

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
tel. (718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

October 8, 2018

To Prof. Alan Dershowitz: a request for you to approach Harvard and Yale students after the Kavanaugh confirmation and thereby secure your legacy

Dear Professor Dershowitz,

This email concerns the power of Harvard and Yale law students to move their respective law schools to take action concerning the Senate confirmation of Judge Brett Kavanaugh; and to draw national media attention to them.

The title of my email makes it clear that it does not deal with sexual allegations, which is the subject of the students' articles, but rather with something much more verifiable and persuasive: The official statistics of the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC) to Congress and the public([infra §G](#)). These statistics show that J. Kavanaugh and his DCC peers dismissed 100% of the 478 complaints about them lodged with DCC in the October 2006-September 2017 11-year period: This constitutes an abuse of power to endow themselves with impunity. Now Justice Kavanaugh will protect himself and his peers with all the power of the Supreme Court. No current or future complainant stands a chance against them. I trust this worries you as a civil right advocate.

By contrast, students do have a chance of wielding their power to make themselves heard by their schools and the national media about judges' abusive 100% exoneration and the riskless abuse that it breeds. They can divert their energies from filing Title IX actions to prevent J. Kavanaugh from teaching at Harvard to the more promising and novel approach of investigating the judges by using as starting lead their own official statistics and invoking their 1st Amendment "right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances" to call on the public to send the students copies of their complaints about judges. I trust this makes you willing to encourage the students to undertake such civil right advocacy.

Thus I respectfully request that you use your good offices to cause The Crimson and other media outlets to publish my article below and cause it and other Harvard and Yale student organizations to invite me to make a presentation on why and how students can expose judges' abuse of power.

This is a win-win proposition for you: If after you contact students and their organizations they decline or if they bring me for a presentation, but thereafter nothing happens, it will be like the vast majority of presentations by guest speakers. You will not be worse off at all. However, they may follow your suggestion, publish my article and/or have me present to them, and launch an investigation that sets in motion a generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power that leads to a historic transformation: from unaccountable abusive judges to *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, holding their judicial servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

This is realistic, its precedent being none other than the publication by *The New York Times* of its exposé of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse. Within days, it gave rise to the *MeToo!* movement and its profound transformation from sexual abusees resigned to suffer in silence to a self-assertive national public that will not take any form of abuse from anybody any longer. Once the public is informed and outraged at judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse, it will not take their abuse any longer either. You will come off the winner because that movement will be traced back to the initial effort that you made to set it in motion. That will crown your innumerable wins in court and winning published works. It will be your most enduring and historically important win: a substantially transformed judiciary, your legacy. Consequently, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!(*>[jur:7§5](#))...and you may enter it. Sincerely, s/Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:773

October 7-29, 2018

The Federal Judiciary's abuse of power by its judges dismissing complaints about them, which ensures their unaccountability, can be exposed through J. Kavanaugh and his peers' dismissal of the 478 complaints about them, and your protest against the sham hearing on changes to the judges' Code of Conduct and complaint processing Rules

Dear Deans, Professors, Students, Lawyers, Members of the Media, U.S. Representative Jerrold Nadler (NY-10th District), and Actor Robert De Niro,

I read with interest the articles written by Harvard and Yale law students and journalists about Judge Brett Kavanaugh and the power of students to make their deans take a position on his confirmation, and related letters that 2,400+ law professors and 2,000+ Mothers in the Law Profession published in *The New York Times*(*NYT*).

You, Rep. Nadler, stated on ABC "This Week" that if the Democrats retake the House and you become the chairman of its Judiciary Committee, you will have the latter investigate J. Kavanaugh.

It is reassuring to know that you, Mr. De Niro, are doing well despite the bomb scare. Still scary is what you called "Sad, sad": the confirmation of J. Kavanaugh, Trump's 2nd SCt. nominee.

This is a proposal for you all to support the national publication and discussion of official facts -not personal allegations or partisan opinions- revealing why judges' service, as opposed to only their fitness to serve, is so 'sad':

- a. Judge Kavanaugh and his peers dismissed 100% of the 478 complaints about them;
- b. judges are holding a sham hearing on proposed changes to the rules for processing complaints about them and the Code of Conduct for them; and
- c. judges exonerate themselves to escape discipline and abuse their power risklessly.

You can help expose the form of abuse that will most scare you and the rest of *We the People*: judges' interception of their critics' communications. This is how you can become transformative Champions of Justice.

A. Judge Kavanaugh and his peers' dismissed 100% of the 478 complaints about them, ensuring their unaccountability and riskless abuse of power

1. Indeed, the very politicians who put judges in office cannot thereafter turn around and investigate their appointees for lack of integrity and competence, lest they incriminate their own vetting procedures and skills for evaluating character and competence. To evade their responsibility for exercising constitutional checks and balances on 'their men and women on the bench', politicians have delegated self-disciplining authority to judges. In the federal government, they have adopted the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (28 U.S.C. §§351-364; [*>jur:24^{18a}](#)).
2. Under it, the only way for anybody to complain about a federal judge is by lodging a complaint in the circuit where the judge serves. There it is processed by precisely his or her peers, colleagues, and friends applying their own Judicial Conduct and Disability Rules They are required to submit the statistics on their complaint processing to Congress and the public in the Annual Report of the Director [who is appointed by the Supreme Court chief justice] of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO; 28 U.S.C. §604(h)(2); [jur:26^{23a}](#)). Suits on Rules decisions are not provided for.

3. Their statistics([†]>OL2:772§G) show that Judge Brett Kavanaugh and his peers at the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 100% of the 478 complaints about them lodged with them and reported in the annual statistics for the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period(OL2:748). They have abused their authority by granting themselves 100% exoneration from complaints regardless of the complained-about conduct's nature, extent, and gravity. Acting only in self-interest, he and his peers have left complainants and the rest of the public at the mercy of complained-about and covering-up judges.
4. Held by politicians and themselves unaccountable, life-appointed judges, in practice unimpeachable and irremovable(jur:21 §a), risklessly abuse(*>OL:154¶3) for their convenience and gain their enormous power over people's property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame their lives. Their partiality toward themselves and unfairness to those entitled to "equal protection" incriminate their service, as shown by their own non-partisan, verifiable, and official statistics. The latter's analysis through "the math of abuse"(OL2:608§A) exposes them as Judges Above the Law.
5. This novel statistical facts approach to judicial service evaluation is the product and distinguishing feature of my study of judges and their judiciaries: **Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing**: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†].

B. The Supreme Court justices' self-interested cover-up of complaint dismissal

6. Justice Kavanaugh now has the strongest personal motive to prevent any investigation into his and his peers' abuse of power to secure their 100% exoneration from complaints about them. Such investigation can force the disclosure of the complaints, conveniently kept secret from the public and even the politicians; make the detection of patterns, trends, and frequency of abuse possible; and lead to the exposure of the organization and execution of, and benefits from, their cover-up.
7. Nor can such investigation be allowed by Trump's first nominee, Judge Neil Gorsuch. He, who so values camaraderie(OL2:546¶4-6), and his peers in the 10th Cir. dismissed 99.83% of complaints about them(OL2:548). This explains why the 15 complaints about J. Kavanaugh lodged in the wake of his confirmation hearings in September 2018 that his peer, Judge Karen Henderson, referred to Chief Justice John Roberts, were in turn referred by him for processing to precisely the 10th Cir.
8. The presumption of a whitewash would not be less justified if C.J. Roberts had referred them to the 2nd Circuit, the former one of Justice Sonia Sotomayor. While there, she and her peers denied by a mere "Denied" form 100%(jur:11) of petitions for review of dismissal of complaints about them (jur:65§§1-3). The percentage of complaints dismissed in all the circuits is 99.82%(jur:10, 12-14).

1. Complaint dismissal: the Judiciary's institutionalized mechanism to ensure unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power

9. No change to the Code of Conduct or the Rules will stop judges from resolving in their favor their conflict of interest as the complaints' objects and judges; neither did those adopted in 2008(jur:125²⁶⁴) and 2015. Complaint dismissal is their institutionalized mechanism for enforcing the complicit agreement through which they reciprocally ensure their corruptive(jur:27²⁸) unaccountability for their past abuse of power and the risklessness of their future abuse. Judges have turned their pervasive abuse into their modus operandi(OL2:457§D, 760). By flagrantly self-exonerating from 100% of complaints about them, they have made themselves Untouchable Judges Who Can Do No Wrong.

C. The cover-up of useless changes to the complaint Rules and the Code by making it practically impossible to attend the sole hearing on them

10. The exposés of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse and its cover-up by VIPs published by *The New*

York Times (NYT) and *The New Yorker* pressured C.J. Roberts into referring for sexual misconduct investigation Former 9th Cir. Chief Judge Alex Kozinski, who then resigned. Yet, it had been known for decades that J. Kozinski habitually engaged in such misconduct. Whenever the judges or the complainants make the complaints against him public, it will be shown that his peers, colleagues, and friends covered for him by dismissing the formal and disregarding the informal complaints about him just as J. Kavanaugh and his peers dismissed 100% of the 478 complaints about them.

11. Only after receiving almost 700 letters of complaint about abuse in the Federal Judiciary did the Chief Justice admit to abuse therein and announce in his Annual Report on the Federal Judiciary of December 31, 2017, the setting up of a working committee to study workplace conditions in the Judiciary(†>OL2:645). The Committee took five months to turn in its report, dated June 1, 2018. It is what has led to proposing changes to the Code of Conduct and the complaint processing Rules.
12. Only on October 2 did AO announce only on its website that the changes will be the subject of only one hearing at the Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building in Washington, D.C., rather than at each of the 200+ federal courts. How many people, including actual and potential complainants about judges, know of even the existence of AO, never mind what it does? Why would they ever visit its site? How many people can afford to travel to D.C. at all, let alone do so the day before to be ready to testify at 9:00 a.m. on October 30? Why did the judges not offer the option for witnesses to testify through video conference, e.g., using Skype? [Cf. Chief Judge Julie Robinson of the U.S. District for Kansas did testify by a video connection that was broadcast live.]
13. A request to be heard had to be emailed by October 18 to CodeandConductRules@ao.uscourts.gov. However, AO admitted on its website that “a technical issue” had prevented the receipt prior to October 10, of emailed comments and requests to testify; [http://www.uscourts.gov/news/2018/10/02/judiciary-hold-public-hearing-proposed-changes-judges-code-and-judicial-conduct\(OL2:778\)](http://www.uscourts.gov/news/2018/10/02/judiciary-hold-public-hearing-proposed-changes-judges-code-and-judicial-conduct(OL2:778)...)... but AO did not extend the time for people to request to be heard or to comment.
14. In the notice granting the request to be heard, AO wrote: “Additional details regarding the hearing will be provided by October 23”. Only after knowing those details could one decide whether to attend. AO emailed those details at 4:57 p.m. on the 23rd. That was a time intentionally calculated for the email to reach its addressees too late for them to even notice it, not to mention act on it:
 - a. The addressees had to email their written statement to AO in only two days, by October 25.
 - b. Moreover, if one could accept AO’s “Additional details” concerning the five minutes allowance to testify, one had less than a week to book a hotel room and flight to D.C. and if one could do so at all, pay the highest, spot price for purchase within a week of service.
15. The judges have had nine months to write both their report and proposed changes. Yet, they unjustifiably required *We the People* to stumble upon the hearing announcement and thereupon unseemly rush from finding and reading the Committee Report, the Code, the Rules, and the Act, to writing their statement(OL2:783), to scrambling to rearrange their commitments, to dealing with the logistics of the overnight trip to D.C., to appearing at the hearing, all in less than a month. All that aggravated by the expense involved...for two and a half minutes of testimony per instrument.
16. Here applies the tort principle, “A person is deemed to intend the foreseeable consequences of his or her acts”. The judges’ acts through AO have the foreseeable consequence of limiting the number of witnesses at the hearing to a minimum. That is what the judges intend.
17. Canon 2 of the Code enjoins judges “to avoid impropriety and even the appearance of impropriety in all activities”. This pro forma announcement about compliance in bad faith with the hearing requirement is the reality of a sham hearing! Res ipsa loquitur (The thing speaks for itself).

D. The investigation of sexual abuse and the *MeToo!* movement as precedent for the investigation of abusive judges and a judicial accountability movement

18. The exposés by *NYT* and *The New Yorker* prompted the rest of the media to join the sexual abuse investigation and caused the *MeToo!* movement to emerge here and abroad. They resulted in a historic societal transformation from sexual abusees who could only suffer in isolation and silence to a national public that shouts: *Enough is enough!* We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.
19. That is precedent for the expectation that if you help expose judges' self-interested 100% self-exoneration from complaints and the sham hearing and proposed Code and Rules changes intended to let J. Kavanaugh's peers and colleagues keep dismissing complaints to ensure their unaccountability and riskless abuse, you can launch a generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power.

1. Investigation of judges' interception of their critics' communications

20. The investigation will expose the scariest abuse: judges' interception of their critics' communications. The probable cause to believe that judges intercept them is furnished by statistical analysis of facts and verifiable by Information Technology experts examining computers and servers(OL2:781). The scare will be graver than the scandal provoked by Edward Snowden revealing in 2013 NSA's only *collection*, though illegal, of communications metadata(id.¶6). The issue here is judges' illegal *prevention* of communications, though their duty is to safeguard freedom of speech.

2. The transformation from victims of judges into *We the Masters of judicial servants*, and your becoming transformative Champions of Justice

21. Thanks to your access to the media, voters, and film makers, you can take action that inserts into the national debate, the mid-term elections, and the 2020 presidential campaign what is more important than judicial candidates' fitness to serve: judges' actual service and its impact on everybody(OL2:717). You can help set in motion a historic transformation: For the first time ever, the people can become *We the Masters* that hold our judicial public servants accountable for the performance of their duty and even liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. Concretely, you can:
 - a. cause this letter and my articles(OL2:755, 760; 719§C) to be shared, posted, and published;
 - b. persuade respected journalists in quest of a Pulitzer Prize to investigate judges' interception of their critics' communications and other forms of their abuse of power(OL2:729);
 - c. call a press conference to present these facts; ask Congress to hold nationally televised public hearings on judges' abuse; and induce the media to hold unprecedented hearings on it, conducted by news anchors, journalists, and journalism professors, in their own commercial interest and to redeem their public image by becoming *The People's Loudspeaker*(OL2:728¶4);
 - d. approach movie and documentary makers with the proposal for *Black Robed Predators*: when the judges are the abusers(*>OL:85, 313; †>OL2:464, 536, 537), a movie(*>cw:3) or documentary(OL2:491, 530, 724¶4) intended to influence the 2020 presidential race -more deeply than Michael Moore did the 2004 race with *Fahrenheit 9/11*, the highest grossing documentary up to then- by appealing to the huge(OL2:719¶¶6-8) untapped voting bloc of The Dissatisfied With The Judicial And Legal System and turning it into a single issue Tea Party/swiftly spreading *MeToo!*-like movement that here and abroad transforms the exercise of power.
22. Time is of the essence and emails and letters can be intercepted. So I respectfully ask that you *call* me to invite me to make a presentation on your becoming transformative Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!(>jur:7§5)...and you may enter it.*

Proposed Changes to Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges and Judicial Conduct and Disability Rules

Submit comments or watch the public hearing on proposed changes to the Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges and the Judicial Conduct and Disability Rules.

Public Hearing: Proposed Changes to Judges' Code of Conduct & Jud...



A public hearing on the proposed changes to the Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges and the Judicial Conduct and Disability Rules will stream live on this webpage, beginning at 9 a.m. EDT on Oct. 30, 2018.

On September 13, 2018, the Judicial Conference committees on Codes of Conduct and Judicial Conduct and Disability released for public comment proposed changes to the [Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges \(/file/24738/download\)](#) (Code) (pdf) and the [Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings \(/file/24739/download\)](#) (JC&D Rules) (pdf). These proposed changes respond to recommendations provided in the June 1, 2018 [Report of the Federal Judiciary Workplace Conduct Working Group \(/file/24299/download\)](#) (pdf).

OL2:778

These proposed changes are tracked within the text of the documents. In the JC&D Rules, changed text within moved sections is highlighted in yellow. In the Code, all changes are highlighted in yellow.

Submit Public Comments

The committees on Codes of Conduct and Judicial Conduct and Disability will accept comments submitted by email until **November 13, 2018**.

An individual (including an individual representing a group or organization) may submit comments on proposed changes to the Code and the JC&D Rules by emailing CodeandConductRules@ao.uscourts.gov

Notice

If you submitted comments or a request to testify to CodeandConductRules@ao.uscourts.gov (<mailto:CodeandConductRules@ao.uscourts.gov>) prior to October 10, 2018, your email may not have been received due to a technical issue that has been resolved. Please resubmit your previous communication using the same email address.

<mailto:CodeandConductRules@ao.uscourts.gov>) by **November 13, 2018**. Please include at the top of the email the name of the individual submitting comments, whether the individual is commenting on behalf of any entity, and which document(s) the individual is commenting on (Code, JC&D Rules, or both).

The committees on Codes of Conduct and Judicial Conduct and Disability will consider all submissions related to the proposed changes to the Code and the JC&D Rules that are received by **November 13, 2018**, although no response will be provided. All such comments will be posted on this website after the deadline. **No complaints or communication regarding any other topic will be accepted.**

Watch the Public Hearing

The committees on Codes of Conduct and Judicial Conduct and Disability will hold a public hearing on the proposed changes to the Code and JC&D Rules on **October 30, 2018**, at 9 a.m. at the Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building – One Columbus Circle, NE, Washington, D.C.

The hearing is open to the public, but seating is limited. Please allow sufficient time for security screening upon entering the building. A government-issued identification card is required. Individuals who are unable to secure a seat will be asked to leave the building. The hearing will be livestreamed on this webpage.

Appendixes

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

The above article is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking in support of the cause of honest judiciaries that in fact administer Equal Justice Under Law. This cause is pursued through the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy of forming a civic apolitical nondenominational single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform. Its pursuit is conducted at:

Judicial Discipline Reform

It has produced a three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

It maintains a website at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Visit it to strengthen yourself by reading its articles because
KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.

So have done so many webvisitors and they have reacted to its articles so positively that 37,410+ have become subscribers. To join them for free:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register
or + New or Users >Add New.

Donate

to Judicial Discipline Reform

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee, to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Users Add New

 Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (37,411) | Administrator (1) | Subscriber (37,410)

[Search Users](#)

Bulk actions Change role to... 37,411 items << < 1 of 1,871 > >>

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplug63von	—	sam@3aplug63.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaBEvick	—	a21@play.quakeclub.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AAAGlennbeish	—	umbainvro@viagraforsale.monster	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaPiopsy	—	enn.max.to.y@gmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaBNmvsUDXWuwO	—	kelflee@yahoo.com	Subscriber	0

- Dashboard
- Posts
- Media
- Pages
- Comments 39
- WooCommerce
- Products
- Appearance
- Plugins 6
- Users**
 - All Users
 - Add New
 - Profile
- Tools
- Settings 2
- Collapse menu

March 19, 2021

Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of

Judicial Discipline Reform

at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,410+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - Cf. a. *id.* on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
 - b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 476 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
2. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
3. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
4. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
5. *>Lsch 5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
6. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

7. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
8. *>Creative writings, cw; http://judicialdiscipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
9. *>OL:42; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
10. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
11. *>OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
12. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
13. *>OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
14. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
15. *>OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
16. *>OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
17. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
18. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
19. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
20. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
21. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
22. OL2:567; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
23. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
24. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
25. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
26. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
27. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
28. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
29. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
30. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
31. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;

32. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
33. OL2:901; <http://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
34. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
35. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
36. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
37. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
38. OL2:951; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
39. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
40. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
41. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
42. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
43. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
44. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
45. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
46. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
47. OL2:1022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
48. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
49. OL2:1032; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
50. OL2:1037; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
51. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
52. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
53. *>OL2:1051; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
54. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
55. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
[sent to LexisNexis]
56. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
57. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>

58. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
59. OL2:1090; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
60. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
61. OL2:1101; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
62. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
63. OL2:1108; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
64. OL2:1116; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
65. OL2:1119; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
66. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
67. *>OL2:1134; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
68. OL2:1144; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
69. OL2:1154; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
70. *>OL2:1164; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
71. *>OL2:1168; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
72. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
73. *>OL2:1; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
74. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
75. OL3:1187; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
76. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
77. *>OL2:1213; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
78. OL2:1219; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
79. OL3:1226; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
80. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
81. OL3:1237; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
82. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
83. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
84. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
85. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
86. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf;

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

87. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf

88.

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † * of judges and their judiciaries

89. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
90. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
91. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
92. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
93. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
94. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
95. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
96. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
97. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
98. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
99. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
100. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘MeToo! Abusers’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
101. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications(OL2:582§C);

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:5

- 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
102. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
103. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
104. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
- a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
 - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the

parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. [OL2:571¶24a](#));

105. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points ([OL:274-280](#); [304-307](#)) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
106. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of March 19, 2021, had 37,410 subscribers, into:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties([OL2:575](#)) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture ([jur:119§§1-4](#)). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));
107. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:
 - a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
 - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
 - c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
 - d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;
108. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
109. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful quotations and external links

110. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
111. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
112. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
113. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
114. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

115. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
116. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
117. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
118. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
119. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
120. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
121. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
122. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
123. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
124. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
125. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
126. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
127. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>
128. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
129. the **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
130. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
131. Number of cases filed in state courts annually: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf
132. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
133. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>
134. **Federal Judicial Center on impeachments**; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>
135. See(**jur:159²⁸⁰**):
- a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf
 - b. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf\(jur:75¹⁴⁸\)](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf(jur:75¹⁴⁸)).
 - c. The Conduct and Disability part of the bill as adopted is at ¶128 supra(**jur:24^{18a}**)
136. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

137. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
138. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
139. (**business** schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
140. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm
141. <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>

Blank