Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. Judicial Discipline Reform

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506 DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org tel. (718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

March 25, 2017

Justiceship Nominee Neil Gorsuch reportedly said:

«An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us». Guided by that we-against-the-rest-of-the-world mentality, he and his peers in the 10th Circuit have protected each other by disposing of the 573 complaints filed against any of them during the 1oct06-30sep16 11-year period through self-exemption from any discipline except for one single reprimand, a 99.83% dismissal rate; and dispose of 93% of appeals with reasonless decisions. The concern is not whether Judge Gorsuch favors big corporations over the little guy, but whether anybody protects us from them: UNACCOUNTABLY INDEPENDENT JUDGES, WHO RISKLESSLY ENGAGE IN WRONGDOING. The demand for public hearings of complainants and parties that he and his peers have for their own benefit dumped out of court

- 1. After President Trump issued his first immigration ban, Federal District Judge James Robart of the 9th Circuit suspended it nationwide. The President referred to him disparagingly as "this so-called judge". When his justiceship nominee, Judge Neil Gorsuch, who sits on the Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit, paid a goodwill visit to Congress in anticipation of his confirmation hearings, he was asked about the President's reference. He reportedly remarked that "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us". His remark was confirmed by the conduct of the three-judge appellate panel of 9th Circuit judges who unanimously upheld the nationwide suspension to send Trump a warning: 'Don't you ever mess with us!'
- 2. J. Gorsuch too has been practicing his remark. As a circuit judge for the last 11.5 years, he has tolerated and/or participated in the systematic dismissal of the 573(Line:3 of the table below) complaints against judges in his circuit and the systematic denial of petitions to review such dismissals(L:65, 68). He and his peers have protected their own, taking only one corrective action, a reprimand. Their system of self-exemption from discipline is 99.83% perfect in effect. That statistic is representative of judges' abusive dismissal of complaints against them(stat:1-60, the official tables, infra). Their self-ensured unaccountability leads to their riskless wrongdoing.
- 3. Each circuit collects its statistics and sends them to the Administrative Office of the U.S Courts (AO)¹. The latter's director is appointed by the chief justice of the Supreme Court and must include them in his Annual Report to the Judicial Conference of the U.S., which is presided over by the chief justice and gathers the chief circuit judges and representative district, bankruptcy, and magistrate judges. The Report is also submitted to Congress and the public. So, J. Gorsuch and all his peers send annually an unambiguous, unabashed message to all politicians and us:

We have rendered the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act that you, politicians, passed in 1980² to set up the complaint mechanism useless. You, the public, waste your time complaining against us, for we take care of our own. We are so powerful that we can just as easily suspend a presidential order nationwide as doom to failure a whole legislative agenda by declaring each of its laws unconstitutional. And we are untouchable! In the last 228 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, only 8 of us judges have been impeached and removed(*>jur:22fn14). We can engage in any wrongdoing, for we are our own police. We are the Judges Above the Law of the State Within the state.

4. J. Gorsuch stated as a badge of honor at the hearings that of the 2,700 cases in which he has being one of the appellate panel judges 97% have been decided unanimously. He added with pride "that's the way we do things in the West". He did not mean 'in the West we morph into each other to surmount the differences inherent in being appointed by either Republican or Dem-

ocratic politicians, discarding the different views that we held in college, which led me to found the opposition paper The Federalist.' Rather, he confirmed the statistics that show that circuit judges dispose of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [e.g., "for lack of jurisdiction or jurisdictional defect"], by consolidation, unsigned, unpublished, without comment"(† >ol2: 455). The majority of these decisions are reasonless, fiat-like summary orders(* >jur:43§1). They fit the front of a 5¢ form, with the only operative word rubberstamped, mostly 'the decision below is <u>Affirmed</u> or the motion is <u>Denied</u>'. The rest of those decisions have an opinion so arbitrary, ad-hoc to reach a desired result, or unlawful that they may not be relied upon in other cases; so they too are marked "not-precedential". Only the remaining 7% are signed, published, and intended to pass media scrutiny, be discussed in law journals, and end up in law school casebooks.

- 5. What criteria does J. Gorsuch use to treat parties so unequally: dumping their appeals with a meaningless decision or sweating it out on a meaningful one? In fact, he also bragged that in 99% of his cases he had been in the majority. This means that in only 1% of them he felt so strongly about the issues or the parties to bother to dissent, thus being in the minority. Yet, he remained a typical judge, for the 2% of cases where it was one of the other two panel members who dissented can be distributed equally by allocating 1% to each. For him and his peers getting along with each other and taking it easy with 93% of appeals are more appealing attitudes than a principled discharge of their duty. The latter requires reading the briefs, doing legal research, and coming to the panel conference prepared to advocate "a result compelled by the law", which he said a good judge pursues. No wonder he shied away from the exacting and socially lethal action of denouncing any of his peers or even protesting publicly their systematic dismissal of complaints against them, which would have led to a lot of controversy and his outcast as a traitor.
- 6. So the question for the senators to ask before voting on J. Gorsuch is not whether what got under his skin in that 1% of cases in which he stood up for something other than his camaraderie with his peers was a big corporation or a little guy. Rather, it is how he could claim commitment to rule of law results, never mind integrity, although during the past 11.5 years on the bench he has seen his peers dismiss on average one complaint a week of those 573 against them, but has simply looked the other way or even joined the other bullies in abusing their judicial power to silence complainants by resorting to false pretenses(L:44-50) to dump their complaints. Why did he tolerate, or participate in, the cheating of parties out of the meaningful appellate service to which their payment of the filing fee entitled them contractually? By ensuring his and his peers' unaccountability they have turned their independence into a cover for their riskless wrongdoing.
- 7. It is not by mounting a filibuster against J. Gorsuch that senators, or by watching it while remaining inactive that the House members, should handle his confirmation. It is by holding public hearings for the complainants and the parties to appeals that he and his peers have dumped out of court and deprived of equal justice under law. Holding those hearings will not be an attack on judicial independence. As representatives of *We the People*, the only source of sovereign power and the masters of "government of, by, and for the people", Congress has the duty to defend and enforce the *People*'s right to hold all their public servants accountable and liable for their wrong-doing. It will be an overdue application of the principle that in 'government, not of men and women, but by the rule of law', judges are not allowed to arrogate to themselves unaccountable independence. Their holding of office as public servants depends on their faithfully and competently serving their masters, *the People*. P. Trump said in his inaugural speech, "We are transferring power from Washington and giving it back to you, *the People*". Let's demand that he and Congress hold hearings to find out the masters' experience at the mercy of their judicial servants, who have trampled justice to climb to a position intrinsically for wrongdoers: Judges Above the Law.

Dare trigger history!(*>jur:7§5)...and you may enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. * http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/*OL*/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:page# up to ol:393 ol2:547

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. Judicial Discipline Reform

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506 DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org tel. (718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

March 25, 2017

Table¹ of Complaints² Against Judges in the 10th Circuit, where Judge N. Gorsuch³ sits, showing how he and his peers systematically dismiss 99.83% of them to exempt themselves from any discipline, thus protecting their unaccountable independence and becoming Judges Above the Law

Line	Data of the Judicial Council 4 , 10 th Cir., filed with AO 1	'06 ⁵ '	'07 ⁶	'08A 7	'08B 8	'09A 9	'09B	'10 ¹⁰	'11 ¹¹	'12 ¹²	'13 ¹³	'14 ¹⁴	'15 ¹⁵	'16 ¹⁶	totals
1.	Complaints Pending on Sep. 30 of preceding year *	2	14	7	-	0	52	18	26• ‡	8	9	11	17	12	176
2.	Complaints Concluded					0	-								
3.	Complaints Filed ¹⁷	49	37	17	58	18_	73	62	64	33	59	33	37	51	573
4.	Complaint Type/Source														
5.	Written/Filed by Complainants	49	37	17	58		71	61	64	33	59	33	37	51	570
6.	On Order of/Identified by Circuit Chief Judges	0	0	0	0		2	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	
7.	Complainants**														
8.	Prison inmates				50		47	26	37	13	27	15	22	13	250
9.	Litigants				8		23	33	19	25	25	16	11	20	180
10.	Attorneys				0		0	0	1	0	7	3	4	2	17
11.	Public Officials				0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
12.	Other				0		3	2	7	0	0	0	0	4	16
13.	Judges Complained About **														
14.	Circuit Judges	24	18	3	29		21	10	12	3	28	1	4	14	167
15.	District Judges	40			27		43	34	35	22	16	23	29	22	291
16.	Bankruptcy Judges	2			0		3	1	2	2	1	0	0	3	14
17.	Magistrate Judges	8	12	7	2		6	17	15	6	14	9	4	12	112
18.	Nature of Allegations														
19.	Erroneous Decision				2		46	50	57	30	53	16	28	46	328
20.	Delayed Decision	1	7	4	1		5	10	1	4	7	4	6	0	50
21.	Failure to Give Reasons for Decision				0		1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4
22.	Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel				4		9	6	6	6	8	7	2	0	48
23.	Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney				0		7	6	7	3	6	14	4	1	48
24.	Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	14	19	13	28		2	3	9	0	1	3	4	3	99
25.	Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney				3		13	20	21	7	14	18	5	10	111
26.	Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse)	2	4	1	0		7	4	5	1	4	10	2	3	43
27.	Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements				0		2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
28.	Improper Outside Income				0		0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
29.	Partisan Political Activity or Statement				0		4	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	7
30.	Acceptance of a Bribe				0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
31.	Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative				0		0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	6
32.	Solicitation of Funds for Organization				0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33.	Violation of Other Standards				0		1	1	2	1	0	10	0	1	16
34.	Other Misconduct				57		48	23	28	14	23	0	25	40	258
35.	Disability				5		5	0	7	0	0	0	2	10	29
36.	ACTIONS REGARDING THE COMPLAINTS														
37.	Concluded/Terminated by Complainant or Subject Judge/Withdrawn				0		0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3

38.	Data of the Judicial Council, 10th Cir., filed with AO	'06	'07	'08 A	'08 B	'09 A	'09 B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	totals
39.	Complaint Withdrawn with Consent of Chief Circuit Judge				0		0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
40.	Withdrawal of Petition for Review				0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41.	Actions by Chief Circuit Judge														
42.	Matters Returned from Judicial Council/or Judicial Conference Committee				0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
43.	Complaint Dismissed * in Whole or in Part				32		78	51	75	33	57	26	42	37	431
44.	Not in Conformity WIth Statute/Not Misconduct or Disability				1	0	4	4	3	5	0	2	4	2	25
45.	Directly Related to Decision or Procedural Ruling/ Merits Related				30	0	74	43	68	30	49	21	35	33	383
46.	Frivolous				1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	18
47.	Lacked Factual Foundation/Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence				30	0	46	43	61	18	32	19	32	36	317
48.	Allegations Incapable of Being Established				0	-	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
49.	Filed in Wrong Circuit				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50.	Otherwise Not Appropriate				1	-	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
51.	Complaints Concluded in Whole or in Part				0		8		2	0	0	0	2	2	14
52.	Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed				0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53.	Voluntary Corrective Action Taken				0		4		1	0	0	0	0	1	6
54.	Action No Longer Necessary Because of Intervening Event				0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	8
55.	Appropriate Action Already Taken				-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
56.	Complaint Withdrawn				-	0	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	0
57.	Subtotal				-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
58.	Special Investigative Committee Appointed/Complaint Referred to Special Committee				0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
59.	Actions by Special Committees					-									
60.	Matter Returned from Judicial Council				0	-	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
61.	New Matter Referred to Chief Judge				0	-	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
62.	Judicial Council Proceedings														
63.	Matter Returned from Judicial Conference				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
64.	Complaint Transferred to/from Another Circuit				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65.	Received Petition for Review ¹⁹	-	-	-	0	-	58	13	43		0	23	13	26	176
66.	Withdrawn					0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
67.	Action on Petition for Review				0	-									0
68.	Dismissed Complaint ²⁰ /Petition Denied				21	-	54	19	45	17	37	18	16	15	242
69.	Matter Returned to Chief Circuit Judge				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
70.	Matter Returned to Chief Judge for Appointment of Special Committee				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71.	Ordered Other Appropriate Action /Other				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
72.	Received Special Committee Report/Special Committee Reports Submittted to Judicial Council				0		0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
73.	Remedial Action Taken/Action on Special Committee Report				0										
74.	Complaint Dismissed			İ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
75.	Not Misconduct or Disability				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

76.	Data of the Judicial Council, 10th Cir., filed with AO	'06	'07	ʻ08 A	ʻ08 B	ʻ09 A	ʻ09 B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	totals
77.	Merits Related				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
78.	Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
79.	Otherwise Not Appropriate				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80.	Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81.	Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference				0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
82.	Remedial Action Taken				0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
83.	Privately Censured				-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
84.	Publicly Censured				-	0	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	1	
85.	Censure or Reprimand				0	-	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
86.	Suspension of Assignments				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
87.	Directed Chief District J. to Take Action (Magistrates only)/Action Against Magistrate Judge				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
88.	Removal of Bankruptcy Judge				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
89.	Request of Voluntary Retirement				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
90.	Certification of Disability of Circuit or District Judge				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
91.	Additional Investigation Warranted				0	-	0								
92.	Returned to Special Committee				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
93.	Retained by Judicial Council				0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
94.	Actions by Chief Justice							0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
95.	Transferred to Judicial Council				0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	1	1
96.	Received from Judicial Council				0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0
97.	Complaints Concluded/Terminated by Final Action														
98.	During 12-month Period Ending Sep. 30 of reported year	37	48	24	0	0-	96	50	83	33	57	47	40	36	551 21
99.	Complaints Pending on Sep. 30 [end of reported year]				26	0	29	30	7	8	11	18	14	27	170
	Data of the Judicial Council, 10th Cir., filed with AO	'06	ʻ07	ʻ08 A	ʻ08 B	ʻ09 A	'09 В	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	totals

[These notes are in the original.]

• Each complaint may involve multiple reasons for dismissal.

** Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants.

Revised

Note: Excludes complaints not accepted by the circuits because they duplicated previous fillings or were otherwise invalid filings.

* Each complaint may involve multiple allegations against numerous judicial officers. Nature of allegations is counted when a complaint is concluded.

Each complaint may involve multiple allegations. Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

ENDNOTES

The above article is supported by Dr. Cordero's study of judges and their judiciaries, titled:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability andConsequent Riskless Wrongdoing: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* [†]

The above table collecting all the statistics on complaints against federal judges filed in the 10th Circuit between 1oct06 through 30sep16 together with its source, namely, the official tables presenting the statistics of the complaints filed in all circuits between 1oct96 through 30sep16 are found in the file at:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ol2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf

Visit the website at, and subscribe to its series of articles thus: www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> + New or Users >Add New This table is based on Table S-22 presenting the statistics on complaints filed against judges and action taken under 28 U.S.C. §604(h)(2). That Table is included in the Annual Report that must be submitted to Congress as a public document, §604(a)(3), by the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO), §§601-613. On AO, see also http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/*OL*/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >jur:21fn10.

Each of the 12 regional federal judicial circuits and two national courts must file its statistics on complaints against its judges with AO for inclusion in the statistical tables in its Annual Report. The tables for the fiscal years 1oct96-30sep97 and since have been collected in the file at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges .pdf. Hence, readers can conveniently download that file and prepare similar tables for each of the other circuits and any period of years. To that end, that file contains a table template that readers can fill out.

The above table for the 10th Circuit is representative of the other circuits' systematic dismissal of complaints against their respective judges and their judicial councils' systematic denial of petitions for review of those dismissals. That constitutes the foundation for the assertion that the judges have proceeded to abuse the self-discipline power granted to them under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act² to exempt themselves from discipline, placing themselves beyond investigation(L:58-61) and above any liability. They hold themselves unaccountable by arrogating to themselves the power to abrogate in practice that Act of Congress. By so doing, they harm the complainants, who are left with no relief from the harmful conduct of the complained-about judge and exposed to his or her retaliation. Likewise, they harm the rest of the public, who is left with judges who know that as a matter of fact they can rely on the protection of their peers to abuse their power and disregard due process and the equal protection of the law, for their are in effect Judges Above the Law.

Any person, whether a party to a case or a non-party, even a judge, can file a complaint against the conduct or disability of a federal judge under the provisions of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§351-364; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_ Judicial_Code.pdf. The complaint is not a means for a party to avoid an appeal on the merits from a judge's decision. In fact, the complaint need not be related to any lawsuit at all; e.g., it may concern the attendance of a judge at a seminar where she became drunk and disorderly or at a fund raising meeting in favor of a political candidate or against a given issue where the judge appeared to breach her impartiality or place the prestige of judicial office in favor or against thereof. But it is obvious that the most frequent occasion where a person comes in contact with a judge and for complaints against her to arise is a lawsuit, whether at the trial or appeallate level.

In any event, the complaint must be filed with the chief circuit judge of the circuit where the complained-about judge sits. The chief and the complained-about judge may have been colleagues, peers, and friends for 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 years or more. If they hold life-appointments, as circuit and district judges do, they are stuck with each other for the rest of their professional lives. If she is a bankruptcy judge, she was appointed for a renewable term of 14 years by the respective circuit judges under 28 U.S.C. §152. If she is a magistrate judge, the respective district judges appointed her for a renewable term of 8 years under 28 U.S.C. §631(a) and (e).

The very last thing that they want is a peer holding professional and personal grudges against them for their rest of their lives or even for a term of years for failure to dismiss the complaint and insulate her from any discipline. Actually, appointing-judges who hold an appointee of theirs liable for misconduct or incompentence indict their own good judgment and the quality and impartiality of their vetting procedure. Think of all the criticism that has been heaped on President Trump for having appointed General Michael Flynn his National Security Advisor allegedly without having found out during the vetting of him that he had had meetings with the Russian ambassador; and for demonstrating a dishonest character when he lied thereabout to the Vice President. The President fired him less than a month after appointing him.

Worse yet, finding that a judge behaved dishoneslty or incompetently casts doubt on her character and professional capacity. This provides grounds for every party that has appeared before her to file a motion in his own case for recusal or disqualification, to quash her decision, to reverse and remand for a new trial, for leave to appeal...

Why bother!', shout the judges handling the complaint. 'It suffices for me as chief circuit judge to dismiss the complaint by signing a decision with boilerplate text alleging that it relates to the merits of the case or lacks any evidence; or by us in the judicial council having an unsigned 5ϕ form issued that disposed of the petition for review of such dismissal with one single operative word: Denied. That's how we avoid all the hassle and the bad blood that comes with it.'

And then there is the self-serving consideration of reciprocally ensured survival: 'Today I dismiss this complaint against you, and tomorrow, when I am or one of my friends is the target of one of these pesky complaints, you in turn dismiss it'. By so doing, the judges assure each other that no matter the wrongdoing they engage in, their "brothers and sisters of the robe" will exempt them from any discipline and let them go on to do ever graver wrongs.(* >jur:68§§a-c)

The result is the same: Complainants are left to bear the dire consequences of the misconduct and wrongdoing of judges, and the rest of the public is left at the mercy of a judicial class with ever less integrity and regard for the strictures of due process and equal protection of the law, for the class is composed of Judges Above the Law.

³ Judge Neil M. Gorsuch received his commission to a seat on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit on August 8, 2006; https://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/judges/judge-neil-m-gorsuch. Thereafter he may have served on that Circuit's judicial council; on the administrative, policy-making, and disciplinary functions of judicial councils see [‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf >28usc§332(g).

However, the website of the 10th Circuit does not provide information on its judicial council, let alone on its current membership, much less on its members in previous years. The members of the judicial council are the ones who systematically denied petitions from complainants to review the dismissal by the chief circuit judge of their complaints against judges in the circuit.

⁴ On judicial councils see http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf >28usc§332(g).

⁵ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2006

⁶ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2007

⁷ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2008

⁸ The adoption on March 11, 2008, of new rules for filing and processing complaints against judges caused the complaints filed from 1oct07 through 10may08 under the old rules to be reported in Table S-22A in the 2008 Judicial Business Report; and those filed under the new

rules from 11may-30sep08 to be reported in that year's Table S-22B. The same applies to the corresponding 2009 tables.

⁹ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2009. While the 2009 Judicial Business Report covers only the fiscal year that started on October 1, 2008, its table on complaints against judges includes the complaints filed under the new rules during May 11 through September 30, 2008. This period alone is reported in Table S-22B of 2008.

¹⁰ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2010

¹¹ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2011

¹²http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2012 >Complaints against judges,

Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2010-2012 >Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2012/09/30

¹³http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2013 >Complaints against judges,

http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2013

>Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2011-2013 >Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2013/09/30

¹⁴http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2014 >Complaints against judges,

http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2014 >Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2012–2014

>Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2014/09/30

¹⁵ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2015 >Complaints against judges,

http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2015

>Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2013-2015 >Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2015/09/30

¹⁶ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2016 >Complaints against judges,

http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2016

>Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2015-2016 >Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2016/09/30

¹⁷ Over the years, the judges have added some headings and removed others to and from the table for reporting the statistics on complaints against judges. This explains why some cells have no values, which is indicated by an unobstrusive hypejn - so that it may not be misinterpred as a failure to include the corresponding value. In the same vein, this is a composite table that aggregates all headings and entries and place them in the most logical position in the series of headings and entries. The most significant addition and removal came when the new rules for processing these complaints were adopted in 2008. The use of the new rules became mandatory on May 11, 2008. Since then a new reporting table with more numerous and detailed headings and entries has been used to report the statistics on complaints filed under the new rules.

Although the new rules for filing complaints against federal judges provided more numerous and detailed causes for complaint, the systematic dismissal of them and denial of petitions for review of such dismissals by judges protecting their own as well as themselves –'I protect you today, and if tomorrow I'm or any of my friends is the one complained against, you protect me or them-continued unabated.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/*OL*/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:page# up to ol:393 ol2:553

The new rules was a ruse by the judges to dissuade Congress from taking action to correct the fact that the judges had applied for over 20 years the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 in such a way as to render it useless so that judicial discipline was as inexistence as it had been since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, a period during which there was no formal mechanism for complaining against judges; see the history of, and a comment on, the new rules http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/judicial complaints/8-4at

3DrRCordero new rules no change.pdf.

- ¹⁸Table S-22A(stat:28) for the fiscal year 1oct08-30sep09 deals only with the action taken on the complaints filed under the old rules up to and including May 10, 2008. By definition, none of those complaints could have been filed during that fiscal year. Consequently, that table does not report any complaint filed.
- ¹⁹The table(cf. stat:24) used to report complaints about judges filed under the old rules did not report the number of complainants' petitions to the judicial circuit to review the unfavorable disposition of their complaints, which consisted in their systematic dismissal without any investigation. Accordingly, it did not report on the disposition by judicial councils of such petitions.

The table(cf. stat:26) used for reporting under the new rules began reporting both the number of petitons for review and their disposition. This explains why the number of "Received Petitions for Review" is 176(L65), yet the number of "Petitions Denied" is 242(L68). This illustrates that the circuit and district judges on the judicial council of the respective circuit overwhelmingly disposed of those petitions through their systematic denial. Thereby they attained the same objective: their self-exemption from discipline to ensure their unaccountability as Judges Above the Law.

²⁰ Cf. stat:28. The entry "Action on Petition for Review: Petition Denied" under the heading Judicial Council Proceedings" first appear in Table S-22B of 2009(stat:30).

²¹To the 551 «Complaints Concluded/Terminated by Final Action»(L98) there have been added the 1 «Complaint Dismissed»(L74) and the 14 «Complaints Concluded in Whole or in Part»(L51) to arrive at the total of 566 complaints terminated before and through final action.

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris 2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506 DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org tel. (718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

April 4, 2017

Dear Publisher and Editor,

Kindly find herein(† >ol2:546) an article that I offer for publication. It has national appeal because it concerns the current controversial confirmation by the Senate of President Trump's nominee to the Supreme Court, Judge Neil Gorsuch. This is its gist:

How Judge Gorsuch and his peers dismiss 99.83% of complaints against them and dispose of 93% of appeals with reasonless decisions; the need for *We the People* to demand that Congress hold public hearings on our experience at the mercy of unaccountably independent Judges Above the Law

A. The article's avoids the failed angle of guessing a judge's views on issues

- 1. At the confirmation hearings, the Senate Committee on the Judiciary asked of Judge Gorsuch questions concerning his position on specific issues that are likely to come before him if he were confirmed as justice. Like all judicial nominees regardless of which was the nominating party, he refused to express his views on those issues, claiming that otherwise he would show that he had made up his mind and would expose himself to litigants' motion of recusal for lack of impartiality. Thus, he revealed little about himself. Moreover, what little he did reveal was as expected favorable to himself and his confirmation. So, the hearings were structurally not enlightening.
- 2. His decisions for the past 11 years on the bench may be a more revealing means of predicting his future decisions, but not necessarily: The decisions of a circuit court are taken by a three-judge panel. As shown by the official statistics discussed in the article, 93% of appeals are disposed of pro-forma in decisions "on procedural grounds [e.g., "for lack of jurisdiction or jurisdictional defect"], by consolidation, unsigned, unpublished, without comment". As to the 7% that have reasons and are signed by a judge, the latter can always find a form of words to conceal his wrongful motives and render his decisions plausible within the margins of his judicial discretion.

B. New angle: official statistics to impeach with facts revealed by his own peers

3. The article provides original analysis of J. Gorsuch's statements at his hearings, doing so on a solid new foundation, i.e., original research on the official statistics of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. It confronts his words against the background of his and his peers' own official facts. That kind of analysis shows that unaccountably independent judges do not serve the interest of either litigants or the rest of the public; they serve their own. This showing can reasonably be expected to interest, even outrage, your readers and make them come back for broader and deeper analysis and facts, such as those that he has disclosed officially to his peers(infra §E).

C. Finding the article and its supporting materials

4. To enable you to corroborate that showing, the official statistical tables that provide the foundation of the article together with it and related materials are in the file at: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf. In turn, the article is supported by this study*[†] of judges' performance in practice as opposed to the theory of their codes:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* [†]

D. An innovative proposal: the media to conduct 'public hearings' on judges

5. The article proposes that you and top national media outlets form a board to conduct national

'public hearings' for people to share their experience at the hands of judges who deny them due process and equal protection risklessly because they dismiss all complaints against them and are held unaccountable by the politicians who nominated and confirmed them to the bench. The established media have the means to conduct those hearings and stand to gain therefrom: They are commercially threatened by the new media; distrusted by polls that have turned out to be wrong; and challenged by the advent of state-sponsored and ad revenue-driven fake news. Yet, the established media are the only ones that have the necessary financial and technical resources, and field the most and best known reporters with access to influential people. They can announce, and attract the national public to, hearings on its experience with judges and its opinion of the justice that they administer. Thereby the media can generate news and steer its flow while highlighting the status of *We the People* as the masters of all public servants, even judicial ones, with the right to hold them accountable. So, the hearings are a strategic means for the established media to enhance their competitive position, credibility, and reputation. By taking the lead in promoting their holding, you can become *the People*'s Champion of Justice(*>ol2:201§§J,K).

E. A trend-setting project: analysis of judges' disclosed financial statements

6. Like all nominees, J. Gorsuch had to submit a vast amount of information about his cases and personal finances; the Senate Committee on the Judiciary has made gigabytes of it public. Instead of wasting effort and time trying to know his views on legal issues, which he and the other nominees make unknowable, it is more sensible to use that information for knowing his integrity, for a financially dishonest judge cannot be reasonably expected to have any respect for the law and its equal application. Hence the proposed project to 'audit' his disclosed "in detail assets and liabilities" (cf. jur:65¶137) to determine whether they make sense, by contrast to the annual financial reports that judges submit to their own peers, who have no more interest in finding nonsense(jur:105fn 213b) in them than they have in finding actionable misconduct in the complaints filed against them and that they dismiss to the tune of 99.83%. The 'audit' of J. Gorsuch can use that of another justiceship nominee(jur:65fn107) as its model. It will be timely even after his confirmation because his position would become untenable if it showed that he had failed the judges' requirement in their Code to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68fn123a).

F. A huge audience waiting for a pioneering media outlet

7. Every year more than 50 million new cases are filed in the federal and state courts(jur:8fn4,5); each has at least two parties –the Wal-Mart class action had over 2 million members-, and there are scores of millions of cases pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. Those parties are passionate, for hardly anything aggrieves a person more deeply than having their property, liberty, and the rights and duties that shape their lives trampled by those who wield power abusively. They constitute a huge constituency: the dissatisfied with the judicial and legal system. You can provide the judge-uncontrolled means that they need to pursue their quest for vindication, restoration, and justice while they can earn you substantial revenue and goodwill.

G. My offer of a presentation on one or a series of articles and proposals

8. I offer to make a presentation to you by video conference or, upon your invitation, in person on why it is in your interest to publish that article either alone or as part of a paid series([†]>ol2:483) of articles, and implement the proposal for media-conducted public hearings on judges and the auditing of their financial statements. Taking such actions can make you a pioneer in the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting. So I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!(*>jur:7§5)...and you may enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. * http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/*OL*/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:page# up to ol:393 ol2:559





Judicial Business of the United States Courts

This portion of the Annual Report of the Director addresses the workload of all federal courts, the federal probation and pretrial services system, representations under the Criminal Justice Act, and complaints filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. Covers 12-month period ending September 30.

Reports

- Judicial Business 2016
- Judicial Business 2015
- Judicial Business 2014
- Judicial Business 2013
- Judicial Business 2012
- Judicial Business 2011
- Judicial Business 2010
- Judicial Business 2009
- Judicial Business 2008
- Judicial Business 2007
- Judicial Business 2006
- Judicial Business 2005
- Judicial Business 2004
- Judicial Business 2003
- Judicial Business 2002
- Judicial Business 2001
- Judicial Business 2000
- Judicial Business 1999
- Judicial Business 1998
- Judicial Business 1997



Related Content

Judicial Business 2016

Read the most recent Judicial Business report presenting data and statistics on the work of the Federal Judiciary for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016.

*** COURTS ***	
MENU	
RSS	y
PRIVACY & SECURITY POLICY	You Tube
GLOSSARY OF LEGAL TERMS	Tube
OPERATING STATUS	in
F DOWNLOAD PLUG-INS	
EMAIL UPDATES	
Secontact US	
? FAQS	

This site is maintained by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts on behalf of the Federal Judiciary. The purpose of this site is to provide information from and about the Judicial Branch of the U.S. Government.



Table S-24.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c)for the Twelve-Month Period Ended September 30, 1997

							Cire	cuits								ationa ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT
Complaints Pending on September 30, 1996*	109	0	1	21	5	11	7	10	1	3	11	31	8	0	0	0
Complaints Filed	679	3	15	16	40	62	69	84	68	28	56	137	54	47	0	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complaint	678	3	15	16	40	62	69	84	68	27	56	137	54	47	0	0
On Order of Chief Judges	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	461	3	4	10	3	24	29	14	11	5	102	249	7	0	0	0
District	497	0	14	17	27	28	48	43	59	25	45	121	38	32	0	0
National Courts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	31	0	0	2	2	2	6	3	2	2	2	6	1	3	0	0
Magistrate Judges	138	0	0	1	8	7	15	27	10	0	9	24	25	12	0	0
Nature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	11	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Physical Disability	4	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Demeanor	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	4	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	179	3	0	6	25	1	40	20	8	13	17	19	22	5	0	0
Prejudice/Bias	193	1	9	8	32	8	27	12	17	4	14	30	20	11	0	0
Conflict of Interest	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	3	0	1	0	0
Bribery/Corruption	28	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	4	2	4	13	0	1	0	0
Undue Decisional Delay	44	0	0	1	0	6	1	10	4	2	3	11	5	1	0	0
Incompetence/Neglect	30	0	0	3	4	1	0	0	5	0	0	16	1	0	0	0
Other	161	1	3	2	0	30	1	38	24	10	7	19	22	4	0	0
Complaints Concluded	482	3	9	13	33	31	69	80	49	24	41	60	53	17	0	0
Action By Chief Judges																
Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute	29	2	4	0	3	1	4	2	1	3	6	2	0	1	0	0
Directly Related to Decision																
or Procedural Ruling	215	0	0	6	12	21	34	26	21	11	14	31	24	15	0	0
Frivolous	19	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	6	1	5	2	0	0	0

Table S-24. (Continued)

							Cir	cuits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of																
Intervening Events	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Subtotal	270	3	4	6	15	22	45	29	23	21	21	38	26	17	0	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	212	0	5	7	18	9	24	51	26	3	20	22	27	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	212	0	5	7	18	9	24	51	26	3	20	22	27	0	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 1997	306	0	7	24	12	42	7	14	20	7	26	108	9	30	0	0

¹CC = U.S. CLAIMS COURT. ²CIT = COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE. * REVISED. ** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDICIAL OFFICERS. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-24.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c)for the Twelve-Month Period Ended September 30, 1998

							Cire	cuits								ation: ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT
empleinte Danding en Captember 20, 1007*	014	0	6	3	10	24	0	C	10	4	40	00	1	25	0	0
complaints Pending on September 30, 1997*	214	0	6	3	10	31	0	6	18	4	18	82	I	35	0	U
complaints Filed	1,051	1	27	10	73	120	73	46	86	37	78	265	37	197	1	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	1,049	1	27	10	73	120	73	46	86	36	78	264	37	197	1	0
On Order of Chief Judges	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	443	1	16	2	14	22	23	13	8	17	134	20	11	162	0	0
District	758	0	47	9	56	83	50	27	82	26	83	250	29	16	0	(
National Courts	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	C
Bankruptcy Judges	28	0	2	0	1	2	5	1	3	2	3	6	1	2	0	(
Magistrate Judges	215	0	3	2	8	13	15	12	16	5	7	110	8	16	0	C
lature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	92	0	0	3	9	4	7	2	18	0	36	13	0	0	0	0
Physical Disability	7	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	C
Demeanor	19	0	0	0	2	3	0	1	3	0	0	8	0	2	0	C
Abuse of Judicial Power	511	1	2	2	30	8	48	16	8	21	27	168	9	171	0	C
Prejudice/Bias	647	0	21	9	36	32	22	22	44	19	46	198	20	178	0	C
Conflict of Interest	141	0	0	1	0	7	3	3	0	0	3	117	2	5	0	(
Bribery/Corruption	166	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	155	2	3	0	(
Undue Decisional Delay	50	0	3	1	4	4	2	0	1	5	7	14	8	1	0	C
Incompetence/Neglect	99	0	0	0	1	4	4	0	3	1	1	81	1	3	0	C
Other	193	0	17	1	11	94	3	13	20	4	11	3	10	6	0	(
omplaints Concluded	1,002	1	33	13	56	95	73	49	70	40	78	257	35	202	0	C
ctions by Chief Judges																
Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute	43	0	6	0	4	2	5	0	2	3	6	5	3	7	0	C
Directly Related to Decision																
or Procedural Ruling	532	1	0	5	19	54	42	15	43	16	52	88	18	179	0	(
Frivolous	159	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	5	13	2	133	1	0	0	C

Table S-24. (September 30, 1998—Continued)

							Circ	cuits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of																
Intervening Events	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	742	1	8	6	24	57	48	16	51	34	62	227	22	186	0	0
ction by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	258	0	25	7	32	38	25	32	19	6	16	29	13	16	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	260	0	25	7	32	38	25	33	19	6	16	30	13	16	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 1998	263	0	0	0	27	56	0	3	34	1	18	90	3	30	1	0

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

¹CC = U.S. CLAIMS COURT.

²CIT = COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* REVISED. ** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDICIAL OFFICERS. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-23.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c)for the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 1999

							Cir	cuits								ational ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC1	CIT ²
Complaints Pending on September 30, 1998*	228	0	3	1	23	48	0	3	28	0	19	75	3	25	0	0
Complaints Filed	781	2	16	17	99	34	55	196	72	31	36	115	58	50	0	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complaint	781	2	16	17	99	34	55	196	72	31	36	115	58	50	0	0
On Order of Chief Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	174	4	16	0	23	3	7	31	16	7	25	31	11	0	0	0
District	598	0	48	17	63	24	55	98	58	27	24	99	47	38	0	0
National Courts	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	30	0	0	1	2	2	0	3	2	1	2	16	0	1	0	0
Magistrate Judges	229	0	1	4	11	5	6	64	14	4	10	69	30	11	0	0
Nature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	69	0	0	0	26	4	3	11	3	0	2	5	0	15	0	0
Physical Disability	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
Demeanor	34	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	5	3	1	14	1	3	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	254	0	1	2	7	45	17	4	9	10	16	91	27	25	0	0
Prejudice/Bias	360	2	15	8	34	20	16	28	41	15	23	85	32	41	0	0
Conflict of Interest	29	0	0	0	5	1	6	4	0	0	2	6	2	3	0	0
Bribery/Corruption	104	0	0	4	10	26	4	4	3	1	2	44	0	6	0	0
Undue Decisional Delay	80	0	5	0	0	6	6	2	5	2	2	30	18	4	0	0
Incompetence/Neglect	108	1	0	0	3	5	3	0	6	0	2	71	2	15	0	0
Other	288	0	2	0	3	62	0	143	25	7	4	26	8	8	0	0
Complaints Concluded	826	2	18	12	57	63	53	184	82	31	45	163	50	66	0	0
Action by Chief Judges Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute Directly Related to Decision	27	0	4	0	0	0	6	0	8	1	4	4	0	0	0	0
or Procedural Ruling	300	2	0	5	19	12	21	31	24	14	11	84	28	49	0	0
Frivolous	66	0	5	2	19	0	6	6	1	3	3	16	4	1	0	0

Table S-23. (September 30, 1999—Continued)

							Cir	cuits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of																
Intervening Events	10	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	1	0	0
Complainant Withdrawn	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Subtotal	406	2	9	7	41	12	34	37	34	19	18	107	35	51	0	0
ction by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	416	0	9	5	16	51	19	147	46	12	27	54	15	15	0	0
Withdrawn	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	420	0	9	5	16	51	19	147	48	12	27	56	15	15	0	0
omplaints Pending on September 30, 1999	183	0	1	6	65	19	2	15	18	0	10	27	11	9	0	0

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

 $^{1}CC = U.S. CLAIMS COURT.$

²CIT = COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDICIAL OFFICERS. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 372(c)for the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2000

							Ciu	cuits								ation ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT
complaints Pending on September 30, 1999*	181	0	1	5	65	19	2	18	15	0	7	27	11	11	0	C
complaints Filed	696	2	18	21	59	53	61	113	56	44	51	111	32	73	2	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	695	2	18	21	59	53	61	113	56	44	51	111	31	73	2	0
On Order of Chief Judges	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	191	4	4	4	9	10	14	23	4	11	45	35	15	13	0	C
District	522	0	17	20	41	36	62	60	50	29	52	92	26	37	0	C
National Courts	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Bankruptcy Judges	26	0	0	1	2	6	1	2	2	2	2	5	2	1	0	C
Magistrate Judges	135	0	0	3	7	2	10	28	13	6	6	32	6	22	0	0
Vature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	26	0	0	0	2	6	6	5	0	1	3	2	0	1	0	C
Physical Disability	12	0	0	1	1	3	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	C
Demeanor	13	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	1	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	272	0	0	10	29	25	29	43	9	23	20	38	16	30	0	0
Prejudice/Bias	257	1	13	8	28	17	15	24	28	13	17	39	25	29	0	0
Conflict of Interest	48	1	0	0	11	9	1	5	1	0	3	8	1	8	0	C
Bribery/Corruption	83	0	0	2	21	12	8	4	0	2	6	22	2	4	0	C
Undue Decisional Delay	75	0	2	1	11	6	6	7	5	3	3	16	4	11	0	C
Incompetence/Neglect	61	0	0	0	1	7	8	3	1	3	5	31	0	2	0	C
Other	188	0	7	1	5	66	0	50	4	7	13	20	9	6	0	C
complaints Concluded	715	2	15	17	80	67	60	123	48	44	51	104	39	65	0	C
ction by Chief Judges																
Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute	29	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	9	1	0	12	1	0	0	C
Directly Related to Decision																
or Procedural Ruling	264	2	4	3	29	31	26	23	21	11	23	38	15	38	0	C
Frivolous	50	0	4	1	0	0	2	8	2	12	8	9	2	2	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2000—Continued)

							Cire	cuits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of																
Intervening Events	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	359	2	8	8	30	31	34	37	32	24	31	60	20	42	0	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judge Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	354	0	7	9	50	36	26	86	16	20	20	42	19	23	0	0
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	356	0	7	9	50	36	26	86	16	20	20	44	19	23	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2000	162	0	4	9	44	5	3	8	23	0	7	34	4	19	2	0

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

¹CC = U.S. CLAIMS COURT.

²CIT = COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE. * REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDICIAL OFFICERS. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 372(c)During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2001

							Ci	cuits								ationa courts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
			-													
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2001*	150	0	4	9	33	5	3	9	23	1	6	32	4	18	3	0
Complaints Filed	766	0	31	22	102	50	63	100	97	43	52	102	32	70	1	1
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	766	0	31	22	102	50	63	100	97	43	52	102	32	70	1	1
On Order of Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	273	0	15	16	31	13	25	23	12	16	33	53	16	20	0	0
District	563	0	16	26	52	23	45	50	86	37	69	104	25	30	0	0
National Court	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Bankruptcy Judges	34	0	0	2	2	6	2	2	1	3	0	12	2	2	0	0
Magistrate Judges	143	0	3	1	17	8	12	25	17	3	10	20	9	18	0	0
Nature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	29	0	0	0	5	4	1	3	3	1	2	5	0	5	0	0
Physical Disability	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Demeanor	31	0	0	1	14	2	1	0	1	4	2	5	0	1	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	200	0	3	3	28	3	35	28	1	13	21	33	15	16	1	0
Prejudice/Bias	266	0	18	11	24	9	17	31	36	13	11	43	14	38	1	0
Conflict of Interest	38	0	0	0	10	4	3	8	1	1	0	5	4	2	0	0
Bribery/Corruption	61	0	0	0	2	5	4	6	1	1	1	33	3	5	0	0
Undue Decisional Delay	60	0	0	0	6	6	3	11	2	6	4	15	0	7	0	0
Incompetence/Neglect	50	0	0	2	5	8	3	3	7	0	1	20	0	1	0	0
Other	186	0	8	1	0	50	4	47	16	3	8	32	7	10	0	0
Complaints Concluded	668	0	18	16	75	53	61	108	68	39	41	100	30	58	1	0
Action by Chief Judges Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute Directly Related to Decision	13	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	0
or Procedural Ruling	235	0	2	3	17	26	25	42	20	14	18	27	14	27	0	0
Frivolous	103	0	0	2	13	0	6	13	14	12	7	31	2	3	0	0

70

Table S-22. (September 30, 2001—Continued)

							Circ	cuits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of		Ū	Ũ	Ŭ	Ū		Ũ	Ũ	Ũ	•		Ũ	•	Ũ	Ũ	0
Intervening Events	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	363	0	3	6	34	28	31	55	35	29	28	62	17	35	0	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judge Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	303	0	15	10	40	25	30	53	33	10	13	38	12	23	1	0
Withdrawn	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	305	0	15	10	41	25	30	53	33	10	13	38	13	23	1	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2001	248	0	17	15	60	2	5	1	52	5	17	34	6	30	3	1

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

¹CC = U.S. CLAIMS COURT.

² CIT = COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDICIAL OFFICERS. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 372(c)During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2002

							-	cuits							c	ation <i>a</i> ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2001*	262	0	17	15	60	3	5	19	44	5	17	36	6	31	3	1
Complaints Filed	657	0	20	14	62	51	59	81	77	28	54	105	47	54	5	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	656	0	20	13	62	51	59	81	77	28	54	105	47	54	5	0
On Order of Chief Judge	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	353	0	47	6	10	4	17	26	52	11	52	114	11	3	0	0
District	548	0	13	20	41	35	68	32	72	29	43	127	36	32	0	0
National Courts	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Bankruptcy Judges	57	0	1	1	1	6	4	2	2	0	3	27	2	8	0	0
Magistrate Judges	152	0	1	2	10	6	8	21	11	2	21	48	11	11	0	0
lature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	33	0	0	0	4	1	3	2	6	1	3	11	2	0	0	0
Physical Disability	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Demeanor	17	0	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	7	0	3	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	327	0	1	7	57	6	29	49	14	13	19	71	17	41	3	0
Prejudice/Bias	314	0	34	16	40	13	20	35	51	11	20	36	19	16	3	0
Conflict of Interest	46	0	1	0	18	9	2	3	2	0	4	3	1	3	0	0
Bribery/Corruption	63	0	0	0	15	0	4	6	8	0	5	20	1	4	0	0
Undue Decisional Delay	75	0	1	0	15	3	3	5	3	7	10	15	7	6	0	0
Incompetence/Neglect	45	0	0	2	2	1	7	1	9	0	6	16	1	0	0	0
Other	129	0	4	2	0	46	3	16	8	2	4	32	9	3	0	0
Complaints Concluded	780	0	35	25	93	48	61	98	98	30	57	124	47	61	3	0
ction By Chief Judges																
Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity with Statute Directly Related to Decision	27	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	7	0	1	9	1	3	0	0
or Procedural Ruling	249	0	6	5	23	17	24	36	31	14	11	36	22	22	2	0
Frivolous	249 110	0	9	2	23 9	2	24 13	30 7	5	7	10	36 36	7	3	2	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2002—Continued)

							Circ	uits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of	0	Ū	Ũ		Ŭ	Ũ	Ū	Ū	•	Ū	•	0	Ũ	0	0	Ŭ
Intervening Events	6	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	8	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Subtotal	403	0	16	10	37	20	41	44	45	22	23	82	30	30	3	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	375	0	19	15	56	28	20	54	51	8	34	42	17	31	0	0
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	377	0	19	15	56	28	20	54	53	8	34	42	17	31	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2002	139	0	2	4	29	6	3	2	23	3	14	17	6	24	5	1

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

¹CC = U.S. CLAIMS COURT.

² CIT = COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDICIAL OFFICERS. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2003

							<u>.</u>									ationa
	T . (.)					0.1		cuits			0.1	0/1	40/1			ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		СІТ
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2002*	141	0	3	4	29	6	3	7	22	4	15	16	6	20	5	1
Complaints Filed	835	2	11	36	69	41	67	107	73	28	97	146	47	110	0	1
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	835	2	11	36	69	41	67	107	73	28	97	146	47	110	0	1
On Order of Chief Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	204	6	4	19	8	4	16	27	15	2	26	43	12	22	0	0
District	719	0	14	24	49	28	54	54	53	34	157	156	39	57	0	0
National Courts	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Bankruptcy Judges	38	0	0	2	1	3	1	2	5	2	1	16	3	2	0	0
Magistrate Judges	257	0	0	5	11	6	21	24	21	3	91	40	7	28	0	0
Vature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	26	0	0	1	6	4	5	1	0	1	2	5	0	1	0	0
Physical Disability	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0
Demeanor	21	0	0	1	4	3	1	4	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	239	1	0	7	20	3	29	22	2	6	30	59	14	45	0	1
Prejudice/Bias	263	2	12	9	20	14	21	26	29	11	36	37	14	29	2	1
Conflict of Interest	33	0	0	1	3	5	3	2	2	1	2	7	3	4	0	0
Bribery/Corruption	87	0	0	1	4	6	10	6	15	0	20	22	0	3	0	0
Undue Decisional Delay	81	0	0	3	9	6	6	4	3	5	25	16	2	1	0	1
Incompetence/Neglect	47	0	0	3	3	2	8	2	3	0	15	6	1	4	0	0
Other	131	0	0	0	4	37	4	45	0	9	2	13	14	0	3	0
Complaints Concluded	682	2	12	18	42	40	69	94	53	31	87	117	42	69	4	2
Action by Chief Judges																
Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute Directly Related to Decision	39	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	17	2	9	6	0	0	0	0
or Procedural Ruling	230	2	3	2	14	13	30	24	10	15	15	46	9	46	1	0
Frivolous	77	0	0	0	7	1	3	6	0	7	25	21	1	6	0	0

							Circ	cuits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of	0	Ū	0	0	0		0	0	0	Ū			0	Ū	0	0
Intervening Events	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	2	0	8 1	0	0
Subtotal	365	2	4	3	22	15	37	31	27	24	59	77	10	53	1	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dismissed the Complaint	316	0	8	15	20	25	32	63	26	7	28	40	32	16	3	1
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0														
Subtotal	317	0	8	15	20	25	32	63	26	7	28	40	32	16	3	2
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2003	294	0	2	22	56	7	1	20	42	1	25	45	11	61	1	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2003—Continued)

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

¹CC = U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.

²CIT = U.S. COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDGES. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2004

							C :-	cuits								ation courts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT
			I													
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2003*	249	0	2	19	34	3	10	19	22	1	29	38	11	61	0	0
Complaints Filed	712	2	31	30	23	40	63	95	72	34	77	146	41	58	0	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	712	2	31	30	23	40	63	95	72	34	77	146	41	58	0	0
On Order of Chief Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	240	6	20	16	4	6	23	16	24	8	14	84	13	6	0	C
District	539	0	39	21	15	22	52	51	69	27	55	128	23	37	0	C
National Courts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Bankruptcy Judges	28	0	0	8	1	2	1	2	4	1	0	6	2	1	0	C
Magistrate Judges	149	0	1	5	3	10	18	26	7	3	25	26	11	14	0	C
lature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	34	0	0	4	3	5	4	4	2	0	1	10	0	1	0	C
Physical Disability	6	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	C
Demeanor	34	0	1	1	6	0	4	3	0	1	7	9	1	1	0	C
Abuse of Judicial Power	251	1	3	11	6	0	42	2	4	2	71	59	22	28	0	C
Prejudice/Bias	334	2	19	27	35	14	22	35	42	7	38	52	20	21	0	C
Conflict of Interest	67	0	5	8	4	6	3	3	2	0	5	22	7	2	0	C
Bribery/Corruption	93	0	0	9	5	10	5	3	1	0	25	33	0	2	0	C
Undue Decisional Delay	70	0	2	7	5	7	4	10	2	5	8	13	4	3	0	C
Incompetence/Neglect	106	0	0	9	3	8	2	3	0	0	18	16	0	47	0	C
Other	224	0	1	1	33	30	10	89	3	24	0	24	9	0	0	C
Complaints Concluded	784	2	28	40	51	34	73	99	56	35	94	135	42	95	0	(
ction By Chief Judges																
Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute	27	0	4	0	6	0	5	0	4	1	5	0	0	2	0	C
Directly Related to Decision																
or Procedural Ruling	295	2	9	7	18	13	31	38	16	21	37	65	8	30	0	(
Frivolous	112	0	8	4	3	0	1	11	3	5	18	5	4	50	0	0

Table S-22. (S	eptember	30, 2004–	-Continued)
----------------	----------	-----------	-------------

							Circ	uits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of																
Intervening Events	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Subtotal	449	2	21	11	29	13	37	51	23	27	63	72	13	87	0	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	335	0	7	29	22	21	36	48	33	8	31	63	29	8	0	0
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	335	0	7	29	22	21	36	48	33	8	31	63	29	8	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2004	177	0	5	9	6	9	0	15	38	0	12	49	10	24	0	0

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

 1 CC = U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS. 2 CIT = U.S. COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* REVISED. ** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDGES. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2005

							C :-	cuits								ationa
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2004*	212	0	4	9	57	9	8	16	30	1	13	30	8	25	2	0
	212	Ū	-	5	01	5	0	10	50	•	10	00	0	20	2	0
Complaints Filed	642	1	33	19	36	58	43	99	55	15	38	122	36	85	2	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	642	1	33	19	36	58	43	99	55	15	38	122	36	85	2	0
On Order of Chief Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	177	1	18	1	7	4	28	10	7	6	2	80	7	6	0	0
District	456	0	21	15	23	41	32	52	51	11	22	102	27	59	0	0
National Courts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	31	0	0	4	0	5	1	2	3	1	2	9	2	2	0	0
Magistrate Judges	135	0	1	4	6	8	9	35	5	2	13	27	7	18	0	0
Nature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	22	0	1	2	3	2	2	3	0	0	0	6	0	1	2	0
Physical Disability	9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	1	0
Demeanor	20	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	0	1	2	8	1	1	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	206	1	7	13	3	5	26	6	3	4	28	57	0	52	1	0
Prejudice/Bias	275	1	12	19	43	21	9	16	40	5	15	57	15	20	2	0
Conflict of Interest	49	0	2	5	5	11	2	1	3	1	2	13	3	1	0	0
Bribery/Corruption	51	0	0	3	2	1	2	2	1	0	4	32	0	4	0	0
Undue Decisional Delay	65	0	0	6	8	8	2	9	2	0	4	14	7	5	0	0
Incompetence/Neglect	52	0	2	4	4	3	2	3	0	1	8	22	1	1	1	0
Other	260	0	2	1	80	40	11	80	0	7	1	19	18	0	1	0
Complaints Concluded	667	1	22	23	91	47	48	90	47	16	45	120	33	81	3	0
action by Chief Judges																
Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute Directly Related to Decision	21	0	1	0	5	0	1	0	2	0	3	5	3	1	0	0
or Procedural Ruling	319	1	8	8	46	18	20	30	12	6	29	57	16	65	3	0
Frivolous	41	0	1	3	40 1	0	4	6	3	8	5	10	0	0	0	0

							Circ	cuits								ional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of	Ū	Ū	Ū		Ŭ		Ũ	•	0	0	0	-	0		Ū	U
Intervening Events	8	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	400	1	11	11	54	20	26	39	17	14	38	76	19	71	3	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	267	0	11	12	37	27	22	51	30	2	7	44	14	10	0	0
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	267	0	11	12	37	27	22	51	30	2	7	44	14	10	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2005	187	0	15	5	2	20	3	25	38	0	6	32	11	29	1	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2005—Continued)

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

¹CC = U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.

²CIT = U.S. COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE. * REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDGES. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2006

							Cir	cuits								ationa courts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT
Cullinary of Activity	Total	TCu	00	131	2110	514		500	VIII	7.01	Ull	500	Total		00	
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2005*	210	0	3	5	31	20	12	21	42	3	6	29	2	35	1	0
Complaints Filed	643	1	16	31	14	43	47	76	72	35	44	133	49	79	3	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	555	1	16	0	0	0	47	76	72	35	44	133	49	79	3	0
On Order of Chief Judges	88	0	0	31	14	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	141	1	14	13	0	3	7	6	14	16	3	34	24	6	0	0
District	505	0	17	50	10	31	36	45	68	31	32	99	40	46	0	0
National Courts	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Bankruptcy Judges	33	0	0	2	0	1	2	5	2	3	0	12	2	4	0	0
Magistrate Judges	159	0	0	26	4	6	18	20	14	1	8	31	8	23	0	0
lature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	30	0	3	4	1	3	1	4	0	1	0	11	2	0	0	0
Physical Disability	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Demeanor	35	0	0	0	0	4	2	4	1	1	1	17	5	0	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	234	1	6	18	0	0	38	22	4	2	21	63	14	44	1	0
Prejudice/Bias	295	1	3	22	28	22	16	35	50	9	18	45	14	31	1	0
Conflict of Interest	43	0	1	6	1	15	2	2	0	0	4	9	2	0	1	0
Bribery/Corruption	40	0	0	8	2	4	2	0	3	0	3	16	0	2	0	0
Undue Decisional Delay	53	0	0	2	2	8	5	5	2	5	2	11	1	10	0	0
Incompetence/Neglect	37	0	1	5	0	3	1	2	0	0	7	15	0	3	0	0
Other	200	0	0	2	38	41	4	59	0	23	4	9	18	0	2	0
omplaints Concluded	619	1	13	26	45	46	59	74	58	38	35	102	37	81	4	0
Action By Chief Judges Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute	25	0	2	1	8	0	2	0	3	2	2	3	2	0	0	0
Directly Related to Decision																
or Procedural Ruling	283	1	2	5	15	26	24	35	25	13	21	46	17	51	2	0
Frivolous	63	0	4	4	3	0	3	4	5	18	4	7	4	7	0	0

	Circuits															tiona ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	СІТ
Appropriate Action Already Taken	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of	5	0	0	0	I	0	0	0	0	I	0	1	1		0	0
Intervening Events	6	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	0
Subtotal	391	1	9	10	28	27	30	41	34	34	28	59	24	64	2	0
		·	0		20			••	0.	0.	20			0.	_	Ũ
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges only)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	227	0	4	16	17	19	29	33	24	4	7	43	13	16	2	0
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0													
Subtotal	228	0	4	16	17	19	29	33	24	4	7	43	13	17	2	0
omplaints Pending on September 30, 2006	234	0	6	10	0	17	0	23	56	0	15	60	14	33	0	0
pecial Investigating Committees Appointed	7	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2006—Continued)

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

¹CC = U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.

²CIT = U.S. COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDGES. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2007

	Circuits														Natio Cou		
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT	
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2006 *	241	0	6	10	28	14	7	22	45	4	15	39	14	36	1	0	
Complaints Filed	841	0	30	32	22	81	82	130	76	48	49	151	37	99	4	0	
Complaint Type																	
Written by Complainant	841	0	30	32	22	81	82	130	76	48	49	151	37	99	4	0	
On Order of Chief Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Officials Complained About **																	
Judges																	
Circuit	226	0	14	0	6	21	37	30	18	6	1	61	18	14	0	0	
District	792	0	22	26	12	46	89	69	58	43	41	286	35	65	0	0	
National Courts	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	
Bankruptcy Judges	46	0	1	2	0	6	2	3	4	2	3	19	2	2	0	0	
Magistrate Judges	197	0	2	8	4	8	26	28	14	3	16	58	12	18	0	0	
Nature of Allegations **																	
Mental Disability	20	0	0	2	1	3	1	3	0	1	2	4	1	2	0	0	
Physical Disability	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Demeanor	22	0	0	2	0	3	2	3	2	1	4	4	1	0	0	0	
Abuse of Judicial Power	261	0	9	21	1	0	57	19	6	7	28	48	12	53	0	0	
Prejudice/Bias	298	0	13	19	30	22	19	41	51	10	15	27	19	31	1	0	
Conflict of Interest	46	0	0	5	1	7	4	7	3	0	5	10	4	0	0	0	
Bribery/Corruption	67	0	1	3	1	4	7	2	8	5	2	25	5	4	0	0	
Undue Decisional Delay	81	0	2	6	3	6	8	7	9	9	8	13	7	3	0	0	
Incompetence/Neglect	59	0	0	15	3	2	1	0	4	0	8	16	4	5	1	0	
Other	301	0	0	1	47	39	11	112	1	38	3	18	27	0	4	0	
Complaints Concluded	752	0	21	29	50	40	89	117	78	51	47	94	48	83	5	0	
Action By Chief Judges Complaint Dismissed																	
Not in Conformity With Statute Directly Related to Decision	18	0	0	1	1	0	7	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	
or Procedural Ruling	318	0	12	14	10	24	49	51	18	29	15	25	8	60	3	0	
Frivolous	56	0	4	3	2	0	2	9	2	12	6		7	2	0	0	

Table S-22. (September 30, 2007—Continued)

	Circuits															itional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Appropriate Action Already Taken	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of																
Intervening Events	6	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Subtotal	404	0	18	18	13	24	60	61	27	42	21	35	15	67	3	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	344	0	3	11	37	16	29	54	50	9	26	58	33	16	2	0
Withdrawn	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	348	0	3	11	37	16	29	56	51	9	26	59	33	16	2	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2007	330	0	15	13	0	55	0	35	43	1	17	96	3	52	0	0
pecial Investigating Committees Appointed	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS. ¹ CC = U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.

² CIT = U.S. COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE. * REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDGES. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22A.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364During October 1, 2007, Through May 10, 2008

		Circuits														ationa Courts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT
			1								1				<u> </u>	
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2007*	333	1	12	13	13	54	12	25	42	0	16	87	7	51	0	0
Complaints Filed	491	0	17	12	4	66	37	82	41	43	33	87	17	52	0	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	491	0	17	12	4	66	37	82	41	43	33	87	17	52	0	0
On Order of Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officials Complained About**																
Judges																
Circuit	112	0	4	0	1	14	10	19	9	9	10	24	3	9	0	0
District	344	0	12	9	3	37	38	43	41	32	26	60	13	30	0	0
National Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	24	0	0	1	0	2	4	3	1	1	5	1	6	0	0	0
Magistrate Judges	105	0	1	2	0	13	8	17	9	6	9	26	7	7	0	0
Nature of Allegations**																
Mental Disability	16	0	0	3	1	6	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
Physical Disability	4	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Demeanor	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Abuse of Judicial Power	242	1	11	16	1	2	31	19	6	7	44	59	0	45	0	0
Prejudice/Bias	232	1	2	13	5	27	8	49	18	9	25	30	13	32	0	0
Conflict of Interest	25	0	0	0	0	9	1	3	0	0	5	6	1	0	0	0
Bribery/Corruption	51	0	0	3	1	11	1	0	4	5	13	11	1	1	0	0
Undue Decisional Delay	45	0	1	3	3	8	6	0	1	2	6	7	4	4	0	0
Incompetence/Neglect	46	1	2	4	2	7	2	0	8	1	12	1	1	5	0	0
Other	225	1	0	1	14	56	9	86	1	30	1	8	18	0	0	0
												0	0			
Complaints Concluded	552	1	14	21	17	60	49	93	33	43	46	69	24	82	0	0
Action by Chief Judges																
Complaint Dismissed																
Not in Conformity With Statute	13	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	0	0	0	0
Directly Related to Decision																
or Procedural Ruling	236	1	3	6	9	31	29	22	20	26	13	25	11	40	0	0
Frivolous	23	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	1	6	1	4	1	1	0	0

Table S-22A. (May 10, 2008—Continued)

							Cii	rcuits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC 1	CIT ²
Lacked Factural Foundation	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0
Appropriate Action Already Taken	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Action No Longer Necessary Because of																
Intervening Events	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	288	1	3	8	12	31	30	34	22	32	17	41	12	45	0	0
Action by Judicial Councils																
Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Temporary Suspension																
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed the Complaint	263	0	11	13	6	29	19	58	10	10	29	33	12	33	0	0
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	264	0	11	13	6	29	19	59	10	10	29	33	12	33	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2008	272	0	15	4	0	60	0	14	50	0	3	105	0	21	0	0
Special Investigating Committees Appointed	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

 1 CC = U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.

² CIT = U.S. COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* REVISED.

** EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST NUMEROUS JUDGES. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22B.Report of Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364During May 11, 2008, Through September 30, 2008

							Cir	cuits							C	ationa Courts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Complaints Filed	672	10	20	26	71	44	52	52	35	67	24	144	58	69	0	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	670	10	20	26	71	43	52	52	35	67	24	143	58	69	0	0
On Order of Chief Judge	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Complainants																
Prison Inmates	354	1	4	7	18	14	40	31	18	36	20	83	50	32	0	0
Litigants	303	9	14	17	51	29	12	21	12	32	5	56	8	37	0	0
Attorneys	7	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Public Officials	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	13	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
Judges Complained About																
Circuit Judges	165	4	5	6	10	11	3	8	0	26	7	43	29	13	0	0
District Judges	382	5	14	17	50	25	35	25	24	35	14	77	27	34	0	0
Court of International Trade Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Federal Claims Judges	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	16	0	0	0	3	2	0	3	2	1	0	2	0	3	0	0
Magistrate Judges	107	1	1	3	8	5	14	16	9	5	3	21	2	19	0	0
Nature of Allegations *																
Erroneous Decision	338	4	13	19	26	29	11	39	19	6	13	113	2	44	0	0
Delayed Decision	104	1	1	6	6	12	11	4	8	1	3	44	1	6	0	0
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	18	0	0	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	1	0	0
Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel	29	0	1	3	2	1	1	0	1	5	4	7	4	0	0	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney	69	0	1	6	2	8	4	4	4	0	10	28	0	2	0	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	93	0	4	2	6	2	6	4	2	0	6	30	28	3	0	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney	116	1	5	9	11	4	8	14	13	1	12	22	3	13	0	0
Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse)	46	0	3	5	5	2	3	10	2	2	4	9	0	1	0	0
Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements	s 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Improper Outside Income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acceptance of Bribe	21	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	17	0	1	0	0
Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solicitation of Funds for Organization	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Violation of Other Standards	55	1	1	5	1	1	1	0	9	1	14	20	0	1	0	0
Other Misconduct	262	4	1	9	39	7	24	14	1	60	5	28	57	13	0	0
Disability	30	0	0	2	10	1	2	4	1	0	2	2	5	1	0	0
Actions Regarding the Complaints																
Concluded by Complainant or Subject Judge	4	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn With Consent of Chief Judge	e 4	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Withdrawal of Petition for Review	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22B.	(September 30,	2008—Continued)

							Cir	rcuits								ational ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		
	1	1	1	1	1		1		-1						1	<u> </u>
ctions by Chief Judge																
Matters returned from Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part	199	6	13	2	26	0	37	16	0	28	10	0	32	29	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	23	0	0	2	1	0	11	0	0	5	3	0	1	0	0	0
Merits Related	167	0	10	2	14	0	34	15	0	25	10	0	30	27	0	0
Frivolous	39	0	0	2	4	0	4	4	0	9	8	0	1	7	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	56	0	5	0	9	0	7	0	0	0	4	0	30	1	0	0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Filed in Wrong Circuit	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intervening Events	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ctions by Special Committees																
Matter Returned From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Referred to Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
idicial Council Proceedings																
Matter Returned from Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/From Another Circuit Special Committee Reports Submitted to	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Petition for Review	22	0	0	1	8	0	3	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	0	0
Action on Petition for Review Petition Denied	77	0	8	2	8	0	22	0	0	6	8	0	21	2	0	0
Matter Returned to Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matter Returned to Chief Judge for	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
Appointment of Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Special Committee Report	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
tion on Special Committee Report	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~
Not Misconduct or Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merits Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Remedial Action Taken	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22B. (September 30, 2008—Continued)

							Cir	cuits								tional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Censure or Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ction Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Additional Investigation Warranted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ctions by Chief Justice																
Transferred to Judicial Council	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Received From Judicial Council	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
omplaints Pending on September 30, 2008	465	4	6	24	45	43	13	36	35	39	14	142	26	38	0	0

NOTE: EXCLUDES COMPLAINTS NOT ACCEPTED BY THE CIRCUITS BECAUSE THEY DUPLICATED PREVIOUS FILINGS OR WERE OTHERWISE INVALID FILINGS.

¹ CC = U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.

² CIT = U.S. COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE.

* EACH COMPLAINT MAY INVOLVE MULTIPLE ALLEGATIONS. NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IS COUNTED WHEN A COMPLAINT IS CONCLUDED.

Table S-22A.Report of Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2009, on Complaints Filed Before May 11, 2008

							0									ationa
	Tatal	Fed	DO	4 - 4	Oreal	Oral	-	cuits	Cille	746	046	046	1046	4446		Courts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed.	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		CIT
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2008 ³	165	0	0	3	0	38	0	8	32	0	9	74	0	1	0	0
Complaints Concluded	150	0	0	3	0	37	0	8	24	0	9	68	0	1	0	0
Action by Chief Judges Complaint Dismissed ⁴																
Not in Conformity With Statute Directly Related to Decision	24	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	1	0	9	5	0	0	0	0
or Procedural Ruling	128	0	0	3	0	35	0	6	22	0	9	53	0	0	0	0
Frivolous	95	0	0	2	0	35	0	2	3	0	9	43	0	1	0	0
Lacked Factual Foundation	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Appropriate Action Already Taken Action No Longer Necessary Because of	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Intervening Events	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	258	0	0	9	0	77	0	8	27	0	27	109	0	1	0	0
Action by Judicial Councils Directed Chief District Judge to																
Take Action (Magistrate Judges Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certified Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requested Voluntary Retirement Ordered Temporary Suspension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
of Case Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Privately Censured	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Publicly Censured	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ordered Other Appropriate Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismissed Complaint	85	0	0	3	0	28	0	7	16	0	8	23	0	0	0	0
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial																
Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	87	0	0	3	0	28	0	9	16	0	8	23	0	0	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2009	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
Special Investigating Committees Appointed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NOTE: Excludes complaints not accepted by the circuits because they duplicated previous filings or were otherwise invalid filings.

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims.

² CIT = U.S. Court of International Trade.

³ Revised.

⁴ Each complaint may involve multiple reasons for dismissal.

Table S-22B.Report of Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364 Duringthe 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2009, on Complaints Filed on or After May 11, 2008

Complaints Principal Subsection Subsection <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Ci</th> <th>rcuits</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>ational Courts</th>								Ci	rcuits								ational Courts
Complaints Field 1,543 14 48 81 94 149 138 164 170 111 61 304 73 136 0 Complaint Thy Complanant 1,540 14 48 81 94 149 138 164 170 110 61 304 73 136 0 Or Order of Chel Judges 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 11 10 61 304 73 136 0 Complainants 778 12 9 67 33 61 78 73 58 49 33 128 47 53 0 Litigaris 778 12 38 12 58 73 68 49 33 128 47 53 0 14 55 50 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Summary of Activity	Total	Fed.	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Undersonality of the py Complainant 1.540 14 44 149 138 164 170 110 61 304 71 136 0 0 Complainants * 9 67 33 61 78 73 58 49 33 128 27 30 0 Complainants * 9 51 64 113 66 32 128 27 30 0 Attorneys 34 0 1 1 36 2 16 0 1 0	Complaints Pending on September 30, 2008 ³	498	5	2	22	62	42	22	22	35	36	19	143	52	36	0	0
Write by Complainant 1.540 14 48 81 94 140 138 164 170 110 61 204 71 136 0 Com Order of Chel Judges 3 0	Complaints Filed	1,543	14	48	81	94	149	138	164	170	111	61	304	73	136	0	0
On Order of Chief Judges 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2 0 0 Complainants ' Prison Inmates 778 12 38 12 58 79 51 64 113 60 32 163 23 73 0 Attorneys 34 0 1 0	Complaint Type																
Prison Immates 691 2 9 67 33 61 78 73 58 49 33 128 47 53 0 Attorneys 34 0 1 1 3 6 2 16 0 1 0 4 0																	0 0
Lingants 778 12 38 12 58 79 51 64 113 60 32 163 23 73 0 Attorneys 34 0																	
Attorneys 34 0 1 1 3 6 2 16 0 1 0 4 0 0 0 Other 55 0 0 1 0 4 8 12 0 4 0 14 3 9 0 Judges Complained About																	0
Public Ófficials 1 0																-	0
Other 55 0 0 1 0 4 8 12 0 4 0 14 3 9 0 Judges Complained About 0 0 0 1 0 9 14 14 57 21 34 0												•				-	0
Judges Controllined About 0 0 0 Cincuit Judges 373 11 10 9 15 44 26 31 57 44 14 57 21 34 0 District Judges 869 1 34 52 62 77 86 94 79 59 37 170 43 75 0 Court of International Trade Judges 1 1 0															-		0
Chrout Judges 373 11 10 9 15 44 26 31 57 44 14 57 21 34 0 District Judges 0	Other	55	0	0	1	0	4	8	12	0			14	3	9	0	0
District Judges 869 1 34 52 62 77 86 94 79 59 37 170 43 75 0 Court of International Trade Judges 1 1 0 </td <td>Judges Complained About</td> <td></td>	Judges Complained About																
Court of International Trade Judges 0	Circuit Judges	373	11	10		15	44	26	31	57	44		57	21		0	0
Court of Faderal Claims Judges 1 1 0 <	District Judges	869	1	34	52	62	77	86	94	79	59	37	170	43	75	0	0
Barkruptoy Judges 35 0 1 1 2 3 1 3 5 3 0 9 3 4 0 Magistrate Judges 265 1 3 19 15 25 25 36 29 5 10 68 6 23 0 Vature of Allegations ⁵ Erroneous Decision 970 9 18 77 49 18 33 153 146 8 15 272 46 126 0 Pelayed Decision 195 0 6 4 11 26 29 18 45 18 0 29 5 4 0 Failure to Give Reasons for Decision 24 0 0 2 3 6 4 19 11 2 18 16 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0 0 0 0 0 0 <		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges 35 0 1 1 2 3 1 3 5 3 0 9 3 4 0 Magistrate Judges 265 1 3 19 15 25 25 36 29 5 10 68 6 23 0 Vature of Allegations ⁵ Erroneous Decision 970 9 18 77 49 18 33 153 146 8 15 272 46 126 0 Pelayed Decision 195 0 6 4 11 26 29 18 45 18 0 29 5 4 0 Failure to Give Reasons for Decision 24 0 0 2 3 6 4 19 11 2 18 16 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0 0 0 0 0 0 <	Court of Federal Claims Judges	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nature of Allegations * Erroneous Decision 970 9 18 77 49 18 33 153 146 8 15 272 46 126 0 Delayed Decision 195 0 6 4 11 26 29 18 45 18 0 29 5 4 0 Failure to Give Reasons for Decision 24 0 0 2 3 7 1 2 3 0 4 1 1 0 0 Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney 134 0 3 4 6 19 9 23 23 3 12 22 7 3 0 Personal Bias Against Lingant or Attorney 134 0 3 4 6 19 9 23 23 3 12 22 7 3 0 Personal Bias Against Lingant or Attorney 17 0 6 15 13 40 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0		35	0	1	1	2	3	1	3	5	3	0	9	3	4	0	0
Erroneous Decision 970 9 18 77 49 18 33 153 146 8 15 272 46 126 0 Delayed Decision 195 0 6 4 11 26 29 18 45 18 0 29 5 4 0 Failure to Give Reasons for Decision 24 0 0 2 3 7 1 2 3 0 4 1 1 0 0 Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney 134 0 3 4 6 19 9 23 3 12 22 7 3 0 Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney 317 0 6 15 13 40 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0 Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse) 129 0 2 3 17 19 7 22 18 1 5 27 7 1 0 Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure R	Magistrate Judges	265	1	3	19	15	25	25	36	29	5	10	68	6	23	0	0
Delayed Decision 195 0 6 4 11 26 29 18 45 18 0 29 5 4 0 Failure to Give Reasons for Decision 24 0 0 2 3 7 1 2 3 0 4 1 1 0 0 Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel 93 0 2 3 3 6 4 19 11 2 18 16 9 0 0 Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney 134 0 3 4 6 19 9 23 23 3 12 22 7 3 0 Recial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias 93 0 1 2 15 7 7 2 4 16 2 7 7 1 0 Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse) 129 0 2 3 17 19 7 22 <td< td=""><td>Nature of Allegations 5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Nature of Allegations 5																
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision 24 0 0 2 3 7 1 2 3 0 4 1 1 0 0 Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel 93 0 2 3 3 6 4 19 11 2 18 16 9 0 0 Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney 134 0 3 4 6 19 9 23 23 3 12 22 7 3 0 Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias 93 0 1 2 15 7 23 7 7 2 4 16 2 7 0 Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney 317 0 6 15 13 40 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0	Erroneous Decision	970	9	18	77	49	18	33	153	146	8	15	272	46	126	0	0
Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel 93 0 2 3 3 6 4 19 11 2 18 16 9 0 0 Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney 134 0 3 4 6 19 9 23 23 3 12 22 7 3 0 Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias 93 0 1 2 15 7 7 2 4 16 2 7 0 Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney 317 0 6 15 13 40 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0 Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse) 129 0 2 3 17 19 7 22 18 1 5 27 7 1 0 Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Delayed Decision	195	0	6	4	11	26	29	18	45	18	0	29	5	4	0	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney 134 0 3 4 6 19 9 23 23 3 12 22 7 3 0 Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias 93 0 1 2 15 7 23 7 7 2 4 16 2 7 0 Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney 317 0 6 15 13 40 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0 Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse) 129 0 2 3 17 19 7 22 18 1 5 27 7 1 0 Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements 4 0<	Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	24	0	0	2	3	7	1	2	3	0	4	1	1	0	0	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias 93 0 1 2 15 7 23 7 7 2 4 16 2 7 0 Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney 317 0 6 15 13 40 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0 Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse) 129 0 2 3 17 19 7 22 18 1 5 27 7 1 0 Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements 4 0	Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel	93	0	2	3	3	6	4	19	11	2	18	16	9	0	0	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney 317 0 6 15 13 40 14 49 31 32 19 63 13 22 0 Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse) 129 0 2 3 17 19 7 22 18 1 5 27 7 1 0 Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements 4 0		134	0	3	4	6	19	9	23	23	3	12	22	7	3	0	0
Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse) 129 0 2 3 17 19 7 22 18 1 5 27 7 1 0 Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements 4 0 <td>Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias</td> <td>93</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>15</td> <td></td> <td>23</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>16</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	93	0	1	2	15		23	7	7	2	4	16			0	0
Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements 4 0										31	32					0	0
Improper Outside Income 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>0</td>			-												•	•	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement 6 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 Acceptance of Bribe 18 0 1 1 4 1 2 3 3 1 1 0				-				-			-				-	•	0
Acceptance of Bribe 18 0 1 1 1 4 1 2 3 3 1 1 0 0 0 Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative 13 0 1 1 2 1 5 0 0 0 2 0 0 1 0 Solicitation of Funds for Organization 0																•	0
Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative 13 0 1 1 2 1 5 0 0 0 2 0 0 1 0 Solicitation of Funds for Organization 0				-			•		-	•		-	-			•	0
Solicitation of Funds for Organization 0						•				-		•		-	-	-	0
Violation of Other Standards 115 3 0 5 26 13 9 6 2 2 30 16 1 2 0 Other Misconduct 507 5 27 65 34 96 39 38 32 74 12 35 48 2 0 Disability 38 0 0 0 1 5 0 11 0 2 3 7 5 4 0 Actions Regarding the Complaints Concluded by Complainant or Subject Judge 9 0 0 1 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 7 5 4 0 Actions Regarding the Complaints				•			-								-		0
Other Misconduct 507 5 27 65 34 96 39 38 32 74 12 35 48 2 0 Disability 38 0 0 0 1 5 0 11 0 2 3 7 5 4 0 Actions Regarding the Complaints Concluded by Complainant or Subject Judge 9 0 0 1 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 7 5 4 0 Actions Regarding the Complaints 5 0 1 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1				-					-							-	0
Disability 38 0 0 1 5 0 11 0 2 3 7 5 4 0 Actions Regarding the Complaints																-	0
Concluded by Complainant or Subject Judge 9 0 0 1 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 Complaint Withdrawn with Consent of Chief Judge 9 0 0 1 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 1 0																	0 0
Concluded by Complainant or Subject Judge 9 0 0 1 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 Complaint Withdrawn with Consent of Chief Judge 9 0 0 1 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 1 0	Actions Descriptions the Completions																
Complaint Withdrawn with Consent of Chief Judge 9 0 0 1 3 3 0 0 0 1 0 1 0		0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	~	0	~	4	~	4	0	~
						•						-			•	-	0
	Withdrawal of Petition for Review	9	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0 0

Table S-22B. (September 30, 2009—Continued)

																tional
								rcuits							-	ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC 1	CIT ²
ctions by Chief Judge																
Matters returned from Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part ⁶	1.163	12	48	81	84	79	130	115	67	113	52	172	78	132	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	146	0	0	71	2	23	13	3	5	5	5	13	4	2	0	0
Merits Related	1,022	8	22	80	82	77	116	107	61	89	45	144	74	117	0	0
Frivolous	283	0	0	19	0	55	8	63	1	28	29	66	0	14	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	203 509	2	37	19	58	61	79	17	13	20	30	119	46	37	0	0
5	509	2	0					0	0	9	0	0		37 1		0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	•	-	-	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	0		0	-
Filed in Wrong Circuit	7	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	95	1	2	0	1	2	6	0	0	2	9	70	0	2	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	11	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0
Intervening Events	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	6	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
ctions by Special Committees																
Matter Returned From Judicial Council	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Referred to Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Releffed to Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
udicial Council Proceedings															0	0
Matter returned from Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/From Another Circuit Special Committee Reports Submitted to	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Petition for Review	479	1	8	12	44	36	58	53	42	53	31	39	58	44	0	0
Action on Petition for Review Petition Denied	475	1	8	12	44	34	65	56	42	57	34	39	58 54	51	0	0
Matter Returned to Chief Judge	405	0	0	0	41	34 0	0	0	0	0	0	39 0	54 0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matter Returned to Chief Judge for	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Appointment of Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Received Special Committee Report	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
ction on Special Committee Report																
		0	0	~	~	4	~	0	~	0	~	~	~	0	~	~
Complaint Dismissed	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merits Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Remedial Action Taken	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22B. (September 30, 2009—Continued)

							Cii	rcuits								itional ourts
Summary of Activity	Total	Fed	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Censure or Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Additional Investigation Warranted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ctions by Chief Justice																
Transferred to Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
omplaints Terminated as Final Between																
October 1, 2008, and September 30, 2009	1,130	12	35	90	81	71	126	103	30	136	60	146	96	144	0	0
mplaints Pending on September 30, 2009	911	7	15	13	75	120	34	83	175	11	20	301	29	28	0	0

NOTE: Excludes complaints not accepted by the circuits because they duplicated previous filings or were otherwise invalid filings.

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims.

² CIT = U.S. Court of International Trade.

³ Revised.

⁴ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants.

⁵ Each complaint may involve multiple allegations.

⁶ Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

Table S-22.Report of Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. 351-364 Duringthe 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2010, on Complaints Filed on or After May 11, 2008

							Ci	rcuits								onal urts
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2009 ³	854	7	5	13	64	117	36	65	175	10	20	291	18	33	0	0
Complaints Filed	1,448	5	93	30	96	123	153	231	137	110	48	199	62	161	0	0
Complaint Type																
Written by Complainant	1,447	5	93	30	96	123	153	231	137	110	48	199	61	161	0	0
On Order of Chief Judges	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Complainants ⁴																
Prison Inmates	619	1	25	4	44	36	71	114	70	19	25	95	26	89	0	0
Litigants	750	4	66	20	37	83	76	96	64	85	20	96	33	70	0	0
Attorneys	19	0	1	1	4	2	1	1	2	5	0	2	0	0	0	0
Public Officials	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	29	0	1	0	3	1	2	15	0	0	2	1	2	2	0	0
Judges Complained About																
Circuit Judges	298	3	43	6	11	25	19	54	16	30	7	41	10	33	0	0
District Judges	812	1	48	18	68	74	93	116	86	54	23	105	34	92	0	0
Court of International Trade Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Federal Claims Judges	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	44	0	1	5	1	4	3	4	1	11	1	9	1	3	0	0
Magistrate Judges	293	0	1	1	16	20	38	57	34	15	17	44	17	33	0	0
Nature of Allegations ⁵																
Erroneous Decision	872	5	57	23	18	17	22	216	118	1	28	188	50	129	0	0
Delayed Decision	137	0	5	4	13	20	17	21	19	0	0	10	10	18	0	0
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	14	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	0
Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel	116	0	11	2	8	9	12	22	6	2	7	20	6	11	0	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney	114	0	11	6	7	12	17	20	12	2	6	9	6	6	0	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	83	0	1	0	10	2	10	17	8	2	5	21	3	4	0	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney	281	0	8	14	15	23	21	57	33	2	8	57	20	23	0	0
Conflict of Interest (Incl. Refusal to Recuse)	129	0	1	3	7	15	16	25	16	2	5	16	4	19	0	0
Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Improper Outside Income	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement	8	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Acceptance of Bribe	21	0	2	0	0	5	2	2	7	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative	17	0	8	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Solicitation of Funds for Organization	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Violation of Other Standards	94	0	0	12	7	5	3	3	1	5	27	1	1	29	0	0
Other Misconduct	465	0	43	15	72	101	63	18	14	105	1	10	23	0	0	0
Disability	18	0	0	1	4	3	0	3	1	1	0	4	0	1	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2010—Continued)

																onal
				1	1		-	ircuits	1							urts
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Actions Regarding Complaints																
Concluded by Complainant or Subject Judge	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	2	0	4	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn with Consent of Chief Judge	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	2	0	4	0	0
Withdrawal of Petition for Review	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Chief Judges																
Matters returned from Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part ⁶	1,277	11	67	28	87	110	142	217	15	100	61	283	51	105	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	150	0	3	24	3	11	0	31	1	4	0	60	4	9	0	0
Merits-Related	1,066	9	45	22	73	84	127	199	14	94	49	215	43	92	0	0
Frivolous	358	0	0	23	1	71	18	98	1	35	22	87	0	2	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	669	0	42	6	64	63	140	47	0	2	33	191	43	38	0	0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Filed in Wrong Circuit	24	2	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	259	0	2	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	30	203	0	1	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	15	0	6	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Intervening Events	14	0	6	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Actions by Special Committees																
Matter Returned From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Referred to Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council Proceedings																
Matter returned from Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/From Another Circuit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Special Committee Reports Submitted to																
Judicial Council	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Received Petition for Review	513	10	17	6	43	49	74	107	7	54	23	81	13	29	0	0
Action on Petition for Review		-		-	-								-	-	-	-
Petition Denied	555	10	18	9	46	49	70	100	35	58	25	81	19	35	0	0
Matter Returned to Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matter Returned to Chief Judge for	č	5	2	č	2	č		÷	5	Ũ	č	č	0	2	2	5
Appointment of Special Committee	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	Ő	0	Ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	Ő	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2010—Continued)

							Ciı	cuits							Natio	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Received Special Committee Report	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Action on Special Committee Report																
Complaint Dismissed	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merits Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Remedial Action Taken																
Censure or Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Additional Investigation Warranted																
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Chief Justice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transferred to Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints Terminated as Final During the																
12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2010	1,159	12	75	33	79	68	71	200	43	105	65	250	50	108	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2010	1,143	0	23	10	81	172	118	96	269	15	3	240	30	86	0	0

NOTE: Excludes complaints not accepted by the circuits because they duplicated previous filings or were otherwise invalid filings.

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims.

² CIT = U.S. Court of International Trade.

³ Revised.

⁴ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants.

⁵ Each complaint may involve multiple allegations.

⁶ Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

Table S-22Report of Complaints Commenced and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. § 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2011

		<u> </u>				Circ	uits									onal urts
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2010 ³	962	0	21	9	54	156	25	97	268	10	4	214	26	78	0	0
Complaints Filed	1,404	1	56	45	96	172	110	183	101	71	115	200	64	190	0	0
Sources of Complaints																
Filed by Complainants	1,404	1	56	45	96	172	110	183	101	71	115	200	64	190	0	0
Identified by Circuit Chief Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complainants ⁴																
Prison Inmates	593	0	4	16	27	65	58	93	55	20	72	39	37	107	0	0
Litigants	821	1	51	26	74	144	60	78	47	50	40	151	19	80	0	0
Attorneys	22	0	1	3	6	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	1	3	0	0
Public Officials	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	54	0	0	10	1	0	3	17	2	0	2	10	7	2	0	0
Judges Complained About																
Circuit Judges	330	1	22	10	11	74	19	27	15	15	23	72	12	29	0	0
District Judges	754	0	32	19	56	72	66	101	68	45	68	86	35	106	0	0
Court of International Trade Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Federal Claims Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	57	0	1	7	8	4	8	3	2	6	2	9	2	5	0	0
Magistrate Judges	263	0	1	9	21	22	17	52	16	5	22	33	15	50	0	0
Nature of Allegations ⁵																
Erroneous Decision	773	1	24	36	10	3	35	171	81	1	43	173	57	138	0	0
Delayed Decision	138	0	0	5	10	20	16	22	24	2	5	17	1	16	0	0
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	18	0	0	1	2	1	3	1	0	0	8	1	0	1	0	0
Improper Discussions with Party or Counsel	86	0	1	5	9	3	5	7	7	0	18	14	6	11	0	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney	123	0	4	7	12	6	17	7	12	4	17	13	7	17	0	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	121	0	2	0	17	5	9	16	16	0	14	18	9	15	0	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney	255	0	4	15	17	26	9	49	20	7	16	32	21	39	0	0
Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse)	136	0	1	4	2	19	11	14	19	2	15	26	5	18	0	0
Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2011—Continued)

					Circ	uite										ional urts
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th		
Nature of Allegations (Continued)																
Improper Outside Income	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement	36	0	0	1	0	32	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Acceptance of Bribe	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	1	0	0	3	0	0
Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative	22	0	1	1	0	1	15	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Solicitation of Funds for Organization	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Violation of Other Standards	251	0	0	7	14	1	12	1	1	1	74	3	2	135	0	0
Other Misconduct	473	0	36	13	60	153	37	27	19	66	3	31	28	0	0	0
Disability	40	0	0	2	8	1	1	2	1	0	1	11	7	6	0	0
Actions Regarding Complaints																
Terminated by Complainant or Subject Judge	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn with Consent																
of Circuit Chief Judge	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
Withdrawal of Petition for Review	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Circuit Chief Judge																
Matters Returned from Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part 6	1,540	1	75	28	88	217	123	213	9	73	101	307 ⁷	75	230	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	95	0	0	13	12	3	6	25	0	3	0	21	3	9	0	0
Merits Related	1,325	1	46	26	67	208	113	199	9	68	91	232	68	197	0	0
Frivolous	415	0	1	22	5	206	9	60	0	2	80	29	0	1	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	938	0	47	9	52	207	79	37	5	1	36	214	61	190	0	0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Filed in Wrong Circuit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	167	0	2	0	0	15	0	0	0	4	3	143	0	0	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	24	0	0	0	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Intervening Events	21	0	0	0	7	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2011—Continued)

					Circ	uits										ional urts
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	
Actions by Special Committees																
Matter Returned from Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Referred to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council Proceedings																
Matter returned from Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/from Another Circuit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Special Committee Reports Submitted to																
Judicial Council	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Received Petition for Review	590	0	36	15	35	52	43	111	5	45	34	105	43	66	0	0
Action on Petition for Review																
Petition Denied	644	0	37	16	37	62	40	117	12	39	37	136	45	66	0	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge for																
Appointment of Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Special Committee Report	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Action on Special Committee Report																
Complaint Dismissed	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merits Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Remedial Action Taken																
Censure or Reprimand	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2011—Continued)

					Circ	uits										onal urts
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC ¹	CIT ²
Additional Investigation Warranted																
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Chief Justice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transferred to Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints Terminated by Final Action During 12-Month Period Ending																
September 30, 2011	1,454	0	73	33	101	82	82	234	16	77	105	333	83	235	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2011	912	1	4	21	49	246	53	46	353	4	14	81	7	33	0	0

NOTE: Excludes complaints not accepted by the circuits because they duplicated previous filings or were otherwise invalid filings.

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims.

² CIT = U.S. Court of International Trade.

³ Revised.

⁴ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants.

⁵ Each complaint may involve multiple allegations.

⁶ Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

⁷ One complaint in the 9th Circuit was initially dismissed by a judicial council order.

Table S-22Report of Complaints Commenced and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. § 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2012

						Circ	uits								Natio Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2011 ²	724	0	7	27	52	85	21	45	354	9	14	77	8	25	0	0
Complaints Filed	1,364	2	43	38	76	95	181	185	130	93	102	150	33	236	0	0
Sources of Complaints																
Filed by Complainant	1,362	2	43	38	75	95	181	185	130	93	102	149	33	236	0	0
Identified by Circuit Chief Judges	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Complainants ³																
Prison Inmates	648	1	1	7	20	29	85	103	70	31	78	51	13	159	0	0
Litigants	644	1	42	28	53	58	73	66	56	55	37	80	25	70	0	0
Attorneys	29	0	0	1	0	10	0	2	6	4	1	5	0	0	0	0
Public Officials	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	76	0	0	2	3	0	28	17	1	3	1	14	0	7	0	0
Judges Complained About																
Circuit Judges	285	2	10	6	13	20	24	35	33	30	33	32	3	44	0	0
District Judges	803	0	29	22	54	56	124	99	71	53	55	94	22	124	0	0
Court of International Trade Judges	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Federal Claims Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	40	0	0	0	2	3	2	5	7	2	2	4	2	11	0	0
Magistrate Judges	235	0	4	10	7	16	31	45	19	8	12	20	6	57	0	0
Nature of Allegations ³																
Erroneous Decision	833	2	15	31	27	0	85	163	113	7	53	125	30	182	0	0
Delayed Decision	117	0	4	5	6	7	15	19	24	5	5	10	4	13	0	0
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	7	1	0	5	0	0
Improper Discussions with Party or Counsel	84	0	1	2	5	7	6	13	9	2	10	15	6	8	0	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney	105	0	2	6	8	7	15	17	7	3	10	14	3	13	0	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	144	1	1	1	2	6	47	21	7	1	14	33	0	10	0	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney	258	0	3	8	11	17	16	80	23	7	15	37	7	34	0	0
Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal																
to Recuse)	127	0	0	4	4	11	7	33	15	0	10	12	1	30	0	0
Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure																
Requirements	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0 Page	0 1 of 4

Table S-22. (September 30, 2012—Continued)

					Circ	uits										ional Irts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	
Nature of Allegations (Continued)																
Improper Outside Income	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
Acceptance of Bribe	15	0	2	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative	9	0	0	0	1	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solicitation of Funds for Organization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Violation of Other Standards	287	0	0	7	3	0	14	1	1	4	31	14	1	211	0	0
Other Misconduct	382	0	24	11	21	91	67	18	27	72	4	33	14	0	0	0
Disability	55	0	1	0	1	0	18	4	12	1	1	5	0	12	0	0
Actions Regarding Complaints																
Terminated by Complainant or Subject Judge	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn with Consent																
of Circuit Chief Judge	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Withdrawal of Petition for Review	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Circuit Chief Judge																
Matters Returned from Judicial Council																
or Judicial Conference Committee	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part ³	1,265	2	40	45	77	120	179	218	17	87	91	131	33	225	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	77	0	0	16	6	3	7	15	1	9	0	11	5	4	0	0
Merits Related	1,075	2	25	40	48	113	168	195	13	66	89	89	30	197	0	0
Frivolous	316	0	0	37	1	111	7	59	4	1	67	28	0	1	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	812	0	30	6	41	119	149	91	9	10	75	73	18	191	0	0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Filed in Wrong Circuit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	11	41	0	0	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intervening Events	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2012—Continued)

					Circ	uits										ional urts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIJ
Actions hu Special Committees																
Actions by Special Committees Matter Returned From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
New Matter Referred to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council Proceedings																
Matter returned from Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/from Another Circuit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Special Committee Reports Submitted to																
Judicial Council	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Received Petition for Review	556	0	18	29	35	86	113	90	8	42	24	24	18	69	0	0
Action on Petition for Review																
Petition Denied	518	0	17	35	35	84	103	84	5	43	23	23	17	49	0	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge for																
Appointment of Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Special Committee Report	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Action on Special Committee Report																
Complaint Dismissed	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merits Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Remedial Action Taken																
Censure or Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2012—Continued)

					Circ	uits									Nati Cou	ional Irts ¹
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Additional Investigation Warranted																
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Chief Justice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transferred to Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints Terminated by Final Action																
During 12-Month Period Ending																
September 30, 2011	1,352	2	48	59	78	155	200	221	16	102	88	138	33	212	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2012	736	0	2	6	50	25	2	9	468	0	28	89	8	49	0	0

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims. CIT = U.S. Court of International Trade.

² Revised.

³ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants. Each complaint may involve multiple allegations. Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

Table S-22Report of Complaints Commenced and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. § 351-364During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2013

						Circ	uits								Natio Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CI
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2012 ²	827	0	4	7	47	44	28	21	473	14	30	87	9	63	0	0
Complaints Filed	1,219	0	42	19	83	77	142	145	148	103	41	207	59	153	0	0
Sources of Complaints																
Filed by Complainant	1,219	0	42	19	83	77	142	145	148	103	41	207	59	153	0	0
Identified by Circuit Chief Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complainants ³																
Prison Inmates	528	0	0	7	26	17	66	76	76	32	27	104	27	70	0	0
Litigants	665	0	35	11	53	58	72	73	70	67	15	113	25	73	0	0
Attorneys	33	0	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	5	7	6	0	0
Public Officials	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	70	0	17	0	1	0	4	29	4	5	1	4	0	5	0	0
Judges Complained About																
Circuit Judges	313	0	6	4	16	13	38	48	35	16	1	66	28	42	0	0
District Judges	662	0	33	9	52	47	85	68	80	72	29	100	16	71	0	0
Court of International Trade Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Federal Claims Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankruptcy Judges	53	0	0	2	8	11	4	6	6	3	0	6	1	6	0	0
Magistrate Judges	191	0	3	4	7	6	15	23	27	12	11	35	14	34	0	0
Nature of Allegations ³																
Erroneous Decision	879	0	21	15	21	12	89	133	130	81	19	191	53	114	0	0
Delayed Decision	106	0	6	4	4	3	9	8	23	9	2	9	7	22	0	0
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	2	15	0	6	0	0
Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel	118	0	1	1	1	0	9	15	7	1	4	56	8	15	0	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney	95	0	4	3	10	3	8	11	13	5	3	11	6	18	0	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	85	0	1	2	2	5	25	19	8	1	3	7	1	11	0	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to	259	0	8	5	15	5	18	50	23	9	10	44	14	58	0	0
Recuse) Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure	160	0	1	1	0	3	11	26	20	0	5	43	4	46	0	0
Requirements	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	1	0	U	U	U	0	U	U	U	0	U	0	U	I.	Daga	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2013—Continued)

					Circ	uits									Natio Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Nature Of Allegations (Continued)																
Improper Outside Income	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acceptance of Bribe	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative	17	0	2	0	0	0	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solicitation of Funds for Organization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Violation of Other Standards	46	0	0	1	0	1	14	2	1	0	13	8	0	6	0	0
Other Misconduct	297	0	17	10	30	69	39	11	31	7	0	39	23	21	0	0
Disability	27	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	8	1	1	3	0	4	0	0
Actions Regarding Complaints																
Withdrawn	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn with Consent of Circuit																
Chief Judge	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Withdrawal of Petition for Review	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Circuit Chief Judge																
Matters returned from Judicial Council or																
Judicial Conference Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part ³	1,147	0	39	17	95	81	144	128	198	86	58	100	57	144	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	41	0	1	5	4	0	5	13	4	1	0	6	0	2	0	0
Merits Related	981	0	25	16	59	74	135	114	181	80	53	77	49	118	0	0
Frivolous	220	0	0	16	3	77	8	36	3	9	40	28	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	741	0	35	0	68	77	126	48	96	6	42	82	32	129	0	0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Filed in Wrong Circuit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	26	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	23	0	0	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intervening Events	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2013—Continued)

					Circ	uits										ional urts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	
Actions by Special Committees																
Matter Returned From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Referred to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council Proceedings																
Matter returned from Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/from Another Circuit Special Committee Reports Submitted to	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Petition for Review Action on Petition for Review	544	0	15	10	46	39	90	73	41	39	38	39	37	77	0	0
Petition Denied	559	0	16	10	39	52	96	55	38	43	36	46	37	91	0	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge for	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Appointment of Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Special Committee Report	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action on Special Committee Report ³																
Complaint Dismissed	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merits Related	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference Remedial Action Taken	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Censure or Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2013—Continued)

					Circ	uits									Nati Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Additional Investigation Warranted																
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Chief Justice ⁴	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transferred to Judicial Council	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received From Judicial Council	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints Terminated by Final Action																
During 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2013	1,167	0	36	19	83	87	153	105	199	92	58	107	57	171	0	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2013	879	0	10	7	47	34	17	61	422	25	13	187	11	45	0	0

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims; CIT = U.S. Court of International Trade.

² Revised.

³ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants. Each complaint may involve multiple allegations. Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

⁴ The chief justice transferred one complaint from the 5th Circuit to the DC Circuit.

Table S-22Report of Complaints Commenced and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2014

							Circ	uits							Natio Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2013 ²	840	0	6	6	43	31	16	55	422	12	9	187	11	42	0	0
Complaints Filed	1,233	6	35	18	93	111	146	130	137	114	33	204	54	134	18	0
Sources of Complaints																
Filed by Complainant	1,231	6	35	18	93	111	145	130	137	114	33	204	54	133	18	0
Identified by Circuit Chief Judges	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Complainants ³																
Prison Inmates	481	0	0	4	16	53	61	57	60	63	15	63	26	63	0	0
Litigants	689	8	32	14	73	55	69	58	71	44	16	142	25	64	18	0
Attorneys	38	0	1	2	3	6	1	7	6	0	3	4	1	4	0	0
Public Officials	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	53	0	2	0	1	0	16	15	1	8	0	0	2	8	0	0
Judges Complained About																
Circuit Judges	241	5	5	0	24	35	29	16	25	31	1	48	2	20	0	0
District Judges	675	1	27	13	53	58	86	78	70	63	23	97	25	79	2	0
Court of International Trade Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Federal Claims Judges	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
Bankruptcy Judges	69	0	2	1	7	5	7	6	13	6	0	14	4	4	0	0
Magistrate Judges	234	0	1	4	9	13	24	30	29	14	9	45	23	31	2	0
Nature of Allegations ³																
Erroneous Decision	973	5	11	16	42	108	116	111	129	86	16	170	43	112	8	0
Delayed Decision	118	1	0	0	2	4	19	12	12	17	4	33	3	11	0	0
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	17	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	3	4	0	5	1	0
Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel	78	1	2	0	1	0	9	6	11	4	7	22	6	7	2	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney	92	0	2	5	6	2	6	10	12	0	14	13	4	7	11	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	83	1	0	1	4	10	20	14	4	0	3	24	0	1	1	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal	321	1	2	10	18	8	36	54	19	4	18	53	19	79	0	0
to Recuse) Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure	119	1	5	1	1	0	22	22	4	1	10	18	4	26	4	0
Requirements	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ogonomono			Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Dara	

Table S-22. (September 30, 2014—Continued)

							Circ	uits								ional Irts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	
Nature of Allegations (Continued)																
Improper Outside Income	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	0
Acceptance of Bribe	17	0	2	0	0	0	4	2	0	2	1	1	2	3	0	0
Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative	13	0	1	0	0	0	8	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Solicitation of Funds for Organization	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Violation of Other Standards	59	0	0	7	0	1	14	3	1	0	10	1	1	21	0	0
Other Misconduct	287	5	22	11	20	51	50	22	12	5	0	48	19	22	0	0
Disability	50	0	1	0	0	0	5	5	5	0	0	22	0	12	0	0
Actions Regarding Complaints																
Withdrawn	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn With Consent of Circuit																
Chief Judge	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Withdrawal of Petition for Review	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Circuit Chief Judge																
Matters Returned From Judicial Council																
or Judicial Conference Committee	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part ³	1,480	0	34	21	103	109	136	145	478	98	26	128	57	130	15	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	80	0	1	9	5	0	3	29	6	10	2	11	3	1	0	0
Merits Related	1,200	0	25	20	51	97	125	125	402	63	21	96	44	125	6	0
Frivolous	245	0	0	12	1	104	0	56	7	9	17	37	2	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	1,008	0	28	13	71	107	120	80	295	15	19	85	46	124	5	0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Filed in Wrong Circuit	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	52	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	1	43	0	1	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	16	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intervening Events	13	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2014—Continued)

							Circ	cuits								onal Irts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Actions by Special Committees																
Matter Returned From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Referred to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council Proceedings																
Matter returned from Judicial Conference	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/From Another Circuit Special Committee Reports Submitted to	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Received Petition for Review	566	0	18	4	47	65	72	66	125	60	14	11	23	57	4	0
Action on Petition for Review																
Petition Denied	532	0	13	4	49	65	72	91	75	60	16	13	18	53	3	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge for																
Appointment of Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Special Committee Report	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Action on Special Committee Report																
Complaint Dismissed	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Merits Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Remedial Action Taken																
Censure or Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2014—Continued)

							Circ	uits							Nati Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Additional Investigation Warranted																
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Chief Justice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints Terminated by Final Action During 12-Month Period Ending																
September 30, 2014	1,417	0	24	21	92	102	144	168	428	105	27	118	47	127	14	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2014	656	6	17	3	44	40	18	17	131	21	15	273	18	49	4	0

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims; CIT = U.S. Court of International Trade.

² Revised.

³ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants. Each complaint may involve multiple allegations. Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

Table S-22Report of Complaints Commenced and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2015

							Circ	uits							Natio Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2014 ²	609	6	15	3	37	32	14	15	131	15	15	262	17	47	0	0
Complaints Filed	1,214	9	46	30	71	111	163	127	135	81	47	183	37	161	13	0
Sources of Complaints																
Filed by Complainant	1,214	9	46	30	71	111	163	127	135	81	47	183	37	161	13	0
Identified by Circuit Chief Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complainants ³																
Prison Inmates	572	2	0	8	18	58	91	64	66	38	33	94	22	78	0	0
Litigants	632	7	47	21	52	57	73	62	69	42	13	85	11	80	13	0
Attorneys	17	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	1	4	4	2	0	0
Public Officials	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	5	0	0
Judges Complained About																
Circuit Judges	204	8	12	9	8	12	47	20	13	14	2	37	4	18	0	0
District Judges	754	1	34	16	52	79	93	76	89	53	36	98	29	91	7	0
Court of International Trade Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Federal Claims Judges	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
Bankruptcy Judges	42	0	0	1	4	3	4	1	2	2	2	7	0	16	0	0
Magistrate Judges	208	0	0	4	7	17	19	30	31	12	7	41	4	36	0	0
Nature of Allegations ³																
Erroneous Decision	962	8	19	23	28	108	125	101	121	71	27	144	28	148	11	0
Delayed Decision	106	0	10	4	3	2	5	26	6	8	4	16	6	16	0	0
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	9	1	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel	77	0	5	2	1	1	21	12	1	1	5	15	2	11	0	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney	82	0	3	6	8	1	7	3	3	1	8	13	4	24	1	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	109	0	12	3	3	1	26	14	0	0	7	18	4	20	1	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney	297	4	4	12	20	4	39	34	9	1	22	53	5	89	1	0
Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse) Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure	165	2	3	8	0	6	35	31	6	0	10	25	2	35	2	0
Requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2015—Continued)

							Circ	uits								ional ırts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	
Nature of Allegations (Continued)																
Improper Outside Income	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0
Acceptance of Bribe	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	16	0	0
Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative	52	0	2	0	0	0	37	0	1	0	2	2	1	7	0	0
Solicitation of Funds for Organization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Violation of Other Standards	78	3	1	0	0	1	20	0	1	0	25	1	0	26	0	0
Other Misconduct	300	4	19	14	6	47	58	33	1	8	0	49	25	36	0	0
Disability	28	0	1	0	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	3	2	12	0	0
Actions Regarding Complaints																
Withdrawn	9	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn With Consent																
of Circuit Chief Judge	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Withdrawal of Petition for Review	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Circuit Chief Judge																
Matters Returned From Judicial Council																
or Judicial Conference Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part ³	1,384	7	24	18	86	119	147	128	146	72	51	402	42	125	17	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	82	0	4	5	4	1	8	9	15	4	14	13	4	1	0	0
Merits Related	1,131	3	15	17	39	102	128	108	126	45	47	343	35	115	8	0
Frivolous	352	0	0	14	0	116	14	59	36	16	28	69	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	921	1	16	4	60	115	128	48	39	10	39	308	32	112	9	0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Filed in Wrong Circuit	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	8	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	92	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	2	83	0	2	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intervening Events	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2015—Continued)

							Circ	cuits								ional Irts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Actions by Special Committees																
Matter Returned From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Referred to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council Proceedings																
Matter Returned from Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/From Another Circuit Special Committee Reports Submitted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
to Judicial Council	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4 ⁴	0	0
Received Petition for Review	495	5	18	3	44	34	53	62	62	27	25	109	13	36	4	0
Action on Petition for Review																
Petition Denied	477	5	24	2	43	33	53	52	58	34	26	82	16	4 4	5	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge for																
Appointment of Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Special Committee Report	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4 4	0	0
Action on Special Committee Report ³	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 4	0	0
Complaint Dismissed	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merits Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 ⁴	0	0
Remedial Action Taken																
Censure or Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2015—Continued)

							Circ	uits							Nati Cou	
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Additional Investigation Warranted																
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Chief Justice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transferred to Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints Terminated by Final Action During 12-Month Period Ending																
September 30, 2015	1,302	12	34	18	82	114	132	121	141	83	42	333	40	140	10	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2015	521	3	27	15	26	29	45	21	125	13	20	112	14	68	3	0

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims; CIT= U.S. Court of International Trade.

² Revised.

³ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants. Each complaint may involve multiple allegations. Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

⁴ All four complaints were against the same judge.

Table S-22Report of Complaints Commenced and Action Taken Under Authority of 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64During the 12-Month Period Ending September 30, 2016

							Circ	uits								onal urts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2015	² 444	3	27	15	20	29	26	19	124	9	13	82	12	63	2	0
Complaints Filed	1,303	20	61	49	107	75	168	149	104	101	91	163	51	155	9	0
Sources of Complaints																
Filed by Complainant	1,302	20	61	49	107	75	168	149	104	100	91	163	51	155	9	0
Identified by Circuit Chief Judges	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complainants ³																
Prison Inmates	550	12	1	9	20	28	82	84	56	39	47	76	13	81	2	0
Litigants	678	8	41	37	76	46	68	61	47	60	41	81	30	75	7	0
Attorneys	42	0	18	2	6	2	0	3	2	1	2	4	2	0	0	0
Public Officials	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Other	43	0	0	1	4	0	18	5	1	1	5	4	4	0	0	0
Judges Complained About																
Circuit Judges	311	9	38	18	37	12	32	19	17	26	23	33	14	29	4	0
District Judges	723	7	23	23	55	50	111	92	64	69	47	81	22	75	4	0
Court of International Trade Judges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Court of Federal Claims Judges	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Bankruptcy Judges	39	0	0	1	6	4	8	2	2	2	2	3	3	6	0	0
Magistrate Judges	225	0	0	7	9	9	17	36	21	4	19	46	12	45	0	0
Nature of Allegations ³																
Erroneous Decision	1,070	9	36	46	65	75	123	130	83	90	73	149	46	141	4	0
Delayed Decision	71	4	2	1	4	0	9	19	6	1	2	10	0	12	1	0
Failure to Give Reasons for Decision	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	0	1	0	0
Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel	82	0	4	1	0	0	22	10	0	0	13	19	0	13	0	0
Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney	99	1	4	5	14	1	16	13	2	0	16	14	1	10	2	0
Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias	128	0	3	2	2	0	43	7	0	2	29	14	3	21	2	0
Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney	320	5	5	16	14	0	44	60	8	1	29	56	10	72	0	0
Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recu		1	1	4	0	1	49	22	0	2	11	29	3	21	0	0
Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure	,															
Requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2016—Continued)

							Circ	uite								ional urts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	COL	
Nature of Allegations (Continued)																
Improper Outside Income	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	3 4	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Partisan Political Activity or Statement	4 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	3	0	0
Acceptance of Bribe Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative	9 17	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	4	2 4	0	3 0	0	0
	17	0	-	-	0			-	0		_	-				-
Solicitation of Funds for Organization		-	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Violation of Other Standards	130	5	0	11	0	2	34	2	12	1	39	1	0	21	2	0
Other Misconduct	354	10	44	19	10	45	61	46	2	7	0	40	28	42	0	0
Disability	47	0	0	2	0	0	8	12	4	1	0	10	3	7	0	0
Actions Regarding Complaints																
Withdrawn	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Complaint Withdrawn with Consent																
of Circuit Chief Judge	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Withdrawal of Petition for Review	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Circuit Chief Judge																
Matters Returned from Judicial Council																
or Judicial Conference Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed in Whole or in Part ³	1,192	18	82	31	94	79	154	112	71	92	96	183	37	134	9	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	99	0	0	5	0	0	9	14	5	6	16	40	2	2	0	0
Merits Related	974	7	39	29	70	72	141	100	58	53	89	160	33	122	1	0
Frivolous	262	0	0	13	8	78	1	35	13	22	57	34	0	1	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	875	2	68	19	63	78	144	69	16	14	79	161	36	125	1	0
Allegations Incapable of Being Established	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Filed in Wrong Circuit	, 17	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	0	0	2	0	0
	10	1	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	I	14	0	0	2	0	0
Complaint Concluded in Whole or in Part	19	0	5	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	2	6	0	0
Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Intervening Events	16	0	5	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0
Complaint Referred to Special Committee	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2016—Continued)

							Circ	cuits								ional ırts 1
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	СС	CIT
Actions by Special Committees																
Matter Returned From Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Matter Referred to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Judicial Council Proceedings																
Matter Returned from Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Transferred to/From Another Circuit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Special Committee Reports Submitted																
to Judicial Council	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Petition for Review	553	10	28	14	56	34	110	47	17	49	45	78	26	36	3	0
Action on Petition for Review																
Petition Denied	621	10	28	9	53	38	111	52	76	49	45	95	15	37	3	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge for																
Appointment of Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Received Special Committee Report	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action on Special Committee Report ³	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaint Dismissed	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Misconduct or Disability	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merits Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otherwise Not Appropriate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Remedial Action Taken																
Censure or Reprimand	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension of Assignments	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal of Bankruptcy Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requesting of Voluntary Retirement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Certifying Disability of Circuit or District Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table S-22. (September 30, 2016—Continued)

							Circ	uits							Nati Cou	onal Irts ¹
Summary of Activity	Total	Federal	DC	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	CC	CIT
Additional Investigation Warranted																
Returned to Special Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retained by Judicial Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actions by Chief Justice																
Transferred to Judicial Council	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Received From Judicial Council	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints Terminated by Final Action																
During 12-Month Period Ending																
September 30, 2016	1,276	18	77	27	90	76	158	111	133	98	98	198	36	145	11	0
Complaints Pending on September 30, 2016	471	5	11	37	37	28	36	57	95	12	6	47	27	73	0	0

¹ CC = U.S. Court of Federal Claims; CIT= U.S. Court of International Trade.

² Revised.

³ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants. Each complaint may involve multiple allegations. Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. Judicial Discipline Reform

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506 DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org tel. (718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

March 24, 2017

Template for Readers

to collect from the official Tables¹ of Complaints² Against Judges the statistics of complaints filed in any federal circuit, and show how judges systematically dismiss ____% of them to exempt themselves from any discipline, thus protecting their unaccountable independence and becoming Judges Above the Law[‡]

Line	Data of the Judicial Council ³ , <u>Cir.</u> , filed with AO^1	'06 <mark>4</mark> '	'07 ⁵	'08A 6	'08B 7	'09A 8	'09B	'10 ⁹	'11 ¹⁰	'12 ¹¹	'13¹²	'14 ¹³	'15 ¹⁴	'16¹⁵	totals
1.	Complaints Pending on Sep. 30 of preceding year *								* ‡						
2.	Complaints Concluded														
3.	Complaints Filed ¹⁶					17									
4.	Complaint Type/Source														
5.	Written/Filed by Complainants														
6.	On Order of/Identified by Circuit Chief Judges														
7.	Complainants**														
8.	Prison inmates														
9.	Litigants														
10.	Attorneys														
11.	Public Officials														
12.	Other														
13.	Judges Complained About **														
14.	Circuit Judges														
15.	District Judges														
16.	Bankruptcy Judges														
17.	Magistrate Judges														
18.	Nature of Allegations														
19.	Erroneous Decision														
20.	Delayed Decision														
21.	Failure to Give Reasons for Decision														
22.	Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel														
23.	Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney														
24.	Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias														
25.	Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney														
26.	Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse)														
27.	Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements														
28.	Improper Outside Income														
29.	Partisan Political Activity or Statement														
30.	Acceptance of a Bribe														
31.	Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative														
32.	Solicitation of Funds for Organization														
33.	Violation of Other Standards														
34.	Other Misconduct														
35.	Disability														
36.	ACTIONS REGARDING THE COMPLAINTS														
37.	Concluded/Terminated by Complainant or Subject Judge/Withdrawn														

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:page# up to ol:393 ol2:555

38.	Data of the Judicial Council, Cir., filed with AO	'06	'07	'08 A	ʻ08 B	'09 A	'09 B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	totals
39.	Complaint Withdrawn with Consent of Chief Circuit Judge														
40.	Withdrawal of Petition for Review														
41.	Actions by Chief Circuit Judge														
42.	Matters Returned from Judicial Council/or Judicial Conference Committee														
43.	Complaint Dismissed * in Whole or in Part														
44.	Not in Conformity WIth Statute/Not Misconduct or Disability														
45.	Directly Related to Decision or Procedural Ruling/ Merits Related														
46.	Frivolous														
47.	Lacked Factual Foundation/Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence														
48.	Allegations Incapable of Being Established														
49.	Filed in Wrong Circuit														
50.	Otherwise Not Appropriate														
51.	Complaints Concluded in Whole or in Part														
52.	Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed														
53.	Voluntary Corrective Action Taken														
54.	Action No Longer Necessary Because of Intervening Event														
55.	Appropriate Action Already Taken														
56.	Complaint Withdrawn														
57.	Subtotal														
58.	Special Investigative Committee Appointed/Complaint Referred to Special Committee														
59.	Actions by Special Committees														
60.	Matter Returned from Judicial Council														
61.	New Matter Referred to Chief Judge														
62.	Judicial Council Proceedings														
63.	Matter Returned from Judicial Conference														
64.	Complaint Transferred to/from Another Circuit														
65.	Received Petition for Review ¹⁸	-													
66.	Withdrawn														
67.	Action on Petition for Review														
68.	Dismissed Complaint ¹⁹ /Petition Denied														
69.	Matter Returned to Chief Circuit Judge														
70.	Matter Returned to Chief Judge for Appointment of Special Committee														
71.	Ordered Other Appropriate Action /Other														
72.	Received Special Committee Report/Special Committee Reports Submittted to Judicial Council														
73.	Remedial Action Taken/Action on Special Committee Report														
74.	Complaint Dismissed		1												
75.	Not Misconduct or Disability		1												

76.	Data of the Judicial Council, 10th Cir., filed with AO	'06	'07	'08 A	ʻ08 B	'09 A	ʻ09 B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	totals
77.	Merits Related														
78.	Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence														
79.	Otherwise Not Appropriate														
80.	Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events														
81.	Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference														
82.	Remedial Action Taken														
83.	Privately Censured														
84.	Publicly Censured														
85.	Censure or Reprimand														
86.	Suspension of Assignments														
87.	Directed Chief District J. to Take Action (Magistrates only)/Action Against Magistrate Judge														
88.	Removal of Bankruptcy Judge														
89.	Request of Voluntary Retirement														
90.	Certification of Disability of Circuit or District Judge														
91.	Additional Investigation Warranted														
92.	Returned to Special Committee														
93.	Retained by Judicial Council														
94.	Actions by Chief Justice														
95.	Transferred to Judicial Council														
96.	Received from Judicial Council														
97.	Complaints Concluded/Terminated by Final Action														
98.	During 12-month Period Ending Sep. 30 of reported year														
99.	Complaints Pending on Sep. 30 [end of reported year]														
	Data of the Judicial Council, Cir., filed with AO	'06	ʻ07	'08 Α	ʻ08 B	ʻ09 A	'09 B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	totals

[These notes are in the original.]

• Each complaint may involve multiple reasons for dismissal.

** Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants.

*[‡]Revised

Note: Excludes complaints not accepted by the circuits because they duplicated previous fillings or were otherwise invalid filings.

* Each complaint may involve multiple allegations against numerous judicial officers. Nature of allegations is counted when a complaint is concluded.

Each complaint may involve multiple allegations. Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

ENDNOTES

[‡] See how the above template was used, its endnotes, and the official statistical tables on complaints against judges filed from 1oct96 to date at:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ol2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf

The template is supported by Dr. Cordero's study of judges and their judiciaries, titled:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability andConsequent Riskless Wrongdoing: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

> Visit the website at, and subscribe to its series of articles thus: www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> + New or Users >Add New

This table is based on Table S-22 presenting the statistics on complaints filed against judges and action taken under 28 U.S.C. §604(h)(2). That Table is included in the Annual Report that must be submitted to Congress as a public document, §604(a)(3), by the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO), §§601-613. On AO, see also http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/*OL*/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >jur:21fn10.

Each of the 12 regional federal judicial circuits and two national courts must file its statistics on complaints against its judges with AO for inclusion in the statistical tables in its Annual Report. The tables for the fiscal years 1oct96-30sep97 and since have been collected in the file at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges .pdf. Hence, readers can conveniently download that file and prepare similar tables for each of the other circuits and any period of years. To that end, that file contains a table template that readers can fill out.

The above table for the 10th Circuit is representative of the other circuits' systematic dismissal of complaints against their respective judges and their judicial councils' systematic denial of petitions for review of those dismissals. That constitutes the foundation for the assertion that the judges have proceeded to abuse the self-discipline power granted to them under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act² to exempt themselves from discipline, placing themselves beyond investigation(L:58-61) and above any liability. They hold themselves unaccountable by arrogating to themselves the power to abrogate in practice that Act of Congress. By so doing, they harm the complainants, who are left with no relief from the harmful conduct of the complained-about judge and exposed to his or her retaliation. Likewise, they harm the rest of the public, who is left with judges who know that as a matter of fact they can rely on the protection of their peers to abuse their power and disregard due process and the equal protection of the law, for their are in effect Judges Above the Law.

Any person, whether a party to a case or a non-party, even a judge, can file a complaint against the conduct or disability of a federal judge under the provisions of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§351-364; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_ Judicial_Code.pdf. The complaint is not a means for a party to avoid an appeal on the merits from a judge's decision. In fact, the complaint need not be related to any lawsuit at all; e.g., it may concern the attendance of a judge at a seminar where she became drunk and disorderly or at a fund raising meeting in favor of a political candidate or against a given issue where the judge appeared to breach her impartiality or place the prestige of judicial office in favor or against thereof. But it is obvious that the most frequent occasion where a person comes in contact with a judge and for complaints against her to arise is a lawsuit, whether at the trial or appeallate level.

In any event, the complaint must be filed with the chief circuit judge of the circuit where the complained-about judge sits. The chief and the complained-about judge may have been colleagues, peers, and friends for 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 years or more. If they hold life-appointments, as circuit and district judges do, they are stuck with each other for the rest of their professional lives. If she is a bankruptcy judge, she was appointed for a renewable term of 14 years by the respective circuit judges under 28 U.S.C. §152. If she is a magistrate judge, the respective district judges appointed her for a renewable term of 8 years under 28 U.S.C. §631(a) and (e).

The very last thing that they want is a peer holding professional and personal grudges against them for their rest of their lives or even for a term of years for failure to dismiss the complaint and insulate her from any discipline. Actually, appointing-judges who hold an appointee of theirs liable for misconduct or incompentence indict their own good judgment and the quality and impartiality of their vetting procedure. Think of all the criticism that has been heaped on President Trump for having appointed General Michael Flynn his National Security Advisor allegedly without having found out during the vetting of him that he had had meetings with the Russian ambassador; and for demonstrating a dishonest character when he lied thereabout to the Vice President. The President fired him less than a month after appointing him.

Worse yet, finding that a judge behaved dishoneslty or incompetently casts doubt on her character and professional capacity. This provides grounds for every party that has appeared before her to file a motion in his own case for recusal or disqualification, to quash her decision, to reverse and remand for a new trial, for leave to appeal...

Why bother!', shout the judges handling the complaint. 'It suffices for me as chief circuit judge to dismiss the complaint by signing a decision with boilerplate text alleging that it relates to the merits of the case or lacks any evidence; or by us in the judicial council having an unsigned 5ϕ form issued that disposed of the petition for review of such dismissal with one single operative word: Denied. That's how we avoid all the hassle and the bad blood that comes with it.'

And then there is the self-serving consideration of reciprocally ensured survival: 'Today I dismiss this complaint against you, and tomorrow, when I am or one of my friends is the target of one of these pesky complaints, you in turn dismiss it'. By so doing, the judges assure each other that no matter the wrongdoing they engage in, their "brothers and sisters of the robe" will exempt them from any discipline and let them go on to do ever graver wrongs.(* >jur:68§§a-c)

The result is the same: Complainants are left to bear the dire consequences of the misconduct and wrongdoing of judges, and the rest of the public is left at the mercy of a judicial class with ever less integrity and regard for the strictures of due process and equal protection of the law, for the class is composed of Judges Above the Law.

³ Judge Neil M. Gorsuch received his commission to a seat on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit on August 8, 2006; https://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/judges/judge-neil-m-gorsuch. Thereafter he may have served on that Circuit's judicial council; on the administrative, policy-making, and disciplinary functions of judicial councils see [‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf >28usc§332(g).

However, the website of the 10th Circuit does not provide information on its judicial council, let alone on its current membership, much less on its members in previous years. The members of the judicial council are the ones who systematically denied petitions from complainants to review the dismissal by the chief circuit judge of their complaints against judges in the circuit.

⁴ On judicial councils see http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf >28usc§332(g).

⁵ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2006

⁶ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2007

⁷ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2008

⁸ The adoption on March 11, 2008, of new rules for filing and processing complaints against judges caused the complaints filed from 1oct07 through 10may08 under the old rules to be reported in Table S-22A in the 2008 Judicial Business Report; and those filed under the new

rules from 11may-30sep08 to be reported in that year's Table S-22B. The same applies to the corresponding 2009 tables.

⁹ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2009. While the 2009 Judicial Business Report covers only the fiscal year that started on October 1, 2008, its table on complaints against judges includes the complaints filed under the new rules during May 11 through September 30, 2008. This period alone is reported in Table S-22B of 2008.

¹⁰ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2010

¹¹ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2011

¹²http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2012 >Complaints against judges,

Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2010-2012 >Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2012/09/30

¹³http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2013 >Complaints against judges,

http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2013

>Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2011-2013 >Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2013/09/30

¹⁴http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2014 >Complaints against judges,

http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2014 >Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2012–2014

>Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2014/09/30

¹⁵ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2015 >Complaints against judges,

http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2015

>Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2013-2015 >Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2015/09/30

¹⁶ http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2016 >Complaints against judges,

http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2016

>Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2015-2016 >Table S-22, http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2016/09/30

¹⁷ Over the years, the judges have added some headings and removed others to and from the table for reporting the statistics on complaints against judges. This explains why some cells have no values, which is indicated by an unobstrusive hypejn - so that it may not be misinterpred as a failure to include the corresponding value. In the same vein, this is a composite table that aggregates all headings and entries and place them in the most logical position in the series of headings and entries. The most significant addition and removal came when the new rules for processing these complaints were adopted in 2008. The use of the new rules became mandatory on May 11, 2008. Since then a new reporting table with more numerous and detailed headings and entries has been used to report the statistics on complaints filed under the new rules.

Although the new rules for filing complaints against federal judges provided more numerous and detailed causes for complaint, the systematic dismissal of them and denial of petitions for review of such dismissals by judges protecting their own as well as themselves –'I protect you today, and if tomorrow I'm or any of my friends is the one complained against, you protect me or them-continued unabated.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/*OL*/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:page# up to ol:393 ol2:553

The new rules was a ruse by the judges to dissuade Congress from taking action to correct the fact that the judges had applied for over 20 years the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 in such a way as to render it useless so that judicial discipline was as inexistence as it had been since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, a period during which there was no formal mechanism for complaining against judges; see the history of, and a comment on, the new rules http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/judicial complaints/8-4at

3DrRCordero new rules no change.pdf.

- ¹⁸Table S-22A(stat:28) for the fiscal year 1oct08-30sep09 deals only with the action taken on the complaints filed under the old rules up to and including May 10, 2008. By definition, none of those complaints could have been filed during that fiscal year. Consequently, that table does not report any complaint filed.
- ¹⁹The table(cf. stat:24) used to report complaints about judges filed under the old rules did not report the number of complainants' petitions to the judicial circuit to review the unfavorable disposition of their complaints, which consisted in their systematic dismissal without any investigation. Accordingly, it did not report on the disposition by judicial councils of such petitions.

The table(cf. stat:26) used for reporting under the new rules began reporting both the number of petitons for review and their disposition. This explains why the number of "Received Petitions for Review" is 176(L65), yet the number of "Petitions Denied" is 242(L68). This illustrates that the circuit and district judges on the judicial council of the respective circuit overwhelmingly disposed of those petitions through their systematic denial. Thereby they attained the same objective: their self-exemption from discipline to ensure their unaccountability as Judges Above the Law.

²⁰ Cf. stat:28. The entry "Action on Petition for Review: Petition Denied" under the heading Judicial Council Proceedings" first appear in Table S-22B of 2009(stat:30).

²¹To the 551 «Complaints Concluded/Terminated by Final Action»(L98) there have been added the 1 «Complaint Dismissed»(L74) and the 14 «Complaints Concluded in Whole or in Part»(L51) to arrive at the total of 566 complaints terminated before and through final action.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume I

Exposing

Judges' Unaccountability and

Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing

Pioneering

the news and publishing field

of

judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/**OL**/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or http://1drv.ms/11kvhB8

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq

Volume II:

 $http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/{\mbox{OL2}/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf}$

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform New York City www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

Exposing

Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing Pioneering the news and publishing field

of judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/**OL2**/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/**OL**/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf or http://1drv.ms/11kvhB8 or http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf or https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq Blank

Blank

Blank