

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

59 Crescent Street, Brooklyn, NY 11208-1515
DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
tel. (718) 827-9521

November 18, 2006

Dr. Frederick D. Graves, JD, and
Comnlawnet@aol.com and
AMOI_MAIN@yahoo.com Subscribers

Dear Dr. Graves and Subscribers,

As the Subscribers know, last Thursday, I addressed an e-mail to them and Dr. Graves where I asked him to show us how he would use his formula for producing a "winning record" that would have "compelled" a judge to apply the law at the risk of thereby incriminating himself for supporting a bankruptcy fraud scheme and facing up to 20 years imprisonment. I indicated that the e-mail had supporting documents, both of which could be downloaded in a PDF, and provided a link to it: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Joining_forces_for_effectiveness_15nov6.pdf. On Friday, Dr. Graves e-mailed me that "Thank you for offering to help, however I find the link you sent inoperable. Is the problem on my end? Please advise. Thank you."

Immediately I replied to Dr. Graves that, as stated in my e-mail, opening the PDF required Adobe Acrobat Reader 7, which could be downloaded from www.Adobe.com, that I had tested the link to that PDF contained in his e-mail and it downloaded the file, and that for his convenience I was attaching a copy of it. Today Dr. Graves sends an e-mail "For the group, please note that DrRCordero wishes me to review a 274 page document and render a legal opinion. Please forgive me for declining to do so. Perhaps some of you will spend the time to assist DrRCordero. I have a family to support."

Please note, Dr. Graves, that nowhere did I ask you to "render a legal opinion" Instead, I asked that you test your own formula for producing a "winning record" to "compel" any judge to apply the law. It was an opportunity for you to help yourself by proving your credibility through a demonstration of how your formula works. To that end, you did not have to read the 274 pages in the downloadable PDF. You could have limited yourself to the two pages taken up by the e-mail upon its conversion to a PDF. The other pages were a Table of Contents listing the exhibits and their text. They were provided in the event you wanted to corroborate any of my assertions in the statement of facts of the case on which you were to test your formula; otherwise, you could have taken the assertions as true or have viewed them in the light most favorable to the proponent, me, as a judge should do upon being presented with a FRCivP 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss.

The Table of Contents and the exhibits were also there to show you that I know how to build a record and perform legal research, writing, and argumentation, yet that makes no difference to judges supporting a bankruptcy fraud scheme. I was certainly not asking you to "spend the time to assist DrRCordero". Did you just show us how you handle the facts to produce a "winning record" and "compel" a judge to do what you want? In what way is your dealing with a two-page case any different from that of judges that dispose of embarrassing cases with a 'per curiam dismissed' without making any effort to do what they are supposed to do: apply the law...or a formula?

I also added the exhibits to show the Subscribers that it is not because they might not know the law or because they 'do not want to learn it or do what they are supposed to do' that they lose their cases. They need not be made to feel inadequate, lazy, or guilty in order to explain why they lost in court. There is another explanation: It could have been the judge who lost his way from an unbiased path to "Equal Justice Under Law". He went astray in pursuit of his own interests as he joined his peers in the safe haven for wrongdoing of a federal judgeship.

My objective in writing these e-mails is to demonstrate the we, the Subscribers, have a

choice: On the one hand, we can continue using our time learning the law in an unrealistic attempt to put together a "winning record" that will "compel" the judge to do the lawful thing undeterred by the prospect of thereby indicting himself while at the same time we continue wasting our time swapping e-mails among us. On the other hand, we can use our time in a realistic, reasonably calculated effort to address the root of the problem: the lack of an effective mechanism of judicial discipline. Judges know that they are not being supervised and will not face the same adverse consequences as any other wrongdoer. In the 217 years since the ratification of the U.S. Constitution in 1789 [only seven federal judges](#) have been impeached and convicted!

Although at present the law and its application let judges place themselves above the law, the public at large would be outraged if it were informed about judges supporting or tolerating coordinated judicial wrongdoing, such as a bankruptcy fraud scheme, as opposed to the doings of a rogue judge in an individual case. This is particularly so if among the coordinators were chief judges of courts of appeals or justices of the Supreme Court. Public outrage would only be exacerbated by the demands for review of litigants that lost at the hands of corrupt judges

We can set in motion this exposure as the first of a series of specific activities, described in the paper at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Programmatic_Proposal.pdf, that could achieve concrete objectives leading to judicial discipline reform. Indeed, the Proposal provides for complainants like the Subscribers and me to join forces and resources in order:

1. to engage, or cause investigative journalists and bloggers to engage, in a Watergate-like *Follow the money!* investigation of the judges' web of financial and personal relationships from publicly filed records, such as bankruptcy petitions and property registries, to concealed assets that exceed the known or declared income of the investigated judge;
2. to expose on the Internet and in the traditional media such evidence of criminal activity so as to give rise to a public outcry for official DoJ, FBI, and Congressional investigations that may lead to the impeachment of corrupt judges and to legislation such as that proposed in http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Discipline_Audit_Comm_Act.pdf; and
3. a class action against corrupt judges on behalf of all the people that they have injured.

The first concrete steps to implementing the Programmatic Proposal have been proposed in a paper at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/First_implementing_steps.pdf. As one of the first ones, I would like to know whether the Subscribers can get in touch with the editor, producer, or the assignment manager of an investigative media entity, such as *The New York Times*, Court TV, 20/20, 60 minutes, so that we can interview with them to make a formal presentation of the Programmatic Proposal and ask for the participation of their investigative journalists and the investment of their investigative resources in the *Follow the money!* investigation.

Once in a lifetime the opportunity presents itself for a person to take extraordinary action for the common good. When it is long-term, fraught with grave risks, but capable of improving society with reforms that give practical meaning to the notions of integrity in government and fairness in its treatment of its people, the action becomes a noble mission. For he or she who rises to the challenge, there is public honor, gratitude, and remembrance. This is one such opportunity and a momentous one too, for it must reach all the way to the top of the Third Branch of Government to identify the motives for those in charge of the system of administration of justice to have allowed institutionalized wrongdoing by judges. Are you up to the mission? Can you engage in a realistic activity that using your efforts and time effectively can contribute to bringing integrity to our judicial system so that it administers "Equal Justice Under Law"? I look forward to hearing your answers.

Sincerely,