

July 27, 2022

Ann Mathews, Esq.
Managing Director, CDP
The [Bronx Defenders](#)
360 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451
tel: (718)838-7878

Dear Ms. Matthews,[‡]

1. Thank you for calling me in response to my latest phone call and the letters that I had mailed you and the 24 other members of Bronx Defenders named in the Table included hereunder.
2. This file also includes my letter to you as well as other letters that describe the current state of this proposal.
3. Indeed, all those letters show that we pursue a common public interest, that is, to ensure the integrity of judicial process, in general, and of criminal process, in particular.
4. Hence, this is a proposal for you, Bronx Defenders, and me to join forces to expose wrongdoing coordinated among prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges. They harm people who still may be in, or are newly sent to, Rikers on indictments that were extracted from a grand jury too disinterested and lacking the critical judgment needed to analyze the evidence presented and realize that the accused could not possibly have committed the crime with which they had been charged because *that crime never occurred*.
5. The indictment was concocted, e.g., as leverage to extort the accused's acceptance of the plea bargain offered or as the basis for fabricated charges to be tried in court.
6. That statement derives from the information that I gained after entering an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties "faithfully". The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment.
7. So, I wrote it down in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled "Emergency Application", and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.
8. Since then, I have sent numberless letters and emails and made numerous phone calls to a host of authorities, such as those identified below, whose duty it is to investigate such public wrongdoing. To no avail, for I have only been given the runaround.
9. To my knowledge, no authority has opened an investigation or even taken the initiatory action that I requested: to contact Judge Yearwood to ask that he transmit to them a copy of my "Emergency Application". I respectfully request that you take that action.
10. Regardless of whether Judge Yearwood transmits a copy to you, we can jointly ask him and the other authorities what they have done about the information that I brought to their attention to ensure that accused people are not wrongfully left in, or sent to, Rikers. So asking them is justified by the duty to represent "faithfully" and zealously the criminally accused that are current and past clients of yours and other defenders.
11. If those authorities disregard us or provide unsatisfactory answers, we can bring pressure to bear on them by appealing to the media at a press conference.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Bronx_Defenders.pdf

12. Those authorities deserve as much public criticism as former President Trump has received for his dereliction of duty during the [187 minutes](#) after he finished his inflaming speech to those in the rally at the Ellipse on January 6 and when he went on TV to ask the rioters to end their assault on the Capitol. For comparison, those authorities have done nothing for months since I contacted them.
13. We too can take action beyond engaging in mere criticism: Seven Capitol Police [officers have sued Trump](#) and rally organizers. In the same vein, 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their inaction.
14. Their suits draw strong support from the decision of the Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) in [Strickland v. U.S.](#), which held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.
15. We can expose those authorities' complicit dereliction of duty and hold all of them liable to compensate their victims.
16. Those authorities have been derelict in their duty for their gain, e.g., to protect themselves through an explicit or implicit reciprocal cover-up agreement and increase their conviction rate. Their conduct constitutes a pattern of racketeering that warrants bringing a RICO count.
17. We can argue that the class action should be tried in federal court by pointing to the need to prevent the bias toward local parties that justifies diversity of jurisdiction and that emerges when judges judge their colleagues, friends, and appointees; and the authorities' failure to "[avoid the appearance](#)" of a cover-up in the runaround that they have given me and eventually you too.
18. The national mood favors such class action, for the public has grown increasingly determined to hold public figures and officers accountable and liable since the eruption of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements; the protests against police brutality, socio-economic inequalities, and the Supreme Court's reversal of *Roe v. Wade*; the public hearings of the House January 6 Committee and the assurance by Attorney General Merrick Garland that "nobody is above the law" so that the Department of Justice will prosecute all Capitol assault organizers and participants.
19. The class action can be brought on behalf of the known and other as yet unknown similarly situated victims of such wrongdoing coordinated among prosecutorial, police, and judicial officers. How many people have landed in Rikers upon false 'evidence' presented to grand juries known to be "easily manipulated into indicting even a sandwich"? For how many years has such abuse of people and grand juries been going on?
20. Answering those questions can give rise to a flood of motions to reopen convictions and dismiss charges.
21. The class action can provoke a national scrutiny of abuse of grand juries, in particular, and of the justice system, in general. That can strengthen the plaintiff class, bring new clients, and enhance our reputation.
22. Such national scrutiny can be hastened by turning this coordinated public wrongdoing into a national issue of the midterm elections. It follows that time is of the essence.
23. Consequently, I have also approached, among others, NY Legal Assistance Group and The Jacob Fuchsberg Law Firm with a view to bringing that class action.
24. Thus, let's discuss this proposal. It may lead us to become *We the People's* Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 1, 2022

Ann Mathews, Esq.
Managing Director, CDP
The Bronx Defenders
360 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451
tel: (718)838-7878

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa Gibson, Diana Ayala, Eric Dinowitz, Kevin Riley, Marjorie Velázquez, Pierina Ana Sanchez, Oswald Feliz, Althea Stevens, Rafael Salamanca, Amanda Farías; Bronx Advocate Socrates Solano; and NYC Mayor Eric Adams and Public Advocate Jumaane Williams

Dear Ms. Mathews and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your criminal defense practice. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement titled “Emergency Application” and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.* Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in people investigating their colleagues and friends, and thus incriminating themselves, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder[‡]. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, Judge Yearwood has a duty to “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. Others are an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Hence, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation separately or jointly with Bronx districts and NYC leaders for your own and your constituents’ sake.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “diligently” and “efficiently and effectively” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that of September 28, 2021, titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held by themselves and friends unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all those officers will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to them, money should be to you: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice. So, let’s talk.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Bronx_Defenders.pdf

Table of members of Bronx Defenders
to whom letters were mailed

	honorific	Title	name	last	envelope
1.	Director	Executive Director	Justine Olderman, Esq.	Olderman	(718)838-7878
2.	Ms.	Managing Director, CDP	Ann Mathews, Esq.	Mathews	Managing Director Criminal Defense Practice
3.	Ms.	Legal Director, CDP	Ilona Coleman, Esq.	Coleman	Legal Director Criminal Defense Practice
4.	Ms.	Director of Strategic Partnerships, Development	Amy Crawford , Esq.	Crawford	Director of Strategic Partnerships, Development
5.	Ms.	Managing Director Strategic Communications	Ms. Chi Nguyen	Nguyen	Managing Director Strategic Communications
6.	Mr.	Director of Strategic Initiatives	Mr. Kumar Rao	Rao	Director of Strategic Initiatives
7.	Ms.	Investigator Supervisor, Team Leader	Ms. Olga Beltre	Beltre	Investigator Supervisor and Team Leader
8.	Mr.	c/o: IT Assoc. Dir. Jairo Santana	IT Director	Santana	IT Director c/o: Mr. Jairo Santana IT Associate Director
9.	Mr.	Supervising Attorney, HPG	David Anderson, Esq.	Anderson	Supervising Attorney Homicide Practice Group
10.	Ms.	Special Events & Marketing, Development	Ms. LaToya Wilcox	Wilcox	Advancement Officer Special Events & Marketing, Development
11.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, HPG	Annie Costanzo, Esq.	Costanzo	Supervising Attorney Homicide Practice Group
12.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, HPG	Mairin Fogarty, Esq.	Fogarty	Supervising Attorney Homicide Practice Group
13.	Mr.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Daniel Zeidman , Esq.	Zeidman	Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice
14.	Mr.	Supervising Attorney, HPG	Thomas Klein, Esq.	Klein	Supervising Attorney Homicide Practice Group
15.	Ms.	Adolescent Defense Project	Robyn Goldberg, Esq.	Goldberg	Adolescent Defense Project
16.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Talia Gooding- Williams, Esq.	Gooding- Williams	Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice
17.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Malika Lubell- Doughtie, Esq.	Lubell-Doughtie	Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice
18.	Mr.	Arraignment Supervisor, CDP	Andrew Multer, Esq.	Multer	Arraignment Supervisor Criminal Defense Practice
19.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Annette Lee, Esq.	Lee	Team Leader and Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice

20.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, Early DP	Lenora Easter, Esq.	Easter	Supervising Attorney Early Defense Practice
21.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDG	Kaitlin Jackson, Esq.	Jackson	Supervising Attorney Criminal Practice Group
22.	Mr.	Supervising Attorney, CPG	Daniel Kay, Esq.	Kay	Supervising Attorney Criminal Practice Group
23.	Ms.	Prisoners' Rights Project, CDP	Daiana Griffith, Esq.	Griffith	Prisoners' Rights Project Advocate Criminal Defense Practice
24.	Ms.	Supervising Homicide Attorney, HPG	Archana Prakash, Esq.	Prakash	Supervising Homicide Attorney Homicide Practice Group
25.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Alicia Sanchez-Ramirez, Esq.	Sanchez-Ramirez	Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice

<https://www.bronxdefenders.org/>

<https://www.bronxdefenders.org/who-we-are/our-staff/>

The **Bronx Defenders**

360 East 161st Street

Bronx, NY 10451

tel: (718)838-7878

<https://www.bronxdefenders.org/staff/ilona-coleman/>

(Map) Info@bronxdefenders.org, media@bronxdefenders.org

Ms. Chi Nguyen

Managing Director, Strategic Communications

cnguyen@bronxdefenders.org 347-842-2943

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, NY 12207
tel.: (518)455-7700

Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence
K. Marks, NYS Unified Court System
25 Beaver St., NY, NY 10004; tel. (212)
428-2120; question@nycourts.gov;
ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov

Deputy Chief Administrative
Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Supreme Court, NY County,
Civil Term, 60 Centre St., NY,
NY 10007; tel.: (646)386-5567

Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014
tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org,
IABCmdCntr@nypd.org

Sherrill Spatz, Esq.
Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
IG@nycourts.gov

Carol M. Hamm, Esq.
Deputy Inspector General

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letters of May 28 and June 18 and numerous calls, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized.

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Busching, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment on it are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may investigate it without further delay. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will promptly comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches of government. If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

Supreme Court
of the
State of New York



LAURENCE E. BUSCHING
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

CHAMBERS
BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE
265 EAST 161ST STREET
BRONX, NEW YORK 10451

June 29, 2022

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.

Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.

Very truly yours,

Laurence E. Busching

Laurence E. Busching, AJSC

July 11, 2022

Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood
Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term
265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451

Dear Judge Yearwood[‡]

1. I mailed you with date of May 26, 2022, and you received on May 30, a writing thus titled:

**Emergency Application
of Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., for his reinstalment in
Panel A of the current grand jury,
which is seated for the period May 23-June 17, 2022,
after the peremptory discharge of him by
Grand Jury Judge Laurence E. Busching upon the statements to him of
ADAs and grand jurors accusing Dr. Cordero in his absence;
for preservation of evidence; and other relief action**

2. Since then, I have had to make numerous calls to your chambers at (718)618-3700 to request from your assistants Ms. Dana and Ms. Stephanie to speak with you and ask for an answer from you to the “Emergency Application”; to no avail. To the same end, I had to call at (718)618-3000 Chief Clerk Michelle Foggie; Mr. Greg Johnson, Acting Bureau Chief Document Liaison; and Mr. Lamar Decassures, Bureau Chief Trial Support. They too were unable to induce you to contact me.
3. However, now, a month later and without even recognizing my writing as an “Emergency Application”, Judge Busching mailed me a letter whose full text is the following:

Supreme Court of the State of New York LAURENCE E. BUSCHING JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT	CHAMBER'S BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE 265 EAST 161 st STREET BRONX, NEW YORK 10451
---	---

June 29, 2022

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.

Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.

Very truly yours,
/s/ Laurence E. Busching, AJSC

4. My “Emergency Application” consists of 8 pages and 4,743 words. It states in its very title that it deals, in addition with my request for reinstatement in the grand jury, with the substantive issues of genuine material and legal importance of “the peremptory discharge of [me] by Grand Jury Judge Busching upon the statements to him of ADAs and grand jurors accusing Dr. Cordero in his absence; for preservation of evidence; and other relief action”.
5. More importantly, the “Emergency Application” deals with the accusation of murder against two people and their probable incarceration. The ADA who presented the indictment to Panel A of the

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Administrative_JudgeAYearwood.pdf

grand jury on May 23 and 24, 2022, failed to connect to the alleged murder the only two pieces of “evidence”, i.e., a pair of sneakers and of jeans shown on photos, so that they were irrelevant as they lacked any probative value. None of the 12 videos and pictures showed the scene of the alleged crime, the alleged victim lying on the street, or the autopsy report; and the NYPD police officers and detectives who testified before the grand jury did not even allege to have seen any of that. No bystander, nearby storeowner, or relative of the alleged victim was brought to testify. The alleged victim was seen on a video walking on the sidewalk with a friend, who was the only civilian to testify although he could not identify the alleged murderers or provide a composite of them. No murder weapon was recovered and no motive for the murder was alleged. The “evidence” and the testimony could only permit of one conclusion: *no murder had occurred!* Once more, an ADA had abused uncritical and indifferent grand jurors ‘to indict a sandwich’...and how many people?

6. A person guided by “common sense and good judgment” (Grand Juror’s Handbook, p.10), and especially officers of the court charged with administering justice, would have realized the emergency situation at hand: They would have promptly undertaken a determination of whether there was probable cause to free those two accused people immediately as well as people who like them had been accused and even incarcerated for crimes that they could not possibly have committed because the alleged crimes had not even occurred or the alleged “evidence” was totally irrelevant.
7. Judge Busching’s letter to me was non-responsive. Justice Thurgood Marshall put it this way in his dissent in *Greenholtz v. Inmates of the Nebraska Penal & Correctional Complex*, 442 U.S. 1, 40 (1979): “[A]n inability to provide any reasons suggests that the decision is, in fact, arbitrary”.
8. You had the duty to investigate the “Emergency Application” and do so with due diligence. You and J. Busching waited until Panel A’s term had expired to make my request for grand jury reinstatement moot and pretend that was the only issue. You committed bad faith and dereliction of duty.
9. You referred the “Emergency Application” to Judge Busching although he had every interest in not incriminating himself or causing ADAs, NYPD officers and detectives, and grand jurors to incriminate him if he faulted any of them. Self-servingly and inexcusably, you disregarded the millennial principle of judicial process “nobody can be a fair and impartial judge in his own cause”.
10. If you investigated the “evidence” and the transcripts of the proceedings before the grand jury and Judge Busching referred to in the “Application”, you knew how incriminating they were. That knowledge should have prevented you from referring it to him. If you failed to perform that investigation, you engaged in willful blindness and ignorance. Applying the principle, “People are deemed to intend the foreseeable consequences of their acts”, you complicitly intended a cover-up.
11. You, ADAs, witnesses, jurors, and Judge Busching have inflicted injury in fact on the two people referred to in ¶5 above; others similarly accused and incarcerated before and since then; and me. There is precedent that you have made applicable to you: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.*[‡] that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.
12. Hence, I respectfully request that you disqualify them and recuse yourself from any involvement in the “Emergency Application” and refer it, the “evidence”, and the transcripts to Chief Judge Janet DiFiori; Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence Marks; NYC Administrative Judge Deborah Kaplan; NYPD IAB Chief David Barrere; and Inspectors General Sherrill Spatz and Carol Hamm.

cc: Judge Laurence Busching

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

May 28, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207
tel.: (518)455-7700

Chief Administrative Judge
Lawrence K. Marks
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle St., Albany, NY 12207
tel.: (212)428-2120;
ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov

Deputy Chief Administrative
Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Supreme Court, New York
County, Civil Term
60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007
tel.: (646)386-5567

Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014
tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org,
IABCmdCntr@nypd.org

Sherrill Spatz, Esq.
Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
IG@nycourts.gov

Carol M. Hamm, Esq.
Deputy Inspector General

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.*

The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that a person who discloses such information, except under court order, is subject to serious penalties, including imprisonment. Therefore, I respectfully request that you contact Judge Yearwood and ask that he release to you a copy of my Application so that you may promptly start your joint and several investigation of it given that each of you is an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon” such information.

Indeed, I have a legal and ethical duty to bring this information to your attention. That duty flows from NY Rules Of [Professional Conduct](#) (22 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 1200), which provides thus:

Rule. 8.3. REPORTING PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT(a). A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer shall report such knowledge to a tribunal or other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation.

Conversely, Judge Yearwood has a duty under the Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge PART 100. [Judicial Conduct](#), (C) Administrative Responsibilities.(1) to “diligently discharge the judge's administrative responsibilities without bias or prejudice” to protect fellow judges, staff, and others, and “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business.”

I also have a civic duty to report this information to you. That duty has been repeatedly declared and instilled in the citizenry in the guiding principle of civic conduct, “If you see something, say something”. I did see something...and then some...so I am saying it to you.

After you request from Judge Yearwood my Application and I receive from you an order to discuss it with you in person in NYC or by video conference, I will comply. I look forward to your acknowledgment of receipt of this letter and your statement of what you intend to do about it.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

*

[(718)618-3000]

Greg Johnson, ACE [Acting Bureau Chief]
Doc Liaison

Lamar Decasseres, EMC [Bureau Chief]
Trial Support
[of Supreme Court,
Bronx County Criminal Term]



CORNELL
1950 LAFAYETTE AVE
BRONX, NY 10473-9998
(800)275-8777

05/28/2022 03:34 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

First-Class Mail® Letter	1		\$0.58
New York, NY 10014 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022			

First-Class Mail® Letter	1		\$0.58
Albany, NY 12207 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022			

First-Class Mail® Letter	1		\$0.58
Albany, NY 12207 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022			

First-Class Mail® Letter	1		\$0.58
New York, NY 10007 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022			

First-Class Mail® Letter	1		\$0.58
New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022			

First-Class Mail® Letter	1		\$0.58
New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022			

Grand Total: \$3.48

Credit Card Remitted \$3.48

Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX9750
Approval #: 06340P
Transaction #: 318
AID: A0000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Every household in the U.S. is now eligible to receive a third set of 8 free test kits.
Go to www.covidtests.gov

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350923-0113
Receipt #: 840-51000107-4-7346245-2
Clerk: 3



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

05/27/2022 05:59 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

First-Class Mail® Large Envelope	1		\$1.56
Bronx, NY 10451 Weight: 0 lb 2.60 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022			

Grand Total: \$1.56

Credit Card Remitted \$1.56

Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX2499
Approval #: 05007P
Transaction #: 856
AID: A0000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Every household in the U.S. is now eligible to receive a third set of 8 free test kits.
Go to www.covidtests.gov

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-51000087-1-5405554-2
Clerk: 77

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

June 18, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207
tel.: (518)455-7700

Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks
NYS Unified Court System
25 Beaver St., NY, NY 10004
question@nycourts.gov; tel. (212)428-2120; ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov

Deputy Chief Administrative Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Supreme Court, New York County, Civil Term
60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007
tel.: (646)386-5567

Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014
tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org,
IABCmdCntr@nypd.org

Sherrill Spatz, Esq. Carol M. Hamm, Esq.
Inspector General Deputy Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
IG@nycourts.gov

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my May 28 letter, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your official duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have received no letter acknowledging your receipt of mine. Yet, that information concerns criminal matters. It is so sensitive that the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. That should have alerted you to the need to act “efficiently and effectively” because you have a duty to “diligently discharge [your] responsibilities” and Judge Yearwood has a duty to “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. Moreover, you have the means of calling him and meeting virtually with him and others in a teleconference, as follows from Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks’ [2021 Annual Report](#) on the NYS UCS. There he highlights that “we adopted and then mastered virtual technology to...ensure access to justice in the broadest range of cases”.

Chief DiFiore’s [Excellence Initiative](#) can only succeed if it promotes people’s trust in officers with the integrity needed to deliver the “level of justice services people have a right to expect and deserve”. That trust has been so battered as to spark the movement against police brutality and for defunding the police. The information at stake can so outrage people as to cause them to extend their distrust to prosecutors and judges. The suspicion that inaction is the result of a cover-up among the three branches will exacerbate such outrage. If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their individual and official capacities can be sued and held liable.

Thus, I respectfully request that you inform me of the action that you have taken and intend to take concerning the information at stake. Conversely, I inform you that I am asking political leaders to do likewise by contacting you. Motivated by either principles or opportunism, whether to protect their constituents, in general, and those already, and yet to be, victimized, in particular, or to further their own careers, they may cause you to “diligently discharge [your] responsibilities”.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

June 24, 2022

c/o: Mr. Frank Carone, Chief of Staff
NYC Mayor Eric L. Adams
City Hall
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212) 639-9675

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa Gibson, Diana Ayala, Eric Dinowitz, Kevin C. Riley, Marjorie Velázquez, Pierina Ana Sanchez, Oswald Feliz, Althea Stevens, Rafael Salamanca, Jr., Amanda Farías; Bronx Advocate Socrates Solano; and NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams

Dear Mayor Adams, Mr. Carone, and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement titled “Emergency Application” and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.* Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in investigating one’s colleagues and friends, and thus incriminating oneself, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder[‡]. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, Judge Yearwood has a duty to “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. You are an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Therefore, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation separately or jointly with other Bronx district leaders. The onus to investigate is now on you.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “diligently” and “efficiently and effectively” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that of September 28, 2021, titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held by themselves and friends unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all officers, including you, will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Mayor_&Bronx_political_leaders.pdf