

Table of Division of Labor for the Formation of the Virtual Firm of Lawyers, Investigative Journalists, and Publicists

to Unite Entities and Individuals to Use Their Resources Effectively in
Our Common Mission to Ensure Integrity in Our Courts Through
Effective Judicial Accountability and Discipline Legislation

The purpose of the Table below is to divide the tasks of contacting entities and individuals that are pursuing the common mission of ensuring integrity in our courts so as to identify among them seven persons, highly committed to that mission, who exhibit moderation, pragmatism, organizational skills, and the ability to communicate clearly and concisely. The task of that organizing committee of seven will in turn be to form the virtual firm of lawyers, investigative journalists, and publicists that will expose in the media and through a class action the coordinated wrongdoing and abuse of power of federal judges. Their task will also include finding, in addition to those professionals, the financial backers necessary to staff the firm and run it.

A meeting of entities and individuals, to be effective, should not be envisaged until there is a clear agenda that gives it a theme and direction, and allows participants to know what to expect and how to prepare for the discussion ahead. A brainstorming meeting will only be an opportunity for everybody who has a complaint against somebody in the judiciary, elsewhere in government, or on the moon to stand on a soapbox to have their 15 minutes of famous speech, however unfocused, unsupported by evidence, and extremist so that it will only bore and alienate more people than it will enlighten and unite them. People that are willing to commit their money, time, and effort to a common program of activities can be put off quite easily by others babbling half-baked ideas off the top of their heads.

A meeting is only meaningful after its key participants have thought through their ideas, put them in writing, thus showing commitment and competence, and given everybody the opportunity to comment on them. The organizing committee will find such participants, who will constitute the executive members of the firm. People willing to become such members will draw up the articles of formation of the firm and revise and develop them into a binding document. Thereafter an auspicious meeting attended by them and all entities and individuals advocating judicial reform can be held to sign and give it a personal touch. That meeting can be an occasion for celebratory speeches and a press conference that the media can report as that of a team of professionals with a well-conceived program, the public can feel addressing its own problems and attracted to support or even join it, and the judges can take seriously as the statements of competent people very capable of taking them on. (http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Follow_money/bloggers_to_media.pdf)

The organizing committee and the executive members will be aware that neither the firm nor the class action can pursue the particular complaints of each of them, let alone that of each entity or individual. They will realize that a shotgun of issues and agendas is confusing, overwhelming, conflict generating, and ultimately fatal to the effectiveness of the firm and the certification of the class. Hence, they must shed distinguishing elements from their complaints and divisive statements from their discourse in order to pursue realistically their common mission of ensuring the integrity of the judiciary. They will agree to concentrate their efforts and resources on reasonable objectives attainable through a program of specific, manageable activities, such as those described below (Cf. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Follow_money/how_to.pdf; and http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Programmatic_Proposal.pdf, Objectives:pg3§II; class members:8§E)

	Tasks to develop rosters of, or take action to:	Persons in charge
1.	Entities and individuals advocating legal reform	
2.	names with e-mail and postal addresses to send letter calling to unite in pursuit of the mission and to support the formation of the firm	
3.	review credentials and qualifications to select the committee	
4.	Organizing committee	
5.	define the mission, objectives, and activities of the virtual firm	
6.	contact lawyers, investigative journalists, and publicists to invite them to consider becoming members of the firm (Programmatic Proposal:10§IV)	
7.	coordinate their collaborative development of the articles of the firm through a digital library of drafts and the use of PDFs	
8.	find financial sponsors committed to long term support of the firm and donors likely to provide support on a given occasion	
9.	Executive members of the firm	
10.	recruit the virtual firm's staff and plan physical office for class action	
11.	solicit support and develop the firm's website as a profit center, i.e. advertising, sale of information & publications, to generate revenue for the firm's mission, e.g. the class action and lobbying Congress to pass judicial reform laws (Programmatic Proposal:6§§1-2)	
12.	publish the "Report on Judicial Wrongdoing in America" (Programmatic Proposal:7§f)	
13.	Lawyers	
14.	lawyers and law firms that advocate social and judicial reform or that have experience in class action and multi-district litigation to launch the class action (Programmatic Proposal:5§B ; and 8§§E-I)	
15.	Investigative journalists	
16.	media owners, editors, news anchors, and assignment managers willing to investigate coordinated judicial wrongdoing, either overtly by publishing evidence as they obtain it, or anonymously until a critical mass of evidence has been collected, turned into an investigative report, and its publication or broadcasting choreographed for maximum impact on the public and judges (Programmatic Proposal:4§A)	
17.	Publicists, including IT experts	
18.	bloggers and media agents that can invite investigative journalists and other bloggers to participate in, or become promoters or coordinators of, the investigation of judicial wrongdoing either on their own or in association with the firm (Programmatic Proposal:7§D)	
19.	IT experts that can set up the database for hyperlinking and posting with different degrees of access evidence, source documents, and files of the library of collaborative writing (Programmatic Proposal:5§C)	