

March 30, 2020

**Proposal to publishers and lawyers to adapt to
a shrinking and Covid-dried up legal market
to make money while
pioneering transformative change in the system of justice**

Mr. Tyler Duke[‡] tyler.duke@lexisnexis.com
Associate Tele Account Exec
LexisNexis
9443 Springboro Pike
Miamisburg, OH 45342 USA
work tel. +1(937)247 3182

Dear Mr. Duke and associates Mr. Austin Dunn, Ms. Lane Okney, Mr. Connor McGovern, and Assigning Editors...and all other publishers and lawyers,

Thank you, Mr. Duke, for your email, where you ended with this empathetic statement:

...today is not normal. So, we want to do everything we can to support you – so you can support your clients. If there is anything I can do in the meantime, please reach out.

A. An already shrinking legal market totally shrunk by Covid-19

1. I am reaching out with a proposal that may not be the normal way in which you support your clients, but is what you can do to support them as well as your employer, LexisNexis, and even grow your and its pool of clients nationwide.
2. Indeed, with the courts closed and jury trials suspended, clients are not paying anymore, never mind bringing new business to lawyers.
3. In fact, the only sector of the legal market growing today is that of the pro ses. It will only keep growing, for people who are or have been unemployed due to the epidemic will not flock to lawyers after it is over to pay them attorney's fees of \$100, \$200, \$300, \$400, \$500 or more per hour. The prospect for lawyers is bleak.
4. By contrast, the prospect for LexisNexis can be bright if it adapts to these new long-term realities of the legal market and the rest of the economy. Here is how:
5. Clients and 100% of the non-essential workforce is staying home. They have much more time to read emails and postings to your online publications. This is the most opportune time to offer them information about how judges run judicial process. The latter forces people to go through one of the most anxiety-causing experiences, for so much is at stake, it is so difficult to understand, and it confronts them with expenses that run into the \$1Ks and even \$10Ks.
6. Judges affect 100% of the workforce and everybody else, regardless of whether they are, have been, or will never be parties to lawsuits but will continue to be susceptible to the precedential value of judicial decisions. Everybody is subject to judges' exercise of their enormous power over our property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame our lives and shape our identities.
7. Judges abuse that power because they are unaccountable so that 'their power is absolute, which corrupts them absolutely'(*>[jur27fn28](#)). Their abuse is riskless. Committing it only has an upside: grabbing gain and convenience.

8. Nothing reaches deeper into the human soul and festers longer therein than the feeling of being or having been abused; nothing makes people more passionate and committed than the quest for Justice. Very often, that quest aims to obtain or can only end up receiving monetary compensation. The insightful appreciation of these facts and the competitively savvy handling of them open a business opportunity for a pioneering publisher.

B. Adapting to the new normal legal market by informing the public about, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power

9. Providing information about how judges abuse their power and outraging the people who were and may be abused by going to court constitute the foundation of a reasonably calculated strategy for adapting to these times of a shrinking legal market, which Covid-19 has reduced to zero, while pioneering a new one.
10. Consequently, my proposal is for LexisNexis([†]>OL2:744) and others to publish one or a series of my articles, whether already written([†]>OL2:719§C) or written on commission, on how unaccountable judges risklessly abuse lawyers, parties, and everybody else.
11. These articles are supported by my 2-volume professional study* [†] of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of
judicial unaccountability reporting* [†]**

C. Articles for pioneering a legal news and publishing market

12. The following is a sample of subjects of articles apt for LexisNexis and others([†]>OL2:1060) to apt to the new normal legal market by informing and outraging the national public concerning unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power.

1. Sen. Warren's denunciation of judges' abusive self-enrichment

13. In her "plan for the Judiciary too"[‡], Sen. Elizabeth Warren dare denounce federal judges for failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they hold shares in the company of one of the parties before them and resolving such conflict of interests in their own favor so as to protect or enhance the value of their shares. Sen. Warren explains judges' abusive self-enrichment by their reliance on their unaccountability. Her plan envisages the adoption of legislation to hold judges accountable for enriching themselves abusively([†]>OL2:998, 1003[‡]).
- [‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
14. Sen. Warren's denunciation unwittingly validates the key finding of the study* [†]: The class of judges acting collectively as opposed to rogue judges acting individually, have institutionalized their abuse of power as their and their judiciary's modus operandi.
 15. Their abusive self-enrichment necessarily entails judges' committing in an organized way the crimes of concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, and fraud.
 16. A key circumstance enabling these crimes is that judges file misleading annual financial disclosure reports(*>jur:65^{107c}) required by the Ethics in Government Act(jur:65^{107d}). While they are public documents(jur:105^{213a}), they are filed pro forma with, since they are approved as a matter of course by, not independent non-judges, but rather other judges, who are their peers, colleagues, and

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1067

friends; subject to the same filing obligation([jur:102§a; 213b](#)); and dependent for their survival on reciprocal approval since they too commit and cover up crimes([jur:88§§a-c](#)). The resulting unaccountability removes the moral reins on greed and allows it to run amok into corruption.

17. Another area of organized criminal activity is the bankruptcy fraud scheme([†][OL2:614](#)) involving \$100s of billions([jur:27§2](#)). Judges abuse bankrupts, most of whom for obvious reasons cannot afford lawyers; appear pro se; are incapable of understanding the mind-boggling complexity of the Bankruptcy Code and procedural rules; and although *unfair game* are *wiped out!*
18. The editor and publisher who support the publication of this story can reap commercial and reputational benefits for years to come(^{*}[OL:3§F](#)). They will be acting like *Washington Post* editor Benjamin Bradlee and publisher Katherine Graham. Both of them approved the publication of the story by reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein of the break-in at the Democratic National Committee headquarters at the Watergate complex in Washington, DC, on June 17, 1972. Thereafter they unflinchingly supported their follow-up stories until President Nixon resigned on August 8, 1974.
19. This story of judges' criminal self-enrichment can force the resignation of judges and even justices(^{*}[jur:92§c](#)), who have committed it and covered up its commission by their peers and colleagues. The story can set in motion the downfall of the Federal Judiciary itself by exposing it –and its state counterparts, whose judges are unaccountable too([OL2:887§A](#))– as corruptly organized to function as a racketeering enterprise([†][OL2:1051](#)).

2. Judges do not read the vast majority of briefs

20. This is demonstrated by “the math of abuse”([†][OL2:608§A](#)), which constitutes an innovative way of analyzing judges' performance using the objectivity of math rather than the subjectivity of a personal assessment of their decisions.
21. Judges require that each party file in support of its case or motion a brief that costs \$Ks and even \$10Ks to produce([†][OL2:760§A](#)) although they know that they will in all likelihood not read it. Instead, they have their clerks dump most briefs out of the judges' caseload by applying robotically guidelines to identify those cases to be disposed of by the clerks issuing unresearched, unreasoned, arbitrary orders lacking any discussion of the facts and the law, and contained in what the clerks only need to date, fill out the blanks, and rubberstamp: *a dumping form!*

3. Judges intercept people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics

22. Judges' interception and suppression of people's emails and mail([†][OL2:781, 929](#)) amounts to their trampling on Americans' most cherished rights, namely, those under the First Amendment guaranteeing “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances”([†][OL2:792¶1](#)).
23. Exposing this interception by judges will cause national outrage graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's leak of documents showing the NSA's unlawful surveillance of scores of millions of phone calls to collect their metadata, e.g., phone numbers of callers and callees, duration of the call, call origin and destination, but without suppressing any call at all.

4. The sham hearings on judicial accountability

24. Sham hearings on judicial accountability have been held by politicians and the judges that they put and protect on the bench, lest the judges defend their unaccountability by resorting to their devastating power of retaliation>(*>Lsch:17§C). As a result, neither court/law clerks nor parties to lawsuits can expect a fair and impartial hearing of their grievances against judges(†>OL2:1056^φ).

^φ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf

5. Judges' abusive dismissal of 100% of complaints against them

25. Judges self-ensure their unaccountability by dismissing 100% of complaints against them, which must be filed with them, and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals(*>jur:10-14; †>OL2:548, 748). Through such systematic self-interested dismissals and their power of retaliation, judges maintain the status that they have arrogated for themselves: a State within the state.

6. Invoking the Chief Justice's conduct at the impeachment trial

26. After the courts reopen for business, parties can invoke as precedent for their own benefit the disregard by Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., during the Senate impeachment trial of "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice"(†>OL2:1040^φ, 1045); and his application in connivance with the Senate of a mutual self-serving live and let live complicit arrangement: 'I will let you run the impeachment trial however you want, and you let us, the judges, run the Judiciary however we want, regardless of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law'.

^φ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf

D. Pioneering citizens hearings and the conference on judges' abuse of power

27. The articles mentioned above and similar ones will allow LexisNexis to take the lead in joining forces to hold unprecedented citizens hearings.
28. As opposed to congressional hearings, citizens hearings are to be held at reputable media outlets, particularly national publications and TV/radio networks, and universities; nationally broadcast live through interactive multimedia; conducted by reporters, professors, and other experts, who will take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse; likely to appeal to presidential and all other 2020 candidates, who have an electoral interest in gaining the attention, donations, and votes of the huge(*>OL:8^{4,5}) untapped voting bloc of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System.
29. The findings of the citizen hearings can be presented to the national public at an event that LexisNexis can also take the lead in organizing: the first-ever conference on judicial abuse exposure and compensation of victims, hosted by a top university and media networks and attended by live and digital audiences.

E. Abuse institutionalized for millennia and deemed impossible to change has been defeated through transformative change

30. Forms of abuse have been institutionalized for thousands of years to protect powerful abusers and maintain the season open to keep preying on the weak. But courageous and stubborn people have never stopped fighting the abuse although theirs appeared to be a losing battle. Yet, it was not.
31. Slavery, in place since the beginning of mankind when some people realized that they were stronger than others, was abolished by the 13th Amendment in 1865.

32. The ban on women voting, a symbol of the oppression of women by men, was lifted by the 19th Amendment in 1920.
33. Beginning with a Louisiana case in 1985, judges have held pedophilic priests and their churches accountable and liable despite their invocation of the state and church separation clause of the First Amendment. This was the first time in the recent past that a form of institutionalized abuse that had lasted thousands of years began to undergo transformative change.
34. To date, the Catholic Church has paid its victims of sexual abuse well over \$2.2 billion in compensation. After the enactment in at least 15 states of lookback laws that allow the filing of sexual abuse claims stretching back decades and otherwise barred by the statute of limitations, some 5,000 new cases could force the Catholic Church to compensate the victims by paying them more than \$4 billion.
35. Sexual abuse of women and the disbelief of their claims had been an institution of society for millennia. But then *The New York Times* (NYT) and *The New Yorker* (NY) published on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, their exposés of Harvey Weinstein’s sexual predation. In less than a week, on October 16, the *MeToo!* movement began to emerge worldwide after actress Alyssa Milano called on Twitter for victims of sexual abuse to accuse their abusers. *MeToo!* accusers have brought people at the top of the entertainment and news industry and the rest of society down for their sexual abuse.
36. Those are reliable precedents for other forms of abuse, also reputed to be millennial impossibles, such as holding judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power, to be defeated by transformative change.

F. Judges’ abuse of power exposed through a scoop and leading to investigations

37. Judges and their judiciaries are among the last bastions of institutionalized abuse of power. The time has come for them to be held accountable and liable to compensation, for in government by the rule of law Everybody is Equal Before the Law.
38. By publishing my articles, LexisNexis can make a scoop. The articles may go viral. They can launch the first salvo against the judges’ and their judiciaries’ bastion.
39. Other publishers will join the fight in a Ukrainian scandal-like generalized media investigation into unaccountable judges’ riskless abuse of power and its several manifestations mentioned above ([†]>OL2:1060).
40. That investigation will be conducted by professional journalists with the support of an army of citizen journalists among the scores of millions of people who have been abused by judges. It will lead, not just to the impeachment and trial of one officer, but rather to the resignation of judges, justices(^{*}>OL:92§c), and even a whole branch.
41. The investigation conducted jointly by LexisNexis and me can jump ahead from the springboard of a wealth of leads(^{*}>OL:194§E). Both my articles and our investigation can cause an informed and outraged public to keep coming back to us for more information and the latest findings.

G. Facilitating people coming to us through a website enhancement, and going to them on a tour of presentations

42. To facilitate people coming to us, LexisNexis can support[‡] the professional law research and

writing, and strategic thinking([†]>OL2:445§B, 475§D) of Judicial Discipline Reform.

‡ https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ;

or at

<https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

43. Articles like this one have been posted to its website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They consist only of text with no graphics, pictures, video, or sound. Yet, they have been assessed so positively by countless visitors that 30,811 have become website subscribers as of this writing. You can join them by going to the website and either surfing to <left panel ↓Register or clicking + New or Users >Add New.
44. Ever more visitors and subscribers can be attracted to the website so that they bring with them their information and investigative leads as well as their business. Indeed, as proposed in the business plan(OL2:1022) of Judicial Discipline Reform, its website can be enhanced to add to it:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints([†]>OL2:792, 918) about judges that anybody can upload; and
 - b. a **research center** for auditing(*>OL:274-280, 304-307) many complaints in search of (*>jur:131§b, OL:255) the most persuasive type of evidence, which a single complaint cannot provide, namely, patterns([†]>OL2:792§A), trends(OL2:455§§B, D), and schemes(OL2:614, 929) of abuse of power. The research tools can include sophisticated software(*>OL:42; [†]>OL2:846) that:
 - 1) on the one hand, allow anybody to frame queries using natural language; and
 - 2) on the other hand, enable researchers(*>jur:128§4) to take advantage of artificial intelligence to conduct advanced statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis (jur:131§b) of judges' decisions as well as all other writings;
 - c. the website center can be developed into a **multidisciplinary academic(*>OL:60, 255) and business(*>jur:153§§c-g) center** that functions as a department of LexisNexis or is attached to a top university that sponsors it.
45. Simultaneously, LexisNexis can go to the public by sponsoring a tour where I present(*>jur:119§1) the articles and the investigation findings at numerous appropriate venues(*>OL:197§G), such as journalism, law, business, and Information Technology schools, bar associations, public defender and pro se organizations, etc.

H. Victims seeking compensation through local chapters of a national movement

46. The articles and the presentations can alert parties to the abuse that judges inflicted, are inflicting, and will likely inflict upon them. Almost all parties, whether pro se or represented by an attorney, go to court alone and prosecute their cases separately. As a result, they suffer in isolation and silence judges' abuse and the anger that it provokes incessantly. *They need not be alone*. Rather, they can join forces to shout self-assertively the rallying cry:

Enough is enough!
We won't take any abuse
by anybody, even judges, anymore.

47. LexisNexis and I can promote their joining of forces by relying on another current and repeatable

precedent: the emergence of the Tea Party. Advocating the single issue of tax reduction, the Tea Party sparked ever more local chapters. They coalesced into a national movement that in less than 10 years rose to dominate national politics.

48. The Tea Party and the *MeToo!* movement make it realistic for LexisNexis and me to strive to form local chapters and coalesce them into a national, single issue, apolitical civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform. This is a realistic and commercially promising proposition since we would be catering to the huge(†>OL2:719¶¶6-8) bloc of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System.
49. In this context, the article on, and subsequent investigation into, judges who do not read most briefs and have their clerks dump the corresponding cases out of their caseload through dumping forms(supra ¶¶21-22) can provide a potent incentive for the formation of the local chapters. The latter will be constituted of parties before the same judge or in the same court who join forces to demand the refund of their court filing fees, compensation for briefs intentionally rendered wasteful, and punitive damages for fraud.
50. LexisNexis and I can promote these local chapters by channeling to them necessary legal assistance directly and indirectly by:
 - a. publishing adequate how-to pamphlets(*>OL:274-280, 304-307) and standardized arguments accessible to laypeople(*>jur:123§§a-c) as well as offering webinars(†>OL2:957);
 - b. calling on law school deans(†>OL2:644), professors(†>OL2:1045, 973, 932, 773) and student class officers(OL2:747, 641) to offer and enroll in clinics where students supervised by professors assist the chapters(†>OL2:571¶24a); and
 - c. developing a niche market for recently graduated, the glut of unemployed, and established lawyers to represent victims as they jointly as chapter members or as individual parties file a host of motions for refund of court filing fees and compensation as well as for vacating decisions and remanding for new trial or appeal process.
51. That is how LexisNexis and I can for the first time in history bring about, to begin with in our country and then abroad, a system of justice where *We the People of the World*, the masters of all public servants, hold also our judicial public servants accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. That is how for the sake of *the People* we can become pioneers of transformative change in the system of Justice.

I. Offer of a presentation

52. I offer to present this proposal to you and your group of colleagues and other guests via video conference. You may use the information below to contact me and discuss the presentation's terms and conditions and its scheduling.
53. To decide whether to organize such a presentation watch my video together with its supporting slides(†>OL2:958) using the following links:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!(†>OL2:1003)...and you may enter it.

April 17, 2020

The facts and precedent giving rise to probable cause to believe that judges have intercepted our emails and mail, and that exposing them can be a scoop leading to the resignation of judges and justices for running their judiciary as a racketeering enterprise

Mr. Austin Dunn Austin.Dunn@lexisnexis.com
Legal Solutions consultant tel. (937)247-8120 direct
LexisNexis

Dear Mr. Dunn, Mr. Tyler Duke, Rep. Lane Okney, LexisNexis, and all other publishers, journalists, and lawyers,

Thank you, Mr. Dunn, for your email under the subject line "Re:" [and nothing more]. There you wrote, "Good Morning. I wanted to circle back around on my below email as this promotion ends April 30th. Is there a good time today to speak?" You may call me at (718)827-9521.

A. My repeated effort to communicate with you

1. Kindly, before calling me, read the below proposal to you, your colleagues, LexisNexis itself, and other publishers. It can also be downloaded through this link in the footer[‡].
2. That proposal replies to the email that your colleague, Mr. Tyler Duke (+1(937)247-3182), sent me under the subject line: "Re: New: LexisNexis Economic Relief Promotion".
3. Before Mr. Duke emailed me, you, Mr. Dunn, had taken the initiative to call me last January 14,. Since then, I have sent you and your colleagues from my three email accounts more than 10 emails with your names in the salutation. I have also sent you all many other emails addressed to publishers in general and to specific professionals. I have not received any reply to any of my emails from either you, your colleagues, or the overwhelming majority of the other addressees. Your latest email -of April 16- neither is addressed to me nor refers to my emails. It is a general email. Facts and statistics(OL2:781, 885, 889-913, 929) support probable cause to believe that there are...

B. Emails/mail interceptors: the usual suspects are those with the most to lose

4. All my emails to you included a group of the same addressees. Had they received them, some would have replied or protested receiving the same email so many times, some even rebuking me, 'I've not replied because I'm not interested in your email. *Don't you get it?!* TAKE ME OFF YOUR EMAILING LIST RIGHT AWAY.' But nobody protested, for nobody received the same email repeatedly.
5. In the same vein, if you did not receive any of my emails, and if you did and sent me a reply but it did not reach me, you can reasonably conclude that our emails have been intercepted in a non-accidental, non-coincidental way. Rather, their interception is intentional and systematic.
6. My emails criticize unaccountable judges for risklessly abusing their power and call for holding them accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. The most likely interceptors are those most interested in preventing their critics from communicating with each other and others. The interception of emails and mail is a federal crime involving interstate means of communication and a deprivation of the constitutional guarantees of "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [via email and on social media], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [e.g., compensation for abuse]".

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1081

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf

7. Can you imagine the national outrage provoked by the publication by LexisNexis or another courageous and commercially savvy publisher of my article exposing judges' interception of the emails and mail of scores of millions of people in order to detect and suppress those of their critics?

C. The precedent for the reasonable expectation about exposing judges' abuse

8. Only imagine a repeat of what happened after the publication by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, of their exposés of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuses. In less than a week, on October 16, his victims began to speak out and the *MeToo!* movement erupted, spreading worldwide with unprecedented celerity and assertiveness. It now constitutes a precedent. It is repeatable.
9. Since then *MeToo!* accusers have brought decades-long untouchable VIP sexual abusers at the top of the entertainment and news industries and other high places of society down to where their victims and other common people can hold them accountable and liable. Indeed, abuser Weinstein was convicted and sentenced by a federal court (SDNY) to 23 years in prison; he has settled or offered to settle with some of his victims for millions of dollars ...and he must still stand trial in California for even more of his abuse.

1. Your publishing can repeat the precedent & have graver consequences

10. Your publication of one or a series of my articles exposing judges' abuse of power, summarized below, can have far graver consequences: It can launch a process that ends up making unavoidable the resignation of both the judges who have coordinated their institution's systemic abuse and all those who have covered for them.
11. Among them are the Supreme Court justices. As 'circuit justices', they supervise the judges of each circuit. What is more, they can reasonably be suspected of having abused their power as lower court judges and still abusing it from the high court...after all, the justices too are unaccountable. Why walk away from all the gain and convenience that can be grabbed by abusing power?
12. Therefore, the exposure of judges' and justices' coordinated abuse can provoke such national outrage as to render untenable their holding on to office. This makes it reasonable to expect the resignation of the Supreme Court itself as well as the rest of the Federal Judiciary. They have turned abuse into their modus operandi so as to run their institution as a racketeering enterprise.
13. Your publication of my articles can set in motion this graver repeat of the *MeToo!* precedent.
14. **What is in it for you?** You can even repeat and surpass another precedent of historic proportions: the courageous publication by *Washington Post* editor Ben Bradlee and publisher Katharine Graham of the articles of reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein exposing President Nixon's participation in the Watergate scandal. They were instrumental in setting off a generalized media investigation that extended and deepened the exposure. Their initial publication eventually forced Nixon's resignation on August 8, 1974, and led to the conviction and imprisonment of "*All the President's Men*", i.e., all his White House aides.

D. Articles based on a study; and a website for "Making Money While Doing Justice"

15. My articles and the above statements are supported by my 2-volume professional study* † of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

16. My law research and writing and strategic thinking also support my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. It has attracted so many visitors that 31,099 of them and counting have become subscribers as of April 17.
17. I trust that number of subscribers catches your imagination and that of your colleagues, Lexis-Nexis, and all savvy publishers and investors who know how the Internet economic model works: A website that has proven its attraction for the national public is further developed to provide advanced services for a fee and sell goods to visitors and all the more so to subscribers, such as:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints([†]>OL2:918) about judges that anybody can upload;
 - b. a **research center** for auditing(*>OL:274-280, 304-307) decisions, complaints, and other writings in search of(jur:131§b; OL:255) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns ([†]>OL2:792§A), trends(OL2:455§§B, D), and schemes(OL2:614, 929) of abuse of power
18. The website also proposes a program of concrete, realistic, and feasible actions(OL2:978§E) to engage visitors and subscribers in forming local chapters for parties with cases before the same judge or in the same court to join forces to demand compensation for the abuse that judges have inflicted upon them. The expectation of being compensated by pursuing their quest for justice through a promising joint effort is bound to attract many people and motivate them into action.
19. They will also be inspired to act by a purpose greater than their own benefit and apt to foster the common good of Equal Justice Under Law: coalescing the local chapters into a national, single issue, apolitical civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.
20. The development of the website and implementation of the program of actions are supported by a business plan([†]>OL2:1022) that is guided by the motto Making Money While Doing Justice. All this shows a realistic appreciation of the fact that every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money.

E. What is in it for you and my offer of a presentation to you and your guests

21. Exposing the Federal Judiciary, the model for its state counterparts, as a racketeering enterprise, would be a scoop. You can open the door to making it and winning a Pulitzer Prize. Instead of selling books for your company for the rest of your life or you can make history as an agent of transformative change([†]>OL2:1069§E) in the system of justice worldwide: You can help to turn the millennial impossible of holding judges accountable into the reality of holding them so accountable as to be liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. Your choice and legacy.
22. To lay this out in greater detail and answer your questions and those of your colleagues and other guests, I offer to make via video conference a presentation on this proposal for your publication of one or a series of my articles and participation in the commercial development of my website and implementation of the rest of the business plan. You may call me or use the information in the letterhead to contact me and discuss the presentation's terms and conditions and its scheduling.
23. To help you decide whether to organize the presentation you may share the link[‡] to this proposal with your colleagues and guests, and watch my video together with its slides([†]>OL2:958):

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
24. Meantime, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!([†]>OL2:1003)...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable:
We the People, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' **abuse** the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable: *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero


Users [Add New](#)

SSL activated!

Take the time to review these things

- > Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)
- > Improve your security [with security headers](#)

[Really Simple SSL Pro](#)



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate your questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (32,368) | **Administrator** (1) | **Subscriber** (32,367)

[Bulk Actions](#) [Apply](#) [Change role to...](#) [Change](#) 32,368 items

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruckshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber