

October 10, 2022

**Without a strategy to sidestep the in-court process of justice
you and millions of other people abused by judges
remain in the same place of abuse, that is,
courts run by unaccountable judges,
whose abuse is riskless and beneficial to them.**

This is the most opportune time to join in implementing
the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy[‡]

A. Whining is not effective; being an abusee is not a competence qualification

1. Whining about how abusive judges are is so easy but leads nowhere.
2. Helping individuals with cases in court does not change the system of justice, never mind judges' unaccountability. More than 50 million cases are filed in the state and federal courts every year.
3. My articles lay out a concrete, realistic, and feasible [strategy](#) for [informing](#) the national public, in general, and journalists, in particular, about, and so intensely outrage them at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power as to cause them to force politicians to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
4. The objective is to expose coordinated and individual abuse of power by judges; obtain [compensation](#) from them and their judiciaries; and bring about transformative change in the system of justice.
5. Hence, I respectfully encourage all Advocates of Honest Judiciaries participate in sharing my emailed articles by easily clicking "Reply All" and "Send". Doing that takes less than eight seconds per email. Although you may receive several from me bearing the same subject in the Re: box, each one has a different set of emails in the To: box. You may also post them to social media.

B. The need to think out a strategy for effective action

6. Without a strategy to escape the current situation of being abused by judges who disregard the facts, the law, and their duty of fairness and impartiality, and arrive at a situation in accordance with the procedural and substantive requirements of due process of law, your actions are reduced to a cry of pain and uncritical, wishful thinking.
7. You make Einstein's aphorism applicable to you: "Doing the same thing while expecting a different result is the hallmark of irrationality". This is so because your actions show ignorance or disregard of a fundamental law of the physical and the human worlds: cause and effect.
8. Judges are not going to give up their abusively-gotten gains and convenience, let alone incriminate their fellow judges and thereby end up being incriminated themselves, simply because you ask them to do so in yet another brief.
9. Expecting to stop judges' abuse by promoting the adoption of another law is irrational due to its inherent self-contradiction: That law would have to be applied by the same judges that you criticize for disregarding the laws in your and all other abusees' cases. The judges will disregard the new law. You will remain in the same abusive courts where you were. Same cause, same effect.
10. Uncritical, anything-goes thinking is typical of pro ses. But educated people should not indulge in it. Half-baked ideas make for a shrill rant, but they are no substitute for a strategy of concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions.

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf

11. Whining while marching to the judges' procedural drum beat does not get you or the other abusees out of your present predicament. Your only-in-court actions are wasteful of your and everybody else's effort, time, and money. Everybody ends up being frustrated, exhausted, hopeless.
12. Hence, give yourself the opportunity to consider the hereunder described out-of-court strategy for informing the national public of, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power. To that end, *read this article and those supra and infra, re-read them, and read them again* until you understand how they articulate current facts, people's interests, and common sense enhanced by the craftiness of the street wise.
 - a. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Acquire both by reading the article and its references to my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. It is titled and downloadable thus:
Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣
 - b. visit my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. I have posted some of my articles there and they have attracted so many webvisitors and caused them to react so positively that as of 11 October 2022, the number of them who had become subscribers was 45,095 and counting.
13. This is the most propitious time to join the implementation of the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy, when, as discussed below:
 - a. top media outlets have dare expose state judges and even federal judges, who are appointed for life and can wield retaliatory power for a very long time;
 - b. politicians, whether principled or opportunistic, need to appear responsive to the public's outrage because they need its donations, volunteer work, and positive word of mouth to run their primaries and mid-term campaigns; and
 - c. the reversal of *Roe v. Wade*; the fear that the Supreme Court will reverse other landmark civil rights cases; and the nomination and confirmation of a justice are events that have focused national attention on all things judicial.
14. Be strategic! Oppose brains to judges' power. Join the effort to make the article go viral: whereby you can set in motion:
 - a. a generalized media investigation of judges and their judiciaries; and
 - b. a national, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative reform.
15. To that end, post this article to social media, such as:
 Facebook, YouTube, WhatsApp, LinkedIn, Instagram,
 Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, and
 Tweet: You can take action to expose judges and their judiciaries for abusing their power to deny your rights for their own gain and convenience, and hold them accountable and liable to compensation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf
16. When you receive by email one of my articles, click "Reply All" and "SEND". Emails may have the same subject in the Re: box, but each has a different set of email addresses in the To: box.

17. There is no need to whine when you can *Take action!*

C. Instead of recounting the long abuse suffered, only highlight concisely in 500 words its most outrageous details

18. Many pro ses do not read in preparation for defending their rights. How many documents have they failed to read before signing them, writing a brief, or going to court?

19. Judges and opposing counsel realize that in less than a nanosecond, which reassures them that they can abuse non-readers risklessly because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER, and ignorance invites abuse.

20. Whenever one is lazy, the abuse one gets, *one had it coming!*

21. Whining is no substitute for willingness to do one's homework. If you are not willing to work in your own defense, why should judges care about you and stop looking after their own interests?

22. The [two-step method](#) for writing in up to 500 words your story of abuse is intended to make it easy for you to produce a story that only highlights the most outrageous abuse and provides accurate and significant data that induces journalists to verify it. Those 500 words are as much as judges are going to read. That is all journalists need to decide whether to investigate your story.

23. You are not being asked to tell in only 500 words the abuse that you have suffered for many years! Only tell what is necessary to convince the reader that you have story. The rest is in your references accessible through [blue links](#), similar to those provided here. *Less is more*: fewer words that inform and outrage effectively increase their chance of being read.

24. Let's think and proceed strategically: We must not miss the opportunity to provide my articles and your stories to national news organizations, such as:

a. *The Wall Street Journal* ([¶33 below](#));

b. the parties to *Strickland v. U.S.* establishing that judges can be held accountable and liable;

c. the 90 Olympic gymnasts suing the FBI for [over \\$1 bl.](#) and those likewise sexually harassed on the Women's Soccer National Team who may sue for compensation ([OL3:1533](#)).

25. We want to provide the public as well as journalists and media outlets evidence that it is in their own commercial and reputational interest to keep investigating judges' abuse of power and [financial criminality](#), and for them and us to do so more effectively by joining forces.

26. That is why we want my articles and 500-word stories to go viral: The more journalists and Advocates receive them, the greater their interest in investigating a subject that has proved its broad [public appeal](#). They must feel that they can get scoops out of the subject and win Pulitzer Prizes.

27. Thus, share this and my similar articles as widely as possible: click "Reply All" and "Send". Share the articles with all your friends, family, and colleagues, and post them to social media.

28. We are preparing the ground for a major initiative that will be undertaken at the appropriate time, which will come around after adequate financing has been secured. [Learn about it](#). Reading is an indispensable step toward knowledgeably and thoughtfully crafting strategy that is reasonably calculated to inform, outrage, and be effective...then *Take action!*

D. Contacting an organization to give rather than to ask for information

29. You need not have any special qualification to address any private or public organization to express interest in its activities and request additional information.

30. In any event, to contact an organization that defends the interests of women, who are the most frequent victims of sexual harassment, such as "Law Clerks for Workplace Accountability," you satisfy a key qualification if you are a woman; if you are not, you express your commitment to exposing any form of abuse by judges and their judiciary.
31. If the organization declines your request, you argue why it is in its own interest to provide you with additional information.
32. However, you need not *ask* for any information. On the contrary, you can make an offer, that is, of the assistance of yourself and other like-minded people. **Bring** to its attention my website and my study, which shows how readers' benefit from exposing judges' abuse of power even if they have not had and do not currently have a case in court: I argue what is in the readers' interest.
33. Indeed, my study and website collect and discuss abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability to risklessly abuse power individually and as a **coordinated class** for their **gain** and convenience. Judges are further protected connivingly by the **politicians** who put them in office, and for whom judges become '*our* men and women on the bench'.
 - a. The most recent and indisputable evidence of unaccountable judges' abuse of power is found in the **series** of articles that *The Wall Street Journal* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "131 [now 152] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their **clerks** of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases.
 - b. However, to date, not a single of those judges has been investigated, subjected to disciplinary measures, let alone referred for impeachment, or forced to **disgorge** the gains that they made by resolving in their favor their **conflict** of interests.
 - c. The most powerful protector of judges is former Chief Judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and current Attorney General Merrick **Garland**. He will not dare investigate other judges for fear that in plea bargaining they trade up to 'a bigger fish': him. Judges have etched on their forefront a threatening warning that is a self-interested deterrent from exposing their peers, thus **immunizing** them while failing their own **institutional and legal duty**(OL3:1248¶11.a) to safeguard the integrity of judicial process:

I and my friends know about all our wrongdoings that you covered up as an accessory as well as those that you committed as a principal for your own gain and convenience. If you bring me down, *I'll take you with me!*
34. Tell the organization that we are organizing current and former law and court clerks and judges so that together with **journalists**, **professors** and **students**, they can expose openly or **confidentially** the **abuse** by judges that they have witnessed or suffered. Thereby they can gain standing to join as plaintiffs a class action against judges and their judiciaries seeking compensation(¶24 above).
35. Share with it my contact information and my emails; and share with me their information. Share with any organization information about how judges exonerate themselves from all accountability and attendant liability by dismissing 100% of complaints against them **-including mine-** and denying 100% of petitions to review of those dismissals. That statement is based on the **official statistics** of the U.S. courts filed with Congress in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(28 U.S.C. §604(a)(3) & (4)). So, keep reading and sharing. *Take action!*

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

**E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

36. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.

**Put your money where
your outrage at abuse and quest for justice are.**

37. Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking at:

Judicial Discipline Reform

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

38. **DONATE** by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account

to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;

or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.