

April 2, 2024

Twyla Carter, Esq. tcarter@legal-aid.org; tel. (212)577-3300
Attorney-in-Chief and CEO <https://legalaidnyc.org/about/criminal-defense-practice/>
The Legal Aid Society, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038

Dear Ms. Carter and colleagues,[‡]

1. You wrote on your website, “We elicit narratives that often include compelling stories of innocence and false accusations”. I hereby add my story and a proposal. You all, as criminal defense lawyers, will find them most useful when defending your clients since they concern the fabrication of indictments on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors and police officers that I witnessed while being a grand juror in the Bronx County Supreme Court Criminal Term.¹ Such fabrication has been covered up by judges from that Court to each of those on the [Court of Appeals](#)[‡]. One can assume that it is practiced by principals and accessories throughout the NYS Unified Court System.
2. This is the most propitious time to make judges’ [abuse of power](#) public given that currently public and media attention is focused on the courts that are deciding whether high level politicians are above the law or subject to liability; and whether there is one justice for the rich and another for your typical client. Moreover, judges’ abuse has been exposed by top news networks, such as [The Wall Street Journal](#), [Thomson Reuters](#), [The Boston Globe](#), and [Huffpost](#).² How many judges have found comfort and encouragement in the example of unethical and illegal practices engaged in for decades by justices of the U.S. Supreme Court and the ‘Friends of the Justices’, as revealed by [ProPublica](#)?
3. Public attention will be exacerbated by the thousands of ‘fabricated’ inditees who will demand compensation for having been sent to the depravity of a prison, where they may still be; or having had their lives financially devastated by posting bail or retaining a lawyer; or having had their reputation denigrated by a criminal record, which may have caused them to lose their jobs or be evicted.
4. I propose to form a group of lawyers, journalists, and academics to expose such abuse of power through press conferences; [unprecedented citizens hearings](#)³; [chapters](#); presentations([§G](#)); joinders and a class action with RICO counts to hold the fabricators accountable and liable to compensation with treble damages to the inditees and their families; etc. So have been held abusive and malpracticing prosecutors and their offices; police officers and their departments; lawyers and their law firms; doctors and their hospitals; priests and their churches; pharmaceutical companies and their sellers; etc. Judges⁴ and their judiciaries should be too under the 14th Amendment clauses on “equal protection of the laws [...from judges’ denial of civil rights, honest services, and] due process”.
5. In implementing this proposal, my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> will be useful. There I post some of my [articles](#), the product of my professional law research and writing and strategic thinking. They have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited such a positive response that as of 1 April 2024, the number of visitors who had become subscribers was [49,898](#).
6. Those articles are supported by my three-volume^{* † ♣} study of judges and their judiciaries titled:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{* † ♣}

7. I offer to present to you and your colleagues this proposal followed by a Q&A session. My presentation can take place in person in your office if in NY City and, if you cover my expenses, out of it too; or on the digital platform of your choice. I look forward to hearing from you on joining forces to aid thousands of already, and yet to become, fabricated inditees, and reforming the justice system.

Dare shout “*I accuse!*” You may trigger history and enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

^{*}http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-LAS_exposing_false_accusations.pdf

ENDNOTES

- ¹ I am a lawyer and was a grand juror in NY City. I had the knowledge and was in a position to realize that the prosecutors and police officers had charged people with murder without any evidence:
- a. They presented no footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident, arrest, or autopsy report. The footage of the restaurants flanking the street did not show a crowd of onlookers or vehicles of the police, the medical examiner, or crime scene investigators. The indictment was sought in reliance on grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment: "an ADA can indict a ham sandwich". Fabricated indictments are used in plea bargain to support baseless charges that can extort the defendants' agreement to the pleas sought by prosecutors.
 - b. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising ADAs referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me on the spot even though neither those ADAs nor anybody else showed up to make any allegations against me. I have standing to sue in my own right.
 - c. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge of the Bronx criminal court. Late enough, he sent it to the grand jury judge, who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired.
 - d. I filed a complaint with three CA [chief judges](#) and each of the associate judges; the NYS and NYC administrative judges; two NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau chiefs and two [Commissioners](#); council members; public advocates and [defenders](#); et [al.](#), who have not replied. They belong to the defendant class as [accessories](#) who do not investigate but rather cover up official misconduct.
- ² *The Wall Street Journal* published on September 28, 2021, the first of a series of articles under the title "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". On November 2, 2021, it published "Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law". Thomson Reuters, a worldwide news organization with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photojournalists, investigated state judges. In its three-part report "[The Teflon Robe](#)", the first of which appeared on June 30, 2020, it described its finding of "hardwired judicial corruption", i.e., corruption that is so intertwined among judges and between them and the commissions -e.g., [that of NYS-](#) for overseeing their performance as to constitute part of their institutionalized modus operandi. *The Boston Globe*, the main paper in MA and the 11th largest by circulation in the U.S., published on 30 Sept. 2018, its report "[Inside our secret courts](#)", in whose "private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree], who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong". Judges and politicians are complicit in removing Justice's blindfold.
- ³ The citizens hearings will be held at university auditoriums and media stations; and conducted by professors, students, journalists, and multidisciplinary experts. They will afford the opportunity for those abused by judges to tell their story in person or over the Internet. Their stories will inform ever more people about the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' abuse. As their outrage at judges increases, people will demand that politicians investigate them, lest people withhold their support for their electoral campaigns. Judges' abuse can become a key electoral issue that motivates more investigation, exposure, and even leads to compensation and reform. Thus, time is of the essence.
- ⁴ In *Caryn Strickland v. US*, [No. 21-1346](#) (4th Cir. 2022); April 26, 2022; 32 F.4th 311, 2022 WL 1217455. Plaintiff forced the resignation for bias of the whole bench of the Court. A panel was sat by designation from three other circuits. It disregarded the judicial immunity doctrine, which self-servingly judges have conjured up in defiance of the [Constitution](#), Art. III, Sec. 1 and Art. II, Sec. 4, providing for judges to be held accountable for "good Behaviour", impeachable, and removable. It held unanimously that the Federal Judiciary and its officials are suable based on the 5th and 14th Amendments due process and equal protection of the law clauses, and specific acts of Congress.

April 4, 2024

Twyla Carter, Esq. tcarter@legal-aid.org; tel. (212)577-3300
Attorney-in-Chief and CEO <https://legalaidnyc.org/about/criminal-defense-practice/>
The Legal Aid Society, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038

Dear Ms. Carter, Ms. Luongo, and colleagues,

1. Thank you for replying to my email. In it you stated that you “wanted to share the link to a recently formed nonprofit that is collecting the sort of judicial information you are concerned about: ...<https://www.scrutinize.org>”.
2. I visited that website. On its “[What we do](#)” page, its mission is stated in full thus:

Our mission is to end mass incarceration and racial inequality. We do this by analyzing data that sheds light on the decisions and impacts of individual judges.
3. Two people run the Scrutinize website. They state no plan of action, let alone a strategy, to achieve their mission, other than “analyzing data”. But you knew that, since in your referral to that site, you indicated that they are “collecting...judicial information”...as if that ever compelled any abuser of power to compensate their abusees, never mind stop their abuse.
4. Moreover, I am not trying to add to any collection of “information” or retrieve anything from an existing one.
5. I contacted you to lay out a concrete case, which I witnessed as a grand juror, of abuse of power committed against two defendants charged with murder in an indictment fabricated on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors and police officers, who are covered up by judges from the Bronx County Supreme Court Criminal Term; the NYS and NYC administrative judges; and each of the judges on the Court of Appeals.♦
6. In addition, I also laid out the basis for asserting that such fabrication of indictments is a continuing crime that affects thousands of people in NY under the cover-up of not only the Court of Appeals, but also two NYPD commissioners and two Internal Affairs Bureau chiefs, and all the other officers whom I have [contacted](#). The fabrication pervades the NYS Unified Court System. This is helpful to you in providing legal aid to all your current and future clients.
7. Moreover, I stated that I was discharged from the grand jury by the grand jury judge, who denied me my constitutional right to serve on such official body and to confront my accusers. I have a standing to assert a grievance of my own.
8. Therefore, I fail to see in what possible way those two ‘fabricated’ indictees; the thousands of similarly situated indictees; and I benefit from your referral to the website of two data collecting analysts. Such referral amounts to a denial of legal aid service through the peremptory dismissal of my emailed appeal to you.
9. Given the absence of any comment on my email, was it even read? In what way are we better off through that referral than through the failure to even reply of the tens of public officers to whom I have presented my first-hand account of abuse of power through the fabrication of indictments and requested their official aid?
10. My expectation of receiving legal aid for their and mine benefit is well founded. In your **2022 Annual Report**, you state the following:

The Legal Aid Society handles hundreds of thousands of legal matters, but we measure our impact in terms of the more than 1.5 million vulnerable New

♦http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-LAS_exposing_false_accusations.pdf

Yorkers served by that work. We represent clients across many different areas of the law, giving us an up-close view of New Yorkers' day-to-day challenges, how they are impacted by laws and policies, and their interactions with city and state agencies. We leverage this unique perspective in combination with impact litigation and advocacy to dismantle oppressive systems and to help build new systems that uplift marginalized communities.

11. What is more, I brought you something of value to you and your clients: [a plan of action](#) and the out-of-court inform and outrage [strategy](#) to expose abuse of power through indictment fabrication. In paragraph 6 of my email to you, I wrote as follows:

6. I propose to form a group of lawyers, journalists, and academics to expose such abuse of power through press conferences; [unprecedented citizens hearings](#)¹; the formation of [chapters](#) of abusees and advocates; presentations([§G](#)); joinders and a class action with RICO counts to hold the fabricators accountable and liable to compensation with treble damages to the inditees and their families; etc.

12. This is very much in line with what you describe on your “What we do” webpage

As New York City's primary public defender, we believe advocacy must not only take place in the courtroom, but [also in the communities](#) where our clients live and work. Our holistic practice provides zealous, experienced representation to our clients.

Yet our scope, as the country's oldest and largest public defender, goes [beyond any single case or client](#). Our community engagement, impact litigation, and broader advocacy consistently strive for increased fairness and humanity in the criminal justice system and seeks to reduce the devastating and permanent consequences of system involvement for our clients.

13. You can reach into “the marginalized communities” and go “beyond any single case or client” by holding the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#), described in Endnote 3 of my email to you:

The citizens hearings will be held at university auditoriums and media stations; and conducted by professors, students, journalists, and multidisciplinary experts. They will afford the opportunity for those abused by prosecutors, police officers, and judges to tell their story in person or over the Internet. Their stories will inform ever more people about the nature, extent, and gravity of their abuse. As the informed people's outrage at the abusers increases, people will demand that politicians investigate them, lest people withhold their support for their electoral campaigns. Abuse of power can become a key electoral issue that motivates more investigation, exposure, and even leads to compensation [for “the devastating and permanent consequences of system involvement”] and reform [“of an oppressive system of justice”]. Thus, time is of the essence.

14. Through these citizens hearings, you can “broaden your advocacy” by joining forces with academe and the media, while doing something unprecedented: literally enabling the voice of your clients and “more than 1.5 million vulnerable New Yorkers” to be heard by the national public as they tell their story of abuse by officers of an “oppressive system”. That would be a most impactful way for you to make it possible for them to participate in “community engagement”.

15. So, I respectfully propose that I make a presentation on my email to you, which is reproduced infra and [can be downloaded](#)[‡], to you and your guests followed by a Q&A session at 10:00 a.m. next Wednesday, April 10, at 199 Water Street or at any other time or venue that you may prefer.

Dare shout “*I accuse!*” You may trigger history and enter it.

28 March 2024

To professors, journalists, deans, students, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries on exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power and their cover-up by becoming the first school to hold the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings where people will tell their stories of abuse and we will analyze them to detect patterns of abuse and turn judges' abuse into a decisive electoral issue that may launch transformative change in our system of justice[‡]

Dear professors, journalists, deans, students, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. Thank you for your emails. Your account, Ms. Edwards, of the abuse of power by judges that you and your family have suffered is repeated in numberless cases across the nation and all types of courts. [Judges](#), due to their unaccountability, abuse their power risklessly. They have turned abuse into their means of doing business for their gain and convenience. They prove the legal maxim "Unaccountability breeds abuse" and its corollary "A law without penalties holds nobody accountable"; as do judges in court when judging their colleagues. You cannot expect redress in court.
2. The statement that judges have [institutionalized](#) their abuse of power is validated by what answers your pertinent request for more information about judges' abuse, namely, my three-volume study ^{*†♣} of judges and their judiciaries, the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting ^{*†♣}**

3. Judges' [abuse of power](#) has been exposed by top national news networks, such as [ProPublica](#), [The Wall Street Journal](#) and [Thomson Reuters](#). How many judges and the individuals and entities, such as government agencies and private companies with which they coordinate their abuse, have found comfort and encouragement in the unethical and illegal practices that justices of the U.S. Supreme Court and the 'Friends of the Justices' have engaged in for decades, as revealed by ProPublica?
4. Some of my articles[♦] on unaccountability and abuse of power are posted to my website [Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#). They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of March 27, the number of visitors that had become subscribers was 49,865. They constitute a base of potential supporters([§A](#)) of the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings([§B](#)).
5. The citizens hearings will be held at university auditoriums and media stations; and conducted by professors, students, journalists, and multidisciplinary experts. They will afford the opportunity for those abused by judges to tell their story in person or over the Internet. Their stories will inform ever more people about the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' abuse. As their outrage at judges increases, people will demand that politicians investigate them, lest people withhold their support for their electoral campaigns. Judges' abuse can become a key electoral issue that motivates more investigation, exposure, and even leads to compensation and reform. Thus, time is of the essence.
6. Actions are suggested below for organizing the citizens hearings at your school([§C](#)); followed by a discussion of what you stand to gain([§D](#)) from holding them. In that vein, I offer to make a presentation thereon to all of you after which I can answer your questions; to those preparing it, I offer to make a less formal, preliminary presentation([§E](#)). So, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" You may trigger history and enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[♦]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-schools_holding_citizens_hearings.pdf

A. Website subscribers may support the citizens hearings

7. The subscribers to my website [Judicial Discipline Reform](#) read one of my articles and even asked for more, neither of which pro ses are likely to do. By subscribing, they expressed interest in exposing judges' unaccountability and abuse of power. They are reasonably expected to be educated, influential, well-off, and temperamentally disposed to fight back...and even to fight first.
8. They may have spent in court proceedings considerable amounts of effort, money, and time. But then they were handed down an appellate decision holding that, e.g., the judge or judges below abused their discretion; or failed to recuse themselves due to holding shares in one of the parties before them; or erred as a matter of law so that the case is remanded for a new trial or further proceedings. Such subsequent litigation is to be held at those subscribers' expense and without any compensation from the judge or judges that made it necessary, for judges have abusively held themselves to be immune regardless of whether their action "was in error, was done maliciously, or was in excess of their authority"(*Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349 (1978); [OL3:1401¶15](#)).
9. Those subscribers together with others similarly situated can be interested in joining forces to collectively demand to be compensated by judges and their judiciaries. Even a single party may be compensated for the malpractice or abuse of power of a doctor and hospital; or a lawyer and law firm; or a police officer and department; or a priest and church; or a pharmaceutical company. Holding judges accountable and liable is required by the tenet inscribed on the [frieze of the Supreme Court](#) building: "Equal Justice under Law". Many subscribers may want to do just that.
10. Subscribers are likely to be emotionally willing and financially able to foster a *MeToo!*-like civic movement to hold judges accountable who abuse risklessly for their gain and convenience the public power entrusted to them only for the benefit of *We the People* and [cover it up](#) in explicit or implicit coordination with others. Neither you nor we are alone. We can reach out to them by email.
11. Moreover, the subscribers as well as *the People* have something of inestimable value to give that can help all abusees and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries: their story of abuse by judges at...

B. The proposed unprecedented citizens hearings

12. Thanks to the determination and persuasive and organizing skills of yourself, your classmates, professors, deans, and mine, we can jointly pioneer the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#).
13. The venue of the citizens hearings will be school auditoriums, [media](#) stations, and the Internet so that wherever abusees and the audience are, they can tell and listen to the [stories](#) of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
14. The citizens hearings are to be held by [law](#), [journalism](#), [IT](#) and [business](#) professors and students; journalists, and experts in Fraud and Forensic Accounting, Information Technology, AI, etc.; and sponsored by schools, media outlets, and eventually the subscribers and others that we may meet in our tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)).
15. The hearings will give the abusees the opportunity to do what they want the most in their passionate quest for validation, justice, and compensation: tell their story of abuse by judges. At the hearings, the abusees will shout self-assertively their *MeToo!*-like rallying cry of the heart:

Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.

 - a. See [the two-phase method](#) for abusees to write their story in up to 500 words and in a way that renders it accurate, significant, and verifiable.
16. The hearings will make it possible for you all and me to collect stories told by

abusees independently, which will increase their reliability. Our analysis of the stories will enable us to detect the circumstances where the abuse festers, identify the abusers, and describe their modus operandi. This will provide the most persuasive type of evidence: patterns of abuse. They will reveal the roles of individual and coordinated principal and accessorial abusers.

17. The stories will inform the public of, and outrage it at, judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power. So, the citizens hearings will encourage ever more people to tell their story. The process will self-reinforce in a virtuous circle that spreads throughout the state and the rest of the country.
 - a. When the international news agency Thomson Reuters, which has more than 2,500 reporters, published its scathing serial article on state judges titled "[The Teflon Robe](#)", it asked readers to submit their stories. Reuters reported that it had been "inundated" with their stories.
18. The hearings will set off the implementation of the concrete, realistic, and feasible out-of-court strategy for exposing judges' unaccountability and abuse by [informing the public thereof, and outraging](#) it at, judges. This strategy realizes that holding judges accountable and liable is not possible by suing them in court, where judges judge their fellow judges and exonerate them in accordance with their implicit or explicit reciprocal cover-up agreement: 'Today I cover up by exonerating you from this complaint against you, and tomorrow, when I or my friends are complained about, you cover up for us'.
19. The citizens of *We the People* constitute the only entity strong enough to demand successfully judicial exposure, compensation, and reform from the politicians who recommend, endorse, nominate, confirm, and appoint judicial candidates and then protect them as "our men and women on the bench", sparing them any investigation and exposure. *The People* are strong because they can give or withhold what is indispensable for politicians to run for office: donations, volunteer work, positive word of mouth, attendance at their rallies and townhall meetings, the expression of their intention to vote for them when asked by pollsters, and eventually their vote.
20. Politicians cannot ignore the demands of an informed and outraged *People*, lest they be voted out of, or not into, office. The goodwill and abstention from retaliation that politicians suing on bills and laws expect from judges that they put in office cannot compensate for the votes that they will lose by becoming known as the protectors of the abusers of *the People*.
 - a. Hence, politicians can be pressured by an outraged public into holding official hearings in Congress, the state legislatures, departments, and agencies on judges' [abuse of power](#) individually and in coordination among themselves and with third parties. This is particularly the case in a situation as the current one where politicians are painfully aware that the numbers of prospective voters for either candidate in every race are so close that every vote count all the more, for few are needed to decide an election. In fact, politicians, whether opportunistic or principled, may capitalize on the outrage at judges' abuse by trying to become the standard-bearer of voters against abusive judges.
21. This assessment is realistic given that unlike any other issue, there are no two opposing constituencies, that is, one in favor of, and one against, judges' abuse of power. All citizens and voters are against their abuse. They will become ever more vocal in their opposition to judges as they are more informed of, and outraged at, the nature, gravity, and extent of their abuse. This expectation is grounded in the fact that the attention of the media and the public has been focused on judges' handling of cases concerning top politicians and government officers. The exposure of judges' abuse of power is bound to intensify media and public interest in investigating judges' conduct, and to sharpen the scrutiny of their impartiality, fairness, and integrity as a way of

supporting or attacking their rulings.

22. Hence, time is of the essence for launching the unprecedented citizens hearings and turning the issue of judges' abuse of power into a decisive one of the electoral campaign and the general election. Action must be taken right away by law students at their schools because their final exams and the summer recess are fast approaching.

C. Taking action by organizing my presentation to you

23. I respectfully submit to you and your classmates, professors, and deans the following course of action; for greater detail, see [OL3:1343](#)^{¶8}; [OL3:1426](#).
- a. Read and reread this email and as many of its references as possible to understand the logic behind the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings and its role in the implementation of the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to expose judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. It begins with keen understanding, which in turn provides the basis for persuasion, that is, the faculty of leading others to accept as true and reasonable the information that one shares with them AND to take action consistent with it.
 - b. Distribute this email as widely as possible; discuss it with classmates, including the officers of the class and of pertinent student organizations and societies; and after printing its [pdf version](#) and putting it in an addressed envelope, hand it professionally to professors and deans who you reasonably expect to be most interested in approving and/or organizing the first citizens hearings; e.g., professors of civil procedure, public interest law, and law clinics; and the law school dean, the dean for students, and the dean for student organizations. - this distribution as if you were submitting to a judge a written application in a well-rehearsed and pithy 30 second oral statement with an offer to discuss it after they have read it.
 - 1) I offer to make a presentation to all of you -followed by a Questions & Answers session- via the digital platform of your choice or, if all my expenses and fee are paid, in person. Prospective attendees can email me questions and comments in advance. My presentation can last between 30 to 45 minutes and the Q&A between 15 to 30 minutes.
 - 2) Propose to them a reasonable time certain for this presentation, e.g., April 4 at 9:00 a.m. local time. If you leave this time undetermined, nobody will take the initiative to set it or several individuals will propose the time that suits each of them personally and the presentation will never happen. If need be, I can make another presentation in the afternoon at 5:00 p.m.
 - c. If you deem it useful or necessary for you and some like-minded people willing to organize the above presentation, we can have a preliminary presentation by me followed by Q&A at a time convenient to you.

D. Arguing what is in it for your audience, not for you

24. A principle of strategic thinking is "People never work as hard as when they work for themselves". It follows that all of you should be energized by what each of you stand to gain from holding the citizens hearings. You are a lawyer, and as a good one intent on persuading her audience to take specific action, do not argue your case -you are not begging for alms of pity and compassion-, rather, argue theirs, that is, what is in it for them -your benefit is supposed to flow from their

pursuit of theirs-, such as the following:

25. **Law schools**, in general, and those among the many that are suffering from low enrollment and income, in particular, will benefit from the positive attention that the citizens hearings will draw to them as they become known as entities that assume social responsibility for the integrity and transparency of the system of justice. They may become identified by the national public, not as the entities that educate the lawyers that they cannot afford and that take advantage of their ignorance of the law, but rather as entities that provide what most people are helpless to obtain but so desperately need and want, to wit, a means for voicing their grievances against unaccountable judges' [abuse and cover-up](#), and affordable access to justice. The schools make a name as the fifth power for public accountability and an engine of transformative socio-political change. In the process, they will increase their appeal for prospective students, most of whom are young, idealistic, and imbued with the desire to change the world for the better.
26. **Students** can enhance their most important document, that is, the resume that they will submit to job recruiters, by truthfully stating that they were among the pioneers of a national, civil, *MeToo!*-like movement to bring transformative change in judiciaries that judges run as States within the state and untouchable [racketeering enterprises](#).
 - a. Moreover, law students are likely to know the diminishing rates of employment in law jobs for law graduates. They will benefit from citizens hearings that afford them the opportunity to enroll in law clinics supervised by professors where they will gain valuable experience through the flood of motions to vacate, remand, new trial, compensation, etc., that parties will want to file upon their claims that abusive judges deprived them of due process and civil rights.
 - b. Students will attract even well-off parties who spent an enormous amount of money in trial and appellate courts only to have their cases disposed of in meaningless, arbitrary, boilerplate decisions that discuss neither the facts nor the law of their cases and that are rubberstamped by "The Clerk of Court" on a 5¢ ["dumping form"](#). Those parties are denied representation by their former and other law firms what fear that if they complain about judges, never mind demand compensation, judges will retaliate against them.
 - c. In the same vein, students in law clinics and internships can develop expertise in prosecuting a new type of case that demands that judges and their judiciaries be held liable to compensation when their decisions were reversed and remanded due to their abuse of discretion, bias, conflict of interests, error as a matter of law, etc., but the parties had to bear the whole expense of relitigation; and of "unscrambling" everything that occurred upon final decisions that subsequently were found to be null and void because the judges lacked jurisdiction or held shares in one of the parties before them; cf. para.4c. supra. Given the growing disposition of the public to hold people account, such a first impression case has a growing chance of success, e.g., a judge denied dismissal and a jury found criminally liable the parents of a kid who killed four classmates in a school shooting. Thus, students can start developing what can become a highly sought-after niche and franchisable law and [investigative\(OL:194§E\)](#) practice after graduation.
 - d. Students can be inspired by the [youth](#) of the Montana climate case, who prevailed against their state government for its failure to take necessary and appropriate environmental and other measures to deal with harmful climate changes. With inspiration from that case and the experience and knowledge gained through the citizens hearings, the clinics, and motion practice, the students will be in a position to prosecute together with professors and deans a

potentially historic case, similar in scope to the successful suits against tobacco, guns, and opioids entities: a [class action](#) with RICO counts against the judges and their judiciary for compensation for the moral and factual injury inflicted by the abuse that they have committed, condoned, and covered up. The potential rewards of this case are huge: treble damages, attorneys' fees, and national recognition as *We the People's* Champions of Justice.

- e. A significant precedent for this is *Caryn Strickland v. US*, [No. 21-1346](#) (4th Cir. 2022); United States Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit. April 26, 2022 32 F.4th 311 2022 WL 1217455. Plaintiff forced the resignation for bias of the whole bench of the Court of Appeals. So, a panel was sat by designation from three other circuits. It held unanimously that the Federal Judiciary and its officials are suable on grounds of the 5th and 14th Amendments due process and equal protection of the law clauses, as well as specific acts of Congress. Hence, it reversed the outright dismissal by the trial court, which had invoked judicial immunity -a doctrine self-servingly conjured up by judges themselves in defiance of Article III, Section 1 and Article II, Section 4, which provide for judges to be held accountable, impeachable, and removable from office. The panel remanded the case for further proceedings.

27. **Professors and deans**, always burdened by the requirement of "Publish or die", will see it in their interest to publish the first Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Abuse in America; and to organize the first national conference on judges' abuse where the Report will be presented.
 - a. In addition, professors and deans will see the citizens hearings as an introduction to a multidisciplinary academic and business [venture](#) to implement a [plan of action](#) intended to bring about transformative change in the judiciaries and guided by the motto: Making Money While .
 - b. They will also acquire useful information for us jointly to [create and set](#) the mission of the proposed [Institute](#) for Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy.
 - c. Professors and deans will be able to approach other law, journalism, business, and IT/AI schools to persuade them to join forces in order to develop citizens hearings into the most effective mechanism for making academe a novel powerhouse of American governance, capable of holding judges and their judiciaries accountable and liable.

E. Offer of a preliminary presentation

28. I reiterate my offer to make a preliminary [presentation](#)([para. 16.c](#) supra) to you and like-minded people as early as you all are available. You need to provide and manage the digital connection, whether to Zoom, Skype, or any other digital platform for audio/visual conference.
29. Given the interference with my email and e-cloud storage accounts described at [*>ggl:1 et seq.](#) and [†>OL2:1114§G](#), when emailing me, copy the below bloc of my three email addresses and paste it in the To: line of your email so as to enhance the chances of your email reaching me at least at one of those addresses.
30. In the same vein, if you do not receive an answer from me within three days of your emailing me, resend your email and keep doing so daily until you receive an answer that is in professional tone, content, and format similar to this email; otherwise, call me.
31. Given that time is of the essence, if need be, you may call me at 1(718)827-9521. I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and enter it.

February 9, 2022

Forming local chapters of people abused by judges and seeking the assistance of law and journalism schools[‡]

A. The method for writing your story in up to 500 words and sending it to the email addresses provided

1. In preparation for telling the national public your story of the abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed, you must first write it in up to 500 words. To learn how to do that, read and apply [the two-phase method](#) for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality that you have suffered or witnessed. Among those words there is no room whatsoever for foul language or images that detract from one's degree of education and professionalism.
2. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Whining and ranting is for the weak and those who already gave up. You have to read, re-read, and then read again in order to take concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions capable of advancing your interests and those of people similarly situated.
3. So that you may take such action, there are two blocks of email addresses (below, [Appendix 7](#)) of professors of law and journalists in a position to do something about your story, namely, give you and other people similarly situated the opportunity to [tell the national public their story](#). Those addressees can do so by holding the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#).

B. Seeking the assistance of schools to form a local chapter of abusees

4. Here is how you can form a local group of people who have appeared or are appearing before your judge or in the same court as you are or were concerning fraudulent foreclosures and other judges' abuses and criminality:
5. Read the article on auditing the orders, decisions, and other writings of judges. It contains a [method for you to form a group](#) of abusees and combine all your documents in a single pdf file that can be searched for patterns of abuse.
6. You and the members of your group can form a local chapter of the national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform. A local chapter can call local law schools -as well as schools of journalism- to make an appointment with the respective dean of students so that the dean can put your chapter in touch with:
 - a. the school clinic where students under the guidance of a professor represent indigent people and other people who can ill afford to retain legal representation but can pay a modest amount of money to prosecute cases deemed in the public interest. If the clinic agrees to represent your local chapter, it can review the cases of its members in search of patterns and schemes of judges' abuse and criminality.
 - 1) The equivalent of a law school clinic in a school of journalism is the Team Investigation course, where some or all students investigate the same story guided by a journalism professor who teaches them how to coordinate an investigation too complex for a single student to investigate. American journalism schools apply the pedagogical principle of "learning by doing", whereby students learn journalism by investigating stories and submitting their reports for review by their professors and classmates.
 - 2) The equivalent of a law case is a journalistic story where several people or entities have experiences or concerns that share a common element, such as judges' abuse of

power or financial criminality;

- b. the professors who teach public interest law or Team Investigation to interest them in pursuing in the public interest the case or story of your chapter;
 - c. the student president and other student officers of the school class to interest them in having the school offer a course, practicum, or an individual project, e.g., for investigating and writing a thesis, on the abuse and criminality illustrated by the case of your chapter;
 - d. the student associations whose respective interest is likely to encompass the case of your chapter. Any law or journalism school may have scores of student associations.
7. When you visit with any of them, tender your story written in up to 500 words.
- a. Absolutely do NOT expect them to pay attention to your oral presentation for more than 3 minutes. The moment they see that you are about to go on and on rambling about your case they will lose interest and terminate the meeting.
8. You may print and hand to them my articles and give them their links:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf)

[Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf)

[join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf)

[Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf)

9. If you form a local chapter of at least 10 members, I offer to make a video presentation to all.

C. Pro ses are abused by judges' weighing a pro se case as 1/3 of a case

10. No party, let alone a pro se, has the power to force judges to do anything. Pro ses are additionally abused by federal judges, who weigh a pro se case as a third of a case, according to the official court statistics published in the [Annual Report](#) of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and submitted to Congress as a public document;.
11. This weighing is automatic, occurring the moment a party checks the "pro se" box in the Case Information Sheet. This means that federal judges are not only authorized to give pro ses only a third of their attention, but also expected not to waste on pro se cases more than a third of the effort, time, and court resources that judges give the average case weighed as one "case". The prejudice against pro ses begins at the in-take office, before a pro se brief has ever made it to chambers and the judge has had the opportunity to read it and evaluate the nature and importance of the facts and the legal issues at stake, and the scope of the impact on the parties and all others.
12. However, pro ses must pay full court fees and comply with all brief and other procedural requirements and deadlines applicable to the largest companies that can afford the biggest law firms. Moreover, the grave consequences of checking that "pro se" box are kept hidden from pro ses and in effect, the public, for even most lawyers do not know of the existence of the Administrative Office, let alone its Annual Report.
13. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. There Is Strength In Numbers. Those who have no power must have a strategy. *Read*(¶8 supra) to learn the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform; and distribute this article widely to cause others to join forces with us.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

D. Offer to present the above article to you and your group of guests

14. I can present it via video conference; if in NY City, in person. Cf. my [video](#) and [slides](#). [Contact](#) me.

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

15. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers. **Put your money where your outrage at abuse and quest for justice are.** Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of **Judicial Discipline Reform**.

16. **DONATE** by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account to Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001; or TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

1. Activities to be financed by donations and capital investment, described in its [business plan](#); e.g., to:

17. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

18. turn the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted countless webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that 43,236 ([App.3](#)) have become subscribers as of February 18, 2022– from an informational platform, into:

- a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges that anybody can upload;
 - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;
19. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
20. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**, where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
21. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
22. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
23. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & wins Pulitzers*;
24. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change([¶77](#); [¶48](#));
25. support the petition to Congress by 34 states([Const. Art. V](#)) to call a constitutional convention.

End Page

APPENDIXES

- [App.1.](#) Volumes of the study of judges and their judiciaries
- [App.2.](#) Offer of a presentation; and
Activities to support with donations and investment
- [App.3.](#) Number of subscribers to Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
- [App.4.](#) Statement by LinkedIn that Dr. Cordero has “one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012”
- [App.5.](#) Resume of Dr. Cordero
- [App.6.](#) Links to articles ready for review and publication; subjects for commissioned articles; and links to external sources of information
- [App.7.](#) Blocs of email addresses of the people to whom to send one’s story of judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

December 23, 2022

Table of Contents

of the sections laying out the main concepts in Volume I,
with references to articles in Volumes II and III, of the study:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

This file contains only pages ggl:1-38. For a comprehensive list of articles, see Appendix 6. i.

Introduction: The goal is not only to expose judges' abuse of power, but also to enable *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and [liable to compensation](#), and thereby *trigger history!* [jur:1](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
Intro_trigger_history.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf)

- ii. Tables compiling judicial statistics, and graphs, all supporting probable cause to believe that judges have complicitly coordinated an agreement for their 100% dismissal of complaints against any of them and 100% denial of petitions to re-view those dismissals, thus mutually ensuring their survival and continued abuse of power to [grab](#) illegal, unethical, and rules-disregarding gains and convenience [jur:9](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf)

- A. Means, motive, and opportunity of federal judges to engage in, and so to coordinate their, abuse of power as to make it their institutionalized modus operandi, thereby ensuring that the Federal Judiciary is a safe haven that they run as a [racketeering enterprise](#)..... [jur:21](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf)

- B. *In re DeLano*, Then-Circuit Judge Sonia Sotomayor presiding, and her nomination to the Supreme Court by President Barak Obama: evidence of a [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) and her concealment of assets dismissed with knowing indifference and willful blindness to a bankruptcy mill operated by the bankruptcy judges appointed under 28 U.S.C. §152 by the circuit judges: the appointers cover for their appointees [jur:65](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf)

- C. Nature and [forms of judges' abuse](#) of power and strategy to expose their unaccountability and riskless abuse, e.g., [auditing](#) their decisions and other people's writings to detect their patterns, trends, and schemes of individual and coordinated abuse..... [jur:81](#)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf

- D. Multimedia public presentation made by judicial unaccountability reporters on:
i) the [available evidence](#) of judges' abuse of power and the *In re DeLano*-J. Sotomayor story;

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >OL3:394-1143

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1555+

ii) their own findings through their <i>Follow the money!</i> and <i>Follow the wire!</i> investigations; and	
iii) the <i>We accuse!</i> denunciation at a press conference, in articles, and through broadcast reportage.....	jur:97
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_investigation_&_presentation.pdf	
E. Multidisciplinary academic and business venture leading up to the creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy.....	jur:119-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_academic_biz_venture&Institute.pdf	
§§1-4. The academic and business venture that implements the business plan in activities that include the holding of unprecedented citizens hearings, and publications to inform the national public of, and outrage it at, judges' abuse of power	jur:119
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf	
§5. Creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy	jur:130
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf	
§§5-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:130-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
§§6-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:158-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
F. Offer to present <i>The Business of Justice</i> to expose judges' abuse of power, implement the business plan, and engage in actions to trigger history.....	jur:171
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf	
G. Evidence of interference with Dr. Cordero's email accounts	ggl:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf	
H. Presentation at schools on judges' abuse of power and a plan for judicial reform.....	Lsch:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf	
I. The <i>DeLano</i> case course: syllabus of classwork on investigating a case on judges' abuse of power and the organization of a conference to present its findings	DCC:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	
J. Creative Writings: using storytelling to persuade and inspire readers;	CW:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	
K. OL:1-393; first part of the OL series of articles; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf	OL:1

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** and its [business plan](#) to:

1. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣**

2. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively that as of 20 Dec. 22, the number of those who had become subscribers was 45,874([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a [research center](#) for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the plan's business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy at a university or news network;
3. organize and embark on a tour of [presentations](#) at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or in person to form [local chapters](#) of a national movement to investigate and hold judges accountable and liable under [Strickland v. U.S.](#);
4. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
5. [organize](#) the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
6. publish an academics/journalists multidisciplinary [Annual](#) Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
7. launch an abuse [investigation](#) that attracts the media, for *Scandal sells & wins Pulitzer Prizes*;
8. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.([¶57](#)).

Put your money where your [outrage at abuse](#) and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through
either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;
or TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

9. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set the terms and schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

WordPress

Users < Judicial Discipline Reform — WordPress

https://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/users.php

My Site ReaderWriteScreen OptionsHelp

UsersAdd New User

Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

Leave a reviewMaybe laterDon't show again

Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?

You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?

Leave a Review

No thanks / I already have

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | Administrator | Editor | Author | Contributor | Subscriber | Customer | Shop manager

Bulk actions

Apply

Change role to...

Change

49,917 items

<<

<

1

>

>>

of 2,496

Search Users

☐	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts	Active subscriber?	SSO Status [?]
☐	1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0	-	Send invite ⚠
☐	1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0	-	Send invite ⚠
☐	1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0	-	Send invite ⚠
☐	32350284247	—	s.dj.fhbnjew.31.5@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	-	Send invite ⚠



Richard Cordero <dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com>

Congratulations! You have one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012.

1 message

LinkedIn <linkedin@e.linkedin.com>
Reply-To: LinkedIn <donotreply@e.linkedin.com>
To: dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com

Thu, Feb 7, 2013 at 4:02 PM

LinkedIn now has 200 million members.



Richard, congratulations!

You have one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012.

LinkedIn now has 200 million members. Thanks for playing a
unique part in our community!

[Read More](#)



This is an occasional email to help you get the most of LinkedIn. [Unsubscribe](#)
This email was intended for Richard Cordero (Lawyer, researcher-writer, and advocate of judicial
accountability and discipline reform). [Learn why we include this.](#)
If you need assistance or have questions, please contact [LinkedIn Customer Service](#) .
© 2013, LinkedIn Corporation. 2029 Stierlin Ct., Mountain View, CA 94043, USA.



Hi Richard,

Recently, LinkedIn reached a new milestone: 200 million members. But this isn't just our achievement to celebrate — it's also yours.

I want to personally thank you for being part of our community. Your journey is part of our journey, and we're delighted and humbled when we hear stories of how our members are using LinkedIn to connect, learn, and find opportunity.

All of us come to work each day focused on our shared mission of connecting the world's professionals to make them more productive and successful. We're excited to show you what's next.

With sincere thanks,

Deep Nishar
Senior Vice President, Products & User Experience

P.S. What does 200 million look like? [See the infographic▶](#)

A stat this delightful
deserves to be shared

Share   

BAR MEMBERSHIP AND SPECIAL SKILLS: • U.S. citizen; member of the NYS Bar; specialized in field and library research and writing of legal briefs and business and IT studies

- I would like to work for you as a lawyer and researcher-writer strategist in a position where I can contribute to your business or legal problem solution a talent that gives me a competitive advantage: I can gather seemingly unconnected pieces of information, select those relevant to the prioritized objectives to be pursued, and imaginatively integrate them into a coherent new structure -expressed clearly and concisely both orally and in writing- that renders those pieces meaningful and useful, like a mosaic that depicts a realistic and decorative scene of the ancient Romans, yet originates in insignificant stone fragments expertly sifted from dirt and artfully set together to appeal to the spirit and the mind while serving the practical purpose of making money.

ADVANCED KNOWLEDGE OF: • computers and their use for word processing, graphics composition, presentations, and research; and for developing IT products to audit cases through statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of opinions to give lawyers an informational advantage

LANGUAGES: • I speak English, Spanish, and French; and converse in German and Italian.

RELEVANT EXPERIENCE

FOUNDER OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM, 2008-to date New York City

- A non-partisan and non-denominational organization that advocates the study of the judiciary and the adoption of legislation to replace the inherently biased and ineffective judges-judging-judges system of judicial self-discipline with a system based on independent boards of citizens unrelated to the judges and empowered to publicly receive, investigate, and resolve complaints

RESEARCHER AND WRITER ATTORNEY, 1995-to date New York City

- Prosecution of cases from bankruptcy, district, and circuit courts to the SCt; practice in NY courts
- Developed the Euro Project, a 3-prong business package consisting of the Euro Conference, the Euro Consulting Services, and the Euro Newsletter; aimed at enabling firms to capitalize on their expertise in the euro by providing services for the adaptation of business practices and IT systems to the European Union's new common currency that replaced its national currencies

WAYNE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE, 1994 Detroit, MI

- Developed economic and marketing features of the master plan for the intermodal transportation and industrial complex of Willow Run Tradeport in Detroit
- Drafted and implemented proposals for increasing office productivity using IT and equipment

LAWYERS COOPERATIVE PUBLISHING, 1991-1993 Rochester, NY

- Member of the editorial staff of LCP, the foremost publisher of analytical legal commentaries.
- Researched and wrote articles on securities regulations, antitrust, and banking under U.S. law

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES, 1984-1985 Brussels, Belgium

- Devised proposals for harmonizing supervisory regulations on mortgage credit and on reporting large loan exposures by one and all members of a banking system to one and related borrowers
- My proposals were adopted by the EEC Banking Division and negotiated with the national experts in the supervision of financial institutions of the Member States
- Drafted replies to financial questions put by the European Parliament to the Commission

EDUCATION

THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, Faculty of Law, Ph.D., 1988 Cambridge, England

- Doctoral dissertation analyzed the existing European legal and political environment and proposed a new system for harmonizing the regulation and supervision of financial institutions

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, Business School, MBA, 1995 Ann Arbor, Michigan

- Emphasis on corporate strategies to maximize profitability and competitiveness through the optimal use of IT expert systems using artificial intelligence, and telecommunications networks

LA SORBONNE, Faculty of Law and Economics, French law degree, 1982 Paris, France

- Was awarded a French Government scholarship
- Concentrated on the operation of a currency basket to achieve monetary stability and on the application of harmonized regulations & antitrust rules on companies with dominant positions

RESEARCH WORKS

1. Study of judges and their judiciaries, based on an original and innovative analysis of the Federal Judiciary' statistics submitted to Congress annually, reports, judges' statements and websites, etc

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

2. List of articles on judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power offered for publication individually or as a series; †>[OL2:719§C](#);
3. Complaint against Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues of the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC), submitted to the DCC Court of Appeals and ““Because of the exceptional circumstances related to this complaint”, referred by it to Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., who assigned it to the 11th Circuit for disposition; includes the official letters of referral and the decision of the 11th Circuit chief judge; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
4. The official statistics of the U.S. District of Columbia Circuit show that P. Trump SCt nominee Judge Brett Kavanaugh, P. Obama SCt nominee Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers received during the 1oct06/30sep17 11-year period, 478 complaints against judges in their Circuit and dismissed 100% of them and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals, thus covering as a matter of policy for abusive judges regardless of the gravity of their abuse; 1jun18; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/1DrRCordero_Judges_Unaccountability_Riskless_Abuse.pdf
5. Availability of an Implied Right of Action under the Tender Offer Provisions of §14d-f of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 USCS §78n(d)-(f)), added to the Exchange Act by the Williams Act of 1968, and Rules Promulgated thereunder by the SEC, **120 ALR Federal 145**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/2DrRCordero_120ALRFed145.pdf
6. Venue Provisions of the National Bank Act (12 USCS §94) As Affected By Other Federal Venue Provisions and Doctrines, **111 ALR Federal 235**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/3DrRCordero_111ALRFed235.pdf
7. Construction and Application of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (12 USCS §§ 3401-3422), **112 ALR Federal 295**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/4DrRCordero_112ALRFederal295.pdf

8. Exemption or Immunity From Federal Antitrust Liability Under the McCarran-Ferguson Act (15 USCS §§1011-1013) and the State Action and Noerr-Pennington Doctrines for the Business of Insurance and Persons Engaged in It, **116 ALR Federal 163**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/5DrRCordero_116ALRFed163.pdf
9. Who May Maintain an Action Under §11(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 USCS §77k (a)), in Connection With False or Misleading Registration Statements, **111 ALR Fed. 83**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/6DrRCordero_111ALRFed83.pdf
10. Judicial Conference's Reforms Will Not Fix the Problem of Abusive Judges Who Go Undisciplined, Letter to the Editor, National Law Journal, March 3, 2008; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/7DrRCordero_Letters_To_Editor_NYLJ3mar8.pdf; <http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1204212424055>
11. The Creation of a European Banking System: A study of its legal and technical aspects, Peter Lang, Inc., NY, XXXVI, 390 pp., 1990; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/8DrRCordero_Creation_European_Banking_System.pdf; this book earned a grant from the Commission of the European Communities and was reviewed very favorably in 32 Harvard International Law Journal 603 (1991), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Harvard_Int_Law_J.pdf; and 24 New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 1019 (1992), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYU_JIntLaw&Pol.pdf
12. Competition Strategies Must Adapt to the Euro, 17 Amicus Curiae of the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, London, 27 (May 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/9DrRCordero_Competition_Strategies_&_euro.pdf
13. Why Business Executives in Third Countries and Non-participating Member States Should Pay Attention to the Euro, European Financial Services Law 140 (March 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/10DrRCordero_6European_Financial_Services_Law93.pdf
14. Some Practical Consequences for Financial Management Brought About by the Euro, 5 European Financial Services Law 187 (1998); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/11DrRCordero_5European_Financial_Services_Law_187.pdf
15. Impending Conversion to the Euro Prompts New Guidelines from the IRS, New York Law Journal, pg. 1, Friday, October 2, 1998; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/12DrRCordero_Conversion_to_the_Euro_&_IRS_NYLJ.pdf
16. The Development of Video Dialtone Networks by Large Phone and Cable Companies and its Impact on their Small Counterparts, 1 Personal Technologies no. 2, 60 (Springer-Verlag London Ltd., 1997); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/13DrRCordero_Dialtone_1Personal_Technologies2.pdf
17. Video Dialtone: Its Potential for Social Change, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (1996) http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/14DrRCordero_Dialtone_&_Social_Change_15JBF16.pdf
18. Video Dialtone Network Architectures, by Richard Cordero and Jeffery Joles, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (Summer 1996); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/15DrRCordero_Dialtone_networks_15JBF16.pdf
19. A Strict but Liberalizing Interpretation of EEC Treaty Articles 67(1) and 68(1) on Capital Movements, 2 Legal Issues of European Integration 39 (1989); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/16DrRCordero_Strict_but_liberalizing_interpretation_2LIEI39.pdf

23 March 2024

APPENDIX 6

**A study and articles already written on
abuse of power by judges and their judiciaries;
collective compensation for abusees; and
transformative judicial reform;
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing[‡]**

Table of Contents

A. The study and articles available for review and publication	1
B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles.....	10
C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing.....	14

A. The study and articles available for review and publication

1. The study

1. The three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries that supports the articles, which are downloadable as individual files:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all
prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page
OL2:394-1143

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from
OL3:1144-1555+

- i. Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome.
- ii. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html), which is available for free at
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.
- iii. In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use
its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † ♣ >blue footnote-like
[references](#)) very easy.

2. Many of the articles have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1555+
*.../OL/....pdf >all prefixes:page# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf >OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

3. Visit the website and join its 49,825 + subscribers to its articles thus: [homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

2. The individual sections of Volume I of the study

4. jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf
5. jur:10, 11; the official statistics that the federal courts produce and submit to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and the latter aggregates and files with Congress as a public document in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§604(a)(3-4) and (h)(2), show that federal judges dismiss 100% of complaints against their fellow judges and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals; this betrays an implicit or explicit complicit agreement among the judges to cover for each other regardless of the nature, frequency, and gravity of their misconduct complained about; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf; see also OL2:546; 548; OL3:1253; 1176
6. jur:21§A; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf
7. jur:65§B; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf
8. jur:85§C; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf
9. jur:97§D; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_launch_investigation.pdf
10. jur:119§§E1-4; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf
11. jur:130§E5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf
12. jur:130§E5-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting&agenda.pdf
13. jur:158§§E6-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf
14. jur:171§F; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf
15. ggl:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf
16. jur:i-lix; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_jur_i-lix_summarizing_articles.pdf
17. Lsch:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Lsch/DrRCordero_presentation_at_schools.pdf; see also http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
18. DCC:1; The *DeLano* Case Course, with two 15-week syllabi for classwork of case investigation and organization of findings presentation conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_Case_Course.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_docs.pdf
19. CW:1; Creative writings: blurbs, synopses of novels and movie scripts, drama scenes, and a short story by Dr Cordero; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/CW/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
20. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/a&p/DrRCordero-Agent&Publisher.pdf>

21. OL:1-393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf

3. The articles written and available for review and publication

22. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf.
Cf.:

- a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
- b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
- c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
- d. OL2:1176; official statistics of dismissal of complaints against fellow judges while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
- e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
- f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
- g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf

23. jur:32§§2-3; Congress's finding of cronyism in the federal courts, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

24. jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf

25. jur:72fn144d; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>

26. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf

27. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

28. Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf; the means of judges' retaliation: Lsch:17§C

29. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf

30. OL:3§F; Moral and material rewards for exposing abusers of power

31. OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
32. OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
33. OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_informants.pdf
34. OL:190; a plan for investigating federal judges based on the leads already gathered; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
35. OL:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CBS_Reporter_SAttkisson.pdf
36. OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
37. OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
38. OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
39. OL:2:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
40. OL:2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
41. OL:2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
42. OL:2:453; analysis of official statistics of the U.S. Courts, which by law must be filed with Congress annually as a public document; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
43. OL:2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
44. OL:2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL:2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
45. OL:2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
46. OL:2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
47. OL:2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate "the math of abuse": neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
48. OL:2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
49. OL:2:687; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_clerks_as_judges_abusers_by_proxy.pdf
50. OL:2:760; see OL:2:608
51. OL:2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
52. OL:2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
53. OL:2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf

54. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
55. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
56. †OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
57. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
58. >OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
59. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
60. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
61. OL2:929; interception of people's mail and emails to detect and suppress those critical of judges; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
62. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
63. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
64. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
65. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
66. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
67. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
68. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
69. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
70. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
71. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
72. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
73. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
74. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
75. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
76. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
77. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
78. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf

79. >OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
80. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
81. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf, discussing a proposal to LexisNexis
82. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
83. >OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf = <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
84. OL2:1084; Adapting to the Covid legal market by Making Money While Doing Justice; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
85. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
86. >OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
87. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
88. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
89. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
90. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
91. OL2:1119; patterns of judges' abuse of power that journalists and lawyers can investigate; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
92. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
93. >OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
94. OL3:1144; analysis of Thomson Reuters's report "The Teflon Robe"; which found "hardwired judicial corruption", i.e., corruption that is an integral element of state judiciaries and that intertwines their judges and the conniving state commissions on judicial conduct duty-bound to supervise them but in practice covering up their abuse of power by not even investigating, let alone punishing, them; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
95. OL3:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
96. OL3:1164 and 1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
97. OL3:1168; Joining forces with Reuters to extend its investigation of state commissions on judicial conduct to the Federal Judiciary and connect it with U.S. Senator Elizabeth Warren's denunciation of "unaccountable federal judges' abusive self-enrichment"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
98. OL3:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
99. OL3:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
100. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf

101. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
102. OL3:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
103. OL3:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
104. OL3:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
105. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
106. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
107. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
108. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
109. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
110. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
111. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
112. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
113. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
114. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
115. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf
116. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCT_reform_Commission.pdf
117. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf
118. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_SCT_Commission.pdf
119. OL3:1329; the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
120. OL3:1338; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf
121. OL3:1342; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf
122. OL3:1348; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_politicians-judges_connivance.pdf
123. OL3:1351; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_folly_of_pro_se.pdf
124. OL3:1367; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf
125. OL3:1371; proposal to apply to judges expertise in financial criminality investigations; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf

126. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
127. OL3:1378; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
128. OL3:1380; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_writing_reliable_stories&telling_national_public.pdf
129. OL3:1383; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf
130. OL3:1389; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar&story_workshop_slides.pdf
131. OL3:1393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_&_workshop_dates.pdf
132. OL3:1394; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_thinking_strategically_to_gain_result_allies.pdf
133. OL3:1399; analysis of *The Wall Street Journal* article exposing how “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf
134. OL3:1407; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading_strategizing_taking_action.pdf
135. OL3:1411; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf
136. OL3:1415; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_concrete_actions_by_advocates.pdf
137. OL3:1417; a joint venture proposal for Reuters to develop a law website with 49,763 subscribers at the most propitious time: when the attention of the national public has been focused on the impact of judges on national politics and the outcome of presidential elections; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf
138. OL3:1426; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_forming_local_chapters&appealing_to_schools.pdf
139. OL3:1430; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ABC_investigate_judges_abuse.pdf
140. OL3:1436; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLE_webinar_exposing_judges.pdf
141. OL3:1440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_workshop_for_preparing_your_story.pdf
142. OL3:1445; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf; also ↓OL3:1619¶6
143. OL3:1449; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_need_to_read&best_time_to_distribute.pdf
144. OL3:1451; to publishers to offer them my articles and describe a plan of action to enter jointly a multidisciplinary academic and business venture for judicial abuse exposure, compensation and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_articles_actions_to_expose_judges.pdf
145. OL3:1457; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading&telling_knowledgeable_judicial_abuse_stories.pdf
146. OL3:1460; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf
147. OL3:1470; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Offshoot_Oases_Project.pdf

148. OL3:1473; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_offering_law_services&articles.pdf
149. OL3:1476; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CIRS_&_trainees.pdf
150. OL3:1479; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf
151. OL3:1485; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Commission_Jurists.pdf
152. OL3:1487; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_distributing_articles_exposing_judges.pdf
153. OL3:1489; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf
154. OL3:1491; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf
155. OL3:1493; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_recusal_principles.pdf
156. OL3:1495; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_The_Business_of_Justice.pdf
157. OL3:1497; letters to NYS Chief and Administrative Judges, NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau Chiefs, and Inspectors Generals; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf
158. OL3:1520; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf
159. OL3:1522; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Legal_Aid_Society.pdf
160. OL3:1525; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
161. OL3:1527; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_proposal_for_class_actions.pdf
162. OL3:1530; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_assets_for_negotiating_table.pdf
163. OL3:1532; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_ReplyAll_read_write_your_story.pdf
164. OL3:1533; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_think_proceed_strategically_to_expose_abuse.pdf
165. OL3:1538; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf
166. OL3:1542; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Medicare&HMO_class_action.pdf
167. OL3:1544; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Cybersecurity_experts.pdf
168. OL3:1550; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_IT_experts.pdf
169. OL3:1555; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Presentation_to_Honest_Judiciaries_Advocates.pdf
170. OL3:1558; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommissioner.pdf>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
171. OL3:1578; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/23-3-30DrRCordero-Settle_or_Expose_Team.pdf
172. OL3:1579; containing a list of media outlets that have exposed judges' abuse in an unaccountable justice system; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_holding_justice_system_accountable.pdf
173. OL3:1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ProfLTribe_AttRKaplan.pdf
174. OL3:1587; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-LegalAidSociety_DebevoisePlimpton.pdf

175. OL3:1588; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf
176. OL3:1589; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ExecDirGRoth_CoanchorGBennett.pdf
177. OL3:1593; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_class_actions-Duane_Morris_LLP.pdf
178. OL3:1602; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-pro_ses.pdf
179. OL3:1604; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-DA_Candidate_TCohen.pdf
180. OL3:1607; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
181. OL3:1609; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-schools_&_media.pdf
182. OL3:1611; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/23-8-28DrRCordero_class_action_v_Medicare.pdf
183. OL3:1618; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_fabricated_indictments-public_officers.pdf
184. OL3:1623; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_defamation_specificity_analogy_distinction.pdf
185. OL3:1625; Complaint to New York State (NYS) Court of Appeals (CA) Chief Judge Rowan Wilson and Associate Judges about fabricated indictments based on false and insufficient evidence presented to a grand jury by prosecutors and NY Police Department (NYPD) officers with the support of judges of the NYS Unified Court System (UCS) and the cover-up by those CA judges, the NYS and NY City (NYC) administrative judges, the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct, two NYPD Commissioners and two Chiefs of its Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB), and their appointer, i.e., a former NYPD captain and current mayor of NYC, and NYPD and UCS inspectors general (IG): the black robe over judges' holding each other unaccountable covers the NYPD officers' blue wall of complicit silence to make their enterprise corruption under NY law and racketeering organization under the federal RICO Act invisible and soundproof (see §C.10 *infra*); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf
186. OL3:1630; To U.S. Senator Kirsten Gillibrand of New York to request assistance in dealing with a federal agency, namely, Medicare; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/DrRCordero-SenKGillibrand_Medicare.pdf
187. OL3:1635; proposal to Moms for Liberty for jointly expanding the addressees of their message to people other than parents concerned about their children's education by holding unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-MomsforLiberty.pdf>;
188. OL3:1638; the folly of laypeople improvising themselves as lawyers given the complexities of procedural rules and substantive provisions and to propose that they promote the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_folly_laypeople_as_lawyers.pdf
189. OL3:1640; proposal for homeowners and tenants to join forces to denounce abusive landlords and real estate investors and lenders by promoting the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Stop_Wall_Street_Landlords_Acts.pdf;
190. OL3:1644; proposal for holding citizens hearings and law clinics at his university to expose judges' and their judiciaries' abuse of power; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/24-2-1DrRCordero-ProfMHutter.pdf>

OL3:1645; Blurbs of serial articles by top national media outlets exposing abuse of power by judges and their judiciaries; and blurbs of cases ripe for class action to hold those abusers accountable for their

abuse and liable to collective compensation for their victims

191. OL3:1646; proposal to AI and GPT investors interested in "revolutionizing access to justice" on behalf of pro ses for a joint venture that would persuade media outlets and universities to hold unprecedented citizens hearings in their media stations and auditoriums, where pro ses and represented parties alike would tell their stories of judges' and their judiciaries' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power; cause an informed and outraged national public to force politicians to discuss the issue as a decisive electoral one and investigate those abusers; and subsequently finance class actions to hold the abusers accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse because if a former president and his top aides; lawyers and their law firms; police officers and their departments; doctors and their hospitals; clergy and their churches; pharmaceutical officers and their companies; pundits/moderators and their media outlets, can be prosecuted and ordered to compensate their victims, so can judges and their judiciaries given that in a democracy governed by the rule of law, which aims at administering "Equal Justice Under Law", it follows that "Nobody is Above the Law"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/24-2-5DrRCordero-Pro-se_Pro.pdf
192. OL3:1647; proposal to the proponents of declaring the formerly incarcerated a protected class because upon their release they face discrimination when seeking a job or housing, which prevents their incorporation into the civil society and a productive and normal life; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/24-2-10DrRCordero-formerly_currently_next_incarcerated.pdf
193. OL3:1648; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-joint_venture_with_lawyers&journalists.pdf
194. OL3:1650; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Court_of_Appeals_cover-up.pdf
195. OL3:1654; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Reuters_joint_venture_proposal.pdf
196. OL3:1656; Letter from NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct of 26 February 2024, acknowledging receipt of Dr. Cordero's complaint of 24 January 2024; see next
197. OL3:1657; Letter to NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Chair Joseph Belluck, Esq., about the Commission's failure to investigate his complaint against two judges of the NYS Unified Court System, received first on 23 September 2022, as per its acknowledgment of November 3, 2022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
198. OL3:1659; reply to a law student and proposal for her and her law school to hold unprecedented citizens hearings where people will tell their stories of the abuse of power by unaccountable judges that they have suffered or witnessed, which will inform the public of the nature, extent, and gravity of the abuse, and so outrage it at judges as to cause the public to force politicians to investigate judges officially; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-schools_holding_citizens_hearings.pdf
199. [OL3:1665; next]
200. -200 reserved

B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles

201. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
202. statistical analysis for the public([†]>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);

NOTE: This section continues in the next page.

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

203. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
204. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
205. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
206. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
207. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
208. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation (OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
209. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
210. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
211. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1; Lsch:17§C): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
212. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘Me Too! Abusers’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
213. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics(OL2:582§C; OL3:1228);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharyl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) by using Information Technology examination and statistical analysis, such interception and contents-based suppression can be exposed, which will provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);

- 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
214. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
215. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
216. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
- the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL3:1228; OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608¶5);
 - suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
217. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
218. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of 22 March 2024, had 49,825+ subscribers, into:
- a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture (jur:119§§1-4). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and

reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));

219. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

220. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;

the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the [Constitution](#), Article V.

C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing

1. Treatises

221. **Start your research here to gain an overview of the subject and proceed to the ever more specific:**

<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law.

- a. Also search using the keywords “encyclopedia”, “cyclopedia”, “jurisprudence”, “manual”, or “treatise” of your state law, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/New-York-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100029357>.

222. Corpus Juris Secundum, a restatement of the law as it has developed from reported cases and legislation; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/Corpus-Juris-Secundumreg-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104934968>

223. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction

224. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea

2. Law reviews and journals

225. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

226. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: “*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
227. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

228. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; cf. Legal Information Institute (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
229. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), Bankruptcy Code; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Code.pdf
230. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), Criminal Code, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Code.pdf
231. E.g., US Code, Title 28 (28 USC), Judicial Code; *id.*; with bookmarks at <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

232. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

6. Federal rules of procedure applicable in all federal courts

233. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (11 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Rules.pdf
234. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (18 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Rules.pdf
235. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (28 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence**; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf
236. *Federal Civil Judicial Procedure and Rules*, 2022 ed.; 1,248 pages; Thomson Reuters; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2022-ed/p/106767284>
237. *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules and Commentary*, 2021 ed.; Steven S. Gensler and Lumen N. Mulligan; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Rules-of-Civil-Procedure-Rules-and-Commentary-2021-ed/p/106676872?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

238. Federal Civil Rules Handbook, 2022 ed.; Steven Baicker-McKee and William M. Janssen;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Civil-Rules-Handbook-2022-ed/p/106744908>

239. For the rules of the Supreme Court, see subsection 17 *infra*.

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

240. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit;
https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

241. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law;
<https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

242. https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b_three_sections_with_teasers/active_leg_page.htm

243. <https://www.house.gov/legislative-activity>

10. Federal laws -and a state law version- of particular interest

244. The **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 U.S.C. [the Code of the laws of the federal government]; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_in_Government.pdf

245. **Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act(RICO)**; 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>;
<http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title18-section1961&num=0&edition=prelim>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc1961_RICO.pdf

a. Enterprise Corruption; NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460;
<https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/PEN/460.00>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf

246. 18 U.S.C. [Federal Criminal Code] §2511; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited: (1) ...any person who— (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;...shall be punished...or shall be subject to suit....; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18_usc_11.pdf

247. Duty to report abuse, **18 USC §3057**; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>

248. Supreme Court justices assigned to federal circuits and known as circuit justices, **28 U.S.C. §42**

249. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, titled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))

250. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the meetings of the judicial councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), <http://Judicial-Discipline->

Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))

251. **Judicial Conduct** and Disability Act of 1980; ([28 U.S.C. §§351-364](#)); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#)), setting forth a procedure for anybody to file a complaint about a federal judge with the chief circuit judge where the complained-about judge sits
252. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints filed under [28 U.S.C. §§351-364](#); <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
253. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 11 on the duties of lawyers and pro ses who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance; https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11
254. **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978; 5 U.S.C. Appendix; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
255. Rule 23 on class actions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Rule_23_Class_Actions_FRCp.pdf
256. **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act** (FISA) 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc_FISA.pdf
257. Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the [Social Security Act](#), https://www.ssa.gov/OP_Home/ssact/ssact-toc.htm, found in [Title 42](#) of the U.S. Code of federal laws, <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>, as modified by Section 4714 of the [Balanced Budget Act of 1997](#), <https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>, prohibits Medicare providers from balance billing Medicaid QMBs [Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries] for Medicare cost-sharing. The provider must submit its bill to Medicaid and accept as full payment what Medicaid pays. See also Overview of Medicaid Provisions in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, P.L. 105-33; <https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>.

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

258. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
259. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
260. The annual report of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who discusses the key issues of the Federal Judiciary and statistics on the cases filed with it and those handled by its judges during the reported year:
- <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2021year-endreport.pdf>
 - <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
261. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice; <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
262. Table 1

Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020		
Supreme Court		69
Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	
Federal circuit	1,568	

94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

12. Landmark cases in the federal courts

290. *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254 (1964); <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/376/254/>
291. *Strickland v. U.S.*, No. 21-1346, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/opinions/211346.p.pdf>, a federal civil case decided on April 26, 2022, by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/>, held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers, including judges in their official and individual capacities, can be held accountable for their performance and liable to compensation.

13. Forms

292. E.g., District Courts—Civil (Vols. 2-4A, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/District-CourtsmdashCivil-Vols-2-4A-Westsreg-Federal-Forms/p/100001667>
293. Bankruptcy Courts (Vols. 6-6C, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Bankruptcy-Courts-Vols-6-6C-Wests174-Federal-Forms/p/100001669>

14. Judicial Conference of the U.S. (the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary)

294. 28 USC §331. Judicial Conference; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
295. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference>, which contains a list of its 20 committees
296. The Chief Justice appoints the members of the Judicial Conference committees; <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference/about-judicial-conference>
297. Reports of the Judicial Conference's biannual meetings, <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us>
298. Regulations on judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, <https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/judiciary-policies/ethics-policies/financial-disclosure-report-regulations>

15. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal, as opposed to state, courts)

299. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
300. <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>
301. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (28 USC §§601-613); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

302. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
303. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document(28 USC §604(a)(3-4)); the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court(§601); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
304. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2021>
305. https://www.uscourts.gov/news/2022/03/15/judiciary-releases-annual-report-and-judicial-business-2021?utm_campaign=usc-news&utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery
306. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>
307. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>
308. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
309. Table 2

Number of federal judicial officers https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9
circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges id.	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

351. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and
352. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
353. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
354. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints about federal judges in Table S-22(28 USC §604(h)(2)); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
355. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>
356. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>
357. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

16. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

358. <https://www.fjc.gov>

359. List of the 8 impeached federal judges since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789;
<https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

17. PACER and other and other case and court finders

360. Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER); <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>

361. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF); <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf>

362. Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>

363. To find the website of each federal court, where its cases are posted go to <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

18. Other federal entities and people

364. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States”;
<https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>

365. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>

366. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>

367. Judges’ annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

368. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

19. United States Postal Service

369. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

20. Sources of state legal authority

a. Treatises

370. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231>

b. State constitutions and laws

371. https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS_PP_Law-Books_Main_Search_Brand-Phrase_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&ef_id=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE:G:s&s_kwid=AL!7944!3!440994957489!p!g!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books

372. Search for a compilation of all state codes, laws, rules, and regulations; e.g., [McKinney's Consolidated Laws of New York Annotated®](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york) (Annotated Statute & Code Series); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york>

c. Uniform laws (the product of agreements among the states)

373. Uniform Laws Annotated; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/p/100028543>
374. Uniform Commercial Code; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Commercial-Code-2020-2021-ed/p/106675446?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

d. Restatement of laws

375. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=restatement+of+laws>

e. Rules of procedure applicable in all the courts of a state

376. The judicial procedural rules adopted by the state legislation, such as those contained in [McKinney's New York Civil Practice Law and Rules | Legal Solutions](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Court-Rules/McKinneys-New-York-Civil-Practice-Law-and-Rules-2023-ed/p/106962400?trkcode=recsrserp&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs) (thomsonreuters.com); (CPLR), <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Court-Rules/McKinneys-New-York-Civil-Practice-Law-and-Rules-2023-ed/p/106962400?trkcode=recsrserp&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
377. From the Internet: "The [New York Civil Practice Law and Rules \(CPLR\)](https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CPLR) is chapter 8 of the [Consolidated Laws of New York](https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CPLR)^[1] and governs legal procedure in the Unified Court System such as jurisdiction, venue, and pleadings, as well certain areas of substantive law such as the statute of limitations and joint and several liability.^[2] The CPLR has approximately 700 individual sections and rules which are divided into 70 articles." See <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws>
- a. Consolidated Laws of NY, Chapter 8, Civil Practice Law and Rules; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CVP>. Go there, download all the articles, and paste them in a Word document so that you can end up with one searchable file; otherwise, buy the book published by Thomson Reuters for \$383; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Court-Rules/McKinneys-New-York-Civil-Practice-Law-and-Rules-2023-ed/p/106962400?trkcode=recsrserp&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
- b. NY Civil Practice Law and Rules, CVR, [Civil Practice Law & Rules](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Civil-Practice-Law--Rules-Westsreg-McKinneys-Forms/p/100028288) (West's®... | Legal Solutions (thomsonreuters.com)); "The hundreds of official and authored forms provided in *Civil Practice Law and Rules* help you comply with the various New York civil practice laws and court rules while saving you research and drafting time. Comprehensive commentary guides you through every stage of a case from commencement of an action to judgment, enforcement, and appeal." <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Civil-Practice-Law--Rules-Westsreg-McKinneys-Forms/p/100028288>. The price of this set of volumes is \$5,033 as of 9 February 2024.
378. E.g.: Carmody-Wait, 2d, [Cyclopedia of New York Law | Legal Solutions](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Carmody-Wait-2d-Cyclopedia-of-New-York-Practice-with-Forms/p/100027436) (thomsonreuters.com); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Carmody-Wait-2d-Cyclopedia-of-New-York-Practice-with-Forms/p/100027436>
379. [Modern New York Discovery, 2d](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Modern-New-York-Discovery-Book-Full-Set), a reference that discusses significant cases on discovery; [Book \(Full Set\) \\$773.00, ProView eBook](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Modern-New-York-Discovery-Book-Full-Set) [its digital version] [\\$773.00](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Modern-New-York-Discovery-Book-Full-Set) as of 9 February 2024; [https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Modern-New-York-Discovery-](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Modern-New-York-Discovery-Book-Full-Set)

2d/p/100001845

380. The rules issued by the state office of court administration, such as those found in [PART \[#\]. Uniform Civil Rules For The Supreme Court & The County Court | NYCOURTS.GOV](#); <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/>:

f. Rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed

381. E.g., in New York; <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/index.shtml>
382. Rules of the Chief Judge, <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefjudge/index.shtml>, of the Court of Appeals, <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/courtOfAppeals.shtml>, the highest NY State court (Parts 1 to 81)
383. Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge (Parts 100 to 154), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>
384. Uniform Rules of the New York State trial courts (Parts 200 to 221), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/index.shtml>; e.g., the supreme and the county courts; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.shtml>.
- a. Rules of the First Department Supreme Court [of four departments], which in NY is a trial court; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/Commencement-of-Cases-2.shtml>
 - b. There are uniform rules (Parts 205 to 221) for specialized courts, e.g., family and surrogate, capital cases, and particular activities, e.g., jury selection, depositions
385. Joint Rules of the Departments of the Appellate Division (partial: 22 NYCRR Parts 1200-1400); <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml>
- a. Rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>
386. Each court may have supplementary rules of its own as well as rules of specific judges...so much for a New York State Unified Court System.
387. Developments in the application of the NY Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR) in 2023; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYSATL_2024_CPLR_Update.pdf

g. Regulations of state administrative agencies

388. Go to your state's department of state website; Google the state administrative agency in question; or search for a compilation of the state codes, laws, rules, and regulations
389. E.g., Description from the Internet: "**The New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations (NYCRR)** contains the exact wording of the [codes, rules, and regulations](#) adopted by more than 100 New York state departments and agencies to implement state statutes¹. The NYCRR primarily contains state agency rules and regulations adopted under the State Administrative Procedure Act (SAPA)². The 23 Titles include one for each state department, one for miscellaneous agencies and one for the Judiciary³."
- a. New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations, published digitally by the New York Department of State, Division of Administrative Rules, and Thomson Reuters Westlaw; <https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/index?contextData=%28sc.Default%29&transitionType=Default>
 - b. Title 22 of NYCRR concerns the rules of the Judiciary and its several courts; <https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Browse/Home/NewYork/NewYorkCodesRulesandRegulations?guid=151e975e0ac3d11dd9f72c1eb90efe723&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=Default>

&contextData=(sc.Default)

390. E.g., <https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Index?bhcp=1&transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>
391. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/New-York-Codes-Rules-and-Regulations-NYCRR/p/100019553>

h. Bills pending in the state legislatures

392. E.g.: <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation>

i. State laws

393. E.g.: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf

j. Sources of state cases

394. For information on state cases Google the highest court in the state, which may have a state court locator or a “Links of interest”; otherwise, Google the lower state court in question, which may have a website and post its cases to it; e.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/>
395. E.g., Court of Appeals of the State of New York (the highest court in New York State), <https://www.nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm>
396. E.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/cts-NYC-SUPREME.shtml> (the supreme courts in NYS are trial courts)
397. E.g., Supreme Court for the County of New York (Manhattan and Bronx) <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/index.shtml>
398. When a court issues a decision, it is first published in ‘slip form’ or ‘advanced sheets’, that is, separate from any other decision
- Thereafter the decisions issued during a period of months are published in a pamphlet’
 - Finally, the pamphlets are bound in a hardcover volume. A set of such volumes containing the decisions for a number of years is normally referred to as a ‘reporter’ or ‘reports’. One set can cost tens of thousands of dollars.
 - Normally, reporters are enhanced editorially by the publisher, rather than the writing judge or their courts, with a summary; headnotes summarizing the key point of law of a section of the decision; key numbers identifying the same point everywhere in any law book published by the same publisher; historical notes; and references to other cases, pertinent laws and regulations; etc. Those enhancements are practically indispensable to conduct cost-efficient law research. They save an enormous amount of research and reading time.
 - Reporters -or reports- may be available online on a subscription basis. They may also be accessible, whether online or physically, in the library of a court, a law school, a bar association, a law firm, a public library, or a law institute or service, e.g., Legal Information Institute of Cornell Law School (LII), <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>, and Findlaw, <https://www.findlaw.com/>, provided you are a member; have been granted or have purchased a temporary pass; or there is some measure of public access.
 - The decisions for a given court may be published together in their own reporter, such as the U.S. Supreme Court, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law->

[products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=Supreme+Court+reporter](#); or

- d. the highest state court, such as the New York State Court of Appeals, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Case-Law/New-York-Court-of-Appeals-Reports-2d-and-3d/p/100001560>; or
- e. the courts of a state, e.g., New York Supplement, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Reporters/New-York-Supplement-2d-and-3d/p/100030135>; search for your state here: <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=reporters>; or
- f. the courts of a region comprising several states, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Reporters/Atlantic-Reporterreg-3d/p/100024131>.
- g. The decisions on a particular area of the law may be published in a reporter; e.g., commercial law, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Commercial-Litigation-in-New-York-State-Courts-5th-Vols-2-4H-New-York-Practice-Series/p/106667772>; or bankruptcy, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Case-Law/Westsreg-Bankruptcy-Reporter-National-Reporter-Systemreg/p/100002692>
- h. The decisions of the federal courts are normally published in volumes separate from the state court decisions. However, there are online subscription plans that provide access to the federal and state decisions concerning a state or a federal circuit; e.g., call Thomson Reuters Customer Service at (800)328-4880 and ask about its plans.

k. Forms

- 399. E.g.: Carmody-Wait, 2d, Cyclopedia of New York Law | Legal Solutions (thomsonreuters.com); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Carmody-Wait-2d-Cyclopedia-of-New-York-Practice-with-Forms/p/100027436>
- 400. E.g., Domestic Relations (Volume 7, West's Legal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Domestic-Relations-Vol-7-Westsreg-Legal-Forms/p/100001671>

L. Cases from the Federal Judiciary and from the states

21. Entities representing state courts and compiling their statistics

- 401. Conference of **Chief Justices** of the states; <https://ccj.ncsc.org>
- 402. National Center for State Courts; www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics
- 403. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
- 404. Conference of State Court **Administrators** (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
- 405. National Association for Court **Management** (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>
- 406. National Conference of Appellate **Court Clerks** (NCACC); www.appellatecourtclerks.org
- 407. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

22. Rules and codes of conduct for judges and lawyers

408. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>
409. American Bar Association Model **Rules** of Professional Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/
410. American Bar Association Model **Code** of Judicial Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/
411. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

23. Reports by media outlets and VIPs that have exposed judges

a. Reports exposing judges

412. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:
- Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
 - Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
 - Part 3, 14july21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
 - <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
 - 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>
 - <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
413. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>
414. *The Wall Street Journal*; **James.Grimaldi@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/james-v-grimaldi>; **Coulter.Jones@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/coulter-jones>; reach Mr. Jones at 212-416-3778; **Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/joe-palazzolo>
- 131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest; https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdrn4VM9Ssvk_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ; 28sep21;
 - 1) updated under the title "Federal Judges Heard Cases Despite a Financial Interest"; 29sep21; https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-the-journal-found-judges-violations-of-law-on-conflicts-11632833775?mod=Searchresults_pos11&page=1
 - 2) updated under the title: Dozens of Federal Judges Had Financial Conflicts: What You Need to Know: A Wall Street Journal investigation finds more than 130 federal judges unlawfully ruled in cases involving companies in which they or their families held shares; *Michael Siconolfi, Coulter Jones, Joe Palazzolo, and James V. Grimaldi*; WSJ; April 27, 2022; <https://www.wsj.com/articles/dozens-of-federal-judges-broke-the-law-on-conflicts-what-you-need-to-know-11632922140>

"A Wall Street Journal investigation found that 152 federal judges around the nation have violated U.S. law and judicial ethics by overseeing 1,076 [court cases](#) involving

companies in which they or their family owned stock.

As a result of the Journal's reporting, judges in 883 cases have notified courts that they presided in the lawsuits improperly and that the cases are eligible to be reopened."

- b. Texas Judge Leads Tally of Cases With Financial Conflicts --- Gilstrap didn't recuse in 138 suits involving firms in which he or his wife had an interest; 30sep21
 - c. Judges or Their Brokers Bought And Sold Stocks of Litigants --- 61 report trades made while they oversaw suits involving the companies; 16oct21
 - d. U.S. News: Bill Would Toughen Stock-Trading Rules for Federal Judges; 26oct21
 - e. Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law; 2nov21
 - f. U.S. News: Judge Acknowledges Possible Recusal Errors; 3nov21
 - g. U.S. News: Bill on Judge Disclosures Passes House Panel; 18nov21
 - h. U.S. News: Bill Gains To Speed Disclosure by Judges; 2dec21
415. Friends of the Court: SCOTUS Justices' Beneficial Relationships With Billionaire Donors; ProPublica; <https://www.propublica.org/series/supreme-court-scotus>; <https://www.propublica.org/article/clarence-thomas-scotus-undisclosed-luxury-travel-gifts-crow>
416. Federal Judges Admit Conflicts Of Interests, Leaving Litigants Reeling; HuffPost Latest News; Henry Kerali contributed to this report; Center For Public Integrity; Apr 28, 2014, 12:50 PM; https://www.huffpost.com/entry/judges-conflicts-of-interest_n_5227031
417. House panel to explore impeachment, judicial ethics in wake of Ginni Thomas texts; Emily Brooks; *The Hill*; April 2, 2022; https://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=SocialThru&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alertshttps://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=SocialThru&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alerts
418. Senator Elizabeth Warren's "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too"; <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
419. Several of the above-listed reports are collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf

b. Reports with leads and methodology useful for investigating judges

420. Pandora Papers; International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, Washington, D.C.; 3oct21; <https://www.icij.org/investigations/pandora-papers/>

24. Journalists and media outlets

- 421. CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
- 422. *Biden's court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
- 423. The Associated Press; <https://www.ap.org/about/>

25. Entities accrediting educational institutions (and serving as portals to them)

- 424. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
- 425. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
- 426. (business schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
- 427. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

26. Law book publishers

- 428. Thomson Reuters is the largest publisher of law books and related materials in the U.S.; <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
- 429. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
- 430. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
- 431. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

27. Other private entities and people

- 432. American Association of University Professors, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>
- 433. American Association of Retired People; <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>
- 434. Judicial Watch, <https://www.judicialwatch.org>
 - a. Judicial Watch's repository of judges' financial disclosure reports, <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
 - b. Judicial Watch representing former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson in her suit against the U.S. Department of Justice for hacking her office and home computers, for which she is demanding \$35 million in damages; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/cases/sharyl-attkisson-judicial-watch-v-u-s-department-justice-no114-cv-01944/>

435. 5 Young Women in Montana's Climate Case on How They Pulled Off Their Historic Win; Rachel Janfaza; Cosmopolitan; 18 August 2023; <https://www.yahoo.com/news/lifestyle/5-young-women-montana-climate-173600590.html>

436.

Appendix 7

Two blocs of email addresses of journalists, media outlets, professors, and students who can be persuaded to hold UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

Place each in the To: box of separate emails containing your story of abuse that you have suffered or witnessed. You can easily write it in up to 500 words by applying the [two-phase method](#). By so doing, your story will be informative, accurate, and verifiable by those who can enable you to tell it at the hearings.[‡]

To: [journalists and media officers]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, cjc@cjc.ny.gov, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com,
twallack@gmail.com, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, gpduf@aol.com,
jimwdean@aol.com, investigate@ap.org, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
drew@americanthinker.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com,
ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org,
Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com,
Evan.Allen@globe.com, causecollector@msn.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com,
oped@nytimes.com, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com,
Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, info@AP.org, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,
Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com, sarah.childress@washpost.com, david.fallis@washpost.com,

To: [lawyers and professors]

jsg@law.harvard.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
krooseve@law.upenn.edu, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

End Page

Blank

Appendix 7

Two blocs of email addresses of journalists, media outlets, professors, and students who can be persuaded to hold **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.**

Place each in the To: box of separate emails containing your story of abuse that you have suffered or witnessed. You can easily write it in up to 500 words by applying the [two-phase method](#). By so doing, your story will be informative, accurate, and verifiable by those who can enable you to tell it at the hearings.[‡]

To: [journalists and media officers]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, cjc@cjc.ny.gov, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com,
twallack@gmail.com, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, gpduf@aol.com,
jimwdean@aol.com, investigate@ap.org, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
drew@americanthinker.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com,
ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org,
Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com,
Evan.Allen@globe.com, causecollector@msn.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com,
oped@nytimes.com, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com,
Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, info@AP.org, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,
Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com, sarah.childress@washpost.com, david.fallis@washpost.com,

To: [lawyers and professors]

jsg@law.harvard.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
krooseve@law.upenn.edu, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

End Page

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1143-1665+

Blank

End Page

