Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Homepage

September 2006

A Bankruptcy Fraud Scheme and its Coordinated Cover Up by Federal Judges

by

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

The query whether a federal judgeship is a safe haven for wrongdoing rests on thousands of pages of public documents, all made available in this website from hyperlinks in the Statement of Facts. They show how U.S. bankruptcy and district judges, trustees, and bankrupts in 11 cases prosecuted during the past five years, some still going on, have engaged in a pattern of non-coincidental, intentional, and coordinated disregard of the law, the rules, and the facts to conceal the whereabouts of over \$670,000 earned or received by just one 'bankrupt', as shown by the few documents reluctantly produced by the bankrupt himself, in just one of the more than 3,909 *open* cases of one single trustee. The documents also show how the judges have engaged in biased and arbitrary conduct to strip of standing and prevent from obtaining any further document the only creditor trying to expose the scheme.

These documents were submitted as exhibits to opening pleadings, motions, a mandamus petition, appeals, and two judicial misconduct complaints to Chief Judge John M. Walker, Jr., of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (CA2) and Judge Dennis Jacobs, CA2, as well as other circuit and district judges of that Court and of the Judicial Council of the Second Circuit. Instead of conducting the investigation required by law and Circuit rules in order to safeguard the integrity of judicial process, these judges engaged in a pattern of intentional and coordinated disregard of evidence of judicial support for a bankruptcy fraud scheme, even refusing acceptance and returning evidentiary documents, dismissed the complaints, and reappointed the bankruptcy judge to a new 14-year term in office!

The coordinated and systematic dismissal by federal judges of judicial misconduct complaints

Moreover, it turns out that official statistics released by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts shows that circuit chief judges and councils have for well over a decade engaged in the coordinated and systematic dismissal of judicial misconduct complaints and for years have prevented any complaint from reaching the body of last resort, namely the Judicial Conference of the United States. This body is composed of federal judges presided over by the chief justice of the Supreme Court, who as head of the Third Branch of Government has known and tolerated such break down in the mechanism of judicial self-discipline.

In the absence of any discipline over the judges' exercise of judicial power, uncontrolled power has been allowed to exert its absolutely corruptive force to turn a federal judgeship into a safe haven for wrongdoing by the only people who as a matter of fact are above the law in our country, namely, the peer-protected class of federal judges.

By failing to safeguard the integrity of the courts and dispose of judicial misconduct complaints in accordance with law, these judges have condoned the corruption of judicial process, deprived creditors of their right to fair payment of their claims while enabling bankrupts to unlawfully evade their debts, and denied complaints due process whereby they knowingly exposed litigants and complainants to further abuse of judicial power and the consequent infliction of material and emotional injury.

Call for joining a class action and forming a virtual firm of lawyers and investigative journalists to help prepare pro bono such action

This is a call for those litigants and complainants to join in a class action against judges who may hold office only "during good Behaviour". (U.S. Const. Art. III §1) Insofar as judges have engaged in intentional and coordinated denial of people's exercise of the right under federal law to complaint about them they have inflicted upon those people the detriment of leaving them at the mercy of the complained–about judges and their abusive exercise of judicial power. That makes them liable for coordinated denial, not only of due process, but also of a federal right and for the resulting known and foreseeable injuries.

It is also a call for the formation of a virtual firm of lawyers and investigative journalists meeting at Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org to help prepare pro bono such action. Centered on the test case against Chief Judge Walker and Judge Jacobs, it will seek to expose a bankruptcy fraud scheme and its cover up through, among other means, the coordinated dismissal of judicial misconduct complaints.

Consequently, you are encouraged to read the <u>Statement of Facts</u> and check its hyperlinked supporting exhibits. In addition, the documents filed or exchanged with the courts, judges, and their governing bodies are listed with descriptive entries in commented <u>Tables of Exhibits</u>, which are thematically and chronologically organized to provide a summarizing and cogent overview of the hundreds of evidentiary documents running to thousands of pages that support the query and the call.

Thereafter, you can send Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org (JDR) your comments and suggestions through the means indicated on the Contact Us page.

Implied binding declaration of joining and performing responsibly and in good faith

Moreover, if you support the objectives of JDR and can work to the high standards of professional responsibility of the <u>ABA Model Rules of Professional Responsibility (2004)</u> and the <u>New York Lawyer's Code of Professional Responsibility (as of January 1, 2002)</u> and adhere to the high standards of investigative journalism that allowed Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward to investigate the Watergate Burglary and that are described in their Pulitzer-winning book All the President's Men, and are determined to apply to your investigation <u>Jim Lehrer's Rules of Journalism</u>, you can post your contribution to JDR Blog. If you post to the blog, you declare under penalty of perjury under the terms of <u>28 U.S.C. §1746</u> that you do so in good faith, responsibly, and with the intention to advance, rather than surreptitiously mislead from and obstruct, the attainment of the objectives of Judicial Discipline Reform.org; and that the information that you provide about your identity, location, and e-mail address is true, complete, and current. These are Terms of Use of JDR and its Blog and you certify your acceptance of them by blogging here.

<u>Homepag</u>e

Short-term objective of wining the class action as first step to long-term one of making judges and their staffs accountable for fairness and honesty to an independent body enforcing an effective judicial discipline code

This is a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to embark on a mission fraught with risk, which is always concomitant with challenging the powerful and well-connected, but endowed with the morally rewarding perspective of setting in motion a process that can have a positive impact on the law and our system of justice as well as on those who swore to administer it without respect to persons and according to the rule of law. (28 U.S.C. §453) Our efforts can result in the betterment of society. It can lead to the development of a mechanism to ensure that officers who directly or through their staffs wield power over the property, liberty, and life of people do so in a fair and just way as they wished others would exercise such power onto them; and that they apply that power to themselves as a constraint on their actions rather than as a license to pursue their personal and class interests.

This is a lofty mission that calls for an acute sense of responsibility and an enormous amount of determination coupled with excellent professional skills. Do you have what it takes to make it a success? Can you contribute to answering the critical question whether a federal judgeship has become a safe haven for wrongdoing and, if so, how high and to what extent has wrongdoing reached?

Homepage