

April 17, 2019

**Making a documentary on unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power
as a means of forming a national civic movement for
judicial abuse exposure, redress, and reform**

A. A documentary based on the research of a study on judges and their judiciaries

1. Thank you for the useful information that you emailed me concerning my proposal hereunder for making judges' abuse of power the subject of a documentary to be used as a means of forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, redress, and reform.
2. I encourage you to contact me and/or the documentarists and producers that you know to introduce me to them. You may forward to them and others the below treatment for the proposed documentary:

Using official court statistics on complaints against judges
and making the documentary

Black Robed Predators! when the judges are the abusers

as means of forming a national civic movement
for judicial abuse exposure, redress, and reform

3. The treatment is also found at [†]>OL2:847 in my 2-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable for free thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †**

4. This reference is not given for people to read the whole study, which now has reached the length of a treatise on judges' abuse of power (use the binocular icon on the menu bar of each downloaded volume to search for your keywords).
5. Rather, it is given in the reasonable expectation that anybody who reads the treatment while having access to the study, which contains the materials corresponding to its numerous(* †>blue text references), will be convinced that the treatment:
 - a. is based on responsibly non-defamatory, verifiable, and professional law research and writing on the official statistics of the judges themselves; and
 - b. its proposals for action are concrete, realistic, and feasible because linked to current events through analysis and strategic thinking.
6. The study is original, for it goes much further and deeply than the usual party's story of his or her personal, local case before one or more judges, who is allegedly abusive and corrupt in the opinion of the party, by definition biased toward his or her side of the story.
7. As a result of its basis and objectivity, the study makes a convincing argument that leads to its conclusion: Abuse of power is the institutionalized modus operandi of judges, who hold themselves and are held connivingly by politicians unaccountable, and consequently engage in riskless abuse for their benefit(*>OL:173¶93) as Judges Above the Law.

**B. What is in it for the audience of the documentary and
its producers and documentarists**

8. The documentary will allow its audience to gain a greater understanding of the circumstances enabling judges' abuse of their enormous power over people's property, liberty, and all their rights and duties that frame their lives; and their predatory impact on the abusees, that is, the parties before the judges and the rest of *We the People*.
9. Indeed, *the People* are the intended audience of my documentary. They will be attracted by the opportunity, and energized by the plan, to obtain redress by joining forces to demand:
 - a. the refund of filing fees abusively required by courts; and
 - b. compensation for:
 - 1) services not rendered by judges;
 - 2) losses caused by them([†]>OL2:760); and
 - 3) the most outrageous abuse: the deprivation of their 'freedom of speech, of the press, and of assembly'([†]>OL2:792¶1) by judges' interception of *the People*'s communications by email and mail critical of them and aimed at exposing their abuse([†]>OL2:781).
10. In the same vein, documentarists and producers of the treatment with access to the study will appreciate the documentary's profit potential. Convinced that there is something of significant professional and commercial value for them in my proposed documentary, they will be induced to call me to discuss it. They can make money while contributing to doing Justice.

C. The gains already made and donations to increase them

11. In fact, many people have already made gains in understanding and found the prospect of redress in my study: They have visited my website, where I make it available, and subscribed to its articles thus: <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> > + New or Users >Add New
12. As of today, my website has 25,360+ subscribers, not just visitors([†]>OL2:App3). This shows its commercial potential, for it already has a customer base.
13. Imagine how many more(^{*}>ggl:1 et seq.) subscribers and visitors my website would attract if there were funds to enhance it into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for people to upload complaints against judges; and
 - b. a research center for fee-paying people to search for the most persuasive type of evidence: patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse.
14. This profit potential of my documentary and website warrants taking action on the axiom "no meaningful cause can be advanced without money". Therefore,

D. Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are

15. Donate to support the professional law research, writing, and strategic thinking of Judicial Discipline Reform and its website at <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Donate to the **GoFundMe** campaign at:
<https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

or
at



16. I offer to make my Programmatic Presentation(OL2:821-824) in person or via video conference.
17. To retain my legal services, see my model letter of engagement(^{*}>OL:383).

Dare trigger history!(^{*}>jur:7§5)...and you may enter it. Sincerely, s/Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

March 18, 2019

**Using official court statistics on complaints against judges
and making the documentary**

***Black Robed Predators!* when the judges are the abusers**

**as means of forming a national civic movement
for judicial abuse exposure, redress, and reform**

A. The official statistics show that judges dismiss 100% of complaints against them, ensuring their riskless abuse as unaccountable *Black Robed Predators!*

1. Readers' request for working links to official court statistics on complaints against judges offers a great opportunity to discuss how to use them.
2. To begin with, a link does not work if a space between its characters breaks it, which occurs often at the end of the line when the link continues in the next line. If you eliminate such space, the link becomes 'solid' and works again.
3. More importantly, the links do not download statistics that serve to appeal or pursue a malpractice suit against a judge. On the contrary, the statistics demonstrate that federal judges, the models for their state counterparts, dismiss 100% of complaints against them and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals.
4. The legislative mechanism(*>jur:21§a) for complaining against a judge's misconduct grants judges self-disciplining authority: All complaints against them must be filed with, and processed by, them. The use by judges of that mechanism carries the implied promise that they will apply it fairly, treat the complainant and the judge equally, and may provide redress to those injured by the misconduct.

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †**

5. But the judges have rendered the promise illusory(†>OL2:729). By dismissing 100% of complaints and denying 100% of review petitions, they have rigged the mechanism to protect each other regardless of the nature, extent, and gravity of the misconduct complained about.
6. Judges' abuse of their self-disciplining power through 100% self-exoneration from complaints assures them that they risk no adverse consequences from complaints. This assurance removes any inhibition about abusing their enormous power(*>OL:234¶4) over people's property, liberty, and the rights and duties that frame their lives.
7. Hence, judges' abuse is riskless. Risklessness ensures unaccountability, which breeds abuse, including the disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law, prejudice, conflict of interests, bribery, etc.(*>OL:267§4). Abuse becomes a riskless means of grabbing material, professional, and social benefits(OL:173¶93) to which they are not entitled and which they could not obtain through honest means. Thus, the work-related term 'abuse of power' is used here instead of 'misconduct'.
8. Exposing judges' abuse of power, obtaining redress for the injury that judges cause, and reforming, not only the complaint mechanism, but also judges' powers and status as public servants are the objectives of forming a single issue Tea Party-like national civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, redress, and reform.
9. How to form that movement is described in my Programmatic Presentation(†>OL2:821-24). One

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:847

of the means of forming it is the making of the documentary *Black Robed Predators!* when the judges are the abusers.

1. Links to the official statistics on complaints against judges

10. This is the complete set of collected official court statistics on complaints against judges and my analysis of them. I referred readers to them in several of my articles, including those at [†][OL2:753fn5](#) et seq.; and:

OL2:772§G. Links to official court statistics and their analysis

24. Article on official statistics on complaints about J. Kavanaugh, DCC Chief Judge Merrick Garland, & peers and their analysis using "the math of abuse": http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf
25. Table of complaints against judges lodged in, and dismissed by, DCC in the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
26. Collected official statistics on complaints about federal judges in the 1oct96-30sep17 21-year period: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf
27. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
28. Article on statistics and math: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms': unresearched, unreasoned, arbitrary, and fiat-like orders; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >OL2:760, 457§D
11. If those files do not download, let me know and I will send them to you as an attachment. However, so sending them can present problems with your email client's size limitations for attachments, assuming, of course, that your network allows the receipt of emails with attachments.

2. No statistic on any judge is available; but the statistics showing abusive 100% dismissal of complaints against judges include each judge

12. The statistics on complaints against judges do not serve to sue a judge in court, where the defendant judge will be protected by his or her "brothers and sisters in the robe", in the words of Then-Judge Neil Gorsuch([†][OL2:546](#)).
13. There is no doubt that the use of official court statistics carries infinitely more persuasive force than the personal, anecdotic account of any victim of judges' abuse, which judges disregard as 'the whining of a disgruntled loser'. However:
- The judges do not make the statistics on complaints against any of them available.
 - In fact, the complaints themselves are kept secret and are nowhere to be found.
 - The Federal Judiciary is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), so that a complainant cannot invoke its provisions to obtain the production of complaints against

federal judges.

- d. The decisions on complaints, which are made available to the public, have the name of the complained-against judge replaced by the title “Respondent” or more likely the title sanitized of even a hint of a complaint: “the subject judge”.

14. As a result, the search for complaints is pointless and the decisions are useless for searching for the most persuasive type of evidence, that is, patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse.

3. The use of the circuit specific complaints officially submitted to Congress and made available to the public annually

15. It follows that the files downloadable through the above links will not enable you to find anything concerning the abusive judge in your case: The Federal Judiciary protects its own and itself by preventing the analysis and comparison of the complaints against any of its judges.

16. Nevertheless, the Judiciary must comply with the provision under 28 U.S.C. §604(h)(2), directing the publication to Congress and availability to the public of the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(*>jur:23fn10). That Report contains the statistics on complaints against judges that the federal courts receive, tabulate, and send to Administrative Office, which in turns compiles them for inclusion in the Report.

17. That Report is highly useful to you, whether you are a complainant, a victim of, or witness to, judges' abuse or an Advocate to Honest Judiciaries. I have further tabulated those annual statistics, providing the link to each one, and found this:

- a. In the 2006-2017 11-year period during which Then-Judge Brett Kavanaugh served in the District of Columbia Circuit, he and his peers and colleagues dismissed 100% of the 478 complaints filed against them and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals(†>OL2:748).
- b. That is what Then-Judge Neil Gorsuch and his peers and colleagues in the 10th Circuit did(OL2:548).
- c. Then-Judge Sonia Sotomayor did likewise in the 2nd Circuit (*>jur:11) before being elevated to the Supreme Court.
- d. That is what their peers and colleagues in the other circuits and complaint-reporting national courts do(jur:10).

18. This necessarily implies that regardless of what a judge did or failed to do, she or he too got exonerated by her or his colleagues and peers.

19. Likewise, it implies that the justices of the Supreme Court have a self-interest in not denouncing judges' continued abuse of their self-disciplining power(*>jur:21§a), lest they incriminate themselves for their abuse and cover-up while they were judges. They are undeniably aware(†>OL2:645§C) of what any complained-against judge shouts at the justices tacitly: “I know what you did when you were judges. Thus, if you bring me down, *I'll take you with me!*”

20. In addition, the politicians who recommended, endorsed, nominated, and confirmed judges to the Judiciary connivingly protect them thereafter as ‘our men and women on the bench’(OL2:610§3).

21. This means that when you file a complaint against a judge, not only will it be kept secret from all other complainants and the rest of the public, but it also will be processed by the very judges who have an interest in exonerating that judge and preventing his or her being antagonized to the point

of harming them with incriminating disclosures. The silence of conspirators prevails and dooms your complaint. It is DOA and dismissed unceremoniously.

4. If instead of filing a complaint against an abusive judge you appeal her decision, your brief has practically no chance of even been read

22. You may have suffered pain and outrage at the hands of an abusive judge in your case. Understandably, you may be interested in overturning her or his decision. However, that is a hopeless endeavor since federal circuit judges, to whom you must appeal therefor, do not even read most briefs([†]>[OL2:608§A](#)):
 - a. 93% of appeals are dumped out of the federal circuit courts in unresearched, unreasoned, fiat-like orders “on procedural grounds [e.g., the lazy, convenient, and deceptive catchall term “lack of jurisdiction” slapped onto any matter that judges do not want to deal with], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation” and rubberstamped by staff clerks([OL2:457§D](#)), who may not even be lawyers. The remain-ing 7% unfairly and unequally get published opinions written by judges with the help of their law clerks.
23. What happened in your case due to the alleged abuse by your judge may have disrupted your life profoundly and engendered a deep sense of outrage at the injustice of it all. Nevertheless, the judges to whom you will appeal will not feel anything because they are most unlikely to even see, never mind read, your brief.
24. You may spend \$1Ks or even \$10Ks writing or having an appellate lawyer write a brief([OL2:760](#)). Yet, you have a 93% chance of receiving a 5¢ form affirming the decision of the appealed-from judge because the clerks who will rubberstamp it do not have what is necessary: Judicial authority and discretion to engage in law research, come to the conclusion that the decision on appeal should be overturned, and write a decision letting the judge know what her or his error was and how not to repeat it on remand. Clerks can only maintain the status quo through an affirmance, unless the matter is a motion on a substantive issue, in which case a denial is more likely to keep everything as it is. Your brief is practically bound to receive a “perfunctory disposition“(^{*}>[jur:44fn68](#)).
25. Nonetheless, the judges require you to file that appellate brief knowing full well that your effort and money will go to waste and your outrage will distress you emotionally. They could not care less, for they do not see you, not because they are blindfolded as Lady Justice is, but rather because they are too far away from you: Judges Above the Law.

5. You need to decide whether to go it alone or apply the strategic thinking principle of enlightened self-interest

26. So now you are confronted with the decision whether to proceed strictly on the grounds of your personal, local case or work for the common good to expose judges’ abuse of power affecting you as well as the rest of *We the People*. The choice of the latter is rendered more appealing by the strategic thinking principle of enlightened self-interest: You first advance the public interest as a way of eventually advancing your own personal interest.
27. If you concern yourself from the start with advancing your personal interest, you are alone battling the judges and you have no chance whatsoever of forcing them to do what you deem right,
28. By contrast, if you choose to advance first the common good, you can join forces with a group of people similarly situated who are forming the single issue Tea Party-like national civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, redress, and reform([†]>[OL2:827§C](#)).

B. Making the documentary

Black Robed Predators! when the judges are the abusers

29. I have proposed the making of this documentary for a long time(*>OL:85, 313; †>OL2:464, 536, 537). It has clearly-defined and reasonable objectives. To help form the national civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, redress, and reform. So, it will inform the public about, and outrage it at, judges' abuse so as to stir the public to force further official exposure, demand redress, and compel reform. To that end, it will inform about:
- a. judges' forms of abuse, especially those that through coordination have developed into the most structured, extensive, and harmful forms of abuse: schemes(†>OL2:657§4; 614); and:
 - 1) the potentially most outrageous abuse, capable of mobilizing the audience toward the movement: judges' interception of their critics' communications(OL2:781). Thereby judges trample the American people's most cherished of rights, enshrined in the 1st Amendment to the Constitution: "the freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances"(OL2:792¶1); and
 - b. the existence of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System as a huge untapped voting bloc(OL2:719¶¶6-8) that can influence the 2020 election campaigns and outcome.
30. The documentary will consist of interviews with victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse; complainants against judges; politicians; pollsters; established and recently graduated lawyers; law and journalism school deans, professors, and students; newscast anchors and journalists; Information Technology experts; civil rights leaders; public defenders; prosecutors; current and former staff and law clerks, and judges, who most likely will be reluctant to be interviewed.
31. Traveling to meet them will cost money; cutting and pasting segments that detect and develop themes and engross the audience's attention will take know-how and time; and marketing the finished documentary can be expensive and require industry connections.
32. Note that Michael Moore's *Fahrenheit 9/11*, a documentary on reelection candidate George Bush, released in time to affect the 2004 campaign became the highest grossing documentary up to that time(OL2:491, 530, 724¶4). Investing in making the documentary can be principled and profitable.
33. That is realistic because this is the most opportune time to make a documentary on judges that abuse the rule of law at the core of our form of democratic government:
- a. It will speak to a *MeToo!* public that is intolerant of any form of abuse and to growing 'social progressive' and youthful voter segments demanding substantial change in our form of governance. In turn, they will self-assertively voice their outrage at judges' abuse and the connivance between judges and the Establishment politicians who put them on the bench.
 - b. Each of the 13 declared presidential candidates is desperate to become the standard-bearer of an issue that causes national outrage and makes him or her stand out from the pack.
 - a. It can be released in time to turn judges' abuse into a key issue of the primaries, the nominating conventions, and the 2020 presidential campaign, and be decisive on Election Day, when voters reaffirm their right to 'government, not of men and women, but by the rule of law'.
34. Indeed, the documentary can make an informed and outraged public aware that they can transform our political paradigm from one where a privileged minority class remains entrenched in power with 'their judges' support' into one where *We the People*, the source of all political power, asserts

our status as the masters of all public servants, and hold all of them, including judicial public servants, accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

35. That is the confident self-image and energized attitude that the audience should come away with after watching the documentary. Such audience can:
- a. feel curious or enthusiastic about joining the national civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, redress, and reform: *the People's Sunrise*(*>OL:201§J);
 - b. generate free advertisement by word of mouth that influences others in their decision to join, and donate to, the movement; and
 - c. force the issue of judges' abuse in every political rally and townhall meeting as they assume the role of Champions of Justice(*>OL:201§K).
36. Therefore, you can decide and let me know:
- a. whether you are interested in participating in the making this documentary, if so,
 - b. in what way you can contribute to developing its technical, financial, and marketing aspects;
 - c. whether you can persuade friends, family, and associates to contribute too.

C. Taking concrete, realistic, and feasible actions

37. To join forces to form the national movement and make the documentary, you can do this:
- a. realize that KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Empower yourself by reading in my study* †;
 - b. share this article with as many people as possible and post it to websites and social media;
 - c. form a group to whom I can make at a video conference or in person my Programmatic Presentation(†>OL2:821-824) on forming the movement;
 - d. visit the website at, and subscribe for free to its articles thus: <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>> + New or Users >Add New ;
 - e. **put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.** No meaningful cause can be advanced without money. Support Judicial Discipline Reform's work, including:
 - 1) professional law research, writing, and strategic thinking;
 - 2) enhancement(OL2:563) of its website(d above) into:
 - a) a clearinghouse for complaints about judges that anybody can upload;
 - b) a research center for searching complaints for the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power;
 - 3) a tour(OL:197§G) of Programmatic Presentations at schools, civic, bar, and press associations, etc., to persuade them to expose judges' abuse and join the movement;
 - 4) promotion of unprecedented citizen hearings on judges' abuse(OL2:812§E);
 - 5) the investigation(OL:194§E) of judges and their outrageous cover-up and prevention of joining of forces: judges' interception of their critics' communications(OL2:781); and
 - 6) creation of the institute for judicial unaccountability reporting and reform(jur:131§5).

Donate through <https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

Dare trigger history!(>jur:7§5)...and you may enter it.*

August 1, 2014

BLACK ROBED PREDATORS

A Proposal for a documentary on two unique cases of wrongdoing at the top of government that expose how federal judges have become unaccountable in connivance with the other two branches and consequently, engage risklessly in coordinated wrongdoing by disregarding their duty, due process, and the rule of law to prey on *We the People's* rights, property, and liberty

1. Federal judges' wrongdoing has been shown through the analysis of official statistics, reports, and statementsⁱⁱ in the study of the Federal Judiciary –whose procedural and evidentiary rules are followed by its state counterparts, for which it is the model–: Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting(jur:1) It highlighted their means, motive, and opportunity(21§§1-3) for wrongdoing.
2. This article proposes its presentation in a documentary. It will emphasize its most outrageous and corruptive enabling circumstance: coordination(88§§a-c) among judges and between them and other insiders of the legal and bankruptcy systems¹⁶⁹, politicians(77§§5-6), and government entities(ol:19§D). It will show that wrongdoing(133§4) is not the deviant conduct of individual rogue judges, but rather collective conduct that is coordinated to ensure that doing wrong is safer, easier, and more beneficial. That encourages further wrongdoing. So does a judge who keeps quiet about his peer's wrongdoing, becoming an accessory after the fact concerning it and before the fact concerning all future wrongdoing encouraged by the expectation of his silence. Such implicit coordination corrupts the judge and his peers, putting them 'in the same boat' of mutually dependent survival due to complicity. Coordination has allowed judges to develop the most harmful form of wrongdoing, i.e., schemes, such as a bankruptcy fraud scheme(66§§2-3), a concealment of assets scheme^{107ac, 213}, and a docket clearing scheme(43§1). Coordination has made wrongdoing so widespread and routine that it is the Federal Judiciary's institutionalized modus operandi(ol:190).

A. The documentary' financial viability: its market is huge

3. Every year 50 million new cases are filed in the state and federal courts^{4,5}. To them must be added scores of millions of pending cases. Given that every case involves at least two opposing parties, at least 100 million persons and entities go and are brought to court annually. In fact, many more do so because a party can be composed of more than one person or entity; it can even be composed of a class of hundreds of thousands of persons similarly situated. To the parties must be added all those persons and entities who are more or less directly affected by their litigation. These include friends, relatives, employees, buyers, suppliers, investors, creditors, debtors, shareholders, landlords, tenants, even the store on the corner, who may see its business diminished because a party and others affected by it can no longer afford to patronize its store, etc.

B. Two unique national stories to expose judges' coordinated wrongdoing and provoke action-stirring outrage in the public during the long electoral season

4. All those persons and entities actually form the national public. The documentary can make that public aware of how it is affected by judges who abuse their power to make self-beneficial decisions that with disregard for due process of law dispose of litigants' and non-litigants' rights, property, liberty, and lives. Thus, it can provoke in the public action-stirring outrage(83§§2-3). That is what two unique national stories(ol:55) can provoke. They can also expose top Democrat and Republican politicians^{17a}(jur:22¶31) who in their own interest and to the people's detriment have allowed judges' wrongdoing(5§3) to fester. These are the President Obama-Justice Sotomayor story –she was his first nominee to the Supreme Court– and the Federal Judiciary-NSA story.
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

5. A realistic plan of investigation(ol:66) based on numerous leads and reliable evidence(^{107a-c}; jur: 65§B) is available to pursue these stories through a *Follow the money!* investigation(ol:1) and a *Follow it wirelessly!* investigation(ol:19§D), respectively. Such focused objective and advanced starting station facilitate the documentary's production and reduce its cost and production lag.

C. A documentary that provides the dominant issue of the electoral season

6. The documentary can be produced in time to impact, and even provide the dominant issue of, the electoral season comprising the mid-term, primary, and 2016 presidential election campaigns. It can do so to a greater extent than Michael Moore's *Fahrenheit 9/11*, which earned over \$200 million.

1. A documentary with apolitical, general public appeal

7. A documentary on judges' wrongdoing will appeal to the national public regardless of any political affiliation or lack thereof, and independently of any or no intention to vote in any election.

2. Insatiable public demand for information about judges' wrongdoing

8. Rather than exhaust its subject, the documentary will open the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting. It will cause the public to demand to be informed about:

- a. judges' motive, means, and opportunity to do wrong(21§§1-3);
- b. explicit and implicit coordinated wrongdoing among judges and with others(88§§a-c); and
- c. the extent, nature, and gravity of judges' past and ongoing unaccountability and wrongdoing, e.g., "demeanor, abuse of power, bias, conflict of interest, bribery, incompetence"(10,11).

3. Meeting a low standard can cause high-level resignations & impeachments

9. To be effective, the documentary only has to show that judges have violated the injunction in their own Code of Conduct "to avoid even the appearance of impropriety"^{123a}. Their "appearance" of lack of respect for legal and ethical provisions in their own conduct will detract from the required trust in their having respected them enough to apply them fairly and impartially to other people's conduct. This is reasonable and precedented: Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas was forced to resign in 1969 after *Life* magazine made his hold on office untenable by showing that he had engaged in financial improprieties, though they did not even amount to misdemeanors(92§d). Thus, the documentary can cause a flood of motions to vacate judgments and hold new trials of cases argued to, or tried before, judges who appear to have committed improprieties. This flood and the chaos into which it will throw the Federal Judiciary –eventually having the same effect on the state judiciaries– will work as free advertisement for the documentary.

4. Launch a Watergate-like generalized media investigation of judges

10. The above developments will prompt ever more journalists and media outlets to jump on the investigative bandwagon of judges' wrongdoing in coordination with other parties, lest their audience go elsewhere to satisfy their demand for news thereon. Thereby the documentary will launch the first-ever, Watergate-like(4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation of the Federal Judiciary. Such ever-expanding investigation will provide a constant reminder of the documentary as its starting point and continuing point of reference. The journalists' investigation can be guided by a query that already(id.) proved to be devastating and that can be adapted thus:

What did the President(77§5) know about both the concealment of assets of J Sotomayor(65§§1-3) –suspected by *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, and Politico^{107a.c}– and the abuse by the Federal Judiciary and NSA of their computer network and expertise(Lsch:11¶9b.ii) to transfer money between disclosed^{107d} and secret(ol:1) financial accounts and interfere with the communications of complainants against them; and when did he know it?

11. The investigation guided by this query can generate distrust of top public officers and make improprieties –even criminal conduct^{ol:7, 10} – appear that lead to their resignation or impeachment.

5. Public demand for official investigations by the authorities

12. The intensifying outrage will stir up the public to demand official investigations by Congress, DoJ-FBI, and an independent prosecutor. Their more intrusive powers to issue subpoena, search & seizure and contempt orders, indictments, to interrogate, place under oath, plea bargain, hold public hearings, etc., will allow them to make findings that will further outrage the public.

6. From an outraged public that demands reform to a civic movement

13. The stream of outrageous findings during the electoral season will stir up the public to demand that both incumbents commence and candidates pledge to undertake fundamental judicial reform(158§§6-7). This can turn judges’ wrongdoing into an issue that shapes or even dominates the campaigns because it concerns the practical meaning and safeguard of a tenet of our republic:
14. *We the People*, the only source of political power in ‘government of, by, and for the people’¹⁷², are the masters who have hired public officers as servants, including judicial servants, to perform services in *the People*’s behalf. *We* are entitled to subject them to ‘reverse surveillance’(ol:29) to obtain the information needed to dispel the secrecy(27§e) of their performance in order to hold them accountable and liable to the victims of their wrongdoing(160§8). A documentary intent on causing *the People* to assert in practice this tenet can prompt the emergence of a civic movement(164§9) that demands a new *We the People*-government paradigm: *the People*’s Sunrise. By empowering *the People* to reestablish themselves as the masters of government, the documentary will be endowed with unequaled moral force and inspire a sense of mission: To implement the principle that ‘in government, not of men, but by the rule of law’^{ol:6}, Nobody is Above the Law, and ensure that judges and politicians are committed to delivering Equal Justice Under Law.

D. An outraged public can force politicians to amend the Constitution

15. The documentary can show how the three branches of government have connived to participate in, or tolerate, judges’ trampling underfoot the rule of law to squeeze out for expediency and their benefit the strictures of due process and dish out its residue: the lees of justice. Nothing can outrage the national public as a showing thereof. No force can more strongly push for a constitutional convention than an outraged public supporting the 34 states that have called for it^{270>Ln:309}. The public has the power to punish politicians insensitive to its mood and demands by withholding from them donations, volunteered work, and word of mouth support, and by issuing warnings of defeat when surveyed. The precedent for such popular conduct is the Tea Party, a civic movement that forces politicians to support it or risk having their careers terminated. Hence, provoking such outrage can bring about the convention. But before it is called, there must be exposed how unaccountable judges risklessly prey on *We the People* so that the latter can determine the needed amendments(Lsch:10¶6). A widely distributed documentary can most effectively help a people do so who are wont to be informed through movies, TV, and computer communications.

E. Joining forces to produce the documentary and become Champions of Justice

16. Thus, I encourage you, the Reader, and all other advocates of honest judiciaries to join forces to produce this documentary. By exposing judges’ wrongdoing in connivance with politicians, it can play a key role in the coming elections, lead to a new *We the People*-government relations(ol:29), and earn us many material and moral rewards(ol:3§F), such as becoming recognized by a grateful *People* as their Champions of Justice. So you may share and post this article widely as I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!(97§§1-2)...and you may enter it.

Blank

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

Dr%C3%9Cordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume I

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

- Judicial Discipline Reform
- Dashboard
- Posts
- Media
- Pages
- Comments 31
- WooCommerce
- Products
- Appearance
- Plugins 7
- Users**
- All Users
- Add New
- Profile
- Tools
- Settings 1
- Collapse menu

Users Add New

SSL activated!

- Take the time to review these things
- > Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)
 - > Improve your security [with security headers](#)

[Really Simple SSL Pro](#)



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate your questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (36,008) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (36,007)**

Bulk actions ▼ [Apply](#) [Change role to...](#) ▼ [Change](#)

36,008 items

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role
<input type="checkbox"/>	1970mdegcf	—	einarcruckshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	791064097357326	—	daniellacornell@mailcatch.com	Subscriber

Blank

End page