

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

January 6, 2025

NYC Councilwoman Amanda Farias
778 Castle Hill Avenue
Bronx, NY 10473; tel. (718)792-1140
fax: (718)931-0235; (212)788-1656
District18@council.nyc.gov

NYS Sen. Nathalia Fernandez
3853 East Tremont Avenue
Bronx, NY 10465
tel. (718)822-2049
Fernandez@nysenate.gov

U.S. Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez
Hunts Point Office
1231 Lafayette Ave, Suite L-610
Bronx, NY 10474
tel. (718)662-5970

NYS Assemblywoman Karinés Reyes
1973 Westchester Avenue
Bronx, NY 10462
tel. (718)931-2620
reyesk@nyassembly.gov

Dear Councilwoman Farias, Assemblywoman Reyes, Rep. Ocasio-Cortez, and Sen. Fernandez,[‡]

1. This is a proposal for you all to join forces to amplify and make long-term the strong and positive impact on public opinion that other women are having, to wit, [NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch](#) and Karen [Friedman Agnifilo, Esq.](#), the attorney for Luigi Mangione. We can bring to the attention of your constituents, the audience at your activities, and the media two related cases that provoke the emotion that most effectively drives the public, and especially voters, to protest vehemently and take sustained action: outrage at abuse of power that harms them and those they care about.
2. These two cases deal with police corruption and denials of healthcare insurance claims:
 - a. [Indictments are fabricated](#) on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors, police officers, and detectives, and covered up by grand jury and NYC and NYS administrative judges, and each of the judges of the NYS Court of Appeals. Thousands of people have fallen victim to the coordinated abuse of power of these public officers, and have been deprived of their liberty or evicted, fired, and ruined financially and reputationally by having a criminal record.
 - b. [Medicare](#) seeks to keep in its network, and increase the number of, its thousands of medical services and equipment providers. They coordinate their denial of claims of many of its 67 million insureds, who are old, disabled, sick, and cannot afford lawyers though confronting five levels of administrative and judicial appeals. If capable at all, the insureds appeal pro se, but ignorant of the law, they have little to no chance of prevailing over the lawyers of Medicare and its providers. Their coordinated abuse of power is exposed in a case filed in [SDNY](#)¹.
3. Indeed, officers in those cases engage in patterns of abusive conduct that reveal an institutionalized modus operandi. Their conduct can be exposed as so coordinated by them, and for their benefit, as a class as to make them part of racketeering and corrupt organizations. That will distinguish our joint effort from a mere sensational case of officers going rogue individually. Such exposure will exacerbate public outrage. That will motivate ever more people to participate in what we will promote to media outlets and universities: [unprecedented citizens hearings](#). To be held at their stations and auditoriums, these hearings will enable people to tell in person or online to the national public their [story](#)² of the abuse by those organizations that they have suffered or witnessed.
4. Only an informed and outraged *We the People* can subject those who wield entrenched political and financial power to transparency, accountability, and liability. I offer to make for you and your guests a presentation in person or via video conference on leading a movement that can have transformative impact on our system of governance and turn you into Champions of *the People*. Cf. [↓530](#)

Dare shout "I accuse!"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

December 27, 2024

Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq. Marc Agnifilo, Esq.
445 Park Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Mr. Luigi Mangione
Metropolitan Detention Center
80 29th St., Brooklyn, NY 11232

Dear Ms. Friedman Agnifilo, Mr. Agnifilo, and Mr. Mangione,[‡]

1. This is a proposal to join forces to help your client Luigi Mangione. While the evidence that keeps being found against him makes it ever more probable that he will be unable to win his freedom, we can help him save his objective: expose the healthcare industry's abusive claim evasion tactics, pithily described in the paraphrase 'delay, deny, defend'. His use of that description has provoked in the public, not condemnation of him, but rather outrage at the industry. It can gain Mr. Mangione the extenuating sympathy of the public, the jury pool, the jury, and perhaps even the judges.
2. We can jointly exacerbate that outrage by using the complaint/appeal that I have written with that word triptych illustrating those tactics. I just filed it in the U.S. District Court SDNY, i.e., *Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, EmblemHealth* (health insurer), *Maximus Federal Services*, and many of the top officers of the Medicare Appeals Council (Council) and the Office of Medicare Appeals and Hearings (OMHA), 24cv9778-UA.¹ Emblem medically insures more than **3 million people** in NY and the tristate area. Maximus performs for Medicare reconsiderations of the denial of medical services by health insurance companies. These entities have their own but harmonious interests: Medicare wants to attract to, and retain in, its network the largest number of medical insurers, whose decisions affect the options of millions of people. Emblem, like the other insurers, wants to pay as few claims as possible. Maximus, a so-called qualified independent contractor, works for Medicare in a principal-agent relation, but not for long if it routinely reconsidered disapprovingly claim denials, thus causing the other two entities to be liable for the claims.
3. The typical Medicare insureds whose claims were denied are old, disabled, sick, and ignorant of the law. They can hardly afford a lawyer or muster the energy needed to go through levels of complaint and appeal until reaching the fifth, the district court. So, they just take the abuse. If able at all, they scribble a whining personal anecdote with no legal arguments...and are wiped out. By contrast, my filing analyzes the functioning of the system rigged through coordination by Medicare and the other entities to implement their abusive claim evasion tactics (see the excerpt next), which likely represent those of similar entities in the healthcare industry; and discusses causes of action.
4. This functional exposure can benefit you, your client, and me by turning him and my complaint into a rallying point through self-reinforcing cycles: The more the complaint is described at press conferences, in press releases, at interviews, and in published articles, the more it will inform the public about the industry's abusive tactics, the more people will become more outraged and many will scream, "That happened to *me too!*" They will want to advance their quest for justice and compensation by telling their *story*². We will promote the holding by media outlets and universities at their stations and auditoriums of *unprecedented citizens hearings*. There people will be able to tell their story in person or online to the national public. Your client and my complaint can rally ever more people that demand the hearings. A more informed and outraged public will energize another self-reinforcing cycle. So can my site at Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org. There I post articles of my study^{*} of abuse of power, which have turned countless visitors into 52,996+ subscribers. I offer to make in your office a presentation to you and your guests on this proposal and my cases abstracted infra, e.g., indictments fabricated on false and insufficient evidence, which can influence the jury's attitude to the DA, the NYPD, your "unfair trial" complaint, and nullification.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

^{*} http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-

OL3:1769

[‡] <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-K&MAgnifilo-LMangione.pdf>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

3 January 2025

NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch
NY Police Department
One Police Plaza, NY, NY 10038-1403
tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865

Interim IAB Chief Edward Thompson
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10259-0001
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org

Dear Commissioner Tisch and Chief Thompson,[‡]

1. This is an appeal to the sincerity of your statement repeated on various occasions that no task is more important to you than to restore ethical behavior among police officers and earn back public trust in the NYPD. This appeal concerns [fabricated indictments](#) based on false and insufficient evidence presented to grand juries by prosecutors, police officers, and [detectives](#), and [covered up](#) by [judges](#). I witnessed such fabrication first-hand as a [grand juror](#) at the [Supreme Court](#), Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451, on May 23 and 24, 2022.
2. After their presentation, ADA [B. Namani](#) and Supervisor [D. Jetta](#) asked the jurors whether they had questions. I asked some pointing to the lack of evidence that a crime had taken place at all, let alone a murder, much less by the two indictees: There was no witness to the crime; no footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The footage of the restaurants flanking the street did not show a crowd of onlookers or CSI vehicles. The indictment was sought for plea bargain leverage in reliance on grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment: "An ADA can indict a ham sandwich". On May 25, I was summoned before Grand Jury Judge Laurence [Busching](#), who discharged me on the spot without even bringing in the people who supposedly had complained that 'my questions were making the other grand jurors uncomfortable'. I wrote a [4,743-word](#), 8-page sworn statement and mailed it on May 27, to Administrative Judge Alvin [Yearwood](#), who only forwarded it to [Judge Busching](#). [Order](#) a copy from me.
3. For more than 2½ years, I have made numerous phone calls, whose [dates](#) I have, as I do the names of those who have given me the runaround; and [mailed letters](#) requesting an investigation, including those to former NYPD Commissioners Keechant [Sewell](#) and Edward [Caban](#), and IAB Chiefs Miguel [Iglesias](#) and David [Barrere](#). I have emailed [public officers](#) daily, now more than [30](#), sending more than [11,500 emails](#)! To no avail, for I have not received even an acknowledgment of receipt from the NYPD, not even after my letter to the Civilian Complaint [Review Board](#) was forwarded by its Director of Case Management, Eschwarie [Mahadeo](#), to IAB, a [complained about party](#).
4. This is the most propitious time to expose [NYPD corruption](#) that has sent thousands to jail and/or ruined them financially and reputationally based on indictments that police officers from the top down have fabricated and [covered up](#) in coordination with ADAs and judges: Karen Friedman [Agnifilo, Esq.](#), attorney for Luigi Mangione, has complained that police and other public officers are engaging in conduct that deprives her client of due process. She will depict them as so corrupt and unreliable that the jury pool and the seated jury should deem their charges of terrorism inflated; and blame the [health industry's greed](#) for his temporary insanity. That will lead to jury nullification.
5. I will expose the link between the fabricated indictments and the Mangione case -see my [Medicare case-](#) on my website, [Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#). There I post some of my [articles](#)¹. They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed so positively so many educated and influential ones willing to read 1,000+-word articles that as of yesterday, [53,017](#) had become subscribers. You can complicitly join the [cover-up](#) of fabricated indictments, as your [colleagues](#) have, or get ahead of our [exposure](#). I offer to make a presentation on the latter to you and your guests.

Dare shout "I accuse!"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

OL3:1774

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf
¹ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

Users [Add New User](#)



Hi, Really Simple Security has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | [Administrator](#) | [Editor](#) | [Author](#) | [Contributor](#) | [Subscriber](#) | [Customer](#) | [Shop manager](#)

 [Search Users](#)

Bulk actions [Apply](#) Change role to... [Change](#) **53,029 items** [«](#) [<](#) [1](#) of 2,652 [>](#) [»](#)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts	SSO Status [?]
<input type="checkbox"/>	1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.be ngira.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.co m	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	1ofthemany	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	1winUp2128Neort	—	1winup2128@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	32350284247	—	s.dj.fhbnjew.31.5@gmail.co m	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	36187892923496	—	pe.rs.a.i.t.o.v.2.0@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite

- Dashboard
- Jetpack
- Posts
- Media
- Pages
- Portfolio
- Comments 130
- Feedback
- WooCommerce
- Products
- Payments
- Analytics
- Marketing
- Appearance
- Plugins 3
- Users**
 - All Users
 - Add New User
 - Profile
- Tools
- Settings
- Security 2
- Collapse menu

Excerpt from the complaint-appeal in the U.S. District Court, SDNY
Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, Medicare, EmblemHealth, et al.; dkt. 24cv9778-UA¹

L. Delay, deny to wear down the insured and cause him to abandon his claim

88. Plaintiff's statements show that the conduct of Emblem's people when they pass an insured from one supervisor to the other and to the other and so on (in his case 19 supervisors!, see [SDNY: 12§3](#)), constitutes Emblem's institutionalized way of doing business: Those supervisors were not rogue employees; rather, they are the face and body of Emblem. They make up what Emblem is. They were implementing Emblem's first abusive claim evasion tactic: "delay, delay, delay".
89. Their purpose is to drag out the claim for coverage for so long, raise so many obstacles, disrupt the insured's life so profoundly, and cause so much frustration, that he, sick, old, and financially exhausted, will be worn out. Then he will abandon his claim.
90. Their pattern of conduct started to manifest itself with the first level Emblem people in The Philippines that picked up the phone when Plaintiff called Emblem's so-called Customer Service at (877)344-7364.
91. These phone picker uppers did not have the faintest idea how to answer Plaintiff's question about what to do with the crown that had fallen out of tooth # 19. Hence, they would put Plaintiff on hold every time he asked a question so that they could write an email to their supervisors to describe to them Plaintiff's question.
92. The first level phone picker uppers did not have access to a floor supervisor or manager.
93. One clear reason for this is that many, if not all, phone picker uppers worked from home, not in a building that houses Emblem's offices in The Philippines.
94. It is in the self-interest of the phone picker uppers to make up all sorts of excuses not to put callers in direct contact with their supervisors: The more the phone picker uppers connect callers and supervisors directly to each other, the more they inevitably reveal that they do not have answers to the questions of yet another caller.
95. It is reasonable to infer from their work setup that such revelation would put their Emblem job at risk, i.e., the job of the phone picker uppers because they have not learned enough to know the answers; and that of the supervisors because they have not taught them sufficiently well for them to figure out the answers based on the information that they have. This deficiency in critical thinking may be traced back to how the Philippine educational system in the grades educates children.
96. Critical thinking allows jurors to draw inferences from facts known to them before they become jurors, making them 'peers of the parties'; the verbal statements and body language of parties at the tables and witnesses on the stand; and the physical evidence introduced at trial.
97. No wonder it was so exasperating and time-consuming for Plaintiff to prevail upon phone picker uppers to stop emailing their supervisors and transfer his call to the supervisor at the time.
98. Soon Plaintiff realized that it was a total waste of time to speak with the first level Emblem Philippine people. Consequently, he would systematically ask to be transferred to a supervisor.
99. The supervisors did not know what to do either. So, they told Plaintiff that they would have to do some "research" to find out what to do.
100. The supervisors never mentioned that the "research" that they had to do was on anything other than Emblem's own advertisement and evidence of coverage.
101. The supervisors never mentioned that they had to do "research" on Medicare rules.

102. Nor did they mention anything about Medicaid, let alone about "Medicaid COB", for they did not know what "COB" meant. It means "Coordination of Benefits". Of course, they did not know with what Medicaid had to be coordinated, how, and to what extent.
103. The supervisors never mentioned anything remotely similar to the above-quoted ([SDNY:18¶33](#)) technical description, which includes even medical coding, of 'the requested pre-authorization' for treating tooth # 19 after its crown fell out.
104. The recorded phone conversations between Plaintiff and Emblem people would bear that out, which explains why Emblem never produced them during discovery.
105. When the Emblem Philippine supervisors could not find out what Emblem would cover to deal with the fallen-out crown, they would stop communicating with Plaintiff.
106. After a cost-benefit analysis it is highly likely that Emblem has determined that it is not cost-effective to try to teach their Philippine people to think critically, or learn anything other than the basic.
107. That analysis may be confirmed by the very high employee turnover that Emblem has to deal with. Why spend an enormous amount of money to properly train people for months on end given that after only a very short time on the job they will suffer under crushing intellectual demands and quit?
108. Emblem's Customer Service in The Philippines is staffed with people who are neither trained to deal, nor intellectually capable of dealing, with the problems that insureds bring to them.
109. For one thing, the Emblem Philippine people are required to repeat the question that an insured asks of them in order to obtain confirmation from the insured that they understood the question.
110. That requirement shows that Emblem itself does not trust their capacity to even understand what insureds are talking about.
111. Emblem Philippine people so often appear to be reading from a script when speaking with an insured while disregarding what the insured is asking or saying. If taken off-script by the questions of an insured, they do not know what to say. They repeat the script or have to ask a supervisor.
112. This may also explain why the Emblem Philippine people either do not have the authority to solve the problem that the insured brings to them or do not feel confident in exercising that authority.
113. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not have a direct phone extension.
114. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not return the phone call messages that Plaintiff left on their general voice mailbox.
115. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not return the messages for them that Plaintiff would leave with the first level telephone picker uppers.
116. If a supervisor transferred the case to another supervisor, the latter did not know anything about the case either.
117. If a previous supervisor wrote notes on Plaintiff's chart -forget about a phone picker upper doing so-, the next supervisor would not have read it, either because it was poorly written or because he or she was not competent enough to understand what was going on or responsible enough to make the effort to understand. After all, "*why sweat it?!*"
118. It is unlikely that higher supervisors were listening or would listen in on the conversations to realize what was happening and hold anybody accountable. Having them listen in would be costly.

119. After being dropped by the latest supervisor, Plaintiff had to begin all over again with another supervisor...after wrestling with phone picker uppers to have his call transferred while hearing in the background dogs barking, chickens crowing, and children crying or adults laughing or talking all at the same time. Oh, life in the countryside is so convivial with fowl and folks around!
120. This unaccountability on which phone picker uppers and supervisors alike can rely accounts for the fact that for them callers are nothing but a transient nuisance. Inconsequentially, they can be dropped and forgotten if they demand reliable information...or simply information.
121. Since they are unsupervised and thus held unaccountable, the Philippine people do whatever they want. They are a ship cast onto the ocean and forgotten by the Emblem U.S. captains.
122. After a while, Plaintiff refused to deal with the Emblem Philippine people. He requested to be transferred to the Emblem people in the U.S.
123. It took the Philippine people more than an hour to get connected to somebody in the U.S. to whom to transfer Plaintiff. After a shockingly long time, he found somebody in the U.S. who would deal with him. It was not a great improvement, except for the absence of domestic animals' noise.
124. This indicates that Emblem's Customer Service call center in The Philippines is not in constant contact with their counterparts, much less their superiors, in the U.S. The Philippines call center is in practice left to its own devices by Emblem officers in the U.S.
125. Running a call center with phone picker uppers in The Philippines, some of whom have been elevated to supervisors, may cost a pittance of what it costs in the U.S. But what they offer is only a mockery of Customer Service.
126. It follows that Emblem Customer Service call center in The Philippines is a sham. Its purpose is to pretend to satisfy the Medicare requirement that its network members have such a Service, at least in name and appearance.
127. Medicare knows, and by exercising due diligence in supervising and controlling would know, that such a Customer Service is a sham.
128. Plaintiff would not give up his demand for an answer to his question about crown repair coverage even after months of Emblem's "delay, delay, delay". Hence, Emblem proceeded to implement the second tactic of claim evasion: On December 12, 2021, Emblem denied Plaintiff's claim. Like a poker player, it pulled out from under its sleeve the excuse that Medicare did not cover the repair of tooth # 19 after its crown fell out.
129. It is not possible that nobody in Emblem knew what Medicare did or did not cover, or with due diligence could have found out during Plaintiff's first call.
130. Emblem's delay was in bad faith: part of a racketeering scheme to wear Plaintiff down and cause him to abandon his claim without Emblem having to issue yet another denial and enter it on its records...assuming it keeps such records.
131. Emblem, Maximus, and Medicare must know it. But how many sick, old, and law-ignorant insureds are going to survive four levels of appeal and still have the stamina to climb to the fifth level to appeal to a U.S. district court for judicial review of the administrative proceedings below?
132. Insureds are likely scared away from appealing to a court by the specter of what awaits them there: A hypertechnical, protracted, and unaffordable battle with an army of corporate lawyers determined to crush the insureds with the third and merciless tactic of abusive claim evasion: "defend".

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" You may trigger history and even enter it as a Champion of Justice.

22 October 2023

**Fabricated indictments
based on false and insufficient evidence presented to grand juries by
prosecutors, police, and judges who abuse the jurors’
ignorance of the law and untrained and uncritical judgment, and
reciprocally cover up their dereliction of honest service and due process duties[‡]**
The proposal to expose the abusers through UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS.[‡]

A. Jointly exposing the fabricators of indictments and those who cover for them

1. This is a call by a grand juror lawyer to abusees, class action lawyers, and investigative journalists, to join forces to expose those public officers in the administration of justice who have participated in the fabrication of indictments based on [false and insufficient evidence](#) and/or its cover-up, e.g.:
 - a. former NY State [Chief Judge Janet DiFiore](#); former acting Chief Judge Anthony Cannataro; Bronx Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood & Grand Jury J. Laurence Busching; et al.;
 - b. former NY Police Department (NYPD) captain and now [NY City Mayor Eric Adams](#);
 - c. NYPD [Internal Affairs Bureau \(IAB\) Chief Miguel Iglesias](#) & [Commissioner Edward Caban](#);
 - d. [NY City Public Advocate Jumaane Williams](#) and his county counterparts in Bronx; et al.
2. Since 28 May 2022, some thirty public officers, whether personal or institutional, have been informed by [letter](#), email, and telephone of the fabricated indictments. A sample of the email headings and text is collected in a [pdf file[‡]](#); the addressees’ email addresses are in the bloc of addresses below. Nevertheless, the officers have failed to even reply to them.
3. Their failure betrays coordination among them consisting in harmonious conduct in furtherance of common interests. Circumstantial evidence is as sufficient to establish such coordination as it is in a criminal case where it provides the predicate for the jury to find the defendant guilty and punishable by death. They have given rise to ‘a community of interests too big to be held accountable’.
 - a. The officers have an interest in avoiding retaliation from the judges, who would close ranks to protect any fellow judge implicated in admitting into evidence or covering up fabricated indictments; and strike back at the implicators. The implication may derive from judges’ possessing actual knowledge of the fabrication or committing willful ignorance or blindness.
 - b. Prosecutors and police officers are interested in using fabricated indictments as leverage to coerce acceptance of even very unfavorable terms in plea bargains that they offer people in their custody: The more cases they close without, or win at, trial, the better their chances of being reelected or promoted.
4. In purpose and effect, whether implicitly or explicitly, for their gain and convenience, the coordinated public officers run a corrupt and [racketeering](#) enterprise. Thereby they violate the [Enterprise Corruption Law](#), NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-[PEN §460](#); and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ([RICO](#)), [18 U.S.C. §§1961](#) to 1968. They have committed:
 - a. abuse of the public power entrusted to them for the benefit of the sovereign source and destination of all public power in a democracy: *We the People*;
 - b. dereliction of duty to investigate allegations of wrongdoing and enforce the law;
 - c. denial of due process and equal protection under law, causing the injury in fact of:

- d. deprivation of liberty and property of those falsely accused of a crime, who incur expense to make bail, lose their jobs, and suffer reputational impairment by having a criminal record;
 - e. obstruction of the administration of justice;
 - f. denial of honest services to be rendered through due diligence;
 - g. a cover-up to ensure reciprocal complicit protection: ‘I don’t investigate you and you don’t investigate me’; and
 - h. deprivation of the right to be a grand jury member, confront accusers, present evidence, etc.
5. The exposure of [coordinated abuse of power](#), [public unaccountability](#), and need for [justice system reform](#) can turn those issues into decisive ones of the presidential debates, the primaries, and the general election campaign.
6. An informed and outraged public can incentivize the holding of the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).
- a. The citizens hearings are to be held by journalists, media outlets, Information Technology (IT) and AI experts, and [journalism, law, and IT](#) students and professors.
 - b. Their venue will be media stations and school auditoriums.
 - c. They will be transmitted via the Internet so that wherever abusees and the audience are, they can tell and listen to the [stories](#) of the abuse that they have suffered or witnessed by those who have fabricated indictments or covered them up. See [the two-phase method](#) for writing your story in up to 500 words and in a way so that it is accurate, significant, and verifiable.
 - d. At the hearings, the abusees will shout self-assertively the rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won’t take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
 - e. Thus informing and outraging state and national audiences, the hearings will encourage ever more people to tell their story. A self-reinforcing process will snowball.
7. The citizens hearings can give rise to both a multidisciplinary academic and [business investigation](#); and the development of a national, civic, *Me Too!*-like movement. Both will contribute to implementing a [plan of action](#) that is concrete, realistic, and feasible.
- a. The hearings and the plan are aimed to spark the formation of a powerhouse centered on the media and academe that compels politicians, lest they be voted out of, or not into, office by an informed and outraged national public to join the exposure of indictments fabricators; the collective demand for [compensation](#) for the abusees; and the pursuit of judicial reform.
 - b. That powerhouse can grow so strong as to bring about transformative change in American society and government to carry out the will of *the People*.
8. This is the most propitious time to respond to the call to join forces because the national public is receptive to it and resentful of public abuse of power and unaccountability:
- a. Supreme Court justices have been exposed for engaging in unethical and illegal conduct; and failing to ‘disqualify themselves in proceedings where their impartiality might reasonably be questioned’ (28 U.S.C. §445), e.g., due to conflict of interests arising from their decades-long beneficial personal relationship with ‘Friends of the Justices’, such as billionaire Harlan Crow, as revealed by [ProPublica](#). Consequently, public trust in the justices has plummeted;
 - b. the judicial treatment of President Biden’s son and former President Trump has sparked al-

legations of double judicial standards and the politization of the Department of Justice; and

- c. the current electoral season will allow politicians, whether principled or opportunistic, to turn judicial abuse, unaccountability, and reform into the distinguishing issues of their platform in an effort to earn public recognition as *the People's* Champions of Justice.

B. Thousands[‡] of emails have been, and continue to be, sent to officers and entities duty-bound to safeguard the integrity of the justice system

9. These are email addressees to whom emails[‡] have been sent since 7 June 2022:

iab@nypd.org, iabcmdcntr@nypd.org, Shawn.Morris@nypd.org, Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org,
Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org, Fernando.Garza@nypd.org, Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org,
Kandice.Hall@nypd.org, Robert.Candela@nypd.org, John.McLoughlin@nypd.org,
Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org, outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov, bronxjury@nycourts.gov,
question@nycourts.gov, ig@nycourts.gov, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
agencyemail@customercare.nyc.gov, doipress@doi.nyc.gov, reception@advocate.nyc.gov,
nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov, gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov, jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov,
rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov, recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov, NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov,
public.integrity@ag.ny.gov, ig.press@ig.ny.gov, Press.Office@exec.ny.gov, mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov,
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov, mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov, pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov, lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov, webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov, jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov, amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov, accessibility@council.nyc.gov,
dinowitz@council.nyc.gov, district8@council.nyc.gov, district12@council.nyc.gov,
district13@council.nyc.gov, district14@council.nyc.gov, district15@council.nyc.gov,
district16@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov,
socratessolano2021@gmail.com, Info@bronxdefenders.org, justineo@bronxdefenders.org,
msellick@bronxdefenders.org, media@bronxdefenders.org, corderoric@yahoo.com,

10. I respectfully offer you and your colleagues and guests to make via video conference or, if in New York City, in person, a presentation on how to join forces to achieve the above-stated exposure, compensation, and reform; followed by a Questions & Answers session.

11. The presentation is supported by my professional [law research and writing](#), and [strategic thinking](#). They are the skills that undergird my three-volume study^{*†♣} of judges and their judiciaries titled:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)^{*†♣}

12. The study discusses the axiom 'Unaccountability breeds abuse'. Its corollary is 'What [judges](#) allow themselves to do -regardless of the requirement to "avoid the even the appearance of impropriety" (§100.2), as exposed by [The Wall Street Journal](#) and [Thomson Reuters](#)-, others copy and exceed'.

- a. [Former President Trump](#) was found by NY State [Judge Arthur Engoron](#) to have committed business fraud, as stated in his decision of 26 September 2023. How much encouragement did he receive to defraud from his sister, former 3rd Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, who was going to be investigated for fraud before she simply resigned with impunity(¶16c)?

13. Some of my articles on unaccountability and abuse of power are posted to my website [Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#). They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of 22 October 2023, the number of visitors that had become subscribers was [49,036](#).

C. A similar case of coordinated abuse of power and cover-up, one involving Medicare and related medical services and equipment providers

14. Similar emails have been sent since 4 October 2022 to the top officers of Medicare and related entities named infra. They have not replied or produced discovery, disclosure, or the overdue decision of the Medicare Appeals Council. Such failure to reply, produce, or decide results from, or works in effect, a coordinated cover-up to obstruct justice. Those officers have abused their power. They need to be **exposed** through the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**(¶6↑) on:

**A call
to Medicare Appeals Council
to decide appeal M-23-386, filed on 28 October 2022 and still pending;
and
to people who have been denied their rights by Medicare and related entities,
to class action law firms, and to investigative journalists,
to join forces to expose the abuse of power and cover-up
affecting so many people who assert their rights as
single party to their stand-alone case and even do so without a lawyer (pro se),
and as a result, have barely any chance against coordinated
hospitals, medical practitioners, equipment and laboratory services providers,
health insurance companies and health management organizations (HMOs)
and their networks of services and equipment providers,
medical decisions reviewers, administrative law judges,
Medicare, Medicaid, and the Medicare Appeals Council...with their lawyers,
all of whom coordinate their pursuit of their common interest in
enlarging their networks of services and equipment providers;
denying claims of people to save money; and/or
billing them for the balance of medical bills in excess of
what the tables of medical costs allow by law and contract, which
constitutes balance billing and has been illegal since 1997*(OL3:1611§A)
because it defeats the purpose of medical costs limited by health insurance,
thus prompting the recent adoption by Congress of the **No Surprise Bill Act****

1. emails sent To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov, OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov, OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov, appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov, James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov, Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov, john.colter@hhs.gov, erin.brown@hhs.gov, erin.nugent@hhs.gov, Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov, Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov, alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov, hillary.didona@hhs.gov, dawn.kos@hhs.gov, James.Brown@hhs.gov, leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov, Sherese.Warren@hhs.gov, Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, medicareappeal@maximus.com, SHillegass@emblemhealth.com, esosa@emblemhealth.com, CManalansan@emblemhealth.com, lcampos@emblemhealth.com, toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com, SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com, EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com, sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com, M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com, sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com, Corderoric@yahoo.com,

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" ...You may trigger history and enter it.

D. Sample of thousands of emails on Fabricated Indictments sent since 7 June 2022, from Dr. Cordero's email accounts at Verizon, Yahoo, and Judicial Discipline Reform to prosecutors, police, and judges, who have failed to answer them, as they did letters and messages recorded on their telephone answering machines, thus committing a coordinated cover-up

1. Sample of emails up to 30 September 2023 from 7 June 2022

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>
To: iab@nypd.org <iab@nypd.org>; iabcmdctr@nypd.org <iabcmdctr@nypd.org>; outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov <outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov>; Shawn.Morris@nypd.org <shawn.morris@nypd.org>; Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org <sherman.tyson@nypd.org>; Fernando.Garza@nypd.org <fernando.garza@nypd.org>; Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org <billy.ramirez@nypd.org>; Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org <jesus.ramos@nypd.org>; Kandice.Hall@nypd.org <kandice.hall@nypd.org>; Robert.Candela@nypd.org <robert.candela@nypd.org>; John.McLoughlin@nypd.org <john.mcloughlin@nypd.org>; Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org <xiomara.linton@nypd.org>; question@nycourts.gov <question@nycourts.gov>; bronxjury@nycourts.gov <bronxjury@nycourts.gov>; ig@nycourts.gov <ig@nycourts.gov>; agencymail@customercare.nyc.gov <agencymail@customercare.nyc.gov>; doipress@doi.nyc.gov <doipress@doi.nyc.gov>; rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov <rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov>; reception@advocate.nyc.gov <reception@advocate.nyc.gov>; nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov <nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov>; gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov <gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov>; jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov <jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov>; recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov <recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov>; NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov <nyag.pressoffice@ag.ny.gov>; public.integrity@ag.ny.gov <public.integrity@ag.ny.gov>; ig.press@ig.ny.gov <ig.press@ig.ny.gov>; Press.Office@exec.ny.gov <press.office@exec.ny.gov>; mtscsiq1@bb.nyc.gov <mtscsiq1@bb.nyc.gov>; scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov <scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov <pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov <mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov <everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov <lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov <jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov <webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov <jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov <rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov <amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; accessibility@council.nyc.gov <accessibility@council.nyc.gov>; dinowitz@council.nyc.gov <dinowitz@council.nyc.gov>; district8@council.nyc.gov <district8@council.nyc.gov>; district12@council.nyc.gov <district12@council.nyc.gov>; district13@council.nyc.gov <district13@council.nyc.gov>; district14@council.nyc.gov <district14@council.nyc.gov>; district15@council.nyc.gov <district15@council.nyc.gov>; district16@council.nyc.gov <district16@council.nyc.gov>; district18@council.nyc.gov <district18@council.nyc.gov>; socratessolano2021@gmail.com <socratessolano2021@gmail.com>; Info@bronxdefenders.org <info@bronxdefenders.org>; justineo@bronxdefenders.org <justineo@bronxdefenders.org>; media@bronxdefenders.org <media@bronxdefenders.org>; corderoric@yahoo.com <corderoric@yahoo.com>; DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org <drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org>; dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>
Cc: eric.sylvers@wsj.com <eric.sylvers@wsj.com>; michael.siconolfi@wsj.com <michael.siconolfi@wsj.com>; jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com <jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com>; christopher.stewart@wsj.com <christopher.stewart@wsj.com>; clare.ansberry@wsj.com <clare.ansberry@wsj.com>; kate.milani@wsj.com <kate.milani@wsj.com>; Amy.Stevens@thomsonreuters.com <amy.stevens@thomsonreuters.com>; blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com <blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com>; diana.jones2@thomsonreuters.com <diana.jones2@thomsonreuters.com>; mike.scarcella@thomsonreuters.com <mike.scarcella@thomsonreuters.com>; marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com <marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com>; tr.legal.updates@thomsonreuters.com <tr.legal.updates@thomsonreuters.com>; Noeleen.Walder@thomsonreuters.com <noeleen.walder@thomsonreuters.com>; info@legalprof.thomsonreuters.com <info@legalprof.thomsonreuters.com>; Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org <stephen.engelberg@propublica.org>; media@propublica.org <media@propublica.org>; info@propublica.org <info@propublica.org>; newsletters@lists.propublica.net <newsletters@lists.propublica.net>; heather.long@washpost.com <heather.long@washpost.com>; Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com <kimberly.kindy@washpost.com>;

February 21, 2017

Trump and the Four Chicks

treatment for a humorous video intended to generate a good mood in the audience at Women's March indoor rallies and good will toward its co-chairs before they strut to the podium, cheered as the audience's Hollywood-like super-stars, to deliver a substantive message to an admiring audience well-disposed to receive it

(To gain an idea of what the finished script, if commissioned, can look like, see at †>[ol2:491](#) the skit about Sec. Clinton's and Candidate Trump's self-deprecating humor at the charity gala held last October by NY Cardinal Timothy Dolan. For my full length movie scripts and other creative writings, see *>[cw:1](#))

Credits

a Women's March production
staring Tamika Mallory, Carmen Perez, Linda Sarsour, and
Bob Bland, with Alec Baldwin in the role of Trump
Created and written by Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
Directed by Jackson Hyland-Lipski
Produced by Ginny Suss and Vanessa Wruble
Distributed in the U.S. by Cassady Fendlay
Distributed internationally by Breanne Butler and Tina Frank
Domestic Rights managed by Emma Collum and Ting Ting Cheng
Foreign Rights managed by Janaye Ingram and Evvie Harmon
Research by Mrinalini Chakraborty
Music by Toshi Reagon
Artistic Direction by Paola Mendoza
Costumes by Tabitha St. Bernard-Jacobs
Publicity blurbs by Alyssa Klein
Public Relations Consultant Caitlin Ryan
Digital Production by Sam Frank

(Any omission of a committee member is totally unintended and due to ignorance of their identity and skill sets.)

This is a hilarious story of four chicks, who one day receive out of the blue, the same way the immigration ban was issued, a letter from Trump asking them to come to come see him. The following treatment gives a sense of the story line and its undercurrent of substantive message.

Like the immigration ban, the letter is short on details and long on confusion. The chicks are out of their minds. They come up with the most preposterous and funniest interpretation of what the letter may mean, all of which are veiled comments on current events.

They discuss how to disguise their immigrant background and appearance to pass

themselves off as four full-blooded American chicks, descendants of the hungry immigrants who arrived on the My Flour cruise ship, but their knowledge of American history is an awful mess.

Their anachronistic comments on how the Constitution of 1789 came to be adopted is delirious.

They confuse the first Ten Amendments with the Ten Commandments and the homonymous movie, starring Charleston Brat, I mean, Redford Hoffman, in the role of Moses, “whose Moses?, you ignorant, it was Washington, who adopted the ten rights of freed slaves!”

They give up trying to figure out how the 10 liberties of immigrants on the My Flour written hundreds of years ago by dead people can dictate how they are supposed to prepare their trip to see Trump, never mind their journey through their modern lives.

So they go to the Internet and and stumble on the Ten Amendments. They are utterly perplexed that it consists only of labels, like “freedom of the press”, “freedom of speech”, “right of privacy”...they cannot find that right, “this list may not be up to date”.

They wonder who gets to say what those labels mean and “why can credit card contracts be as simple as this amendments?”

“Simple is good, but simplistic got me a lot of slaps from my mother. She used to give me a grocery list that was like just one words, half in Spanish, that I did not understand, half in English, that she did not understand, and you can’t imagine what I ended up buying...whatever I wanted!”

“Just like me. I speak slowly, but I think a lot. I’m also outsmarting everybody. And I’m really pretty!”

“Not more than me! I wish I had the power to say what “right to peacefully assemble” means and I’d long have assembled you with all the other conceited, arrogant, prima donna giraffes in the Brooklyn zoo!”

What they learn on the Internet about the condition of women at the time the Constitution was written and who adopted it thousands of years ago in 1789 astonishes them.

“You didn’t know that? Your really so ignorant. Everybody knows that about our constipation...”

“It is the constitution!”

“Your always such a stickler for detail. It is about the same. Focus on the big picture and learn something from those who know a lot.”

“Like you, isn’t it? Then tell me, who gave people that lived like a lot of years ago the right to tell us how to live our lives today?”

“That I ain’t understanding either. We’re Americans, we move forward looking at the future, not the past.”

“That’s true. We should say how we want to live our lives today.”

Exhausted by all this thinking, the chicks concentrate on trying on different disguises because, after all, “it is always Halloween in Trump’s White House”. But they finally decide to come dressed as themselves because “we should be free to decide how to dress our bodies”.

Their trip to the White House is rendered chaotic by their nervousness. They comment on the diversity of people and what they would have to say if they could turn the Ten Commandments that their parents and grandparents received from the Statute of Liberty when they arrived at the New York airports hundreds of years ago into ten ways of amending what a constitution should be for those living all over America today.

When the chicks get near the White House, they become disheartened by the long line. [Cut to footage of the January 21 Women's March as if the marchers in the several cities, including those with the Eiffel Tower in the background, had also been summoned by Trump to the White House and were trying to enter it.]

"I can't wait that long. I have to go."

"You just arrived!"

"No, I've got to go."

"Did you forget to go to the bathroom again?"

"I had other things on my mind. But don't worry. I'll enter through the back door. I have it in my blood. That's how everybody in my family has entered work. Come with me, I'll get you in too, or are you gonna stand there like bowling pins?"

They go to the back of the White House. It is protected by police, the army, tanks, two aircraft carriers, and drones swirling like the bees of a startled beehive.

"Now what? Janitor Kid, how do we get past them?"

She looks around and sees a van approaching. She jumps onto the middle of the dead-end road as if she were hitchhiking flirtatiously. The driver stops. On the side of the van it is written "Capitol Bakery".

"Hellooooo chicks! Where are you going

"Me and my girls are late for work in the kitchen. We're supposed to serve cakes to the President."

"You are?! I'm bringing them."

"Can we ride with you?"

"I guess so. Hop in."

The four smash themselves on the one passenger seat next to him.

"You ain't coming here, you're too fat!"

"You say that once more and I'm hitting you so hard your be bouncing all over the place like Trump at a rally! So hold your breath and make yourself even smaller."

"What did you just said? No, no, I want to hear you say it again. Who's small here? Ah?"

"Oh, you two stop it! and just come in!"

"Hey, who do you think you are to talk like that to my friend?"

"That's right! Don't you ever get messed up in between us. That's between she and me."

“Listen girls, says the driver, you don’t need to fight over space. There’s plenty of it on my lap.”

“Are you trying to get fresh with my girls? We the four can jump you and after we’ve teached you some respect to ladies you won’t be able to drive even website cart. So look right and drive!”

The van gets past the gate and stops behind the White House near the door to the kitchen.

When they open the van’s backdoor, they see orange cakes.

“I told you: Every day is Halloween with Trump. These are pumpkin cakes.

“That’s how he gets his orange face.”

“We’ll help you get in the trays.” She signals the other chicks and they each get their hands on a tray.

“OK. Thank you”, says the driver as he takes another tray and enters with them into the White House kitchen.

The pastry chef tells them where to put the trays.

They rush to the bathroom.

“Did you see how I got you in?”

“What we saw was you flirting with the driver.”

“Your a real...”

“That too, but I’m really smart. And so pretty!”

When they come out, the chef berates them for being late and not having changed into their uniforms yet.

They start whining: “Jail uniforms! We ain’t doing nothing wrong.”

The chef ignores their whining and barks at them the order to put on the gowns hanging from wall hooks and take four golden trays with orange cakes and milk shakes to a room. They obey.

They go through a door and enter another room: the Oval Office. Trump is there.

They run toward him in desperation as they start whining, one flinging the tray in the air while the others gesticulate wildly and dangerously with those that they are holding. Trump is startled and afraid.

“This ain’t fair!”

“You can’t dump us out of our country!”

“We got your letter and came here as you order. But your sending us to jail anyway.”

“No, your not keeping the end of your stick.”

“That’s not the dual process.”

“The doing process, you ignorant.”

“Oh, your so genius. I’m pretty!”

“Your always bickering with details”

“Anyway, we know a lot about our rights.”

“Who are you?!, Trump shouts. Why are you shouting at me at lunchtime?”

“The letter!”

“You asked us to come or you send us back.”

“What letter are you talking about?”

“You ain’t changing your middle of the player on a game with us.”

“No, no! You wrote and we came. You should talk to us before sending us to Guantanamera”

“To where? Do you have that letter with you? Let me see it.”

They drop the trays, grope each other angrily because nobody appears to have brought the letter, but then they find it functioning as a “filler”. They show it to Trump.

“I sent this letter to all Americans!”

“Your gonna send all of us back?”

“Whose gonna do the beds, and the waitresses, and building the buildings?

“and picking tomatoes and peppers that nobody wants cause, oh!, that’s too hard for white soft skin under the sun?”

“Then there will be even fewer people at your next inauguration.”

“This is a letter inviting you all to one of my rallies!”

“That’s what we did! We rushed here.”

“I invited you all to come to one of my campaign rallies. Look at the date: February 2, 2016. Don’t you understand?”

“Your sending us away and also insulting us with that bit that we ain’t smart?”

“That’s their problem, cause I’m pretty.”

“Another one with details. Just missing the date. No biggy if you can see the big picture. What are you gonna do with us now? We have lots of writes under the 10 Commandments. We know a lot about them and they are so flimsy they say what we say too cause that is the Freedom of the speech.”

“Yes, and there’s also Freedom of the rest in religious peace with the assembly of your family!”

“You ain’ having no right to search and seizure us out here!”

The scene continues with a strong undercurrent of what the chicks have “learned” about ‘the old constitution and the need for a new one adopted at the constitution celebration that the needed number of 304 states have requested since April 2017, cause we can’t live today with the constitution written with issues of the dead hand of the man that was the forefathers of the Supreme Court that keep changing it cause they don’t know whether their in 5 or 4”. All this is

made all the more hilarious when Trump mixes in his own alternative facts.

However, gradually the chicks' common sense underlying their tenuous grasp of "details" prevails. They make Trump realize that it is in his interest to win over the Women's March and support a new constitution as a way to earn their support at the mid-term elections when the electoral college cannot give him a win if he loses the popular vote.

In agreement, they walk out of the Oval Office in a contagiously festive mood. As they walk through the corridors of the White House, Trump and the four chicks ramble like Pied Pipers of Hamelin and ever more staff as well as visitors touring the House follow them. They end up in the Rotunda. Trump and the chicks open the doors: They see the Washington mall where a huge mass of women and men are demonstrating in favor of a new constitution. That mass morphs into the live audience at the Women's March rally. Then the point of view reverses and the four chicks blend into Misses. Bland, Sarsour, Perez, and Mallory, and other members of the National Committee as they all walk to the podium singing the hymn to the new constitution of *We the People*.

I look forward to meeting with you to discuss the terms for finishing and filming this script, and joining forces so that we can "move forward" together toward that new constitution of *We the People*.

Visit my website at, and subscribe to its series of articles thus:
www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org + New or Users >Add New

Dare trigger history!(>jur:7§5)...and you may enter it.*

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
Judicial Discipline Reform
www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
New York City
tel. (718)827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,
DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,

Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

NOTE: Given the interference with Dr. Cordero's email and e-cloud storage accounts described at * >[ggl:1 et seq.](#), when emailing him, copy the above bloc of his email addresses and paste it in the To: line of your email so as to enhance the chances of your email reaching him at least at one of those addresses. Thus, to contact him it is better to phone him at (718)827-9521.

End Page

Blank