

January 1, 2023

**Proposal for a presentation on the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy
for exposing unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

A. Articles on the strategy for exposing judges' abuse; and presentation on it

1. I am providing you the link[‡] to the articles that lay out my out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for exposing unaccountable judges' riskless [abuse of power](#). You may share and post it widely.
2. Those articles and many others are based on my professional research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#). They are part of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries thus titled:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

- a. Many of those articles are posted to my website, **Judicial Discipline Reform**. The articles have attracted countless webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of January 1, 2023, the number of subscribers to this website was 46,039. ([See Appendix 3.](#))
3. I suggest that you organize the presentation by me to you and your colleagues via video conference, e.g., through Zoom, e.g., on a **Sunday at 2:00 p.m. EST**. If at least five people commit themselves to attending, we can hold the first of several presentations to an audience that they in turn invite. After the presentation, I will hold a Q&A session.

B. A presentation aimed at holding UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

4. I encourage you all to read the linked article in preparation for the presentation. It highlights the central role of holding a tour of presentations at schools of law, journalism, business, and Information Technology (IT). The objective is to persuade them to hold in turn the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on the abuse by judges that individuals have witnessed or suffered. The hearings will be hosted by professors, students, journalists, and Information Technology experts; and transmitted by multimedia to a growing audience.
5. Indeed, a feeling common to those who have witnessed or suffered [abuse by judges](#) is their burning and persistent need to tell their story. It is their cry of the heart. Their quest for justice will attract them to tell it at the hearings. They will be allowed to tell their story either at the hearings venues or wherever they are located, which will spare them the significant cost of travel, room, and board
6. People interested in telling their story to an audience of hosts who are influencers and fellow citizens will submit to hosts their story in up to 500 words composed by applying the [two-phase writing method](#). The method enables people to write a story that is focused on the most outrageous facts, accurate, and verifiable, so that the hosts can do their due diligence of ascertaining the story's factual basis, legal merits, and outrageousness, and ensure that it can be told live in five minutes.
7. The audience will identify with those who will tell their story and cause it to scream, "*That happened to me too!*" Gradually a state and national audience will be reached. Ever more people will want to tell their story. That is precisely the purpose of the citizens hearings: to inform the national public about, and outrage it at, judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power.
8. The stories of unrelated individuals will allow the hosts to detect the most convincing evidence of abuse: patterns, trends, and schemes of individual, coordinated, and [institutionalized](#) abuse.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

OL3:1555

*.../OL/....pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/....2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Presentation_to_Honest_Judiciaries_Advocates.pdf

9. The ever-growing public outrage will attract ever more journalists and media outlets to cover the hearings because “Scandal sells” and excellent coverage of it leads to winning Pulitzer Prizes.

C. Attracting politicians as a means of reaching the national electorate

10. Politicians will recognize in that outrage the appeal of the issue, which they can usefully turn into the center of their electoral platform. Regardless of whether they are motivated by opportunism or principles, they will contribute to attaining a key objective: the insertion of the issue of unaccountable judges’ abuse of power into the primaries and the 2024 general election. The campaign for them has started. It should be progressively dominated by that issue as the decisive one.
11. The issue of judges’ abuse of power should also be inserted in the agenda of the new Congress as it begins to work in January. We should approach the members most likely to be its standard-bearers.
12. Judges wield enormous power in court over people’s property, liberty, and the rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identities. But they are vulnerable to an out-of-court strategy to expose their abuse and provoke outrage that undermines public deference to their decisions.
 - a. The strategy has precedent in the resignations forced by public outrage of(OL3:1423§c):
 - 1) Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969;
 - 2) Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski on December 18, 2017;
 - 3) Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, who resigned from the 3rd Circuit on February 11, 2019;
 - 4) Circuit Judge Robert Bork of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit had his resignation preempted by the Senate’s rejection of his nomination to the Supreme Court on October 23, 1987. He was doomed by the public outrage that he had provoked more than a decade earlier when he carried out President’s Nixon’s order to fire Special Watergate Prosecutor Archibald Cox in the Saturday Night Massacre on October 20, 1973.
13. That strategy includes the formation of local chapters at the court-house and type, and city level of a national movement for *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
14. Similar to the cry of Emile Zola(jur:98§2), we want to utter our cry: *We accuse!* Today that cry has a version and it is just as self-assertive and capable of having a potent rallying effect: *MeToo!* Our cry will resonate with the national public’s demonstrative attitude of abuse intolerance.
15. The proposed presentation will prepare us for causing our cry to be shouted and heard nationwide. The outrage that it will provoke in informed people will impel their demand for transformative change in the administration of justice, stripping judges of their unaccountability. To strengthen their demand, they may need to call for a constitutional convention, as 34 states have done since April 2, 2014, in their petition to Congress, as provided for in Article V of the [Constitution](#).

D. Taking action that leads to being recognized as Champions of Justice

16. You can set in motion this reasonably calculated strategy to expose judges’ abuse that begins by organizing my presentation on informing and outraging *the People* so that they are driven by an energizing and rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won’t take any abuse by anybody anymore.*

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

**E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** and its [business plan](#) to:

17. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣**

18. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively that as of 27 Dec. 22, the number of those who had become subscribers was 46,006([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
- a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a [research center](#) for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the plan's business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy at a university or news network;
19. organize and embark on a tour of presentations at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or in person to form local chapters of a national movement to investigate and hold judges accountable and liable under [Strickland v. U.S.](#);
20. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
21. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
22. publish an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
23. launch an abuse investigation that attracts the media, for *Scandal sells & wins Pulitzer Prizes*;
24. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.(¶57).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through
either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

or TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

F. Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

25. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set the terms and schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

♣

October 10, 2022

**Without a strategy to sidestep the in-court process of justice
you and millions of other people abused by judges
remain in the same place of abuse, that is,
courts run by unaccountable judges,
whose abuse is riskless and beneficial to them.**

This is the most opportune time to join in implementing
the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy[‡]

A. Whining is not effective; being an abusee is not a competence qualification

1. Whining about how abusive judges are is so easy but leads nowhere.
2. Helping individuals with cases in court does not change the system of justice, never mind judges' unaccountability. More than 50 million cases are filed in the state and federal courts every year.
3. My articles lay out a concrete, realistic, and feasible [strategy](#) for [informing](#) the national public, in general, and journalists, in particular, about, and so intensely outrage them at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power as to cause them to force politicians to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
4. The objective is to expose coordinated and individual abuse of power by judges; obtain [compensation](#) from them and their judiciaries; and bring about transformative change in the system of justice.
5. Hence, I respectfully encourage all Advocates of Honest Judiciaries participate in sharing my emailed articles by easily clicking "Reply All" and "Send". Doing that takes less than eight seconds per email. Although you may receive several from me bearing the same subject in the Re: box, each one has a different set of emails in the To: box. You may also post them to social media.

B. The need to think out a strategy for effective action

6. Without a strategy to escape the current situation of being abused by judges who disregard the facts, the law, and their duty of fairness and impartiality, and arrive at a situation in accordance with the procedural and substantive requirements of due process of law, your actions are reduced to a cry of pain and uncritical, wishful thinking.
7. You make Einstein's aphorism applicable to you: "Doing the same thing while expecting a different result is the hallmark of irrationality". This is so because your actions show ignorance or disregard of a fundamental law of the physical and the human worlds: cause and effect.
8. Judges are not going to give up their abusively-gotten gains and convenience, let alone incriminate their fellow judges and thereby end up being incriminated themselves, simply because you ask them to do so in yet another brief.
9. Expecting to stop judges' abuse by promoting the adoption of another law is irrational due to its inherent self-contradiction: That law would have to be applied by the same judges that you criticize for disregarding the laws in your and all other abusees' cases. The judges will disregard the new law. You will remain in the same abusive courts where you were. Same cause, same effect.
10. Uncritical, anything-goes thinking is typical of pro ses. But educated people should not indulge in it. Half-baked ideas make for a shrill rant, but they are no substitute for a strategy of concrete,

reasonable, and feasible actions.

11. Whining while marching to the judges' procedural drum beat does not get you or the other abusees out of your present predicament. Your only-in-court actions are wasteful of your and everybody else's effort, time, and money. Everybody ends up being frustrated, exhausted, hopeless.
12. Hence, give yourself the opportunity to consider the hereunder described out-of-court strategy for informing the national public of, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power. To that end, *read this article and those supra and infra, re-read them, and read them again* until you understand how they articulate current facts, people's interests, and common sense enhanced by the craftiness of the street wise.

- a. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Acquire both by reading the article and its references to my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. It is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

- b. visit my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. I have posted some of my articles there and they have attracted so many webvisitors and caused them to react so positively that as of 10 October 2022, the number of them who had become subscribers was 45,086 and counting.
13. This is the most propitious time to join the implementation of the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy, when, as discussed below:
 - a. top media outlets have dare expose state judges and even federal judges, who are appointed for life and can wield retaliatory power for a very long time;
 - b. politicians, whether principled or opportunistic, need to appear responsive to the public's outrage because they need its donations, volunteer work, and positive word of mouth to run their primaries and mid-term campaigns; and
 - c. the reversal of *Roe v. Wade*; the fear that the Supreme Court will reverse other landmark civil rights cases; and the nomination and confirmation of a justice are events that have focused national attention on all things judicial.
14. Be strategic! Oppose brains to judges' power. Join the effort to make the article go viral: whereby you can set in motion:
 - a. a generalized media investigation of judges and their judiciaries; and
 - b. a national, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative reform.
15. To that end, post this article to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, WhatsApp, LinkedIn, Instagram,
Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, and

Tweet: You can take action to expose judges and their judiciaries for abusing their power to deny your rights for their own gain and convenience, and hold them accountable and liable to compensation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf

16. When you receive by email one of my articles, click "Reply All" and "SEND". Emails may have

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

the same subject in the Re: box, but each has a different set of email addresses in the To: box.

17. There is no need to whine when you can *Take action!*

C. Instead of recounting the long abuse suffered, only highlight concisely in 500 words its most outrageous details

18. Many pro ses do not read in preparation for defending their rights. How many documents have they failed to read before signing them, writing a brief, or going to court?
19. Judges and opposing counsel realize that in less than a nanosecond, which reassures them that they can abuse non-readers risklessly because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER, and ignorance invites abuse.
20. Whenever one is lazy, the abuse one gets, *one had it coming!*
21. Whining is no substitute for willingness to do one's homework. If you are not willing to work in your own defense, why should judges care about you and stop looking after their own interests?
22. The [two-step method](#) for writing in up to 500 words your story of abuse is intended to make it easy for you to produce a story that only highlights the most outrageous abuse and provides accurate and significant data that induces journalists to verify it. Those 500 words are as much as judges are going to read. That is all journalists need to decide whether to investigate your story.
23. You are not being asked to tell in only 500 words the abuse that you have suffered for many years! Only tell what is necessary to convince the reader that you have story. The rest is in your references accessible through [blue links](#), similar to those provided here. *Less is more*: fewer words that inform and outrage effectively increase their chance of being read.
24. Let's think and proceed strategically: We must not miss the opportunity to provide my articles and your stories to national news organizations, such as:
 - a. *The Wall Street Journal*([¶33 below](#));
 - b. the parties to *Strickland v. U.S.* establishing that judges can be held accountable and liable;
 - c. the 90 Olympic gymnasts suing the FBI for [over \\$1 bl.](#) and those likewise sexually harassed on the Women's Soccer National Team who may sue for compensation([OL3:1533](#)).
25. We want to provide the public as well as journalists and media outlets evidence that it is in their own commercial and reputational interest to keep investigating judges' abuse of power and [financial criminality](#), and for them and us to do so more effectively by joining forces.
26. That is why we want my articles and 500-word stories to go viral: The more journalists and Advocates receive them, the greater their interest in investigating a subject that has proved its broad [public appeal](#). They must feel that they can get scoops out of the subject and win Pulitzer Prizes.
27. Thus, share this and my similar articles as widely as possible: click "Reply All" and "Send". Share the articles with all your friends, family, and colleagues, and post them to social media.
28. We are preparing the ground for a major initiative that will be undertaken at the appropriate time, which will come around after adequate financing has been secured. [Learn about it](#). Reading is an indispensable step toward knowledgeably and thoughtfully crafting strategy that is reasonably calculated to inform, outrage, and be effective...then *Take action!*

D. Contacting an organization to give rather than to ask for information

29. You need not have any special qualification to address any private or public organization to express

interest in its activities and request additional information.

30. In any event, to contact an organization that defends the interests of women, who are the most frequent victims of sexual harassment, such as "Law Clerks for Workplace Accountability," you satisfy a key qualification if you are a women; if you are not, you express your commitment to exposing any form of abuse by judges and their judiciary.
31. If the organization declines your request, you argue why it is in its own interest to provide you with additional information.
32. However, you need not *ask* for any information. On the contrary, you can make an offer, that is, of the assistance of yourself and other like-minded people. **Bring** to its attention my website and my study, which shows how readers' benefit from exposing judges' abuse of power even if they have not had and do not currently have a case in court: I argue what is in the readers' interest.
33. Indeed, my study and website collect and discuss abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability to risklessly abuse power individually and as a **coordinated class** for their **gain** and convenience. Judges are further protected connivingly by the **politicians** who put them in office, and for whom judges become 'our men and women on the bench'.
 - a. The most recent and indisputable evidence of unaccountable judges' abuse of power is found in the **series** of articles that *The Wall Street Journal* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "131 [now 152] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their **clerks** of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases.
 - b. However, to date, not a single of those judges has been investigated, subjected to disciplinary measures, let alone referred for impeachment, or forced to **disgorge** the gains that they made by resolving in their favor their **conflict** of interests.
 - c. The most powerful protector of judges is former Chief Judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and current Attorney General Merrick **Garland**. He will not dare investigate other judges for fear that in plea bargaining they trade up to 'a bigger fish': him. Judges have etched on their forefront a threatening warning that is a self-interested deterrent from exposing their peers, thus **immunizing** them while failing their own **institutional and legal duty**(OL3:1248¶11.a) to safeguard the integrity of judicial process:

I and my friends know about all our wrongdoings that you covered up as an accessory as well as those that you committed as a principal for your own gain and convenience. If you bring me down, *I'll take you with me!*
34. Tell the organization that we are organizing current and former law and court clerks and judges so that together with **journalists**, **professors** and **students**, they can expose openly or **confidentially** the **abuse** by judges that they have witnessed or suffered, whereby they can gain standing to join as plaintiffs a class action against judges and their judiciaries seeking compensation(¶24 above).
35. Share with it my contact information and my emails; and share with me their information. Share with any organization information about how judges exonerate themselves from all accountability and attendant liability by dismissing 100% of complaints against them **-including mine-** and denying 100% of petitions to review of those dismissals. That statement is based on the **official statistics** of the U.S. courts filed with Congress in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(28 U.S.C. §604(a)(3) & (4)). So, keep reading and sharing. *Take action!*

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 1, 2022

Joining forces to avoid wasting time fighting judges in court, where they abuse one party at a time and disregard precedent; and to use your time to prepare your stories to appeal to the top media that have dare expose judges' abuse and are interested in scooping the confirmation of the justice nominee[‡]

In a recent thread of emails among members of an organization for pro ses to defend their rights, it is written pertinently "The in-fighting here is a waste of time".

A. The out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for telling your story with the media's help

1. That comment is most appropriate when applied to the effort of pro ses to fight their legal battles in court, the turf of judges, who there disregard their own rules and make as they go new ones for their gain and convenience. [Pro ses](#), who do not read the law and even boast that they could not care less for court rules, including those on jurisdiction, waste their time and that of others trying to 'in-fight' judges in court. The powerless need an outsmarting strategy and many allies.
2. Judges' arbitrary manipulation of rules is factually based on the reports by top media outlets and a VIP that have, as shown in §B below, dare expose judges' [abuse of power](#) and [financial criminality](#).
3. The alternative to court "in-fighting" is for pro ses and all the other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries to join forces to induce further exposure of judges by those top media outlets and VIP as well as politicians searching for a distinguishing issue on which to run in the primaries.
4. This is the most propitious time for such exposure because the media now have commercial and reputational interests in a scoop that exposes justice nominee Ketanji Brown Jackson and one pre-eminent fellow judge: [former chief judge](#) of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, where she has sat since June 2021, and current Attorney General [Merrick Garland](#).
 - a. President Biden knew and is imputed with knowing that they have [dismissed 100% of complaints](#) against their fellow judges and [denied 100% of petitions](#) to review those dismissals because that is shown in the official statistics of their circuit compiled by their Court and submitted to Congress by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts in its [annual Report](#).
 - b. Chief Judge Garland, Judge Brown, and their colleagues left complainants uncompensated and everybody else at the mercy of emboldened "Judges Above the Law".
5. The media's exposure of JJ. Garland and Brown's exoneration of fellow judges to cover up the abuses and criminality underlying the complaints against them can cause public outrage at them in particular, and at judges, in general, that leads to her withdrawing her name from the justiceship nomination, both resign –as did Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969([jur:92&d](#))–, and thus set the trend for other judges, justices, and even courts to resign under the pressure of a public in voting mood and outraged at their practice of "Judges Can Do No Wrong". What a scoop!
6. Moreover, an ever growing number of defendants and lawyers can be induced to claim on grounds of equal protection of the law that they too should be protected as law-breaking judge([¶12](#)) have been: not one has been prosecuted or even investigated or forced to disgorge their ill-gotten gains.
7. Representing those defendants, complainants, and abusees can be the glut of unemployed lawyers, recently graduated lawyers, and law students guided by their professors. All of them and jour-

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1445
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf

nalists investigating how deep and wide judges' abuse and criminality go can apply in their and the public's behalf the aphorism "In chaos there is opportunity" and give rise to a niche practice.

8. When the bullies have all the power, those who have none must not fight them in the bullies' turf. Rather, they must use what they have, i.e., their knowledge and intelligence to [think strategically](#) so as to outsmart them out of their turf, appeal to 'the enemies of our enemies, who are [our friends](#)', and fight them with the weapon to which the bullies are most vulnerable: *public outrage!*
9. Wielding that weapon is the objective of the [out-of-court inform and outrage strategy](#). It aims to persuade journalists and academics to hold [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#). They are to be held at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conference so that the largest number of people everywhere can attend and testify inexpensively. The public will hear so many stories of judges' abuse and criminality that, outraged, it will compel principled and opportunistic politicians to hold judges and their judiciaries accountable and liable to pay [compensation](#) to abusees; and to reform the justice system through transformative change.
10. No case that a party 'in-fights' in court, not even one won, will ever achieve that objective: Law-breaking judges will disregard any precedent and continue abusing millions of cases. Without a strategy, there is nothing but losing whinings. That is why 'in-fighting in court is a waste of time'.

B. Sample of how top media outlets and a VIP have dare expose judges

11. *The Wall Street Journal*, published on September 28, 2021, the first of a [series](#) of articles under the initial title "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". Another article in the series was published on November 2, 2021, titled "Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law"; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Michael.Siconolfi@wsj.com. (See the articles referred to [here](#) and at [Appendix:6§C.22.](#))
12. Thomson Reuters, with 2,500+ journalists and 600+ photojournalists, published on June 30, 2020, the first of its three-part report "The Teflon Robe" on its massive investigation of state judges led by John.Shiffman@thomsonreuters.com and Michael.Berens@thomsonreuters.com. It found that "hardwired judicial corruption" intertwines state judges and the state commissions on judicial performance that are duty-bound to supervise and discipline them. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges...and the reporters subsequently stated that they were "inundated" with them. This goes to showing that people who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse want to tell their story to the largest public possible. Thereafter, Reuters proposed a [law firm council](#).
13. *The Boston Globe* published its [investigative](#) report by Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca and "Todd Wallack" <twallack@gmail.com>, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com, spotlight@globe.com, on September 30, 2018, "Inside our secret courts", in whose "private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong". Officers making decisions in criminal matters need not be lawyers, although they are supposed to administer Equal Justice Under Law to criminal defendants.
14. Senator Elizabeth Warren, in her "I have [a plan](#) for the Federal Judiciary too", [dare](#) denounce judges' unaccountability and their abuse of it by refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the parties before them in order to steer the cases so as to protect and increase the value of their stock. Sen. Warren refers to their grabbing as 'abusive self-enrichment'.
15. The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), headquartered in Washington,

D.C., published on October 3, 2021, the Pandora Papers, that is, close to 12 million financial documents leaked to it. “More Than 600 Reporters Around The Globe Work With ICIJ On The Most Expansive Leak Of Tax Haven Files In History”. The expertise that ICIJ has gained in applying document scanning software and money tracking techniques can be applied(OL:194§E) to exposing judges’ [illegal flow](#) of money from the point where they grab it to where they conceal it to evade taxes until it has been laundered of its illegal origin in order to spend it in the open.

16. NBC News published its report by Erik Ortiz on December 26, 2021, "[Robed in secrecy](#): How judges accused of misconduct can dodge public scrutiny - [Thousands of complaints](#) are filed against judges every year, but very few result in discipline. Ethics experts say the time for states to transform the judiciary is now"; erik.ortiz@nbcuni.com, Anna.Brand@nbcuni.com, Tim.Perone@nbcuni.com, Jessica.Simeone@nbcuni.com, Jaquetta.White@nbcuni.com.
17. ABC broadcast “Price of Protection: Woman loses Seffner home after father's guardian sues her for libel: Former guardian faces felony charges” by reporter Adam Walser of [ABC Action News Plus](#) on 20Feb22. His reportage raised the question where were the judges that allowed the guardian’s felonious conduct to occur. He invited to be contacted at adam@abcactionnews.com.
18. “[\(dis\)Honorable](#): Exposing ‘Astonishing and horrific’ conduct in the courtroom of Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Erin O’Brien Otis; A Maricopa County Superior Court judge and her staff mocked and ridiculed people during hearings and trials by routinely emailing each other cruel and obscene statements, jokes, and memes”; Dave Biscobing, chief investigator; ABC15 Arizona; February 12, 2022. The complaint filed by a whistleblower was dismissed by the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct. After two years of investigation and without publishing its re-cord, the Commission stated that it had “not found clear and convincing evidence” supporting the complaint. So the name of Judge Otis does not appear in the order of dismissal. The judge resigned in the middle of the investigation in 2020 and now works in the capital cases division of the Maricopa County attorney’s office. Chief investigator Biscobing is now investigating “the Commission’s lack of transparency”; dbiscobing@abc15.com, abc15news@wpde.com, wls-tv.iteam@abc.com, wls.planning@abc.com, JDucey@abc15.com, Diane.L.Wilson@abc.com.
 - a. Did Judge Otis tell the Commission: 'I know enough about the abuse of power and appalling conduct of each of the other Maricopa judges. So I will only resign my position as a judge if you dismiss the complaint and manage to give me a top job in the District Attorney's office...or I bring down with me all the judges that you have covered for *together with you!*
19. [The Center](#) for Public Integrity published “[Federal judges plead guilty](#)” for hiding their conflict of financial interests, by Reity O’Brien, Kytja Weir, Chris Young on April 28, 2014; <https://publicintegrity.org/politics/federal-judges-plead-guilty/>.
20. *The Washington Post* published “[Ethics Lapses](#) by Federal Judges Persist, Review Finds”, by Joe Stephens on April 18, 2006.
21. Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., in his “2021 [Year-End Report](#) on the Federal Judiciary” considered the “matter of financial disclosure and recusal obligations” exposed by *The Wall Street Journal* so important that it was the first one that he discussed of the three matters that he said “will receive focused attention from the Judicial Conference and its committees in the coming months...Let me be crystal clear: the Judiciary takes this matter seriously. We expect judges to adhere to the highest standards, and those judges violated an ethics rule”.
22. Other media articles are discussed in my three-volume study* [†] [★] of judges and their judiciaries, the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

- a. I post some of my law articles to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest. They are in long form, demand intellectual effort to understand them, and even make reference to official court statistics. They are similar to those that appear in the likes of *The New York Times Sunday Magazine*, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, *TIME*, *The Atlantic*, etc. Hence, my website subscribers are educated, well-off people willing to read what they have in front of them and even ask for what may be written in future.
- c. My articles have attracted so many visitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of 23 Dec. 22, was **45,963+** ([Appendix 3](#)). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know that have a website with so many subscribers? You too can subscribe to the articles: go to the [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or + [New](#) or They can buy your advertisers' products and services. [Users](#) > [Add New](#).

C. Strategic actions for joint exposure by abusees and witnesses of judges' abuse

- 23. The most important action that those who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse of power and financial criminality can take is to send the media([Appendix 7](#)) their individual story. The media can search for, and expose, the most persuasive type of evidence: patterns of abuse and criminality.
- 24. The media are not going to read hundreds pages of a case that not even the abusee, most likely a pro se, ever read. That is why the story must be written in only up to 500 words and contain data that is accurate, significant, and verifiable.
- 25. I have devised a [two-step method](#) that everybody can apply to write their individual story. I offer to present it at a [workshop](#) via video conference –and if in NY City, in person– held by you for your fellow members and guests. To schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead.
- 26. A written story is what will give every abusee an opportunity to do what they have longed to do during their experience of abuse and quest for justice: tell their story orally to the national public, be compensated, and bring about judicial reform.
- 27. Abusees may tell their story at the above-mentioned([¶9](#)) [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#). The written story will allow the hearings organizers, that is, the media, top journalists, and professors and students, to choose those abusees who have suffered or witnessed the most representative and outrageous abuse and have set it forth in a sufficiently brief and coherent form to be delivered by them and understood by the public in the 5 minutes that each abusee will have to tell it.
- 28. To invite as many people as possible to attend the story workshop and promote the CITIZENS HEARINGS, you can as widely as possible share this email with all your relatives, friends, and colleagues, and post it to social media such as Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, and WhatsApp. Tweet this: Telling journalists your story of judges' abuse of power written at a workshop to seek the support of media that have exposed judges and want to scoop the justice nominee confirmation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf.
- 29. To begin with, you can send this article to the two blocs of email addresses([Appendix 7](#)) of top journalists and law professors. In your own interest of telling your story, *make it go viral!*

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 5, 2022

The dean of the law school, the dean of students, and the clinical law professors, and
in their care to all other professors and the officers of the student class and other appropriate organizations
The law school

Dear Deans, Professors, and Officers,[‡]

1. This is a proposal¹ for a presentation on how through a [series of steps](#)² and a public interest clinic you all can counter the problems besieging law schools: [dwindling enrollment](#), imperiled financial viability, and [diminishing chances](#) of finding a law job upon graduation. It is based on precedent.
 - a.** In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S.*, the Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held last April 26 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers, including judges, can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities.³
 - b.** 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty.
 - c.** A PA state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/privately run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay victims [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages.
2. The presentation will center on three ongoing cases that can be further prosecuted through the device that can gain the highest payoff for law schools and [compensate](#) the largest number of victims: class actions including [RICO](#) charges. They can provoke national outrage⁴; open the floodgates of motions that create a niche practice for law students; and lead schools to hold [citizens hearings](#) that transform their role into *We the People's* watchdog⁵ on [unaccountable](#) judges and their judiciaries.
 - a.** A person in an official capacity acquired knowledge firsthand about prosecutors, NYPD officers and detectives, and judges of a NY criminal court colluding to obtain an indictment against people charged with murder even though their 'supporting evidence' revealed that no crime had even occurred. A complaint was filed with the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) requesting that it investigate its members' participation in such wrongdoing. Its handling by over a dozen officers for three months indicates that IAB has coordinated a cover-up. A complaint against it has been escalated to NYPD Commissioner Keechant Sewell⁶. The class action plaintiffs will be thousands of people who have been and still are charged and/or prosecuted on false indictments and incarcerated. The defendants will be the wrongdoers and the administrative judges, elected officers, public defenders, and their institutions chargeable with [dereliction of duty](#) for failing to [investigate](#).
 - b.** Medicare administers a budget of \$100s of billion for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds' claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare's schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. The majority of insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change⁷.
 - c.** Federal judges [intercept](#) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics.⁸ They thus deprive *the People* of their most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed by the [1st Amendment](#) to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the [Internet](#)], and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances [e.g., [compensation](#)]"⁹.

I look forward to hearing from you all.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Endnotes

- ¹ This letter is at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf. It and its link can be shared widely; distributed at the student organizations fair at the start of the academic year, and posted to social media. The letter is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking; they undergird the production of a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries titled thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

- ♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-1492+

The study collects and discusses abundant evidence(OL:194\$E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability, which is also protected connivingly by the [politicians](#) who put them in office, and for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench'. As a result, judges engage in abuse of power risklessly for their gain and convenience individually and as a [coordinated class](#).

Some of my articles have been posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and turned into subscribers 44,716 of them as of 5 September '22. They are potential class members.

- ² http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf

- ³ The most recent and indisputable evidence of unaccountable judges' abuse of power is found in the [series](#) of articles that *The Wall Street Journal* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "[[now 152](#)] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their [clerks](#) of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases. However, to date, not a single of those judges has been investigated, subjected to disciplinary measures, let alone referred for impeachment, or forced to [disgorge](#) the gains that they made by resolving in their favor their [conflict](#) of interests.

- ⁴ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf

- ⁵ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf

- ⁶ <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommKSewell.pdf>

- ⁷ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/22-8-17DrRCordero_motion_recuse_ALJLFleming.pdf

- ⁸ See the proposal for a [forensic examination](#) by Information Technology experts of the computers of critics of judges; cf. former [CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson](#) in her suit against DoJ.

- ⁹ The professors and students participating in the proposed public interest clinic can reasonably expect broad support: The *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and for racial and socio-economic equality are expressions of *the People's* self-assertive rallying cry: *Enough is enough!* We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore. So, the participants can give rise to a key midterm issue and be nationally recognized as the *People's* Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dashboard

Jetpack

Posts

Media

Pages

Portfolio

Comments 97

Feedback

WooCommerce

Products

Payments

Analytics

Marketing

Appearance

Plugins 1

Users

All Users

Add New

Profile

Tools

Settings 1

Collapse menu

Leave a review

Maybe later

Don't show again



Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?

You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?

Leave a Review

No thanks / I already have

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | Administrator | Editor | Author | Contributor | Subscriber | Customer | Shop manager

Bulk actions

Apply

Change role to...

Change

Search Users

46,006 items

<<





<

1

of 2,301

>

>>

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts	Active subscriber?
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970md egcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0	-
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barret1 cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0	-
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1ofthem any	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0	-
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3235028 4247	—	s.dj.fhbnjew.315@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	-

December 25, 2022

APPENDIX 6

**A study and articles already written on
judicial abuse of power, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform;
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing***

Table of Contents

- A. The study and articles available for review and publication.....1
- B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles..... 10
- C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing12

A. The study and articles available for review and publication

1. The study

1. The three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries that supports the articles, which are downloadable as individual files

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all
prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page
OL2:394-1143

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from
OL3:1144-1555+

i. Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome.

ii. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html), which is available for free at
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

iii. In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks
panel and use its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † ♣
>blue footnote-like references) very easy.

2. Many of the articles have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

3. Visit the website and join its 45,981+ subscribers to its articles thus: [homepage](#) <left panel
↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

2. The individual sections of Volume I of the study

1. jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1555+

*.../OL/....pdf >all prefixes:page# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...2.pdf >OL2:394-1143

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

End Page

Blank