

February 9, 2020

**The rewards of exposing unaccountable judges' self-enrichment,  
denounced by Sen. E. Warren in her “plan for the Judiciary”,  
and other forms of their abuse of power,  
by the media and academics publishing, investigating, and  
holding unprecedented citizen hearings‡**

Dear Journalists, professors, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

I would like to submit to your and your colleagues' consideration this proposal for:

**A. The publication of one(e.g., †>OL2:760, 781, 1040) or a series(†>OL2:719§C)  
of my articles:**

1. analyzing Sen. Elizabeth Warren's “plan for that too”, namely, to hold judges accountable for self-enrichment by failing to recuse themselves when they have conflicts of interests due to their holding shares in one of the parties before them and instead resolving the conflicts in that party's and their own favor. If elected, Sen. Warren plans to have legislation adopted to hold judges accountable for abusively enriching themselves(†>OL2:998). Self-enrichment through abuse of power includes concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering(†>OL2:949);

\* † The materials corresponding to the(\* †>footnote-like blue text references) are found in my professional two-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:**  
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting\* †

2. showing through “the math of abuse”(†>OL2:608§A) and statistics(OL2:457§§B, D) that judges do not read the majority of briefs that they require parties to file in support of any case or motion.
  - a. A brief costs each party \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to research, discover evidence, write, compile the record of evidentiary documents, print, file, and serve.
  - b. Yet, judges have their clerks(†>OL2:1025¶15) dump the corresponding case or motion out of their caseload by applying categories of dumpable cases and motions(OL2:762¶¶14-15, 981¶18d) and rubberstamping in the clerk of court's name a 5¢ dumping form. The latter contains an unresearched, arbitrary, fiat-like order without any discussion of the facts and the law, let alone any reasoning, and with only a blank to be filled in with “affirmed” or “denied”(OL2:1024¶16). They are meaningless even to the parties, let alone anybody else.
  - c. Moreover, those orders are fraudulent, for they take no notice of the only section of the brief that matters to the party filing it and for which the court asks for and receives filing fees: the “Relief Requested”. Through the items therein the party asks the court to solve the controversy with the opposing party and for which it pays the court's filing fees. The clerks could not care less, for the task that they received from the judges is to dump as many cases and motions as possible. They will dump any appeal. “Next!”(OL2:546¶¶4-6)
  - d. By contrast, a tiny minority of briefs of interest(OL2:1006¶2b.ii) to the judges benefit from their *unequal* protection: They are read and discussed in opinions with precedential value and reasoned decisions issued in the judges' names and published for parties, judges, and journalists to cite and comment(†>OL2:760).

\*[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf) >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1047

‡ <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>

- e. To verify the above statements, go to the websites of courts, particularly appellate ones, download a random sample of posted decisions, and analyze and compare them.
3. exposing judges' dismissal of 100% of complaints against them and denial of 100% of petitions to review those dismissals(\*>jur:10-14; †>OL2:548, 748), whereby judges self-exonerate from all accountability.
    - a. Congress granted judges self-disciplining authority under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980(\*>jur:24<sup>18a</sup>), which it passed for the protection of anybody with a complaint against them.
    - b. But judges have in effect abrogated the Act for the gain and convenience that they grab through their riskless abuse of power.
    - c. Congress is informed of judges' handling of complaints in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(OL2:1037¶6), who is an appointee of the Chief Justice. Congress 'saw something, but said nothing'. Its culpable indifference has been self-interested: to avoid retaliation(\*>Lsch:17§C) by judges, who have a gang mentality(OL2:546¶¶1-3) and the power to hold executive orders(OL2:1028¶4), laws, and a legislative agenda unconstitutional(\*>jur:23<sup>17</sup>; \*>OL:267§4).
    - d. Congress allows judges to hold themselves unaccountable and become Judges Above the Law, the harm to the public and the rule of law notwithstanding;
  4. asserting the equal protection right of victims of judges and their judiciaries to be compensated by them, just as are the victims of malpracticing doctors and their hospitals; lawyers and their law firms; pedophilic priests and their churches; police officers and their police departments; etc.
    - a. The formation is underway of local chapters of parties to cases before the same judge or in the same court to demand(†>OL2:729) the refund of filing fees; compensation for wasteful briefs; and damages for the fraud of cashing in filing fees and alleging that cases and motions were decided based on the briefs even though they were not even read(OL2:953).

## **B. Joint investigations of timely stories in the context of the presidential campaign**

5. The objective of the investigations is, not to pass judgment on the abuse of discretion by one or more judges, but rather to expose to voters how unaccountable judges in connivance with politicians have coordinated their abuse into their judiciaries' institutionalized modus operandi. The investigations can follow the abundant leads already gathered(\*>OL:194§E). Their findings will inform voters and the rest of the public about, and outrage them at, judge' criminal activities; e.g.:
6. Judges' interception of people's emails and mail(OL2:995§B) to detect and suppress those critical of their abuse(974§B, 930§C) will be the subject of the *Follow the wire!* investigation(jur:105§b).
  - a. This may be their most outrageous abuse of power, for it deprives *We the People* of our most cherished rights: those guaranteed under the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances"(OL2:792¶1). Cf. NSA's collection of calls' metadata(996§2).
7. The *Follow the Money!* investigation(\*>jur:102§a) can be patterned on the one conducted during the Watergate scandal(\*>jur:4¶11; †>OL2:522¶d); and those revealed in the Offshore Leaks (\*>OL:1) and the Panama Papers, and lead to the discovery of:

- a. the money involved in judges' self-enrichment denounced by Sen. Warren(*supra* ¶1a); and
- b. \$100 billions(\*>jur:27§2) involved in the bankruptcy fraud scheme (OL2:614).

- 1) Judges, their cronies(jur:32§§2, 3), and other insiders, e.g., lawyers, accountants, warehousemen, appraisers, auctioneers, bankers(jur:81<sup>169</sup>), take advantage of millions of people facing the most disruptive and stressful financial situation: bankruptcy.
- 2) Bankrupts have hardly any money to pay a lawyer, the immense majority appear pro se to deal with the mind-boggling complexities of the Bankruptcy Code and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure as they supplement the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the rules of the local bankruptcy court, and as a result are *wiped out!*(\*>jur:28<sup>35</sup>, 43<sup>65a</sup>);

8. How the conduct of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and his approval or condonation of the conduct of senators during the impeachment trial of President Trump in the Senate can be:

- a. invoked by defendants in federal and state cases on grounds of equal protection and due process of law to refuse the production of any witness and document, and assert an absolute privilege of CEOs and other principals to prevent their aides from being interrogated on their advice to them(OL2:1040).

- 1) Defendants can argue that the President's attorneys compared the House of Representatives' impeaching a president to a prosecutor's indicting before a grand jury a person on counts of having committed one or more crimes.
- 2) They argued that the House was supposed to conduct a full investigation, the equivalent of discovery, during the impeachment process, asking for all necessary documents, calling all possible witnesses, and even allowing the President to cross-examine them and call his own witnesses.
- 3) They contended that the House failed to do that before adopting the articles of impeachment. As a result, its managers were not entitled to call witnesses and request documents during the trial in the Senate. They were entitled only to make an opening statement to the senators and answer their questions, upon which the senators, acting as the jury, could vote on whether to convict and remove the President.
- 4) Equally, a criminal defendant would claim that what was deemed to be due process when trying the President should be so deemed in her case. Consequently, once the prosecutor concluded his case to the grand jury and the latter returned an indictment, the prosecutor could not call witnesses and documents at trial, and was limited to making an opening statement to the jury and answering the questions of jurors, after which the jury would deliberate and return a verdict(OL2:1044¶25);

- b. traced back to a quid pro quo: the Chief Justice disregarded "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice"(OL2:1041¶8), which commanded the production of witnesses and documents, and allowed the senators to do whatever they wanted in exchange for the senators continuing to hold judges unaccountable and allowing them 100% self-exoneration from complaints(*supra* ¶1c).

9. How the justices of the Supreme Court have engaged in abuse of power as principals and cover it as accessories(†>OL2:950¶6b) and as circuit justices allotted to the several circuits(\*>jur:26<sup>23a</sup>).

- a. Justices and judges are well aware of the dire warning that all of them have written on their

foreheads: “I know about your own abuse of power. So if you bring me down, I’ll take you with me!” That is how judges extort from each other complicit survival assistance.

### **C. Investing in Judicial Discipline Reform to enable its continued pursuit of judicial abuse exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform**

10. The website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> has attracted numberless visitors and has exerted such strong appeal that it has turned 30,212 and counting(OL2:Appendix 3) into subscribers. This proof of public appeal makes it a sound business proposition:
  - a. to develop this free informational outlet into a for-profit interactive business that sells ads, services, and goods, as set forth in its business plan(OL2:914); and
  - b. to finance the programmatic activities(†>OL2:916§C, 978§E) to implement the out-of-court(OL2:1008§B) inform and outrage strategy for forming a national civic single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform(†>OL2:1037).

### **D. Rewards from exposing judges’ abuse: electoral, commercial, and reputational**

11. More than 50 million cases are filed in the state and federal courts annually(\*>jur:8<sup>4,5</sup>), to which must be added the scores of millions of cases pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. Parties sue and are sued separately and suffer abuse alone. They constitute the huge national untapped voting bloc of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System.
12. The Dissatisfied can significantly increase the audience of a journalist and/or media outlet that recognize their existence and give them a voice. This is particularly so if the journalist and the outlet contribute to organizing the proposed unprecedented citizen hearings(†>OL2:1045, 982, 971) on judges’ abuse of power. Their findings can be discussed at a conference on judicial reform.
  - a. These citizen hearings are to be held by universities and media stations; moderated by professors, news anchors, investigative journalists, and other fraud and forensic experts; and broadcast on an interactive multimedia basis. The hearings will give the organizers access to a national audience that will hear or give testimony about judges’ abuse of power that witnesses have experienced or witnessed. Thus informed and outraged, the audience, in general, and voters, in particular, will demand that politicians call and hold official hearings and reform judicial accountability and liability(\*>jur:158§§6-8; cf. OL2:933¶6).
13. A principled or opportunistic but savvy presidential candidate(OL2:1011, 937) can attract The Dissatisfied by denouncing judges’ abuse, as did Sen. Warren(supra ¶1) at rallies, townhall meetings and interviews; seeking compensation for them through local chapters of abusees; and calling for congressional hearings. So can the candidate become their Champion of Justice(991, 1028).
14. Scandal sells copy. A scandal will be provoked by exposing how the politicians who recommended, endorsed, nominated, and confirmed judicial candidates and thereafter hold them unaccountable have allowed judges and their judiciaries to become a racketeering branch(OL2:999¶13).
15. The journalist and media outlet that scoop this scandal will be rewarded commercially and can expect to enhance their personal and professional names and even win a Pulitzer Prize(\*>OL:3§F).
  - a. A journalist and a media outlet can seek to turn one or more judges and their clerks into *Whistleblower in the Judiciary*, the equivalent of the whistleblowing officer in the Executive who launched the process of impeachment of President Trump(†>OL2:1008). They and waiters, drivers, receptionists, etc., can become confidential informants(jur:106§c).

16. There is precedent for a Supreme Court justice being forced to resign without even being impeached: Justice Abe Fortas resigned on May 14, 1969, due to the public outrage that he caused as a result of his “appearance of impropriety” (\*>jur:92§d). Could you end up writing a bestseller or portrayed in a blockbuster movie if you caused one or several justices, or even the whole Supreme Court to resign? You can become a transformative historic figure here and abroad.(†>OL2:1008)

**E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

17. If you are interested in accountable and liable judges and their judiciaries, you may want to support Judicial Discipline Reform in its:

- a. professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking(†>OL2:445§B, 475§D); and
- b. enhancement of its website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> into:
  - 1) **a clearinghouse** for complaints(OL2:918) about judges that anybody can upload for free; and
  - 2) **a research center** for fee-paying customers to audit(\*>OL:274-280, 304-307) many complaints in search of(\*>jur:131§b, OL:255) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns(†>OL2:792§A), trends(OL2:455§B), and schemes (OL2:614, 929, 457§D) of abuse of power, including the coordinated fraudulent filing by judges and approval by other judges of mandatory annual financial disclosure reports(jur: 102§a and <sup>213b</sup>) under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, which are intentionally misleading in order to conceal assets, evade taxes, and launder money, such as the money grabbed by self-enriching judges denounced by Sen. Warren in her “plan” to hold them accountable for it(supra ¶¶1, 7b and OL2:998).

**Put your money  
where your outrage at abuse and  
passion for justice are.**

**DONATE**

through

[PayPal](https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ), [https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=\\_s-xclick&hosted\\_button\\_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ](https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ)

or at the **GoFundMe** campaign, <https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

**F. Offer of a presentation**

18. I offer to present via video conference or in person this article to you and your colleagues. You may use the contact information in the letterhead to reach me and discuss the presentation's terms and conditions and its scheduling.

19. To decide whether to organize such presentation watch my video together with its supporting slides(†>OL2:958) using the following links:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_video.mp4](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_slides.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_introduction\\_video\\_slides\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf)

*Dare trigger history!*(†>OL2:1003)...and you may enter it. Sincerely, s/Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

**Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.**

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)

[DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing  
Judges' Unaccountability  
and  
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power  
  
Pioneering  
the news and publishing field  
of  
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable:

*We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

**Volume I:**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

**Volume II:** [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

\*\*\*\*\*

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero

**Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.**

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)

[DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing  
Judges' Unaccountability  
and  
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power  
Pioneering  
the news and publishing field  
of  
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' **abuse** the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable: *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

**Volume II:**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

**Volume I:** [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

\*\*\*\*\*

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero

## Users [Add New](#)

### SSL activated!

Take the time to review these things

- > Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)
- > Improve your security [with security headers](#)

[Really Simple SSL Pro](#)



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate your questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (32,368) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (32,367)**

[Bulk Actions](#) [Apply](#) [Change role to...](#) [Change](#) 32,368 items

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruckshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber