

News Release National Rules Adopted for Judicial Conduct and Disability Proceedings

Contact: David Sellers, 202-502-2600

March 11, **2008** — The Judicial Conference of the United States today approved the first-ever binding, nationwide set of <u>rules</u> for handling conduct and disability complaints against federal judges, bringing consistency and rigor to the process.

The new rules, which take effect in 30 days, are authorized under a statute (the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980) that allows any person to file a complaint alleging that a federal judge has engaged in conduct "prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration

Related I tems

- Members of the Judicial Conference of the United States (pdf)
- Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial Disability Proceedings
- <u>Disability Proceedings</u>
 Fy 2007 Judicial Caseload
- Fy 2007 Judicial Caseload

of the business of the courts." The statute also permits the filing of a complaint relating to a judge's inability to perform his or her duties because of "mental or physical disability."

The Conference approved these rules in response to recommendations made in September 2006 by a <u>special committee</u> chaired by Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer (the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee). The rules cover such topics as complaint initiation and review, venue, confidentiality and publication, remedies, the conduct of investigations, and the rights and roles of participants in the process.

Justice Breyer released the following statement: "I am pleased the Judicial Conference has taken action on all of the recommendations of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee. The implementation of these new rules is a very good thing for the federal Judiciary and for those who use the federal courts."

The new rules are binding, unlike the Illustrative Rules they replace, which since 1986 served as a prototype that could be modified and promulgated separately by an individual judicial council, the governing entity within each judicial circuit. Judicial councils will retain the power to adopt local rules regarding procedural details of the complaint-handling process, provided that those rules do not contradict the new uniform rules.

The new rules, recommended to the Conference by its Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, seek to promote greater public awareness of the complaint process. Under the rules, final orders on complaints against judges must be made public — for example, by placing those orders on the court's public web site. All courts of appeals have now posted complaint-filing instructions on their web sites.

The new rules make clear, however, that some aspects of the judicial conduct and disability complaint process remain confidential, as required by <u>federal law</u>. A final order dismissing a complaint will not identify a complainant or the judge who is the subject of

the complaint. In most cases, a final order sanctioning a judge will identify the judge.

The rules also clarify the authority, and the obligation in some circumstances, of a circuit chief judge to "identify a complaint" and inquire into certain judicial conduct even when no formal complaint has been filed, an option the Breyer Committee said should be highlighted.

The rules also enable the Conference's Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability to review complaint dismissals by judicial councils to determine whether special investigating committees should be appointed.

With the adoption of these 29 Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, action has now been taken on all 12 recommendations of Justice Breyer's Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee.

The Judicial Conference is the principal policy-making body for the federal court system. The Chief Justice serves as its presiding officer. The rest of its membership comprises the chief judges of the 13 courts of appeals, a district judge from each of the 12 geographical circuits, and the chief judge of the Court of International Trade. The Conference meets twice a year to consider administrative and policy issues affecting the court system, and to make recommendations to Congress concerning legislation involving the Judicial Branch.

#

 About The U.S. Courts | Newsroom | Library | Court Links | FAQs | Employment Opportunities | Contact Us | Search

 This page is maintained by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts on behalf of the U.S. Courts.

 The purpose of this site is to function as a clearinghouse for information from and about the Judicial Branch of the U.S. Government.

 Privacy and Security Notices

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings

Adopted March 11, 2008

RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prefac	e1
ARTIC	CLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS
1.	Scope
2.	Effect and Construction
3.	Definitions3(a)Chief Judge3(b)Circuit Clerk3(c)Complaint3(d)Court of Appeals, District Court, and District Judge3(e)Disability3(f)Judicial Council and Circuit4(g)Magistrate Judge4(h)Misconduct4(i)Subject Judge4
4.	Covered Judges
ARTIC	CLE II. INITIATION OF A COMPLAINT
5.	Identification of a Complaint7(a)Identification7(b)Noncompliance with Rule 6(d)7
6.	Filing a Complaint9(a) Form9(b) Brief Statement of Facts9(c) Legibility9(d) Complainant's Address and Signature; Verification9(e) Number of Copies; Envelope Marking9
7.	Where to Initiate Complaints.10(a)Where to File.10(b)Misconduct in Another Circuit; Transfer10
8.	Action by Clerk11(a) Receipt of Complaint11(b) Distribution of Copies11(c) Complaints Against Noncovered Persons11(d) Receipt of Complaint about a Judge and Another Noncovered Person11
9.	Time for Filing or Identifying a Complaint

10.	Abuse	of the Complaint Procedure	2
	(a)	Abusive Complaints	2
	(b)	Orchestrated Complaints 1	2

ARTICLE III. REVIEW OF A COMPLAINT BY THE CHIEF JUDGE

11.	Review	v by the Chief Judge
	(a)	Purpose of Chief Judge's Review
	(b)	Inquiry by Chief Judge
	(c)	Dismissal
	(d)	Corrective Action
	(e)	Intervening Events
	(f)	Appointment of Special Committee
	(g)	Notice of Chief Judge's Action; Petitions for Review14
	(h)	Public Availability of Chief Judge's Decision14

ARTICLE IV. INVESTIGATION AND REPORT BY SPECIAL COMMITTEE

12.	Com	position of Special Committee	
	(a)	Membership	. 19
	(b)	Presiding Officer	. 19
	(c)	Bankruptcy Judge or Magistrate Judge as Adviser	. 19
	(d)	Provision of Documents	. 19
	(e)	Continuing Qualification of Committee Members	. 19
	(f)	Inability of Committee Member to Complete Service	. 19
	(g)	Voting	. 19
13.	Cond	luct of an Investigation	. 20
	(a)	Extent and Methods of Special-Committee Investigation	
	(b)	Criminal Conduct	
	(c)	Staff	
	(d)	Delegation of Subpoena Power; Contempt	. 21
14.	Cond	luct of Hearings by Special Committee	. 21
	(a)	Purpose of Hearings	
	(b)	Committee Evidence	
	(c)	Counsel for Witnesses	
	(d)	Witness Fees	
	(e)	Oath	
	(f)	Rules of Evidence	
	(g)	Record and Transcript	
15.	Right	ts of Subject Judge	. 22
	(a)	Notice	
	(b)	Report of the Special Committee	
	(c)	Presentation of Evidence	
	(d)	Presentation of Argument	
	(e)	Attendance at Hearings	
	(f)	Representation by Counsel	

16.	Rights of Complainant in Investigation2(a) Notice2(b) Opportunity to Provide Evidence2(c) Presentation of Argument2(d) Representation by Counsel2(e) Cooperation2	23 23 23 23
17. ARTIO	Special-Committee Report	24
18.	Petitions for Review of Chief Judge Dispositions Under Rule 11(c), (d), or (e)(a) Petitions for Review(b) When to File; Form; Where to File(c) Receipt and Distribution of Petition(d) Untimely Petition(e) Timely Petition Not in Proper Form	25 25 25 25
19.	Judicial-Council Disposition of Petitions for Review2(a) Rights of Subject Judge2(b) Judicial-Council Action2(c) Notice of Council Decision2(d) Memorandum of Council Decision2(e) Review of Judicial-Council Decision2(f) Public Availability of Judicial-Council Decision2	26 26 27 27 27
20.	Judicial-Council Consideration of Reports and Recommendations ofSpecial Committees2(a) Rights of Subject Judge2(b) Judicial-Council Action2(c) Inadequate Basis for Decision2(d) Council Vote2(e) Recommendation for Fee Reimbursement2(f) Council Action2	27 27 28 28 28
ARTIO DISAI	LE VI. REVIEW BY JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON CONDUCT AND ILITY)
21.	Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability2(a) Review by Committee2(b) Reviewable Matters2(c) Committee Vote2(d) Additional Investigation2(e) Oral Argument; Personal Appearance2(f) Committee Decisions2(g) Finality2	31 31 32 32 32

22.	Proce	edures for Review
	(a)	Filing a Petition for Review
	(b)	Form and Contents of Petition for Review
	(c)	Time
	(d)	Copies
	(e)	Action on Receipt of Petition for Review

ARTICLE VII. MISCELLANEOUS RULES

23.	Confid (a) (b) (c) (d) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i)	dentiality33General Rule33Files33Disclosure in Decisions33Availability to Judicial Conference34Availability to District Court34Impeachment Proceedings34Subject Judge's Consent34Disclosure in Special Circumstances34Disclosure of Identity by Subject Judge34
	(j)	Assistance and Consultation
24.	Public (a) (b) (c) (d)	c Availability of Decisions36General Rule; Specific Cases36Manner of Making Public37Orders of Judicial Conference Committee37Complaints Referred to the Judicial Conference of the United States37
25.	Disqu (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h)	alification38General Rule38Subject Judge38Chief Judge Not Disqualified from Considering a Petition forReview of a Chief Judge's Order39Member of Special Committee Not Disqualified39Subject Judge's Disqualification After Appointment of a Special Committee39Substitute for Disqualified Chief Judge39Judicial-Council Action When Multiple Judges Are Disqualified39Disqualification of Members of the Judicial Conference Committee39
26.	Trans	fer to Another Judicial Council
27.	Withd (a) (b) (c)	Irawal of Complaints and Petitions for Review42Complaint Pending Before Chief Judge42Complaint Pending Before Special Committee or Judicial Council42Petition for Review42
28.	Availa	ability of Rules and Forms
29.	Effect	tive Date

RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS Preface These Rules were promulgated by the Judicial Conference of the United States, after public comment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 331 and 358, to establish standards and procedures for addressing complaints filed by complainants or identified by chief judges, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS 1 2 3 1. Scope 4 These Rules govern proceedings under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364 (the Act), to determine whether a covered judge has engaged in conduct 5 prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts or is 6 7 unable to discharge the duties of office because of mental or physical disability. 8 9 Commentary on Rule 1 10 In September 2006, the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act Study Committee, appointed 11 in 2004 by Chief Justice Rehnquist and known as the "Breyer Committee," presented a report, 12 known as the "Breyer Committee Report," 239 F.R.D. 116 (Sept. 2006), to Chief Justice Roberts 13 that evaluated implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. 14 §§ 351-364. The Breyer Committee had been formed in response to criticism from the public 15 and the Congress regarding the effectiveness of the Act's implementation. The Executive 16 Committee of the Judicial Conference directed the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial 17 Conduct and Disability to consider the recommendations made by the Breyer Committee and to 18 19 report on their implementation to the Conference. 20 21 The Breyer Committee found that it could not evaluate implementation of the Act without 22 establishing interpretive standards, Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 132, and that a major problem faced by chief judges in implementing the Act was the lack of authoritative 23 interpretive standards. Id. at 212-15. The Brever Committee then established standards to guide 24 its evaluation, some of which were new formulations and some of which were taken from the 25 "Illustrative Rules Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct and Disability," discussed 26 below. The principal standards used by the Breyer Committee are in Appendix E of its Report. 27 28 Id. at 238. 29 30 Based on the findings of the Breyer Committee, the Judicial Conference Committee on 31 Judicial Conduct and Disability concluded that there was a need for the Judicial Conference to exercise its power under Section 358 of the Act to fashion standards guiding the various officers 32 and bodies who must exercise responsibility under the Act. To that end, the Judicial Conference 33 34 Committee proposed rules that were based largely on Appendix E of the Breyer Committee Report and the Illustrative Rules. 35 36 37 The Illustrative Rules were originally prepared in 1986 by the Special Committee of the Conference of Chief Judges of the United States Courts of Appeals, and were subsequently 38 revised and amended, most recently in 2000, by the predecessor to the Committee on Judicial 39 Conduct and Disability. The Illustrative Rules were adopted, with minor variations, by circuit 40 judicial councils, to govern complaints under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. 41 42 43 After being submitted for public comment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 358(c), the present 44 Rules were promulgated by the Judicial Conference on March 11, 2008. 45 46 47 48

1 2 3 4	2. Et (a)	ffect and Construction Generally. These Rules are mandatory; they supersede any conflicting judicial- council rules. Judicial councils may promulgate additional rules to implement the Act as long as those rules do not conflict with these Rules.
5 6	(b)	Exception. A Rule will not apply if, when performing duties authorized by the Act, a chief judge, a special committee, a judicial council, the Judicial Conference
7		Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, or the Judicial Conference of the
8		United States expressly finds that exceptional circumstances render application of
9		that Rule in a particular proceeding manifestly unjust or contrary to the purposes
10		of the Act or these Rules.
11		
12		Commentary on Rule 2
13		
14		Unlike the Illustrative Rules, these Rules provide mandatory and nationally uniform
15		ions governing the substantive and procedural aspects of misconduct and disability
16 17	1	edings under the Act. The mandatory nature of these Rules is authorized by 28 U.S.C. (a) and (c). Judicial councils retain the power to promulgate rules consistent with these
17	0	For example, a local rule may authorize the electronic distribution of materials pursuant
19		e 8(b).
20	io Rui	
20		Rule 2(b) recognizes that unforeseen and exceptional circumstances may call for a
22	differe	ent approach in particular cases.
23		
24	3. D	efinitions
25	(a)	Chief Judge. "Chief judge" means the chief judge of a United States Court of
26	(4)	Appeals, of the United States Court of International Trade, or of the United States
27		Court of Federal Claims.
28	(b)	Circuit Clerk. "Circuit clerk" means a clerk of a United States court of appeals, the
29		clerk of the United States Court of International Trade, the clerk of the United
30		States Court of Federal Claims, or the circuit executive of the United States Court of
31		Appeals for the Federal Circuit.
32	(c)	Complaint. A complaint is:
33		(1) a document that, in accordance with Rule 6, is filed by any person in his or
34		her individual capacity or on behalf of a professional organization; or
35		(2) information from any source, other than a document described in (c)(1), that
36		gives a chief judge probable cause to believe that a covered judge, as defined
37		in Rule 4, has engaged in misconduct or may have a disability, whether or
38		not the information is framed as or is intended to be an allegation of
39 40		misconduct or disability.
40	(d)	Court of Appeals, District Court, and District Judge. "Courts of appeals," "district
41 42		court," and "district judge," where appropriate, include the United States Court of Federal Claims, the United States Court of International Trade, and the judges
42		thereof.
43 44	(e)	Disability. "Disability" is a temporary or permanent condition rendering a judge
45		unable to discharge the duties of the particular judicial office. Examples of
46		disability include substance abuse, the inability to stay awake during court
47		proceedings, or a severe impairment of cognitive abilities.
48		

1	(f)	Judicial Council and Circuit. "Judicial council" and "circuit," where appropriate,
2		include any courts designated in 28 U.S.C. § 363.
$\frac{1}{3}$	(g)	Magistrate Judge. "Magistrate judge," where appropriate, includes a special
5	(g)	
4		master appointed by the Court of Federal Claims under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa–12(c).
5	(h)	Misconduct. Cognizable misconduct:
6		(1) is conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the
7		business of the courts. Misconduct includes, but is not limited to:
8		(A) using the judge's office to obtain special treatment for friends or
9		relatives;
10		(B) accepting bribes, gifts, or other personal favors related to the judicial
11		office;
12		(C) having improper discussions with parties or counsel for one side in a
13		case;
14		(D) treating litigants or attorneys in a demonstrably egregious and hostile
15		manner;
16		(E) engaging in partisan political activity or making inappropriately
17		partisan statements;
18		(F) soliciting funds for organizations; or
19		
20		such as those pertaining to restrictions on outside income and
21		requirements for financial disclosure.
22		(2) is conduct occurring outside the performance of official duties if the conduct
23		might have a prejudicial effect on the administration of the business of the
24		courts, including a substantial and widespread lowering of public confidence
25		in the courts among reasonable people.
26		(3) does not include:
27		(A) an allegation that is directly related to the merits of a decision or
28		procedural ruling. An allegation that calls into question the
29		correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without
30		more, is merits-related. If the decision or ruling is alleged to be the
31		result of an improper motive, e.g., a bribe, ex parte contact, racial or
32		ethnic bias, or improper conduct in rendering a decision or ruling,
33		such as personally derogatory remarks irrelevant to the issues, the
34		complaint is not cognizable to the extent that it attacks the merits.
35		(B) an allegation about delay in rendering a decision or ruling, unless the
36		allegation concerns an improper motive in delaying a particular
30 37		
		decision or habitual delay in a significant number of unrelated cases.
38	(i)	Subject Judge. "Subject judge" means any judge described in Rule 4 who is the
39		subject of a complaint.
40		
41		Commentary on Rule 3
42		
43		Dula 2 is derived and adapted from the Draver Committee Derest and the Illustration
	D 1	Rule 3 is derived and adapted from the Breyer Committee Report and the Illustrative
44	Rules.	
45		
46		Unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise indicates, the term "complaint" is
47	used i	n these Rules to refer both to complaints identified by a chief judge under Rule 5 and to
48		aints filed by complainants under Rule 6.
49	compi	units mea by complainants ander Rate 0.
77		

Under the Act, a "complaint" may be filed by "any person" or "identified" by a chief 1 judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and (b). Under Rule 3(c)(1), complaints may be submitted by a 2 3 person, in his or her individual capacity, or by a professional organization. Generally, the word 4 "complaint" brings to mind the commencement of an adversary proceeding in which the 5 contending parties are left to present the evidence and legal arguments, and judges play the role 6 of an essentially passive arbiter. The Act, however, establishes an administrative, inquisitorial 7 process. For example, even absent a complaint under Rule 6, chief judges are expected in some circumstances to trigger the process -- "identify a complaint," see 28 U.S.C. § 351(b) and Rule 5 8 9 -- and conduct an investigation without becoming a party. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(a); Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 214; Illustrative Rule 2(j). Even when a complaint is filed by 10 11 someone other than the chief judge, the complainant lacks many rights that a litigant would have, and the chief judge, instead of being limited to the "four corners of the complaint," must, under 12 13 Rule 11, proceed as though misconduct or disability has been alleged where the complainant 14 reveals information of misconduct or disability but does not claim it as such. See Breyer 15 Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 183-84. 16

17 An allegation of misconduct or disability filed under Rule 6 is a "complaint," and the Rule so provides in subsection (c)(1). However, both the nature of the process and the use of the 18 19 term "identify" suggest that the word "complaint" covers more than a document formally triggering the process. The process relies on chief judges considering known information and 20 triggering the process when appropriate. "Identifying" a "complaint," therefore, is best 21 22 understood as the chief judge's concluding that information known to the judge constitutes 23 probable cause to believe that misconduct occurred or a disability exists, whether or not the 24 information is framed as, or intended to be an accusation. This definition is codified in (c)(2).

Rule 3(e) relates to disability and provides only the most general definition, recognizing that a fact-specific approach is the only one available.

25 26

27

28

36

44

The phrase "prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts" is not subject to precise definition, and subsection (h)(1) therefore provides some specific examples. Although the Code of Conduct for United States Judges may be informative, its main precepts are highly general; the Code is in many potential applications aspirational rather than a set of disciplinary rules. Ultimately, the responsibility for determining what constitutes misconduct under the statute is the province of the judicial council of the circuit subject to such review and limitations as are ordained by the statute and by these Rules.

Even where specific, mandatory rules exist -- for example, governing the receipt of gifts by judges, outside earned income, and financial disclosure obligations -- the distinction between the misconduct statute and the specific, mandatory rules must be borne in mind. For example, an inadvertent, minor violation of any one of these Rules, promptly remedied when called to the attention of the judge, might still be a violation but might not rise to the level of misconduct under the statute. By contrast, a pattern of such violations of the Code might well rise to the level of misconduct.

An allegation can meet the statutory standard even though the judge's alleged conduct did not occur in the course of the performance of official duties. The Code of Conduct for United States Judges expressly covers a wide range of extra-official activities, and some of these activities may constitute misconduct. For example, allegations that a judge solicited funds for a charity or participated in a partisan political event are cognizable under the Act. On the other hand, judges are entitled to some leeway in extra-official activities. For example, misconduct may not include a judge being repeatedly and publicly discourteous to a spouse (not including physical abuse) even though this might cause some reasonable people to have diminished confidence in the courts. Rule 3(h)(2) states that conduct of this sort is covered, for example, when it might lead to a "substantial and widespread" lowering of such confidence.

7 Rule 3(h)(3)(A) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural 8 9 ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial power by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally attack the substance of a 10 judge's ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a 11 judge -- without more -- is merits-related. The phrase "decision or procedural ruling" is not 12 13 limited to rulings issued in deciding Article III cases or controversies. Thus, a complaint 14 challenging the correctness of a chief judge's determination to dismiss a prior misconduct 15 complaint would be properly dismissed as merits-related -- in other words, as challenging the substance of the judge's administrative determination to dismiss the complaint -- even though it 16 does not concern the judge's rulings in Article III litigation. Similarly, an allegation that a judge 17 had incorrectly declined to approve a Criminal Justice Act voucher is merits-related under this 18 19 standard.

20

21 Conversely, an allegation -- however unsupported -- that a judge conspired with a 22 prosecutor to make a particular ruling is not merits-related, even though it "relates" to a ruling in 23 a colloquial sense. Such an allegation attacks the propriety of conspiring with the prosecutor and goes beyond a challenge to the correctness -- "the merits" -- of the ruling itself. An allegation 24 25 that a judge ruled against the complainant because the complainant is a member of a particular 26 racial or ethnic group, or because the judge dislikes the complainant personally, is also not 27 merits-related. Such an allegation attacks the propriety of arriving at rulings with an illicit or improper motive. Similarly, an allegation that a judge used an inappropriate term to refer to a 28 class of people is not merits-related even if the judge used it on the bench or in an opinion; the 29 correctness of the judge's rulings is not at stake. An allegation that a judge treated litigants or 30 attorneys in a demonstrably egregious and hostile manner while on the bench is also not 31 32 merits-related. 33

The existence of an appellate remedy is usually irrelevant to whether an allegation is 34 merits-related. The merits-related ground for dismissal exists to protect judges' independence in 35 36 making rulings, not to protect or promote the appellate process. A complaint alleging an incorrect ruling is merits-related even though the complainant has no recourse from that ruling. 37 By the same token, an allegation that is otherwise cognizable under the Act should not be 38 dismissed merely because an appellate remedy appears to exist (for example, vacating a ruling 39 that resulted from an improper ex parte communication). However, there may be occasions when 40 appellate and misconduct proceedings overlap, and consideration and disposition of a complaint 41 42 under these Rules may be properly deferred by a chief judge until the appellate proceedings are concluded in order to avoid, inter alia, inconsistent decisions. 43 44

Because of the special need to protect judges' independence in deciding what to say in an opinion or ruling, a somewhat different standard applies to determine the merits-relatedness of a non-frivolous allegation that a judge's language in a ruling reflected an improper motive. If the judge's language was relevant to the case at hand -- for example a statement that a claim is legally or factually "frivolous" -- then the judge's choice of language is presumptively merits-related and excluded, absent evidence apart from the ruling itself suggesting an improper motive. If, on the
 other hand, the challenged language does not seem relevant on its face, then an additional inquiry
 under Rule 11 is necessary.

5 With regard to Rule 3(h)(3)(B), a complaint of delay in a single case is excluded as 6 merits-related. Such an allegation may be said to challenge the correctness of an official action 7 of the judge -- in other words, assigning a low priority to deciding the particular case. But, by the 8 same token, an allegation of a habitual pattern of delay in a significant number of unrelated cases, 9 or an allegation of deliberate delay in a single case arising out of an illicit motive, is not 10 merits-related.

The remaining subsections of Rule 3 provide technical definitions clarifying the application of the Rules to the various kinds of courts covered.

4. Covered Judges

11

12

13 14

15

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41 42

43

A complaint under these Rules may concern the actions or capacity only of judges of
 United States courts of appeals, judges of United States district courts, judges of United
 States bankruptcy courts, United States magistrate judges, and judges of the courts
 specified in 28 U.S.C. § 363.

Commentary on Rule 4

This Rule tracks the Act. Rule 8(c) and (d) contain provisions as to the handling of complaints against persons not covered by the Act, such as other court personnel, or against both covered judges and noncovered persons.

ARTICLE II. INITIATION OF A COMPLAINT

5. Identification of a Complaint

- (a) Identification. When a chief judge has information constituting reasonable grounds for inquiry into whether a covered judge has engaged in misconduct or has a disability, the chief judge may conduct an inquiry, as he or she deems appropriate, into the accuracy of the information even if no related complaint has been filed. A chief judge who finds probable cause to believe that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists may seek an informal resolution that he or she finds satisfactory. If no informal resolution is achieved or is feasible, the chief judge may identify a complaint and, by written order stating the reasons, begin the review provided in Rule 11. If the evidence of misconduct is clear and convincing and no informal resolution is achieved or is feasible, the chief judge must identify a complaint. A chief judge must not decline to identify a complaint merely because the person making the allegation has not filed a complaint under Rule 6. This Rule is subject to Rule 7.
- (b) Noncompliance with Rule 6(d). Rule 6 complaints that do not comply with the requirements of Rule 6(d) must be considered under this Rule.
- 44 45
- 46
- 47
- 48

1	Commentary on Rule 5
2	
3	This Rule is adapted from the Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 245-46.
4 5	
5	The Act authorizes the chief judge, by written order stating reasons, to identify a
6	complaint and thereby dispense with the filing of a written complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 351(b).
7 8	Under Rule 5, when a chief judge becomes aware of information constituting reasonable grounds to inquire into possible misconduct or disability on the part of a covered judge, and no formal
o 9	complaint has been filed, the chief judge has the power in his or her discretion to begin an
10	appropriate inquiry. A chief judge's decision whether to informally seek a resolution and/or to
11	identify a complaint is guided by the results of that inquiry. If the chief judge concludes that
12	there is probable cause to believe that misconduct has occurred or a disability exists, the chief
13	judge may seek an informal resolution, if feasible, and if failing in that, may identify a complaint.
14	Discretion is accorded largely for the reasons police officers and prosecutors have discretion in
15	making arrests or bringing charges. The matter may be trivial and isolated, based on marginal
16	evidence, or otherwise highly unlikely to lead to a misconduct or disability finding. On the other
17	hand, if the inquiry leads the chief judge to conclude that there is clear and convincing evidence
18	of misconduct or a disability, and no satisfactory informal resolution has been achieved or is
19	feasible, the chief judge is required to identify a complaint.
20	
21	An informal resolution is one agreed to by the subject judge and found satisfactory by the
22	chief judge. Because an informal resolution under Rule 5 reached before a complaint is filed
23	under Rule 6 will generally cause a subsequent Rule 6 complaint alleging the identical matter
24	to be concluded, <u>see</u> Rule 11(d), the chief judge must be sure that the resolution is fully
25	appropriate before endorsing it. In doing so, the chief judge must balance the seriousness of the
26	matter against the particular judge's alacrity in addressing the issue. The availability of this
27 28	procedure should encourage attempts at swift remedial action before a formal complaint is filed.
28 29	When a complaint is identified, a written order stating the reasons for the identification
30	must be provided; this begins the process articulated in Rule 11. Rule 11 provides that once the
31	chief judge has identified a complaint, the chief judge, subject to the disqualification provisions
32	of Rule 25, will perform, with respect to that complaint, all functions assigned to the chief judge
33	for the determination of complaints filed by a complainant.
34	1 7 1
35	In high-visibility situations, it may be desirable for the chief judge to identify a complaint
36	without first seeking an informal resolution (and then, if the circumstances warrant, dismiss or
37	conclude the identified complaint without appointment of a special committee) in order to assure
38	the public that the allegations have not been ignored.
39	
40	A chief judge's decision not to identify a complaint under Rule 5 is not appealable and is
41	subject to Rule 3(h)(3)(A), which excludes merits-related complaints from the definition of
42	misconduct.
43	
44	A chief judge may not decline to identify a complaint solely on the basis that the unfiled
45	allegations could be raised by one or more persons in a filed complaint, but none of these persons
46 47	has opted to do so.
47 48	Subsection (a) concludes by stating that this Dula is "subject to Dule 7". This is intended
48 49	Subsection (a) concludes by stating that this Rule is "subject to Rule 7." This is intended to establish that only: (i) the chief judge of the home circuit of a potential subject judge, or
77	to establish that only. (1) the effet judge of the nome effect of a potential subject judge, of

(ii) the chief judge of a circuit in which misconduct is alleged to have occurred in the course of
official business while the potential subject judge was sitting by designation, shall have the
power or a duty under this Rule to identify a complaint.

Subsection (b) provides that complaints filed under Rule 6 that do not comply with the requirements of Rule 6(d), must be considered under this Rule. For instance, if a complaint has been filed but the form submitted is unsigned, or the truth of the statements therein are not verified in writing under penalty of perjury, then a chief judge must nevertheless consider the allegations as known information, and proceed to follow the process described in Rule 5(a).

6. Filing a Complaint

(a) Form. A complainant may use the form reproduced in the appendix to these Rules or a form designated by the rules of the judicial council in the circuit in which the complaint is filed. A complaint form is also available on each court of appeals' website or may be obtained from the circuit clerk or any district court or bankruptcy court within the circuit. A form is not necessary to file a complaint, but the complaint must be written and must include the information described in (b).

Local Rule 6(a): A complainant is strongly encouraged to use the complaint form provided on the Court of Appeals' website, which is also available from the Court of Appeals' Clerk's Office.

- (b) Brief Statement of Facts. A complaint must contain a concise statement that details the specific facts on which the claim of misconduct or disability is based. The statement of facts should include a description of:
 - (1) what happened;
 - (2) when and where the relevant events happened;
 - (3) any information that would help an investigator check the facts; and
 - (4) for an allegation of disability, any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.

Local Rule 6(b): The statement of facts must not exceed five pages (five sides).

- (c) Legibility. A complaint should be typewritten if possible. If not typewritten, it must be legible. An illegible complaint will be returned to the complainant with a request to resubmit it in legible form. If a resubmitted complaint is still illegible, it will not be accepted for filing.
- (d) Complainant's Address and Signature; Verification. The complainant must provide a contact address and sign the complaint. The truth of the statements made in the complaint must be verified in writing under penalty of perjury. If any of these requirements are not met, the complaint will be accepted for filing, but it will be reviewed under only Rule 5(b).
- 43 (e) Number of Copies; Envelope Marking. The complainant shall provide the number
 44 of copies of the complaint required by local rule. Each copy should be in an
 45 envelope marked "Complaint of Misconduct" or "Complaint of Disability." The
 46 envelope must not show the name of any subject judge.
- 48 <u>Local Rule 6(e)</u>: The complainant must file a separate complaint, with the required 49 number of copies, for each subject judge. If the subject judge is a circuit judge, the

complainant must file four copies of the complaint. If the subject judge is a district or 1 2 magistrate judge, the complainant must file five copies of the complaint. If the subject 3 judge is a bankruptcy judge, the complainant must file six copies of the complaint. The 4 complainant may file one copy of any supporting transcripts, exhibits, or other documents. 5 6 Commentary on Rule 6 7 8 The Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and is self-explanatory. 9 7. Where to Initiate Complaints 10 Where to File. Except as provided in (b), (a) 11 12 (1) a complaint against a judge of a United States court of appeals, a United 13 States district court, a United States bankruptcy court, or a United States 14 magistrate judge must be filed with the circuit clerk in the jurisdiction in which the subject judge holds office. 15 a complaint against a judge of the United States Court of International Trade 16 (2) 17 or the United States Court of Federal Claims must be filed with the 18 respective clerk of that court. a complaint against a judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the 19 (3) 20 Federal Circuit must be filed with the circuit executive of that court. Misconduct in Another Circuit; Transfer. If a complaint alleges misconduct in the 21 **(b)** 22 course of official business while the subject judge was sitting on a court by designation under 28 U.S.C. §§ 291–293 and 294(d), the complaint may be filed or 23 identified with the circuit clerk of that circuit or of the subject judge's home circuit. 24 The proceeding will continue in the circuit of the first-filed or first-identified 25 complaint. The judicial council of the circuit where the complaint was first filed or 26 27 first identified may transfer the complaint to the subject judge's home circuit or to the circuit where the alleged misconduct occurred, as the case may be. 28 29 30 Commentary on Rule 7 31 32 Title 28 U.S.C. § 351 states that complaints are to be filed with "the clerk of the court of appeals for the circuit." However, in many circuits, this role is filled by circuit executives. 33 Accordingly, the term "circuit clerk," as defined in Rule 3(b) and used throughout these Rules, 34 35 applies to circuit executives. 36 37 Section 351 uses the term "the circuit" in a way that suggests that either the home circuit 38 of the subject judge or the circuit in which misconduct is alleged to have occurred is the proper venue for complaints. With an exception for judges sitting by designation, the Rule requires the 39 40 identifying or filing of a misconduct or disability complaint in the circuit in which the judge holds office, largely based on the administrative perspective of the Act. Given the Act's 41 emphasis on the future conduct of the business of the courts, the circuit in which the judge holds 42 office is the appropriate forum because that circuit is likely best able to influence a judge's future 43 behavior in constructive ways. 44 45 However, when judges sit by designation, the non-home circuit has a strong interest in 46 47 redressing misconduct in the course of official business, and where allegations also involve a

48 member of the bar -- <u>ex parte</u> contact between an attorney and a judge, for example -- it may 49 often be desirable to have the judicial and bar misconduct proceedings take place in the same venue. Rule 7(b), therefore, allows transfer to, or filing or identification of a complaint in, the non-home circuit. The proceeding may be transferred by the judicial council of the filing or identified circuit to the other circuit.

8. Action by Clerk

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

43

- Receipt of Complaint. Upon receiving a complaint against a judge filed under Rule (a) 5 or 6, the circuit clerk must open a file, assign a docket number according to a uniform numbering scheme promulgated by the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, and acknowledge the complaint's receipt.
- **(b)** Distribution of Copies. The clerk must promptly send copies of a complaint filed under Rule 6 to the chief judge or the judge authorized to act as chief judge under Rule 25(f), and copies of complaints filed under Rule 5 or 6 to each subject judge. The clerk must retain the original complaint. Any further distribution should be as provided by local rule.

16 Local Rule 8(b): In addition to the distribution required under Rule 8(b), if the subject judge is a district or magistrate judge, the clerk must promptly send a copy of the 17 complaint to the chief district judge of the district in which the subject judge holds office. 18 19 If the subject judge is a bankruptcy judge, the clerk must promptly send a copy of the 20 complaint to both the chief district judge and the chief bankruptcy judge of the district in which the subject judge holds office.

- Complaints Against Noncovered Persons. If the clerk receives a complaint about a (c) person not holding an office described in Rule 4, the clerk must not accept the complaint for filing under these Rules.
- Receipt of Complaint about a Judge and Another Noncovered Person. If a (d) complaint is received about a judge described in Rule 4 and a person not holding an office described in Rule 4, the clerk must accept the complaint for filing under these Rules only with regard to the judge and must inform the complainant of the limitation.

Commentary on Rule 8

This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and is largely self-explanatory.

The uniform docketing scheme described in subsection (a) should take into account potential problems associated with a complaint that names multiple judges. One solution may be to provide separate docket numbers for each subject judge. Separate docket numbers would help avoid difficulties in tracking cases, particularly if a complaint is dismissed with respect to some, but not all of the named judges.

42 Complaints against noncovered persons are not to be accepted for processing under these Rules but may, of course, be accepted under other circuit rules or procedures for grievances.

44 9. Time for Filing or Identifying a Complaint 45

A complaint may be filed or identified at any time. If the passage of time has made an 46 accurate and fair investigation of a complaint impractical, the complaint must be dismissed 47 48 under Rule 11(c)(1)(E).

1	Commentary on Rule 9
2	Commentary on Rate y
3	This Rule is adapted from the Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351, 352(b)(1)(A)(iii), and the
4	Illustrative Rules.
5	
6	10. Abuse of the Complaint Procedure
7	(a) Abusive Complaints. A complainant who has filed repetitive, harassing, or frivolous
8	complaints, or has otherwise abused the complaint procedure, may be restricted
9	from filing further complaints. After giving the complainant an opportunity to
10	show cause in writing why his or her right to file further complaints should not be
11 12	limited, a judicial council may prohibit, restrict, or impose conditions on the complainant's use of the complaint procedure. Upon written request of the
12	complainant, the judicial council may revise or withdraw any prohibition,
13	restriction, or condition previously imposed.
15	(b) Orchestrated Complaints. When many essentially identical complaints from
16	different complainants are received and appear to be part of an orchestrated
17	campaign, the chief judge may recommend that the judicial council issue a written
18	order instructing the circuit clerk to accept only a certain number of such
19	complaints for filing and to refuse to accept further ones. The clerk must send a
20	copy of any such order to anyone whose complaint was not accepted.
21	C
22 23	Commentary on Rule 10
23 24	This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.
25	This Rule is duapted from the musuative Rules.
26	Rule 10(a) provides a mechanism for a judicial council to restrict the filing of further
27	complaints by a single complainant who has abused the complaint procedure. In some instances,
28	however, the complaint procedure may be abused in a manner for which the remedy provided in
29	Rule 10(a) may not be appropriate. For example, some circuits have been inundated with
30	submissions of dozens or hundreds of essentially identical complaints against the same judge or
31	judges, all submitted by different complainants. In many of these instances, persons with
32	grievances against a particular judge or judges used the Internet or other technology to
33 34	orchestrate mass complaint-filing campaigns against them. If each complaint submitted as part of such a campaign were accepted for filing and processed according to these Rules, there would
35	be a serious drain on court resources without any benefit to the adjudication of the underlying
36	merits.
37	
38	A judicial council may, therefore, respond to such mass filings under Rule 10(b) by
39	declining to accept repetitive complaints for filing, regardless of the fact that the complaints are
40	nominally submitted by different complainants. When the first complaint or complaints have
41	been dismissed on the merits, and when further, essentially identical submissions follow, the
42	judicial council may issue a second order noting that these are identical or repetitive complaints,
43	directing the circuit clerk not to accept these complaints or any further such complaints for filing,
44 45	and directing the clerk to send each putative complainant copies of both orders.
43	

ARTICLE III. REVIEW OF A COMPLAINT BY THE CHIEF JUDGE 1 2 3 **11.** Review by the Chief Judge Purpose of Chief Judge's Review. When a complaint is identified by the chief judge 4 **(a)** 5 or is filed, the chief judge must review it unless the chief judge is disqualified under Rule 25. If the complaint contains information constituting evidence of misconduct 6 or disability, but the complainant does not claim it as such, the chief judge must 7 8 treat the complaint as if it did allege misconduct or disability and give notice to the 9 subject judge. After reviewing the complaint, the chief judge must determine whether it should be: 10 11 dismissed; (1) 12 (2) concluded on the ground that voluntary corrective action has been taken; 13 (3) concluded because intervening events have made action on the complaint no 14 longer necessary; or referred to a special committee. 15 (4) **(b)** Inquiry by Chief Judge. In determining what action to take under Rule 11(a), the 16 chief judge may conduct a limited inquiry. The chief judge, or a designee, may 17 18 communicate orally or in writing with the complainant, the subject judge, and any 19 others who may have knowledge of the matter, and may review transcripts or 20 other relevant documents. In conducting the inquiry, the chief judge must 21 not determine any reasonably disputed issue. 22 (c) Dismissal. 23 (1) Allowable grounds. A complaint must be dismissed in whole or in part to the 24 extent that the chief judge concludes that the complaint: 25 alleges conduct that, even if true, is not prejudicial to the effective and **(A)** expeditious administration of the business of the courts and does not 26 27 indicate a mental or physical disability resulting in inability to 28 discharge the duties of judicial office; **(B)** is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling; 29 30 **(C)** is frivolous; is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference 31 **(D)** 32 that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists; is based on allegations which are incapable of being established 33 **(E)** 34 through investigation; has been filed in the wrong circuit under Rule 7; or 35 **(F)** 36 **(G)** is otherwise not appropriate for consideration under the Act. Disallowed grounds. A complaint must not be dismissed solely because it 37 (2) repeats allegations of a previously dismissed complaint if it also contains 38 material information not previously considered and does not constitute 39 harassment of the subject judge. 40 (d) Corrective Action. The chief judge may conclude the complaint proceeding in whole 41 42 or in part if: an informal resolution under Rule 5 satisfactory to the chief judge was 43 (1) reached before the complaint was filed under Rule 6, or 44 the chief judge determines that the subject judge has taken appropriate 45 (2) voluntary corrective action that acknowledges and remedies the problems 46 raised by the complaint. 47 Intervening Events. The chief judge may conclude the complaint proceeding in 48 **(e)**

whole or in part upon determining that intervening events render some or all of the 1 2 allegations moot or make remedial action impossible. 3 Appointment of Special Committee. If some or all of the complaint is not dismissed **(f)** 4 or concluded, the chief judge must promptly appoint a special committee to 5 investigate the complaint or any relevant portion of it and to make recommendations to the judicial council. Before appointing a special committee, the 6 7 chief judge must invite the subject judge to respond to the complaint either orally or in writing if the judge was not given an opportunity during the limited inquiry. In 8 9 the chief judge's discretion, separate complaints may be joined and assigned to a single special committee. Similarly, a single complaint about more than one judge 10 may be severed and more than one special committee appointed. 11 Notice of Chief Judge's Action; Petitions for Review. 12 **(g)** When special committee is appointed. If a special committee is appointed, 13 (1) the chief judge must notify the complainant and the subject judge that the 14 15 matter has been referred to a special committee and identify the members of 16 the committee. A copy of the order appointing the special committee must be sent to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and 17 18 **Disability.** 19 When chief judge disposes of complaint without appointing special (2) committee. If the chief judge disposes of the complaint under Rule 11(c), (d), 20 or (e), the chief judge must prepare a supporting memorandum that sets 21 forth the reasons for the disposition. Except as authorized by 28 U.S.C. 22 § 360, the memorandum must not include the name of the complainant or of 23 the subject judge. The order and the supporting memorandum, which may 24 be one document, must be provided to the complainant, the subject judge, 25 26 and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Right of petition for review. If the chief judge disposes of a complaint under 27 (3) 28 Rule 11(c), (d), or (e), the complainant and subject judge must be notified of 29 the right to petition the judicial council for review of the disposition, as 30 provided in Rule 18. If a petition for review is filed, the chief judge must promptly transmit all materials obtained in connection with the inquiry 31 32 under Rule 11(b) to the circuit clerk for transmittal to the judicial council. Public Availability of Chief Judge's Decision. The chief judge's decision must be 33 (h) 34 made public to the extent, at the time, and in the manner provided in Rule 24. 35 36 Commentary on Rule 11 37 Subsection (a) lists the actions available to a chief judge in reviewing a complaint. This 38 39 subsection provides that where a complaint has been filed under Rule 6, the ordinary doctrines of 40 waiver do not apply. A chief judge must identify as a complaint any misconduct or disability issues raised by the factual allegations of the complaint even if the complainant makes no such 41 42 claim with regard to those issues. For example, an allegation limited to misconduct in fact-finding that mentions periods during a trial when the judge was asleep must be treated as a 43 complaint regarding disability. Some formal order giving notice of the expanded scope of the 44 proceeding must be given to the subject judge. 45 46 Subsection (b) describes the nature of the chief judge's inquiry. It is based largely on the 47

Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 243-45. The Act states that dismissal is appropriate
 "when a limited inquiry . . . demonstrates that the allegations in the complaint lack any factual

foundation or are conclusively refuted by objective evidence." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(B). At the same time, however, Section 352(a) states that "[t]he chief judge shall not undertake to make findings of fact about any matter that is reasonably in dispute." These two statutory standards should be read together, so that a matter is not "reasonably" in dispute if a limited inquiry shows that the allegations do not constitute misconduct or disability, that they lack any reliable factual foundation, or that they are conclusively refuted by objective evidence.

8 In conducting a limited inquiry under subsection (b), the chief judge must avoid 9 determinations of reasonably disputed issues, including reasonably disputed issues as to whether 10 the facts alleged constitute misconduct or disability, which are ordinarily left to a special 11 committee and the judicial council. An allegation of fact is ordinarily not "refuted" simply because the subject judge denies it. The limited inquiry must reveal something more in the way 12 of refutation before it is appropriate to dismiss a complaint that is otherwise cognizable. If it is 13 14 the complainant's word against the subject judge's -- in other words, there is simply no other significant evidence of what happened or of the complainant's unreliability -- then there must be 15 a special-committee investigation. Such a credibility issue is a matter "reasonably in dispute" 16 17 within the meaning of the Act. 18

19 However, dismissal following a limited inquiry may occur when the complaint refers to 20 transcripts or to witnesses and the chief judge determines that the transcripts and witnesses all support the subject judge. Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 243. For example, consider 21 22 a complaint alleging that the subject judge said X, and the complaint mentions, or it is 23 independently clear, that five people may have heard what the judge said. Id. The chief judge is 24 told by the subject judge and one witness that the judge did not say X, and the chief judge 25 dismisses the complaint without questioning the other four possible witnesses. Id. In this 26 example, the matter remains reasonably in dispute. If all five witnesses say the judge did not say 27 X, dismissal is appropriate, but if potential witnesses who are reasonably accessible have not 28 been questioned, then the matter remains reasonably in dispute. Id.

Similarly, under (c)(1)(A), if it is clear that the conduct or disability alleged, even if true,
is not cognizable under these Rules, the complaint should be dismissed. If that issue is
reasonably in dispute, however, dismissal under (c)(1)(A) is inappropriate.

29

33

42

34 Essentially, the standard articulated in subsection (b) is that used to decide motions for 35 summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. Genuine issues of material fact are not 36 resolved at the summary judgment stage. A material fact is one that "might affect the outcome of the suit under the governing law," and a dispute is "genuine" if "the evidence is such that a 37 reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party." Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, 477 38 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). Similarly, the chief judge may not resolve a genuine issue concerning a 39 material fact or the existence of misconduct or a disability when conducting a limited inquiry 40 pursuant to subsection (b). 41

Subsection (c) describes the grounds on which a complaint may be dismissed. These are adapted from the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b), and the Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 239-45. Subsection (c)(1)(A) permits dismissal of an allegation that, even if true, does not constitute misconduct or disability under the statutory standard. The proper standards are set out in Rule 3 and discussed in the Commentary on that Rule. Subsection (c)(1)(B) permits dismissal of complaints related to the merits of a decision by a subject judge; this standard is also governed by Rule 3 and its accompanying Commentary. Subsections (c)(1)(C)-(E) implement the statute by allowing dismissal of complaints that are "frivolous, lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred, or containing allegations which are incapable of being established through investigation." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

12

3

4

5

34

41

Dismissal of a complaint as "frivolous," under Rule 11(c)(1)(C), will generally occur
without any inquiry beyond the face of the complaint. For instance, when the allegations are
facially incredible or so lacking in indicia of reliability that no further inquiry is warranted,
dismissal under this subsection is appropriate.

11 A complaint warranting dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(D) is illustrated by the following example. Consider a complainant who alleges an impropriety and asserts that he knows of it 12 13 because it was observed and reported to him by a person who is identified. The judge denies that 14 the event occurred. When contacted, the source also denies it. In such a case, the chief judge's 15 proper course of action may turn on whether the source had any role in the allegedly improper conduct. If the complaint was based on a lawyer's statement that he or she had an improper ex 16 17 parte contact with a judge, the lawyer's denial of the impropriety might not be taken as wholly persuasive, and it would be appropriate to conclude that a real factual issue is raised. On the 18 19 other hand, if the complaint quoted a disinterested third party and that disinterested party denied that the statement had been made, there would be no value in opening a formal investigation. In 20 such a case, it would be appropriate to dismiss the complaint under Rule 11(c)(1)(D). 21 22

23 Rule 11(c)(1)(E) is intended, among other things, to cover situations when no evidence is 24 offered or identified, or when the only identified source is unavailable. Breyer Committee 25 Report, 239 F.R.D. at 243. For example, a complaint alleges that an unnamed attorney told the 26 complainant that the judge did X. Id. The subject judge denies it. The chief judge requests that 27 the complainant (who does not purport to have observed the judge do X) identify the unnamed witness, or that the unnamed witness come forward so that the chief judge can learn the unnamed 28 witness's account. Id. The complainant responds that he has spoken with the unnamed witness, 29 that the unnamed witness is an attorney who practices in federal court, and that the unnamed 30 witness is unwilling to be identified or to come forward. Id. at 243-44. The allegation is then 31 properly dismissed as containing allegations that are incapable of being established through 32 investigation. Id. 33

If, however, the situation involves a reasonable dispute over credibility, the matter should proceed. For example, the complainant alleges an impropriety and alleges that he or she observed it and that there were no other witnesses; the subject judge denies that the event occurred. Unless the complainant's allegations are facially incredible or so lacking indicia of reliability warranting dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(C), a special committee must be appointed because there is a material factual question that is reasonably in dispute.

Dismissal is also appropriate when a complaint is filed so long after an alleged event that
 memory loss, death, or changes to unknown residences prevent a proper investigation.

Subsection (c)(2) indicates that the investigative nature of the process prevents the
application of claim preclusion principles where new and material evidence becomes available.
However, it also recognizes that at some point a renewed investigation may constitute
harassment of the subject judge and should be foregone, depending of course on the seriousness
of the issues and the weight of the new evidence.

Rule 11(d) implements the Act's provision for dismissal if voluntary appropriate 1 2 corrective action has been taken. It is largely adapted from the Brever Committee Report, 239 3 F.R.D. 244-45. The Act authorizes the chief judge to conclude the proceedings if "appropriate 4 corrective action has been taken." 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(2). Under the Rule, action taken after the 5 complaint is filed is "appropriate" when it acknowledges and remedies the problem raised by the complaint. Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 244. Because the Act deals with the 6 7 conduct of judges, the emphasis is on correction of the judicial conduct that was the subject of the complaint. Id. Terminating a complaint based on corrective action is premised on the 8 9 implicit understanding that voluntary self-correction or redress of misconduct or a disability is preferable to sanctions. Id. The chief judge may facilitate this process by giving the subject 10 11 judge an objective view of the appearance of the judicial conduct in question and by suggesting appropriate corrective measures. Id. Moreover, when corrective action is taken under Rule 5 12 satisfactory to the chief judge before a complaint is filed, that informal resolution will be 13 14 sufficient to conclude a subsequent complaint based on the identical conduct. 15

16 "Corrective action" must be voluntary action taken by the subject judge. Breyer 17 Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 244. A remedial action directed by the chief judge or by an 18 appellate court without the participation of the subject judge in formulating the directive or 19 without the subject judge's subsequent agreement to such action does not constitute the requisite voluntary corrective action. Id. Neither the chief judge nor an appellate court has authority 20 under the Act to impose a formal remedy or sanction; only the judicial council can impose a 21 22 formal remedy or sanction under 28 U.S.C. § 354(a)(2). Id. Compliance with a previous council 23 order may serve as corrective action allowing conclusion of a later complaint about the same 24 behavior. Id. 25

26 Where a judge's conduct has resulted in identifiable, particularized harm to the 27 complainant or another individual, appropriate corrective action should include steps taken by 28 that judge to acknowledge and redress the harm, if possible, such as by an apology, recusal from a case, or a pledge to refrain from similar conduct in the future. Id. While the Act is generally 29 forward-looking, any corrective action should, to the extent possible, serve to correct a specific 30 harm to an individual, if such harm can reasonably be remedied. Id. In some cases, corrective 31 32 action may not be "appropriate" to justify conclusion of a complaint unless the complainant or other individual harmed is meaningfully apprised of the nature of the corrective action in the 33 34 chief judge's order, in a direct communication from the subject judge, or otherwise. Id.

Voluntary corrective action should be proportionate to any plausible allegations of
 misconduct in the complaint. The form of corrective action should also be proportionate to any
 sanctions that a judicial council might impose under Rule 20(b), such as a private or public
 reprimand or a change in case assignments. Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D at 244-45. In
 other words, minor corrective action will not suffice to dispose of a serious matter. Id.

35

41

42 Rule 11(e) implements Section 352(b)(2) of the Act, which permits the chief judge to "conclude the proceeding," if "action on the complaint is no longer necessary because of 43 44 intervening events," such as a resignation from judicial office. Ordinarily, however, stepping down from an administrative post such as chief judge, judicial-council member, or court-45 46 committee chair does not constitute an event rendering unnecessary any further action on a complaint alleging judicial misconduct. Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 245. As long 47 as the subject of the complaint performs judicial duties, a complaint alleging judicial misconduct 48 49 must be addressed. Id.

If a complaint is not disposed of pursuant to Rule 11(c), (d), or (e), a special committee must be appointed. Rule 11(f) states that a subject judge must be invited to respond to the complaint before a special committee is appointed, if no earlier response was invited.

5 Subject judges, of course, receive copies of complaints at the same time that they are 6 referred to the chief judge, and they are free to volunteer responses to them. Under Rule 11(b), 7 the chief judge may request a response if it is thought necessary. However, many complaints are 8 clear candidates for dismissal even if their allegations are accepted as true, and there is no need 9 for the subject judge to devote time to a defense. 10

The Act requires that the order dismissing a complaint or concluding the proceeding contain a statement of reasons and that a copy of the order be sent to the complainant. 28 U.S.C. 352(b). Rule 24, dealing with availability of information to the public, contemplates that the order will be made public, usually without disclosing the names of the complainant or the subject judge. If desired for administrative purposes, more identifying information can be included in a non-public version of the order.

18 When complaints are disposed of by chief judges, the statutory purposes are best served 19 by providing the complainant with a full, particularized, but concise explanation, giving reasons 20 for the conclusions reached. See also Commentary on Rule 24, dealing with public availability. 21

Rule 11(g) provides that the complainant and subject judge must be notified, in the case of a disposition by the chief judge, of the right to petition the judicial council for review. A copy of a chief judge's order and memorandum, which may be one document, disposing of a complaint must be sent by the circuit clerk to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.

27 28

1

2 3

ARTICLE IV. INVESTIGATION AND REPORT BY SPECIAL 1 **COMMITTEE**

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

41 42

43 44 45

46

12. Composition of Special Committee

- Membership. Except as provided in (e), a special committee appointed under Rule (a) 11(f) must consist of the chief judge and equal numbers of circuit and district judges. If the complaint is about a district judge, bankruptcy judge, or magistrate judge, then, when possible, the district-judge members of the committee must be from districts other than the district of the subject judge. For the courts named in 28 U.S.C. § 363, the committee must be selected from the judges serving on the subject judge's court.
- Presiding Officer. When appointing the committee, the chief judge may serve as the **(b)** presiding officer or else must designate a committee member as the presiding officer.
- 15 (c) Bankruptcy Judge or Magistrate Judge as Adviser. If the subject judge is a bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge, he or she may, within 14 days after being 16 17 notified of the committee's appointment, ask the chief judge to designate as a committee adviser another bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge, as the case may 18 19 be. The chief judge must grant such a request but may otherwise use discretion in 20 naming the adviser. Unless the adviser is a Court of Federal Claims special master 21 appointed under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(c), the adviser must be from a district other 22 than the district of the subject bankruptcy judge or subject magistrate judge. The 23 adviser cannot vote but has the other privileges of a committee member.
- 24 Provision of Documents. The chief judge must certify to each other member of the (d) 25 committee and to any adviser copies of the complaint and statement of facts in 26 whole or relevant part, and any other relevant documents on file.
- 27 Continuing Qualification of Committee Members. A member of a special committee **(e)** 28 who was qualified to serve when appointed may continue to serve on the committee 29 even though the member relinquishes the position of chief judge, active circuit 30 judge, or active district judge, as the case may be, but only if the member continues 31 to hold office under Article III, Section 1, of the Constitution of the United States, or 32 under 28 U.S.C. § 171.
- Inability of Committee Member to Complete Service. If a member of a special 33 **(f)** committee can no longer serve because of death, disability, disqualification, 34 resignation, retirement from office, or other reason, the chief judge must decide 35 whether to appoint a replacement member, either a circuit or district judge as 36 37 needed under (a). No special committee appointed under these Rules may function with only a single member, and the votes of a two-member committee must be 38 39 unanimous.
- 40 (g) Voting. All actions by a committee must be by vote of a majority of all members of the committee.

Commentary on Rule 12

This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules.

47 Rule 12 leaves the size of a special committee flexible, to be determined on a case-by-case basis. The question of committee size is one that should be weighed with care in 48

view of the potential for consuming the members' time; a large committee should be appointed only if there is a special reason to do so.

Although the Act requires that the chief judge be a member of each special committee, 28 U.S.C. § 353(a)(1), it does not require that the chief judge preside. Accordingly, Rule 12(b) provides that if the chief judge does not preside, he or she must designate another committee member as the presiding officer.

Rule 12(c) provides that the chief judge must appoint a bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge as an adviser to a special committee at the request of a bankruptcy or magistrate subject judge.

Subsection (c) also provides that the adviser will have all the privileges of a committee member except a vote. The adviser, therefore, may participate in all deliberations of the committee, question witnesses at hearings, and write a separate statement to accompany the special committee's report to the judicial council.

18 Rule 12(e) provides that a member of a special committee who remains an Article III 19 judge may continue to serve on the committee even though the member's status otherwise 20 changes. Thus, a committee that originally consisted of the chief judge and an equal number of 21 circuit and district judges, as required by the law, may continue to function even though changes 22 of status alter that composition. This provision reflects the belief that stability of membership 23 will contribute to the quality of the work of such committees.

25 Stability of membership is also the principal concern animating Rule 12(f), which deals 26 with the case in which a special committee loses a member before its work is complete. The 27 Rule permits the chief judge to determine whether a replacement member should be appointed. Generally, appointment of a replacement member is desirable in these situations unless the 28 committee has conducted evidentiary hearings before the vacancy occurs. However, cases may 29 arise in which a committee is in the late stages of its work, and in which it would be difficult for 30 a new member to play a meaningful role. The Rule also preserves the collegial character of the 31 32 committee process by prohibiting a single surviving member from serving as a committee and by providing that a committee of two surviving members will, in essence, operate under a unanimity 33 34 rule. 35

Rule 12(g) provides that actions of a special committee must be by vote of a majority of all the members. All the members of a committee should participate in committee decisions. In that circumstance, it seems reasonable to require that committee decisions be made by a majority of the membership, rather than a majority of some smaller quorum.

13. Conduct of an Investigation

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

24

- 42 (a) Extent and Methods of Special-Committee Investigation. Each special committee 43 must determine the appropriate extent and methods of the investigation in light of 44 the allegations of the complaint. If, in the course of the investigation, the committee 45 has cause to believe that the subject judge may have engaged in misconduct or has a 46 disability that is beyond the scope of the complaint, the committee must refer the 47 new matter to the chief judge for action under Rule 5 or Rule 11.
- 48 (b) Criminal Conduct. If the committee's investigation concerns conduct that may be a 49 crime, the committee must consult with the appropriate prosecutorial authorities to

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(c) (d)	the extent permitted by the Act to avoid compromising any criminal investigation. The committee has final authority over the timing and extent of its investigation and the formulation of its recommendations. Staff. The committee may arrange for staff assistance to conduct the investigation. It may use existing staff of the judicial branch or may hire special staff through the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. Delegation of Subpoena Power; Contempt. The chief judge may delegate the authority to exercise the committee's subpoena powers. The judicial council or special committee may institute a contempt proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 332(d) against anyone who fails to comply with a subpoena.
11 12		Commentary on Rule 13
13 14		This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.
14		This Rule is adapted from the indstrative Rules.
16		Rule 13, as well as Rules 14, 15, and 16, are concerned with the way in which a special
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that a that is U.S.C role the the in Act, i	hittee carries out its mission. They reflect the view that a special committee has two roles re separated in ordinary litigation. First, the committee has an investigative role of the kind is characteristically left to executive branch agencies or discovery by civil litigants. 28 C. § $353(c)$. Second, it has a formalized fact-finding and recommendation-of-disposition that is characteristically left to juries, judges, or arbitrators. Id. Rule 13 generally governs investigative stage. Even though the same body has responsibility for both roles under the t is important to distinguish between them in order to ensure that appropriate rights are led at appropriate times to the subject judge.
26 27 28 29	specia	One of the difficult questions that can arise is the relationship between proceedings under ct and criminal investigations. Rule 13(b) assigns responsibility for coordination to the al committee in cases in which criminal conduct is suspected, but gives the committee the rity to determine the appropriate pace of its activity in light of any criminal investigation.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	issued circui else a autho not in	Title 28 U.S.C. § 356(a) provides that a special committee will have full subpoena rs as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 332(d). Section $332(d)(1)$ provides that subpoenas will be d on behalf of judicial councils by the circuit clerk "at the direction of the chief judge of the it or his designee." Rule 13(d) contemplates that, where the chief judge designates someone is presiding officer of a special committee, the presiding officer also be delegated the rity to direct the circuit clerk to issue subpoenas related to committee proceedings. That is itended to imply, however, that the decision to use the subpoena power is exercisable by the ding officer alone. See Rule 12(g).
40	14.	Conduct of Hearings by Special Committee
41 42 43	(a)	Purpose of Hearings. The committee may hold hearings to take testimony and receive other evidence, to hear argument, or both. If the committee is investigating allegations against more than one judge, it may hold joint or separate hearings.
43 44 45 46 47 48 49	(b)	Committee Evidence. Subject to Rule 15, the committee must obtain material, nonredundant evidence in the form it considers appropriate. In the committee's discretion, evidence may be obtained by committee members, staff, or both. Witnesses offering testimonial evidence may include the complainant and the subject judge.
		21

1 2	(c)	Counsel for Witnesses. The subject judge has the right to counsel. The special committee has discretion to decide whether other witnesses may have counsel
3		present when they testify.
4 5	(d)	Witness Fees. Witness fees must be paid as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 1821.
5	(e)	Oath. All testimony taken at a hearing must be given under oath or affirmation.
6	(f)	Rules of Evidence. The Federal Rules of Evidence do not apply to special-committee
7		hearings.
8 9	(g)	Record and Transcript. A record and transcript must be made of all hearings.
10 11		Commentary on Rule 14
12 13		This Rule is adapted from Section 353 of the Act and the Illustrative Rules.
14		Rule 14 is concerned with the conduct of fact-finding hearings. Special-committee
15	hearin	gs will normally be held only after the investigative work has been completed and the
16		ittee has concluded that there is sufficient evidence to warrant a formal fact-finding
17	proce	eding. Special-committee proceedings are primarily inquisitorial rather than adversarial.
18	Accor	dingly, the Federal Rules of Evidence do not apply to such hearings. Inevitably, a hearing
19	will h	ave something of an adversary character. Nevertheless, that tendency should be moderated
20		extent possible. Even though a proceeding will commonly have investigative and hearing
21	stages	, committee members should not regard themselves as prosecutors one day and judges the
22	next.	Their duty and that of their staff is at all times to be impartial seekers of the truth.
23		
24		Rule 14(b) contemplates that material evidence will be obtained by the committee and
25		nted in the form of affidavits, live testimony, etc. Staff or others who are organizing the
26		igs should regard it as their role to present evidence representing the entire picture. With
27		et to testimonial evidence, the subject judge should normally be called as a committee
28		ss. Cases may arise in which the judge will not testify voluntarily. In such cases, subpoena
29		rs are available, subject to the normal testimonial privileges. Although Rule 15(c)
30		nizes the subject judge's statutory right to call witnesses on his or her own behalf, exercise
31	of this	s right should not usually be necessary.
32	1 7 1	
33		Rights of Subject Judge
34	(a)	Notice.
35		(1) Generally. The subject judge must receive written notice of:
36		(A) the appointment of a special committee under Rule 11(f);
37		(B) the expansion of the scope of an investigation under Rule 13(a);
38		(C) any hearing under Rule 14, including its purposes, the names of any
39		witnesses the committee intends to call, and the text of any statements
40		that have been taken from those witnesses.
41		(2) Suggestion of additional witnesses. The subject judge may suggest additional
42		witnesses to the committee.
43	(b)	Report of the Special Committee. The subject judge must be sent a copy of the
44	(-)	special committee's report when it is filed with the judicial council.
45	(c)	Presentation of Evidence. At any hearing held under Rule 14, the subject judge has
46		the right to present evidence, to compel the attendance of witnesses, and to compel
47 48		the production of documents. At the request of the subject judge, the chief judge or the judge's designed must direct the circuit clerk to issue a subpose to a witness
40		the judge's designee must direct the circuit clerk to issue a subpoena to a witness

1 2 3 4 5	(d)	under 28 U.S.C. § 332(d)(1). The subject judge must be given the opportunity to cross-examine committee witnesses, in person or by counsel. Presentation of Argument. The subject judge may submit written argument to the special committee and must be given a reasonable opportunity to present oral argument at an appropriate stage of the investigation.
6 7 8	(e)	Attendance at Hearings. The subject judge has the right to attend any hearing held under Rule 14 and to receive copies of the transcript, of any documents introduced, and of any written arguments submitted by the complainant to the committee.
9 10 11	(f)	Representation by Counsel. The subject judge may choose to be represented by counsel in the exercise of any right enumerated in this Rule. As provided in Rule 20(e), the United States may bear the costs of the representation.
12 13		Commentary on Rule 15
14 15 16		This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules.
17		The Act states that these Rules must contain provisions requiring that "the judge whose
18	condu	ct is the subject of a complaint be afforded an opportunity to appear (in person or by
19		el) at proceedings conducted by the investigating panel, to present oral and documentary
20		ice, to compel the attendance of witnesses or the production of documents, to
21	cross-	examine witnesses, and to present argument orally or in writing." 28 U.S.C. § 358(b)(2).
22	To im	plement this provision, Rule 15(e) gives the judge the right to attend any hearing held for
23	the put	rpose of receiving evidence of record or hearing argument under Rule 14.
24		
25		The Act does not require that the subject judge be permitted to attend all proceedings of
26	-	ecial committee. Accordingly, the Rules do not give a right to attend other proceedings
27		ample, meetings at which the committee is engaged in investigative activity, such as
28		ewing persons to learn whether they ought to be called as witnesses or examining for
29		nce purposes documents delivered pursuant to a subpoena duces tecum, or meetings in
30	which	the committee is deliberating on the evidence or its recommendations.
31	1 <i>C</i> T	Dights of Complainant in Investigation
32		Rights of Complainant in Investigation
33	(a)	Notice. The complainant must receive written notice of the investigation as
34		provided in Rule $11(g)(1)$. When the special committee's report to the judicial
35		council is filed, the complainant must be notified of the filing. The judicial council
36		may, in its discretion, provide a copy of the report of a special committee to the
37 38	(h)	complainant. Opportunity to Provide Evidence. If the committee determines that the complainant
38 39	(b)	may have evidence that does not already exist in writing, a representative of the
40		committee must interview the complainant.
41	(c)	Presentation of Argument. The complainant may submit written argument to the
42	(0)	special committee. In its discretion, the special committee may permit the
43		complainant to offer oral argument.
44	(d)	Representation by Counsel. A complainant may submit written argument through
45	()	counsel and, if permitted to offer oral argument, may do so through counsel.
46	(e)	Cooperation. In exercising its discretion under this Rule, a special committee may
47	. /	take into account the degree of the complainant's cooperation in preserving the
48		confidentiality of the proceedings, including the identity of the subject judge.
49		

1	Commentary on Rule 16
2 3	This Rule is adapted from the Act and the Illustrative Rules.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In accordance with the view of the process as fundamentally administrative and inquisitorial, these Rules do not give the complainant the rights of a party to litigation, and leave the complainant's role largely to the discretion of the special committee. However, Rule 16(b) provides that, where a special committee has been appointed and it determines that the complainant may have additional evidence, the complainant must be interviewed by a representative of the committee. Such an interview may be in person or by telephone, and the representative of the committee may be either a member or staff. Rule 16 does not contemplate that the complainant will ordinarily be permitted to attend proceedings of the special committee except when testifying or presenting oral argument. A special committee may exercise its discretion to permit the complainant to be present at its proceedings, or to permit the complainant, individually or through counsel, to participate in the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	examination or cross-examination of witnesses. The Act authorizes an exception to the normal confidentiality provisions where the judicial council in its discretion provides a copy of the report of the special committee to the complainant and to the subject judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(1). However, the Rules do not entitle the complainant to a copy of the special committee's report. In exercising their discretion regarding the role of the complainant, the special committee and the judicial council should protect the confidentiality of the complaint process. As a consequence, subsection (e) provides that a special committee may consider the degree to which a complainant has cooperated in preserving the confidentiality of the proceedings in determining what role beyond the minimum required by these Rules should be given to that complainant.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	17. Special-Committee Report The committee must file with the judicial council a comprehensive report of its investigation, including findings and recommendations for council action. The report must be accompanied by a statement of the vote by which it was adopted, any separate or dissenting statements of committee members, and the record of any hearings held under Rule 14. A copy of the report and accompanying statement must be sent to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Commentary on Rule 17 This Rule is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and is self-explanatory. The provision for sending a copy of the special-committee report and accompanying statement to the Judicial Conference Committee is new.

ARTICLE V. JUDICIAL-COUNCIL REVIEW 1 2 18. Petitions for Review of Chief Judge Dispositions Under 3 Rule 11(c), (d), or (e) 4 Petitions for Review. After the chief judge issues an order under Rule 11(c), (d), or 5 **(a)** 6 (e), a complainant or subject judge may petition the judicial council of the circuit to review the order. By rules promulgated under 28 U.S.C. § 358, the judicial council 7 8 may refer a petition for review filed under this Rule to a panel of no fewer than five members of the council, at least two of whom must be district judges. 9 10 11 Local Rule 18(a): The Chief Judge shall designate six members of the judicial council 12 (other than the Chief Judge) to serve as a review panel. The review panel will be composed of three circuit judges and three district judges. Membership on the review panel will be 13 changed after four months so that all members of the council will serve on a review panel 14 once each year. The review panel will act for the judicial council on all petitions for review 15 16 filed under Rule 18, except those petitions referred to the full membership of the council 17 pursuant to the request of any member of the review panel. 18 19 **(b)** When to File; Form; Where to File. A petition for review must be filed in the office of the circuit clerk within 35 days of the date on the clerk's letter informing the 20 parties of the chief judge's order. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to 21 22 the circuit clerk, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability 23 Petition." The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with "I hereby 24 petition the judicial council for review of . . . " and state the reasons why the 25 26 petition should be granted. It must be signed. 27 (c) Receipt and Distribution of Petition. A circuit clerk who receives a petition for 28 review filed within the time allowed and in proper form must: 29 (1) acknowledge its receipt and send a copy to the complainant or subject judge, 30 as the case may be; 31 (2) promptly distribute to each member of the judicial council, or its relevant panel, except for any member disqualified under Rule 25, or make available 32 33 in the manner provided by local rule, the following materials: 34 copies of the complaint; (A) 35 **(B)** all materials obtained by the chief judge in connection with the 36 inquiry; 37 **(C)** the chief judge's order disposing of the complaint; any memorandum in support of the chief judge's order; 38 **(D)** 39 **(E)** the petition for review; and an appropriate ballot; 40 **(F)** 41 (3) send the petition for review to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Unless the Judicial Conference Committee requests 42 43 them, the clerk will not send copies of the materials obtained by the chief 44 judge. 45 Untimely Petition. The clerk must refuse to accept a petition that is received after (d) 46 the deadline in (b). Timely Petition Not in Proper Form. When the clerk receives a petition filed within 47 **(e)** the time allowed but in a form that is improper to a degree that would substantially 48

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		impair its consideration by the judicial council — such as a document that is ambiguous about whether it is intended to be a petition for review — the clerk must acknowledge its receipt, call the filer's attention to the deficiencies, and give the filer the opportunity to correct the deficiencies within 21 days of the date of the clerk's letter about the deficiencies or within the original deadline for filing the petition, whichever is later. If the deficiencies are corrected within the time allowed, the clerk will proceed according to paragraphs (a) and (c) of this Rule. If the deficiencies are not corrected, the clerk must reject the petition.
9 10		Commentary on Rule 18
11		Commentary on Rule 10
12		Rule 18 is adapted largely from the Illustrative Rules.
13		
14	_	Subsection (a) permits a subject judge, as well as the complainant, to petition for review
15		hief judge's order dismissing a complaint under Rule 11(c), or concluding that appropriate
16		ctive action or intervening events have remedied or mooted the problems raised by the list arrange $f(x)$. Although the reduction of the problems raised by the
17		laint pursuant to Rule 11(d) or (e). Although the subject judge may ostensibly be
18 19		cated by the dismissal or conclusion of a complaint, a chief judge's order may include age disagreeable to the subject judge. For example, an order may dismiss a complaint, but
20		that the subject judge did in fact engage in misconduct. Accordingly, a subject judge may
20		to object to the content of the order and is given the opportunity to petition the judicial
22		cil of the circuit for review.
23	count	
24		Subsection (b) contains a time limit of thirty-five days to file a petition for review. It is
25	impoi	rtant to establish a time limit on petitions for review of chief judges' dispositions in order to
26	provie	de finality to the process. If the complaint requires an investigation, the investigation
27	shoul	d proceed; if it does not, the subject judge should know that the matter is closed.
28		
29		The standards for timely filing under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure should be
30	applie	ed to petitions for review. See Fed. R. App. P. 25(a)(2)(A) and (C).
31		
32 33	anhaa	Rule 18(e) provides for an automatic extension of the time limit imposed under
33 34		ction (b) if a person files a petition that is rejected for failure to comply with formal
35	requi	rements.
36	10	Judicial-Council Disposition of Petitions for Review
		Rights of Subject Judge. At any time after a complainant files a petition for review,
37	(a)	
38 39		the subject judge may file a written response with the circuit clerk. The clerk must promptly distribute copies of the response to each member of the judicial council or
39 40		of the relevant panel, unless that member is disqualified under Rule 25. Copies
40		must also be distributed to the chief judge, to the complainant, and to the Judicial
42		Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. The subject judge must
43		not otherwise communicate with individual council members about the matter. The
44		subject judge must be given copies of any communications to the judicial council
45		from the complainant.
46	(b)	Judicial-Council Action. After considering a petition for review and the materials
47		before it, a judicial council may:
48		(1) affirm the chief judge's disposition by denying the petition;
49		(2) return the matter to the chief judge with directions to conduct a further

1		inquiry under Dule 11(b) on to identify a complaint under Dule 5.
1 2		 inquiry under Rule 11(b) or to identify a complaint under Rule 5; (3) return the matter to the chief judge with directions to appoint a special
3		committee under Rule 11(f); or
4		(4) in exceptional circumstances, take other appropriate action.
5	(c)	Notice of Council Decision. Copies of the judicial council's order, together with any
6		accompanying memorandum in support of the order or separate concurring or
7		dissenting statements, must be given to the complainant, the subject judge, and the
8		Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.
9	(d)	Memorandum of Council Decision. If the council's order affirms the chief judge's
10		disposition, a supporting memorandum must be prepared only if the judicial council
11		concludes that there is a need to supplement the chief judge's explanation. A
12 13		memorandum supporting a council order must not include the name of the complainant or the subject judge.
13	(e)	Review of Judicial-Council Decision. If the judicial council's decision is adverse to
15	(0)	the petitioner, and if no member of the council dissented on the ground that a
16		special committee should be appointed under Rule 11(f), the complainant must be
17		notified that he or she has no right to seek review of the decision. If there was a
18		dissent, the petitioner must be informed that he or she can file a petition for review
19		under Rule 21(b) solely on the issue of whether a special committee should be
20		appointed.
21	(f)	Public Availability of Judicial-Council Decision. Materials related to the council's
22		decision must be made public to the extent, at the time, and in the manner set forth
23		in Rule 24.
24 25		Commentary on Rule 19
23 26		Commentary on Rule 19
27		This Rule is largely adapted from the Act and is self-explanatory.
28		
29		The council should ordinarily review the decision of the chief judge on the merits,
30		ing the petition for review for all practical purposes as an appeal. The judicial council may
31		ond to a petition by affirming the chief judge's order, remanding the matter, or, in exceptional
32	case	s, taking other appropriate action.
33	• 0	
34	20.	Judicial-Council Consideration of Reports and Recommendations of
35		Special Committees
36	(a)	Rights of Subject Judge. Within 21 days after the filing of the report of a special
37		committee, the subject judge may send a written response to the members of the
38		judicial council. The judge must also be given an opportunity to present argument
39		through counsel, written or oral, as determined by the council. The judge must not
40		otherwise communicate with council members about the matter.
41	(b)	Judicial-Council Action.
42		(1) Discretionary actions. Subject to the judge's rights set forth in subsection (a),
43 44		the judicial council may:
44 45		 (A) dismiss the complaint because: (i) even if the claim is true, the claimed conduct is not conduct
43 46		(i) even if the claim is true, the claimed conduct is not conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of
40 47		the business of the courts and does not indicate a mental or
48		physical disability resulting in inability to discharge the duties
-		

1		of office;
2		(ii) the complaint is directly related to the merits of a decision or
3		procedural ruling;
4		(iii) the facts on which the complaint is based have not been
5		established; or
6		(iv) the complaint is otherwise not appropriate for consideration
7		under 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364.
8		(B) conclude the proceeding because appropriate corrective action has
9		been taken or intervening events have made the proceeding
10		unnecessary.
11		(C) refer the complaint to the Judicial Conference of the United States
12		with the council's recommendations for action.
13		(D) take remedial action to ensure the effective and expeditious
14		administration of the business of the courts, including:
15		(i) censuring or reprimanding the subject judge, either by private
16		communication or by public announcement;
17		(ii) ordering that no new cases be assigned to the subject judge for
18		a limited, fixed period;
19		(iii) in the case of a magistrate judge, ordering the chief judge of
20		the district court to take action specified by the council,
21		including the initiation of removal proceedings under 28 U.S.C.
22		§ 631(i) or 42 U.S.C. § 300aa–12(c)(2);
23		(iv) in the case of a bankruptcy judge, removing the judge from
24		office under 28 U.S.C. § 152(e);
25		(v) in the case of a circuit or district judge, requesting the judge to
26		retire voluntarily with the provision (if necessary) that
27		ordinary length-of-service requirements will be waived; and
28		(vi) in the case of a circuit or district judge who is eligible to retire
29		but does not do so, certifying the disability of the judge under
30		28 U.S.C. § 372(b) so that an additional judge may be
31		appointed.
32		(E) take any combination of actions described in (b)(1)(A)–(D) of this
33		Rule that is within its power.
34		(2) Mandatory actions. A judicial council must refer a complaint to the Judicial
35		Conference if the council determines that a circuit judge or district judge
36		may have engaged in conduct that:
37		(A) might constitute ground for impeachment; or
38		(B) in the interest of justice, is not amenable to resolution by the judicial
39		council.
40	(c)	Inadequate Basis for Decision. If the judicial council finds that a special
41		committee's report, recommendations, and record provide an inadequate basis for
42		decision, it may return the matter to the committee for further investigation and a
43		new report, or it may conduct further investigation. If the judicial council decides
44		to conduct further investigation, the subject judge must be given adequate prior
45		notice in writing of that decision and of the general scope and purpose of the
46		additional investigation. The judicial council's conduct of the additional
47		investigation must generally accord with the procedures and powers set forth in
48		Rules 13 through 16 for the conduct of an investigation by a special committee.
49	(d)	Council Vote. Council action must be taken by a majority of those members of the

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 council who are not disqualified. A decision to remove a bankruptcy judge from office requires a majority vote of all the members of the council. (e) Recommendation for Fee Reimbursement. If the complaint has been finally dismissed or concluded under (b)(1)(A) or (B) of this Rule, and if the subject judge so requests, the judicial council may recommend that the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts use funds appropriated to the Judiciary to reimburse the judge for reasonable expenses incurred during the investigation, when those expenses would not have been incurred but for the requirements of the Act and these Rules. Reasonable expenses include attorneys' fees and expenses related to a successful defense or prosecution of a proceeding
11	under Rule 21(a) or (b).
12	(f) Council Action. Council action must be by written order. Unless the council finds
13	that extraordinary reasons would make it contrary to the interests of justice, the
14	order must be accompanied by a memorandum setting forth the factual
15	determinations on which it is based and the reasons for the council action. The
16	order and the supporting memorandum must be provided to the complainant, the
17	subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and
18	Disability. The complainant and the subject judge must be notified of any right to
19	review of the judicial council's decision as provided in Rule 21(b).
20	
21	Commentary on Rule 20
22	
23	This Rule is largely adapted from the Illustrative Rules.
24	
25	Rule 20(a) provides that within twenty-one days after the filing of the report of a special
26	committee, the subject judge may address a written response to all of the members of the judicial
27	council. The subject judge must also be given an opportunity to present oral argument to the
28	council, personally or through counsel. The subject judge may not otherwise communicate with
29 20	council members about the matter.
30	Dula 20(a) manifes that if the indicial council decides to conduct on additional
31	Rule 20(c) provides that if the judicial council decides to conduct an additional
32	investigation, the subject judge must be given adequate prior notice in writing of that decision
33	and of the general scope and purpose of the additional investigation. The conduct of the
34	investigation will be generally in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rules 13 through 16
35	for the conduct of an investigation by a special committee. However, if hearings are held, the
36	council may limit testimony or the presentation of evidence to avoid unnecessary repetition of
37	testimony and evidence before the special committee.
38	
39 40	Rule 20(d) provides that council action must be taken by a majority of those members of
40	the council who are not disqualified, except that a decision to remove a bankruptcy judge from
41	office requires a majority of all the members of the council as required by 28 U.S.C. § 152(e).
42	However, it is inappropriate to apply a similar rule to the less severe actions that a judicial
43	council may take under the Act. If some members of the council are disqualified in the matter,
44 45	their disqualification should not be given the effect of a vote against council action.
45	With record to Dulo 20(a) the indicial council on the manual fither with the line of the second to the line of the second to the
46 47	With regard to Rule 20(e), the judicial council, on the request of the subject judge, may
47	recommend to the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts that the
48	subject judge be reimbursed for reasonable expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred. The
49	judicial council has the authority to recommend such reimbursement where, after investigation

by a special committee, the complaint has been finally dismissed or concluded under subsection
(b)(1) (A) or (B) of this Rule. It is contemplated that such reimbursement may be provided for
the successful prosecution or defense of a proceeding under Rule 21(a) or (b), in other words, one
that results in a Rule 20(b)(1)(A) or (B) dismissal or conclusion.

Rule 20(f) requires that council action normally be supported with a memorandum of
factual determinations and reasons and that notice of the action be given to the complainant and
the subject judge. Rule 20(f) also requires that the notification to the complainant and the subject
judge include notice of any right to petition for review of the council's decision under Rule 21(b).

ARTICLE VI. REVIEW BY JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON CONDUCT AND DISABILITY

21. Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability

(a) Review by Committee. The Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, consisting of seven members, considers and disposes of all petitions for review under (b) of this Rule, in conformity with the Committee's jurisdictional statement. Its disposition of petitions for review is ordinarily final. The Judicial Conference of the United States may, in its sole discretion, review any such Committee decision, but a complainant or subject judge does not have a right to this review.

(b) Reviewable Matters.

- (1) Upon petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Committee for review of a judicial-council order entered in accordance with:
 - (A) Rule 20(b)(1)(A), (B), (D), or (E); or
 - (B) Rule 19(b)(1) or (4) if one or more members of the judicial council dissented from the order on the ground that a special committee should be appointed under Rule 11(f); in that event, the Committee's review will be limited to the issue of whether a special committee should be appointed.
- (2) Upon Committee's initiative. At its initiative and in its sole discretion, the Committee may review any judicial-council order entered under Rule 19(b)(1) or (4), but only to determine whether a special committee should be appointed. Before undertaking the review, the Committee must invite that judicial council to explain why it believes the appointment of a special committee is unnecessary, unless the reasons are clearly stated in the judicial council's order denying the petition for review. If the Committee believes that it would benefit from a submission by the subject judge, it may issue an appropriate request. If the Committee must issue a written decision giving its reasons.
- (c) Committee Vote. Any member of the Committee from the same circuit as the subject judge is disqualified from considering or voting on a petition for review. Committee decisions under (b) of this Rule must be by majority vote of the qualified Committee members. If only six members are qualified to vote on a petition for review, the decision must be made by a majority of a panel of five members drawn from a randomly selected list that rotates after each decision by a panel drawn from the list. The members who will determine the petition must be selected based on committee membership as of the date on which the petition is received. Those members selected to hear the petition should serve in that capacity until final disposition of the petition, whether or not their term of committee membership has ended. If only four members are qualified to vote, the Chief Justice must appoint, if available, an ex-member of the Committee or, if not, another United States judge to consider the petition.

1	(d)	Additional Investigation. Except in extraordinary circumstances, the Committee
2 3 4		will not conduct an additional investigation. The Committee may return the matter
3		to the judicial council with directions to undertake an additional investigation. If
		the Committee conducts an additional investigation, it will exercise the powers of
5		the Judicial Conference under 28 U.S.C. § 331.
6	(e)	Oral Argument; Personal Appearance. There is ordinarily no oral argument or
7 8		personal appearance before the Committee. In its discretion, the Committee may
	(6)	permit written submissions from the complainant or subject judge.
9	(f)	Committee Decisions. Committee decisions under this Rule must be transmitted
10 11		promptly to the Judicial Conference of the United States. Other distribution will be by the Administrative Office at the direction of the Committee chair.
12	(g)	Finality. All orders of the Judicial Conference or of the Committee (when the
12	(g)	Conference does not exercise its power of review) are final.
13		Conference does not exercise its power of review) are final.
15		Commentary on Rule 21
16		Commentary on Rule 21
17		This Rule is largely self-explanatory.
18		
19		Rule 21(a) is intended to clarify that the delegation of power to the Judicial Conference
20	Com	mittee on Judicial Conduct and Disability to dispose of petitions does not preclude review of
21		dispositions by the Conference. However, there is no right to such review in any party.
22		
23		Rules 21(b)(1)(B) and (b)(2) are intended to fill a jurisdictional gap as to review of
24		issals or conclusions of complaints under Rule $19(b)(1)$ or (4). Where one or more members
25		udicial council reviewing a petition have dissented on the ground that a special committee
26		d have been appointed, the complainant or subject judge has the right to petition for review
27	•	e Committee but only as to that issue. Under Rule 21(b)(2), the Judicial Conference
28		mittee on Judicial Conduct and Disability may review such a dismissal or conclusion in its
29		discretion, whether or not such a dissent occurred, and only as to the appointment of a
30	speci	al committee. No party has a right to such review, and such review will be rare.
31		Della 21(-) and it for an interview of the Committee mention from simulate other than the
32 33	ofth	Rule 21(c) provides for review only by Committee members from circuits other than that
33 34		e subject judge. To avoid tie votes, the Committee will decide petitions for review by ng panels of five when only six members are qualified. If only four members are qualified,
35		The function of the function o
36	the C	the sustee must appoint an additional judge to consider that petition for review.
37		Under this Rule, all Committee decisions are final in that they are unreviewable unless
38	the I	udicial Conference, in its discretion, decides to review a decision. Committee decisions,
39		ever, do not necessarily constitute final action on a complaint for purposes of Rule 24.
40		····, ··· -····························
41	22.	Procedures for Review
42	(a)	Filing a Petition for Review. A petition for review of a judicial-council decision may
43	(4)	be filed by sending a brief written statement to the Judicial Conference Committee
44		on Judicial Conduct and Disability, addressed to:
45		
46		
47		

1		Indiaial Conference Committee on Indiaial Conduct and Dischility
1 2		Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability Attn: Office of General Counsel
$\frac{2}{3}$		Administrative Office of the United States Courts
4		One Columbus Circle, NE Washington, D.C. 20544
5		Washington, D.C. 20544
6		The Administrative Office will send a copy of the petition to the complainant or
7	(L)	subject judge, as the case may be.
8	(b)	Form and Contents of Petition for Review. No particular form is required. The
9 10		petition must contain a short statement of the basic facts underlying the complaint,
10		the history of its consideration before the appropriate judicial council, a copy of the indicial council's decision, and the grounds on which the petitioner seeks review.
11		judicial council's decision, and the grounds on which the petitioner seeks review. The petition for review must specify the date and docket number of the judicial-
12		council order for which review is sought. The petitioner may attach any documents
13		or correspondence arising in the course of the proceeding before the judicial council
14		or its special committee. A petition should not normally exceed 20 pages plus
15		necessary attachments.
10	(a)	Time. A petition must be submitted within 63 days of the date of the order for
17	(c)	which review is sought.
18	(d)	Copies. Seven copies of the petition for review must be submitted, at least one of
20	(u)	which must be signed by the petitioner or his or her attorney. If the petitioner
20		submits a signed declaration of inability to pay the expense of duplicating the
22		petition, the Administrative Office must accept the original petition and must
$\frac{22}{23}$		reproduce copies at its expense.
23	(e)	Action on Receipt of Petition for Review. The Administrative Office must
25	(0)	acknowledge receipt of a petition for review submitted under this Rule, notify the
26		chair of the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, and
27		distribute the petition to the members of the Committee for their deliberation.
28		
29		Commentary on Rule 22
30		
31		Rule 22 is self-explanatory.
32		
33	AR	FICLE VII. MISCELLANEOUS RULES
34		
35	23.	Confidentiality
36	(a)	General Rule. The consideration of a complaint by the chief judge, a special
30 37	(a)	committee, the judicial council, or the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial
38		Conduct and Disability is confidential. Information about this consideration must
38 39		not be disclosed by any judge or employee of the judicial branch or by any person
40		who records or transcribes testimony except as allowed by these Rules. In
40 41		extraordinary circumstances, a chief judge may disclose the existence of a
41		proceeding under these Rules when necessary to maintain public confidence in the
42		federal judiciary's ability to redress misconduct or disability.
44	(b)	Files. All files related to complaints must be separately maintained with
45	(0)	appropriate security precautions to ensure confidentiality.
46	(c)	Disclosure in Decisions. Except as otherwise provided in Rule 24, written decisions
40		of the chief judge, the judicial council, or the Judicial Conference Committee on
48		Judicial Conduct and Disability, and dissenting opinions or separate statements of
10		success conduct and Disability, and dissenting opinions of separate statements of

1		members of the council or Committee may contain information and exhibits that the
2		authors consider appropriate for inclusion, and the information and exhibits may be
3		made public.
4	(d)	Availability to Judicial Conference. On request of the Judicial Conference or its
5		Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, the circuit clerk must furnish any
6		requested records related to a complaint. For auditing purposes, the circuit clerk
7		must provide access to the Committee to records of proceedings under the Act at the
8		site where the records are kept.
9	(0)	Availability to District Court. If the judicial council directs the initiation of
	(e)	
10		proceedings for removal of a magistrate judge under Rule 20(b)(1)(D)(iii), the
11		circuit clerk must provide to the chief judge of the district court copies of the report
12		of the special committee and any other documents and records that were before the
13		judicial council at the time of its decision. On request of the chief judge of the
14		district court, the judicial council may authorize release to that chief judge of any
15		other records relating to the investigation.
16	(f)	Impeachment Proceedings. If the Judicial Conference determines that
17		consideration of impeachment may be warranted, it must transmit the record of all
18		relevant proceedings to the Speaker of the House of Representatives.
19	(g)	Subject Judge's Consent. If both the subject judge and the chief judge consent in
20		writing, any materials from the files may be disclosed to any person. In any such
21		disclosure, the chief judge may require that the identity of the complainant, or of
22		witnesses in an investigation conducted by a chief judge, a special committee, or the
23		judicial council, not be revealed.
24	(h)	Disclosure in Special Circumstances. The Judicial Conference, its Committee on
25	(11)	Judicial Conduct and Disability, or a judicial council may authorize disclosure of
26		information about the consideration of a complaint, including the papers,
27		documents, and transcripts relating to the investigation, to the extent that disclosure
28		is justified by special circumstances and is not prohibited by the Act. Disclosure
28 29		may be made to judicial researchers engaged in the study or evaluation of
30		
		experience under the Act and related modes of judicial discipline, but only where
31		the study or evaluation has been specifically approved by the Judicial Conference or
32		by the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability.
33		Appropriate steps must be taken to protect the identities of the subject judge, the
34		complainant, and witnesses from public disclosure. Other appropriate safeguards
35		to protect against the dissemination of confidential information may be imposed.
36	(i)	Disclosure of Identity by Subject Judge. Nothing in this Rule precludes the subject
37		judge from acknowledging that he or she is the judge referred to in documents made
38		public under Rule 24.
39	(j)	Assistance and Consultation. Nothing in this Rule precludes the chief judge or
40		judicial council acting on a complaint filed under the Act from seeking the help of
41		qualified staff or from consulting other judges who may be helpful in the disposition
42		of the complaint.
43		-
44		Commentary on Rule 23
45		
46		Rule 23 was adapted from the Illustrative Rules.
47		······································
48		The Act applies a rule of confidentiality to "papers, documents, and records of
49	nroce	bedings related to investigations conducted under this chapter" and states that they may not
.,	Proce	

be disclosed "by any person in any proceeding," with enumerated exceptions. 28 U.S.C.
§ 360(a). Three questions arise: Who is bound by the confidentiality rule, what proceedings are
subject to the rule, and who is within the circle of people who may have access to information
without breaching the rule?

With regard to the first question, Rule 23(a) provides that judges, employees of the judicial branch, and those persons involved in recording proceedings and preparing transcripts are obliged to respect the confidentiality requirement. This of course includes subject judges who do not consent to identification under Rule 23(i).

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

36

With regard to the second question, Rule 23(a) applies the rule of confidentiality broadly to consideration of a complaint at any stage.

With regard to the third question, there is no barrier of confidentiality among a chief judge, judicial council, the Judicial Conference, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Each may have access to any of the confidential records for use in their consideration of a referred matter, a petition for review, or monitoring the administration of the Act. A district court may have similar access if the judicial council orders the district court to initiate proceedings to remove a magistrate judge from office, and Rule 23(e) so provides.

In extraordinary circumstances, a chief judge may disclose the existence of a proceeding under these Rules. The disclosure of such information in high-visibility or controversial cases is to reassure the public that the federal judiciary is capable of redressing judicial misconduct or disability. Moreover, the confidentiality requirement does not prevent the chief judge from "communicat[ing] orally or in writing with . . . [persons] who may have knowledge of the matter," as part of a limited inquiry conducted by the chief judge under Rule 11(b).

28 Rule 23 recognizes that there must be some exceptions to the Act's confidentiality requirement. For example, the Act requires that certain orders and the reasons for them must be 29 made public. 28 U.S.C. § 360(b). Rule 23(c) makes it explicit that memoranda supporting chief 30 judge and council orders, as well as dissenting opinions and separate statements, may contain 31 references to information that would otherwise be confidential and that such information may be 32 made public. However, subsection (c) is subject to Rule 24(a) which provides the general rule 33 34 regarding the public availability of decisions. For example, the name of a subject judge cannot be made public in a decision if disclosure of the name is prohibited by that Rule. 35

The Act makes clear that there is a barrier of confidentiality between the judicial branch and the legislative. It provides that material may be disclosed to Congress only if it is believed necessary to an impeachment investigation or trial of a judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(2). Accordingly, Section 355(b) of the Act requires the Judicial Conference to transmit the record of the proceeding to the House of Representatives if the Conference believes that impeachment of a subject judge may be appropriate. Rule 23(f) implements this requirement.

The Act provides that confidential materials may be disclosed if authorized in writing by the subject judge and by the chief judge. 28 U.S.C. § 360(a)(3). Rule 23(g) implements this requirement. Once the subject judge has consented to the disclosure of confidential materials related to a complaint, the chief judge ordinarily will refuse consent only to the extent necessary to protect the confidentiality interests of the complainant or of witnesses who have testified in

investigatory proceedings or who have provided information in response to a limited inquiry 1 undertaken pursuant to Rule 11. It will generally be necessary, therefore, for the chief judge to 2 3 require that the identities of the complainant or of such witnesses, as well as any identifying 4 information, be shielded in any materials disclosed, except insofar as the chief judge has secured 5 the consent of the complainant or of a particular witness to disclosure, or there is a demonstrated need for disclosure of the information that, in the judgment of the chief judge, outweighs the 6 7 confidentiality interest of the complainant or of a particular witness (as may be the case where 8 the complainant is delusional or where the complainant or a particular witness has already 9 demonstrated a lack of concern about maintaining the confidentiality of the proceedings). 10

Rule 23(h) permits disclosure of additional information in circumstances not enumerated.
 For example, disclosure may be appropriate to permit a prosecution for perjury based on
 testimony given before a special committee. Another example might involve evidence of
 criminal conduct by a judge discovered by a special committee.

Subsection (h) also permits the authorization of disclosure of information about the 16 consideration of a complaint, including the papers, documents, and transcripts relating to the 17 investigation, to judicial researchers engaged in the study or evaluation of experience under the 18 19 Act and related modes of judicial discipline. The Rule envisions disclosure of information from the official record of complaint proceedings to a limited category of persons for appropriately 20 authorized research purposes only, and with appropriate safeguards to protect individual 21 22 identities in any published research results that ensue. In authorizing disclosure, the judicial 23 council may refuse to release particular materials when such release would be contrary to the 24 interests of justice, or that constitute purely internal communications. The Rule does not 25 envision disclosure of purely internal communications between judges and their colleagues and 26 staff. 27

28 Under Rule 23(j), chief judges and judicial councils may seek staff assistance or consult with other judges who may be helpful in the process of complaint disposition; the confidentiality 29 requirement does not preclude this. The chief judge, for example, may properly seek the advice 30 and assistance of another judge who the chief judge deems to be in the best position to 31 32 communicate with the subject judge in an attempt to bring about corrective action. As another example, a new chief judge may wish to confer with a predecessor to learn how similar 33 34 complaints have been handled. In consulting with other judges, of course, the chief judge should disclose information regarding the complaint only to the extent the chief judge deems necessary 35 under the circumstances. 36

24. Public Availability of Decisions

37

38

44

45

46

- 39 (a) General Rule; Specific Cases. When final action has been taken on a complaint and
 40 it is no longer subject to review, all orders entered by the chief judge and judicial
 41 council, including any supporting memoranda and any dissenting opinions or
 42 separate statements by members of the judicial council, must be made public, with
 43 the following exceptions:
 - (1) if the complaint is finally dismissed under Rule 11(c) without the appointment of a special committee, or if it is concluded under Rule 11(d) because of voluntary corrective action, the publicly available materials must not disclose the name of the subject judge without his or her consent.
- 48 (2) if the complaint is concluded because of intervening events, or dismissed at
 49 any time after a special committee is appointed, the judicial council must
 50 determine whether the name of the subject judge should be disclosed.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	 (5) If the complaint is finally disposed of by a privately communicated censure of reprimand, the publicly available materials must not disclose either the name of the subject judge or the text of the reprimand. (4) if the complaint is finally disposed of under Rule 20(b)(1)(D) by any action other than private censure or reprimand, the text of the dispositive order must be included in the materials made public, and the name of the subject judge must be disclosed. (5) the name of the complainant must not be disclosed in materials made public under this Rule unless the chief judge orders disclosure. (b) Manner of Making Public. The orders described in (a) must be made public by placing them in a publicly accessible file in the office of the circuit clerk or by placing the orders on the court's public website. If the orders appear to have precedential value, the chief judge may cause them to be published. In addition, the 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	 Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability will make available on the Federal Judiciary's website, www.uscourts.gov, selected illustrative orders described in paragraph (a), appropriately redacted, to provide additional information to the public on how complaints are addressed under the Act. (c) Orders of Judicial Conference Committee. Orders of this Committee constituting final action in a complaint proceeding arising from a particular circuit will be made available to the public in the office of the clerk of the relevant court of appeals. The Committee will also make such orders available on the Federal Judiciary's website, www.uscourts.gov. When authorized by the Committee, other orders related to complaint proceedings will similarly be made available. (d) Complaints Referred to the Judicial Conference of the United States. If a complaint 	
5 6 7 8 9 0	is referred to the Judicial Conference under Rule 20(b)(1)(C) or 20(b)(2), materials relating to the complaint will be made public only if ordered by the Judicial Conference. Commentary on Rule 24	
1	Rule 24 is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and the recommendations of the Breyer Committee.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	The Act requires the circuits to make available only written orders of a judicial council or the Judicial Conference imposing some form of sanction. 28 U.S.C. § 360(b). The Judicial Conference, however, has long recognized the desirability of public availability of a broader range of orders and other materials. In 1994, the Judicial Conference "urge[d] all circuits and courts covered by the Act to submit to the West Publishing Company, for publication in Federal Reporter 3d, and to Lexis all orders issued pursuant to [the Act] that are deemed by the issuing circuit or court to have significant precedential value to other circuits and courts covered by the Act." Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, Mar. 1994, at 28. Following this recommendation, the 2000 revision of the Illustrative Rules contained a public availability provision very similar to Rule 24. In 2002, the Judicial Conference again voted to encourage the circuits "to submit non-routine public orders disposing of complaints of judicial misconduct or disability for publication by on-line and print services." Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, Sept. 2002, at 58. The Breyer Committee Report further emphasized that "[p]osting such orders on the judicial branch's public website would not only benefit judges directly, it would also encourage scholarly commentary and analysis of the orders." Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 216. With these	

if the complaint is finally disposed of by a privately communicated censure or

1

(3)

Rule 24 provides for public availability of orders of the chief judge, the judicial council, 1 2 and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability and the texts of any 3 memoranda supporting their orders, together with any dissenting opinions or separate statements 4 by members of the judicial council. However, these orders and memoranda are to be made 5 public only when final action on the complaint has been taken and any right of review has been exhausted. The provision that decisions will be made public only after final action has been 6 7 taken is designed in part to avoid public disclosure of the existence of pending proceedings. 8 Whether the name of the subject judge is disclosed will then depend on the nature of the final 9 action. If the final action is an order predicated on a finding of misconduct or disability (other 10 than a privately communicated censure or reprimand) the name of the judge must be made public. If the final action is dismissal of the complaint, the name of the subject judge must not be 11 disclosed. Rule 24(a)(1) provides that where a proceeding is concluded under Rule 11(d) by the 12 chief judge on the basis of voluntary corrective action, the name of the subject judge must not be 13 14 disclosed. Shielding the name of the subject judge in this circumstance should encourage 15 informal disposition. 16

17 If a complaint is dismissed as moot, or because intervening events have made action on the complaint unnecessary, after appointment of a special committee, Rule 24(a)(2) allows the 18 19 judicial council to determine whether the subject judge will be identified. In such a case, no final decision has been rendered on the merits, but it may be in the public interest -- particularly if a 20 judicial officer resigns in the course of an investigation -- to make the identity of the judge 21 22 known. 23

Once a special committee has been appointed, and a proceeding is concluded by the full council on the basis of a remedial order of the council, Rule 24(a)(4) provides for disclosure of the name of the subject judge.

28 Finally, Rule 24(a)(5) provides that the identity of the complainant will be disclosed only if the chief judge so orders. Identifying the complainant when the subject judge is not identified would increase the likelihood that the identity of the subject judge would become publicly 30 known, thus circumventing the policy of nondisclosure. It may not always be practicable to shield the complainant's identity while making public disclosure of the judicial council's order and supporting memoranda; in some circumstances, moreover, the complainant may consent to 34 public identification.

25. Disqualification

24

25

26

27

29

31 32

33

- General Rule. Any judge is disqualified from participating in any proceeding under 37 **(a)** 38 these Rules if the judge, in his or her discretion, concludes that circumstances warrant disqualification. If the complaint is filed by a judge, that judge is 39 40 disqualified from participating in any consideration of the complaint except to the extent that these Rules provide for a complainant's participation. A chief judge who 41 has identified a complaint under Rule 5 is not automatically disqualified from 42 considering the complaint. 43
- Subject Judge. A subject judge is disqualified from considering the complaint 44 **(b)** 45 except to the extent that these Rules provide for participation by a subject judge.
- Chief Judge Not Disqualified from Considering a Petition for Review of a Chief 46 (c) Judge's Order. If a petition for review of a chief judge's order entered under Rule 47 11(c), (d), or (e) is filed with the judicial council in accordance with Rule 18, the 48 49 chief judge is not disqualified from participating in the council's consideration of 50 the petition.

- 1(d)Member of Special Committee Not Disqualified. A member of the judicial council2who serves on a special committee, including the chief judge, is not disqualified from3participating in council consideration of the committee's report.
- 4 Subject Judge's Disqualification After Appointment of a Special Committee. Upon **(e)** 5 appointment of a special committee, the subject judge is automatically disqualified from participating in any proceeding arising under the Act or these Rules as a 6 7 member of any special committee, the judicial council of the circuit, the Judicial 8 Conference of the United States, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial 9 Conduct and Disability. The disgualification continues until all proceedings on the 10 complaint against the subject judge are finally terminated with no further right of 11 review.
- 12 **(f)** Substitute for Disqualified Chief Judge. If the chief judge is disqualified from participating in consideration of the complaint, the duties and responsibilities of the 13 chief judge under these Rules must be assigned to the most-senior active circuit 14 judge not disqualified. If all circuit judges in regular active service are disqualified, 15 the judicial council may determine whether to request a transfer under Rule 26, or, 16 in the interest of sound judicial administration, to permit the chief judge to dispose 17 of the complaint on the merits. Members of the judicial council who are named in 18 the complaint may participate in this determination if necessary to obtain a quorum 19 20 of the judicial council.
 - (g) Judicial-Council Action When Multiple Judges Are Disqualified. Notwithstanding any other provision in these Rules to the contrary,
 - (1) a member of the judicial council who is a subject judge may participate in its disposition if:
 - (A) participation by one or more subject judges is necessary to obtain a quorum of the judicial council;
 - (B) the judicial council finds that the lack of a quorum is due to the naming of one or more judges in the complaint for the purpose of disqualifying that judge or judges, or to the naming of one or more judges based on their participation in a decision excluded from the definition of misconduct under Rule 3(h)(3); and
 - (C) the judicial council votes that it is necessary, appropriate, and in the interest of sound judicial administration that one or more subject judges be eligible to act.
 - (2) otherwise disqualified members may participate in votes taken under (g)(1)(B) and (g)(1)(C).
 - (h) Disqualification of Members of the Judicial Conference Committee. No member of the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability is disqualified from participating in any proceeding under the Act or these Rules because of consultations with a chief judge, a member of a special committee, or a member of a judicial council about the interpretation or application of the Act or these Rules, unless the member believes that the consultation would prevent fairminded participation.
- 44 45

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

1	Commentary on Rule 25
1 2 3	Pula 25 is adopted from the Illustrative Pulas
	Rule 25 is adapted from the Illustrative Rules.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Subsection (a) provides the general rule for disqualification. Of course, a judge is not disqualified simply because the subject judge is on the same court. However, this subsection recognizes that there may be cases in which an appearance of bias or prejudice is created by circumstances other than an association with the subject judge as a colleague. For example, a judge may have a familial relationship with a complainant or subject judge. When such circumstances exist, a judge may, in his or her discretion, conclude that disqualification is warranted.
12	
13 14 15 16 17	Subsection (e) makes it clear that the disqualification of the subject judge relates only to the subject judge's participation in any proceeding arising under the Act or these Rules as a member of a special committee, judicial council, Judicial Conference, or the Judicial Conference Committee. The Illustrative Rule, based on Section 359(a) of the Act, is ambiguous and could be read to disqualify a subject judge from service of any kind on each of the bodies mentioned. This
18	is undoubtedly not the intent of the Act; such a disqualification would be anomalous in light of
19 20	the Act's allowing a subject judge to continue to decide cases and to continue to exercise the powers of chief circuit or district judge. It would also create a substantial deterrence to the
20	appointment of special committees, particularly where a special committee is needed solely
22	because the chief judge may not decide matters of credibility in his or her review under Rule 11.
23	
24	While a subject judge is barred by Rule 25(b) from participating in the disposition of the
25	complaint in which he or she is named, Rule 25(e) recognizes that participation in proceedings
26 27	arising under the Act or these Rules by a judge who is the subject of a special committee
27 28 29	investigation may lead to an appearance of self-interest in creating substantive and procedural precedents governing such proceedings; Rule 25(e) bars such participation.
30	Under the Act, a complaint against the chief judge is to be handled by "that circuit judge
31	in regular active service next senior in date of commission." 28 U.S.C. § 351(c). Rule 25(f)
32	provides that seniority among judges other than the chief judge is to be determined by date of
33	commission, with the result that complaints against the chief judge may be routed to a former
34	chief judge or other judge who was appointed earlier than the chief judge. The Rules do not
35	purport to prescribe who is to preside over meetings of the judicial council. Consequently, where
36	the presiding member of the judicial council is disqualified from participating under these Rules,
37 38	the order of precedence prescribed by Rule 25(f) for performing "the duties and responsibilities
38 39	of the chief circuit judge under these Rules" does not apply to determine the acting presiding member of the judicial council. That is a matter left to the internal rules or operating practices of
39 40	each judicial council. In most cases the most senior active circuit judge who is a member of the
40 41	judicial council and who is not disqualified will preside.
42	judicial council and who is not disquanned will preside.
43	Sometimes a single complaint is filed against a large group of judges. If the normal
44	disqualification rules are observed in such a case, no court of appeals judge can serve as acting
45	chief judge of the circuit, and the judicial council will be without appellate members. Where the
46	complaint is against all circuit and district judges, under normal rules no member of the judicial
47	council can perform the duties assigned to the council under the statute.
48	

- 49

A similar problem is created by successive complaints arising out of the same underlying 1 2 grievance. For example, a complainant files a complaint against a district judge based on alleged 3 misconduct, and the complaint is dismissed by the chief judge under the statute. The 4 complainant may then file a complaint against the chief judge for dismissing the first complaint, 5 and when that complaint is dismissed by the next senior judge, still a third complaint may be filed. The threat is that the complainant will bump down the seniority ladder until, once again, 6 7 there is no member of the court of appeals who can serve as acting chief judge for the purpose of the next complaint. Similarly, complaints involving the merits of litigation may involve a series 8 9 of decisions in which many judges participated or in which a rehearing en banc was denied by the 10 court of appeals, and the complaint may name a majority of the judicial council as subject judges. 11 12 In recognition that these multiple-judge complaints are virtually always meritless, the judicial council is given discretion to determine: (1) whether it is necessary, appropriate, and in 13 14 the interest of sound judicial administration to permit the chief judge to dispose of a complaint where it would otherwise be impossible for any active circuit judge in the circuit to act, and 15 (2) whether it is necessary, appropriate, and in the interest of sound judicial administration, after 16 appropriate findings as to need and justification are made, to permit subject judges of the judicial 17 council to participate in the disposition of a petition for review where it would otherwise be 18 19 impossible to obtain a quorum. 20 21 Applying a rule of necessity in these situations is consistent with the appearance of 22 justice. See, e.g., In re Complaint of Doe, 2 F.3d 308 (8th Cir. Jud. Council 1993) (invoking the 23 rule of necessity); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 91-80464 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 24 1992) (same). There is no unfairness in permitting the chief judge to dispose of a patently 25 insubstantial complaint that names all active circuit judges in the circuit. 26 27 Similarly, there is no unfairness in permitting subject judges, in these circumstances, to participate in the review of a chief judge's dismissal of an insubstantial complaint. The 28 remaining option is to assign the matter to another body. Among other alternatives, the council 29 may request a transfer of the petition under Rule 26. Given the administrative inconvenience and 30 delay involved in these alternatives, it is desirable to request a transfer only if the judicial council 31 32 determines that the petition is substantial enough to warrant such action. 33 34 In the unlikely event that a quorum of the judicial council cannot be obtained to consider the report of a special committee, it would normally be necessary to request a transfer under Rule 35 36 26. 37 38 Rule 25(h) recognizes that the jurisdictional statement of the Judicial Conference Committee contemplates consultation between members of the Committee and judicial 39 participants in proceedings under the Act and these Rules. Such consultation should not 40 automatically preclude participation by a member in that proceeding.

41 42

43 **26. Transfer to Another Judicial Council**

In exceptional circumstances, a chief judge or a judicial council may ask the Chief Justice
 to transfer a proceeding based on a complaint identified under Rule 5 or filed under Rule 6
 to the judicial council of another circuit. The request for a transfer may be made at any

47 stage of the proceeding before a reference to the Judicial Conference under Rule

48 20(b)(1)(C) or 20(b)(2) or a petition for review is filed under Rule 22. Upon receiving such

a request, the Chief Justice may refuse the request or select the transferee judicial council, which may then exercise the powers of a judicial council under these Rules.

Commentary on Rule 26

Rule 26 is new; it implements the Breyer Committee's recommended use of transfers. Breyer Committee Report, 239 F.R.D. at 214-15.

Rule 26 authorizes the transfer of a complaint proceeding to another judicial council selected by the Chief Justice. Such transfers may be appropriate, for example, in the case of a serious complaint where there are multiple disqualifications among the original council, where the issues are highly visible and a local disposition may weaken public confidence in the process, where internal tensions arising in the council as a result of the complaint render disposition by a less involved council appropriate, or where a complaint calls into question policies or governance of the home court of appeals. The power to effect a transfer is lodged in the Chief Justice to avoid disputes in a council over where to transfer a sensitive matter and to ensure that the transferee council accepts the matter.

Upon receipt of a transferred proceeding, the transferee council shall determine the proper stage at which to begin consideration of the complaint -- for example, reference to the transferee chief judge, appointment of a special committee, etc.

27. Withdrawal of Complaints and Petitions for Review

- (a) Complaint Pending Before Chief Judge. With the chief judge's consent, a complainant may withdraw a complaint that is before the chief judge for a decision under Rule 11. The withdrawal of a complaint will not prevent a chief judge from identifying or having to identify a complaint under Rule 5 based on the withdrawn complaint.
- (b) Complaint Pending before Special Committee or Judicial Council. After a complaint has been referred to a special committee for investigation and before the committee files its report, the complainant may withdraw the complaint only with the consent of both the subject judge and either the special committee or the judicial council.
 - (c) Petition for Review. A petition for review addressed to a judicial council under Rule 18, or the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability under Rule 22 may be withdrawn if no action on the petition has been taken.

Commentary on Rule 27

Rule 27 is adapted from the Illustrative Rules and treats the complaint proceeding, once
begun, as a matter of public business rather than as the property of the complainant.
Accordingly, the chief judge or the judicial council remains responsible for addressing any
complaint under the Act, even a complaint that has been formally withdrawn by the complainant.

Under subsection 27(a), a complaint pending before the chief judge may be withdrawn if
the chief judge consents. Where the complaint clearly lacked merit, the chief judge may
accordingly be saved the burden of preparing a formal order and supporting memorandum.
However, the chief judge may, or be obligated under Rule 5, to identify a complaint based on
allegations in a withdrawn complaint.

If the chief judge appoints a special committee, Rule 27(b) provides that the complaint may be withdrawn only with the consent of both the body before which it is pending (the special committee or the judicial council) and the subject judge. Once a complaint has reached the stage of appointment of a special committee, a resolution of the issues may be necessary to preserve public confidence. Moreover, the subject judge is given the right to insist that the matter be resolved on the merits, thereby eliminating any ambiguity that might remain if the proceeding were terminated by withdrawal of the complaint.

9 With regard to all petitions for review, Rule 27(c) grants the petitioner unrestricted 10 authority to withdraw the petition. It is thought that the public's interest in the proceeding is 11 adequately protected, because there will necessarily have been a decision by the chief judge and 12 often by the judicial council as well in such a case.

13

14 **28. Availability of Rules and Forms**

These Rules and copies of the complaint form as provided in Rule 6(a) must be available without charge in the office of the clerk of each court of appeals, district court, bankruptcy court, or other federal court whose judges are subject to the Act. Each court must also make these Rules and the complaint form available on the court's website, or provide an Internet link to the Rules and complaint form that are available on the appropriate court of appeals' website.

22 **29. Effective Date**

These Rules will become effective 30 days after promulgation by the Judicial Conference of
 the United States.

25

A P P E N D I X

COMPLAINT FORM

A two-page complaint form follows.

Judicial Council of the _____ Circuit

COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

To begin the complaint process, complete this form and prepare the brief statement of facts described in item 5 (below). The RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States, contain information on what to include in a complaint (Rule 6), where to file a complaint (Rule 7), and other important matters. The rules are available in federal court clerks' offices, on individual federal courts' Web sites, and on <u>www.uscourts.gov</u>.

Your complaint (this form and the statement of facts) should be typewritten and must be legible. For the number of copies to file, consult the local rules or clerk's office of the court in which your complaint is required to be filed. Enclose each copy of the complaint in an envelope marked "COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT" or "COMPLAINT OF DISABILITY" and submit it to the appropriate clerk of court. **Do not put the name of any judge on the envelope.**

1.	Name of Complainant:				
	Contact Address:				
	Daytime telephone: ()				
2.	Name(s) of Judge(s):				
	Court:				
3.	Does this complaint concern the behavior of the judge(s) in a particular lawsuit or lawsuits? [] Yes [] No				
	If "yes," give the following information about each lawsuit:				
	Court:				
	Case Number:				
	Docket number of any appeal to the Circuit:				
	Are (were) you a party or lawyer in the lawsuit?				
	[] Party [] Lawyer [] Neither				

	If you are (were) a party and have (had) a lawyer, give the lawyer's name, address, and telephone number:					
4.	Have you filed any lawsuits against the judge?					
	[] Yes [] No					
	If "yes," give the following information about each such lawsuit:					
	Court:					
	Case Number:					
	Present status of lawsuit:					
	Name, address, and telephone number of your lawyer for the lawsuit against the judges					
	Court to which any appeal has been taken in the lawsuit against the judge:					
	Docket number of the appeal:					
	Present status of the appeal:					

5. Brief Statement of Facts. Attach a brief statement of the specific facts on which the claim of judicial misconduct or disability is based. Include what happened, when and where it happened, and any information that would help an investigator check the facts. If the complaint alleges judicial disability, also include any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.

6. Declaration and signature:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

(Signature)	(Date)
-------------	--------