

10 June 2025

A complaint about indictments fabricated with insufficient and false evidence and their cover-up by prosecutors, police, judges, and the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct, who evade investigating each other due to their conflict of interests: if one falls, he/she can take down all the others; a most opportune occasion to expose them

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq., Chair
NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct
c/o: Belluck Law, LLP, 546 5th Avenue, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10036; jbelluck@bellucklaw.com
tel. (877)412-7449; (646)783-1210

Chief Judge Rowan D. Wilson
New York Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207
...tel. (518)455-7700

Dear Mr. Belluck, fellow commissioners, CJ Wilson, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,[‡]

A. Pattern of preposterous pretense and unresponsiveness to avoid investigating judges

1. I am in receipt of the latest of 11 letters([pages 3-4 infra](#)[‡]) from the Commission on Judicial Conduct(CJC). They bear different “Re: File No.” and dates although they concern the same complaint. Thus, CJC pretends that they are unrelated; conceals the date when its continuous cover-up started; and misrepresents my complaint as belated even though I filed it contemporaneously with the events:
2. I was a grand juror at the Bronx County Supreme Court Criminal Term, 265 E. 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700. On May 23 and 24, 2022, I saw ADA Burim Namani, his supervisor, ADA Diana Jetta, and five NYPD officers and detectives present an indictment of two men for murder that had allegedly occurred on or around May 24, 2021, in a street in Bronx, NY City.
 - a. The evidence presented did not include any photos or footage of the victim; the street crime scene; police, ambulance, medical examiner, or CSI cars, or incident or autopsy report.
 - b. Only one witness was examined. He testified that the alleged victim was his friend but that he was not with his friend at the time of his alleged murder and did not see it happen.
 - c. The footage presented was from neighboring restaurants and bodegas, had no sound, and only showed everyday indoors and sidewalk business or pedestrian scenes.
 - d. The presenters relied on the known grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment that lead to their voting indictments based even on insufficient and false evidence. This attitude has given rise to the saying, “A prosecutor can have a grand jury indict a ham sandwich”.
3. When given the opportunity to ask questions, I pointed up those facts. The ADAs referred me to Grand Jury Judge Laurence Busching, who summarily discharged me from the jury on 25 May ’22.
4. I stated these facts in a May 26, 2022, eight-page 4,743 words sworn statement -available upon request- and mailed it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood. His secretaries, Dana and Stephanie, acknowledged receipt of my statement, but Judge Yearwood would not take my calls to discuss it. He was remiss in his supervisory duty by referring it to Judge Busching, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussion or regard for the harm to the fabricated inditees and me, he dismissed it on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.([3↓A1](#))
5. Since May 28, 2022, I have [mailed](#) the complaint to three Court of Appeals chief judges; each of the associate judges; the NYC and NYS administrative judges as well as three NYPD commissioners; three chiefs of IAB; NYC Mayor Eric Adams, who is a former NYPD captain; the respective inspectors general; council members; public advocates and defenders; et al.; cf. [OL3:1518](#), [1561](#).^{*}

Go to

https://iapps.courts.state.ny.us/judicialdirectory/Bio?judge_id=JWmt7j6V0NhEROZrFby...

ari - Sulle... Log In < Judicial Dis... h AOL Rai Rai Rai y h h n b n tv P Other

MAIN MENU > HOME THE COURTS E-COURTS REPRESENTING YOURSELF THE LAW JURORS JUDGES LEGAL PROFESSION TOPICS A to Z MORE...

Search NYCourts.gov GO

NYCOURTS.GOV

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM

Judicial Directory

JUDGES HOME

[Judges of the Court of Appeals](#)

Judges of the Appellate Divisions:

- [First Dept.](#)
- [Second Dept.](#)
- [Third Dept.](#)
- [Fourth Dept.](#)

[Judges of the Trial Courts](#)

[Administrative Directory of Executive Officers, Administrative Judges, & Supervising Judges](#)

JUDGES OF THE TRIAL COURTS

Hon. Laurence E. Busching



Criminal Court of the City of New York, Bronx County
265 East 161st Street
Bronx, NY 10451
(718) 618-2532

Judicial Offices

Acting Supreme Court Justice, Criminal Court of the City of New York, Bronx County, Appointed by Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks, 2019 to Present

Judge, Criminal Court of the City of New York, New York County, Appointed by Mayor Michael Bloomberg, 2013 to Present

Other Professional Experience

Judicial Directory: Judge Bio

https://iapps.courts.state.ny.us/judicialdirectory/Bio?judge_id=wOGXrqs7IIQBeg2eNx...

ri - Sulle... Log In < Judicial Dis... h AOL Rai Rai Rai y h h n b n tv P Other

MAIN MENU > HOME THE CDURTS E-COURTS REPRESENTING YOURSELF THE LAW JURORS JUDGES LEGAL PROFESSION TOPICS A to Z MORE...

Search NYCourts.gov GO

NYCOURTS.GOV

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM

Judicial Directory

JUDGES HOME

[Judges of the Court of Appeals](#)

Judges of the Appellate Divisions:

- [First Dept.](#)
- [Second Dept.](#)
- [Third Dept.](#)
- [Fourth Dept.](#)

[Judges of the Trial Courts](#)

[Administrative Directory of Executive Officers, Administrative Judges, & Supervising Judges](#)

JUDGES OF THE TRIAL COURTS

Hon. Alvin M. Yearwood



Supreme Court, Bronx County
851 Grand Concourse
Bronx, NY 11201
(718) 618-3788

Judicial Offices

Acting Supreme Court Justice, Supreme Court, Bronx County, Appointed by Mayor, Bill deBlasio, 2018 to 2029

Judge, Criminal Court of the City of New York, Appointed by Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg, 2003 to 2005, Re-appointed 2006 to 2008, 2009 to 2018

Other Professional Experience

a. I have emailed my complaint daily to more than 30 officers, as shown by each email's "To:" and "cc:" boxes, so that as of May 30, 2025, I had sent well over 15,000 emails.

b. See my complaints to the NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau, which has disregarded them:

1) 2022-03787	4) 2022-15601	7) 2024-07652
2) 2022-13831	5) 2022-19474	8) 2024-25697
3) 2022-15482	6) 2023-00275	9) 2025-02823

10) Nor did I receive any response after my complaint to Jonathan Darche, Esq., Executive Director of the Civilian Complaint Review Board(CCRB), of February 10, 2023(OL3:1561), was forwarded to IAB by his Director of Case Management, Eshwarie Mahadeo, who alleged CCRB's lack of jurisdiction, as stated in her/his email to me of August 2, 2024, in CCRB Case #202407652.(OL3:1701a*)

6. On September 23, 2022, CJC received my complaint against Judges Busching and Yearwood. (3↓B1) The NYS Unified Court System(UCS) identifies both on its website as UCS members(2↓).

7. Yet, beginning with the letter by CJC Senior Administrative Assistant Lee Kiklier of November 3, 2022(3↓B1), subsequently reaffirmed by Clerk Celia Zahner and Administrative Assistant Debra Douglas, CJC has informed me that it dismissed my complaint on the preposterous pretense that:

Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint.

8. I have not received a single response addressing the complaint's merits. That identical no-response for years from so many people duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice is not coincidental. Rather, it is the basis for probable cause to believe that prosecutors, judges, NYPD, IAB, the Mayor, and CJC are involved in a cover up of the fabricators of, and accessories to, indictments on insufficient and false evidence. They pursue in coordination through a pattern of corrupt enterprise and racketeering acts the common purpose of lending mutual assistance in evading any investigation.

9. This continuous cover-up harms me and thousands of fabricated inditees who have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial, and financially, emotionally, and reputationally devastated.

a. "About Belluck Law" states: "We are motivated by justice and driven by compassion."

b. But CJC Chair Belluck and the rest of the CJC commissioners and staffers have proved that to be a mere slogan. The teachings of the Bible in John 3:16-18 and James 2:14-26 denounce the chasm between those words and their conduct: "Love without acts is dead".

10. **Requested action:** In its 11th letter to me(4↓C4), CJC informs me that "it reviews all complaints and decides whether to inquire into them". Therefore, I respectfully request that:

a. just as a suspect has the right to testify before a grand jury, I, who was discharged from one arbitrarily and capriciously in violation of my rights, be allowed at CJC's next meeting to testify on behalf of fabricated inditees and myself. I offer to make a preliminary presentation to you and your guests in person, if here in NYC; otherwise, via video conference; and







b. a public investigation by an impartial party be conducted before the commissioners again rubberstamp with no "review", as they have since my first letter, the denial of due process to the inditees and me, and its cover-up, and manifest again their lovelessness toward us.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" You may trigger history and enter it

as a Champion of Justice.

Sincerely, /s/ Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

A	B	C
<p>1.</p> <div data-bbox="136 77 226 212"> <p>Supreme Court of the State of New York</p> <p>CHAMBERS BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE 200 EAST 181ST STREET BRONX, NEW YORK 10451</p> <p>LAURENCE E. BUSCHING JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT</p> </div> <p>June 29, 2022</p> <p>Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Blvd. Bronx, NY 10472</p> <p>Dear Dr. Cordero:</p> <p>Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.</p> <p>Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.</p> <p>Very truly yours, <i>Laurence E. Busching</i> Laurence E. Busching, AJSC</p>	<p>5 NOV 22</p> <div data-bbox="1003 115 1066 180"> <p>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</p> <p>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</p> <p>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>November 3, 2022</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1213 228 1325 431"> <p>ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL</p> <p>MARK LEVINE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR</p> <p>BRENDA CORREA JENNIFER L. LOWRY PRINCIPAL ATTORNEYS</p> <p>MELISSA DIPALO VICKIE MA ERIC ARNONE SENIOR ATTORNEYS</p> <p>KELVIN S. DAVIS STELLA E. GILLILAND ADAM B. KAHAN STAFF ATTORNEYS</p> <p>ALAN W. FRIEDBERG SPECIAL COUNSEL</p> </div> <p>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO JODIE CORNGOLD HON. JOHN A. FALK HON. ROBERT J. MILLER MARVIN RAY RASKIN RONALD J. ROSENBERG GRAHAM B. SEITZ AKOSUA GARCIA YEOHAI MEMBERS</p> <p>CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</p> <p>Mr. Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Blvd. Bronx, New York 10472-6506</p> <p>2022/N-0184 Re: <u>2022/N-1084</u></p> <p>Dear Mr. Cordero:</p> <p>This is to acknowledge receipt of your complaint received September 23, 2022.</p> <p>Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint.</p> <p>By law the Commission cannot offer legal advice and cannot represent litigants.</p> <p>For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.</p> <p>Very truly yours, <i>Lee Kiklier</i> Lee Kiklier Senior Administrative Assistant</p> <p>Encl.</p>	<p>13 Jan 23</p> <div data-bbox="1665 94 1738 164"> <p>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</p> <p>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</p> <p>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>January 10, 2023</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1885 224 1997 245"> <p>ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL</p> </div> <p>Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Boulevard Bronx, New York 10472-6506</p> <p>2022/N-0184 Re: <u>File No. 2022/N-1084</u></p> <p>Dear Mr. Cordero:</p> <p>The State Commission on Judicial Conduct has reviewed your letter of complaint dated September 22, 2022. The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint.</p> <p>The Commission's jurisdiction is limited to misconduct by judges of the New York State unified court system.</p> <p>Very truly yours, <i>CELIA A. ZAHNER</i> Celia A. Zahner Clerk of the Commission</p> <p>CAZ/ja</p>
<p>2.</p> <p>23 Feb 23</p> <div data-bbox="346 906 409 971"> <p>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</p> <p>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</p> <p>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>February 17, 2023</p> </div> <div data-bbox="136 1019 247 1133"> <p>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO JODIE CORNGOLD HON. JOHN A. FALK HON. ROBERT J. MILLER MARVIN RAY RASKIN RONALD J. ROSENBERG GRAHAM B. SEITZ AKOSUA GARCIA YEOHAI MEMBERS</p> <p>CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</p> </div> <p>Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Boulevard Bronx, New York 10472-6506</p> <p>2022/N-1259, 1260 Re: <u>File Nos. 2022/N-1259, 1260</u></p> <p>Dear Mr. Cordero:</p> <p>The State Commission on Judicial Conduct has reviewed your letter of complaint dated November 7, 2022. The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint.</p> <p>Upon careful consideration, the Commission concluded that there was insufficient indication of judicial misconduct to justify judicial discipline.</p> <p>Very truly yours, <i>CELIA A. ZAHNER</i> Celia A. Zahner Clerk of the Commission</p> <p>CAZ/ja</p>	<p>19 July 23</p> <div data-bbox="1003 878 1066 943"> <p>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</p> <p>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</p> <p>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>July 17, 2023</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1213 992 1325 1013"> <p>ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL</p> </div> <p>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO HON. JOHN A. FALK HON. ROBERT J. MILLER MARVIN RAY RASKIN RONALD J. ROSENBERG GRAHAM B. SEITZ HON. ANIL C. SINGH AKOSUA GARCIA YEOHAI MEMBERS</p> <p>CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</p> <p>Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Boulevard Bronx, New York 10472</p> <p>2022/N-1259, 1260 Re: <u>File Nos. 2022/N-1259, 1260</u></p> <p>Dear Dr. Cordero:</p> <p>The Commission has asked me to respond to your February 20, 2023 letter and your additional correspondence concerning the disposition of your complaint.</p> <p>The New York State Constitution and the Commission's governing statute (Judiciary Law §40 <i>et seq.</i>) do not provide for appeal or reconsideration of a decision by the Commission dismissing a complaint.</p> <p>The Commission carefully considered your complaint. Your recent correspondence and information you submitted do not contain information that would change the Commission's decision dismissing your earlier complaint. The Commission is not a court of law and does not have the authority to intervene in a case or review the merits of a judge's rulings.</p> <p>Very truly yours, <i>CELIA A. ZAHNER</i> Celia A. Zahner Clerk of the Commission</p>	<p>2 mar 24</p> <div data-bbox="1654 894 1717 959"> <p>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</p> <p>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</p> <p>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</p> <p>CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p>February 26, 2024</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1854 1000 1965 1179"> <p>ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL</p> <p>MARK LEVINE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR</p> <p>BRENDA CORREA JENNIFER L. LOWRY MELISSA DIPALO PRINCIPAL ATTORNEYS</p> <p>VICKIE MA ERIC ARNONE SENIOR ATTORNEYS</p> <p>KELVIN S. DAVIS STELLA E. GILLILAND ADAM B. KAHAN STAFF ATTORNEYS</p> <p>ALAN W. FRIEDBERG SPECIAL COUNSEL</p> </div> <p>Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Blvd. Bronx, NY 10472-6506</p> <p>2024/N-0197-99 Re: <u>File No. 2024/N-0197-99</u></p> <p>Dear Dr. Cordero:</p> <p>The New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct acknowledges receipt of your complaint dated January 24, 2024.</p> <p>The Commission reviews all complaints and decides whether or not to inquire into them. We will communicate with you again after it has reviewed the matter and rendered a disposition.</p> <p>For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.</p> <p>Very truly yours, <i>Debrah-Ann Douglas</i> Debrah-Ann Douglas Administrative Assistant</p> <p>Enclosure</p>

A	B	C
<div>3</div> <div>  <div> <div>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</div> <div> <div>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO BRIAN C. DOYLE HON. JOHN A. FALK HON. ROBERT J. MILLER NINA M. MOORE MARVIN RAY RASKIN GRAHAM B. SETTER HON. ANIL C. SINGH AKOSUA GARCIA YEBIOAH MEMBERS CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</div> <div> <div>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</div> <div>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</div> </div> <div> <div>CONFIDENTIAL</div> <div>April 11, 2024</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Blvd. Bronx, NY 10472-6506</div> <div>2024/N-0198-99</div> <div>Re: File No. 2024/N-0198-99</div> </div> <div>Dear Dr. Cordero:</div> <div> <div>This will acknowledge receipt of your additional information dated March 18, 2024.</div> <div>The information will be added to your complaint file.</div> </div> <div> <div>Very truly yours, <i>Stacy Warner</i> Stacy Warner Administrative Assistance</div> <div>CAZ/ja</div> </div> </div></div>	<div> <div>12 July 24</div> <div>  <div> <div>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</div> <div> <div>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO BRIAN C. DOYLE HON. JOHN A. FALK HON. ROBERT J. MILLER NINA M. MOORE MARVIN RAY RASKIN GRAHAM B. SETTER HON. ANIL C. SINGH AKOSUA GARCIA YEBIOAH MEMBERS CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</div> <div> <div>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</div> <div>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</div> </div> <div> <div>CONFIDENTIAL</div> <div>July 9, 2024</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Boulevard Bronx, New York 10472</div> <div>2024/N-0197-0199</div> <div>Re: File Nos. 2024/N-0197-0199</div> </div> <div>Dear Dr. Cordero:</div> <div> <div>The State Commission on Judicial Conduct has reviewed your letter of complaint dated January 24, 2024. The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint.</div> <div>Upon careful consideration, the Commission concluded that there was insufficient indication of judicial misconduct to justify judicial discipline.</div> </div> <div> <div>Very truly yours, <i>ALSC</i> Celia A. Zahner Clerk of the Commission</div> <div>CAZ/ja</div> </div> </div></div></div>	<div> <div>February 10, 2025</div> <div>  <div> <div>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</div> <div> <div>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO STEFANO CAMBARERI BRIAN C. DOYLE HON. JOHN A. FALK HON. ROBERT J. MILLER NINA M. MOORE MARVIN RAY RASKIN HON. ANIL C. SINGH AKOSUA GARCIA YEBIOAH MEMBERS CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</div> <div> <div>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</div> <div>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</div> </div> <div> <div>CONFIDENTIAL</div> <div>February 10, 2025</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Blvd. Bronx, NY 10472</div> <div>2025/N-0116</div> <div>Re: File No. 2025/N-0116</div> </div> <div>Dear Mr. Cordero:</div> <div> <div>This is to acknowledge receipt of your complaint received January 7, 2025.</div> <div>Your letter will be presented to the Commission. However, please note that the subject of your complaint is not a judge or justice of the Unified Court System, and is therefore not within our jurisdiction.</div> <div>For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.</div> </div> <div> <div>Very truly yours, <i>Lee Kiklier</i> Lee Kiklier Senior Administrative Assistant</div> <div>Enclosure</div> </div> </div></div></div>
<div> <div>21 April 2025</div> <div>  <div> <div>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</div> <div> <div>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO STEFANO CAMBARERI BRIAN C. DOYLE HON. JOHN A. FALK ROBIN CHAPPELLE GOLSTON HON. ROBERT J. MILLER NINA M. MOORE HON. PETER H. MOULTON MARVIN RAY RASKIN MEMBERS CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</div> <div> <div>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</div> <div>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</div> </div> <div> <div>CONFIDENTIAL</div> <div>April 18, 2025</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Boulevard Bronx, New York 10472</div> <div>2025/N-0116</div> <div>Re: File No. 2025/N-0116</div> </div> <div>Dear Mr. Cordero:</div> <div> <div>The State Commission on Judicial Conduct has reviewed your letter of complaint dated January 7, 2025 and your additional correspondence. The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint.</div> <div>The Commission's jurisdiction is limited to misconduct by judges of the New York State unified court system and does not extend to federal judges or to non-judicial personnel.</div> </div> <div> <div>Very truly yours, <i>ALSC</i> Celia A. Zahner Clerk of the Commission</div> <div>CAZ/ja</div> </div> </div></div></div>	<div> <div>25 April 25</div> <div>  <div> <div>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</div> <div> <div>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO STEFANO CAMBARERI BRIAN C. DOYLE HON. JOHN A. FALK ROBIN CHAPPELLE GOLSTON HON. ROBERT J. MILLER NINA M. MOORE HON. PETER H. MOULTON MARVIN RAY RASKIN MEMBERS CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</div> <div> <div>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</div> <div>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</div> </div> <div> <div>CONFIDENTIAL</div> <div>April 22, 2025</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>Richard Cordero Esq. 2165 Bruckner Boulevard Bronx, NY 10472</div> <div>2025/N-0460</div> <div>Re: File No. 2025/N-0460</div> </div> <div>Dear Mr. Cordero:</div> <div> <div>This is to acknowledge receipt of your complaint dated March 15, 2025.</div> <div>Your letter will be presented to the Commission. However, please note that the subject of your complaint is not a judge or justice of the Unified Court System, and is therefore not within our jurisdiction.</div> <div>For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.</div> </div> <div> <div>Very truly yours, <i>Lee Kiklier</i> Lee Kiklier Senior Administrative Assistant</div> <div>Enclosure</div> </div> </div></div></div>	<div> <div>17 May 25</div> <div>  <div> <div>NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT</div> <div> <div>JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO STEFANO CAMBARERI BRIAN C. DOYLE HON. JOHN A. FALK ROBIN CHAPPELLE GOLSTON HON. ROBERT J. MILLER NINA M. MOORE HON. PETER H. MOULTON MARVIN RAY RASKIN MEMBERS CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK</div> <div> <div>61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006</div> <div>646-386-4800 518-299-1757 TELEPHONE FACSIMILE www.cjc.ny.gov</div> </div> <div> <div>CONFIDENTIAL</div> <div>May 14, 2025</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. 2165 Bruckner Blvd. Bronx, NY 10472-6506</div> <div>2025/N-0538-41</div> <div>Re: File No. 2025/N-0538-41</div> </div> <div>Dear Mr. Cordero:</div> <div> <div>The New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct acknowledges receipt of your complaint dated May 13, 2025.</div> <div>The Commission reviews all complaints and decides whether or not to inquire into them. We will communicate with you again after it has reviewed the matter and rendered a disposition.</div> <div>For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.</div> </div> <div> <div>Very truly yours, <i>Debrah-Ann Douglas</i> Debrah-Ann Douglas Administrative Assistant</div> <div>Enclosure</div> </div> </div></div></div>

B. A most opportune occasion to expose the coordinated fabrication of indictments and its cover-up: when Luigi Mangione is tried

11. The public has become familiar with a descriptive triptych of words used by Luigi Mangione after he killed United Healthcare CEO Brian Thompson on December 4, 2024, in a street of Manhattan, NY City: the healthcare insurers' abusive claims evasive tactics, to wit, "delay, deny, defend".
12. Mangione expressed through that word triptych and his violent, criminal act his frustration with United: His mother had died because her illness had gone untreated as a result of United delaying and denying her claim for insurance coverage of her treatment. In fact, it has been stated that United Healthcare, the largest healthcare insurer in the U.S., denies an [estimated one third](#) of the claims of its insureds. Most of them lack the physical and emotional stamina and knowledge needed to challenge a denial through not one, but rather five levels of administrative and judicial appeals!
13. The public reaction to Mangione's act has been, not of condemnation, but rather of approval. As reported by a multitude of media outlets, he has been hailed as a folk hero because his experience with United is representative of that of scores of millions of people across the country: They too have been abused by their insurers' claim evasive "delay, deny, defend" tactics.
14. Those who already have been abused by their healthcare insurers with the complicity of Medicare and those who now know why they should distrust and be afraid of the insurers, may consider that Mangione has heroically sacrificed his liberty, even risked his life, to expose the insurers' abusive tactics and launch a reformatory process that forces transformative change upon the insurers.
15. The nationwide public has also taken action, donating an enormous amount of money for the legal defense of its hero; see [Donations To Luigi Mangione's Legal Defense Fund Surpass \\$1M](#); Ryan Grenoble; HuffPost Latest News; HuffPost; 6 May 2025.
16. It is reasonable to expect the trial of Mangione to attract the public and be reported on by the national and international media. They will gather for days or even weeks in both the courtroom and the front of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of NY in lower Manhattan, NY City.
17. Their gathering will offer a most opportune occasion for exposing fabricated indictments and those who cover them up. I will take advantage of it by distributing to journalists and the public an expository handout and inviting everybody to join or support a class action and report on it.
18. The public and the media are likely to pay attention because I will begin by informing them that precisely in that court, I am prosecuting a case akin to Mangione's, for it is intended to expose how Medicare and its healthcare insurers wear down their insureds with "delay, deny, defend" tactics:

Cordero v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, Medicare, EmblemHealth
[healthcare insurer], *Maximus Federal Services* [reviewer of insurance claims denials],
et al. [including many of the top officers of the Medicare Appeals Council
and the Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA)];
docket no. [24-cv-09778-JAV](#); filed in U.S. District Court, SDNY, on 16 December 2024[◇]

19. You can benefit from [my motion](#) in that case to have the decision of the district judge reviewed by her fellow district judges. It has a solid foundation in Cornell Law Professor Maggie Gardner's article [District Court en bancs](#). I furnish additional foundation and explain its broad applicability.
20. I invite journalists and the public now and will do so during Mangione's trial to visit my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. There I post some of [my articles](#), similar to this one, the product of my professional law and business research and writing, and strategic thinking. They

[◇] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/24-12-15DrRCordero-v-Medicare_EmblemHealth_et_al.pdf

have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of 8 July 2025, the number of visitors who had become subscribers was [57,125](#). Visit my site and evaluate its articles.

21. You are welcome to review my three-volume study of abuse of power, titled and downloadable:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting** * † ♣

22. What is more, I encourage everybody now and will continue to do so during the trial to promote and participate in [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#). They are to:

- a. be held at university auditoriums and media stations;
- b. have professors, journalists, lawyers, experts, and graduate students as their moderators;
- c. afford people wherever they are the opportunity to tell in five minutes nationwide in person or through video conference [the story](#) of the abuse that they have suffered or witnessed;
- d. present their findings in the first *Annual Report on Abuse of Power in America* at the first-ever national conference on abuse of power simultaneously held at several university and media venues before live and Internet audiences; and subsequently through a tour of [presentations \(§G\)](#) at universities, media stations, public interest entities, think tanks; etc.;
- e. prompt the creation of the [for-profit Institute for Advocacy of Public Accountability](#), attached to a top school or news network, that will [research](#), teach, publish, consult, litigate, lobby, etc.;
- f. launch the implementation of the [plan of action](#) to develop a *Me-Too!*-like national movement for abuse of power exposure intended to turn academe and the media into a new joint powerhouse of American governance strong enough to bring about transformative change in the exercise of power emanating from, and to be used for the benefit of, *We the People*.

23. This plan is realistic given the Trump administration's executive orders and the vigorous pushback by Harvard, big law firms, and a growing segment of the public. To them must be added principled and opportunistic politicians up for reelection or vying to be elected and facing with trepidation or great expectation the inexorably approaching primaries and mid-term elections.

24. Do you feel confident that the administration will never resort to fabricated indictments to incarcerate you if you say or do what it does not like? More than [276 cases](#) have been filed against the administration's disregard of due process and lack of legislative authority in its detentions and deportations; tariffs; habeas corpus restrictions; right to counsel conditions; citizenship birthright stripping; Social Security and Medicare cuts; termination of government employees and programs; restrictions on university funding, foreign students enrollment, and visa processing; travel bans; etc.

25. Do you trust that if you fall sick or have an accident, your insurer will not evade your claims?

26. The systematic disregard of the rule of law; the interest of the media; and the public mood make this a most opportune time to expose through this strategic plan the pattern of racketeering and corrupt enterprise acts of fabricating indictments and committing "delay, deny, defend" tactics.

27. **Action requested:** Take advantage of this opportunity to protect and assert your and the public's rights: distribute this article, e.g., send it and its link[‡] to those on your emailing list; post it on social media; open each of my emails and click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**"; promote the citizens hearings; request a presentation by me in person or via video conference to you and your guests; etc.

28. I look forward to hearing from you. If you do not receive an acknowledgment of receipt from me within two days, please call me at (718)827-9521. On the interception of emails see [OL3:1735¶¶1, 9](#).

Users [Add User](#)

Really Simple Security

Thank you for being a long-time user! As a token of our gratitude, we want to offer you **6 months Really Simple Security Pro, 100% Free!**

Discover:

- Performant Firewall
- Advanced Hardening
- Two-Factor Authentication
- Security Headers
- And many more powerful Security features..

[Claim your 6 Free months](#)

[View Dashboard](#)

[Dismiss](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | [Administrator](#) | [Editor](#) | [Author](#) | [Contributor](#) | [Subscriber](#) | [Customer](#) | [Shop manager](#)

Bulk actions

[Apply](#)

Change role to...

[Change](#)

57,125 items

<<




<

1

of 2,857

>

>>

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts	WordPress.com account [?]
<input type="checkbox"/>	 0352133121693	—	phyllismalone1981@avi tamiruby.ru	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	 0698321913	—	riyctrpt@eblanomail.co m	Subscriber	0	
<input type="checkbox"/>	 0977542343799 29	—	heoetwtm@streetworm ail.com	Subscriber	0	

C. Service List: This letter was individualized for, and served on, the following public officers and entities: ♠

	NAME	ADDRESS	TEL_FAX	E_MAIL	WEBSITE
1.	Joseph W. Belluck, Esq., JC Chair	NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct c/o: Belluck Law, LLP 546 5th Ave, 5 th Floor New York, NY 10036	tel. (877)412-7449; tel. (646)783-1210	jbelluck@bellucklaw.com	
2.	Ms. Taa Grays, CJC Vice Chair	NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct c/o: MetLife Legal Affairs 200 Park Ave, 4 th Floor New York, NY 10166-0024	tel. (212)578-1143		
3.	CJC Clerk Celia A. Zahner	NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct 61 Broadway, Suite 1200 New York, NY 10006	tel. (646)386-4800	czahner@cjcnyc.gov , cjc@cjcnyc.gov , lkiklier@cjcnyc.gov ,	
4.	The Clerk of CJC	NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Empire State Plaza Corning Tower, Suite 2301 Albany, New York 12223	tel (518)453-4600; fax (518)299-1757		
5.	Judge Fernando M. Camacho, CJC Commissioner	Suffolk County Supreme Court 400 Carleton Avenue Central Islip, NY 1172	tel. (631)208-5728		https://iapps.courts.state.ny.us/judicialdirectory/Bio?judge_id=1ZULB7mXBcQO9ZClIEGh1w%3D%3D
6.	Stefano Cambareri, Esq., CJC Commissioner	Cambareri & Brenneck, PLLC 300 S State St, 1 st Floor Syracuse, NY 13202	tel. (315)424-8326	info@yourcriminaldefense.com	
7.	Brian C. Doyle, Esq., CJC Commissioner	Greenberg Traurig, PLL One Vanderbilt Av New York, NY 10017	cell (631)994-2406; tel. (631)994-2400	Brian.Doyle@gtlaw.com	
8.	Brian C. Doyle, Esq., CJC Commissioner	Greenberg Traurig, PLL 900 Stewart Avenue Garden City, NY 11530	tel. (516)629.9600	Brian.Doyle@gtlaw.com	
9.	Brian C. Doyle, Esq., CJC Commissioner	Greenberg Traurig, PLL 2317 Montauk Highway P.O. Box 3048 Bridgehampton, NY 11932	tel. (631)994-2400	Brian.Doyle@gtlaw.com	
10.	Judge John A. Falk, CJC Commissioner	Faraci Lange, LLP 1882 Winton Rd, Ste 1 Rochester, NY 14618	tel. (585)325-5150	jfalk@faraci.com	https://www.faraci.com/meet-your-team/john-a-falk/
11.	Ms. Robin Chappelle Golston, CJC Commissioner	Planned Parenthood Empire State Acts 69 State Street, Suite 800A Albany, NY 12207	tel. (518)436-8408	Mediainquiries@ppesacts.org	
12.	Judge Robert J. Miller, CJC	New York State Unified Court System	tel. (718)722-6324		

* Cf. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf>OL3:1518, 1561

	NAME	ADDRESS	TEL_FAX	E_MAIL	WEBSITE
	Commissioner	Appellate Division, Second Department 45 Monroe Place Brooklyn, NY 11201			
13.	Dr. Nina M. Moore, CJC Commissioner	Colgate University Political Science Dept., 119 Persson Hall 13 Oak Drive, Hamilton, NY 13346	tel. (315)228-7922	nmoore@colgate.edu	
14.	Judge Anil C. Singh, CJC Commissioner	c/o: NYS Unified Court System Appellate Division of the First Department 25 Beaver Street New York, NY 10004	tel. (800)268-7869	question@nycourts.gov	
15.	Marvin Ray Raskin, Esq., CJC Commissioner	944 Gerard Avenue Bronx, NY 10452-9404	tel. (718)293-2222; fax (718)293-2222		
16.	Judge Peter H. Moulton, CJC Commissioner	Appellate Division, First Department 27 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10010	tel. (212)340-0422		
17.	Chief Judge Rowan Wilson	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207	tel. (518)455-7700		https://nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm
18.	Hon. Shirley Troutman	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207	tel. (518)455-7700		
19.	Hon. Madeline Singas	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207	tel. (518)455-7700		
20.	Hon. Jenny Rivera	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207	tel. (518)455-7700		
21.	Hon. Michael J. Garcia	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207	tel. (518)455-7700		
22.	Hon. Anthony Cannataro	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207	tel. (518)455-7700		
23.	Hon. Caitlin J. Halligan	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207	tel. (518)455-7700		
24.	Lisa LeCours, Esq. Chief Clerk and Legal Counsel to the NYS Court of Appeals	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207	tel. (518)455-7700		
25.	Ms. Ann Byer, Secretary to the Court of Appeals	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street	tel. (518)455-7700; tel. (518)455-7811		

	NAME	ADDRESS	TEL_FAX	E_MAIL	WEBSITE
		Albany, New York 12207			
26.	Mayor Eric Adams	City Hall New York, NY 10007	tel. (212)639-9675		https://www1.nyc.gov/office-of-the-mayor/index.page
27.	Mr. Jumaane D. Williams	NYC Public Advocate 1 Centre Street, 15 th Floor New York, NY 10007	tel. (212)669-7200	gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov , reception@advocate.nyc.gov	https://www.pubadvocate.nyc.gov/contact/
28.	Ms. Vanessa L. Gibson President	The Office of The Bronx Borough 851 Grand Concourse, 3 rd Floor Bronx, New York 10451	tel: (718)590-3557; tel: (718)590-3500; tel: (718) 590-3554		https://bronxboropres.nyc.gov/contact/
29.	Councilwoman Amanda Fariás	NYC Council Majority Leader 778 Castle Hill Ave; Bronx, NY 10473	tel. (718)792-1140; fax (718)931-0235	District18@council.nyc.gov	https://council.nyc.gov/amanda-farias/
30.	Assemblywoman Karines Reyes	1973 Westchester Avenue Bronx, NY 10462	tel. (718)931-2620 fax: (718)931-2915		
31.	Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez	Co-op City Office 177 Dreiser Loop Bronx, NY 10475			
32.	Milton L. Williams, Jr., Esq., Chair	NYC Conflicts of Interest Board c/o: Walden Macht Haran & Williams 250 Vesey Street, 27 th Floor New York, NY 10281	tel. (212)335-2030 tel. (212)335 2963, fax (212) 335-2040	mwilliams@wmhwlaw.com , info@wmhwlaw.com , hammer@coib.nyc.gov	https://wmhwlaw.com/professionals/milton-l-williams/
33.	NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch	1 Police Plaza New York, NY 10038-1403	tel. (646)610-5551; fax (646)610-5865		https://www.nyc.gov/site/nypd/about/about-nypd/email-the-commissioner.page
34.	Bureau Chief Edward A. Thompson	NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau PO Box 10001 New York, NY 10014	tel. (212)741-8401	IAB@NYPD.org , iabcmdctr@nypd.org	https://www.nyc.gov/site/nypd/bureaus/investigative/internal-affairs.page
35.	IG Kay-Ann Porter Campbell	Office of Court Administration 26 Broadway, 10th floor New York, NY 10004	tel: (646)386-3500; (800)268-7869 fax (212)514-7158	question@nycourts.gov	https://ww2.nycourts.gov/admin/ig/index.shtml
36.	Dr. Mohammad Khalid, Chair Civilian Complaint Review Board 100 Church Street, 10th Floor New York, NY 10007	Dr. Mohammad Khalid, Chair Civilian Complaint Review Board 100 Church Street, 10th Floor New York, NY 10007	tel. (800)341-2272	CCRBED@ccrb.nyc.gov	https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/about/board/mohammad-khalid.page
37.	Advisory Committee on Judicial Ethics c/o Laura L. Smith, Chief Counsel	25 Beaver Street, room 829 New York, NY 10004	tel. (866)795-8343	part100@nycourts.gov	https://ww2.nycourts.gov/ip/acje/index.shtml
38.	Hon. Joseph A. Zayas NYS Chief Administrative Judge	NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, NY 12207	tel. (212)428-2120		https://ww2.nycourts.gov/Admin/directory.shtml
39.	Judge Norman St. George First Deputy Chief Administrative Judge	Supreme Court, Nassau County 100 Supreme Court Drive Mineola, NY 11501	Mineola (516)493-3020; NYC (212)428-2660		https://iapps.courts.state.ny.us/judicialdirectory/Bio?judge_id=n1JzJHtzFusgZF5nrwEVTg%3

	NAME	ADDRESS	TEL_FAX	E_MAIL	WEBSITE
					D%3D
40.	Hon. Edwina G. Richardson, Deputy Chief Administrative Judge for Justice Initiatives	Supreme Court, New York County 111 Centre Street New York, NY 10013	tel. (646)386-3200		
41.	Hon. Adam Silvera, Deputy Chief Administrative Judge for the New York City Courts	Supreme Court, New York County, Civil Term 111 Centre Street, 12th floor New York, NY 10013	tel. (646)386-4200		https://iapps.courts.state.ny.us/judicialdirectory/Bio?judge_id=T9owWGyutm21_PLUS_j8QB4dVpw%3D%3D
42.	Jocelyn E. Strauber, Esq. Commissioner Department of Investigation	NYC Department of Investigation 180 Maiden Lane, 16 th Floor New York, NY 10038	tel. (212)825-5900		https://www.nyc.gov/site/doi/about/bio-jocelyn-strauber.page
43.	Mr. Dominick Zarrella, Deputy Commissioner/Chief of Investigations	NYC Department of Investigation 180 Maiden Lane, 16 th Floor New York, NY 10038			
44.	Jeanene Barrett, Esq. Inspector General for the NYPD	Office of the IG for the NYPD NYC Department of Investigation 180 Maiden Lane, 16 th Floor New York, NY 10038	tel. (212)806-5200 tel. (212)825-5959 fax (212)825-2504		https://www.nyc.gov/site/doi/oignypd/web/jt.msg.2023.page https://www.nyc.gov/site/doi/report/report-corruption.page
45.	Ms. Marnie L. Blit, Executive Director	Commission to Combat Police Corruption 17 Battery Place, Suite 327 New York, NY 10004	tel. (212)806-5370		https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccpc/index.page
46.	Jabbar Collins, Esq.; Member of	Commission to Combat Police Corruption c/o: New Horizons Services, Limited 95 West Main Street, Suite 5302 Chester, NJ 07930			https://www.bizapedia.com/nj/horizon-research-services-ltd.html
47.	Randall W. Jackson, Esq., Member of	Commission to Combat Police Corruption c/o: Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz 51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019	tel. (212)403-1248, tel. (212)403-1000 fax (212)403-2000	RWJackson@wlrk.com	https://www.wlrk.com/attorney/rwjackson/ https://www.wlrk.com/contact/
48.	Benjamin E. Rosenberg , Member of	Commission to Combat Police Corruption c/o: Dechert LLP Three Bryant Park 1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-6797	tel. (212)698-3622, fax (212)698-3599	Benjamin.Rosenberg@dechert.com	https://www.dechert.com/people/r/benjamin-rosenberg.html
49.	Howard S. Master, Member of	Commission to Combat Police Corruption c/o: Nardello & Co. 1212 Ave of the Americas New York, NY 10036	tel. (212)537 5300	hmaster@nardelloandco.com ; tmacmillan@nardelloandco.com	https://nardelloandco.com/
50.	Mr. Anthony Finnell, President, and Florence Finkle, Esq., Vice President	National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement P.O. Box 20851 Indianapolis, IN 46220-0851	tel. (317)721-8133	info@nacole.org	https://nardelloandco.com/contact-us/

	NAME	ADDRESS	TEL_FAX	E_MAIL	WEBSITE
51.	Justice for the Wrongfully Incarcerated	P.O. Box 827 New York, NY 10029	tel. (646)685-4521	info@j4wi.org	https://www.j4wi.org/
52.	Michael Avery, Esq., President and author of <i>Police Misconduct: Law and Litigation</i>	National Lawyers Guild PO Box 1266 New York, NY 10009-8941	tel. (212)679-5100	president@nlg.org , vicepresident@nlg.org , officemanager@nlg.org , traci@nlg.org , communications@nlg.org	
53.		American Law Media (ALM & NYLJ)			https://www.alm.com/contact-us/
54.		Law.com			https://www.law.com/contact-us/
55.	Editor Adam LoBelia, Mr. Jack Karp and Katie Buehler, Scott Brooks	Access to Justice at Law360 Portfolio Media, Inc. 230 Park Avenue, 7th Floor New York, NY 10169	tel. (646)783-7100; fax (646)783-7161	accesstojustice@law360.com , customerservice@law360.com , adam.lobelia@lexisnexis.com , katherine.pohlman@lexisnexis.com	https://www.law360.com/about/contact
56.	Professor Maggie Gardner	Cornell Law School 233 Hughes Hall Ithaca, NY 14853-4901	tel. (607)255-5346	mgardner@cornell.edu , lyc8@cornell.edu	
57.	Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq.	Agnifilo Intrater, LLP 445 Park Avenue, 7th Floor New York, NY 10022-2629	tel. (646)298-3666	karen@agilawgroup.com , contact@agilawgroup.com	
58.	Marc Agnifilo, Esq.	Agnifilo Intrater, LLP 445 Park Avenue, 7th Floor New York, NY 10022-2629	tel. (646)298-3666	marc@agilawgroup.com	
59.	Jaehyun Oh, Esq.	Jacob Fuchsberg Law Firm 3 Park Avenue, 37 th Floor New York, NY 10016	tel. (212)869-3500; fax (212)398-1532	j.oh@fuchsberg.com	https://www.fuchsberg.com/attorneys/jaehyun-oh
60.	Ms. Erin Gaffney and executive officers	NY Lawyers for the Public Interest 151 West 30th Street, 11 th Floor New York, NY 10001-4017	tel. (212)244-4664, fax: (212)244-4570	egaffney@groupgordon.com	https://www.nylpi.org/contact/
61.					
62.					
63.					
64.					
65.					

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, Corderoric@yahoo.com, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,

June 9, 2025

Tips; newsanchor Tom Llamas; and reporters Aria Bendix, Jessica Herzberg; and Vicky Nguyen
NBC News, 30 Rockefeller Plaza, NY, NY 10112
tips@nbcuni.com, costofdenial@nbcuni.com

Steve Cohen, Esq.
[Pollock Cohen LLP](#)
111 Broadway, New York, NY 10006-0014
tel. (646)517-0542; scohen@pollockcohen.com

Dear Mr. Llamas, Mses. Nguyen, Herzberg, and Bendix, the Tips editor, and Mr. Cohen,[‡]

A. Abuse by insurers' ghost networks aggravated by pro-forma customer service

1. I watched with great interest your segment *Ghost networks leave patients struggling to find care*, which you ran on 5 June 2025. I have had the same experience with ghost networks. In addition, I, a healthcare insured and a lawyer, bring to your and your audience's attention an experience that few healthcare insureds have had the opportunity to gain:
2. They are sick, old, or disabled and lack the physical and emotional stamina and legal knowledge to go through the four statutory levels of administrative appeals within the Medicare system:
 - a. redetermination by the insurer;
 - b. reconsideration by a putative 'independent' reviewer of claim denials;
 - c. a fair hearing before an administrative law judge; and
 - d. an appeal to the Medicare Appeals Council...and on to
 - e. the fifth level of appeal to a federal district court, where my case is pending, i.e.:
Cordero v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, Medicare, EmblemHealth [a healthcare insurer], *Maximus Federal Services* [a reviewer of claim denials]; *et al.* [including many of the top decision- making and implementing officers of these entities]
docket no. [24-cv-09778-JAV](#); filed in U.S. District Court, SDNY, on 16 Dec. 2024
3. Indeed, I have appealed at five levels the abusive healthcare insurers' claim evasive tactics, to wit, "delay, deny, defend". That is the pithy triptych of words that has become so widely known since Luigi Mangione killed United Healthcare CEO Brian Thompson.
 - a. The appellees are giant healthcare insurer EmblemHealth, which has more than 3 million insureds in NY City and environs;
 - b. Maximus Federal Services, the allegedly 'independent' reviewer of claim denials, which is a major provider of services to the federal government, so, it has a conflict of interests; and
 - c. their accomplice in that government, Medicare, which condones their disregard of the law and supports their abuse with its own tactics. This is shown in the below description[‡] of the pro forma customer service that Medicare allows insurers to provide when their insureds call them directly, as opposed to when insureds, like Michelle and Guy Mazzola in your segment, call the names on their insurers' list of alleged in-network services providers.
4. When the insureds in your audience hear that description of pro forma, frustrating customer service, where I was transferred by one to the next of 19 *supervisors*, as if I were a disposable nuisance, not an insured in need, they will burst with the exclamation "that happened to *Me-Too!*"
 - a. They too will want to tell you their [story](#). The more of their stories you receive, the larger the pool of information to compare and analyze. More importantly, you will receive from insureds official decisions that insurers will never disclose due to medical confidentiality.

- b. By **auditing** their stories and official decisions with the assistance of IT/AI, you will be able to validate their stories and detect patterns, schemes, and trends of the insurers' claim denial abuse and estimate the high "cost of denial" for the insureds...and the savings for insurers.
- 5. Whether that exclamation is the beginning of a popular movement that forces the insurers and the politicians who protect them to fix the problem depends to a large extent on you, the segment reporters, the media, and **capable lawyers**, such as Steve Cohen, Esq., in your segment...and me too.
- 6. We can aim to have a significant practical impact on abuse of power, in general, and by healthcare insurers and Medicare, in particular, if you engage in the hereby proposed novel type of journalism:
- 7. Representative journalism. In it, journalists and the media leverage their mass communication skills and means in defense of large groups in their audience whose individual members are impotent to challenge at all or effectively their **big abusers**.
- 8. Representative journalists can form ad hoc teams of multidisciplinary experts to deal with all aspects of a **story**, e.g., its investigation, aggregation of plaintiffs or a class action, discovery, litigation, etc.
- 9. I bring to the table valuable means and contents of communication that can foster the development of representative journalism:
 - a. I have written **numerous articles**[‡], similar to this one, the product of my professional law and business **research** and writing, and strategic thinking.
 - b. I post some of my articles on my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of 14 June 2025, the number of visitors who had become subscribers was **56,848**. I encourage you to visit my site and evaluate its articles.
 - c. I have researched and written a three-volume study of abuse of power, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*†‡**

- 10. An important element of my proposed strategy to hold abusers of power accountable and liable is the promotion and conduct of **unprecedented citizens hearings**. They are to:
 - a. be held at university auditoriums and media stations;
 - b. have professors, journalists, lawyers, experts, and graduate students as their moderators;
 - c. afford people wherever they are the opportunity to tell in person and through video conference **the story** of the abuse that they have suffered or witnessed;
 - d. present their findings in the first *Annual Report on Abuse of Power in America* at the first-ever national conference on abuse of power simultaneously held at several university and media venues before live and Internet audiences; and subsequently through a tour of **presentations(§G)** at universities, media stations, public interest entities, think tanks; etc.;
 - e. the making of the documentary ***White Collar and Scrubs Predators***, intended to have as profound a resonance with the public and powerholders, and success at the box office as did Michael Moore's *Fahrenheit 9/11* and *Penguins*, which became the largest grossing documentaries of their respective time. This will be in line with the motto guiding the multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture pursued by representative journalists and their

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-NBC.Tips_PollockCohen.pdf

teams of experts, professors, and students, that is: *Making Money While Doing Justice*;

- f. prompt the creation of the [for-profit Institute for Advocacy of Public Accountability](#), attached to a top school or news network. It will conduct research on IT/AI-assisted statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of massive amounts of written and oral materials, including judicial and healthcare [decisions](#) and [statistics](#); medical and financial data and reports; investigative journalism; publishing; education in the classroom and through presentation tours; consulting; litigation; lobbying; marketing; fundraising and grant-making; etc.;
 - g. launch the implementation of the [plan of action](#) to develop a *Me-Too!*-like national movement for abuse of power exposure, accountability, and compensation intended to turn academe and the media into a new joint powerhouse of American governance that holds private and public officers and entities accountable for their acts and liable to compensation.
- 11. This strategy is realistic given the Trump administration's executive orders, Congress's abdication of its supervisory and control function, and the vigorous pushback by Harvard, big law firms, and a growing segment of the public. Their push can be strengthened by principled and opportunistic [politicians](#) up for reelection or vying to be elected and facing with trepidation or great expectation the inexorably approaching primaries and mid-term elections.
 - 12. Representative journalism and the citizens hearings can launch the development of the [media, academe](#) -especially schools of journalism, law, [business](#), and [IT/AI](#)- and [lawyers](#) and [law firms](#) into a center of activity strong enough to force exposure, accountability, and liability upon healthcare insurers, in particular, and abusers of power, in general.
 - 13. Their [joint effort](#) can bring about transformative change in the exercise of public and private power so that those who suffer its abuse are protected and able to assert their rights and interests. That is how a new powerhouse in American governance can emerge that closely represents the views, demands, and needs of *We the People*, the sovereign source of power in a democracy.

B. Indictments fabricated with insufficient and false evidence and their cover-up

- 14. I was a grand juror in Bronx County, NY City, Supreme Court Criminal Term when I saw ADA Burim Namani, his supervisor, ADA Diana Jetta, and five NYPD officers and detectives present an indictment of two men for murder that had allegedly occurred on or around May 24, 2021, in a Bronx street. When I asked questions pointing to the insufficient and false evidence, the ADAs referred me to Grand Jury Judge Laurence Busching, who summarily discharged me from the jury.
- 15. I stated these facts in a May 26, 2022, eight-page, 4,743-word sworn statement -available upon request- and mailed it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood. He referred it to J. Busching. The latter with no discussion or regard for the rights of, or injury in fact to, the fabricated indictees or me, dismissed it on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
- 16. My concrete and verifiable leads and documents[♦] will enable the exposure of the [more than 50](#) public officers involved in the cover-up, including all the judges of the NYS Court of Appeals, the Commission on Judicial Conduct, and three NYPD commissioners. Thousands of prisoners will petition for review of their indictments and thousands of fabricated indictees will sue for compensation. The scandal will engulf the state of NY and prompt similar investigations in other states.

C. Presentation offer: I offer to present to you and your guests my Medicare case, the indictment fabrication and its cover-up; and the strategy for abuse of power exposure that can lead to a scoop.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" You may
trigger history and even enter it.

Sincerely, /s/ Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

**D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** and its [business plan](#) to:

17. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣**

18. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§ A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited such a positive reaction that as of 15 June 2025, those who had become subscribers numbered [56,848](#)([Appendix 3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
- a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - a [research center](#) for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abus e of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;
19. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
20. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
21. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
22. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
23. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & earns Pulitzers*;
24. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.(¶57).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through

either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

E. Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

25. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set its terms and scheduling use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare shout "I accuse!"...You may trigger history and even enter it.

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-HonestJud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-

OL3:1821

*.../OL/....pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

16 June 2025

Dear NBC Producer Jessica Herzberg, colleagues, and advocates of an honest healthcare industry,

1. Thank you for acknowledging receipt of my healthcare insurers story in line with your Michelle and Guy Mazzola story on healthcare ghost networks. If the Mazzolas had sat back to wait and hope that a news outlet might pick up their story, would you have heard from them, never mind brought it to public attention and forced their insurer to take action? I cannot sit back and wait either.
2. My approach to you and your colleagues is not only on behalf of me. Rather, it concerns the 67.6 million insureds that are abused by Medicare in coordination with top members of the healthcare industry. Your attention to it can result in a scoop and validate again the saying, "Scandal sells".
3. Your Mazzola story confirms that people who are old, sick, disabled, and affected by chronic conditions do not have the luxury of proceeding leisurely to draw attention to their plight and receive approval of their claim on their insurers. Neither do news people who are aware of their competitors' nimbleness and perspicacity. See the sobering lesson to be learned from this report:

2 Ex-Timesmen Say They Had a Tip on Watergate First, Reporter Richard Pérez-Peña, who rightly remarked that "If [Mr. Phelps's] and Mr. Smith's accounts are correct, *The Times* missed a chance to get the jump on the greatest story in a generation"; NYT; 24may09; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero-NYTPubASulzberger_jun-jul9.pdf

4. Your Mazzola story can be seen as the first one intended to turn you, your colleagues, and NBC News into the news team that cultivated a following of tens of millions of healthcare insureds who are abused by insurers. Instead of being only the place where your current audience searches for information, you can become the team to whom a growing national audience goes to provide you with the most important story for anybody and their loved ones: the story of their poor health.
5. The quality of their lives is at stake. It may even be a matter of life and death. Their physical and emotional pain and suffering are constant and deteriorate further due to the insurers' greed, indifference, and selfish convenience. There is nothing that they want more than to tell their story. Everyone who tells his/her story attracts countless more who also want to tell theirs: *Me Too!*
6. But they need a loudspeaker, just as the Mazzolas did. You and your team are it. Your team can become, not the passive receiver of stories to be buried, but rather the center where an ever-growing number of stories make their shouts of condemnation and for help so loud and disturbing that politicians must take effective action against abusive insurers. Your team can become that rallying and activist center by holding the below proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS.
7. You can build a crescendo of shouts that find their paroxysm during the impending trial of Luigi Mangione in the U.S. District Court in lower Manhattan and his extreme denunciation of insurers' claim evasive "delay, deny, defend" tactics. My [lawsuit](#), pending in that court, sheds light on arrangements through which Medicare and its insurers connive to the detriment of insureds.
8. That trial is bound to attract national attention. You can be content with only being another reporter among hundreds or you can become the standard-bearer with the farthest-reaching loudspeaker by engaging in the below proposed REPRESENTATIVE JOURNALISM.
9. Through the citizens hearings, my lawsuit, and representative journalism you and your team can shout "*We accuse!*" so as to give rise to, and lead, a *Me-Too!*/No Kings-like movement of people for whom "*Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore*". So, I offer you and your guests to make a presentation thereon. Time is of the essence to proceed strategically.

16 June 2025

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. Thank you for your emails acknowledging receipt of my article and for your messages recorded on my answering machine.
2. I welcome all your comments and suggestions. That is especially the case when they point to concrete, realistic, and feasible action that we can take by joining forces to expose abuse of power by:
 - a. judges' participating in the fabrication of indictments based on false and insufficient evidence; and
 - b. Medicare and its healthcare insurers' coordinating their practice or condonation of claim evasive "delay, deny, defend" tactics.
3. I have developed a proposal for joining forces. Its salient points are the following:
 - a. the distribution of my articles, which have proven to appeal to readers so that as of 16 June 2025 those webvisitors to my website Judicial Discipline Reform who read them there were so positively impressed that the number of those who subscribed was 56,861;
 - b. the development of those subscribers and like-minded people into a civic, apolitical, non-denominational, inclusive, national movement that becomes so vocal and active as to cause principled and opportunistic politicians to endorse our work and use our evidence in the fast-approaching primary campaign for the next mid-term elections.;
 - c. the holding of the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS. They are aimed at convincing universities, such as Harvard, and media outlets, such as NBC, to hold them in their auditoriums and media stations, as described below;
 - d. a determined effort to join forces with entities that have shown their capacity and know-how to mobilize an outraged public, such as:
 - 1) the "Fifty protests. Fifty state. One movement" at <https://www.fiftyfifty.one/> and organizers@fiftyfifty.one, press@fiftyfifty.one; and
 - 2) the [No Kings Protest](#), one of whose key organizers is Ms. [Lisa Gilbert](#) of Public Citizen.
4. Politicians cannot arrest judges, just as they cannot arrest anybody else. Only law enforcement officers, such as district and U.S. attorneys, that is, prosecutors, can arrest people; and judges are the ones to sign off on arrest warrants. Before any arrest is executed, prosecutors need to investigate judges to find probable cause to believe that they have committed an offense. Thereafter they must present it to a grand jury and obtain an indictment.
 - a. But prosecutors are most reluctant to even investigate judges, for the moment other judges learn that one of their own is being investigated, they close ranks and retaliate. They:
 - 1) deny every motion from anybody in the prosecutor's office;
 - 2) cause court clerks to alter key dates on the docket;
 - 3) tissue evidentiary rulings that exclude the prosecutors' evidence and admit any evidence introduced by defendants; etc.
 - b. Prosecutors are elected officers. If they can show voters nothing but a string of cases

dismissed or lost, they know that they are doomed to be voted out of office for being ineffectual or incompetent. Their survival in office is their top priority.

5. Consequently, the proposed strategy is to proceed in a realistic and indirect way: We want as many people as possible to tell in person or via video conference a national public their story of abuse by judges, prosecutors, healthcare insurers, and other public officers who are derelict in their duty to supervise and control the conduct of the powerful. Those stories will reveal patterns, schemes, and trends of coordinated abuse of power among public officers.
6. The purpose is to let those stories inform and outrage the national public. Only an informed and outraged public can force politicians to take action against the abusers. The public is at its strongest when the politicians need its donations, volunteer work, and word of mouth support to run their election or reelection campaigns.
7. There is a lot of work to do. It begins with informing ourselves and strategizing. Therefore, I respectfully encourage you to read the sample below of articles that I have written in an effort to join forces with others. After you have read them, you will be in a much better position to comment on them and further our own effort to join forces. Download them through the following embedded links:
 - a. [Fabricated indictments](#):
 - b. [Healthcare insurers'](#) claim evasive "delay, deny, defend" tactics
 - c. [My pending case](#) in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York; docket no. 24-civ-09778-JAV

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse of power and quest for justice are.

Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking conducted at

Judicial Discipline Reform

DONATE

by making a deposit or an online transfer through
either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle

from your account

to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;
or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"

You may trigger history and even enter it as a Champion of Justice

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Blank

**Excerpt
from the complaint-appeal**

*Cordero v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, Medicare,
EmblemHealth [healthcare insurer],
Maximus Federal Services [reviewer of denials of insurance claims], et al.*
[including many of the top officers of the Medicare Appeals Council
and the Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA)];
docket no. 24-cv-9778-JAV;
filed in the U.S. District Court, SDNY,
on 16 December 2024

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/24-12-15DrRCordero-v-Medicare_EmblemHealth_et_al.pdf

See also the motion in that case for

a [*district*](#) court en banc

to review the decisions of Judge Jeannette A. Vargas (JAV)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/25-4-10DrRCordero_motion_for_en_banc.pdf

L. Delay, deny to wear down the insured and cause him to abandon his claim

88. Plaintiff's statements show that the conduct of Emblem's people when they pass an insured from one supervisor to the other and to the other and so on ([SDNY:125§3 above](#)), constitutes Emblem's institutionalized way of doing business: Those supervisors were not rogue employees; rather, they are the face and body of Emblem. They make up what Emblem is. They were executing Emblem's first abusive claim evasion tactic: "delay, delay, delay".

89. Their purpose is to drag out the claim for coverage for so long, raise so many obstacles, disrupt the insured's life so profoundly, and cause so much frustration, that he, sick, old, and financially exhausted, will be worn out. Then he will abandon his claim.

90. Their pattern of conduct started to manifest itself with the first level Emblem people in The Philippines that picked up the phone when Plaintiff called

Emblem's so-called Customer Service at (877)344-7364.

91. These phone picker uppers did not have the faintest idea how to answer Plaintiff's question about what to do with the crown that had fallen out of tooth # 19. Hence, they would put Plaintiff on hold every time he asked a question so that they could write an email to their supervisors to describe to them Plaintiff's question.
92. This means that the first level phone picker uppers did not have access to a floor supervisor or manager.
93. One clear reason for this is that many, if not all, phone picker uppers worked from home, not in a building that houses Emblem's offices in The Philippines.
94. It is in the self-interest of the phone picker uppers to make up all sorts of excuses not to put callers in direct contact with their supervisors: The more the phone picker uppers connect callers and supervisors directly to each other, the more they inevitably reveal that they do not have answers to the questions of yet another caller.
95. It is reasonable to infer from their work setup that such revelation would put their job with Emblem at risk, i.e., the job of the phone picker uppers because they have not learned enough to know the answers; and that of the supervisors because they have not taught them sufficiently well for them to figure out the answers based on the information that they have. This deficiency in critical thinking may be traced back to how the Philippine educational system in the grades educates children.

96. Critical thinking allows jurors to draw inferences from the facts known to them even before they become jurors, making them 'peers of the parties'; the verbal statements and body language of the parties at the tables and the witnesses on the stand; and the physical evidence introduced at trial.
97. No wonder it was so exasperating and time-consuming for Plaintiff to prevail upon phone picker uppers to stop emailing their supervisors and transfer his call to whomever was the supervisor at the time.
98. Soon Plaintiff realized that it was a total waste of time to speak with the first level Emblem Philippine people. Consequently, he would systematically ask to be transferred to a supervisor.
99. The supervisors did not know what to do either. So, they told Plaintiff that they would have to do some "research" to find out what to do.
100. The supervisors never mentioned that the "research" that they had to do was on anything other than Emblem's own advertisement and evidence of coverage.
101. The supervisors never mentioned that they had to do "research" on Medicare rules.
102. Nor did they mention anything about Medicaid, let alone about "Medicaid COB", for they did not know what "COB" meant. It means "Coordination of Benefits". Of course, they did not know with what Medicaid had to be coordinated, how, and to what extent.
103. The supervisors never mentioned anything remotely similar to the above-quoted (SDNY:142¶32 above) technical description, which includes even medical

coding, of 'the requested pre-authorization' for treating tooth # 19 after its crown fell out.

104. The recorded phone conversations between Plaintiff and Emblem people would bear that out, which explains why Emblem never produced them during discovery.
105. When the Philippine supervisors could not find out what Emblem would cover to deal with the fallen-out crown, they would stop communicating with Plaintiff.
106. After a cost-benefit analysis it is highly likely that Emblem has determined that it is not cost-effective to try to teach their Philippine people to think critically, or learn anything other than the basic.
107. That analysis may be confirmed by the very high employee turnover that Emblem has to deal with. Why spend an enormous amount of money to properly train people for months on end given that after only a very short time on the job they will suffer under crushing intellectual demands and quit?
108. Emblem's Customer Service in The Philippines is staffed with people who are neither trained to deal, nor intellectually capable of dealing, with the problems that insureds bring to them.
109. For one thing, the Emblem Philippine people are required to repeat the question that an insured asks of them in order to obtain confirmation from the insured that they understood the question.
110. That requirement shows that Emblem itself does not trust their capacity to even understand what insureds are talking about.

111. This explains why Emblem Philippine people so often appear to be reading from a script when speaking with an insured while disregarding what the insured is asking or saying. If taken off-script by the questions of an insured, they do not know what to say. They repeat the script or ask a supervisor. It is as exasperating as a conversation with a person whom you can hear but who cannot hear you.
112. This may also explain why the Emblem Philippine people either do not have the authority to solve the problem that the insured brings to them or do not feel confident in exercising that authority.
113. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not have a direct phone extension.
114. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not return the phone call messages that Plaintiff left on their general voice mailbox.
115. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not return the messages for them that Plaintiff would leave with the first level telephone picker uppers.
116. If a supervisor transferred the case to another supervisor, the latter did not know anything about the case either.
117. If a previous supervisor wrote notes on Plaintiff's chart -forget about a phone picker upper doing so-, the next supervisor would not have read it, either because it was poorly written or because he or she was not competent enough to under-stand what was going on or responsible enough to make the effort to understand.
118. After all, "*why sweat it?!*" It is not as if any higher supervisor were listening, or

would listen, in on the conversation to realize what was happening and hold anybody accountable. Having supervisors listen in would cost too much.

119. After being dropped by the latest supervisor, Plaintiff had to begin all over again with another supervisor...after wrestling with phone picker uppers to have his call transferred while hearing in the background dogs barking, chickens crowing, and children crying or adults laughing or talking all at the same time. Oh, life in the countryside is so convivial with fowl and folks around!

120. This unaccountability on which phone picker uppers and supervisors alike can rely accounts for the fact that for them callers are nothing but a transient nuisance. Inconsequentially, they can be dropped and forgotten if they demand reliable information....or simply information.

121. Since they are unsupervised and thus held unaccountable, the Philippine people do whatever they want. They are a ship cast onto the ocean and forgotten by the Emblem U.S. captains.

122. After a while, Plaintiff refused to deal with the Emblem Philippine people. He requested to be transferred to the Emblem people in the U.S.

123. It took the Philippine people far more than an hour just to get connected to somebody in the U.S. to whom to transfer Plaintiff. After a shockingly long time, he found somebody in the U.S. who would deal with him. It was not a great improvement, except for the absence of domestic animals' noise.

124. This indicates that Emblem's Customer Service call center in The Philippines is not in constant contact with their counterparts, much less their superiors, in

the U.S. The Philippines call center is in practice left to its own devices by Emblem officers in the U.S.

125. Running a call center with phone picker uppers in The Philippines, some of whom have been elevated to supervisors, may cost a pittance of what it costs in the U.S. But what they offer is only a mockery of Customer Service.

126. It follows that Emblem Customer Service call center in The Philippines is a sham. Its purpose is to pretend to satisfy the Medicare requirement that its network members have such a Service, at least in name and appearance.

127. Medicare knows, and by exercising due diligence in supervising and controlling would know, that such a Customer Service is a sham.

128. Plaintiff would not give up his demand for an answer to his question about crown repair coverage even after months of Emblem's "delay, delay, delay". So, Emblem executed its second claim evasion tactic: On December 12, 2021, it denied Plaintiff's claim. Like a poker player, it pulled out from under its sleeve the excuse that Medicare did not cover the repair of tooth # 19 after its crown fell out.

129. It is not possible that nobody in Emblem knew what Medicare did or did not cover, or with due diligence could have found out during Plaintiff's first call.

130. The evidence shows that Emblem's delay was in bad faith. It was part of a racketeering scheme to wear Plaintiff down and cause him to abandon his claim without Emblem having to issue yet another denial and enter it on its records...assuming it keeps such records.

131. Emblem, Maximus, and Medicare must know it. But how many sick, old, and law-ignorant insureds are going to survive four levels of appeal and still have the stamina to climb to the fifth level to appeal to a U.S. district court for judicial review of the administrative proceedings below?
132. Insureds are likely scared away from appealing to a district court by the specter of what awaits them there: A hypertechnical, protracted, and unaffordable battle with an army of corporate lawyers determined to crush the insureds with the third and merciless tactic of abusive claim evasion: "defend".

M. Defendants are barred from defending against what they failed to contest

133. Neither Emblem nor Maximus wrote and filed any brief for either the fair hearing or the appeal to the Medicare Appeals Council.
134. Neither contradicted any of the statements of facts or arguments of law made by Plaintiff in his briefs^{5 above} for the hearing and the appeal to the Council.
135. Those statements and arguments stand uncontested as a matter of fact, and they should be held no longer objectionable as a matter of law.
136. Emblem and Maximus waived their right to object to Plaintiff's statements and arguments by failing to exercise it below.
137. Emblem and Maximus must be deemed to have admitted Plaintiff's statements of facts and arguments of law.
138. Emblem and Maximus are barred by laches from mounting any defense in this court.
139. Emblem and Maximus are estopped from contesting those facts and arguments

End Page