

December 19, 2020

Providing Public Radio news directors, talkshow hosts, journalists, lawyers, and others with information about judges' abuse of power, and their exposure at unprecedented citizens hearings and sequel actions†

Mr. Lev Tsitrin
Coalition against Judicial Fraud
cajfr.org; levt2002@yahoo.com

Dear Mr. Tsitrin and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

I would like to congratulate you, Mr. Tsitrin, on your tireless and resourceful effort to expose judicial fraud.

A. Obtaining information from Thomson Reuters, Boston Globe, Sen. Warren, President-elect Biden, LexisNexis, LDAD, and JDR

1. You contacted the News Director at Alabama Public Radio; and induced her to reply to you, which is an achievement in itself. She let you know that she is, as you put it, “interested in instances of judicial fraud that are specific to Alabama”.
 - a. In this article, judicial fraud and judicial corruption are considered aspects of the broader concept of judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, which is referred to in brief as judges’ abuse of power or abuse.
2. To produce a revealing and insightful report or show on Alabama judicial fraud, or rather Alabama judges’ abuse of power, the Alabama Public Radio News Director can apply the principle KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. So she can:
 - a. find specific stories and select those that are representative of the several [forms of abuse](#) through which judges grab gains and convenience; and
 - b. learn general information that explains the [enabling circumstances](#) ¶1-7 of abuse, to wit, unaccountability, risklessness, secrecy, and coordination among judges and between them and their supervisors, i.e., those in judicial performance commissions and those who process complaints against judges.
3. Learning both specific stories and general information is the precondition for the News Director to produce a report or show that so outrages Alabamians as to cause them to take action. Such a practical impact on her state audience would warrant as cost-effective her investment of effort, time, and journalistic resources, which affects her budget as well as her reputation. As journalists say, “the story had legs” ...and she can make it take her with it.
4. To learn Alabama-specific stories and general information:
 - a. Contact the journalists and editor of Thomson Reuters –a major news organization with more than 2,500 journalists and over 600 photojournalists– who directed the team that conducted a NATIONAL investigation into judicial corruption, abuse of power, and judicial performance review commissions. They reported their findings in the three-part report “The Teflon Robe”, the first of which was published on June 30, 2020.

1) Their key finding was “hardwired judicial corruption” in state judiciaries. Upon reading

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from OL2:394

their report, one can define that concept as corruption that is an integral element of those judiciaries and intertwines their judges and the conniving state entities that are duty-bound to supervise them but in practice cover up their abuse of power by not investigating, let alone punishing, them, not even disclosing the names of complained-against judges.

- 2) Complaining abusees remain uncompensated; and new parties appear in court unsuspecting that they are going to be, not before administrators of equal justice, but rather at the mercy of risklessly indiscriminate abusers.
- 3) No wonder, when the Thomson Reuters reporters asked readers to send them their stories of judges' corruption and abuse of power, so many did that the reporters subsequently stated that they had been "inundated with stories". The floodgates opened and pent-up outrage and resentment rushed toward journalists willing to read their stories.
- 4) This is precedent for what can happen if the Alabama Public Radio News Director asks her audience to send her their stories of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed. She can encouragingly make it easier for them to do so by pointing to the [method for writing](#) in up to 500 words their stories, which they can send to her, Reuters, and other journalists. She can build on their response, as proposed below.
- 5) It is in the interest of the Reuters reporters to forward their Alabama-related stories to the News Director in exchange for her giving them credit and making a reference to their report. Thereby their report's legs take them farther.
- 6) Likewise, it is in the reporters' and Reuters's interest to maximize their vast experience gained during their national investigation and their investment of journalistic resources and money by joining forces with the News Director to investigate her state judiciary. This is a win-win, symbiotic relation.
- 7) Reuters's and the Director's business mentality and capacity to seize a promising opportunity can lead them to export their joint experience to other venues and thereby develop a profitable niche activity....and a franchise too? Here applies my well-balanced realistic-idealistic guiding principle "Making Money While Doing Justice".
- 8) These are the names and email addresses of The Teflon Robe team:

"Reporter" <john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com>, "reporter"
<michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com>, "editor"
<blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com>,

Team members

matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com, Brad.Heath@tr.com,
craig.hettich@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
Caroline.Monahan@thomsonreuters.com, Corinne.Perkins@thomsonreuters.com,
"Today Managing Editor Jim W. Dean" <jimwdean@aol.com>,"VT Senior Editor
Gordon Duff" <gpdf@aol.com>, Troy.Dunkley@thomsonreuters.com,
Pete.Hausler@thomsonreuters.com,

Corporate managers

marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, bthompson@legalnews.com,

9) Before contacting these Reuter’s journalists and officers, the News Director should read their report to educate herself on the blatant as well as subtle [forms of judges’ abuse](#). She will come away, not only more knowledgeable about such abuse, but also outraged at the callous and reckless way in which judges and their conniving supervisors devastate the lives of parties and their families and friends. Outrage is a driving force. It will reinforce her determination to expose Alabama-specific abuse and join the effort to expose its general occurrence nationwide.

10) These are the links to The Teflon Robe report:

<https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>

<https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>

<https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>

b. contact Boston Globe, the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, which published on September 30, 2018, its report “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree], who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.

1) “Boston Globe Inside Our Secret Courts reporter” <todd.wallack@globe.com>, “editor” <patricia.wen@globe.com>, spotlight@globe.com, “Brian McGrory Editor” <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, “Mark Morrow Senior Deputy Managing Editor” <mark.morrow@globe.com>, “Mark Morrow Senior Deputy Managing Editor” <mark.morrow@globe.com>, newstip@globe.com, support@bostonglobe.zendesk.com, comments@globe.com, newsletters@email.bostonglobe.com, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net “David Dahl Deputy Managing Editor Print and Operations” <david.dahl@globe.com>, “Jennifer Peter Managing Editor” <jennifer.peter@globe.com>, “Jason Tuohy Managing Editor Digital” <jason.tuohey@globe.com>, “Anika Butler Deputy Managing Editor for local news” anika.butler@globe.com, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

2) <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>

c. contact Senator Elizabeth Warren, who [dare denounce](#) in her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", how federal judges fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the companies that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor so as to protect or increase their stock’s value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as its judges’ abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to federal judges’ unaccountability.

1) Judges’ abusive self-enrichment necessarily entails their commission of the crimes of fraud on parties; concealment of assets; tax evasion; money laundering; fraud on, or collusion with, banks through misrepresentation of funds’ provenance; and breach of contract for judicial services, of the oath of office, and of public trust.

2) info@elizabethwarren.com , Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov, info+canned.response@elizabethwarren.com, reply@workingfamilies.org, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

3) Sen. Warren cannot press her progressive agenda without harming President-elect

Biden, who needs the support of her base but must follow a moderate course in order to avoid being labeled ‘a socialist’. The fact is that she has not been even mentioned for any position in his administration. Thinking strategically, the News Director can present to Sen. Warren the further exposure of judges’ abusive self-enrichment as [her hallmark](#) campaign, which the Senator can pursue jointly with the Director, Reuters, and others, including the President-elect himself! Indeed,

- d. Contact President-elect Biden to express her interest in his naming the bipartisan commission for the reform of the judicial system that he announced in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22.
- e. contact [LexisNexis](#), the [main competitor](#) of Thomson Reuters as publisher of law books and producer of a huge law and business database for computer-assisted research. LexisNexis is likely to want to join forces with news directors and others to investigate a story that can lead to a scoop, a Pulitzer Prize, and significant commercial and reputational rewards.
 - 1) evan.dewitt@lexisnexis.com, tyler.duke@lexisnexis.com, austin.dunn@lexisnexis.com, austin.dunn@mail.lexisnexis.com, Lane.Okney@lexisnexis.com, john.caminiti@lexisnexis.com, communication@lexisnexis.com, corderoric@yahoo.com
- f. contact [Lawyers Defending American Democracy](#) (LDAD), an entity that was able to attract the support of more than 2,400 lawyers and law professors as signatories –some of whom are bound to be in Alabama– of its statement in defense of generally accepted legal and judicial values. It is reasonable to expect that LDAD will put the Alabama News Director in touch with them.
 - 1) press@lawyersdefendingdemocracy.org, info@lawyerscommittee.org, hello@lawyersdefendingdemocracy.org, John.Montgomery@ropesgray.com, info@casneredwards.com, cbarber@alm.com, jon.bouker@arentfox.com, stanley.mcdermott@dlapiper.com, contactus@ropesgray.com, Robert.dell@lw.com, Emanuel.Rouvelas@klgates.com, efidell@ftlf.com, jblake@cov.com, nfels@cov.com, kathy.weinman@hoganlovells.com, satwardy@daypitney.com, Robert.Skinner@ropesgray.com, DStern@affiliatedmonitors.com, info@affiliatedmonitors.com, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
- g. read my professional study* † * of judges and their judiciaries, which is based on original analysis of [official judicial statistics](#), reports, and statements. Published by Judicial Discipline Report, the study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)* †

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

>all prefixes: page# up to prefix OL: page393

† Volume 2:http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

>from page OL2:394-1143

* Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

>from OL3:1144

- I. Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

h. Visit the [website](#) of **Judicial Discipline Reform**, where articles based on the study have been posted. This site attests to the appeal of those articles and their proposals by attracting so many webvisitors that 36,277 have become subscribers; see the [Appendixes](#) to this article.

1) To subscribe for free to those articles:

Go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register
or + New or Users >Add New.

2) See the article that requests that Thomson Reuters extend its investigation from state to federal judges, and to that end provides an abundance of [investigative leads](#).

3) See the analysis of judges' abuse of power that is likely to provoke the most outrage, namely, their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those critical judicial officers and performance.

4. Study the analysis of the official statistics showing how federal judges cover for each other by [dismissing 100%](#) of complaints filed against any of them and denying 100% of petitions to review those complaints. They ensure their own unaccountability, the harm to complainants, and the rest of the public notwithstanding.

B. The Alabama Public Radio News Director can have national impact

5. The Alabama News Director can turn her production of a report or a show into an **example for news directors** across the country. Thereby she can set in motion the formation of a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial and legal system; and make a name for herself. Those are reasonable expectations arising from taking the concrete, realistic, and feasible actions discussed below.

6. To that end, she can contact fellow news directors, talkshow hosts, and journalists in- and out-of-state to cause them to join forces to coordinate the weekly or monthly publishing of a report on judges' abuse of power or holding of a show where the audience share their stories of abuse. This can lead to the formation of the proposed [Coalition of Talkshow Hosts for Justice](#). It can become a powerhouse of American politics and rival the national TV network newscasts.

7. The effort to coalesce media people in that coalition can be leveraged to lead together with university deans and professors to the joint holding of [unprecedented citizen's hearings](#).

a. The citizen's hearings will be conducted by journalists and multidisciplinary professors and experts. They will take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

b. The hearings will be held via video conference to make it inexpensively accessible to witnesses as well as the largest number of members of the national public as well as the international public, for whom they will set an example to emulate in their countries. To the extent technically possible, they will be interactive so that a live public can provide feedback in real time. They will also be available for streaming and through podcasts.

8. The findings of the citizens hearings will be presented in a report at the **first-ever conference** on judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power. It can be conducted in part or in whole via video conference, and made available nationally and internationally.

9. The citizens hearings and the conference can launch nationally and internationally:

a. A civic, apolitical, single issue **movement for judicial abuse of power exposure**,

compensation of abusers, and reform of the judicial and legal system. Its reliable and repeatable precedents are:

- 1) The Tea Party (*Taxed Enough Already*), which began with neighborhood groups focused on tax reduction that subsequently coalesced into a national party strong enough to dominate presidential politics;
- 2) The *MeToo!* And Black Lives Matter movements, whose common intolerance of abuse of power is self-assertively shouted in their common cry:

Enough is enough! We won't take abuse by anybody anymore.

- 3) The demonstrations against police brutality and for socio-economic equality, which internationally have found expression of solidarity and have been emulated by demonstrations in the several countries. See http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf.

b. **Local chapters** composed of parties who have appeared before the same abusive judge or court and assert collectively their demand to be **compensated** by judges and their judiciaries. The chapters can form both by the parties applying the **method** for them to find each other and as the result of the organizing work of lawyers who are building up a niche practice and law students who are learning by doing under the supervision of a professor teaching a public interest course or directing a law school law clinic.

c. Judges and their judiciaries have held themselves and been held by their supervisors as **Judges above the Law**. However, on grounds of Equal Justice Under Law and its two underlying constitutional provisions of due process and equal protection of the law, they can be held as liable to compensation just as they hold liable malpractising doctors and their hospitals, and lawyers and their law firms; brutality-guilty police officers and their departments; pedophilic priests and their churches; and everybody else...except fellow judges.

10. The citizens hearings and its report-presenting conference can so inform the national public about, and outrage it at, judges' abuse of power as to stir up the public to demand that politicians -lest they be voted out of, or not into, office- name an **independent commission** endowed with subpoena, search & seizure, contempt, and indictment power to hold official public hearings on judges' unaccountability and abuse of power; and **investigate** and **audit** individual judges and courts.

a. The exposure of abuse can provoke such public outrage as to force judges and justices to **re-sign** >¶13a individually or collectively for having offended against Canon 2 of their **Code of Conduct**, which requires judges to "avoid impropriety and even the appearance of impropriety".

11. Abuse exposed to be so 'hardwired' among the judges as to constitute their institutionalized modus operandi can so deprive their judiciary of the public trust indispensable for people to respect their decisions as to bring their judiciary down.

12. An informed and outraged national public and/or a runaway independent commission can force the call of the **constitutional convention** that since April 2, 2014, Congress has been petitioned to call by 34 states, a number that satisfies the amending provisions of Article V of the **Constitution**.

13. For the first time in history, *we the People*, the Masters of all our public servants, can establish a judiciary where in practice, not merely in theory, *the People* hold their judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. Those who contribute to this historic event, which will set off an international political trend, will be recognized by the grateful *People of the World* as their Champions of Justice.

C. My offer to present this article and its proposals

14. I offer to present this article and its proposals to the Alabama News Director and her guests and to similar groups of news directors, talkshow hosts, journalists, lawyers, Advocates of Honest Judiciaries, etc.
15. The presentation can take place via video conference or, if in New York City, in person. It can be held on short notice. In fact, there is already an [agenda](#), to which can be added the elements particular to this article.
16. To decide whether to organize the presentation you may watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).
17. To consult with others on this article and/or interest people in the presentation you may widely share this article and post it to social media, such as:

Facebook Youtube LinkedIn Instagram Google plus Pinterest
Twitter: Providing news directors, talkshow hosts, journalists, lawyers and others with information about judges' abuse of power and their exposure at unprecedented citizens hearings and sequel actions http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf

18. Meantime, I pitch the publication by you or your affiliates or supporters in exchange of payment to me of one or a series of articles on judges' abuse of power, whether [written already](#), thus available for you to review, or commissioned in reliance on the quality of this and the written articles.

D. Every mmeaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate to

Judicial Discipline Reform

Through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

By making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

By mailing a check to the address below.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history! And you may enter it.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

NOTE: Given the interference with Dr. Cordero's email and e-cloud storage accounts described at [*>ggl:1 et seq.](#) and [†>OL2:1114§G](#), when emailing him, copy the above bloc of his email addresses and paste it in the To: box of your email so as to increase the chances of your email reaching him at least at one of those addresses.

Blank

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

Dr%C3%9Cordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume I

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

WordPress 5.6 is available! [Please update now.](#)


Users [Add New](#)


SSL activated!

Take the time to review these things

- > Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)
- > Improve your security [with security headers](#)

[Really Simple SSL Pro](#)

 Really Simple SSL

 Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).






- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (36,529) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (36,528)**

Bulk actions [Apply](#) [Change role to...](#) [Change](#)

36,529 items << < 1 of 1,827 > >>

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1ofthemany	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber	0

December 18, 2020

**Links[‡] to individual files, each containing one of the articles in
the two-volume study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries:**

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of
Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 36,263 subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

1. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
2. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
3. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
4. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
5. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
6. *>Creative writings, cw; http://judicialdiscipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
7. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
8. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
9. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
10. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahogroups.pdf
11. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
12. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf;
see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
13. OL2:608, 760; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
14. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
15. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
16. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
 - a. Links to official court statistics on complaints about judges and their analysis
17. Article on official statistics on complaints about J. Kavanaugh, DCC Chief Judge Merrick Garland, & peers and their analysis using "the math of abuse": http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf > all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:1

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_links_individual_files.pdf

18. Table of complaints against judges lodged in, and dismissed by, DCC in the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
19. Collected official statistics on complaints about federal judges in the 1oct96-30sep17 21-year period: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf
20. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
21. Article on statistics and math: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms': unresearched, unreasoned, arbitrary, and fiat-like orders; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
22. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
23. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
24. OL2:901; <http://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
25. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
26. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
27. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
28. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
29. OL2:951; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
30. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
31. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
32. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
33. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
34. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
35. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
36. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
37. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
38. OL2:1022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
39. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
40. OL2:1032; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf

41. OL2:1037; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
42. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
43. OL2:1045. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
44. *>OL2:1051; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
45. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
46. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
47. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
48. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
49. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
50. OL2:1090; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
51. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
52. OL2:1101; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
53. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
54. OL2:1108; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
55. OL2:1116; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
56. OL2:1119; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
57. OL2:1125; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
58. *>OL2:1134; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
59. OL2:1144; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
60. OL2:1154; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
61. *>OL2:1159; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf
62. *>OL2:1163; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-.pdf>
63. *>OL2:1175; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
64. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
65. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
66. *>OL2:1213; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf

June 1, 2018

EXCERPT FROM OL2:703: Query letter proposing a paid series of articles...on judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power as their modus operandi

6. There is a market for the proposed articles and reporting. To begin with, they will attract many of the people who are parties to the more than 50 million cases filed in our federal and state courts every year(*>jur:8^{4,5}) and to cases pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. To those parties must be added many of their negatively affected or impressed friends and family, peers, employees, clients, suppliers, shareholders, etc. They feel abused by unaccountable judges who for their own convenience and gain have risklessly disregarded the strictures of due process and equal protection of the law, thus harming people's property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame their lives. All of those parties and related people form this proposal's vast target market: The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System.
7. In fact, the articles posted to the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> have already attracted more than 24,170 subscribers, not just visitors(†>Appendix). The website can be developed as my brand and selling platform as laid out in my business plan(†>OL2:563, 577).
8. The Dissatisfied and the rest of the public, especially voters, will be attracted to my articles offered to them under a rubric, in a syndicated column or newsletter, and reported on a TV or radio(jur:2¹) talkshow(OL2:571¶23d) dealing with judges' unaccountability, riskless abuse, and judicial reform.

C. Sample of subjects of the proposed series of articles

- a. judges' unaccountability(OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
- b. statistical analysis for the public(OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
- c. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, and in practice unappealable(†>OL2:453);
- d. to receive "justice services"(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
- e. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
- f. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68^{123a});
- g. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
- h. law clerks' vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter's glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
- i. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
- j. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(581);

- k. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
- l. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘*MeToo! Abusers*’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687);
- m. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - 1) *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - 2) *The Silence of the Judges*: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications(OL2:582§C);
 - a) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - b) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - c) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - d) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges’ interception;
- n. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges’ abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
- o. *Black Robed Predators*(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges’ victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges’ abuse of power;
- p. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges’ abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - 1) the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People*’s loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised public hearings(OL2: 675§2, 580§2) on judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - 2) a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - 3) suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for “justice services”(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A;

- 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out “dumping forms”(OL2:608¶5);
- 4) suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys’ fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b,c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for “justice services”; and
 - 5) suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day’s work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
- q. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
 - r. the development of my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of June 25, 2018, had 24,226 subscribers, into:
 - 1) a clearinghouse for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - 2) a research center for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - 3) the showroom and working platform of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture(jur:119§§1-4) intended to develop into the institute of judicial accountability reporting and reform advocacy(jur:130§5);
 - s. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:
 - 1) judges’ abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154¶3);
 - 2) development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges’ decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of due process;
 - 3) promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges’ abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People’s Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
 - 4) announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers; and
 - t. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference(jur:97§1; *>dcc:13§C) on judges’ abuse at a top university(OL2:452) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
 - u. a constitutional convention(OL:136§3) and judicial reform unthinkable today, but rendered unavoidable by an informed and outraged *People* intolerant of abuse(jur:158§§6-8).

Blank

End page