



## Library

- [Publications and Reports](#)
- [Periodicals](#)
- [Judicial Ethics and Conduct](#)
- [Statistical Reports](#)
- [Forms](#)
- [Court Fees](#)
- [Return to Library](#)

### **Draft Rules Governing Judicial Conduct and Disability Proceedings Undertaken Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364**

This is the latest [working draft of the Rules Governing Judicial Conduct and Disability Proceedings Undertaken Pursuant To 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364](#), adopted by the Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. The draft is a substantial revision of the Rules sent out for Public Comment on July 16, 2007. It is the result of the Committee's efforts to respond to the comments received during the public comment period, including testimony and other submissions at the Public Hearing held on September 27, 2007.

The draft is not yet a final product to be recommended to the Judicial Conference for adoption at its March meeting. The draft has been sent for style editing to Bryan Garner, who for many years served as the principal staff in the style project undertaken by the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure. The draft does represent this Committee's present position on matters of substance, although the Committee reserves the right to alter the draft further as to substance and style based on any communications received in the near future. The deadline for submitting the Rules for adoption by the United States Judicial Conference is mid-January. Therefore, any communications to the Committee should occur well in advance of that time. Such communications may be addressed to the Office of the General Counsel, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, One Columbus Circle NE, Washington, DC 20544.

**RULES GOVERNING JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND  
DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS UNDERTAKEN  
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Preface.....	1
<b>ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Rule 1. Scope .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Rule 2. Effect and Construction .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Rule 3. Definitions.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>(a) Complaint.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>(b) Misconduct.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>(c) Disability.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>(d) Subject Judge.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>(e) Chief Circuit Judge.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>(f) Judicial Council and Circuit.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>(g) Clerk of the Court of Appeals.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>(h) Court of Appeals, District Court, and District Judge.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>(i) Magistrate Judge.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Rule 4. Covered Judges.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>ARTICLE II. INITIATION OF A COMPLAINT .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Rule 5. Identification of a Complaint.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>(a) Identifying a Complaint.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>(b) Complaints that Do Not Comply with Rule 6(d).....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Rule 6. Filing a Complaint.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>(a) Brief Statement of Facts.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>(b) Form.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>(c) Legibility; Number of Copies.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>(d) Signature.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Rule 7. Where to Initiate Complaints.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>(a) Where to File.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>(b) Transfer; Misconduct in Another Circuit.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Rule 8. Action by Clerk.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>(a) Receipt of Complaint.....</b>	<b>11</b>

(b) Distribution of Copies.....	11
(c) Complaints Against Non-Covered Persons.....	11
(d) Receipt of Complaint about a Judge and Another Non-Covered Person.....	11
<b>Rule 9. Time for Filing or Identifying a Complaint.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Rule 10. Abuse of the Complaint Procedure.....</b>	<b>12</b>
(a) Abusive Complaints.....	12
(b) Orchestrated Complaints.....	12
<b>ARTICLE III: REVIEW OF A COMPLAINT BY THE CHIEF CIRCUIT JUDGE.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Rule 11. Review by the Chief Circuit Judge.....</b>	<b>13</b>
(a) Purpose of Chief Circuit Judge’s Review.....	13
(b) Inquiry by Chief Circuit Judge.....	13
(c) Dismissal.....	13
(d) Corrective Action.....	13
(e) Intervening Events.....	14
(f) Appointment of Special Committee.....	14
(g) Notice of Chief Circuit Judge’s Action; Petitions for Review.....	14
(h) Public Availability of Chief Circuit Judge’s Decision.....	14
<b>ARTICLE IV. INVESTIGATION AND REPORT BY SPECIAL COMMITTEE.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Rule 12. Composition of Special Committees.....</b>	<b>19</b>
(a) Membership.....	19
(b) Presiding Officer.....	19
(c) Bankruptcy Judge or Magistrate Judge as Advisor.....	19
(d) Provision of Documents.....	19
(e) Continuing Qualification of Committee Members.....	19
(f) Inability of Committee Member to Complete Service.....	19
(g) Voting.....	19
<b>Rule 13. Conduct of an Investigation.....</b>	<b>21</b>
(a) Extent and Methods of Special Committee Investigation.....	21
(b) Criminal Conduct.....	21
(c) Staff.....	21
(d) Delegation.....	21
<b>Rule 14. Conduct of Hearings by Special Committee.....</b>	<b>22</b>
(a) Purpose of Hearings.....	22
(b) Committee Evidence.....	22
(c) Counsel for Witnesses.....	22
(d) Witness Fees.....	22
(e) Oath.....	22
(f) Rules of Evidence.....	22

**(g) Record and Transcript . . . . . 22**

**Rule 15. Rights of Subject Judge . . . . . 23**

**(a) Notice . . . . . 23**  
**(b) Presentation of Evidence . . . . . 23**  
**(c) Presentation of Argument . . . . . 23**  
**(d) Attendance at Hearings . . . . . 23**  
**(e) Representation by Counsel . . . . . 23**

**Rule 16. Rights of Complainant . . . . . 24**

**(a) Notice . . . . . 24**  
**(b) Opportunity to Provide Evidence . . . . . 24**  
**(c) Presentation of Argument. . . . . 24**  
**(d) Representation by Counsel . . . . . 24**  
**(e) Cooperation. . . . . 24**

**Rule 17. Special Committee Report. . . . . 25**

**ARTICLE V. JUDICIAL COUNCIL REVIEW . . . . . 25**

**Rule 18. Petitions for Review of Chief Circuit Judge Dispositions Under Rule 11(c),  
(d), or (e) . . . . . 25**

**(a) Petitions for Review . . . . . 25**  
**(b) Time; Form; Where to File . . . . . 25**  
**(c) Receipt and Distribution of Petition. . . . . 25**  
**(d) Receipt of Untimely Petition. . . . . 26**  
**(e) Receipt of Timely Petition not in Proper Form . . . . . 26**

**Rule 19. Judicial Council Disposition of Petitions for Review. . . . . 26**

**(a) Rights of Subject Judge. . . . . 26**  
**(b) Judicial Council Action. . . . . 27**  
**(c) Notice of Council Decision . . . . . 27**  
**(d) Memorandum of Council Decision . . . . . 27**  
**(e) Review of Judicial Council Decision . . . . . 27**  
**(f) Public Availability of Judicial Council Decision. . . . . 27**

**Rule 20. Judicial Council Consideration of Reports and Recommendations  
Special Committees . . . . . 28**

**(a) Rights of Subject Judge . . . . . 28**  
**(b) Judicial Council Actions . . . . . 28**  
**(c) Inadequate Basis for Decision . . . . . 29**  
**(d) Council Vote . . . . . 29**  
**(e) Recommendation for Fee Reimbursement . . . . . 29**  
**(f) Council Action . . . . . 29**

**ARTICLE VI. REVIEW BY JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON**

<b>CONDUCT AND DISABILITY .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Rule 21. Committee on Conduct and Disability.....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>(a) Review by Committee .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>(b) Reviewable Matters .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>(c) Committee Vote .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>(d) Additional Investigation .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>(e) Oral Argument; Personal Appearance.....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>(f) Committee Decisions.....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>(g) Finality.....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Rule 22. Procedures for Review .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>(a) Filing a Petition for Review.....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>(b) Form and Contents of Petition for Review .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>(c) Time.....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>(d) Copies.....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>(e) Action on Receipt of Petition for Review.....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>ARTICLE VII. MISCELLANEOUS RULES .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Rule 23. Confidentiality .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(a) General Rule .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(b) Files.....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(c) Disclosure in Decisions .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(d) Availability to Judicial Conference.....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(e) Availability to District Court .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(f) Impeachment Proceedings .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(g) Consent of Subject Judge .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(h) Disclosure in Special Circumstances .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>(i) Disclosure of Identity by Subject Judge .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>(j) Assistance and Consultation .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Rule 24. Public Availability of Decisions .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>(a) General Rule; Specific Cases .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>(b) Manner of Making Public .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>(c) Orders of Judicial Conference Committee.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>(d) Complaints Referred to the Judicial Conference of the United States .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Rule 25. Disqualification .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>(a) General Rule .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>(b) Subject Judge .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>(c) Chief Circuit Judge not Disqualified from Considering a Petition for Review         of a Chief Circuit Judge's Order .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>(d) Member of Special Committee not Disqualified .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>(e) Subject Judge Following Appointment of a Special Committee .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>(f) Substitute for Disqualified Chief Circuit Judge .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>(g) Judicial Council Action where Multiple Judges are Disqualified .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>(h) Disqualification of Members of the Judicial Conference Committee .....</b>	<b>39</b>

**Rule 26. Transfer to Another Judicial Council. . . . . 41**

**Rule 27. Withdrawal of Complaints and Petitions for Review . . . . . 42**

**(a) Complaint Pending Before Chief Circuit Judge . . . . . 42**

**(b) Complaint Pending Before Special Committee or Judicial Council . . . . . 42**

**(c) Petition for Review . . . . . 42**

**Rule 28. Availability of Rules and Forms . . . . . 43**

**Rule 29. Effective Date . . . . . 43**

**RULES GOVERNING COMPLAINTS OF  
JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITY**

**Preface**

These Rules and accompanying Commentaries were promulgated by the Judicial Conference of the United States, after public comment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 331 and 358, to establish standards and procedures for addressing complaints filed or identified by chief circuit judges, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

**ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS**

**Rule 1. Scope.**

**These Rules govern the conduct of proceedings undertaken pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 regarding whether a covered judge has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts or is unable to discharge the duties of office by reason of mental or physical disability.**

Commentary to Rule 1

In September 2006, the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee, appointed in 2004 by Chief Justice Rehnquist and known as the “Breyer Committee,” presented a report, known as the “Breyer Report,” 239 F.R.D. 116 (Sept. 2006), to Chief Justice Roberts that evaluated implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (hereinafter “the Act”) 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364. The Committee had been formed in response to criticism from the public and the Congress regarding the effectiveness of the Act’s implementation. The Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference directed the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability to consider the recommendations made by the Breyer Committee and to report on their implementation to the Conference.

The Breyer Committee found that it could not evaluate implementation of the Act without establishing interpretive standards, Breyer Report, 239 F.R.D. at 132, and that a major problem faced by chief circuit judges in implementing the Act was the lack of authoritative interpretive standards. See id. at 212-15. The Breyer Committee then established standards to guide its evaluations, some of which were new formulations and some of which were taken from the “Illustrative Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability,” discussed below. The principal standards used by the Breyer Committee are in Appendix E of its Report. Id. at 238.

Based on the findings of the Breyer Committee, the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability concluded that there was a need for the Judicial Conference to exercise its power under section 358 of the Act to fashion standards guiding the various officers and bodies who must exercise responsibility under the Act. To that end, the Judicial Conference Committee proposed rules that were based largely on Appendix E of the Breyer Report and the Illustrative Rules.

The Illustrative Rules were originally prepared in 1986 by the Special Committee of the Conference of Chief Judges of the United States Courts of Appeals, and were subsequently revised and amended, most recently in 2000, by the predecessor to the Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. The Illustrative Rules were adopted, with minor variations, by circuit judicial councils, to govern complaints under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act.

After being submitted for public comment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 358(c), the present Rules were promulgated by the Judicial Conference on

**Rule 2. Effect and Construction.**

Notwithstanding any rule of a judicial council to the contrary, these Rules are mandatory unless a chief circuit judge, a special committee, a judicial council, the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, or the Judicial Conference of the United States, in the performance of acts authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 and these Rules, expressly finds that exceptional circumstances render the application of a Rule in a particular proceeding manifestly unjust or contrary to the purposes of 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 or these Rules. Judicial councils may promulgate additional rules to implement 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 that are not inconsistent with these Rules.

Commentary to Rule 2

Unlike the Illustrative Rules, these Rules provide mandatory and nationally uniform provisions governing the substantive and procedural aspects of misconduct and disability proceedings under the Act. The mandatory nature of these Rules is authorized by 28 U.S.C. § 358(a) and (c). Rule 2, however, recognizes that unforeseen and exceptional circumstances may call for a different approach in particular cases.

Judicial councils retain the power to promulgate rules consistent with these Rules. For example, a local rule may authorize the electronic distribution of materials pursuant to Rule 8(b).

**Rule 3. Definitions.**

**(a) Complaint. A complaint is:**

- (1) a document filed by any person in his or her individual capacity or on behalf of a professional organization pursuant to Rule 6; or**  
**(2) information from any source, other than a document described in (a)(1), known to a chief circuit judge, constituting probable cause to believe that misconduct or disability involving a covered judge exists, whether or not the information is framed as, or intended to be, an allegation of misconduct or disability.**

**(b) Misconduct. Misconduct is:**

- (1) conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts. Misconduct may include, but is not limited to, use of the judge's office to obtain special treatment for friends and relatives; acceptance of bribes, gifts, or other personal favors related to the judicial office; improper discussions with parties or counsel for one side in a case; treating litigants or attorneys in an egregiously and demonstrably hostile manner; engaging in partisan political activity or making partisan statements; participating in organizational fundraising; or violating other specific, mandatory standards of judicial conduct, such as those pertaining to the regulation of gifts, restrictions on outside income, financial disclosure, or abuses of judicial office. Conduct occurring outside the performance of official duties is not excluded if it might have a prejudicial effect on the administration of the business of the courts, including, but not limited to, a**

1 substantial and widespread lowering of public confidence in the courts  
2 among reasonable persons.

3 (2) Excluded from the definition of misconduct are:

4 (A) allegations that are directly related to the merits of a decision or  
5 procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness  
6 of a ruling of a judge, including a failure to recuse, without more, is  
7 merits related. However, a complaint that involves both the merits and  
8 an improper motive, e.g., a bribe, ex parte contact, racial or ethnic bias,  
9 or improper conduct in rendering a decision or ruling, such as personally  
10 derogatory remarks irrelevant to the issues, is excluded only to the extent  
11 it attacks the merits.

12 (B) allegations about delay in rendering a decision or ruling. However, a  
13 complaint involving habitual delay in a significant number of unrelated  
14 cases or an improper motive in delaying a particular decision is not  
15 excluded.

16 (c) Disability. “Disability” is a temporary or permanent condition rendering a  
17 judge unable to discharge the duties of the particular judicial office. Examples of disability  
18 may include, but are not limited to, substance abuse, the inability to stay awake during  
19 court proceedings, or a severe impairment of cognitive abilities.

20 (d) Subject Judge. “Subject judge” means any judge described in Rule 4 who is  
21 the subject of a complaint.

22 (e) Chief Circuit Judge. “Chief circuit judge” includes the chief judges of the  
23 United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, United States Court of  
24 International Trade, and United States Court of Federal Claims.

25 (f) Judicial Council and Circuit. “Judicial council” and “circuit,” where  
26 appropriate, includes the courts mentioned in 28 U.S.C. § 363.

27 (g) Clerk of the Court of Appeals. “Clerk of the court of appeals,” where  
28 appropriate, includes clerks of the courts named in 28 U.S.C. § 363.

29 (h) Court of Appeals, District Court, and District Judge. “Courts of appeals,”  
30 “district court,” and “district judge,” where appropriate, include the United States Court  
31 of Federal Claims, the United States Court of International Trade, and the judges thereof.

32 (i) Magistrate Judge. “Magistrate judge” shall, where appropriate, include  
33 special masters appointed by the Court of Federal Claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-  
34 12(c).

35 **Commentary on Rule 3**

36  
37 Rule 3 is derived and adapted from the Breyer Committee Report and the Illustrative  
38 Rules.

39  
40 Unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise indicates, the term “complaint” is  
41 used in these Rules to refer both to complaints identified by a chief circuit judge under Rule 5  
42 and to complaints filed by complainants under Rule 6.

43  
44 Under the Act, a “complaint” may be filed by “any person” or “identified” by a chief  
45 circuit judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and (b). Under this Rule, complaints may be submitted by  
46 a person, in his or her individual capacity, or by a professional organization. Generally, the word  
47 “complaint” brings to mind the commencement of an adversary proceeding in which the  
48 contending parties are left to present the evidence and legal arguments, and judges play the role

1 of an essentially passive arbiter. The Act, however, establishes an administrative, inquisitorial  
2 process. For example, even absent a complaint under Rule 6, chief circuit judges are expected in  
3 some circumstances to trigger the process -- “identify a complaint,” see 28 U.S.C. § 351(b) and  
4 Rule 5 -- and conduct an investigation without becoming a party. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(a); Breyer  
5 Report, 239 F.R.D. at 214; Illustrative Rule 2(j). Even when a complaint is filed by someone  
6 other than the chief circuit judge, the complainant lacks many rights that a litigant would have,  
7 and the chief circuit judge, instead of being limited to the “four corners of the complaint,” must,  
8 under Rule 11, proceed as though misconduct or disability has been alleged where the  
9 complainant reveals information of misconduct or disability but does not claim it as such. See  
10 Breyer Report, 239 F.R.D. at 183-84.

11  
12 An allegation of misconduct or disability filed under Rule 6 is a “complaint,” and the  
13 Rule so provides in (a)(1). But both the nature of the process and the use of the term “identify”  
14 suggest that the word “complaint” covers more than a document formally triggering the process.  
15 The process relies on chief circuit judges considering known information and triggering the  
16 process when appropriate. “Identifying” a “complaint,” therefore, is best understood as the chief  
17 circuit judge’s concluding that information known to the judge constitutes probable cause to  
18 believe that misconduct occurred or a disability exists, whether or not the information is framed  
19 as, or intended to be an accusation. This definition is codified in (a)(2).

20  
21 The term “prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business  
22 of the courts” is not subject to precise definition, and subsection (b)(1) therefore provides some  
23 specific examples. Although the Code of Conduct for United States Judges may be informative,  
24 its main precepts are highly general, the Code is in many potential applications aspirational rather  
25 than a set of disciplinary rules, and the numerous interpretative opinions represent only the  
26 advisory views of the Committee on Codes of Conduct with which there may be permissible  
27 disagreement and noncompliance. See, e.g., In re Literary Works in Elec. Databases Copyright  
28 Litig., \_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_, No. , 2007 WL 4197418 (2d Cir. 2007) (holding recusal not required  
29 despite opinion of Committee to the contrary). Ultimately, the responsibility for determining  
30 what constitutes misconduct under the statute is the province of the Judicial Council of the  
31 Circuit subject to such review and limitations as are ordained by the statute and by these rules.

32  
33 Even where specific, mandatory rules exist -- for example, governing the receipt of gifts  
34 by judges, outside earned income and financial disclosure obligations -- the distinction between  
35 the misconduct statute and the specific, mandatory rules must be borne in mind. For example, an  
36 inadvertent, minor violation of any one of these rules, promptly remedied when called to the  
37 attention of the judge, might still be a violation but might not rise to the level of misconduct  
38 under the statute; by contrast, a pattern of such violations of the Code might well rise to the level  
39 of misconduct.

40  
41 An allegation can meet the statutory standard even though the judge’s alleged conduct did  
42 not occur in the course of the performance of official duties. The Code of Conduct for United  
43 States Judges expressly covers a wide range of extra-official activities, and some of these  
44 activities may constitute misconduct. For example, allegations that a judge participated in  
45 fundraising for a charity or a partisan political event are cognizable under the Act.

46  
47 On the other hand, judges are entitled to some leeway in extra-official activities. For  
48 example, misconduct may not include a judge being repeatedly and publicly discourteous to a

1 spouse (not including physical abuse) even though this might cause some reasonable people to  
2 have diminished confidence in the courts. The Rule states that conduct of this sort is covered  
3 only when it might lead to a “substantial and widespread” lowering of such confidence.  
4

5 Rule 3(b)(2)(A) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the  
6 definition of misconduct allegations “[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural  
7 ruling.” This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial power  
8 by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally attack the substance of a  
9 judge’s ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a  
10 judge -- without more -- is merits-related. The phrase “decision or procedural ruling” is not  
11 limited to rulings issued in deciding Article III cases or controversies. Thus, a complaint  
12 challenging the correctness of a chief circuit judge’s determination to dismiss a prior misconduct  
13 complaint would be properly dismissed as merits-related -- in other words, as challenging the  
14 substance of the judge’s administrative determination to dismiss the complaint -- even though it  
15 does not concern the judge’s rulings in Article III litigation. Similarly, an allegation that a judge  
16 had incorrectly declined to approve a Criminal Justice Act voucher is merits-related under this  
17 standard.  
18

19 Conversely, an allegation -- however unsupported -- that a judge conspired with a  
20 prosecutor to make a particular ruling is not merits-related, even though it “relates” to a ruling in  
21 a colloquial sense. Such an allegation attacks the propriety of conspiring with the prosecutor and  
22 goes beyond a challenge to the correctness -- “the merits” -- of the ruling itself. Similarly, an  
23 allegation that a judge ruled against the complainant because the complainant was a member of a  
24 particular racial or ethnic group, or because the judge dislikes the complainant personally, is not  
25 merits-related. Such an allegation attacks the propriety of arriving at rulings with an illicit or  
26 improper motive. Similarly, an allegation that a judge used an inappropriate term to refer to a  
27 class of people is not merits-related even if the judge used it on the bench or in an opinion; the  
28 correctness of the judge’s rulings is not at stake. An allegation that a judge was egregiously and  
29 demonstrably hostile to counsel or others while on the bench is also not merits-related.  
30

31 The existence of an appellate remedy is usually irrelevant to whether an allegation is  
32 merits-related. The merits-related ground for dismissal exists to protect judges’ independence in  
33 making rulings, not to protect or promote the appellate process. A complaint alleging an  
34 incorrect ruling is merits-related even though the complainant has no recourse from that ruling.  
35 By the same token, an allegation that is otherwise cognizable under the Act should not be  
36 dismissed merely because an appellate remedy appears to exist (for example, vacating a ruling  
37 that resulted from an improper ex parte communication). However, there may be occasions when  
38 appellate and misconduct proceedings overlap, and consideration and disposition of a complaint  
39 under these Rules may be properly deferred by a chief circuit judge until the appellate  
40 proceedings are concluded in order to avoid, inter alia, inconsistent decisions.  
41

42 Because of the special need to protect judges’ independence in deciding what to say in an  
43 opinion or ruling, a somewhat different standard applies to determine the merits-relatedness of a  
44 non-frivolous allegation that a judge’s language in a ruling reflected an improper motive. If the  
45 judge’s language was relevant to the case at hand -- for example a statement that a claim is  
46 legally or factually “frivolous” -- then the judge’s choice of language is presumptively merits-  
47 related and excluded, absent evidence apart from the ruling itself suggesting an improper motive.  
48 If, on the other hand, the challenged language does not seem relevant on its face, then an

1 additional inquiry under Rule 11 is necessary.  
2

3 With regard to Rule 3(b)(2)(B), a complaint of delay in a single case is excluded as  
4 merits-related. Such an allegation may be said to challenge the correctness of an official action  
5 of the judge -- in other words, assigning a low priority to deciding the particular case. But, by the  
6 same token, an allegation of a habitual pattern of delay in a significant number of unrelated cases,  
7 or an allegation of deliberate delay in a single case arising out of an illicit motive, is not merits-  
8 related.  
9

10 Rule 3(c) relates to disability and provides only the most general definition, recognizing  
11 that a fact-specific approach is the only one available.  
12

13 Subsections (e) through (i) provide technical definitions clarifying the application of the  
14 Rules to the various kinds of courts covered.  
15

16  
17 **Rule 4. Covered Judges.**  
18

19 **A complaint under these Rules may concern the actions or capacity only of judges of**  
20 **United States courts of appeals, judges of United States district courts, judges of United**  
21 **States bankruptcy courts, United States magistrate judges, and judges of the courts**  
22 **specified in 28 U.S.C. § 363.**  
23

24 **Commentary on Rule 4**  
25

26 This Rule tracks the Act. Rule 8(c) and (d) contain provisions as to the handling of  
27 complaints against persons not covered by the Act, such as other court personnel, or against both  
28 covered judges and non-covered persons.  
29

30  
31 **ARTICLE II. INITIATION OF A COMPLAINT**  
32  
33

34 **Rule 5. Identification of a Complaint.**  
35

36 **(a) Identifying a Complaint. Subject to Rule 7, where information known to a chief**  
37 **circuit judge constitutes reasonable grounds for inquiry into possible misconduct or**  
38 **disability on the part of a covered judge and no complaint containing such information has**  
39 **been filed under Rule 6, a chief circuit judge may conduct an inquiry, as he or she deems**  
40 **appropriate, into the accuracy of such information. If, after such an inquiry, the chief**  
41 **circuit judge finds that there is probable cause to believe that misconduct has occurred or a**  
42 **disability exists, the chief circuit judge may informally seek a resolution satisfactory to the**  
43 **chief circuit judge, if feasible, and, if failing to obtain such a resolution, may identify a**  
44 **complaint and, by written order stating the reasons, begin the review provided in Rule 11.**  
45 **If the evidence of misconduct is clear and convincing and no informal resolution has been**  
46 **achieved or is feasible, the chief circuit judge must identify a complaint. A chief circuit**  
47 **judge may not decline to identify a complaint because the person or persons making such**  
48 **allegations have not filed a complaint under Rule 6.**

**(b) Complaints that Do Not Comply with Rule 6(d). Complaints filed under Rule 6 that do not comply with the requirements of Rule 6(d) must be considered under this Rule.**

Commentary to Rule 5

This Rule is adapted from the Breyer Report, 239 F.R.D. at 245-46.

The phrase “Subject to Rule 7” in subsection (a) is intended to establish that only: (i) the chief circuit judge of the home circuit of a potential subject judge, or (ii) the chief circuit judge of a circuit in which misconduct is alleged to have occurred in the course of official business while the potential subject judge was sitting by designation, shall have the power or a duty under this Rule to identify a complaint.

The Act authorizes the chief circuit judge, by written order stating reasons, to identify a complaint and thereby dispense with the filing of a written complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 351(b). Under Rule 5, when a chief circuit judge becomes aware of information constituting reasonable grounds to inquire into possible misconduct or disability on the part of a covered judge, and no formal complaint has been filed, the chief circuit judge has the power in his or her discretion to begin an appropriate inquiry. A chief circuit judge’s decision whether to informally seek a resolution and/or to identify a complaint is guided by the results of that inquiry. If the chief circuit judge concludes that there is probable cause to believe that misconduct has occurred or a disability exists, the chief circuit judge may seek an informal resolution, if feasible, and if failing in that, may identify a complaint. Discretion is accorded largely for the reasons police officers and prosecutors have discretion in making arrests or bringing charges. The matter may be trivial and isolated based on marginal evidence, or otherwise highly unlikely to lead to a misconduct or disability finding. On the other hand, if the inquiry leads the chief circuit judge to conclude that there is clear and convincing evidence of misconduct or a disability, and no satisfactory informal resolution has been achieved or is feasible, the chief circuit judge is required to identify a complaint.

Therefore, Rule 5(a) does not require that the evidence be clear and convincing before a complaint may be identified. A chief circuit judge is free to identify a complaint if he or she has knowledge of facts or circumstances strong enough to support a reasonable belief that there are grounds for identifying a complaint. However, where the evidence is clear and weighty, and no informal resolution has been obtained, the identification of a complaint is mandatory.

An informal resolution is one agreed to by the subject judge and found satisfactory by the chief circuit judge. Because an informal resolution under Rule 5 reached before a complaint is filed under Rule 6 will cause a subsequent Rule 6 complaint alleging the identical matter to be concluded, see Rule 11(c), the chief circuit judge must be sure that the resolution is fully appropriate before endorsing it. In doing so, the chief circuit judge must balance the seriousness of the matter against the particular judge’s alacrity in addressing the issue. The availability of this procedure should encourage attempts at swift remedial action before a formal complaint is filed.

When a complaint is identified, a written order stating the reasons for the identification must be provided; this begins the process articulated in Rule 11. Rule 11 provides that once the chief circuit judge has identified a complaint, the chief circuit judge, subject to the

1 disqualification provisions of Rule 25, will perform, with respect to that complaint, all functions  
2 assigned to the chief circuit judge for the determination of complaints filed by a complainant.  
3

4 In high-visibility situations, it may be desirable for the chief circuit judge to identify a  
5 complaint without first seeking an informal resolution (and then, if the circumstances warrant,  
6 dismiss or conclude the identified complaint without appointment of a special committee) in  
7 order to assure the public that the allegations have not been ignored.  
8

9 A chief circuit judge's decision not to identify a complaint under Rule 5 is not appealable  
10 and is subject to Rule 3(b)(2)(A), which excludes merits-related complaints from the definition  
11 of misconduct.  
12

13 Subsection (a) concludes by stating that a chief circuit judge may not decline to identify a  
14 complaint solely on the basis that the unfiled allegations could be raised by one or more persons  
15 in a filed complaint, but none of these persons has opted to do so.  
16

17 Subsection (b) provides that complaints filed under Rule 6 that do not comply with the  
18 requirements of Rule 6(d), must be considered under this Rule. For instance, if a complaint has  
19 been filed but the form submitted is unsigned, or the truth of the statements therein are not  
20 verified in writing under penalty of perjury, then a chief circuit judge must nevertheless consider  
21 the allegations as known information, and proceed to follow the process described in Rule 5(a).  
22

## 23 **Rule 6. Filing a Complaint.**

24  
25 **(a) Brief Statement of Facts. A complaint must contain a concise statement setting**  
26 **forth with particularity the facts on which the claim of misconduct or disability is based.**  
27 **The statement of facts should include:**

- 28 **(1) a statement of what occurred;**
- 29 **(2) the time and place of the occurrence or occurrences;**
- 30 **(3) any information that would assist an investigator in checking the facts.**
- 31 **(4) in the case of an allegation of disability, any facts forming the basis of that**  
32 **allegation not included in the above.**

33 **(b) Form. Complaints may be filed on a form reproduced in the appendix to these**  
34 **Rules or a form designated by the rules of the judicial council in the circuit in which the**  
35 **complaint is filed. The complaint form is to be made available on each court of appeals**  
36 **website, and may be obtained from the clerk of the court of appeals, district court, or**  
37 **bankruptcy court within the circuit. Failure to use the complaint form is not grounds for**  
38 **rejecting or dismissing the complaint so long as the information described in (a) is**  
39 **provided.**

40 **(c) Legibility; Number of Copies. Complaints should be typewritten if possible. If**  
41 **not typewritten, they must be legible. An illegible complaint will be returned to the**  
42 **complainant with a request to resubmit it in legible form, failing which the complaint will**  
43 **not be considered. If the complaint is about a single judge of the court of appeals, the**  
44 **complainant must provide three copies of the complaint, the statement of facts, and any**  
45 **documents submitted. If it is about a single district judge or magistrate judge, four copies**  
46 **must be provided; if about a single bankruptcy judge, five copies. If the complaint is about**  
47 **more than one judge, copies must be provided for the clerk of the court, the chief judge of**  
48 **the circuit, and each subject judge. Complaints under this Rule should be in an envelope**

1 marked “Complaint of Misconduct” or “Complaint of Disability.” The name of the subject  
2 judge must not appear on the envelope.

3 (d) Signature. The form must be signed and the truth of the statements verified in  
4 writing under penalty of perjury. The complainant’s address must also be provided.  
5 Failure to comply with this subsection will not be grounds for rejecting a complaint, but  
6 further review shall occur only under Rule 5(b).

7  
8 **Commentary to Rule 6**  
9

10 The Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and is self-explanatory.  
11  
12

13 **Rule 7. Where to Initiate Complaints.**  
14

15 (a) Where to File. Complaints against judges of United States courts of appeals,  
16 judges of United States district courts, judges of United States bankruptcy courts, or  
17 United States magistrate judges must be filed with the clerk of the United States Court of  
18 Appeals for the judicial circuit in which the subject judge holds office. Complaints against  
19 judges of the United States Court of International Trade or United States Court of Federal  
20 Claims must be filed with the respective clerks of those courts. Complaints against judges  
21 of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit must be filed with the Circuit  
22 Executive of that court. Where appropriate, the term “clerk of the court of appeals” or  
23 “clerk,” as used in these Rules, includes all the officers mentioned.

24 (b) Transfer; Misconduct in Another Circuit. If a complaint alleges misconduct in  
25 the course of official business while the subject judge was sitting on a court by designation  
26 under 28 U.S.C. §§ 291-293 and 294(d), the complaint may be filed or identified with the  
27 clerk of the court of appeals of that circuit or the subject judge’s home circuit. The  
28 proceeding will continue in the circuit of the first filed or identified complaint. However,  
29 the judicial council of the circuit in which the complaint was first filed or identified may  
30 transfer the complaint to the subject judge’s home circuit or circuit where the alleged  
31 misconduct occurred, as the case may be.  
32

33 **Commentary to Rule 7**  
34

35 Title 28 U.S.C. § 351 states that complaints are to be filed with “the clerk” of the court of  
36 appeals. However, in many circuits, this role is filled by circuit executives. Accordingly, the  
37 term “the clerk” as used throughout these Rules applies to circuit executives.  
38

39 Section 351 uses the term “the circuit” in a way that suggests that either the home circuit  
40 of the subject judge or the circuit in which misconduct is alleged to have occurred is the proper  
41 venue for complaints. With an exception for judges sitting by designation, the Rule requires the  
42 identifying or filing of a misconduct or disability complaint in the circuit in which the judge  
43 holds office, largely based on the administrative perspective of the Act. Given the Act’s  
44 emphasis on the future conduct of the business of the courts, the circuit in which the judge holds  
45 office is the appropriate forum because that circuit is likely best able to influence a judge’s future  
46 behavior in constructive ways.  
47



1  
2  
3 **Rule 9. Time for Filing or Identifying a Complaint.**  
4

5 **A complaint may be filed or identified at any time. However, where the passage of**  
6 **time has made an accurate and fair investigation of a complaint impractical, the complaint**  
7 **must be dismissed under Rule 11(c)(3).**

8  
9 **Commentary to Rule 9**

10  
11 This Rule is adapted from the Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351, 352(b)(1)(A)(iii), and the  
12 Illustrative Rules.  
13

14  
15 **Rule 10. Abuse of the Complaint Procedure.**  
16

17 **(a) Abusive Complaints. A complainant who has filed repetitive, harassing, or**  
18 **frivolous complaints, or has otherwise abused the complaint procedure, may be restricted**  
19 **from filing further complaints. After giving the complainant an opportunity to show cause**  
20 **in writing why his or her ability to file further complaints should not be limited, a judicial**  
21 **council may prohibit, restrict, or impose conditions on the complainant's use of the**  
22 **complaint procedure. On written request of the complainant, the judicial council may**  
23 **revise or withdraw any prohibitions, restrictions or conditions imposed.**

24 **(b) Orchestrated Complaints. Where large numbers of essentially identical**  
25 **complaints from different complainants are received and appear to be part of an**  
26 **orchestrated campaign, the judicial council may, on the recommendation of the chief**  
27 **circuit judge, issue a written order instructing the clerk of the court of appeals to accept**  
28 **only one or more of such complaints for filing and to refuse to accept subsequent**  
29 **complaints. A copy of the order shall be sent to the complainants whose complaints were**  
30 **not accepted.**

31  
32 **Commentary on Rule 10**  
33

34 This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.  
35

36 Rule 10(a) provides a mechanism for a judicial council to restrict the filing of further  
37 complaints by a single complainant who has abused the complaint procedure. In some instances,  
38 however, the complaint procedure may be abused in a different manner, for which the remedy  
39 provided in Rule 10(a) may not be appropriate. Some circuits have been inundated with  
40 submissions of dozens or hundreds of essentially identical complaints against the same judge or  
41 judges, all submitted by different complainants. In many of these instances, persons with  
42 grievances against a particular judge or judges used the Internet or other technology to  
43 orchestrate mass complaint-filing campaigns against them. If each complaint submitted as part  
44 of such a campaign were accepted for filing and processed according to these Rules, there would  
45 be a serious drain on court resources without any benefit to the adjudication of the underlying  
46 merits.  
47

1 A judicial council may respond to such mass filings under Rule 10(b) by declining to  
2 accept repetitive complaints for filing, regardless of the fact that the complaints are nominally  
3 submitted by different complainants. Where the first complaint or complaints have been  
4 dismissed on the merits, when further, essentially identical submissions follow, the judicial  
5 council may issue a second order noting that these are identical or repetitive complaints, directing  
6 the clerk not to accept these complaints or any further such complaints for filing, and directing  
7 the clerk or the circuit executive to send each putative complainant copies of both orders.  
8  
9

10  
11 **ARTICLE III. REVIEW OF A COMPLAINT BY THE CHIEF CIRCUIT JUDGE**  
12

13 **Rule 11. Review by the Chief Circuit Judge.**  
14

15 **(a) Purpose of Chief Circuit Judge’s Review.** When a complaint is filed or is  
16 **identified by the chief circuit judge, the chief circuit judge, subject to Rule 25, must review**  
17 **the complaint and determine whether it should be:**

- 18       **(1) dismissed;**  
19       **(2) concluded on the ground that voluntary corrective action has been taken;**  
20       **(3) concluded because intervening events have made action on the complaint no**  
21       **longer necessary; or**  
22       **(4) referred to a special committee.**

23 **Where a complaint contains information constituting evidence of misconduct or disability,**  
24 **but the complainant does not claim it as such, a chief circuit judge, after notice to the**  
25 **subject judge, must treat the complaint as alleging such misconduct or disability.**

26 **(b) Inquiry by Chief Circuit Judge.** In determining what action to take under Rule  
27 **11(a), the chief circuit judge may conduct a limited inquiry. The chief circuit judge, or a**  
28 **designee, may communicate orally or in writing with the complainant, the subject judge,**  
29 **and any others who may have knowledge of the matter and review transcripts or other**  
30 **relevant documents. In conducting such an inquiry, the chief circuit judge may not**  
31 **determine any reasonably disputed issue.**

32 **(c) Dismissal.** A complaint must be dismissed in whole or in part to the extent that  
33 **the chief circuit judge concludes that the complaint:**

- 34       **(1) alleges conduct that, even if true, is not prejudicial to the effective and**  
35       **expeditious administration of the business of the courts or does not indicate a**  
36       **mental or physical disability resulting in inability to discharge the duties of**  
37       **judicial office;**  
38       **(2) is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling;**  
39       **(3) is frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct**  
40       **has occurred or a disability exists, or contains allegations that are incapable of**  
41       **being established through investigation;**  
42       **(4) has been filed in the wrong circuit under Rule 7; or**  
43       **(5) is otherwise not appropriate for consideration under the Act.**

44 **A complaint may not be dismissed solely because it repeats allegations of a previously**  
45 **dismissed complaint if it contains material information not previously considered and does**  
46 **not constitute harassment of the subject judge.**

47 **(d) Corrective Action.** The chief circuit judge may conclude the complaint  
48 **proceeding in whole or in part if an informal resolution under Rule 5 satisfactory to the**

1 chief circuit judge was reached before the complaint was filed under Rule 6, or if the chief  
2 circuit judge determines that appropriate voluntary corrective action that acknowledges  
3 and remedies the problems raised by the complaint has been voluntarily taken by the  
4 subject judge.

5 (e) Intervening Events. The chief circuit judge may conclude the complaint  
6 proceeding in whole or in part if the chief circuit judge determines that intervening events  
7 render some or all allegations of the complaint moot or remedial action impossible.

8 (f) Appointment of Special Committee. If some or all of the complaint is not  
9 dismissed or concluded, the chief circuit judge must promptly appoint a special committee  
10 to investigate the complaint or relevant portion thereof and to make recommendations to  
11 the judicial council. Before appointing a special committee, the chief circuit judge must  
12 invite the subject judge to respond to the complaint either orally or in writing if such an  
13 opportunity was not given during the limited inquiry. In the discretion of the chief circuit  
14 judge, separate complaints may be joined and assigned to a single special committee;  
15 similarly, a single complaint about more than one judge may be severed and more than one  
16 special committee appointed.

17 (g) Notice of Chief Circuit Judge's Action; Petitions for Review.

18 (1) If the chief circuit judge disposes of the complaint under Rule 11(c), (d),  
19 or (e), the chief circuit judge must prepare a supporting memorandum that  
20 sets forth the reasons for the disposition. Except as authorized by 28 U.S.C.  
21 § 360, the memorandum must not include the name of the complainant or of  
22 the subject judge. The order and the supporting memorandum, which may  
23 be one document, must be provided to the complainant, the subject judge,  
24 and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.  
25 The complainant and subject judge must be notified of the right to petition  
26 the judicial council for review of the decision under (g)(2) of this Rule. If a  
27 petition for review is filed as provided in Rule 18(a), the chief circuit judge  
28 must promptly transmit all materials obtained in connection with the inquiry  
29 under Rule 11(b) to the clerk of the court of appeals for transmittal to the  
30 judicial council.

31 (2) If the chief circuit judge disposes of a complaint under Rule 11(c), (d), or  
32 (e), the complainant or subject judge may petition the judicial council of the  
33 circuit for review of that disposition, as provided in Rule 18.

34 (3) If a special committee is appointed, the chief circuit judge must notify the  
35 complainant and the subject judge that the matter has been referred to a  
36 special committee, and must inform them of the membership of the  
37 committee. A copy of the order appointing the special committee shall be  
38 sent to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and  
39 Disability.

40 (h) Public Availability of Chief Circuit Judge's Decision. The chief circuit judge's  
41 decision must be made public to the extent, at the time, and in the manner provided in Rule  
42 24.

43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Subsection (a) lists the actions available to a chief circuit judge in reviewing a complaint. This subsection provides that where a complaint has been filed under Rule 6, the ordinary

1 doctrines of waiver do not apply. A chief circuit judge must identify as a complaint any  
2 misconduct or disability issues raised by the factual allegations of the complaint even if the  
3 complainant makes no such claim with regard to those issues. For example, an allegation limited  
4 to misconduct in fact-finding that mentions periods during a trial when the judge was asleep must  
5 be treated as a complaint regarding disability. Some formal order giving notice of the expanded  
6 scope of the proceeding must be given to the subject judge.

7  
8 Subsection (b) describes the nature of the chief circuit judge's inquiry. It is based largely  
9 on the Breyer Committee Report. See Breyer Report, 239 F.R.D. at 243-45. The Act states that  
10 dismissal is appropriate "when a limited inquiry . . . demonstrates that the allegations in the  
11 complaint lack any factual foundation or are conclusively refuted by objective evidence." 28  
12 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(B). At the same time, however, section 352(a) states that "[t]he chief judge  
13 shall not undertake to make findings of fact about any matter that is reasonably in dispute."  
14 These two statutory standards should be read together, so that a matter is not "reasonably" in  
15 dispute if a limited inquiry shows that the allegations do not constitute misconduct or disability,  
16 that they lack any reliable factual foundation, or that they are conclusively refuted by objective  
17 evidence.

18  
19 In conducting a limited inquiry under subsection (b), the chief circuit judge must avoid  
20 determinations of reasonably disputed issues, including reasonably disputed issues as to whether  
21 the facts alleged constitute misconduct or disability, which are ordinarily left to a special  
22 committee and the judicial council. An allegation of fact is ordinarily not "refuted" simply  
23 because the subject judge denies it. The limited inquiry must reveal something more in the way  
24 of refutation before it is appropriate to dismiss a complaint that is otherwise cognizable. If it is  
25 literally the complainant's word against the subject judge's -- there is simply no other significant  
26 evidence of what happened or of the complainant's unreliability -- then there must be a special  
27 committee investigation. Such a credibility issue is a matter "reasonably in dispute" within the  
28 meaning of the Act.

29  
30 However, dismissal following a limited inquiry may occur where the complaint refers to  
31 transcripts or to witnesses and when the chief circuit judge determines that the transcripts and  
32 witnesses all support the subject judge. For example, consider a complaint alleging that the  
33 subject judge said X, where the complaint mentions, or it is independently clear, that five people  
34 may have heard what the judge said. The chief circuit judge is told by the judge complained  
35 against and one witness that the judge did not say X, and the chief circuit judge dismisses the  
36 complaint without questioning the other four possible witnesses. In this example, the matter  
37 remains reasonably in dispute. If all five witnesses say the judge did not say X, dismissal is  
38 appropriate, but if potential witnesses who are reasonably accessible have not been questioned,  
39 then the matter remains reasonably in dispute.

40  
41 Similarly, under (c)(1), if it is clear that the conduct alleged, even if it did happen, does  
42 not constitute misconduct or disability, the complaint should be dismissed. If that issue is  
43 reasonably in dispute, however, dismissal under (c)(1) is inappropriate.

44  
45 The chief circuit judge is not required to act solely on the face of the complaint. Rule  
46 11(b) allows the chief circuit judge to determine whether the facts alleged in the complaint are  
47 frivolous, lack sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct or disability, or are

1 incapable of being established through investigation, but also states that the chief circuit judge  
2 may not determine a reasonably disputed issue.

3  
4 Essentially, the standard articulated in subsection (b) is that used to decide motions for  
5 summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. A summary judgment proceeding does not  
6 involve resolving genuine issues of material fact. A material fact is one that “might affect the  
7 outcome of the suit under the governing law,” and a dispute is “genuine” if “the evidence is such  
8 that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party.” Anderson v. Liberty  
9 Lobby, 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). Similarly, the chief circuit judge may not resolve a genuine  
10 issue concerning a material fact or the existence of misconduct or disability when conducting a  
11 limited inquiry pursuant to subsection (b).

12  
13 Subsection (c) describes the grounds on which a complaint may be dismissed. These are  
14 adapted from the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b), and the Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 239-  
15 45. Subsection (c)(1) permits dismissal of an allegation that, even if true, does not constitute  
16 misconduct or disability under the statutory standard. The proper standards are set out in Rule 3  
17 and discussed in the Commentary to that Rule. Subsection (c)(2) permits dismissal of complaints  
18 related to the merits of a decision by a subject judge, also governed by Rule 3 and accompanying  
19 Commentary.

20  
21 Subsection (c)(3) implements the statutory standard allowing dismissal of complaints that  
22 are “frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.”  
23 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii). The standard is intended, among other things, to cover situations  
24 where no evidence is offered or identified, or the only identified source is unavailable. For  
25 example, a complaint alleges that an unnamed attorney told the complainant that the judge did X.  
26 The subject judge denies it. The chief circuit judge requests that the complainant (who does not  
27 purport to have observed the judge do X) identify the unnamed witness, or that the unnamed  
28 witness come forward so that the chief circuit judge can learn the unnamed witness’s account.  
29 The complainant responds that he has spoken with the unnamed witness, that the unnamed  
30 witness is an attorney who practices in federal court, and that the unnamed witness is unwilling  
31 to be identified or to come forward. The allegation is then properly dismissed as incapable of  
32 being established through investigation.

33  
34 Another example would be a complainant who alleges an impropriety and asserts that he  
35 knows of it because it was observed and reported to him by a person who is identified. The  
36 judge denies that the event occurred. When contacted, the source also denies it. In such a case,  
37 the chief circuit judge’s proper course of action may well turn on whether the source had any role  
38 in the allegedly improper conduct. If the complaint were based on a lawyer’s statement that he or  
39 she had had an improper ex parte contact with a judge, the lawyer’s denial of the impropriety  
40 might not be taken as wholly persuasive, and it would be appropriate to conclude that a real  
41 factual issue is raised. On the other hand, if the complaint quoted a disinterested third party and  
42 the disinterested party denied that the statement had been made, there would be no value in  
43 opening a formal investigation. In such a case, it would be appropriate to dismiss the complaint  
44 as frivolous because there is no support for the allegation of misconduct.

45  
46 If, however, the situation involves a reasonable dispute over credibility, the matter should  
47 proceed. For example, the complainant alleges an impropriety and alleges that he or she  
48 observed it and there were no other witnesses; the subject judge denies that the event occurred.

1 Unless the complainant’s allegations are facially incredible, there is evidence of the complainants  
2 unreliability, or the allegations are so improbable or lacking indicia of reliability as to be  
3 insufficient to support a finding of misconduct or disability, a special committee must be  
4 appointed because there is a factual question that is reasonably in dispute.  
5

6 Dismissal is also appropriate when a complaint is filed so long after an alleged event that  
7 memory loss, death, or changes to unknown residences prevent a proper investigation.  
8

9 Subsection (c) also indicates that the investigative nature of the process prevents the  
10 application of claim preclusion principles where new and material evidence becomes available.  
11 However, it also recognizes that at some point a renewed investigation may constitute  
12 harassment of the subject judge and should be foregone, depending of course on the seriousness  
13 of the issues and the weight of the new evidence.  
14

15 Rule 11(d) implements the Act’s provision for dismissal if “voluntary appropriate  
16 corrective action” has been taken. It is largely adapted from the Breyer Report, 239 F.R.D. 244-  
17 45. The Act authorizes the chief circuit judge to conclude the proceedings if “appropriate  
18 corrective action has been taken.” 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(2). Under the Rule, action taken after the  
19 complaint is filed is “appropriate” when it acknowledges and remedies the problem raised by the  
20 complaint. Because the Act deals with the conduct of judges, the emphasis is on correction of  
21 the judicial conduct that was the subject of the complaint. Terminating a complaint based on  
22 corrective action is premised on the implicit understanding that voluntary self-correction or  
23 redress of misconduct or a disability is preferable to sanctions. The chief circuit judge may  
24 facilitate this process by giving the subject judge an objective view of the appearance of the  
25 judicial conduct in question and by suggesting appropriate corrective measures. Moreover, when  
26 corrective action is taken under Rule 5 satisfactory to the chief circuit judge before a complaint is  
27 filed, that informal resolution will be sufficient to cause the conclusion of a subsequent  
28 complaint based on the identical conduct.  
29

30 “Corrective action” must be voluntary action taken by the subject judge. A remedial  
31 action directed by the chief circuit judge or by an appellate court without the participation of the  
32 subject judge in formulating the directive or without the subject judge’s subsequent agreement to  
33 such action does not constitute the requisite voluntary corrective action. Neither the chief circuit  
34 judge nor an appellate court has authority under the Act to impose a formal remedy or sanction;  
35 only the judicial council can impose a formal remedy or sanction under 28 U.S.C. § 354(a)(2).  
36 Compliance with a previous council order may serve as corrective action allowing conclusion of  
37 a later complaint about the same behavior.  
38

39 Where a judge’s conduct has resulted in identifiable, particularized harm to the  
40 complainant or another individual, appropriate corrective action should include steps taken by  
41 that judge to acknowledge and redress the harm, if possible, such as by an apology, recusal from  
42 a case, and a pledge to refrain from similar conduct in the future. While the Act is generally  
43 forward-looking, any corrective action should, to the extent possible, serve to correct a specific  
44 harm to an individual, if such harm can reasonably be remedied. In some cases, corrective  
45 action may not be “appropriate” to justify conclusion of a complaint unless the complainant or  
46 other individual harmed is meaningfully apprised of the nature of the corrective action in the  
47 chief circuit judge’s order, in a direct communication from the judge complained against, or  
48 otherwise.

1  
2 Voluntary corrective action should be proportionate to any plausible allegations of  
3 misconduct in the complaint. The form of corrective action should also be proportionate to any  
4 sanctions that a judicial council might impose under Rule 20(b), such as a private or public  
5 reprimand or a change in case assignments. In other words, minor corrective action will not  
6 suffice to dispose of a serious matter.

7  
8 Rule 11(e) implements section 352(b)(2) of the Act, which permits the chief circuit judge  
9 to “conclude the proceeding,” if “action on the complaint is no longer necessary because of  
10 intervening events,” such as a resignation from judicial office. Ordinarily, however, stepping  
11 down from an administrative post such as chief circuit judge, judicial council member, or court  
12 committee chair does not constitute an event rendering unnecessary any further action on a  
13 complaint alleging judicial misconduct. As long as the subject of the complaint performs judicial  
14 duties, a complaint alleging judicial misconduct must be addressed.

15  
16 If a complaint is not disposed of pursuant to Rule 11(c), (d), or (e), a special committee  
17 must be appointed. Rule 11(f) states that a subject judge must be invited to respond to the  
18 complaint before a special committee is appointed, if no earlier response was invited.

19  
20 Subject judges, of course, receive copies of complaints at the same time that they are  
21 referred to the chief circuit judge, and they are free to volunteer responses to them. Under Rule  
22 11(b), the chief circuit judge may request a response if it is thought necessary. However, many  
23 complaints are clear candidates for dismissal even if their allegations are accepted as true, and  
24 there is no need for the subject judge to devote time to a defense.

25  
26 The Act requires that the order dismissing a complaint or concluding the proceeding  
27 contain a statement of reasons and that a copy of the order be sent to the complainant. 28 U.S.C.  
28 § 352(b). Rule 24, dealing with availability of information to the public, contemplates that the  
29 order will be made public, usually without disclosing the names of the complainant or the judge  
30 involved. If desired for administrative purposes, more identifying information can be included in  
31 a non-public version of the order.

32  
33 When complaints are disposed of by chief circuit judges, the statutory purposes are best  
34 served by providing the complainant with a full, particularized, but concise explanation, giving  
35 reasons for the conclusions reached. See also the Commentary to Rule 24, dealing with public  
36 availability.

37  
38 Rule 11(g) also provides that the complainant and subject judge must be notified, in the  
39 case of a disposition by the chief circuit judge, of the right to petition the judicial council for  
40 review. A copy of a chief circuit judge’s order and memorandum, which may be one document,  
41 disposing of a complaint must be sent by the clerk to the Judicial Conference Committee on  
42 Judicial Conduct and Disability.

ARTICLE IV. INVESTIGATION AND REPORT BY SPECIAL COMMITTEE

Rule 12. Composition of Special Committees.

(a) **Membership.** Except as provided in (e), a special committee appointed pursuant to Rule 11(f) must consist of the chief circuit judge and equal numbers of circuit and district judges. If the complaint is about a district judge, bankruptcy judge, or magistrate judge, the district judge members of the committee must be from districts, where possible, other than the district of the subject judge. In the case of the courts named in 28 U.S.C. § 363, the committee must be selected from the judges serving on the subject judge's court.

(b) **Presiding Officer.** At the time of appointing the committee, the chief circuit judge must designate one of its members (who may be the chief circuit judge) as the presiding officer. When designating another member of the committee as the presiding officer, the chief circuit judge may also delegate to such member the authority to direct the clerk of the court of appeals to issue subpoenas related to proceedings of the committee.

(c) **Bankruptcy Judge or Magistrate Judge as Advisor.** If the judicial officer complained about is a bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge, the chief circuit judge may designate a bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge, as the case may be, to serve as an advisor to the committee. The chief circuit judge must designate such an advisor if, within fourteen days of notification of the appointment of the committee, the subject bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge requests that an advisor be designated. Except for the Court of Federal Claims special masters appointed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(c), the advisor must be from a district other than the district of the subject bankruptcy judge or subject magistrate judge. The advisor will not vote but will have the other privileges of a member of the committee.

(d) **Provision of Documents.** The chief circuit judge must certify to each other member of the committee and to the advisor, if any, copies of the complaint form and statement of facts in whole or relevant part, and any other documents on file pertaining to the complaint or to the relevant part referred to the special committee.

(e) **Continuing Qualification of Committee Members.** A member of a special committee who was qualified to serve at the time of appointment may continue to serve on the committee even though the member relinquishes the position of chief circuit judge, active circuit judge, or active district judge, as the case may be, but only if the member continues to hold office under Article III, Section 1, of the Constitution of the United States, or pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 171.

(f) **Inability of Committee Member to Complete Service.** In the event that a member of a special committee can no longer serve because of death, disability, disqualification, resignation, retirement from office, or other reason, the chief circuit judge must determine whether to appoint a replacement member, either a circuit or district judge as needed under (a). However, no special committee appointed under these Rules may function with only a single member, and the voting requirements for a two-member committee must be applied as if the committee had three members.

(g) **Voting.** All actions by a committee shall be by vote of a majority of all members of the committee.

**DRAFT 12/13/07**

1 This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules.  
2

3 Rule 12 leaves the size of a special committee flexible, to be determined on a case-by-  
4 case basis. The question of committee size is one that should be weighed with care in view of  
5 the potential for consuming the members' time; a large committee should be appointed only if  
6 there is a special reason to do so.  
7

8 Although the Act requires that the chief circuit judge be a member of each special  
9 committee, 28 U.S.C. § 353(a)(1), it does not require that the chief circuit judge preside.  
10

11 Title 28 U.S.C. § 356(a) provides that a special committee will have full subpoena  
12 powers as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 332(d). Section 332(d)(1) provides that subpoenas will be  
13 issued on behalf of judicial councils by the clerk of the court of appeals "at the direction of the  
14 chief judge of the circuit or his designee." Rule 12(b) allows the chief circuit judge, when  
15 designating someone else as presiding officer, to make an explicit delegation of the authority to  
16 direct the issuance of subpoenas related to committee proceedings.  
17

18 Rule 12(c) provides that the chief circuit judge may appoint a bankruptcy judge or  
19 magistrate judge as an advisor to a special committee, either sua sponte or at the request of the  
20 subject judge.  
21

22 The Rule provides that the advisor will have all the privileges of a member of a  
23 committee except a vote. The advisor may therefore participate in all deliberations of the  
24 committee, may question witnesses at hearings, and may write a separate statement to accompany  
25 the report of the special committee to the judicial council.  
26

27 Rule 12(e) provides that a member of a special committee who remains an Article III  
28 judge may continue to serve on the committee even though the member's status otherwise  
29 changes. Thus, a committee that originally consisted of the chief circuit judge and an equal  
30 number of circuit and district judges, as required by the law, may continue to function even  
31 though changes of status alter that composition. This provision reflects the belief that stability of  
32 membership will contribute to the quality of the work of such committees.  
33

34 Stability of membership is also the principal concern animating Rule 12(f), which deals  
35 with the case in which a special committee loses a member before its work is complete. The  
36 Rule would permit the chief circuit judge to determine whether a replacement member should be  
37 appointed. Generally, appointment of a replacement member is desirable in these situations  
38 unless the committee has conducted evidentiary hearings before the vacancy occurs. However,  
39 cases may arise in which a committee is in the late stages of its work, and in which it would be  
40 difficult for a new member to play a meaningful role. The Rule preserves the collegial character  
41 of the committee process by prohibiting a single surviving member from serving as a committee  
42 and by providing that a committee of two surviving members will, in essence, operate under a  
43 unanimity rule.  
44

45 Rule 12(g) provides that actions of a special committee will be by vote of a majority of all  
46 the members. All the members of a committee should participate in committee decisions. In that  
47 circumstance, it seems reasonable to require that committee decisions be made by a majority of  
48 the membership, rather than a majority of some smaller quorum.

**Rule 13. Conduct of an Investigation.**

(a) **Extent and Methods of Special Committee Investigation.** Each special committee must determine the extent and methods of the investigation it deems appropriate in light of the allegations of the complaint. If, in the course of the investigation, the committee has cause to believe that the subject judge may have engaged in misconduct or has a disability that is beyond the scope of the complaint, the committee must refer the new matter to the chief circuit judge for action under Rule 5 or Rule 11.

(b) **Criminal Conduct.** If the committee's investigation concerns conduct that may be a crime, the committee must consult with the appropriate prosecutorial authorities to the extent permitted by 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 in an effort to avoid compromising any criminal investigation. However, the committee has final authority regarding the timing and extent of its investigation and formulation of its recommendations.

(c) **Staff.** The committee may arrange for staff assistance in the conduct of the investigation. It may use existing staff of the judicial branch or may arrange, through the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, for the hiring of special staff to assist in the investigation.

(d) **Delegation.** The authority to exercise the committee's subpoena powers may be delegated to the presiding officer. In the case of failure to comply with such subpoena, the judicial council or special committee may institute a contempt proceeding consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 332(d).

Commentary on Rule 13

This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.

Rule 13 and the three Rules that follow are concerned with the way in which a special committee carries out its mission. They reflect the view that a special committee has two roles that are separated in ordinary litigation. First, the committee has an investigative role of the kind that is characteristically left to executive branch agencies or discovery by civil litigants. 28 U.S.C. § 353(c). Second, it has a formalized fact-finding and recommendation-of-disposition role that is characteristically left to juries, judges, or arbitrators. *Id.* Rule 13 generally governs the investigative stage. Even though the same body has responsibility for both roles under the Act, it is important to distinguish between them in order to ensure that appropriate rights are afforded at appropriate times to the subject judge.

One of the difficult questions that can arise is the relationship between proceedings under the Act and criminal investigations. Rule 13(b) assigns responsibility for coordination to the special committee in cases in which criminal conduct is suspected, but gives the committee the authority to determine the appropriate pace of its activity in light of any criminal investigation. A special committee may be barred from disclosing some information to a prosecutor or grand jury under the Act. This provision is discussed in the Commentary to Rule 23.

Rule 13(d) permits the committee, in its discretion, to delegate any of its duties to subcommittees, individual committee members, or staff. This is consistent with the general principle, expressed in Rule 13(a), that each special committee will determine the methods of

1 conducting the investigation that are appropriate in light of the allegations of the particular  
2 complaint. The ultimate duty of adopting a report may not be delegated. Rule 13(d) suggests  
3 that, where the chief circuit judge designates someone else as presiding officer of a special  
4 committee, the presiding officer also be delegated the authority to direct the clerk of the court of  
5 appeals to issue subpoenas related to committee proceedings. That is not intended to imply,  
6 however, that the decision to use the subpoena power is exercisable by the presiding officer  
7 alone. Under Rule 13(d), the committee must decide whether to delegate that decision-making  
8 authority.  
9

10  
11 **Rule 14. Conduct of Hearings by Special Committee.**  
12

13 **(a) Purpose of Hearings.** The committee may hold hearings to take testimony and  
14 receive other evidence, to hear argument, or both. If the committee is investigating  
15 allegations against more than one judge, it may, in its discretion, hold joint or separate  
16 hearings.

17 **(b) Committee Evidence.** Material, non-redundant evidence must be obtained by  
18 the committee in the form it deems appropriate, subject to the requirements of Rule 15. In  
19 the committee's discretion, evidence may be obtained by committee members, staff, or  
20 both. Witnesses offering testimonial evidence may include the complainant and the subject  
21 judge.

22 **(c) Counsel for Witnesses.** Whether witnesses, other than the subject judge, who  
23 has the right to counsel, may have counsel present when they testify is left to the discretion  
24 of the special committee.

25 **(d) Witness Fees.** Witness fees must be paid as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 1821.

26 **(e) Oath.** All testimony taken at such a hearing must be given under oath or  
27 affirmation.

28 **(f) Rules of Evidence.** The Federal Rules of Evidence do not apply to special  
29 committee hearings.

30 **(g) Record and Transcript.** A record and transcript must be made of any hearing  
31 held.  
32

33 **Commentary on Rule 14**  
34

35 This Rule is adapted from section 353 of the Act and the Illustrative Rules.  
36

37 Rule 14 is concerned with the conduct of fact-finding hearings. Special committee  
38 hearings will normally be held only after the investigative work has been completed and the  
39 committee has concluded that there is sufficient evidence to warrant a formal fact-finding  
40 proceeding. Special committee proceedings are primarily inquisitorial rather than adversarial.  
41 Accordingly, the Federal Rules of Evidence do not apply to such hearings. Inevitably, a hearing  
42 will have something of an adversary character. Nevertheless, that tendency should be moderated  
43 to the extent possible. Even though a proceeding will commonly have investigative and hearing  
44 stages, committee members should not regard themselves as prosecutors one day and judges the  
45 next. Their duty -- and that of their staff -- is at all times to be impartial seekers of the truth.  
46

47 Rule 14(b) contemplates that material evidence will be obtained by the committee, and  
48 presented in the form of affidavits, live testimony, etc. Staff or others who are organizing the

1 hearings should regard it as their role to present evidence representing the entire picture. With  
2 respect to testimonial evidence, the subject judge should normally be called as a committee  
3 witness. Cases may arise in which the judge will not testify voluntarily. In such cases, subpoena  
4 powers are available, subject to the normal testimonial privileges. Although Rule 15(b) affords  
5 the statutory right of the subject judge to call witnesses on his or her own behalf, exercise of this  
6 right should not usually be necessary.

7  
8  
9 **Rule 15. Rights of Subject Judge.**

10  
11 **(a) Notice.** The subject judge is entitled to written notice of the appointment of a  
12 special committee under Rule 11(f) and, written notice of expansion of the scope of an  
13 investigation under Rule 13(a). The subject judge must be given notice in writing of any  
14 hearing under Rule 14, its purposes, the names of any witnesses whom the committee  
15 intends to call, and the text of any statements that have been taken from such witnesses.  
16 The subject judge may suggest additional witnesses to the committee. The subject judge  
17 must be sent the report of the special committee at the time it is filed with the judicial  
18 council.

19 **(b) Presentation of Evidence.** At any hearing held pursuant to Rule 14, the subject  
20 judge has the right to present evidence, and to compel the attendance of witnesses and the  
21 production of documents. At the request of the subject judge, the chief circuit judge or the  
22 judge's designee must direct the clerk of the court of appeals to issue a subpoena to a  
23 witness in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 332(d)(1). The subject judge must be afforded the  
24 opportunity to cross-examine committee witnesses, in person or by counsel.

25 **(c) Presentation of Argument.** The subject judge may submit written argument to  
26 the special committee, and must be given a reasonable opportunity to present oral  
27 argument at an appropriate stage of the investigation.

28 **(d) Attendance at Hearings.** The subject judge must have the right to attend any  
29 hearing held pursuant to Rule 14 and to receive copies of the transcript and any documents  
30 introduced, as well as to receive copies of any written arguments submitted by the  
31 complainant to the committee.

32 **(e) Representation by Counsel.** The subject judge may choose to be represented by  
33 counsel in the exercise of any of the rights enumerated in this Rule. The costs of such  
34 representation may be borne by the United States as provided in Rule 20(e).

35  
36 **Commentary on Rule 15**

37  
38 This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules.

39  
40 The Act states that these Rules must contain provisions requiring that “the judge whose  
41 conduct is the subject of a complaint . . . be afforded an opportunity to appear (in person or by  
42 counsel) at proceedings conducted by the investigating panel, to present oral and documentary  
43 evidence, to compel the attendance of witnesses or the production of documents, to cross-  
44 examine witnesses, and to present argument orally or in writing.” 28 U.S.C. § 358(b)(2). To  
45 implement this provision, Rule 15(d) gives the judge the right to attend any hearing for the  
46 purpose of receiving evidence of record or hearing argument under Rule 14.  
47

1 The Act does not require that the subject judge be permitted to attend all proceedings of  
2 the special committee. Accordingly, the Rules do not give a right to attend other proceedings --  
3 for example, meetings at which the committee is engaged in investigative activity, such as  
4 interviewing persons to learn whether they ought to be called as witnesses or examining for  
5 relevance purposes documents delivered pursuant to a subpoena duces tecum, or meetings in  
6 which the committee is deliberating on the evidence or its recommendations.

7  
8  
9 **Rule 16. Rights of Complainant in Investigation.**

10  
11 (a) **Notice.** The complainant is entitled to written notice of the investigation as  
12 provided in Rule 11(g)(3). When the special committee's report to the judicial council is  
13 filed, the complainant must be notified of the filing. The judicial council may, in its  
14 discretion, provide a copy of the report of a special committee to the complainant.

15 (b) **Opportunity to Provide Evidence.** A representative of the committee must  
16 interview the complainant if the committee determines that the complainant may have  
17 evidence that does not already exist in writing.

18 (c) **Presentation of Argument.** The complainant may submit written argument to  
19 the special committee. In the discretion of the special committee, the complainant may be  
20 permitted to offer oral argument.

21 (d) **Representation by Counsel.** A complainant may submit written argument  
22 through counsel and, if permitted to offer oral argument, may do so through counsel.

23 (e) **Cooperation.** In the exercise of discretion under this Rule, a special committee  
24 may take into account the degree of the complainant's cooperation in preserving the  
25 confidentiality of the proceedings, including the identity of the subject judge.

26  
27 **Commentary on Rule 16**

28  
29 This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules.

30  
31 In accordance with the view of the process as fundamentally administrative and  
32 inquisitorial, these Rules do not give the complainant the rights of a party to litigation, and leave  
33 the complainant's role largely to the discretion of the special committee. However, Rule 16(b)  
34 provides that, where a special committee has been appointed, the complainant will be  
35 interviewed by a representative of the committee. Such an interview may be in person or by  
36 telephone, and the representative of the committee may be either a member or staff.

37  
38 Rule 16 does not contemplate that the complainant will ordinarily be permitted to attend  
39 proceedings of the special committee except when testifying or presenting oral argument. A  
40 special committee may exercise its discretion to permit the complainant to be present at its  
41 proceedings, or to permit the complainant, individually or through counsel, to participate in the  
42 examination or cross-examination of witnesses.

43  
44 The Act authorizes an exception to the normal confidentiality provisions where the  
45 judicial council in its discretion provides a copy of the report of the special committee to the  
46 complainant and to the subject judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(1). However, the Rules do not entitle  
47 the complainant to a copy of the special committee's report.  
48

1 In exercising their discretion regarding the role of the complainant, the special committee  
2 and the judicial council should protect the confidentiality of the complaint process. As a  
3 consequence, subsection (e) provides that a special committee may consider the degree to which  
4 a complainant has cooperated in preserving the confidentiality of the proceedings in determining  
5 what role beyond the minimum required by these Rules should be given to that complainant.  
6

7  
8 **Rule 17. Special Committee Report.**  
9

10 **The committee must file with the judicial council a comprehensive report of its**  
11 **investigation, including findings and recommendations for council action. The report must**  
12 **be accompanied by a statement of the vote by which it was adopted, any separate or**  
13 **dissenting statements of committee members, and the record of any hearings held pursuant**  
14 **to Rule 14. A copy of the report and accompanying statement must be sent to the Judicial**  
15 **Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.**  
16

17 **Commentary to Rule 17**  
18

19 This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and is self-explanatory. The provision for  
20 sending a copy of the special committee report and accompanying statement to the Judicial  
21 Conference Committee is new.  
22  
23  
24

25 **ARTICLE V. JUDICIAL COUNCIL REVIEW**  
26

27 **Rule 18. Petitions for Review of Chief Circuit Judge Dispositions Under Rule 11(c), (d), or**  
28 **(e).**  
29

30 **(a) Petitions for Review.** A complainant or subject judge aggrieved by an order of  
31 the chief circuit judge under Rule 11(c), (d), or (e) may petition the judicial council of the  
32 circuit for review of the order. A judicial council may, by rules promulgated under 28  
33 U.S.C. § 358, refer a petition for review filed under this Rule to a panel of no less than five  
34 members of the council, at least two of whom must be district judges.

35 **(b) Time; Form; Where to File.** A petition for review must be filed in the office of  
36 the clerk of the court of appeals within thirty-five days of the date of the clerk's letter to the  
37 complainant and subject judge transmitting the chief circuit judge's order. The petition  
38 should be in letter form, addressed to the clerk of the court of appeals, and in an envelope  
39 marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability Petition" but without the name of the subject  
40 judge. The letter should begin "I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .,"  
41 should be typewritten or otherwise legible, and be signed. The letter should state the  
42 reasons why the petition should be granted.

43 **(c) Receipt and Distribution of Petition.** On receipt of a petition for review filed  
44 within the time allowed and in proper form under these Rules, the clerk of the court of  
45 appeals must acknowledge receipt of the petition and send a copy to the complainant and  
46 subject judge, as the case may be. The clerk must promptly distribute to each member of  
47 the judicial council, or relevant panel thereof, except for any member disqualified under  
48 Rule 25, or make available in the manner provided by local rule, copies of the complaint,

1 all materials obtained by the chief circuit judge in connection with the chief circuit judge's  
2 inquiry, the chief circuit judge's order disposing of the complaint, any memorandum in  
3 support of the chief circuit judge's order, the petition for review, and an appropriate  
4 ballot. The clerk must send the petition for review to the Judicial Conference Committee  
5 on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Copies of the materials obtained by the chief circuit  
6 judge are not to be sent to the Judicial Conference Committee unless requested.

7 (d) Receipt of Untimely Petition. The clerk must refuse to accept a petition that is  
8 received after the deadline set forth in (b).

9 (e) Receipt of Timely Petition not in Proper Form. On receipt of a petition filed  
10 within the time allowed but in a form that is improper to a degree that would substantially  
11 impair its consideration by the judicial council, including a document that is ambiguous  
12 about whether a petition for review is intended, the clerk must acknowledge receipt of the  
13 petition, call the petitioner's attention to the deficiencies, and give the petitioner the  
14 opportunity to correct the deficiencies within twenty-one days of the date of the clerk's  
15 letter or within the original deadline for filing the petition, whichever is later. If the  
16 deficiencies are corrected within the time allowed, the clerk will proceed in accordance  
17 with paragraphs (a) and (c) of this Rule. If the deficiencies are not corrected, the clerk  
18 must reject the petition.

19  
20 Commentary on Rule 18

21 Rule 18 is adapted largely from the Illustrative Rules.

22  
23 Subsection (a) permits a subject judge, as well as the complainant, to petition for review  
24 of a chief judge's order dismissing a complaint under Rule 11(c), or concluding that appropriate  
25 corrective action or intervening events have remedied or mooted the problems raised by the  
26 complaint pursuant to Rule 11(d) or (e). Although ostensibly vindicated, a chief circuit judge's  
27 order may include language disagreeable to the subject judge. For example, an order may  
28 dismiss a complaint, but state that the subject judge did in fact engage in misconduct.  
29 Accordingly, a subject judge may wish to object to the content of the order and is given the  
30 opportunity to petition the judicial council of the circuit for review.  
31

32 Subsection (b) contains a time limit of thirty-five days to file a petition for review. It is  
33 important to establish a time limit on petitions for review of chief circuit judges' dispositions in  
34 order to provide finality to the process. If the complaint requires an investigation, the  
35 investigation should proceed; if it does not, the subject judge should know that the matter is  
36 closed.  
37

38 The standards for timely filing under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure should be  
39 applied to petitions for review. See Fed. R. App. P. 25(a)(2)(A) and 25(a)(2)(C).  
40

41 Rule 18(e) provides for an automatic extension of the time if a person files a petition that  
42 is rejected for failure to comply with formal requirements.  
43  
44  
45

46 **Rule 19. Judicial Council Disposition of Petitions for Review.**  
47

1 (a) **Rights of Subject Judge.** At any time after the filing of a petition for review by a  
2 complainant, the subject judge may file a written response with the clerk of the court of  
3 appeals. The clerk must promptly distribute copies of the response to each member of the  
4 judicial council, or relevant panel thereof, who is not disqualified under Rule 25, to the  
5 chief circuit judge, to the complainant, and to the Judicial Conference Committee on  
6 Judicial Conduct and Disability. The subject judge may not otherwise communicate with  
7 individual council members about the matter. The subject judge must be provided with  
8 copies of any communications to the judicial council by the complainant.

9 (b) **Judicial Council Action.** Upon consideration of a petition for review and after  
10 consideration of the materials before it, a judicial council may:

11 (1) affirm the chief circuit judge's disposition;

12 (2) return the matter to the chief circuit judge with directions to conduct a  
13 further inquiry under Rule 11(b) or to identify a complaint under Rule 5;

14 (3) return the matter to the chief circuit judge with directions to appoint a  
15 special committee under Rule 11(f); or

16 (4) in exceptional circumstances, take other appropriate action.

17 (c) **Notice of Council Decision.** The order of the judicial council, together with any  
18 accompanying memorandum in support of the order or separate concurring or dissenting  
19 statements, must be provided to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial  
20 Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.

21 (d) **Memorandum of Council Decision.** If the order of the council affirms the chief  
22 circuit judge's disposition, a supporting memorandum must be prepared only if the  
23 judicial council concludes that there is a need to supplement the chief circuit judge's  
24 explanation. A memorandum supporting a council order must not include the name of the  
25 complainant or the subject judge.

26 (e) **Review of Judicial Council Decision.** If the judicial council's decision is adverse  
27 to the petitioner and no member of the council dissented on the ground that a special  
28 committee should be appointed pursuant to Rule 11(f), the complainant must be notified  
29 that there is no right of review of the decision. If there was such a dissent, the petitioner  
30 must be informed that he or she can file a petition for review under Rule 21(b) solely of the  
31 issue of whether a special committee should be appointed.

32 (f) **Public Availability of Judicial Council Decision.** Materials related to the  
33 council's decision must be made public to the extent, at the time, and in the manner set  
34 forth in Rule 24.

35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  

Commentary to Rule 19

This Rule is largely adapted from the Act and is self-explanatory.

The council should ordinarily review the decision of the chief circuit judge on the merits, treating the petition for review for all practical purposes as an appeal. The judicial council may respond to a petition by affirming the chief circuit judge's order, remanding the matter, or, in exceptional cases, taking other appropriate action. The "exceptional cases" language would, inter alia, permit the council to deny review rather than affirm in a case in which the process was obviously being abused.

**Rule 20. Judicial Council Consideration of Reports and Recommendations of Special Committees.**

(a) **Rights of Subject Judge.** Within twenty-one days after the filing of the report of a special committee, the subject judge may send a written response to the members of the judicial council. The judge must also be given an opportunity to present argument through counsel, written or oral as determined by the council. The judge may not otherwise communicate with council members about the matter.

(b) **Judicial Council Actions.** Subject to the rights of the subject judge in Subsection (a), the judicial council, acting on the basis of the report and recommendations of, and record before, the special committee, may:

(1) **dismiss the complaint because:**

(A) the claimed conduct, even if the claim is true, is not conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts and does not indicate a mental or physical disability resulting in inability to discharge the duties of office;

(B) the complaint is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling;

(C) the facts on which the complaint is based have not been established; or

(D) the complaint is otherwise not appropriate for consideration under 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

(2) **conclude the proceeding because appropriate corrective action has already been taken to remedy the problem identified in the complaint, or intervening events make such action unnecessary.**

(3) **in its discretion, refer the complaint to the Judicial Conference of the United States with the council's recommendations for action. A judicial council must refer a complaint to the Judicial Conference if the council determines that a circuit judge or district judge may have engaged in conduct:**

(A) that might constitute ground for impeachment; or

(B) that, in the interest of justice, is not amenable to resolution by the judicial council.

(4) **take remedial action to ensure the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts, including but not limited to:**

(A) censuring or reprimanding the subject judge, either by private communication or by public announcement;

(B) ordering that, for a fixed temporary period, no new cases be assigned to the subject judge;

(C) in the case of a magistrate judge, ordering the chief judge of the district court to take action specified by the council, including the initiation of removal proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 631(i) or 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(c)(2);

(D) in the case of a bankruptcy judge, removing the judge from office pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 152(e);

(E) in the case of a circuit or district judge, requesting the judge to retire

1 voluntarily with the provision (if necessary) that ordinary length-of-  
2 service requirements will be waived; and

3 (F) in the case of a circuit or district judge who is eligible to retire but  
4 does not do so, certifying the disability of the judge under 28 U.S.C. § 372(b)  
5 so that an additional judge may be appointed.

6 (5) take any combination of actions described in (b)(1)-(4) of this Rule that is  
7 within its power.

8 (c) **Inadequate Basis for Decision.** If the judicial council finds that the report,  
9 recommendations, and record of a special committee provide an inadequate basis for  
10 decision, it may return the matter to the committee for further investigation and a new  
11 report or conduct such further investigation as the council deems appropriate. If the  
12 judicial council decides to conduct additional investigation, the subject judge must be given  
13 adequate prior notice in writing of that proposed decision and of the general scope and  
14 purpose of the additional investigation. The judicial council's conduct of the additional  
15 investigation must be generally in accordance with the procedures and powers set forth in  
16 Rules 13 through 16 for the conduct of an investigation by a special committee.

17 (d) **Council Vote.** Council action must be taken by a majority of those members of the  
18 council who are not disqualified, except that a decision to remove a bankruptcy judge from  
19 office requires a majority vote of all the members of the council.

20 (e) **Recommendation for Fee Reimbursement.** On the request of a subject judge, the  
21 judicial council may, if the complaint has been finally dismissed or concluded under (b)(1)  
22 or (2) of this Rule, recommend that the Director of the Administrative Office of the United  
23 States Courts award reimbursement, from funds appropriated to the Judiciary, for those  
24 reasonable expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred by that judge during the  
25 investigation, including a successful defense or prosecution of a proceeding under Rule  
26 21(a) or (b), which would not have been incurred but for the requirements of the Act and  
27 these Rules.

28 (f) **Council Action.** Council action must be by written order. Unless the council finds  
29 that, for extraordinary reasons, it would be contrary to the interests of justice, the order  
30 must be accompanied by a memorandum setting forth the factual determinations on which  
31 it is based and the reasons for the council action. The memorandum must not include the  
32 name of the complainant or of the subject judge. The order and the supporting  
33 memorandum must be provided to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial  
34 Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. The complainant and the  
35 judge must be notified of any right to review of the judicial council's decision as provided  
36 in Rule 21(b).  
37  
38

39 Commentary on Rule 20

40 This Rule is largely adapted from the Illustrative Rules.  
41

42  
43 Within twenty-one days after the filing of the report of a special committee, the subject  
44 judge may address a written response to all of the members of the judicial council. The subject  
45 judge must also be given an opportunity to present oral argument to the council, personally or  
46 through counsel. The subject judge may not otherwise communicate with council members  
47 about the matter.  
48

1 If the judicial council decides to conduct an additional investigation, the subject judge  
2 must be given adequate prior notice in writing of that decision and of the general scope and  
3 purpose of the additional investigation. The conduct of the investigation will be generally in  
4 accordance with the procedures set forth in Rules 13 through 16 for the conduct of an  
5 investigation by a special committee. However, if hearings are held, the council may limit  
6 testimony or the presentation of evidence to avoid unnecessary repetition of testimony and  
7 evidence before the special committee.  
8

9 Council action must be taken by a majority of those members of the council who are not  
10 disqualified, except that a decision to remove a bankruptcy judge from office requires a majority  
11 of all the members of the council as required by 28 U.S.C. § 152(e). However, it is inappropriate  
12 to apply a similar rule to the less severe actions that a judicial council may take under the Act. If  
13 some members of the council are disqualified in the matter, their disqualification should not be  
14 given the effect of a vote against council action.  
15

16 With regard to Rule 20(e), the judicial council, on the request of the subject judge, may  
17 recommend to the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts that the  
18 subject judge be reimbursed for reasonable expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred. The  
19 judicial council has the authority to recommend such reimbursement where, after investigation  
20 by a special committee, the complaint has been finally dismissed or concluded under subsection  
21 (b)(1) or (2) of this Rule. It is contemplated that such reimbursement may be provided for the  
22 successful prosecution or defense of a proceeding under Rule 21(a) or (b), in other words, one  
23 that results in a Rule 20(b)(1) or (2) dismissal or conclusion.  
24

25 Rule 20(f) requires that council action normally be supported with a memorandum of  
26 factual determinations and reasons and that notice of the action be given to the complainant and  
27 the subject judge. Rule 20(f) also requires that the notification to the complainant and the subject  
28 judge include notice of any right to petition for review of the council's decision under Rule  
29 21(b).  
30  
31  
32

## 33 **ARTICLE VI. REVIEW BY JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON CONDUCT** 34 **AND DISABILITY**

### 35 **Rule 21. Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.**

36  
37  
38 **(a) Review by Committee. The Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability will**  
39 **consist of seven members. It will consider and dispose of all petitions for review under (b)**  
40 **of this Rule, in conformity with the Committee's jurisdictional statement. The**  
41 **Committee's disposition of petitions for review will ordinarily be final. However, the**  
42 **Judicial Conference of the United States, in its sole discretion, may review any such**  
43 **Committee decision. The Judicial Conference's authority in this regard does not give a**  
44 **complainant or subject judge a right to such review.**

#### 45 **(b) Reviewable Matters.**

46 **(1) A complainant or subject judge may petition the Committee for review of an**  
47 **order of a judicial council entered:**

48 **(A) pursuant to Rule 20(b)(1), (2), (4) or (5); or**

1 (B) pursuant to Rule 19(b)(1) or (4), if one or more members of the judicial  
2 council dissented from the order on the ground that a special committee  
3 should be appointed under Rule 11(f). In such a case, the Committee's  
4 review will be limited to the issue of whether a special committee should be  
5 appointed.

6 (2) The Committee may, at its initiative and in its sole discretion, review any  
7 order of a judicial council entered pursuant to Rule 19(b)(1) or (4), but only as to  
8 whether a special committee should be appointed. Before undertaking such a  
9 review, the Committee must invite that judicial council to explain why it believes  
10 the appointment of a special committee unnecessary, unless the reasons are  
11 clearly stated in the judicial council's order denying the petition for review. If  
12 the Committee believes that it would benefit from a submission by the subject  
13 judge, it may issue an appropriate request. If the Committee determines that a  
14 special committee should be appointed, the Committee must issue a written  
15 decision giving its reasons.

16 (c) Committee Vote. Committee decisions under (b) of this Rule shall be by majority  
17 vote of the members of the Committee not from the same circuit as the subject judge. If  
18 only six members are qualified to vote on a petition for review, the decision shall be made  
19 by a majority of a panel of five members drawn from a randomly selected list that rotates  
20 after each decision by a panel drawn from the list. The members who are to determine the  
21 petition shall be selected based on the membership of the committee as of the date on which  
22 the petition is received. Those members selected to hear the petition should serve in that  
23 capacity until final disposition of the petition whether or not their term of committee  
24 membership has terminated. If only four members are qualified to vote, the Chief Justice  
25 must appoint an ex-member of the Committee, if available, or other United States judge, if  
26 not, to consider the petition.

27 (d) Additional Investigation. Absent extraordinary circumstances, the Committee  
28 will not conduct an additional investigation. However, the Committee may return the  
29 matter to the judicial council with directions to undertake an additional investigation.  
30 Should the Committee conduct an additional investigation, it will exercise the powers of the  
31 Judicial Conference under 28 U.S.C. § 331.

32 (e) Oral Argument; Personal Appearance. There will ordinarily be no oral  
33 arguments or personal appearances before the Committee. In its discretion, the Committee  
34 may permit written submissions from the complainant or subject judge.

35 (f) Committee Decisions. Committee decisions under this Rule shall be transmitted  
36 promptly to the Judicial Conference of the United States. Other distribution will be by the  
37 Administrative Office at the direction of the Committee chair. Such orders must be  
38 maintained as public documents by the Administrative Office and by the clerk of the court  
39 for the circuit or court named in 28 U.S.C. § 363 in which the complaint arose.

40 (g) Finality. All orders of the Judicial Conference or of the Committee (when the  
41 Conference does not exercise its power of review) are final and conclusive.

42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

This Rule is largely self-explanatory.

Rule 21(a) is intended to clarify that the delegation of power to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability to dispose of petitions does not preclude review of

1 such dispositions by the Conference. However, there is no right to such review in any party.

2  
3 Rules 21(b)(1)(B) and (2) are intended to fill a jurisdictional gap as to review of  
4 dismissals or conclusions of complaints under Rule 19(b)(1) or (4). Where one or more members  
5 of a judicial council reviewing a petition have dissented on the ground that a special committee  
6 should have been appointed, the complainant or subject judge has the right to petition for review  
7 by the Committee but only as to that issue. Under Rule 21(b)(2), the Judicial Conference  
8 Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability may review such a dismissal or conclusion in its  
9 sole discretion, whether or not such a dissent occurred, and only as to appointment of a special  
10 committee. No party has a right to such review, and such review will be rare.

11  
12 Rule 21(c) provides for review only by Committee members from circuits other than that  
13 of the subject judge. To avoid tie votes, the Committee will decide petitions for review by  
14 rotating panels of five when only six members are qualified. If only four members are qualified,  
15 the Chief Justice must appoint an additional judge to consider that petition for review.

16  
17  
18 **Rule 22. Procedures for Review.**

19  
20 (a) **Filing a Petition for Review.** A petition for review of a decision of the judicial  
21 council may be filed by sending a brief written statement to the Judicial Conference  
22 Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, addressed to:

23 **Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability**  
24 **Attn: Office of General Counsel**  
25 **Administrative Office of the United States Courts**  
26 **One Columbus Circle, NE**  
27 **Washington, D.C. 20544**

28 **The Administrative Office shall send a copy of the petition to the complainant or subject**  
29 **judge, as the case may be.**

30 (b) **Form and Contents of Petition for Review.** No particular form is required. The  
31 petition must contain a short statement of the basic facts underlying the complaint, the  
32 history of its consideration before the appropriate judicial council, a copy of the decision of  
33 the judicial council, and the grounds on which the petitioner seeks review. The petition for  
34 review must specify the date and docket number of the order of the judicial council for  
35 which review is sought. The petitioner may attach any documents or correspondence  
36 arising in the course of the proceeding before the judicial council or its special committee  
37 that the petitioner deems essential or useful to the prompt disposition of the review  
38 petition. A petition should not normally exceed twenty pages, plus necessary attachments.

39 (c) **Time.** A petition must be submitted within sixty-three days of the date of the  
40 order for which review is sought.

41 (d) **Copies.** Five copies of the petition for review must be submitted, at least one of  
42 which must be signed by the petitioner or his or her attorney. If the petitioner submits a  
43 signed declaration of inability to pay the expense of duplicating the petition, the  
44 Administrative Office must accept the original petition and must reproduce copies at its  
45 expense.

46 (e) **Action on Receipt of Petition for Review.** The Administrative Office must  
47 acknowledge receipt of a petition for review submitted under this Rule, and must notify the  
48 chair of the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. The

1 **Administrative Office must distribute the petition to the members of the Committee for**  
2 **their deliberation.**

3  
4 **Commentary on Rule 22**

5  
6 Rule 22 is self-explanatory.  
7

8  
9  
10 **ARTICLE VII. MISCELLANEOUS RULES**

11  
12  
13 **Rule 23. Confidentiality.**

14  
15 (a) **General Rule.** The consideration of a complaint by the chief circuit judge, a  
16 special committee, the judicial council, or the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial  
17 Conduct and Disability is confidential. Information about such consideration must not be  
18 disclosed by any judge or employee of the judicial branch or by any person who records or  
19 transcribes testimony except in accordance with these Rules. However, in extraordinary  
20 circumstances, a chief circuit judge may disclose the existence of a proceeding under these  
21 Rules where necessary to maintain public confidence in the federal judiciary's ability to  
22 redress misconduct or disability.

23 (b) **Files.** All files related to complaints must be separately maintained with  
24 appropriate security precautions to ensure confidentiality.

25 (c) **Disclosure in Decisions.** Except where otherwise provided in Rule 24, written  
26 decisions of the chief circuit judge, the judicial council, or Judicial Conference Committee  
27 on Judicial Conduct and Disability, and dissenting opinions or separate statements of  
28 members of the council or Committee, may contain such information and exhibits as the  
29 authors deem appropriate, and such information and exhibits may be made public.

30 (d) **Availability to Judicial Conference.** On request of the Judicial Conference or its  
31 Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, the clerk of a court of appeals must furnish  
32 any records related to a complaint that are requested.

33 (e) **Availability to District Court.** In the event that the judicial council directs the  
34 initiation of proceedings for removal of a magistrate judge under Rule 20(b)(4)(C), the  
35 clerk of the court of appeals must provide to the chief judge of the district court copies of  
36 the report of the special committee and any other documents and records that were before  
37 the judicial council at the time of its determination. On request of the chief judge of the  
38 district court, the judicial council may authorize release to that chief judge of any other  
39 records relating to the investigation.

40 (f) **Impeachment Proceedings.** If the Judicial Conference determines that  
41 consideration of impeachment may be warranted, it must transmit the record of all  
42 relevant proceedings to the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

43 (g) **Consent of Subject Judge.** Any materials from the files may be disclosed to any  
44 person on the written consent of both the subject judge and the chief circuit judge. In any  
45 such disclosure, the chief circuit judge may require that the identity of the complainant, or  
46 of witnesses in an investigation conducted by a special committee or the judicial council,  
47 not be revealed.

48 (h) **Disclosure in Special Circumstances.** The Judicial Conference, its Committee

1 on Judicial Conduct and Disability, or a judicial council may authorize disclosure of  
2 information about the consideration of a complaint, including the papers, documents, and  
3 transcripts relating to the investigation, to the extent that such disclosure is justified by  
4 special circumstances and is not prohibited by the Act. Such disclosure may be made to  
5 Judiciary researchers engaged in the study or evaluation of experience under the Act and  
6 related modes of judicial discipline, but only where such study or evaluation has been  
7 specifically approved by the Judicial Conference or by the Judicial Conference Committee  
8 on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Appropriate steps must be taken to protect the  
9 identities of the judge complained against, the complainant, and witnesses from public  
10 disclosure, and other appropriate safeguards to protect against the dissemination of  
11 confidential information may be imposed.

12 (i) Disclosure of Identity by Subject Judge. Nothing in this Rule precludes the  
13 subject judge from acknowledging that he or she is the judge referred to in documents  
14 made public pursuant to Rule 24.

15 (j) Assistance and Consultation. Nothing in this Rule precludes the chief circuit  
16 judge or judicial council, for purposes of acting on a complaint filed under the Act, from  
17 seeking the assistance of qualified staff, or from consulting other judges who may be  
18 helpful in the process of complaint disposition.

19  
20 Commentary on Rule 23

21  
22 Rule 23 was adapted from the Illustrative Rules.

23  
24 The Act applies a rule of confidentiality to “papers, documents, and records of  
25 proceedings related to investigations conducted under this chapter” and states that they may not  
26 be disclosed “by any person in any proceeding,” with enumerated exceptions. 28 U.S.C. §  
27 360(a). Three questions arise: Who is bound by the confidentiality rule, what proceedings are  
28 subject to the rule, and who is within the circle of people who may have access to information  
29 without breaching the rule?

30  
31 With regard to the first question, Rule 23(a) provides that judges, employees of the  
32 judicial branch, and those persons involved in recording proceedings and preparing transcripts  
33 are obliged to respect the confidentiality requirement. This of course includes subject judges  
34 who do not consent to identification under Rule 23(i).

35  
36 With regard to the second question, Rule 23(a) applies the rule of confidentiality broadly  
37 to consideration of a complaint at any stage.

38  
39 With regard to the third question, there is no barrier of confidentiality among a chief  
40 circuit judge, judicial council, the Judicial Conference, and the Judicial Conference Committee  
41 on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Each may have access to any of the confidential records for  
42 use in their consideration of a referred matter, a petition for review, or monitoring the  
43 administration of the Act. It is clear that a district court may have similar access if the judicial  
44 council orders the district court to initiate proceedings to remove a magistrate judge from office,  
45 and Rule 23(e) so provides.

46  
47 In extraordinary circumstances, a chief circuit judge may disclose the existence of a  
48 proceeding under these Rules. The disclosure of such information in high-visibility or

1 controversial cases is to reassure the public that the federal judiciary is capable of redressing  
2 judicial misconduct or disability. Moreover, the confidentiality requirement does not prevent the  
3 chief circuit judge from “communicat[ing] orally or in writing with . . . [persons] who may have  
4 knowledge of the matter,” as part of a limited inquiry conducted by the chief circuit judge under  
5 Rule 11(b).

6  
7 Rule 23 recognizes that there must be some exceptions to the Act’s confidentiality  
8 requirement. For example, the Act requires that certain orders and the reasons for them must be  
9 made public. 28 U.S.C. § 360(b). Rule 23(c) makes it explicit that memoranda supporting chief  
10 circuit judge and council orders, as well as dissenting opinions and separate statements, may  
11 contain references to information that would otherwise be confidential and that such information  
12 may be made public. However, subsection (c) is subject to Rule 24(a) which provides the  
13 general rule regarding the public availability of decisions. For example, the name of a subject  
14 judge cannot be made public in a decision if disclosure of the name is prohibited by that Rule.

15  
16 The Act makes it clear that there is a barrier of confidentiality between the judicial branch  
17 and the legislative. It provides that material may be disclosed to Congress only if it is believed  
18 necessary to an impeachment investigation or trial of a judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(2).  
19 Accordingly, section 355(b) of the Act requires the Judicial Conference to transmit the record of  
20 the proceeding to the House of Representatives if the Conference believes that impeachment of a  
21 subject judge may be appropriate. Rule 23(f) implements this requirement.

22  
23 The Act provides that confidential materials may be disclosed if authorized in writing by  
24 the subject judge and by the chief circuit judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(3). Rule 23(g) implements  
25 this requirement. Once the subject judge has consented to the disclosure of confidential  
26 materials related to a complaint, the chief circuit judge ordinarily will refuse consent only to the  
27 extent necessary to protect the confidentiality interests of the complainant or of witnesses who  
28 have testified in investigatory proceedings or who have provided information in response to a  
29 limited inquiry undertaken pursuant to Rule 11. It will generally be necessary, therefore, for the  
30 chief circuit judge to require that the identities of the complainant or of such witnesses, as well as  
31 any identifying information, be shielded in any materials disclosed, except insofar as the chief  
32 circuit judge has secured the consent of the complainant or of a particular witness to disclosure,  
33 or there is a demonstrated need for disclosure of the information that, in the judgment of the chief  
34 circuit judge, outweighs the confidentiality interest of the complainant or of a particular witness  
35 (as may be the case where the complainant was delusional or where the complainant or a  
36 particular witness has already demonstrated a lack of concern about maintaining the  
37 confidentiality of the proceedings).

38  
39 Rule 23(h) permits disclosure of additional information in circumstances not enumerated.  
40 For example, disclosure may be appropriate to permit a prosecution for perjury based on  
41 testimony given before a special committee. Another example might involve evidence of  
42 criminal conduct by a judge discovered by a special committee.

43  
44 Subsection (h) also permits the authorization of disclosure of information about the  
45 consideration of a complaint, including the papers, documents, and transcripts relating to the  
46 investigation, to Judiciary researchers engaged in the study or evaluation of experience under the  
47 Act and related modes of judicial discipline. The Rule envisions disclosure of information from  
48 the official record of complaint proceedings to a limited category of persons for appropriately

1 authorized research purposes only, and with appropriate safeguards to protect individual  
2 identities in any published research results that ensue. In authorizing disclosure, the judicial  
3 council may refuse to release particular materials when such release would be contrary to the  
4 interests of justice, or that constitute purely internal communications. The Rule does not  
5 envision any disclosure of purely internal communications between judges and their colleagues  
6 and staff.

7  
8 Under Rule 23(j), chief circuit judges and judicial councils may seek staff assistance or  
9 consult with other judges who may be helpful in the process of complaint disposition; the  
10 confidentiality requirement does not preclude this. The chief circuit judge, for example, may  
11 properly seek the advice and assistance of another judge who the chief circuit judge deems to be  
12 in the best position to communicate with the subject judge in an attempt to bring about corrective  
13 action. As another example, a new chief circuit judge may wish to confer with a predecessor to  
14 learn how similar complaints have been handled. In consulting with other judges, of course, the  
15 chief circuit judge should disclose information regarding the complaint only to the extent the  
16 chief circuit judge deems necessary under the circumstances.

17  
18  
19 **Rule 24. Public Availability of Decisions.**

20  
21 **(a) General Rule; Specific Cases.** When final action on a complaint has been taken  
22 and is no longer subject to review, all orders entered by the chief circuit judge and judicial  
23 council, including any supporting memoranda and any dissenting opinions or separate  
24 statements by members of the judicial council, must be made public. However:

25 **(1)** If the complaint is finally dismissed under Rule 11(c) without appointment of  
26 a special committee, or if it is concluded under Rule 11(d) because of voluntary  
27 corrective action, the publicly available materials must not disclose the name of  
28 the subject judge without his or her consent.

29 **(2)** If the complaint is concluded because of intervening events, or dismissed at  
30 any time after the appointment of a special committee, the judicial council must  
31 determine whether the name of the subject judge is to be disclosed.

32 **(3)** If the complaint is finally disposed of by a privately communicated censure  
33 or reprimand, the publicly available materials must not disclose either the name  
34 of the subject judge or the text of the reprimand.

35 **(4)** If the complaint is finally disposed of pursuant to Rule 20(b)(4) by any  
36 action other than private censure or reprimand, the text of the dispositive order  
37 must be included in the materials made public, and the name of the subject  
38 judge must be disclosed.

39 **(5)** The name of the complainant must not be disclosed in materials made public  
40 under this Rule unless the chief circuit judge orders such disclosure.

41 **(b) Manner of Making Public.** The orders described in (a) must be made public by  
42 placing them in a publicly accessible file in the office of the clerk of the court of appeals or  
43 by placing such orders on the court's public website. In cases in which such orders appear  
44 to have precedential value, the chief circuit judge may cause them to be published. In  
45 addition, the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability must  
46 make available on the judiciary website, [www.uscourts.gov](http://www.uscourts.gov), selected illustrative orders  
47 described in paragraph (a), appropriately redacted, to provide additional information to  
48 the public on how complaints are addressed under the Act.



1 not be disclosed. Shielding the name of the subject judge in this circumstance should encourage  
2 informal disposition.  
3

4 If a complaint is dismissed as moot, or because intervening events have made action on  
5 the complaint unnecessary, after appointment of a special committee, Rule 24(a)(2) allows the  
6 judicial council to determine whether the subject judge will be identified. In such a case, no final  
7 decision has been rendered on the merits, but it may be in the public interest -- particularly if a  
8 judicial officer resigns in the course of an investigation -- to make the identity of the judge  
9 known.

10  
11 Once a special committee has been appointed, and a proceeding is concluded by the full  
12 council on the basis of a remedial order of the council, Rule 24(a)(4) provides for disclosure of  
13 the name of the subject judge.  
14

15 Finally, the Rule provides that the identity of the complainant will be disclosed only if the  
16 chief circuit judge so orders. Identifying the complainant when the subject judge is not identified  
17 would increase the likelihood that the identity of the subject judge would become publicly  
18 known, thus circumventing the policy of nondisclosure. It may not always be practicable to  
19 shield the complainant's identity while making public disclosure of the judicial council's order  
20 and supporting memoranda; in some circumstances, moreover, the complainant may consent to  
21 public identification.  
22  
23

#### 24 **Rule 25. Disqualification.**

25  
26 **(a) General Rule.** Any judge is disqualified from participating in any proceeding  
27 under these Rules if the judge, in his or her discretion, concludes that circumstances  
28 warrant disqualification. If the complaint is filed by a judge, that judge is disqualified  
29 from participation in any consideration of the complaint except to the extent that these  
30 Rules provide for participation by a complainant. However, a chief circuit judge who has  
31 identified a complaint under Rule 5 is not automatically disqualified from participating in  
32 the consideration of the complaint.

33 **(b) Subject Judge.** A subject judge is disqualified from participating in any  
34 consideration of the complaint except to the extent that these Rules provide for  
35 participation by a subject judge.

36 **(c) Chief Circuit Judge not Disqualified from Considering a Petition for Review of**  
37 **a Chief Circuit Judge's Order.** If a petition for review of a chief circuit judge's order  
38 entered under Rule 11(c), (d), or (e) is filed with the judicial council pursuant to Rule 18,  
39 the chief circuit judge is not disqualified from participating in the council's consideration  
40 of the petition

41 **(d) Member of Special Committee not Disqualified.** A member of the judicial  
42 council who serves on a special committee, including the chief circuit judge, is not  
43 disqualified from participating in council consideration of the committee's report.

44 **(e) Subject Judge Following Appointment of a Special Committee.** On appointment  
45 of a special committee, the subject judge is automatically disqualified from participation in  
46 any proceeding arising under the Act or these Rules by serving on any special committee,  
47 the judicial council of the circuit, the Judicial Conference of the United States, and the  
48 Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. The disqualification

1 continues until all proceedings regarding the complaint against the subject judge are  
2 finally terminated, with no further right of review.

3 (f) **Substitute for Disqualified Chief Circuit Judge.** If the chief circuit judge is  
4 disqualified from participating in consideration of the complaint, the duties and  
5 responsibilities of the chief circuit judge under these Rules must be assigned to the circuit  
6 judge in regular active service who is the most senior in date of commission of those who  
7 are not disqualified. If all circuit judges in regular active service are disqualified, the  
8 judicial council may determine whether to request a transfer under Rule 26, or whether, in  
9 the interest of sound judicial administration, to permit the chief circuit judge to dispose of  
10 the complaint on the merits. Members of the judicial council who are named in the  
11 complaint may participate in this determination if necessary to obtain a quorum of the  
12 judicial council.

13 (g) **Judicial Council Action where Multiple Judges are Disqualified.**  
14 Notwithstanding any other provision in these Rules to the contrary, a member of the  
15 judicial council who is a subject of the complaint may participate in the disposition thereof  
16 if:

17 (1) participation by subject judge(s) is necessary to obtain a quorum of the  
18 judicial council;

19 (2) the judicial council finds that the lack of a quorum is due to the naming of  
20 one or more judges in the complaint for the purpose of disqualifying that judge  
21 or judges, or to the naming of one or more judges based on their participation in  
22 a decision excluded from the definition of misconduct under Rule 3(b)(2); and

23 (3) the judicial council votes that it is necessary, appropriate and in the interest  
24 of sound judicial administration that such subject judges be eligible to act.

25 (4) otherwise disqualified members may participate in votes taken under (2) and  
26 (3) of this subsection.

27 (h) **Disqualification of Members of the Judicial Conference Committee.** No member  
28 of the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability is disqualified  
29 from participation in any proceeding under the Act or these Rules because of consultations  
30 with a chief circuit judge, member of a special committee, or member of a judicial counsel  
31 regarding the interpretation or application of the Act or these Rules, unless the member  
32 believes that such consultation would prevent such participation from being fair-minded.  
33

34 Commentary on Rule 25

35  
36 Rule 25 is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.

37  
38 Subsection (a) provides the general rule for disqualification. Of course, a judge is not  
39 disqualified simply because the subject judge is on the same court. However, this subsection  
40 recognizes that there may be cases in which an appearance of bias or prejudice is created by  
41 circumstances other than an association with the subject judge as a colleague. For example, a  
42 judge may have a familial relationship with a complainant or subject judge. When such  
43 circumstances exist, a judge may, in his or her discretion, conclude that disqualification is  
44 warranted.  
45

46 Subsection (e) makes it clear that the disqualification of the subject judge relates only to  
47 the subject judge's participation in any proceeding arising under the Act or these Rules as a  
48 member of a special committee, judicial council, Judicial Conference, or the Judicial Conference

**DRAFT 12/13/07**

1 Committee. The Illustrative Rule, based on section 359(a) of the Act, was ambiguous and could  
2 have been read to disqualify a subject judge from any service of any kind on each of the bodies  
3 mentioned. This was undoubtedly not the intent of the Act. Such a disqualification would be  
4 anomalous in light of the Act's allowing a subject judge to continue to decide cases and to  
5 continue to exercise the powers of chief circuit or district judge. It would also create a  
6 substantial deterrence to the appointment of special committees, particularly where a special  
7 committee is needed solely because the chief circuit judge may not decide matters of credibility  
8 in his or her review under Rule 11.  
9

10 While a subject judge is barred by Rule 25(b) from participating in the disposition of the  
11 complaint in which he or she is named, Rule 25(e) recognizes that participation in proceedings  
12 arising under the Act or these Rules by a judge who is the subject of a special committee  
13 investigation may lead to an appearance of self-interest in creating substantive and procedural  
14 precedents governing such proceedings, and Rule 25(e) bars such participation.  
15

16 Under the Act, a complaint against the chief circuit judge is to be handled by "that circuit  
17 judge in regular active service next senior in date of commission." 28 U.S.C. § 351(c). Rule  
18 25(f) provides that seniority among judges other than the chief is to be determined by date of  
19 commission, with the result that complaints against the chief circuit judge may be routed to a  
20 former chief circuit judge or other judge who was appointed earlier than the chief circuit judge.  
21 The Rules do not purport to prescribe who is to preside over meetings of the judicial council.  
22 Consequently, where the presiding member of the judicial council is disqualified from  
23 participating under these Rules, the order of precedence prescribed by Rule 25(f) for performing  
24 "the duties and responsibilities of the chief circuit judge under these Rules" does not apply to  
25 determine the acting presiding member of the judicial council. That is a matter left to the internal  
26 rules or operating practices of each judicial council. In most cases the most senior active circuit  
27 judge who is a member of the judicial council and who is not disqualified will preside.  
28

29 Sometimes a single complaint is filed against a large group of judges. If the normal  
30 disqualification rules are observed in such a case, no court of appeals judge can serve as acting  
31 chief circuit judge of the circuit, and the judicial council will be without appellate members.  
32 Where the complaint is against all circuit and district judges, under normal rules no member of  
33 the judicial council can perform the duties assigned to the council under the statute.  
34

35 A similar problem is created by successive complaints arising out of the same underlying  
36 grievance. For example, a complainant files a complaint against a district judge based on alleged  
37 misconduct, and the complaint is dismissed by the chief circuit judge under the statute. The  
38 complainant may then file a complaint against the chief circuit judge for dismissing the first  
39 complaint, and when that complaint is dismissed by the next senior judge, still a third complaint  
40 is filed. The threat is that the complainant will bump down the seniority ladder until, once again,  
41 there is no member of the court of appeals who can serve as acting chief circuit judge for the  
42 purpose of the next complaint. Similarly, complaints involving the merits of litigation may  
43 involve a series of decisions in which many judges participated or in which a rehearing en banc  
44 was denied by the court of appeals, and the complaint may name a majority of the judicial  
45 council as subject judges.  
46

47 In recognition that these multiple-judge complaints are virtually always meritless, the  
48 judicial council is given discretion to determine: (1) whether it is necessary, appropriate, and in

1 the interest of sound judicial administration to permit the chief circuit judge to dispose of a  
2 complaint where it would otherwise be impossible for any active circuit judge in the circuit to  
3 act, and (2) whether it is necessary, appropriate, and in the interest of sound judicial  
4 administration, after appropriate findings as to need and justification are made, to permit  
5 complained-against members of the judicial council to participate in the disposition of a petition  
6 for review where it would otherwise be impossible to obtain a quorum.

7  
8 Applying a rule of necessity in these situations is consistent with the appearance of  
9 justice. See, e.g., In re Complaint of Doe, 2 F.3d 308 (8th Cir. Jud. Council 1993) (invoking the  
10 rule of necessity); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 91-80464 (9th Cir. Jud. Council  
11 1992) (same). There is no unfairness in permitting the chief circuit judge to dispose of a patently  
12 insubstantial complaint that names all active circuit judges in the circuit.

13  
14 Similarly, there is no unfairness in permitting subject judges, in these circumstances, to  
15 participate in the review of a chief circuit judge's dismissal of an insubstantial complaint. The  
16 remaining option is to assign the matter to another body. Among other alternatives, the council  
17 may request a transfer of the petition under Rule 26. Given the administrative inconvenience and  
18 delay involved in these alternatives, it is desirable to request a transfer only if the judicial  
19 council determines that the petition is substantial enough to warrant such action.

20  
21 In the unlikely event that a quorum of the judicial council cannot be obtained to consider  
22 the report of a special committee, it would normally be necessary to request a transfer under Rule  
23 26.

24 Rule 25(h) recognizes that the jurisdictional statement of the Judicial Conference  
25 Committee contemplates consultation between members of the Committee and judicial  
26 participants in proceedings under the Act and these Rules. Such consultation should not  
27 automatically preclude participation by a member in that proceeding.

28  
29  
30  
31  
32 **Rule 26. Transfer to Another Judicial Council.**

33  
34 **In exceptional circumstances, a chief circuit judge or a judicial council may request**  
35 **the Chief Justice to transfer a proceeding based on a complaint identified under Rule 5 or**  
36 **filed under Rule 6 to the judicial council of another circuit. The request for a transfer may**  
37 **be made at any stage of the proceeding before a reference to the Judicial Conference**  
38 **pursuant to Rule 20(b)(3) or a petition for review filed under Rule 22. Upon receiving such**  
39 **a request, the Chief Justice may refuse the request or select the transferee judicial council,**  
40 **which may then exercise the powers of a judicial council under these Rules.**

41  
42 **Commentary to Rule 26**

43  
44 Rule 26 is new but implements the Breyer Committee's recommended use of transfers.  
45 Breyer Report, 239 F.R.D. at 214-15.

46  
47 Rule 26 authorizes the transfer of a complaint proceeding to another judicial council  
48 selected by the Chief Justice. Such transfers may be appropriate, for example, in the case of a

1 serious complaint where there are multiple disqualifications among the original council, where  
2 the issues are highly visible and a local disposition may weaken public confidence in the process,  
3 where internal tensions arising in the council as a result of the complaint render disposition by a  
4 less involved council appropriate, or where a complaint calls into question policies or governance  
5 of the home court of appeals. The power to effect a transfer is lodged in the Chief Justice to  
6 avoid disputes in a council over where to transfer a sensitive matter and to ensure that the  
7 transferee council accepts the matter.

8  
9 Upon receipt of a transferred proceeding, the transferee council shall determine the proper  
10 stage at which to begin consideration of the complaint -- for example, reference to the transferee  
11 chief circuit judge, appointment of a special committee, etc.

12  
13  
14 **Rule 27. Withdrawal of Complaints and Petitions for Review.**

15  
16 **(a) Complaint Pending Before Chief Circuit Judge. A complaint that is before the**  
17 **chief circuit judge for a decision under Rule 11 may be withdrawn by the complainant with**  
18 **the consent of the chief circuit judge. The withdrawal of a complaint will not prevent a**  
19 **chief circuit judge from identifying, or reduce the chief circuit judge's duty to identify, a**  
20 **complaint under Rule 5 based on the withdrawn complaint.**

21 **(b) Complaint Pending before Special Committee or Judicial Council. After a**  
22 **complaint has been referred to a special committee for investigation, the complaint may be**  
23 **withdrawn by the complainant only with the consent of both the subject judge and either**  
24 **the special committee (before its report has been filed) or the judicial council.**

25 **(c) Petitions for Review. A petition for review addressed to a judicial council under**  
26 **Rule 18 or to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability under**  
27 **Rule 22, pursuant to Rule 21(b)(1), may be withdrawn by the petitioner at any time before**  
28 **action has been taken on the petition.**

29  
30 **Commentary on Rule 27**

31  
32 Rule 27 is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and treats the complaint proceeding, once  
33 begun, as a matter of public business rather than as the property of the complainant.  
34 Accordingly, the chief circuit judge or the judicial council remains responsible for addressing any  
35 complaint under the Act, even a complaint that has been formally withdrawn by the complainant.

36  
37 Under subsection 27(a), a complaint pending before the chief circuit judge may be  
38 withdrawn if the chief circuit judge consents. Where the complaint clearly lacked merit, the  
39 chief circuit judge may accordingly be saved the burden of preparing a formal order and  
40 supporting memorandum. However, the chief circuit judge may, or be obligated under Rule 5, to  
41 identify a complaint based on allegations in a withdrawn complaint.

42  
43 If the chief circuit judge appoints a special committee, Rule 27(b) provides that the  
44 complaint may be withdrawn only with the consent of both the body before which it is pending  
45 (the special committee or the judicial council) and the subject judge. Once a complaint has  
46 reached the stage of appointment of a special committee, a resolution of the issues may be  
47 necessary to preserve public confidence. Moreover, the subject judge is given the right to insist  
48 that the matter be resolved on the merits, thereby eliminating any ambiguity that might remain if

1 the proceeding were terminated by withdrawal of the complaint.  
2

3 With regard to all petitions for review, Rule 27(c) grants the petitioner unrestricted  
4 authority to withdraw the petition. It is thought that the public's interest in the proceeding is  
5 adequately protected, because there will necessarily have been a decision by the chief circuit  
6 judge and often by the judicial council as well in such a case.  
7

8  
9 **Rule 28. Availability of Rules and Forms.**

10  
11 **These Rules and copies of the complaint form as provided in Rule 6(b) must be**  
12 **available without charge in the office of the clerk of each court of appeals, district court,**  
13 **bankruptcy court, or other federal court whose judges are subject to the Act. Each court**  
14 **must also make these Rules and the complaint form available on the court's website, or**  
15 **provide an internet link to the Rules and complaint form that are available on the**  
16 **appropriate court of appeals' website.**  
17

18  
19 **Rule 29. Effective Date.**

20  
21 **These Rules will become effective thirty days after promulgation by the Judicial**  
22 **Conference of the United States.**  
23  
24  
25  
26

DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - 6/13/07

**APPENDIX: COMPLAINT FORM**

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE \_\_\_\_\_  
COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

NOTE: MARK THE ENVELOPE "JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT" OR  
"JUDICIAL DISABILITY COMPLAINT." DO NOT PUT THE NAME OF THE SUBJECT  
JUDGE(S) ON THE ENVELOPE.

SEE RULE 6 FOR INFORMATION ON WHAT TO INCLUDE IN A COMPLAINT.

SEE RULE 7 FOR INFORMATION ON WHERE TO FILE A COMPLAINT.

SEE RULE 6(c) FOR THE NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.

1. Complainant's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Daytime telephone: ( \_\_\_ ) \_\_\_\_\_

2. Subject Judge(s):

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Court: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Does this complaint concern the behavior of the judge(s) in a particular lawsuit or lawsuits?

[ ] Yes [ ] No

If "yes," give the following information about each lawsuit:

Court:

Case Number:

Docket numbers of any appeals to the \_\_\_d/th Circuit:

Are (were) you a party or lawyer in the lawsuit?

[ ] Party [ ] Lawyer [ ] Neither

If a party, give the name, address, and telephone number of your lawyer:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT - 6/13/07

---

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

4. Have you filed any lawsuits against the judge?

[ ] Yes [ ] No

If “yes,” give the following information about each lawsuit:

Court:

Docket Number:

Present status of suit:

Name, address, and telephone number of your lawyer:

Court to which any appeal has been taken:

Docket number of the appeal:

Present status of appeal:

5. On separate sheets of paper, please provide a statement of the facts on which the claim of misconduct or disability is based. For further information about what to include in your statement of facts, see Rule 6(a).

**Declaration and signature:**

I declare under penalty of perjury that:

\_\_\_\_\_(1) I have reviewed the Rules Governing Judicial Conduct and Disability Proceedings, and;

\_\_\_\_\_(2) The statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

(Signature) \_\_\_\_\_

(Date) \_\_\_\_\_