

July 21, 2021

**Using your story of abuse of power by judges
to encourage university students and journalists
to expose the refusal of
the Biden Commission on the reform of the Supreme Court to hear abusees on
the practice of abuse by justices and judges
while hearing only professors on the theory of constitutional law; and
to hold the proposed
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS
through which the national public will be
informed of, and outraged at, unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power
and given the opportunity to collectively demand compensation**

Dear Dr. P. McCullough, SCOTUSblog publisher T. Goldstein, editor J. Romoser, columnist for law students S. Wermiel, petitions intern M. Jagodinski, Journalists, Academics, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

mcculloughperry44@gmail.com, tgoldstein@scotusblog.com, jromoser@scotusblog.com,
swermiel@scotusblog.com, mjagodinski@scotusblog.com,

1. I thank all those Advocates who replied to my [article](#) on the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of the abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed, for instance, as a party or while clerking for judges or reporting on their courts and decisions.
2. This article describes concrete, reasonable, and feasible steps that you can take toward the objective of enabling people to tell the national public their stories at a public meeting of the Biden Commission for the reform of the Supreme Court, and at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) to be organized by university students, professors, and journalists and held all over the country at their university auditoriums, media stations, and via video conference.
3. This is also a story pitch: I am pitching this story as well as a series of analytical pieces on judges and their judiciaries, which are already written and available for your evaluation([Appendix 6](#)). I can write articles on commission. All are supported by my three-volume study on this subject based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

- a. Many of my articles are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **39,130+** have become subscribers to the site as of July 21, 2021([App. 3](#)).

- 1) How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
- 2) You can join the subscribers thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or
+ New or Users >Add New.

A. Using your story to make a presentation and form a chapter of Advocates

4. Your poignant story, Dr. McCullough, is particularly well-written and supported by relevant citations. Your high academic degrees afford you special access to your former law schools and current deans and professors as well as class presidents and other officers, and student associations. Likewise, the first-hand knowledge of judicial abuse that you gained during your dramatic experience gives you 'standing' to approach associations of public defenders, innocent program officers, and the unjustly incarcerated.
5. You and other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries willing to take action, as opposed to merely complain, can join forces to do what is so necessary to cause the greatest number of stories to be submitted to the Biden Commission (see the commissioners' email addresses in ¶55) and promote the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#): form Tea Party-like local and video chapters.
6. Many of such chapters spread across the U.S. can become the composite engine through which committed people advance the common cause of forming a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial [abuse of power](#) exposure; [compensation](#) of abusees; and reform through transformative change –the judiciary that goes into change *only after* full exposure of the nature, extent, and gravity of its abuse will come out transformed into a new system of justice because reforms now inconceivable will be made irresistible by an [informed and outraged](#) national public–.
7. You can be instrumental in forming a chapter constituted of committed Advocates and the members of the entities mentioned in paragraph 1 above.
8. It is true that due to the [conflict of interests](#) described in the article([OL3:1308§D](#)) on the Biden Commission, law deans and professors are compromised and will likely be reluctant to expose judges' abuse of power. By contrast, university students, e.g., those at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools, are still imbued with a sense of justice and the idealistic determination of using the rule of law, 'the Power of the News' and of numbers, and the force of the fourth industrial (the digital) revolution, to make the world a more just and fair place.
9. The presentation can be offered also at other venues reasonably expected to be interested in enabling their members to tell their stories of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
10. By contacting their class president and other officers -e.g., through the dean of students, their school and association website, and social media-, you can offer to make a presentation on:
 - a. the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power for their gain and convenience([OL2:1125¶4](#)); and
 - b. how the students can appeal to the Biden Commission to expose unaccountable abusive judges. By so doing, they would be following the example of the students at Harvard, Yale, and other Ivy League law schools who contacted the Senate and journalists to manifest their opposition to the confirmation of Then-Judge Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court([OL2:773](#); [OL2:971](#)).
11. The presentation will be the appropriate event in which to emphasize how students can set in motion the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) to:
 - a. earn academic credit for a semester-long public interest and/or judiciary [auditing](#) and evaluation course; a course on team journalism; or a degree thesis that applies statistics and fraud and forensic accounting (FFA) to expose the concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering involved in judges' [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) and their misleading mandatory annual financial disclosure reports([OL:102§a](#)), which they file pro forma with

fellow 'revising' judges, who are subject to the same reporting duty so that their interest lies in rubberstamping their approval;

- b. afford people the opportunity to tell their story of judicial abuse;
 - 1) This constitutes a public service given that complaints against federal judges are dead on arrival: They are received, processed, and kept in secrecy after 100% of them are dismissed and 100% of petitions to review such dismissals are denied. In fact, not even the names of the complained-against judges are made public. By abusing the self-disciplining power entrusted to judges by Congress in the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act(28 USC §§351-364), they ensure for themselves 'unequal protection *from* the law' compared to malpractising lawyers and doctors, pedophilic priests, abusive police officers, election-rigging politicians, etc., whose names and the complaints against them are made public when they are sued(OL3:1305§1).
 - 2) Students' public service would also pursue judicial transparency, accountability, and integrity. As a test case that can attract public attention, they can expose the abuse as principal and the cover up of abuse by fellow judges committed by former Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and current attorney general Merrick Garland.
- c. make the national public the audience of the citizens hearings by broadcasting them on the Internet live and making them available on demand by recording them as podcasts;
- d. invite investigative journalists, court reporters (those who cover the courts and judges' decisions), media outlets, and journalism professors and students to form part of the panels that hear people's stories at the citizens hearings, just as lawyers and judges are invited to play the role of moot court judges; produce jointly a report on the hearings; and present it at the first-ever conference on judicial unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, to be held simultaneously at their schools and media outlets and made accessible to the national public through interactive multimedia broadcast
- e. publish as a sequel to that citizens hearings report a joint students/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power(jur:126§3), just as law students publish law reviews and journals, as do so many other student associations in their respective disciplines. Thereby students and journalists can become in effect the supervising entity whose creation by Congress judges have strenuously and consistently opposed: an inspector general for the Federal Judiciary;
- f. promote the creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability and Reform Advocacy, attached to a top university or news network or a consortium of them, just as The Associated Press "was founded as an independent news cooperative, whose members are U.S. newspapers and broadcasters"; and universities host centers specializing in particular areas of research and investigation for the advancement of public and commercial interests and top secret government projects. Among the Institute's functions are that of a clearinghouse for past and current complaints against judges filed for free by anybody; and a research center for fee-paying clients to audit judges' and lawyers' writings, transcripts, and news by performing computer-assisted statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis in search of patterns(OL2:792§A), trends(OL2:455§§B, D), and schemes(OL2:614, 929) of abuse of power;
- g. participate in launching a generalized journalistic investigation of judges' abuse, just as scandals force media outlets to jump on the investigative bandwagon on competitive grounds;

- h. enhance the resumes that students will submit to potential employers when applying for summer or permanent jobs with the description of the public service that the unprecedented citizens hearings launched by them have rendered and the personal initiative and commitment to “Equal Justice Under Law” and an informed citizenry that they reveal;
12. I offer to make the presentation if you cause the students to organize it. I can make it via video conference, and if they are willing to pay all my expenses, in person. To ascertain my capacity to present, watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).

B. Approaching university students at the start of the new academic year

13. This is the most opportune time to approach students because the new academic year will begin soon. During the orientation week for first year students, which is likely to begin on August 30, upper class students will hold the fair of the many associations through which they pursue their varied interests. The upper class students will try to persuade their new school mates to join their respective associations and run to become officers of the class. Accordingly, they will highlight what their associations have to offer.
14. A potentially most attractive offering is participation in trend-setting citizens hearings in what is reasonably expected to dominate the national debate in the coming months:
- a. the Biden Commission’s “public meetings”, where [only law professors](#) are heard discussing the theory of constitutional law relating to the Supreme Court, but not members of the public willing to tell their stories of the unaccountability and abusive conduct in practice of justices and lower court judges;
 - b. the Commission’s report setting forth its non-binding recommendations for reforming the Supreme Court, written by [commissioners](#) compromised by their conflict of interests; and
 - c. what President Biden is expected by everybody to propose doing together with his party regardless of the report, thus revealing the Commission as a political farce: “pack the Court” by increasing the number of justices from 9 to 15 and reducing their term in office from a life-appointment to a term of years.
 - 1) Packing the Court can affect the balance of judicial and political power in our country for generations. But it will not even address, let alone reduce, judicial abuse: The new justices will continue relying on their unaccountability to abuse their power risklessly and cover up the abuse of their fellow justices and judges.
 - 2) Only the national public, [informed through the citizens hearings about, and outraged at](#), judges’ riskless abuse of power can exert enough pressure as *We the People*, the sovereign source of all political power in a democracy, to force transformative change that recognizes *the People*’s right as Masters of all public servants to hold even their judicial public servants accountable for their exercise of the public power entrusted to them, and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

C. My offer to make a presentation to you and your group

15. I offer to make a presentation to you and your group of guests on how to implement the above proposals. It can take place via video conference and, if in New York City, in person.
16. To schedule it and agree on its terms, use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

**D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** and its [business plan](#) to:

17. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:
[Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)* † ♣
18. turn the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted countless webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that 40,110 ([App.3](#)) have become subscribers as of November 1, 2021– from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;
19. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
20. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
21. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
22. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
23. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & earns Pulitzers*;
24. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformational change; etc.(¶77).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are. DONATE

by making a deposit into, or a transfer using the Bill Pay feature of your online bank account to,
Citi Bank account 4977 59 2001, routing number 021 000 089;

through Zelle; through [Paypal](#)

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ ;

or by mailing a check to the address in the above letterhead.

E. Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

25. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set its terms and scheduling use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

November 1, 2021

**A study and articles already written on
judicial abuse of power, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform;
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing[‡]**

Table of Contents

- A. The study and articles available for review before publication or law writing..... 1
- B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles..... 6
- C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing..... 9

A. The study and articles available for review before publication or law writing

1. The study

- 1. The three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries that supports the articles, which are downloadable as individual files

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
>all prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf
>from page OL2:394-1143

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf
>from OL3:1144-1386+

Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome; it may happen that Internet Explorer only downloads a blank page.

Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free at
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † ♣ >blue footnote-like references) very easy.

- 2. Many of the articles have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

- 3. Visit the website and join its 40,110+ subscribers to its articles thus: [homepage](#) <left panel
↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

2. The individual sections of the study

- 1. jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_jur:1-8.pdf
- 2. jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics_jur9-20.pdf
- 3. jur:21; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_A_jur21-63.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

4. jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_B_jur65-80.pdf
5. jur:85; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_C_jur85-97.pdf
6. jur:97; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_D_jur97-111.pdf
7. jur:119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur119-130.pdf
8. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur130-169.pdf
9. jur:171; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_F_jur171-174.pdf

3. The articles already written

10. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf
 - Cf. a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
11. Congress's finding of cronyism in the federal courts, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >(jur:32§§2-3)
12. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
13. >jur:72fn144d; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
14. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
15. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
16. *>Lsch 5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf

17. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
18. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
19. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
20. *>Creative writings, cw; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
21. *>OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
22. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
23. *>OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
24. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
25. OL:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers
26. *>OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
27. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
28. *>OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
29. *>OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
30. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
31. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
32. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
33. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
34. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
35. OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
36. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
37. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
38. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
39. OL2:760; see OL2:608
40. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>

41. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
42. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
43. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
44. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
45. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
46. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
47. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
48. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
49. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
50. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
51. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
52. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
53. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
54. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
55. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
56. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
57. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
58. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
59. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
60. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
61. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
62. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
63. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
64. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
65. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
66. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf

67. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
68. *>OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
69. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
70. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
[sent to LexisNexis]
71. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
72. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
73. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
74. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
75. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
76. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
77. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
78. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
79. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
80. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
81. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
82. *>OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
83. OL2:1144; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
84. OL2:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
85. *>OL2:1164; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
86. *>OL2:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
87. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
88. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
89. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
90. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
91. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
92. *>OL2:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
93. OL2:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
94. OL3:1228; <http://Judicial-Discipline->

Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf

95. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
96. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
97. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
98. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
99. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
100. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
101. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
102. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
103. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
104. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf
105. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCT_reform_Commission.pdf
106. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf
107. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_SCT_Commission.pdf
108. OL3:1329; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
109. OL3:1338; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf
110. ; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf
111. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_politicians-judges_connivance.pdf
112. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf
113. OL3:1371; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
114. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
115. OL3:1380; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_writing_reliable_stories&telling_national_public.pdf
116. OL3:1383; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf

B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles

117. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
118. statistical analysis for the public(*>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);

119. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
120. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
121. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
122. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
123. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
124. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
125. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
126. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
127. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
128. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘MeToo! Abusers’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
129. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications(OL2:582§C);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);

- 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
130. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
131. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
132. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
 - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
133. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
134. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of November 1, 2021, had **40,110+** subscribers, into:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture (jur:119§§1-4). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and

reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));

135. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:
- a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
 - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
 - c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
 - d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;
136. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
137. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing

1. Treatises

138. **Start your research here to gain an overview of the subject and proceed to the ever more specific:**
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law
139. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction
140. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea

2. Law reviews and journals

141. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

142. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
143. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons

for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

144. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
145. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), Bankruptcy Code; *id.* ; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Code.pdf
146. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), Criminal Code, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Code.pdf

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

147. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

6. Federal rules of procedure applicable in all federal courts

148. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (11 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Rules.pdf
149. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (18 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Rules.pdf
150. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (28 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence**; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf
151. Cf., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2021-revised-ed/p/106721176?trkcode=recsrpl&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
152. For the rules of the Supreme Court, see subsection 11 *infra*.

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

153. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

154. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law; <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

155. https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b_three_sections_with_tasers/active_leg_page.htm
156. <https://www.house.gov/legislative-activity>

10. Some federal laws of particular interest

157. The **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_in_Government.pdf
158. Duty to report abuse, **18 USC §3057**; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
159. Circuit justices, **28 USC 42**
160. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
161. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the meetings of the judicial councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
162. **Judicial Conduct** and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#)), setting forth a procedure for anybody to file a complaint about a federal judge with the chief circuit judge where the complained-about judge sits
163. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
164. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of lawyers and pro ses who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
165. **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978; 5 U.S.C. Appendix
166. **Racketeer Influenced** and Corrupt Organizations Act(**RICO**); 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
167. **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act**; 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc_FISA.pdf

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

168. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
169. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
170. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who discusses the key statistics on federal cases
171. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice;
<https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
172. Table 1

Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020		
Supreme Court		69

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:11

Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	
Federal circuit	1,568	
94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

12. Cases in the lower federal courts

- 200. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF); <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf>
- 201. Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>
- 202. Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER); <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>
- 203. To find the website of each federal court, where its cases are posted go to <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

13. Judicial Conference of the U.S. (the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary)

- 204. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference>, which contains a list of its 20 committees
- 205. Reports of the Judicial Conference's biannual meetings, <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us>
- 206. Regulations on judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, <https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/judiciary-policies/ethics-policies/financial-disclosure-report-regulations>

14. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal, as opposed to state, courts)

- 207. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
- 208. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (28 USC §§601-613); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
- 209. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
- 210. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document(28 USC §604(a)(3-4)); the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court(§601); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
- 211. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>
- 212. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>
- 213. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

214. Table 2

Number of federal judicial officers https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9
circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges id.	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

256. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and

257. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>

258. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>

259. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints about federal judges in Table S-22(28 USC §604(h)(2)); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>

260. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>

261. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>

262. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

15. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

263. <https://www.fjc.gov>

264. List of the 8 impeached federal judges since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

16. Other federal entities and people

265. White House press release of April 9, 2021, "President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States"; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>

266. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001->

0003/comment

267. Senator Elizabeth Warren's "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too"; <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
268. Office of **Professional Responsibility** of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>
269. Judges' annual mandatory **financial disclosure reports**, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
270. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

17. United States Postal Service

271. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

18. Sources of state legal authority

a. Treatises

272. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231>

b. State constitution and laws

273. https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8glVh9zlCh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS_PP_Law-Books_Main_Search_Brand-Phrase_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&ef_id=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8glVh9zlCh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE:G:s&s_kwid=AL17944!3!440994957489!p!!g!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books
274. Search for a compilation of all state codes, laws, rules, and regulations; e.g., [McKinney's Consolidated Laws of New York Annotated®](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york) (Annotated Statute & Code Series); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york>

c. Uniform laws (the product of agreements among the states)

275. Uniform Laws Annotated; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/p/100028543>
276. Uniform Commercial Code; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Commercial-Code-2020-2021-ed/p/106675446?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

d. Restatement of laws

277. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=restatement+of+laws>

e. Rules of procedure applicable in all the courts of a state

278. E.g., [McKinney's New York Civil Practice Law and Rules](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075), 2020 ed.;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075>

1) Rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed; e.g.,
in New York; <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/index.shtml>

279. Rules of the Chief Judge, <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefjudge/index.shtml>, of the Court of Appeals,
<https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/courtofAppeals.shtml>, the highest NY State court (#1- to 81)

280. Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge (#100 to 154),
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>

281. Uniform Rules of the New York State trial courts (#200 to 221),
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/index.shtml>; e.g., the supreme and the county courts;
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.shtml>.

a. Rules of the First Department Supreme Court [of four departments], which in NY is a trial court;
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/Commencement-of-Cases-2.shtml>

b. There are uniform rules (#205 to 221) for specialized courts, e.g., family and surrogate, capital cases, and particular activities, e.g., jury selection, depositions

282. Joint Rules of the Departments of the Appellate Division (partial: 22 NYCRR Parts 1200-1400);
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml>

a. Rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>

283. Each court may have supplementary rules of its own as well as rules of specific judges...so much for a New York State *Unified* Court System.

f. Regulations of the state administrative agencies

284. Go to the state's department of state; Google the state administrative agency; or search for a compilation of the state codes, laws, rules, and regulations

285. E.g.,
<https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Index?bhcp=1&transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>

286. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/New-York-Codes-Rules-and-Regulations-NYCRR/p/100019553>

g. Bills pending in the state legislature

287. E.g. <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation>

h. State cases

288. For information on state cases Google the highest court in the state, which may have a state court locator or a "Links of interest"; otherwise, Google the lower state court in question, which may have a website and post its cases to it; e.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/>

289. E.g., Court of Appeals of the State of New York (the highest court in New York State),
<https://www.nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm>

290. E.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/cts-NYC-SUPREME.shtml> (the supreme courts in NYS are trial courts)

291. E.g., Supreme Court for the County of New York (Manhattan)
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/index.shtml>

19. Entities representing state courts and compiling their statistics

292. Conference of **Chief Justices** of the states; <https://ccj.ncsc.org>
293. National Center for State Courts; www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics
294. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
295. Conference of State Court **Administrators** (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
296. National Association for Court **Management** (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>
297. National Conference of Appellate **Court Clerks** (NCACC); www.appellatecourtclerks.org
298. Number of cases filed in state courts **annually**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

20. Rules and codes of conduct for judges and lawyers

299. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>
300. American Bar Association Model **Rules** of Professional Conduct;
https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/
301. American Bar Association Model **Code** of Judicial Conduct;
https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/
302. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

21. Reports by media outlets that have investigated judges

303. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:
- a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
 - b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
 - c. Part 3, 14july21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
 - d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
 - e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>
 - f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
304. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>
305. 131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest; James V. Grimaldi, Coulter Jones, Joe Palazzolo; Wall Street Journal; <https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest->

11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdrn4VM9Ssvk_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ; 28sep21

- a. **James.Grimaldi@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/james-v-grimaldi>
- b. **Coulter.Jones@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/coulter-jones>; reach Mr. Jones at 212-416-3778
- c. **Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/joe-palazzolo>

22. Journalists and media outlets

- 306. CBS news anchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
- 307. *Biden's court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
- 308. **The Associated Press**; <https://www.ap.org/about/>

23. Entities accrediting educational institutions (and serving as portals to them)

- 309. (**journalism** schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
- 310. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
- 311. (**business** schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
- 312. [https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm](https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm;); consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

24. Law book publishers

- 313. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
- 314. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
- 315. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drccordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdc_campaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
- 316. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

25. Other private entities and people

- 317. American Association of **University Professors**, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>
- 318. American Association of Retired People; <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>
- 319. Judicial Watch, <https://www.judicialwatch.org>
- 320. Judicial Watch's repository of judges' financial disclosure reports,

<https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

End Page

Blank