

(as of 22sep8)

**The need to create a firm  
of lawyers, investigative journalists, and publicists  
to effectively expose and curb judges' abuse of their power  
originating in their unaccountability and resulting in their  
institutionalized coordination of judicial wrongdoing**

Victims of judicial abuse should neither try to go it alone as pro se litigants nor concentrate solely on their own specific cases without regard to the underlying problem of which their cases are but a recurrent manifestation. Rather, to be effective, even if only in the long run, they should join forces to address their common source problem, namely, that relying on their unaccountability for both their judicial and non-judicial acts<sup>1</sup>, federal judges have coordinated their wrongdoing, whether explicitly by negotiating mutually beneficial agreements or implicitly by keeping silent about each others' wrongful acts or systematically dismissing judicial misconduct complaints without any investigation, so that they exercise their far-reaching judicial power to dispose of people's property, liberty, and even lives without regard to law or duty. Thereby their coordination of wrongdoing has become part of their institutionalized modus operandi.

As explained in greater detail elsewhere<sup>2</sup>, if we, judicial reform advocates, and any other victims of judicial abuse want to combat such abuse effectively, we must realize that we are taking on the The Third Branch of Government, the mighty Federal Judiciary. Its members hold office for life and not only do they know the law, but also make it to suit their views as well as their personal and judicial class interests.

Moreover, judges have immediate access to the best and the brightest lawyers anywhere in the country to mount on their behalf anything from the fairest to the most vicious defense. Such judges are not going to be impressed and consider changing their ways in order to respect the law merely because "a bunch" of pro se litigants who ignore the law claim in discordant voices that their rights have been trampled underfoot by abusive judges.

Would you consider for a nanosecond competing with Hewlett-Packard, the largest computer company in the U.S., by going it alone or with "a bunch" of dissatisfied HP customers who do not know the difference between a hard disk and an optical drive and, worse yet, show contempt for computer technicians and even for doing their homework to learn about computers?

Therefore, I make again the point that I have made before<sup>3</sup>, namely, that however much we may distrust lawyers -I am a lawyer-, in order to be effective in our struggle against both abusive judges and the Judiciary that supports them, we must form a firm of the best and the brightest lawyers, investigative journalists, and publicists.

---

<sup>1</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/unaccountable\\_judges\\_22sep8.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/unaccountable_judges_22sep8.pdf)

<sup>2</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/First\\_implementing\\_steps.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/First_implementing_steps.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/firm\\_formation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/firm_formation.pdf)

## The firm's tasks

The tasks that such firm may engage in include the following:

- (1) a challenge to the Constitutionality of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act<sup>4</sup> and the Rules for Conduct and Disability Proceedings<sup>5</sup> on grounds such as denial of equal protection and due process of law and those discussed in the comments on the Rules<sup>6</sup>;
- (2) a class action with RICO<sup>7</sup> counts against the beneficiaries of judicial abuse, who include non-judges, whether they are judicial clerks or insiders of illegal financial activity, such as a bankruptcy fraud scheme;
- (3) organization and conduct of the Watergate-like *Follow the Money!* investigation<sup>8</sup> from assets declared by judges and other insiders to wherever they may be concealed in furtherance of unlawful financial activity.

## Non-lawyers' tasks

Non-lawyer judicial reform advocates will be indispensable to the success of that firm by contributing what is necessary and they can do well, such as:

- (1) know-how of computer hardware, software, and their use, such as is necessary:
  - (a) to establish the firms' secure computer network;
  - (b) to develop a relational database of tagged judicial misconduct complaints and research material; and
  - (c) to track down important documents, some of which by law are required to be made available to the public, but in practice have been made very difficult to consult, such as:
    - (i) the judges' annual financial disclosure reports and assets concealed and laundered by other participants in coordinated judicial wrongdoing<sup>9</sup>;
    - (ii) orders of chief circuit judges and circuit councils disposing of judicial complaints;
    - (iii) judicial complaints and their complainants.
- (2) statistical analysis of court documents, such as judicial opinions and orders, to discover patterns and trends of conduct and misconduct;
- (3) secretarial work for the needed printing, mailing, and emailing to supporters and opposing parties and the administrative work of scheduling depositions and traveling to presentations of the firm's work;

---

<sup>4</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc351\\_Conduct\\_complaints.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc351_Conduct_complaints.pdf)

<sup>5</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/judicial\\_complaints/adopted\\_rules\\_11mar8.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/judicial_complaints/adopted_rules_11mar8.pdf)

<sup>6</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/judicial\\_complaints/DrCordero\\_revised\\_rules.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/judicial_complaints/DrCordero_revised_rules.pdf)

<sup>7</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc1961\\_RICO.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc1961_RICO.pdf)

<sup>8</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Follow\\_money/how\\_to.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Follow_money/how_to.pdf)

<sup>9</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc\\_Ethics\\_Gov\\_jan6.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_Gov_jan6.pdf)

- (4) advertising work to inform reporters, investigative journalists, bloggers, and the general public of the firm's work;
- (5) fund-raising work to find financial backers and raise the enormous amount of money necessary to pay for expenses such as:
  - (a) court costs;
  - (b) fees to obtain access to or copies of documents at repositories of official records, such as county clerks' offices;
  - (c) deponents' fees and stenographers at depositions and their transcripts,
  - (d) travel to interview witnesses and unearth evidence;
  - (e) salaries of firm workers; or
- (6) supporting the firm financially by simply making a donation to it, thereby acknowledging that one's skills and knowledge are not required at the time, and that it is very expensive to pursue one's cause for years in the turf of a foe that is very well-heeled, deeply entrenched, and powerfully connected to those in high places as well as low, dirty, and dangerous places.

#### **Realistic self-assessment and commitment**

Instead of improvising the work of lawyers to defend pro se your rights from abusive judges and their supporting colleagues, who will quickly identify the flaws in your work and take advantage of them, you can contribute to the formation of a firm of professionals, knowledgeable and skilled in their respective fields, who can have a fighting chance in the uphill battle against a formidable foe: Federal Judges Above the Law.

If you recognize your strengths and weaknesses and want to contribute accordingly to the formation of that firm, please let me know.

	<b>Tasks to develop rosters of, or take action to:</b>	<b>Persons in charge</b>
1.	<b>Entities and individuals advocating legal reform</b>	
2.	names with e-mail and postal addresses to send letter calling to unite in pursuit of the mission and to support the formation of the firm	
3.	review credentials and qualifications to select the committee	
4.	<b>Organizing committee</b>	
5.	define the mission, objectives, and activities of the virtual firm	
6.	contact lawyers, investigative journalists, and publicists to invite them to consider becoming members of the firm ( <a href="#">Programmatic Proposal:10§IV</a> )	
7.	coordinate their collaborative development of the articles of the firm through a digital library of drafts and the use of PDFs	
8.	find financial sponsors committed to long term support of the firm and donors likely to provide support on a given occasion	
9.	<b>Executive members of the firm</b>	
10.	recruit the virtual firm’s staff and plan physical office for class action	
11.	solicit support and develop the firm’s website as a profit center, i.e. advertising, sale of information & publications, to generate revenue for the firm’s mission, e.g. the class action and lobbying Congress to pass judicial reform laws ( <a href="#">Programmatic Proposal:6§§1-2</a> )	
12.	publish the “Report on Judicial Wrongdoing in America” ( <a href="#">Programmatic Proposal:7§f</a> )	
13.	<b>Lawyers</b>	
14.	lawyers and law firms that advocate social and judicial reform or that have experience in class action and multi-district litigation to launch the class action ( <a href="#">Programmatic Proposal:5§B</a> ; and <a href="#">8§§E-I</a> )	
15.	<b>Investigative journalists</b>	
16.	media owners, editors, news anchors, and assignment managers willing to investigate coordinated judicial wrongdoing, either overtly by publishing evidence as they obtain it, or anonymously until a critical mass of evidence has been collected, turned into an investigative report, and its publication or broadcasting choreographed for maximum impact on the public and judges ( <a href="#">Programmatic Proposal:4§A</a> )	
17.	<b>Publicists, including IT experts</b>	
18.	bloggers and media agents that can invite investigative journalists and other bloggers to participate in, or become promoters or coordinators of, the investigation of judicial wrongdoing either on their own or in association with the firm ( <a href="#">Programmatic Proposal:7§D</a> )	
19.	IT experts that can set up the database for hyperlinking and posting with different degrees of access evidence, source documents, and files of the library of collaborative writing ( <a href="#">Programmatic Proposal:5§C</a> )	