Congress, or state legislatures without having read even the brief of the opposing party, never mind the record of the case, court decisions, not even those on appeal!, treatises, law journals, the law that they want to apply or have amended, its legislative reports, history of enforcement, etc. Judges, politicians, and opposing counsel pick up in a second that they have no idea what they are talking about and take advantage of their ignorance to disregard and abuse them. Their abuse of pro ses as well as of represented parties and their lawyers is totally wrong. But pro ses went to court ignorant of the law and came out ignorant of their case. Theirs was willful ignorance...and laziness too, for one need not be college-educated to realize that if one is sent papers as part of a process in which one is a key participant, one needs to read them. What they got in court, *they had it coming!* If a lawyer goes in so unprepared, he or she is in addition liable to a malpractice suit.
6. There is self-contradiction in expecting many pro ses to read this article since it criticizes their failure to read. My criticism is born of tough love and practical considerations. So I share the article with everybody else. At least I do not waste all my effort and time writing it and encourage others to read and get the benefit of reading: KNOWLEDGE IS POWER; ignorance perpetuates abuse.

B. On being yet another lawyer or a historic Champion of Justice

7. If you are a lawyer, you too are abused by judges, who risklessly abuse their power for their gain and convenience, as shown by the [official statistics](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf)[‡] of the federal courts submitted annually to Congress as a public document.[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf >OL2:455§§B, D

8. You can continue to go to court as one of the scores of thousands of lawyers in our country to argue yet another case. Or you can out of court expose the judges for the public to see their abuse of power. If you choose the latter, you will set in motion transformative change in the judicial and legal system. The public will recognize you here and abroad as a historic Champion of Justice. It is your choice.

C. References to the paragraphs ¶ ¶ that address readers' frequent concerns

9. You need not be proficient at writing. Simply follow the instructions in “The two-phase method for writing your story”, ¶¶50-55.
10. Include in, or omit from, your story the kind of information stated in ¶¶41-49 to make it relevant, verifiable, and illustrative of judges' outrageous conduct.
11. Send your story to the reporters of Reuters and Boston Globe, and the officers of LexisNexis whose addresses are provided in ¶37, using the subject set forth there for your email.

D. Reuters has been "inundated" with stories; make yours light to float to the top

12. When Reuters published its “Teflon Robe” report on state judges, its reporters asked that people send them their stories of abuse by judges. Since scores of millions have been abused, many must have written to them. In fact, those reporters have stated that they have been “inundated” with their readers' stories.
13. Thus, if you want your story read, you have to send it to Reuters written in only 500 words. The effort is more than worth it, considering the significant impact that your story and those of other people can have by limiting your story to 500 words.

E. What you and all Advocates stand to gain by reading on and writing your story

14. Underlying the sharing of this article and the asking of others to do likewise is *enlightened self-interest*: When we continue on our stubborn, self-centered way by “doing the same thing while expecting a different result”, which Einstein said “is the hallmark of irrationality”, and do so by going it alone in court, judges pick off each of us one at a time and *wipe us out!*
15. But thanks to the light that shines upon us when we inform ourselves by reading and think strategically, we recognize that only *We the People*, informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse of power, can compel politicians to take a stand on the issue at their every public appearance. Thereby the issue can be inserted into the 2020 campaign so that it becomes a decisive one on Election Day.
16. Such insertion will advance your, our, and *the People's* interest in asserting our status as the masters of all our public servants, including judicial ones, entitled to hold all of them accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
17. It is in our *enlightened self-interest* to make this email go viral. It can thus cause many victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse to send their stories to the Reuters reporters. Those stories can convince Reuters and the reporters that they can advance their commercial and reputational interests by investigating federal judges, as proposed briefly infra §I and in detail at:http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf and http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Boston_Globe_judges_investigation.pdf

F. Free aids to researching and writing your story

18. I offer various aids for you to write your story. The main one is my two-volume study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]**

19. I also offer access to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. The articles posted there have so positively impressed its countless webvisitors that it has turned 35,196 and counting into subscribers. You too can subscribe for free thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>
<left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

20. Moreover, I offer advice herein on how to make your stories relevant, verifiable, and newsworthy because they inform the Reuters reporters –and through them possibly the national public too– of judges’ conduct that is outrageous. To benefit from it, you must, to begin with, read this article.

21. Actually, to EMPOWER YOURSELF WITH KNOWLEDGE read and reread and read again what follows until you understand it and can apply it to write your most relevant and verifiable story of judges’ outrageous abuse of power. This is your chance to tell your story to a major news organization, Reuters, that wants to hear it. Do your best job!

22. Any additional assistance from me can be obtained at the rate of my attorney’s fee of \$350 per hour to be deducted together with all necessary and incidental expenses from a retainer paid in advance, whose amount is determined in light of the assistance that I am asked to provide.

G. Instructions for writing your story of unaccountable judges’ abuse of power

23. You are not expected to write a story of publishable quality as if you were a professional journalist. But if you do your homework of research, writing, and editing to provide a relevant, verifiable, and outrageous story of unaccountable judges’ riskless abuse of power, you will appear honest and your story will sound credible. It may lead the Reuters "Teflon Robe" reporters to investigate and report it.

- a. Apply this strategic thinking principle: “People never listen more carefully than when they listen to avoid harm to themselves”. Make your story personal...to your readers. Let it be moving enough to cause them to take action to protect themselves; protest judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power; and join forces with you in a national movement to hold them accountable for their performance and liable to compensate their victims.

24. The "Teflon Robe" reporters are your most knowledgeable, demanding, and important readers: the ones who decide whether your story is representative of the suffering of millions of people abused by unaccountable judges so that it is worth investigating, editing, and publishing it. You are writing for them. They are your audience.

1. Length of your story for Reuters: 500 words

25. The "Teflon Robe" reporters have stated that they have been “inundated” with the stories of abuse by judges sent them by readers of their report. Therefore, do not expect them to read scores, let alone hundreds, much less thousands, of pages of documents and other papers relating to your one single story.

26. “Less is more” effective in causing those reporters to read, understand, and be outraged by the

abuse committed by the judges in your story. Hence, limit your story to 500 words.

27. Make each of the 500 words count. If you cannot outrage readers with the most outrageous elements of your story told in 500 words, you will not outrage them by adding less outrageous details in the next 500+ words.
28. You know your story better than anyone else. Do not shift onto the Reuters reporters the task of figuring out what happened during the years of your struggle in court. Be the one to choose what is most relevant, verifiable, and outrageous.
29. Consider rewriting your story to reduce it to 500 words following these instructions and resubmit it to the Reuters reporters. If they need more information than what you provided in your word-limited story, they will contact you to ask for it.
30. A 500-word story that outrages readers at judges' conduct takes more effort to write than page after page of rambling blather; but causes a more undiluted, concentrated, and memorable impact. Similarly, slapping a whiner's tale onto the back of a napkin and without a second look sending it is not the sign of a great writer that states his message fast and concisely: It is what lazy people do.
 - a. If a victim of, or a witness to, a judge's abuse takes the easy way out in writing her story, she is bound to make all sorts of grammatical, contents, and format mistakes. She will come across as a careless person, driven by the impulses of the moment, and unreflective. Her character will increase the plausibility that the way judges treated her was because 'The paper that she filed in this court wasn't a brief of legal arguments, but rather a personal, incoherent anecdote that she cobbled together, with all sorts of inconsistencies, absurd charges, and trivia that nobody could make heads or tail. Now she's acting up as another disgruntled loser!'
31. "A genius"; said Thomas Alba Edison, the inventor, among many things, of the incandescent bulb that sheds light, "is 5% talent and 95% sweat". Hard work is what turns the scribblings of the first draft into a piece of writing so significant that readers pay attention to it...and even act on it.

2. The risk of opening an attachment and how to deal with its content

32. An attachment is a security risk. By clicking on it, you may release into your computer malware that is hidden in the attachment as a Trojan horse, which can roam through your computer, steal private information, and delete files.
33. This explains why people who know anything about the Internet and the dangers lurking in it do not open attachments. Some email servers are configured not to accept and to bounce back emails with attachments. Do not open attachments, especially if they come from people or entities that you do not know and trust.
34. It follows that you cannot tell your story by taking the easy way out of attaching a bunch of files to an email and sending it. "Lazy doesn't work."
35. Do not send attachments. Instead, copy its content and paste it to the body of a regular email.
36. In any event, today people receive an enormous amount of reading materials. Hence, it is much more effective for you to provide only the attachment's title and summarize its content. If your addressees want more information, they can ask you for it. But you can do so much better for your story and yourself if you write it out in up to 500 words.

3. Subject, addressees, email addresses, and introductory paragraphs

37. In the **Subject: line** of your email, use the subject used by all other victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse. This will help the addressees realize that many people have not only appreciated the investigation of state judges, but also are requesting that it be extended to federal judges. You hinder the consideration of your story when you appear as the self-centered, odd man out, seeking only your personal benefit without any regard for the interests of the media outlets –which would bear the cost of any investigation–, their audience, and the rest of the national public.

Subject: Reuters investigated state judges' abuse of power and requested victims to share with it their stories. How you can write a newsworthy story for Reuters and ask that it investigate federal judges

To: michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com

cc: todd.wallack@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com, mark.morrow@globe.com, comments@globe.com, newsletters@email.bostonglobe.com, newstip@globe.com, tyler.duke@lexisnexis.com, austin.dunn@lexisnexis.com, Lane.Okney@lexisnexis.com, john.caminiti@lexisnexis.com, communication@lexisnexis.com, NTotenberg@npr.org, MCoyle@alm.com, pam.spector@Law360.com, expertanalysis@law360.com, jathomsen@alm.com,

38. Provide the information normally found in the **letterhead** of a business letter:

Your full name
address,
phone number, email address, and, if any, the link to your website.

39. Identify your **addressees** thus:

Reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman, and editor Blake Morrison,
Reuters
victims of, and witnesses to, judges abuse of power,
lawyers, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

Dear editor Morrison, Messrs. Shiffman and Berens, victims, witnesses, lawyers,
and Advocates,

40. Establish the **connection between your story and the Reuters reporters**. These introductory paragraphs do not count toward the 500 word of your story:

I read with interest your investigative report "The Teflon Robe", published by Reuters on June 30, 2020.

You reported having found "hardwired judicial corruption" among state judges. You invited your readers to submit a brief statement of their stories of abuse by judges. Kindly find mine hereunder.

My story took place first in state court and has now moved, or is likely to move, to federal court.

The federal rules of procedure and evidence have been adopted by all the states.

What federal judges allow themselves to do, the state judges feel confident to do likewise. 'As the federal judges, so their state counterparts'.

Frequently, state judges are elevated to the federal bench. Once there, they are not going to incriminate their former state peers, colleagues, and friends, whereby they would run the risk of incriminating themselves. It follows that removing a case to federal court does not provide a victim of an abusive state judge with any effective recourse.

Federal judges are the only ones with national jurisdiction. What they do or not do affects everybody in our country. Accordingly, it interests your current and potential audience.

Their abuse of power is even more outrageous than that of state judges because they are the only officers in the U.S. with a life appointment. In the 231 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of them impeached and removed is 8! Their irremovability in practice explains why they abuse their power: It is riskless and profitable. Such abuse is what awaits those who file in federal court by removal or originally.

Moreover, federal judges [dismiss 100% of complaints](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf) filed against any one of them, which by law must be filed with the respective chief circuit judge; and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. They ensure their own unaccountability! http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf

Thus, I respectfully request that you extend your investigation to federal judges and expose their abuse of power. In this vein, I suggest that you examine the proposals for such extension made by Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., in [his article addressed to you](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf), which is downloadable through this link: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf.

4. The contents of your story: kind and quality of its information

41. What is outrageous is not what goes outside the realm of reality or seems implausible or contrived. It is what oversteps the bounds of moral and ethical standards and reasonable expectations of care, sense of duty, and decency. Outrageous is what a person does who has no shame. Your story must be based on relevant and verifiable information that outrages readers and stirs them up to protest.
42. **Write a sober story:** Avoid epithets, exaggerations, and self-serving ratings like 'this is the most corrupt judge ever and done the most terrible abuse you can imagine in your dreams'. You do not have the breadth of knowledge needed to justify such baseless and pompous claim, most likely never having read anything other than your story. You are an abuser; the experts are the Reuters reporters, who have read thousands of cases. 'Just give 'em the facts' and let them assess your story's outrageousness from the judges' conduct. They can draw comparative conclusions, if warranted.
43. **What to omit.** Abstain from emotional outbursts intended to elicit pity and appeal for commiseration. Do not appear emotionally fragile, unstable, or hypersensitive. You should not come across as a basket case.
44. Do not dilute your story's relevance with every conceivable insignificant detail and petty

grievance. A barrage of charges betrays incapacity to identify what is legally relevant. Do not diminish the credibility of your story with unfounded accusations, speculation, and extravagant claims. The account of a nightmare does not make for a serious story; it belongs in a horror movie.

45. Do not impair the verifiability of your story by making unprovable claims. Fantasy allegations turn your story into a fairy tale. Let professional investigators reveal what coming from a party, and as such biased toward her side of the story, sounds preposterous. Turn ‘reality that is stranger than fiction’ into a question:
 - a. Did he put his kids on food stamps although he earns a judges’ salary?!
 - b. Did the judge have the winning party hire her nephew to have him pay her gambling debts?!
 - c. Does he tell his law clerks that if at the end of their clerkship when they search for a job they want him to write them a glowing letter of recommendation, which can earn them a substantial signing-up bonus from the hiring employer, they have to decide the cases assigned to him and write the decisions? Does this explain why the style of the decisions signed by him is so oddly different every year after the start of the new clerkship?
46. Also leave out anything on which honest people can reasonably hold different opinions. It falls within the judges’ wide margin of discretion. Your opinion is not entitled to more credibility than the judges’, especially since you are not a lawyer, but rather a biased party.
47. **What to include.** Endeavor to produce a relevant, verifiable, and chronologically organized 500-word story. Let the outrage arise from judges’ denial of due process and equal protection of the law; conflict of interests; abuse of public office for self-enrichment; breach of confidential information; bribery; concealment of assets; tax evasion; money laundering; other violations of criminal laws; disregard of professional and ethical rules; cronyism; cover-up; ethnic, racial, socio-economic, gender, or religious bias; physical or sexual abuse; arbitrariness; and what offends the sense of decency and propriety of the man or woman in the street.
48. Provide pieces of information that can be treated as data: They can be scanned into a database to find the most convincing type of evidence: patterns of abuse by judges and their cronies, formed by the recurrence of the same information in the stories separately provided by different people.
49. You can be accurate, appear knowledgeable, and build your credibility if you methodically apply this principle: Always provide the source of your data and statements. Your methodical citation to reliable sources in footnotes do not count toward your 500-word limit, but count significantly toward building the reader’s trust in what you say in your story.
 - a. Do not pretend to know the law: Google it until you find it and give its citation.
 - b. Do not repeat uncritically ‘folklore’ transmitted orally by other victims of judges.
 - c. Do not try to support what you say by references to notions of what sounds right because it belongs to ‘natural justice’...whatever that is: It is not even written, thus offending against the fundamental principle of due process, that is, notice of what people must or must not do. Consequently, ‘natural justice’ is unjust. It does not bind anybody to anything. It is arbitrarily whatever one claims it is.
50. You were an actor when almost everything happened and know almost everybody else who was involved. Do not expect the Reuters reporters to scramble for those pieces of information. Do the necessary research to find them. State them accurately. Indicate the source of the information whenever possible. What matters is, not the amount, but rather the quality of the information:

relevant, verifiable, outraging, and useful as leads for journalists to conduct a professional investigation. So include the following:

- a. the names of judges, parties, prosecutors, companies, government officers and offices, etc.
- b. any meaningful, suspicious, or bias-inducing relation to each other:
 - 1) X and Y were former partners at Jay and Associates until May 2002, when X went to work for the DA's office of D County in my state
 - 2) A and B had the opportunity to know each other when they attended W Law School in 1996-1999 or in 1998, when they were members of Law Review, according to their profiles in Facebook, W Alumni Association website, and W University quarterly magazine. [NOTE: In a law school there can be dozens of student clubs or societies for different types of law or social or political issues; check them!]
 - 3) S is identified as the sister in law of J in a photo, which I found by using face recognition software, in the 2019 annual report of Downtown Developing Company, which was in charge of the renovation of the W University Library and acknowledged all donors at a gala dinner in their honor
 - 4) court documents available through PACER –Public Access to Court Electronic Records; <https://pacer.uscourts.gov> – and the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records>, show that Judge P habitually orders a forensic accounting of cases before him by the accounting firm of CGV Accountants, whose bills are assigned as court costs payable by the party suing, or being sued by, a landlord or an insurance company
 - 5) annual financial disclosure reports required by the Ethics in Government Act are filed as public documents; those of judges are collected at <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>. The reports of Judge G show that the mortgage on his home is held by Bank E, the defendant in my case. This points to a gross conflict of interests along the lines, 'if you make me win, I let you refinance your mortgage at no cost'.
- c. the title, i.e., Plaintiff v. Defendant, docket number, and date of any case mentioned in your story; the names of the courts where it was filed and, if any, to which it was removed, city, state, and telephone number; the names of judges and court/law clerks that signed any decision, order, or letter; etc.
- d. the dates of events and court filings and appearances, trying as hard as possible to include the day and the month, not only the year. A lazy reference to 'some time ago' or 'several years back', is unacceptable. Thanks to your effort, keen attention to detail, and analytical capacity to realize that 'this is not normal', 'something like this doesn't happen by coincidence', you may be able to tell the Reuters reporters:
- e. Strangely enough, on the day before every long weekend and travel to judicial conferences a lot of motions before Judge Q were entered 'denied', according to the docket available on the court's website. With the stroke of a pen, he enhanced a care-free 'holiday', the harm to the rights of the parties and the waste of their motion filing fees notwithstanding. What other judicial duty does he treat with the same contempt and disregard for the consequences on other people?

5. The two-phase method for writing your story of up to 500 words

51. **In phase one, use your creative spirit to draft your story:** Sit at your computer and write on a word processing page whatever word, term, or phrase identifies a person, event, place, document, thing, idea, concept, etc., associated with your story. They are your story's informational *dots*.
- You are not yet trying to write grammatically correct and complete sentences. You only want to get started telling your story.
 - Let your stream of consciousness bubble up unrestrained by your thinking mind so that it sprinkles *dots* of your story all over the page. As related words, terms, and phrases flow up, keep adding them to the other dots on the page or between them.
 - To pull up dots from the well of your memory, ask yourself about your story **the journalists' W-questions: What!?** Who? Where? When? How? Why? What now?
 - Keep asking of every word, term, phrase, and sentence concerning an event: **"and then what happened?...and then what did they say?...and then what did I do?...and then...?"** They are alive in your mind. They can hear you; they can answer you; they will engage you in conversation.
 - If related questions emerge to the surface, but you cannot answer them, jot them down: This is not the time to tax your memory anymore; pass judgment on their relevance; or interrupt the free flow of ideas. Search for answers in phase two.
 - 1) What was the name of the opposing party's attorney?...and her law firm?
 - 2) Did the judge issue an oral order from the bench or did he read one that he had written? Did he cite any law or rule?
 - 3) Why did he order me to pay rent because the landlord had fixed the plumbing? I never told him, the landlord never filed an answer and till this day hasn't fixed anything! How many times has the landlord appeared before this judge?
52. When you have about ten of those *dots*, move them up and down in a rough chronological order of appearance in your story. As you do so, add to them any other words, terms, and phrases that enlarge their meaning, identify them more narrowly, or should be inserted between them to bridge gaps in the story.
- Keep reading the *dots*, even aloud. Put them in a jingle, make them rhyme even if they make no sense at all...and they will come alive!, dancing in your mind and calling other words, terms, and phrases that are *dots*.
 - Something like sentences will begin to appear. Keep ordering them chronologically and inserting more *dots* between them or enlarging them with details.
 - Painting by numbers, using stars to draw a constellation, you are *connecting the dots* into the sketch of a figure. It seems to be telling a story...your story! You can do this. You did it! *You are telling your story!*
53. Use a 'balancing test' to compare the dots' weight of outrageousness for the story to make sense and be relevant. Remove to another page dots that feel 'lighter'. You are starting to recognize a hierarchy among the dots. This will help you stay within the 500-word limit. Complete the dots into rough sentences. Hours later you will feel that you have told your story from beginning to end. Let it sit for a day. You are not done, not even close: You only wrote your first draft. *But you did!*

54. **In phase two, use your critical judgment to edit your story.** Move around and connect the sentences in a way that will make sense to a person who does not know anything about you or your story. You are writing for the jury, which includes as jurors the reporters of Reuters and other media outlets. Tell them your story. It must persuade them of the outrageousness of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power and lead them to investigate it and refer to it in their next report.
55. Self-editing will take longer than drafting your story: Dots were connected into a sketch. Now you are painting the sketch into the colored picture of a relevant, verifiable, and outrageous story of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power.
- a. Revise your story and rewrite it to correct your grammar and ensure that it flows logically and chronologically.
 - b. Research it to provide accurate facts and relevant information that reporters can verify. Search for the answers to your jotted down questions. Ensure that your dates are accurate, for they determine whether deadlines were or were not missed.
 - c. Avoid confusing your reader: Consistently use the same word to refer to the same person, idea, event, etc.
 - d. Put yourself in the situation of a juror and of the opposing party: What questions would they ask you to understand your conduct or trip you up:
 - 1) Why did you do -or fail to do- *that!*
 - 2) You claim that you took his threat seriously. But you didn't tell anybody and you certainly did not report him to the police. That makes no sense!
 - 3) Do not leave loose ends or give grounds to doubt your story:
 - a) You said earlier that you always left your office at 6:00 p.m. at the latest in order not to miss the last train home. But your monthly ticket shows that you did not take the train that night. Had you agreed with your former employer, Femir, to stay late so that you could let him in after office hours thus enabling him to illegally inspect his competitor without breaking into his office because you knew that Femir would take you home? When you realized that the silent alarm must have been triggered and the night guards had arrived, you killed Femir to prevent him from telling on you and then you claimed that he had attacked you and you had to defend yourself. *You were in on it!* You were rightly convicted despite the errors in procedure, minor by comparison.
56. Let your story sit. Come back later for another session of phase-two. You are writing your story of being abused by judges and preparing your claim for **compensation**. Do the work that it takes to get it right. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf

6. Title, subtitle of a story of unaccountable judges' abuse of power

57. After you have written your story, you will recognize a theme running through it. Turn it into the title that expresses the nature of your story and highlights its most outrageous features.

[The title of your story: its summary in a sentence, e.g.]

How a judge failed to recuse himself from a case
where he approved the foreclosure on an apartment building,

the eviction of all the tenants, and its conversion into an office building
by a development company in which he is a shareholder

How a judge once more declared another wealthy senior citizen incompetent and
appointed as her guardian a person to whom he regularly entrusts guardianships,
who squeezed every penny from her, and
then dumped her onto the state welfare system as an indigent

How a bankruptcy judge allowed the same bankruptcy trustee
to hold yet another unannounced auction
where only one and the same bidder showed up,
bought the debtor's assets for pennies on the dollar, flipped them, and
made a killing...leaving me as the financial corpse

Bonfire of integrity at the penthouse:
Judges attending a judicial conference boasted about
how they cut corners on the law,
use parties' information to enrich themselves and their partners, and
have clerks fudge documents; and
were overheard by the apparently invisible waiters and waitresses serving them,
who reported them to their chief circuit judge; and
although the chief deemed their reports complaints,
she dismissed them without the waiters and waitresses ever being called,
never mind heard, as part of any investigation

[subtitle of every story]

A reply to Reuters's request for readers' stories
of having been abused by judges

7. Additional information in links embedded in text and as endnotes

58. As stated in §G2¶32 above, attachments are risky. Do not send them. Instead, turn a reference to a person, event, place, document, etc., into a [linking blue text](#), which holds embedded in it a 'hidden', not visible, link. But it so happens at times that a reference loses its connection to the embedded link, whereby it becomes merely a non-linking blue text. So it is safer to provide a visible link right below the corresponding paragraph where the reference appears. Add those links after making sure that your story is within the 500-word limit.
59. Use superscripts if a paragraph contains one reference to X¹ here and another reference to Y² there, so as to identify the corresponding link.
 - ¹ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
 - ² <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
60. Be reasonable: do not clutter your story with dozens of links. Use your good judgment to identify the key ones. If the Reuters reporters need secondary documents, they will ask you for them.
61. Store the linked documents either on your website, DropBox, Google Plus, Academia, or any other cloud storage facility. Be aware that links are so much more useful if they identify the corresponding document rather than consist of a meaningless string of alphanumeric characters.
62. If need be, you may also provide **a list of links at the end of your story**. Add a brief description

of what the corresponding document deals with:

See these supporting documents:

1. For an explanation of how a bankruptcy trustee and a bankruptcy judge run a bankruptcy fraud scheme and involve in it debtors and creditors, see http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
 2. The exposure of how judges intercept the emails and mail of people in order to detect and suppress those of their critics can provoke national outrage more intense than did the revelation by Edward Snowden in 2013 of the collection of metadata of scores of millions of phone calls by the National Security Agency (NSA), which did not eavesdrop on, much less suppress, any call; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
 3. On bringing radio, TV, and podcast talkshow hosts together to form a coalition that becomes a powerhouse of American politics just as the national TV networks are, see http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
63. Be fair. Let them ‘talk’: Include in the list the documents of the opposing party and the decisions of the judges in your case.
- a. Do not give the impression that you are hiding the other side of the story or that you are so self-centered and small-minded that you think your story only has one side: yours.
64. Be helpful: spare the Reuters reporters the need to search for those documents, which should be at your fingertips because you received them and obviously should have read them.

8. Sign and date your story

65. If your address, telephone number, and email address were not stated at the top of your story, state that information at the end of it. Show that you take responsibility for your story. Provide the date when you submit your story, which is a piece of information useful, in general, to order documents and, in particular, to establish the story’s currency, i.e., its ‘as of date’.
66. Moreover, your contact information will facilitate getting in touch with you to ask for any needed clarification or additional information.
67. Provide the date when you submit your story. That piece of information is useful, in general, to order documents chronologically and, in particular, to establish your story’s currency: its ‘as of date’.

H. Advocates’ reciprocal revision of their stories, checklist, and chapter

68. Before submitting your story, share it with the Advocates of Honest Judiciaries to whom I send my articles –see the To: and cc: lines of my emails and [†][OL2:1140¶28](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/1140/28)–; ask that they provide feedback on it just as you offer to do the same if they share with you theirs.
- a. A competition for the title of “Protagonist of the Worst Abuse by Judges Ever” or the at-titude “My story is more important than yours cuz it effects all people” does not improve any story. They are egocentric and wasteful of everybody’s effort, goodwill, and time.
 - b. Cooperate to identify and rephrase, eliminate, or correct what is irrelevant; unverifiable;

ambiguous; inconsistent; contradictory; digressive; repetitive; pretentious; self-aggrandizing; defamatory; a poor word choice; trite; in bad taste; foul language, which is absolutely impermissible; misspelled; unidiomatic; wrong syntax (word order); ungrammatical; etc.

69. All of you can draw up a ‘**Checklist** and Evaluation Form for Stories of Abuse of Power by Judges’. It can be used when composing the Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Abuse of Power in America, as proposed at [*>jur:126§3. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf)
70. Reciprocal revision will afford you the opportunity to know each other. You can give rise to a **chapter of Advocates** who promote the formation of a national, civic, single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform.
71. It will also give you an opportunity to show your willingness to work for free in the interest of *We the People*. By so doing, you will be working in your own enlightened interest([supra ¶¶14-17](#)).

I. Proposals and their benefits to media outlets

72. After writing your 500-word story, point out to the media outlets whose email addresses are listed ([supra ¶37](#)) that it is in their commercial and reputational interest to read and implement my proposals to them([†>OL2:1125](#)).
73. In brief, it is proposed that they:
- a. Agree to a joint investigation with me that capitalizes on their experience investigating a state judiciary and my numerous leads([*>OL:194§E](#)) by applying them to expose federal judges' coordinated abuse of power as their modus operandi to run the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf >OL:194§E
 - b. Publish one or a series of my articles exposing federal judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
 - 1) One of those articles can expose Supreme Court nominee Judge Amy Coney Barrett's condonation of, and participation in, the abusive 100% self-exoneration of federal judges from complaints filed against them in the Seventh Circuit, and/or their underlying misconduct complained-about, similar to the articles collected in http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - c. Hold unprecedented citizens hearings on judges' abuse of power. They will be conducted at media outlets and universities by professors, journalists, and experts in Information Technology, forensic and fraud accounting, bankruptcy, money laundering, etc., to take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse as well as other experts wherever they are since they will be heard via video conferences, made accessible to the national public through interactive multimedia, thus inexpensively and without involving travel
 - d. Develop the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, as proposed in my [business plan](#)([†>OL2:1022](#))[‡], to turn it from an informational platform into:
 - 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints uploaded by anybody; and

- 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients searching many writings from many sources that can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power.

* http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf

74. "Scandal sells", every media outlet and journalist know it. Can you imagine a more outrageous scandal than the exposure of federal judges' abusing their unaccountability to coordinate their power in order to risklessly run their Federal Judiciary for their gain and convenience as a racketeering enterprise?...and that at a time when the national public is shouting self-assertively the rallying cry:

Enough is enough!

We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.

75. The media outlets can pioneer exposing federal judges and thereby win a Pulitzer Prize.
76. They can become recognized for prompting a generalized media investigation of federal judges' individual and collective abuse of power.
77. That can set off transformative change in the judicial and legal system.
- a. From that change can emerge a different "government of, by, and for"(*>jur:182⁷²) *We the People*...and those of the rest *of the World*. Indeed, the demand for such change can become an international civic movement, as did *MeToo!* and the protest against police brutality and for racial and economic equality. For the first time in history, *the People*, as the masters of all public servants, would hold their judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power.

J. Unique opportunity arising from the concurrence of circumstances

78. Reuters and Boston Globe dare investigate and expose state judges. The national public has grown intolerant of any form of abuse and is wielding its strongest political power: voting at a presidential election, which is among the most polarizing and antagonistic ones in our history. The confirmation of a Supreme Court nominee will focus national attention on everything judicial
79. These circumstances have given rise to a unique opportunity to persuade those and other media outlets to investigate federal judges for the stated reasons([supra ¶41](#)) and publish this and my other articles exposing judges' abuse of power. Thereby the issue of judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power can be inserted into the 2020 campaign and thereafter into the national discourse. Informed and outraged, the national public may turn that issue into a decisive one on Election Day and from then on. That Day is approaching very fast.
80. As a result, time is of the essence. Let's get to work.
- a. write your story of abuse by judges and send it together with this article to the media[‡] members whose emails are listed in [¶37 supra](#); encouraging them to accept the proposals in [¶71](#);
[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-BostonGlobe_judges_investigation.pdf
- b. share this article with all your friends, relatives, and acquaintances; and
- c. post it to social media, such as:

LinkedIn

Facebook

Youtube

Google Plus

Instagram

Pinterest

Twitter: Share with Reuters your story of abuse of power by judges and ask that it also investigate federal judges, who are unaccountable and risklessly run their Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

K. Offer of a presentation to you, your colleagues, and guests

81. I offer to make via video conference to you and your colleagues and guests a presentation on this article leading to a Questions & Answers session.
82. This presentation can be helpful in starting to do what is essential for a group of people to organize and develop into a national civic single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform: agree on division of labor. People agree to do what they are best at or what they recognize must be done to advance the interests of the group.
83. Any organization has staff and skills requirements. Organizing Advocates, victims, witnesses, and experts to expose unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power calls for:
 - a. lawyers, journalists, and business people to pioneer the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting
 - b. community leaders and public relations officers to connect to people and organizations; develop local chapters, and coalesce them into a national movement
 - c. law researchers and online researchers who know how to use software for recognizing faces, voices, places, etc.; locating documents in public and private databases; etc.
 - d. computer forensic and Internet Technology experts to protect our digital network from interceptors and hackers, and find out whether the emails and mail of people have been intercepted to detect and suppress those critical of judges;
 - e. lawyers to provide the novel niche legal service of forming and representing the class of victims demanding compensation from judges and their judiciaries
 - f. organizers of online and field tour presentations; and half and one-day seminars on a variety of subjects dealt with in the study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries
 - g. monitors in charge of the technical aspect of online presentations, e.g., getting people connected, recording them, sharing documents during the presentation, etc.
 - h. experts in fraud and forensic accounting
 - i. strategists and lobbyists of Congress and state legislatures
 - j. advertisers of activities, e.g., by mass emailing and placing press releases
 - k. developers of Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>
 - l. developers of software for conducting statistical, linguistic, and literary audits of judicial

writings to ascertain authorship; detect behavioral patterns and biases; and impugn past and predict future judicial behavior

- m. sellers of advertisement spots on that website to providers of goods and services
- n. fundraisers
- o. accountants
- p. office managers
- q. secretaries
- r. handyman

84. A presentation can help a group develop into a chapter of the national civic movement for judicial abuse of power, compensation of abusees, and reform. There is precedent for this:

- a. People who deemed themselves *Taxed Enough Already* got together at homes and backyards to discuss how to spread the word. They did it so effectively that they formed groups nationwide. Those groups became chapters. In turn, the chapters coalesced into the Tea Party. In less than 10 years, the Party grew so strong that it dominated presidential politics!

85. To decide whether to accept my presentation offer, you may wish to watch my [video](#) and follow it with its [slides](#); and share the [link to this article*](#) with your colleagues and potential guests:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

86. Thereafter you can get in touch with me using the contact information below to discuss the terms of the presentation and schedule it.

**L. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

87. The study* † of judges and their judiciaries([supra ¶18](#)) and this article were produced by the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of:

Judicial Discipline Reform

Subscribe for free to its articles similar to this one:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or
+ New or Users >Add New.

**Put your money where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

DONATE

by making a deposit into, or an online transfer to –as by using Zelle–,
Citi Bank account 4977 59 2001, routing number 021 000 089,

through *Paypal*, https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or by mailing a check into the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

October 12, 2020

**A proposal
to extend Reuters's investigation of state judges,
which found "hardwired judicial corruption",
to federal judges,
who have institutionalized their abuse of power as their modus operandi,
running the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise,
whose exposure can so outrage a public intolerant of abuse of power
that the public forces the issue into the 2020 campaign, leading to
transformative change
in the administration of justice and the current form of government[‡]**

Reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman,
and editor Blake Morrison
Reuters
and all other reporters, editors, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

Dear editor Morrison, Messrs. Shiffman and Berens, reporters, editors, and Advocates,

I read with interest your investigative report "The Teflon Robe", whose first of its three parts was published by Reuters on June 30, 2020. For its part, the Boston Globe published its similar "Inside the secret courts" last September 30. I am dealing with LexisNexis to investigate federal judges along the lines drawn below.

You can be presumed not to want to cede to either of them or any other media outlet "Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting", discussed hereunder.

A. Proposal for a joint investigation of federal judges and its justification

1. This is a proposal for a joint investigation extending yours of state judges to federal judges, the only ones with national jurisdiction so that their decisions and orders are apt to affect and even harm everybody in our country.
2. The foundation of the proposed investigation as well as of the articles proposed hereunder for publication is my two-volume professional study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries. Its originality lies on its pioneering analysis of the courts' official statistics and reports annually submitted by law to Congress, whose politicians have a vested interest in disregarding them, the detriment to the public notwithstanding. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]

3. The target of the investigation is not misconduct that can be explained away as limited to individual rogue judges, whose removal and punishment would suffice to deal with the problem.
4. Rather, its target is abuse of power so pervasive and necessarily coordinated among federal judges as to constitute their modus operandi. Having a structure, operating procedures, and judges as implementing personnel assisted by their clerks, abuse has been institutionalized in the Federal Judiciary. Their motive is grabbing illegal gain and convenience. Given that their abuse is so organized and influences all aspects of their activity, federal judges run the Federal Judiciary as a

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >all prefixes up to OL:393 OL2:1125

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf

racketeering enterprise.

- a. In fact, no lesser a politician than Senator Elizabeth Warren dare denounce in her “I have a plan for the Judiciary too” the systematic failure of federal judges to recuse themselves from cases in which they hold a financial interest in the company of one of the parties before them and resolving the ensuing conflict of interests by favoring that party so as to maintain or increase the value of their interest. Sen. Warren has identified the circumstance enabling federal judges to commit such abuse to be their unaccountability. She has named it abusive self-enrichment.
 - b. Federal judges’ self-enrichment by abusing their power necessarily includes the crimes of:
 - 1) concealment of assets
 - 2) tax evasion
 - 3) money laundering
 - 4) fraud on the parties through intentional frustration of judicial process predicated on fairness and impartiality
 - 5) breach of contract for judicial services entered into with no intention to perform it and thus, in bad faith
 - 6) breach of their oath and of public trust causing injury in fact.
 - c. Federal judges have the means of committing those crimes: The Federal Judiciary has a nationwide computer network run by expert personnel. It maintains a database that stores hundreds of millions of briefs, records, motions, applications, letters, decisions, orders, etc., and carries out electronic filings, retrievals, docket entries, daily schedule updating, database searches, etc. In addition, the Judiciary has leverage over the intelligence agencies, which run even more extensive and sophisticated networks and whose secret requests for secret orders authorizing secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act must be approved by its judges.
 - d. Would you and the rest of the public trust federal judges to care about administering to you “Equal Justice Under Law” although they have no qualms about breaking the law to ensure their abusive self-enrichment?
5. The investigation of judges’ self-enrichment is warranted, for it is outrageous that precisely the public servants charged with safeguarding due process and the rule of law so blatantly violate them for the worst possible reason, namely, because they can and can get away with it, driven by the most insidiously corruptive motive: *Money!* They are unaccountable. Their violation is riskless.
 6. Reuters and its competitors can pursue Sen. Warren’s denunciation of unaccountable judges’ self-enrichment. It can press all presidential and other 2020 candidates for their stand on that issue and pit them against each other on their answer to a question fraught with connivance and self-incrimination: ‘What did they know and when did they know it?’(*>jur:65§§1-3).
 7. The exposure of institutionalized abuse of power in the Federal Judiciary that has turned it into a racketeering enterprise will have a substantial financial and journalistic impact. The investigation proposed in the articles below can lead to a scoop that can continue making money for legal news and analysis publications while growing their audience for a very long time. “Scandal sells”, and it can sell for years. Thus, it can render the investigation more cost-effective. Also, it can lead to a permanent increase in a media outlet’s reputation.

8. Abuse is ever expansive: The gains that it enables the abuser to grab make it insatiable. This is all the more so when the abuser is unaccountable and its abuse is riskless. Abuse supports the presumption of previous and preparatory abuse as principal actor. In addition, it engages people's before-and-after-the-fact accessorial liability. It entails dereliction of duty for safeguarding institutional integrity.
9. As a result, the investigation of abuse can expose other forms of abuse of power, e.g., those described in the articles hereunder proposed for publication, capable of outraging the national public, including voters, even more intensely. The exposure can provoke such public outrage as to insert the issue of judges' abuse into the presidential campaign and thereafter in the national discourse.

B. Proposal for publishing one or a series of my articles

10. An investigation takes time and Election Day is almost upon us. By contrast, one or a series of articles can so outrage the public as to stir it up to demand that politicians address the issue of judges' unaccountability; the detection, punishment, and prevention of their abuse; and the compensation of abusees by judges and their judiciaries. Politicians can also address the issue voluntarily, whether sincerely or opportunistically, to position themselves as leaders of the outraged public.
11. Therefore, since time is of the essence, I propose that you publish upon payment to me one or a series of my articles exposing institutionalized abuse of power in the Federal Judiciary. They are already written and available through the links hereunder for you to review.
12. The articles analyze the courts' official statistics. For instance, the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.Code §§351-364, (the Act) entrusts federal judges with the exclusive authority to self-discipline. This means that any complaint against a federal judge must be filed, not with any alleged 'independent' judicial conduct review commission, but rather with the respective chief circuit judge, whose decision is reviewable only by his or her peers and colleagues in the circuit's judicial council. All of them have abused their authority year after year by dismissing 100% of complaints and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. They have turned their robes of Teflon into the wings of birds of prey to fly high above their bench and perch themselves as Judges Above the Law. They are well above the people in the courtroom and everywhere outside it(*>jur:10-14; †>OL2:548, 748);
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - a. Now, when the confirmation of Supreme Court nominee Judge Amy Coney Barrett has focused national attention on all things judicial, a similar article shows how J. Barrett has condoned the same power-abusive self-exoneration by the judges of the 7th Circuit from complaints filed against any of them; and participated in, or covered up, whether as a principal or an accessory, the underlying complained-about abuse.
13. Other forms of judges' abuse of power provide the subject for proposed articles, hereunder reduced to blurbs(with more details at †>OL2:1097§G; and with articles downloadable through their respective links); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf.
 - a. Senator Elizabeth Warren's denunciation of judges' abusive self-enrichment(OL2: 1003); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
 - b. The plan for the investigation of federal judges, which contains many leads for a focused and cost-effective investigation(*>jur:194§E); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf

- 1) Cf. program of activities([†]>OL2:978§E) for exposing judges' abuse by the proposed multidisciplinary team(^{*}>jur:128§4); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
 - c. Judges' bankruptcy fraud scheme(^{*}>jur:9; [†]>OL2:614); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
 - d. How Covid has ushered in a new legal market([†]>OL2:1066); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
 - e. How Covid-caused bankruptcies will allow judges to take advantage of, and aggravate people's financial and emotional distress([†]>OL2:984); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
 - f. "The math of abuse" demonstrates judges' failure to read most briefs, each of which costs a party \$Ks and even \$10Ks to produce and becomes a compensable waste (OL2:760); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
 - g. The sham hearings in the Federal Judiciary and Congress on judicial accountability, involving judges/politicians' connivance and their fraud on witnesses and the public([†]>OL2:1056); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf
 - h. The Chief Justice's presiding over Trump's removal trial with disregard for "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice" can on grounds of equal protection of the law and privileged communications be invoked by anybody as precedent for refusing discovery([†]>OL2:1040); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
 - i. The abuse of power committed or tolerated by Supreme Court justices provides the grounds for petitioning P. Trump to authorize the release of the FBI vetting reports on them(OL2:1095§D). The petition itself will open avenues of investigation, not to mention any report released. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
 - j. Judges' interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics This is the form of abuse likely to outrage *the People* the most because it affects directly the largest number of persons and deprives them of their most cherished rights, to wit, those guaranteed by the First Amendment of "freedom of speech, the press, and to assemble [on the Internet or by letter] to petition the government [of which judges constitute the third branch] for a redress of grievances"([†]>OL2:1081, 781). http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
 - k. Other articles are listed at [†]>OL2:719. Cf. program of activities(OL2:978§E) for exposing judges' abuse by the proposed multidisciplinary academic and professional team(^{*}>jur:128 §4). http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
14. I have posted some of my articles to my Judicial Discipline Reform website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have elicited such a positive reaction in its many visitors that as of this writing 35,193 and counting have become subscribers([†]>OL2:Appen dix3). That website can be enhanced to attract a much larger segment of the public as proposed in my business plan([†]>OL2:1022), which is guided by the principle, "Making money while doing justice". http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
15. Another article builds upon the Reuters reporters' request in "The Teflon Robe" for readers to send them their stories of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witness. That article provides a

creative/self-editing two-phase method for people to write their stories: ask yourself the journalists' W-questions to produce informational 'dots'; ask 'Then what?' of the 'dots'; and connect them into a story that is relevant, verifiable, and outrages the national public at judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power([†]>OL2:1144). It is likely the article by me that has attracted the greatest response from readers; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

C. Proposal for the media and academia to hold unprecedented citizen hearings

16. I also propose the holding of unprecedented citizen hearings([†]>OL2:1053§E; 1078§F). They are to be: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizen_hearings-Professors.pdf

- a. conducted jointly by journalists, professors, and other experts rather than the politicians who have connivingly elevated their judicial candidates to judgeships and justiceships and since then protect 'our men and women on the bench' despite the harm that they cause parties, the rest of the public, and the integrity of judicial process;
- b. held at universities and media outlets;
- c. intended for people to testify to the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed;
- d. broadcast nationwide through interactive multimedia so that witnesses and the public can participate inexpensively and in the largest number wherever they are; and
- e. summited at the first-ever and nationwide conference on judges' abuse of power, where the findings will be presented. The conference can lead to three events that can bring about transformative change([†]>OL2:1069§E) in the administration of justice and the rest of government here and abroad:
 - 1) the grassroots formation of a constitutional convention, such as the one called by 34 states since April 2, 2014, thus satisfying Article V of the Constitution, but that the leaders in Congress have no intention of ever convening, lest they lose their position of power and privilege. In fact, the convention can run away from the given agenda as did the one called in 1787 only to amend the Articles of Confederation, but which ended up tearing them up and drafting the current Constitution of 1789. The convention can end up reasserting *the People's* status as the sovereign source of all political power and the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, whom *the People* are entitled to hold accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse(*>jur:158:§§6-8);
 - 2) the formation of local chapters. They will be similar to those that eventually coalesced into the national Tea Party, which in less than 10 years came to be unified enough to dominate American presidential politics. parties will collectively demand from courts and their judges the refund of court filing fees for services not rendered and compensation for wasteful briefs required but not read, and other abuse inflicted on them; ([†]>OL2:1074§C). http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
 - 3) a *MeToo!*-like worldwide movement can be launched that terminates the millennial impossible of holding judges accountable by eliminating their immunity as representatives of their appointers, "the king[, who] can do no wrong", and subjecting them to the current reality that all other officers and everybody else are accountable for their acts and liable to compensation for even the accidents that they cause unin-

tentionally, never mind their abuse, as are police and their departments, doctors and their hospitals, lawyers and their law firms, priests and their churches, journalists and their media outlets, pharmaceutical officers and their companies, etc.

D. Beginning at the top: exposing the Supreme Court justices' abuse of power

17. The proposed joint investigation(*>OL:194§E), publication of my articles, and the citizen hearings will enable initially one courageous and nimble media outlet, such as Reuters, and subsequently ever more outlets to do what not even Congress dare consider: Expose the abuse of power that the Supreme Court justices have committed since they were in the lower courts and continue to commit as justices and to cover up reciprocally as peers and as circuit justices allotted to the several circuits under 28 U.S.C. §42. Here applies the aphorism: “The cover-up is worse than the original crime”.
18. Those who ‘only’ have kept silent have become accessories after the abuse committed by the principal and which they knew or should have known had they discharged with due diligence their duty to supervise judges and safeguard the integrity of the courts. They have a legal and ethical duty to report abuse, e.g., under 18 U.S.C. §3057; Code of Conduct for judges, Canon 3B(6) (*>jur:68^{123a}). Instead, they have shown self-interested indifference and willful ignorance or blindness(jur:90§§b, c). Likewise, they have become accessories before the abuse that the same principal or others have been encouraged to commit by the implicit or explicit assurance that they will not be reported by the co-conspirator by silence. In either case, the silent judges contributed to the principal going unpunished while keeping the gain and convenience grabbed through abuse; the victim going uncompensated while suffering more abuse or its consequences; and the system of justice continuing to lose public trust as it deteriorates further because “unchecked, abuse festers”.
19. There are precedents supporting the expectation that an informed and outraged public can make holding on to office untenable, forcing resignations:
 - a. Public outrage at the conduct of Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas forced him first to withdraw his name as a nominee to the chief justiceship; and because the outrage would not subside, he had no choice but to resign from the Court on May 14, 1969(*>jur:92§d).
 - b. After the *MeToo!* movement erupted and Chief Justice Roberts had received almost 700 letters from current and former law clerks informing him of the abuse that they had suffered or witnessed in their respective court, the Chief Justice was forced to take action by referring for investigation by the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit a judge who had been known for decades to be an abuser: Former 9th Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski. He chose to resign on December 18, 2017(OL2:645§A) rather than be investigated.
 - c. One report of abuse of power, namely, that filed by the White House officer who blew the whistle on President Trump’s Ukrainian quid pro quo affair provoked such public outrage that it led the House of Representative to impeach him and the Senate to hold a trial to remove him from office.
 - d. *The Washington Post* was the first to report on the break-in on June 17, 1972, at the National Democratic Convention located in the Watergate building complex in Washington, DC. Initially, it was derided as “a garden variety burglary by five plumbers”. But reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein, supported by editor Benjamin Bradlee and publisher Katherine Graham, kept digging in. Their findings pointed to political espionage and a cover up plotted and executed by top Republican officers working for the reelection of President Nixon. Soon every other media outlet was jumping on their

investigative bandwagon. Eventually, the unthinkable happened: For their participation in those crimes, President Nixon resigned on August 8, 1974, and *all* his White House aides were convicted and sentenced to jail.(>jur:4¶¶10-14)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf

1) A question asked consistently of every witness by Senator Howard Baker, co-chair of the Senate Watergate Committee, became a guiding and productive investigative tool and can be rephrased here thus: ‘What did the justices know about justices’ and judges’ abuse of power and when did they know it?’

e. The Catholic Church is an institution profoundly rooted in society and protected by the First Amendment state-church separation clause. Yet, due to its institutionalized condonation of abuse by pedophilic priests has had to pay its victims well over \$2.2 billion in compensation. Outraged victims that had been left uncompensated by the running of the statute of limitations forced legislators in at least 15 states to enact lookback laws that allow the filing of sexual abuse claims stretching back decades. Some 5,000 new cases could force the Catholic Church to compensate the victims by paying them more than \$4 billion. The Church runs the risk of going bankrupt.

20. A national public already outraged by abuse of power in the police can become so exacerbated by information about institutionalized abuse of power in the Federal Judiciary as to force the resignation of justices and even the Supreme Court as a whole. The Federal Judiciary, exposed as a racketeering enterprise run by a board of complicit abusers, can go morally bankrupt and face crushing financial claims. The justices may find it unavailing to attempt to brush away the outrage by simply invoking the self-made doctrine of judicial immunity(>OL:158).

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf

21. A branch operated by judges abusing their personal and institutional unaccountability can be found so inherently defective as to warrant its dissolution. The form of government of which it is part can likewise be so defective as to need its replacement by a new form yet to be devised by *We the People*, the ones entitled to do so in “government of, by, and for the people”(>jur:82fn172).

22. Informed of, and outraged at, all the abuse heaped on them, *the People* can bring about transformative change. They can ensure that justice is administered and government is operated by public servants who always remain accountable and liable to them. They will be grateful to the journalists and all the others who were instrumental in such transformation, honoring them with, among other things(>OL:3§F), a Pulitzer prize, a bestseller, a blockbuster movie, the study of their feat at every journalism school, and the most valuable and enduring reward: historic recognition as Champions of Justice...not only of *the People* of this country, but of every country in the world where they can spark a civic movement for judges’ abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf

E. From a public informed about, and outraged at, judges to transformative change

23. The objective of the proposal is to inform the national public of abuse of power risklessly committed by federal judges due to their unaccountability and so to outrage the public as to stir it up to demand of every politician, whether incumbent or running for election, to take a stand on the issue at every digital or physical rally, townhall meeting, interview, and press conference.

24. An outraged national public can insert that issue in the 2020 campaign as a decisive one and thereby set in motion transformative change: what emerges from the change is substantially different from what was initially subjected to it.

- a. Until the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' abuse has not been fully exposed, no discussion of what to change and into what to change it is opportune. The outrage provoked by the exposure will turn change inconceivable today into necessary and unavoidable measures to ensure transparency, accountability, and liability.
25. Inserting the judicial abuse issue into the campaign has reliable and repeatable precedents:
- a. The publication by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, of their exposés of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse gave rise in a matter of days to the emergence worldwide of the *MeToo!* movement.
 - b. The news about the killing of George Floyd by white police officers provoked that very night protest demonstrations nationwide. Since then an outraged public has forced politicians at the municipal, state, and federal levels to take a stand on police brutality and even debate the adoption of the federal Justice in Policing bill and its state counterparts.
 - c. *The People*, outraged at lack of progress in racial equality, are now taking down the statues representing racial abusers.
 - d. *The People* will be all the more outraged upon being informed that even if a Justice in Policing bill were enacted it would be nothing but a sprinkle of black ink on white paper until it was enforced in accordance with its letter and spirit by the police, the prosecutors, and the judges. However, these are interdependent actors that need each other for their electoral survival, physical protection, and judicial exoneration, such as that enjoyed in effect by General Flynn, Michael Cohen, and Paul Manafort.
26. These precedents show that the present time is the most opportune to expose federal judges' abuse of power: There is no better time to publish than when the audience is expecting avidly what one has to publish. The public mood is receptive to the exposure of abusers: *the People* are shouting self-assertively the rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
27. Nobody has more power to abuse than judges, for they are the ones who issue the ultimate orders disposing of our property, our liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame our lives and shape our identities. Among them, federal judges have the most power:
- a. Federal judges are the only officers in the country to have a life-appointment. Historically, it has ensured them of irremovability in practice regardless of their abuse(*>jur>21§a).
 - b. The Constitution, Art. III, Section 1, forbids the diminution of their salary while in office.
 - c. Their power of retaliation is devastating: While in theory Congress could take back the self-disciplining authority that it granted federal judges and replace it with a mechanism that effectively held them accountable, it would never dare do so:
 - 1) A single district judge suspended *nationwide* President Trump's Muslim travel ban, and a three-judge appellate panel upheld that suspension *nationwide*, although two panel members would have sufficed to achieve the same result.
 - 2) Federal judges can hold any law passed by Congress –and even any state legislature– unconstitutional. One at a time, judges can void each of the legislative pieces of the political agenda of a party who allows any of its members to do what is anathema to judges: “*Don't you ever try to hold us accountable!...If you ever want orders to force your political opponents to produce documents or appear to testify, don't even think of coming to us! They are already denied! denied! denied!*”

- d. Federal judges wield power of self-immunization: The Supreme Court has held, “A judge will not be deprived of immunity because the action he took was in error, was done maliciously, or was in excess of his authority”(*>jur:26&d). They can hold unconstitutional an act of Congress that tries to curb their power. By so immunizing themselves against the authority of Congress to apply checks and balances on judges, a constitutional crisis can be set off, whose outcome is uncertain. Only one entity has a stronger power than federal judges: an informed and outraged *We the People*, especially when voting at a presidential election and all the more so if assembled in a constitutional convention...or the Federal Judiciary loses the board of the racketeering enterprise: the justices of the Supreme Court.

F. My offer to present this proposal

28. I respectfully offer to present to you and your editors and publisher via video conference or in person this proposal on how we can jointly engage in “Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting”.
29. To help you decide whether to accept my presentation offer you may want to watch my presentation [video](#) and follow it with its [slides](#)([†]>OL2:958); and share the link to this proposal[‡] with your colleagues and potential guests;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
30. To agree on the terms of the presentation and its scheduling, please use the contact information in the letterhead of this article to get in touch with me.

G. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Judicial Discipline Reform

conducts law research and writing, and strategic thinking,
which have produced articles like this one.

Visit its website at

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

and join its 35,193+ subscribers to its articles thus:

go to the site, <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

**Put your money where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

Donate

through *Paypal*, https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 24, 2020

Extending Reuters's "The Teflon Robe" investigation of state judges to federal judges so that their coordinated abuse of power as their modus operandi is exposed at the most opportune time: when the appointment of a Supreme Court justice will focus national attention on all things judicial and the 2020 campaign and Election Day will enable an informed and outraged national public to hold judges and their appointing politicians accountable and liable for their conniving toleration of abuse of *We the People*, the masters of all public servants

Mr. Andy Piness
Inside Sales Executive
Reuters News Agency
Mobile: +1-973-951-9352
andy.piness@thomsonreuters.com
and Reuters Editor and Business Development Officers

Dear Mr. Piness and Reuters Officers,

Thank you, Mr. Piness, for your phone call and your prompt follow-up email.

A. My proposals to Reuters and their basis on a study and a website

1. I want to propose that Reuters extend to federal judges its massive investigation of state judges, which last June 30 produced the first of its three-part report "The Teflon Robe" by reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman, and editor Blake Morrison.
2. My proposal finds its solid foundation in my study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries, based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking, which is titled and downloadable thus:
Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]
3. Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
4. They have elicited so much positive reaction that out of its countless webvisitors 34,382+ have become subscribers. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you and your colleagues know who have a website with so many subscribers and can show their names and email addresses?
5. I bring to the negotiating table something of value that has proven its appeal to potential customers.
6. Indeed, my concrete proposals aim to report on federal judges on the up to now ignored yet incontrovertible basis of the official statistics of the courts, e.g., those submitted to Congress as a public document by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts as required by law under 28 U.S.C. §604(a)(3-4) and (h)(2).
7. In the same vein, my proposals are the sole to hold out a prospect of indisputable appeal to a national public in a *MeToo!* mood that has developed a visceral intolerance of any form of abuse: holding judges and their judiciaries accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse, just as they so hold police and their departments, priests and their

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL2:393 OL2:1175

churches, doctors and their hospitals, lawyers and their law firms, and everybody else, including officers of the other two branches.

8. My proposals to Reuters are set forth at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf. They discuss what Reuters stands to gain if it agrees to:

§A. Undertake a joint investigation with me to extend its Teflon Robe report from state to federal judges

§B. Publish one or a series of my articles exposing federal judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power

§C. Hold unprecedented citizens hearings on judges' abuse of power

§D. Further develop the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, as proposed in my business plan([†]>[OL2:1022](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2:1022))^{*}, to turn it from an informational platform into:

- 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by anybody; and
- 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients searching many writings from many sources that can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power.

^{*} http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf

B. The most opportune time to expose federal judges: a Supreme Court appointment and a presidential election

9. This is the most opportune time to investigate federal judges for abuse of power that they have institutionalized as their modus operandi: the nomination and confirmation of a justice to the Supreme Court will focus national attention on all things judicial.

10. In addition, the 2020 campaign and the fast approaching Election Day make the national public be at its strongest point: when as voters it can hold politicians and judges accountable for their conniving abuse of power to the detriment of *We the People*.

11. The proposed investigation of federal judges can begin precisely with sitting Supreme Court justices[‡] and the evidence of their abuse of power, including criminal conduct, e.g., concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering. But since time is of the essence, the investigation can be introduced by the publication of one or a series of my articles, which are already written and available to you for review. These articles can insert into the 2020 campaign the issue of federal judges' unaccountability that with the connivance of politicians allows their running of the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.

[‡] http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf

C. Some of my articles to inform and outrage the national public

12. Federal judges cover for each other by dismissing 100% of complaints against them, which must be submitted to their respective circuit, and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. Complainants are left uncompensated and the rest of the public is condemned to remain at the mercy of judges emboldened by their self-assured unaccountability.

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf

13. My analysis will allow the assessment of a candidate, not on the basis of the 7% of decisions with written opinions by federal circuit judges, but rather on the basis of the 93% of cases that their clerks dispose of by rubberstamping a 5¢ dumping form! Many if not all the parties in that 93%

will be outraged upon learning that they were denied both equal protection and due process of law and caused to waste an enormous amount of effort, time, and money in a sham judicial process. They will demand compensation of actual and punitive damages. They will be able to do so collectively thanks to their representation by lawyers –so many of them now unemployed, as are many law students- who will practice in the niche specialty of boutique law firms set up under our franchise. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf>OL2:455§§B, D.

14. Nothing will so outrage the national public as the evidence that judges intercept the mail and emails of people in order to detect and suppress those critical of them. Thereby judges offend against the rights most cherished by the American public, to wit, those guaranteed by the First Amendment of “freedom of speech, or of the press [including citizen journalists], or the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the Internet too], and to petition the Government [of which the judiciary is a branch] for a redress of grievances [as by payment of damages]”.
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
15. My charges against federal judges have been unwittingly validated by none other than Sen. Elizabeth Warren, who dare denounce federal judges in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”. There Sen. Warren stated that due to their unaccountability, federal judges engage in ‘abusive self-enrichment’ by failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest and steering the cases so as to protect or even enhance the value of their interest. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf

D. Thinking strategically about the reporting field to be pioneered

16. Through the publication of my articles as soon as possible before the confirmation of the justice-ship candidate and Election Day, Reuters can set off a generalized media investigation of federal judges’ abuse of power. The exposure of the nature, extent, and gravity of their institutionalized abuse of power can become a scandal. “Scandal sells”.
17. Such a scandal can render all the more opportune the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings. There people will testify to the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed. Reuters can join forces with universities and other media to hold those hearings. Thereby the media will burnish their image in the eyes of the public to appear as *The People’s* Loudspeaker.
18. The articles, the scandal, and the hearings can open the way to a constitutional crisis: An informed and outraged national public can put intense pressure on Congress and the Executive to expose, detect, and curb abuse, and punish, not one rogue judge, but rather a Federal Judiciary that as a branch of government has gone rogue...with the connivance of other two branches. How do judges avoid “even the appearance of [the] impropriety” of partiality when presiding over suits brought against their own branch by the other two branches or even the class of victims of their abuse?
19. That constitutional crisis can be aggravated:
 - a. A president that fears losing or actually loses the election may call for the constitutional convention that 34 states since April 2, 2014, have asked Congress to hold in accordance with Article V of the Constitution. It can become yet another runaway convention as it takes into account the world as it has evolved in the last 230 years to fashion a novel form of government.
 - b. A party that wins the presidency and both chambers of Congress may vote to have a constitutional convention so that under a new constitution the whole of the Supreme Court

is terminated or bypassed and a new similar institution is created and staffed pursuant to new criteria but under the influence of that party.

20. Therefore, our “Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting” can reasonably be expected to set in motion transformative change in the judicial and legal system and the rest of government: For the first time in history, *We the People*, as masters of all public servants, may hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power. That will constitute one way in which *the People* will assert their status as the sovereign source of all political power.

E. How Reuters can benefit even more by adopting my proposals

21. My articles have already benefited Reuters: Since last July 8, I have informed of its “Teflon Robe” report my website subscribers as well as the addressees of a series of articles that I have sent directly in more than 100,000 emails and indirectly through the more than 200 yahoo- and google-groups, and groups.io to which I am subscribed.
22. What is more, I have encouraged all of them to pay heed to the request of the Reuters reporters, Mr. Berens and Mr. Shiffman, that readers send them their stories of abuse by judges. They have stated that they have been “inundated” with those stories. I know that some of those stories were written after people asked me for guidance on writing their stories and I provided it in a series of articles, the latest version of which is at:
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

F. Offer of a presentation to you and your colleagues

23. I offer you and all Reuters officers a presentation on this proposal leading to a Q&A session via video conference or in person. To set its terms and scheduling you may get in touch with me using my contact information below.
24. To decide whether to accept my offer, see my [video](#) and follow it with its slides(†>OL2:956).
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

G. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

25. This proposal and the study* † of judges and their judiciaries(*supra* ¶2) on which it is based are the product of the professional law research and writing and strategic thinking conducted by:

Judicial Discipline Reform.

26. To subscribe for free to its articles: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate

through *Paypal*, https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001 or by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf


Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume III:



http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Users Add New




Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#)  [Maybe later](#)  [Don't show again](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).



Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?

You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?







[Leave a Review](#)

No thanks / I already have

All (39,083) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (39,082)**

Bulk actions Change role to...

39,083 items 1 of 1,955

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0

Blank