

June 10, 2021

**Exposing the Biden Commission on reforming the Supreme Court
by applying the strategic thinking principle
“The enemy of my enemy is my friend”,
whereby you can become a key influencer of your party
and a nationally recognized reluctant hero for Justice†**

Dear Ato Aby, politicians, journalists, academics, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you so much for your kind words about, in general, my work.

A. I and my work are apolitical and aimed at exposing judges’ abuse of power

1. Because you read, in particular, [my article](#) on exposing [the Biden Commission](#) on reforming the Supreme Court, you were able to affirm correctly, “Your work is actually not related to any political party or any particular political beliefs.”
2. In fact, my work aims to form an apolitical, single issue, national civic movement for:
 - a. exposing judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power;
 - b. holding judges accountable and liable to [compensate](#) their victims; and
 - c. reforming the system of justice through transformative change:
 - 1) The system that goes into change emerges different as part of a new relation between *We the People* and our public servants, including judicial ones, under the rules of a new constitution(id. [Section A](#)) that we, the living today, adopt ourselves.
3. The apolitical, non-partisan nature of my work is evidenced in my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries. The study is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣**

4. Many articles that I have written are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **38,775+** have become subscribers to it as of June 9, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
 - a. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - b. You can invest in the commercial development of the site according to its [business plan](#), which is guided by the motto “Making Money While Doing Justice”. It would turn the site from an informational platform into:
 - 1) **a clearinghouse** for complaints([OL2:918](#)) about judges that anybody can upload;
 - 2) **a research center** for fee-paying people auditing([OL:274-280, 304-307](#)) many complaints, decisions, and other writings in search of([jur:131§b, OL:255](#)) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns([OL2:792§A](#)), trends([OL2:455§§B, D](#)), and schemes([OL2:614, OL2:929](#)) of abuse of power, including:
 - a) judges’ interception of people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

OL3:1323

*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_SCT_Commission.pdf

of their critics([OL2:781](#));

- b) judges' having clerks dump most cases due to judges' failure to read briefs([OL2:608](#));
- c) judges' filing misleading mandatory annual financial disclosure reports ([jur:102§a and fn. 213b](#));
- d) judges' abusive self-enrichment by failing to recuse and steering in their favor cases before them in which they have a financial interest that Sen. Elizabeth Warren dare denounce([OL2:1003](#)); etc.

B. Your qualifications and my proposal to you based thereon

5. Your qualifications as a government officer lays the foundation for the concrete, realistic, and feasible proposal made herein to you: The proposal is for you to influence your political friends to expose in their own interest how the Biden Commission is composed of former law clerks to justices and judges, and current law professors([Table under ¶65](#)), who are compromised by their conflict of interests([Section D¶¶37-40](#)).
6. Their conflict is between, on the one hand, their duty to study and report on how the Supreme Court operates in practice, as opposed to the theory of constitutional law, that justifies reforming it and, on the other hand, their self-interest in not allowing any discussion of its operation in practice, which would implicate them in the commission and cover-up of judges' abuse of power.
7. In brief, each commissioner needs to:
 - a. maintain concealed his (or her) individual role during his law clerkship as enforcer of the justices' and judges' abuse of power as their *modus operandi* so that at the end of his clerkship his respective justice or judge would give him a glowing recommendation in support of his job application. Such a recommendation would earn the clerk a sign-up bonus worth even \$250,000 or more and a job with a prestigious law firm([Section 1¶¶41-55](#));
 - b. avoid giving any reason to the justice or judge for whom he clerked for holding him in breach of the confidentiality agreement that he had to sign to be allowed to work as his clerk. The agreement may require the former clerk to disgorge benefits that he received thanks to his clerk status and even pay the equivalent of punitive damages([id.](#)); and
 - c. prevent any retaliation from the law school where she (or he) teaches for exposing a federal judge, who has a life-appointment and the long memory for holding grudges that goes along with it; and belongs to a judicial class whose members would close ranks to send that school and all others an unambiguous and threatening warning: "*Don't you ever let any of your hire hands mess with anyone of us!*"([Section 2¶¶56-62](#)).

C. Your and your political friends' interest in exposing the Biden Commission

8. Exposing the Biden Commission because its commissioners are compromised by their conflict of interests requires strategic thinking. One of its principles is applicable here: "The enemy of my enemy is my friend".
9. The exposure needs friends. Among them are those who in their own political interest may consider the Biden Commission their enemy. The enmity is provoked by the fact that President Biden is using the Commission as part of his scheme to implement his foregone decision to "pack the Supreme Court" by increasing the number of justices from nine to 15; and to reduce their

appointment to a term of years. This would give him the opportunity to nominate six new justices.

10. If confirmed, ‘the packed Court’ would be in a position to uphold the constitutionality of every piece of legislation favorable to his party and declare the unconstitutionality of every one unfavorable to it. The party that has the Court as its “friend” holds a grip on power.
11. The top officers of your party have made it clear that their first priority is to defeat all the initiatives of the Biden Administration. No initiative can provoke more intense enmity than “packing the Court”. It follows that nothing is more important to them than to discredit the Commission and thereby defeat the scheme for “packing the Court”. If the Commission is discredited, especially on the factual grounds summarized above, it will appear as the President’s clumsy, embarrassing effort to have it give him non-binding, pro forma recommendations for Supreme Court reform. They will be embedded in a report that neither he nor most Americans will ever read but that he will use as the pretext for “packing the Court”.

D. Exposing the Biden Commission without becoming the judges’ enemies

12. There are discreet ways for you and your political friends to defeat the Biden Commission by exposing its members as compromised by their conflict of interests. To that end, you and/or your political friends may approach your contacts in the media and universities to convince them that it is in their own commercial and reputational interest to expose the commissioners because “Scandal sells” and “Those who want a Pulitzer pull out all the stops to a great story”.
13. You may remain in the background to avoid the risk of becoming the enemy of judges. In any event, of what value is it to reject this proposal to avoid retaliation from judges appointed by your party if when cases on appeal reach a packed Supreme Court the justices newly appointed by the other party make you lose all appeals? You can persuade your media and university contacts to:
 - a. publish [my article](#) on the Biden Commission and follow it with a series of articles by me on judges’ abuse of power, which they can choose from [the list](#) of those that I have written, especially those mentioned in paragraph 4.c.2. above or commission me to write for them.
 - 1) There is precedent for the transformative change that an article can bring about: *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* published their exposés of the sexual abuse by Harvey Weinstein on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively. Within a week the *MeToo!* movement erupted worldwide. Public accountability in practice began to change substantially everywhere and in every aspect of society.
 - b. investigate judges’ abuse, which journalists and the media can do in a focused and cost-effective way by taking advantage of the abundance of leads that I have gathered([OL:194§E](#)).
 - 1) There are leads to expose Then-Vice President [Biden’s participation](#) in the cover-up of the abuse of power, including concealment of assets and tax evasion, by Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia Sotomayor after President Obama nominated her to the Supreme Court and Senator Chuck Schumer and Senator Kirsten Gillibrand shepherded her through the confirmation process in the Senate. This investigation can be guided by a question that can pierce their pretense to being honest public servants: What did Then-Vice President Biden know and when did he know it?
 - 2) The investigation can be pursued by publicly and repeatedly making an innovative demand: Let President Biden release the secret FBI vetting reports on Then-Judge Sotomayor as well as all those on the other justices and judges. The President cannot claim a commitment to transparency while simultaneously hiding informa-

tion that implicates him in the connivance between him and the Supreme Court that he pretends to want to reform; cf. the [connivance](#) between him and his Attorney General Merrick Garland, who until becoming AG was a judge and a former chief judge at the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

c. hold the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings

- 1) The Biden Commission is [mandated](#) to “hold public meetings to hear the views of other experts, and groups and interested individuals with varied perspectives on the issues it will be examining”. Those “issues” will most likely be limited to the theory of constitutional law on reforming the Supreme Court as op-posed to unaccountable justices’ and judges’ practice of riskless abuse as their institutionalized modus operandi to run the Federal Judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
 - a) The commissioners will need to sift those “experts, and groups and interested individuals” to exclude those with testimony incriminating them in executing the justices’ and judges’ abuse when they were their law clerks as well as in covering it up while they have been law professors. That sifting will be carried out by requiring people to submit in advance an offer of proof-like written preview of their testimony.
 - b) If the commissioners were interested in not giving even “the appearance of impropriety” due to their concealed conflict of interests([NY Rules of Professional Conduct](#), Rule 1.11(b)(2); [Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges](#), Canon 2), they would be the first to testify to what they did and witnessed during their clerkships, thus setting the example for all other law clerks and people similarly situated.
 - c) The ensuing cover-up through manipulation of witnesses warrant the holding of [unprecedented citizens hearings](#)([Section E](#)). They are intended to be organized by journalists, media outlets, and universities to give people the opportunity to tell the national public their story of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
- 3) The panels taking in testimony at the citizens hearings will be composed of journalists and professors and experts in multidisciplinary fields, such as journalism; forensic fraud and accounting (FFA); forensic statistics; Information Technology; concealment of assets-tax evasion-money laundering; bankruptcy fraud; etc.
- 4) The citizens hearings can be held at university auditoriums and media stations. Attuned to our times, they will also be held via video conference so as to make it inexpensive for people to testify and make their testimony universally available to the public life, streaming, and through podcasts.
- 5) The citizens hearings organizers will make it a point to:
 - a) invite every current and former law clerk –including the commissioners and judges themselves!- to testify orally and/or in writing;
 - b) request that Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., release the close to 700 hundred letters from current and former law clerks that he received around the time that the *MeToo!* movement exploded in October 2017, where they complained about judges’ abuse([OL2:645](#)); and

- c) ask that all those who ever filed a complaint against a judge exercise their right under the First Amendment of the Constitution to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including compensation]”(OL2:792¶1) by sending them a copy of their complaint and/or testifying at the citizens hearings.
- d. contact those who produce their campaign videos to reach out to those who can produce in time for an effective impact on the 2022 mid-term elections the documentary *Black Robed Predators!*: when judges are the abusers;
- e. persuade a top university to establish the *Institute* of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy.

E. Citizens hearings can steal the thunder of Commission “public meetings”

- 14. The citizens hearings are bound to produce a flow of additional leads useful for the investigation into judges’ abuse of power, which will likely be underway by the time they are held. The investigative bandwagon can become ever more attractive, forcing ever more journalists and media outlets to jump on it, lest they be left behind by their competitors and abandoned by an outraged audience in search of the latest news from the investigators.
- 15. The citizens hearings can lead to the composition of a report, to be publicly available on the citizens hearings site together with all the submitted written testimony and supporting documents.
- 16. The report may be presented at the first-ever and national conference on judicial unaccountability and abuse of power. This conference can be sponsored by media outlets and universities and held at physical venues. It will also be available via video conference as a national event that grips the attention of the public here and abroad.
- 17. As a recurrent event, the citizens hearings can provide the material for journalists and universities to publish the Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Abuse of Power. Hence, the citizens hearings and the Report can become key means for *We the People* to hold our judicial public servants accountable for their exercise of the public power entrusted to them for *the People’s* benefit; and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of it.
- 18. The President will find it substantially more difficult to “pack the Court” if those who have suffered or witnessed abuse by judges appointed by either party are telling the national public at citizens hearings how judges abuse their power risklessly for their own gain and convenience because they are loyal to the only people –never mind the law– that matter to them: their fellow judges. As then-Judge, now-Justice Neil Gorsuch put it: "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us". What an unambiguous, unabashed expression of judges' *gang mentality!*
- 19. As gang members do, judges have entered into an implicit or explicit mutual survival agreement. Under it they hold each other unaccountable, dismissing 100% of complaints against federal judges and denying 100% of the petitions to review dismissals(Section C).
- 20. After being informed by the above-described events, politicians, particularly newcomers, may decide either opportunistically or out of genuine outrage to run in the next mid-term elections as a posse in defense of the hood that take on the bullies on the bench and become reluctant heroes.

F. The events can magnify your and your political friends' efforts and standing

21. My articles; the findings of the media investigation into judges and their judiciaries; the citizens hearings, the Report, and the conference for its presentation; the documentary; and the campaigning of reluctant heroes can so inform the national public about, and outrage it at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, and the [politicians](#)/judges [connivance](#) as to stir it up to join forces with the 34 states that since April 2, 2014, have petitioned Congress to call a constitutional convention pursuant to the amending provisions of Article 5 of the [Constitution](#).
22. In the same vein, congressional leaders are most unlikely to put at risk their privileges and power by convening such convention. Nevertheless, their reluctance cannot prevent *the People* and the states to stage a peaceful but intransigently self-assertive version of the Boston Tea Party. At that event or period of popular upheaval what would be thrown into the archives of history would be a Constitution written by and for people of another era and enacted in 1789, 232 years ago! ([Sec. A](#))
23. Then *the People* could usher into the harbor of our lives and modern world a new relation between the governed and government. It will recognize that *the People* are the sovereign source of all public power and as such, they are the Masters of all public servants. *The People* are entitled to hold all their public servants, including judicial public servants, accountable for their exercise of entrusted public power and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
24. The *MeToo!* and BLM movements, and the demonstrations against police brutality and for socio-economic equality have spread all over the world. So can each and all of the above-described events of this proposal. They can be promoted in a way that neither you nor your political friends need appear taking the lead in organizing or conducting them. Your initial effort in contacting your friends can set in motion this reasonably expected chain of events.

G. My offer to present this proposal to you and your political friends

25. When on April 9, 2021, the [White House announced](#) the formation by President Biden of the Commission on reforming the Supreme Court, it stated that "The Executive Order directs that the Commission complete its report within 180 days of its first public meeting". Accordingly, it is imperative to proceed with due haste to expose the commissioners as compromised by their conflict of interests, if possible before they hold their first "public meeting", and in any case before they tender their pro forma report.
26. Similarly, the jockeying for position in the next mid-term elections has already started. Soon thereafter the 2024 presidential campaign will begin. Each of the many candidates that will run needs to advocate an issue that catches the attention and participation of as many voters and volunteers as possible. That issue can be the exposure of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power, which requires the compensation of abusees and a new constitution that recognizes that all public servants, including judicial ones, are accountable and liable to *We the People*.
27. In the interest of expediting your and your political friends' action on this proposal, I respectfully offer to make a presentation on it to all of you. It can take place via video conference, and if all my expenses are paid, in person too.
28. You may assess my capacity to make such presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#).
29. I look forward to hearing from you.

Do you have the stuff of a reluctant hero? If so,
*Dare trigger history!...*and you may enter it.

**H. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.

Support

Judicial Discipline Reform

in its professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking;
operation of its website; and
development according to its [business plan](#) guided by the motto
“Making Money While Doing Justice”.

DONATE

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank,
routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001
through [Paypal](#), https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or

by mailing a check using the address bloc below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
[Judicial Discipline Reform](#)
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. (718)827-9521
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net , DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org , CorderoRic@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

NOTE: Given the interference with Dr. Cordero's email and e-cloud storage accounts described at [*>ggl:1 et seq.](#) and [†>OL2:1114§G](#), when emailing him, copy the above bloc of his email addresses and paste it in the To: line of your email so as to enhance the chances of your email reaching him at least at one of those addresses.

Appendixes

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

1. If you are interested in bringing Judges Above the Law and their judiciaries down to the level where every other person is held accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power because All Are Equal Before the Law, support Judicial Discipline Reform in its:
 - a. professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking([†]>[OL2:445§B](#), [475§D](#)); and
 - b. implementation of its business plan([OL2:914](#)) by, to begin with, turning its informational website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> into a profit center that offers:
 - 1) **a clearinghouse** for complaints([OL2:918](#)) about judges that anybody can upload for free; and
 - 2) **a research center** for fee-paying customers to audit(^{*}>[OL:274-280](#), [304-307](#)) many complaints in search of(^{*}>[jur:131§b](#), [OL:255](#)) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns([†]>[OL2:792§A](#)), trends([OL2:455§B](#)), and schemes([OL2:614](#), [929](#), [457§D](#)) of abuse of power, including the coordinated fraudulent filing by judges and approval by other judges of mandatory annual financial disclosure reports([jur:102§a](#) and ^{213b}) under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978([jur:65](#)^{107d}), which are intentionally misleading in order to conceal assets, evade taxes, and launder money, such as the money grabbed by judges through their self-enrichment denounced by Sen. Warren in her “plan” to hold them accountable for it([OL2:998](#)).

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

DONATE
through

PayPal

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or at the **GoFundMe** campaign, <https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

Offer of a presentation

2. Dr. Cordero offers to present via video conference or in person his business plan and program of activities([OL2:978§E](#)) to you and your guests. To reach him and discuss the presentation's terms and conditions and its scheduling, you may use the contact information in the letterhead above.
3. To decide whether to organize such presentation watch his video as you follow its slides([†]>[OL2:958](#)) using these links:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!([†]>[OL2:1003](#))...and you may enter it.

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

My Site

Reader

Dashboard

Jetpack

Posts

Media

Pages

Portfolio

Comments 42

Feedback 10

WooCommerce

Products

Appearance

Plugins 6

Users

All Users

Add New

Profile

Tools

Settings 2

Collapse menu

Users

Add New

Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

Leave a review

Maybe later

Don't show again

All (38,779) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (38,778)**

Bulk actions

Apply

Change role to...

Change

38,779 items

<<












<

1

>

>>

of 1,939

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplus63von	—	sam@3aplus63.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaBEvick	—	a21@play.quakeclub.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AAAGlennbeish	—	umbainvro@viagraforsale.monster	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaPiopsy	—	enn.max.to.y@gmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaBNmvsUDXWuwO	—	kelflee@yahoo.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 Aaclealo	—	14@createck.ru	Subscriber	0

Write

Screen Options

Help

1:01 PM

6/10/2021

June 8, 2021

Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

- * Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
- † Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf
- ♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of

Judicial Discipline Reform

at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 38,766+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓ Register or + New or Users >Add New.

1. *>jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_jur1-8.pdf
2. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
3. *>jur:21; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_A_jur21-63.pdf
4. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_B_jur65-80.pdf
5. *>jur:85; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_C_jur85-97.pdf
6. *>jur:97; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_D_jur97-111.pdf
7. *>jur:119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur119-130.pdf
8. *>jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_5-8_jur130-169.pdf

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

9. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf

Cf. a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf

b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf

c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf

d. OL2:1176; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

for the Seventh Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf

e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf

g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf

10. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf

11. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf

12. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

13. *>Lsch 5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf

14. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf

15. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf

16. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf

17. *>Creative writings, cw; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf

18. *>OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf

19. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf

20. *>OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf

21. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf

22. *>OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf

23. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf

24. *>OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf

25. *>OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf

26. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf

27. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf

28. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf

29. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf

30. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at <http://Judicial->

[Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf)

31. †>OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
32. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
33. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
34. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
35. OL2:760; see OL2:608
36. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
37. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
38. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
39. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
40. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
41. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
42. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
43. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
44. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
45. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
46. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
47. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
48. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
49. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
50. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
51. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
52. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
53. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf

54. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
55. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
56. <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
57. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
58. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
59. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
60. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
61. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
62. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
63. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
64. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
65. *>OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
66. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
67. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf [sent to LexisNexis]
68. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
69. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf = <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
70. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
71. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
72. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
73. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
74. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
75. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
76. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
77. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
78. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
79. *>OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
80. OL2:1144; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
81. OL2:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf

82. *>OL2:1164; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
83. *>OL2:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
84. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
85. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
86. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
87. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
88. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
89. *>OL2:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
90. OL2:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
91. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
92. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
93. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
94. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
95. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
96. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
97. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
98. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
99. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
100. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
101. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf
102. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCt_reform_Commission.pdf
103. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf
104. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries

105. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
106. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:5

107. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
108. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
109. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
110. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
111. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
112. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
113. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
114. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
115. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
116. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘MeToo! Abusers’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
117. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications(OL2:582§C);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);

- 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
118. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
119. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
120. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
 - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
121. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
122. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of June 8, 2021, had 38,766+ subscribers, into:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture (jur:119§§1-4). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic

institutions([jur:130§5](#));

123. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

124. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;

125. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful external links and quotations

1. U.S. Constitution, Code, and rules (federal, as opposed to state, laws)

126. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

127. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

128. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml> (with procedural rules in the appendix "a" files)

129. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>

130. The Ethics in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>

131. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>

132. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>

133. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>

134. The Judicial Code; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

135. Federal Rules of Civil and Appellate Procedure and Evidence, USC 28a;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>

136. Circuit justices, **28 USC §42**

137. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline->

Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#))

2. U.S. Supreme Court

138. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
139. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
140. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice
- a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
- b. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
141. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
142. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of those who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
143. Ethics in Government Act of 1978; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_Gov_14apr9.pdf

3. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal , as opposed to state, courts)

144. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
145. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
146. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
147. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
148. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>
149. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

Number of federal judicial officers https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9
circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges id.	562	585	621

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:9

senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

150. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>
151. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
152. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
153. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
154. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>
155. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>
156. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
157. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>

4. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing and Public Access to Case Electronic Records

158. <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf>
159. <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>

5. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

160. Federal Judicial Center on impeachments; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

6. United States Postal Service

161. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

7. State laws and statistics

162. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

8. Codes of conduct

163. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>
164. American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct;

https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/

165. American Bar Association Model Code of Judicial Conduct;
https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/
166. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

9. Entities accrediting educational institutions (serving as portals to them)

167. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
168. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
169. (business schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
170. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

10. Law book publishers

171. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
172. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
173. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drccordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
174. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

11. Investigation by the media of judges' abuse of power

175. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:
- a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
 - b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
 - c. Part 3, 14juy21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
 - d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
 - e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>
 - f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
176. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>

12. Other entities and people

177. <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>
178. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
179. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**”;
<https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>
180. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>
181. Judges’ annual financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org;
<https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
182. CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
183. American Association of University Professors, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>

End Page

Blank