

September 21, 2019

Note: Will this letter, mailed in hardcopy to more than 120 addressees, and the replies to it be delivered or intercepted by the judges?

Professors, Students, and Journalists
Law, Journalism, Business, and Information Technology Schools
Law Review and Print and Digital Publications
Documentarists, Filmmakers, and Talkshow Hosts

Dear Professors, Students, Journalists, and Media Members,

I take pleasure in submitting to your review my presentation video and slides on how you and your colleagues and students[‡] can contribute to exposing unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power. You can thus have a transformative impact on the administration of justice and the presidential campaign while pioneering law, reporting, and publishing fields. These are the links to them:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

A. Judges' statistics show their unaccountability and riskless abuse of power

1. The presentation has its reliable foundation in the federal judges' official statistics, which they must under [28 U.S.C. §604](#) submit to Congress annually. Their statistics show that federal judges:
 - a. have had only 8 of their peers impeached and removed in the last 230 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789!; their decisional independence has become untouchability in effect, which eliminates the deterrence to abuse entailed by the fear of losing one's job;
 - b. dismiss 100% of complaints against them, which must be filed with them([§351](#)), a dismissal rate that allows and even encourages them to grab benefits through abuse of power in reliance on the farce of self-ensured accountability and the reality of self-granted impunity;
 - c. do not read the vast majority of briefs, required by the courts, depriving parties of the honest service which they reasonably expected and contracted for when they paid filing fees, of which the parties were defrauded under the false pretense of judges' brief-based decisions;
 - d. officially weight the case of a pro se party as $\frac{1}{3}$ of a case from its filing and before judges consider its merits, denying it the equal protection of the law afforded a party who pays the same filing fee but whose case is weighted as one or more cases and treated accordingly;
 - e. dispose of 93% of appeals to the federal circuit courts in meaningless summary orders contained in 'dumping forms', i.e., unresearched, reasonless, fiat-like orders in forms rubber-stamped by clerks to dump appeals of no interest to the judges out of the latter's caseloads;
 - f. ensure their orders' unreviewability by denying motions to review 3-judge panels' orders.
2. Statistical analysis shows that federal judges intercept people's emails and mail to detect and suppress critical ones, maintaining through coordinated abuse their pretense of honesty to ward off external supervision and protect their unaccountability and benefits. They have turned the Federal Judiciary into Judges' State Above the state. They have extended their State to their state counterparts, for whom they provide the model rules of procedure and evidence, and their application with riskless disregard for due process, equal protection, reasonable expectations, and foreseeable harm.

B. Precedent for expecting exposure of abuse to have a transformative impact

3. I propose analyzing judicial independence based on the circumstances enabling abuse of power: unaccountability, risklessness, coordination, and secrecy –clerks bound by confidentiality agree-

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf

ments and all meetings held behind closed doors, where the most insidious corruptor festers hidden from ‘disinfecting sunshine’, *Money!*, lots of it in controversy. Yet, you can bring about a transformative change in judges’ accountability for the first time in history and everywhere in the world:

4. Indeed, the publication by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, of their exposés of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse gave rise overnight to the *MeToo!* movement, which here and abroad has had the first-ever transformative impact on the social and judicial handling of sexual abusers. It has given rise in the public to a self-assertive attitude, expressed in the cry: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
5. A similar eruption of an international movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform can result from your exposing abuse as the federal judges’ institutionalized modus operandi and their Federal Judiciary as an independent state that is spared constitutional checks and balances by the other two branches for fear of retaliation, and escapes the power of control of the masters of even judicial public servants in “government of, by, and for the people”: *We the People.*

C. The presidential campaign as the most opportune time to expose the abuse

6. There is an overcrowded field of 20+ presidential candidates desperately in need of voters' support to qualify for the televised presidential debate in October, lest missing such publicity event dries up the stream of donations and volunteers needed to run their campaigns until the Iowa caucus in late February. Desperate people do desperate things, like denouncing judges’ abuse, if the expected reward outweighs the risk of retaliation. The candidates can vie for a reward that can make their campaign’ survival possible: recognition as the leader of the huge untapped leaderless voting bloc of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System, unjust for many and too expensive for all.
7. As the *MeToo!* public, The Dissatisfied, and the media are informed of judges' abuse, they will reciprocally reinforce their outrage and competitive and commercial need to investigate the issue. They will demand that the candidates denounce it and call for *unprecedented hearings held by universities and the media.* This can attain, in the U.S. to begin with, a key exposure objective: to insert the issue into the campaign. But time is of the essence: The more candidates are still in the race, the stronger the pressure to be the first to denounce the abuse rather than drop out of the race.

D. Carving your professional niche & becoming *the People’s Champions of Justice*

8. You all can carve a law practice, reporting/publishing, and academic niche suing for, investigating, and writing on, the abuse, beginning with that by the justices, who committed it as judges, still do from the Supreme Court, and cover it up to protect their colleagues. Multidisciplinary teams can form to handle the flood of motions to void dumping orders; investigate the interception of emails and mail; and claim compensation for unread briefs. A name greater than Woodward/Bernstein’s can be made by bringing down, not a president, as did the Watergate scandal, but rather a rogue branch through the exposé/documentary on the Black robed predators perched on benches scandal.
9. We can work together on something of historic transcendence: the transfer of the administration of justice from the State of Judges to the government of *the People*, the sovereign of all public power, entitled to hire, fire, and hold judges accountable as they do everybody else. I propose that you review my video and slides; share and post them and this letter; and invite me to make via video conference and in person a presentation followed by Q&A to all of you and your guests.
10. Let’s join forces at this most opportune time to make an Emile Zola’s *I accuse!*-like denunciation that makes us transformative Champions of Justice. Therefore I look forward to hearing from you. *Dare trigger history!*(*>[OL2:953](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf))...and you may enter it. Sincerely, s/Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.