

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

December 27, 2024

Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq. Marc Agnifilo, Esq.
445 Park Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10022
tel. (646)205-4350; contact@agilawgroup.com

Mr. Luigi Mangione
Metropolitan Detention Center
P.O. Box 329002, Brooklyn, NY 11232

Dear Ms. Friedman Agnifilo, Mr. Agnifilo, and Mr. Mangione,[‡]

1. This is a proposal to join forces to help your client Luigi Mangione. While the evidence that keeps being found against him makes it ever more probable that he will be unable to win his freedom, we can help him save his objective: expose the healthcare industry's abusive claim evasion tactics, pithily described in the paraphrase 'delay, deny, defend'. His use of that description has provoked in the public, not condemnation of him, but rather outrage at the industry. It can gain Mr. Mangione the extenuating sympathy of the public, the jury pool, the jury, and perhaps even the judges.
2. We can jointly exacerbate that outrage by using the complaint/appeal that I have written with that word triptych illustrating those tactics. I just filed it in the U.S. District Court SDNY, i.e., *Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, EmblemHealth* (health insurer), *Maximus Federal Services*, and many of the top officers of the Medicare Appeals Council (Council) and the Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA), 24cv9778-UA.¹ Emblem medically insures more than **3 million people** in NY and the tristate area. Maximus performs for Medicare reconsiderations of the denial of medical services by health insurance companies. These entities have their own but harmonious interests: Medicare wants to attract to, and retain in, its network the largest number of medical insurers, whose decisions affect the options of millions of people. Emblem, like the other insurers, wants to pay as few claims as possible. Maximus, a so-called qualified independent contractor, works for Medicare in a principal-agent relation, but not for long if it routinely reconsidered disapprovingly claim denials, thus causing the other two entities to be liable for the claims.
3. The typical Medicare insureds whose claims were denied are old, disabled, sick, and ignorant of the law. They can hardly afford a lawyer or muster the energy needed to go through levels of complaint and appeal until reaching the fifth, the district court. So, they just take the abuse. If able at all, they scribble a whining personal anecdote with no legal arguments...and are wiped out. By contrast, my filing analyzes the functioning of the system rigged through coordination by Medicare and the other entities to implement their abusive claim evasion tactics (see the excerpt next), which likely represent those of similar entities in the healthcare industry; and discusses causes of action.
4. This functional exposure can benefit you, your client, and me by turning him and my complaint into a rallying point through self-reinforcing cycles: The more the complaint is described at press conferences, in press releases, at interviews, and in published articles, the more it will inform the public about the industry's abusive tactics, the more people will become more outraged and many will scream, "That happened to *me too!*" They will want to advance their quest for justice and compensation by telling their *story*². We will promote the holding by media outlets and universities at their stations and auditoriums of *unprecedented citizens hearings*. There people will be able to tell their story in person or online to the national public. Your client and my complaint can rally ever more people that demand the hearings. A more informed and outraged public will energize another self-reinforcing cycle. So can my site at Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org. There I post articles of my study^{*} of abuse of power, which have turned countless visitors into **53,099+ subscribers**. I offer to make in your office a presentation to you and your guests on this proposal and my cases abstracted infra, e.g., indictments fabricated on false and insufficient evidence, which can influence the jury's attitude to the DA, the NYPD, your "unfair trial" complaint, and nullification.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, /s/ Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

^{*} http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-

OL3:1769

[‡] <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-K&MAgnifilo-LMangione.pdf>

Excerpt from the complaint-appeal in the U.S. District Court, SDNY
Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, Medicare, EmblemHealth, et al.; dkt. 24cv9778-UA¹

L. Delay, deny to wear down the insured and cause him to abandon his claim

88. Plaintiff's statements show that the conduct of Emblem's people when they pass an insured from one supervisor to the other and to the other and so on (in his case 19 supervisors!, see [SDNY: 12§3](#)), constitutes Emblem's institutionalized way of doing business: Those supervisors were not rogue employees; rather, they are the face and body of Emblem. They make up what Emblem is. They were implementing Emblem's first abusive claim evasion tactic: "delay, delay, delay".
89. Their purpose is to drag out the claim for coverage for so long, raise so many obstacles, disrupt the insured's life so profoundly, and cause so much frustration, that he, sick, old, and financially exhausted, will be worn out. Then he will abandon his claim.
90. Their pattern of conduct started to manifest itself with the first level Emblem people in The Philippines that picked up the phone when Plaintiff called Emblem's so-called Customer Service at (877)344-7364.
91. These phone picker uppers did not have the faintest idea how to answer Plaintiff's question about what to do with the crown that had fallen out of tooth # 19. Hence, they would put Plaintiff on hold every time he asked a question so that they could write an email to their supervisors to describe to them Plaintiff's question.
92. The first level phone picker uppers did not have access to a floor supervisor or manager.
93. One clear reason for this is that many, if not all, phone picker uppers worked from home, not in a building that houses Emblem's offices in The Philippines.
94. It is in the self-interest of the phone picker uppers to make up all sorts of excuses not to put callers in direct contact with their supervisors: The more the phone picker uppers connect callers and supervisors directly to each other, the more they inevitably reveal that they do not have answers to the questions of yet another caller.
95. It is reasonable to infer from their work setup that such revelation would put their Emblem job at risk, i.e., the job of the phone picker uppers because they have not learned enough to know the answers; and that of the supervisors because they have not taught them sufficiently well for them to figure out the answers based on the information that they have. This deficiency in critical thinking may be traced back to how the Philippine educational system in the grades educates children.
96. Critical thinking allows jurors to draw inferences from facts known to them before they become jurors, making them 'peers of the parties'; the verbal statements and body language of parties at the tables and witnesses on the stand; and the physical evidence introduced at trial.
97. No wonder it was so exasperating and time-consuming for Plaintiff to prevail upon phone picker uppers to stop emailing their supervisors and transfer his call to the supervisor at the time.
98. Soon Plaintiff realized that it was a total waste of time to speak with the first level Emblem Philippine people. Consequently, he would systematically ask to be transferred to a supervisor.
99. The supervisors did not know what to do either. So, they told Plaintiff that they would have to do some "research" to find out what to do.
100. The supervisors never mentioned that the "research" that they had to do was on anything other than Emblem's own advertisement and evidence of coverage.
101. The supervisors never mentioned that they had to do "research" on Medicare rules.

102. Nor did they mention anything about Medicaid, let alone about "Medicaid COB", for they did not know what "COB" meant. It means "Coordination of Benefits". Of course, they did not know with what Medicaid had to be coordinated, how, and to what extent.
103. The supervisors never mentioned anything remotely similar to the above-quoted ([SDNY:18933](#)) technical description, which includes even medical coding, of 'the requested pre-authorization' for treating tooth # 19 after its crown fell out.
104. The recorded phone conversations between Plaintiff and Emblem people would bear that out, which explains why Emblem never produced them during discovery.
105. When the Emblem Philippine supervisors could not find out what Emblem would cover to deal with the fallen-out crown, they would stop communicating with Plaintiff.
106. After a cost-benefit analysis it is highly likely that Emblem has determined that it is not cost-effective to try to teach their Philippine people to think critically, or learn anything other than the basic.
107. That analysis may be confirmed by the very high employee turnover that Emblem has to deal with. Why spend an enormous amount of money to properly train people for months on end given that after only a very short time on the job they will suffer under crushing intellectual demands and quit?
108. Emblem's Customer Service in The Philippines is staffed with people who are neither trained to deal, nor intellectually capable of dealing, with the problems that insureds bring to them.
109. For one thing, the Emblem Philippine people are required to repeat the question that an insured asks of them in order to obtain confirmation from the insured that they understood the question.
110. That requirement shows that Emblem itself does not trust their capacity to even understand what insureds are talking about.
111. Emblem Philippine people so often appear to be reading from a script when speaking with an insured while disregarding what the insured is asking or saying. If taken off-script by the questions of an insured, they do not know what to say. They repeat the script or have to ask a supervisor.
112. This may also explain why the Emblem Philippine people either do not have the authority to solve the problem that the insured brings to them or do not feel confident in exercising that authority.
113. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not have a direct phone extension.
114. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not return the phone call messages that Plaintiff left on their general voice mailbox.
115. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not return the messages for them that Plaintiff would leave with the first level telephone picker uppers.
116. If a supervisor transferred the case to another supervisor, the latter did not know anything about the case either.
117. If a previous supervisor wrote notes on Plaintiff's chart -forget about a phone picker upper doing so-, the next supervisor would not have read it, either because it was poorly written or because he or she was not competent enough to understand what was going on or responsible enough to make the effort to understand. After all, "*why sweat it?!*"
118. It is unlikely that higher supervisors were listening or would listen in on the conversations to realize what was happening and hold anybody accountable. Having them listen in would be costly.

119. After being dropped by the latest supervisor, Plaintiff had to begin all over again with another supervisor...after wrestling with phone picker uppers to have his call transferred while hearing in the background dogs barking, chickens crowing, and children crying or adults laughing or talking all at the same time. Oh, life in the countryside is so convivial with fowl and folks around!
120. This unaccountability on which phone picker uppers and supervisors alike can rely accounts for the fact that for them callers are nothing but a transient nuisance. Inconsequentially, they can be dropped and forgotten if they demand reliable information...or simply information.
121. Since they are unsupervised and thus held unaccountable, the Philippine people do whatever they want. They are a ship cast onto the ocean and forgotten by the Emblem U.S. captains.
122. After a while, Plaintiff refused to deal with the Emblem Philippine people. He requested to be transferred to the Emblem people in the U.S.
123. It took the Philippine people more than an hour to get connected to somebody in the U.S. to whom to transfer Plaintiff. After a shockingly long time, he found somebody in the U.S. who would deal with him. It was not a great improvement, except for the absence of domestic animals' noise.
124. This indicates that Emblem's Customer Service call center in The Philippines is not in constant contact with their counterparts, much less their superiors, in the U.S. The Philippines call center is in practice left to its own devices by Emblem officers in the U.S.
125. Running a call center with phone picker uppers in The Philippines, some of whom have been elevated to supervisors, may cost a pittance of what it costs in the U.S. But what they offer is only a mockery of Customer Service.
126. It follows that Emblem Customer Service call center in The Philippines is a sham. Its purpose is to pretend to satisfy the Medicare requirement that its network members have such a Service, at least in name and appearance.
127. Medicare knows, and by exercising due diligence in supervising and controlling would know, that such a Customer Service is a sham.
128. Plaintiff would not give up his demand for an answer to his question about crown repair coverage even after months of Emblem's "delay, delay, delay". Hence, Emblem proceeded to implement the second tactic of claim evasion: On December 12, 2021, Emblem denied Plaintiff's claim. Like a poker player, it pulled out from under its sleeve the excuse that Medicare did not cover the repair of tooth # 19 after its crown fell out.
129. It is not possible that nobody in Emblem knew what Medicare did or did not cover, or with due diligence could have found out during Plaintiff's first call.
130. Emblem's delay was in bad faith: part of a racketeering scheme to wear Plaintiff down and cause him to abandon his claim without Emblem having to issue yet another denial and enter it on its records...assuming it keeps such records.
131. Emblem, Maximus, and Medicare must know it. But how many sick, old, and law-ignorant insureds are going to survive four levels of appeal and still have the stamina to climb to the fifth level to appeal to a U.S. district court for judicial review of the administrative proceedings below?
132. Insureds are likely scared away from appealing to a court by the specter of what awaits them there: A hypertechnical, protracted, and unaffordable battle with an army of corporate lawyers determined to crush the insureds with the third and merciless tactic of abusive claim evasion: "defend".

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" You may trigger history and even enter it as a Champion of Justice.



Federal Bureau of Prisons

Search bop.gov



Home

About Us

Inmates


Locations

Careers

Business

Resources

Contact Us



MDC BROOKLYN

An administrative security metropolitan detention center.

80 29TH STREET
BROOKLYN, NY 11232

Email: BRO-ExecAssistant-S@bop.gov

Phone: 718-840-4200

Fax: 718-840-5005

Inmate Gender: Male and Female Offenders

Population: 1,132 Total Inmates

Judicial District: Eastern New York

County: KINGS

BOP Region: [Northeast Region](#)

[Visiting Information](#) ▾

[How to send things here](#) ▾

[Resources for sentenced inmates](#) ▾

[Driving Directions](#) ↗

[Job Vacancies](#) ↗

NOTE: Sent to this address on December 28, 2024,
but returned undelivered.



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

12/28/2024 04:14 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

Prepaid Mail	1		\$0.00
--------------	---	--	--------

New York, NY 10022
Weight: 0 lb 6.90 oz
Acceptance Date:
Sat 12/28/2024
Tracking #:
9405 5301 0935 5081 9682 10

First-Class Mail®	1		\$3.43
-------------------	---	--	--------

Large Envelope
Brooklyn, NY 11232
Weight: 0 lb 7.30 oz
Estimated Delivery Date
Thu 01/02/2025

Cert of Mailing			\$2.20
-----------------	--	--	--------

Total			\$5.63
-------	--	--	--------

Grand Total:			\$5.63
--------------	--	--	--------

Credit Card Remit			\$5.63
-------------------	--	--	--------

Card Name: VISA
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX5085
Approval #: 00739D
Transaction #: 023
AID: A0000000031010 Contactless
AL: VISA CREDIT

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

OL3:1773.b

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-5100087-5-3497244-2
Clerk: 39



Certificate Of Mail

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
Judicial Discipline Reform
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

To: c/o Warden Heriberto Tellez
Inmate Luigi Mangione
Liberty View Industrial Plaza
80 29th St, Brooklyn, NY 11232

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

DC 99
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
BRONX NY
10462
DEC 28 24
AMOUNT
\$2.20
\$2324P500874-39

NIXIE 061 40 1
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 10472650665 0060N016170-00755

Retail
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
BRONX, NY 10462
DEC 28, 2024
\$3.43
S2324P500874-39
RDC 99
11232

c/o: Warden Heriberto Tellez
For Inmate Luigi Mangione
Metropolitan Detention Center, P.O. Box 329002, Brooklyn, NY 11232-9002
Resent by Priority Mail 9405 5301 0935 5092 3053 70
on Friday, 24 January 2025. Dr. R. Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
Judicial Discipline Reform
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472-6506

RTS [=RTS = Return to Sender]

~~c/o: Warden Heriberto Tellez
Inmate Luigi Mangione
Metropolitan Detention Center
Liberty View Industrial Plaza
80 29th Street
Brooklyn, NY 11232~~

.....

How to send things here

[Prescription Eyeglasses](#)

[Inmate Mail](#)

Use the address below to send correspondence and parcels to inmates. [Learn more about sending mail](#)

INMATE NAME & REGISTER NUMBER

MDC BROOKLYN

METROPOLITAN DETENTION CENTER

P.O. BOX 329002

BROOKLYN, NY 11232



NOTE: Resent to this mail address by Priority Mail no. 9202 0901 6878 8806 1834 95 on Friday, 24 January 2025 from Bronx, NY 10472.

[Inmate Money](#)

[Freight and non-USPS parcels](#)

[Staff Mail](#)

Resources for sentenced inmates

Admissions and Orientation (A&O) Handbook

This document provides you with general information about the institution, programs, rules, and regulations that you will encounter during your confinement. Familiarizing yourself with this information and knowing your responsibilities will help you adjust to institution life.

[MDC Brooklyn Admissions & Orientation Handbook](#)

Commissary List








In 1930 the Department of Justice authorized and established a Commissary at each Federal institution. The Commissary provides a bank type account for your money & for the procurement of articles not issued regularly as part of the institution administration. Funds deposited by your family, friends, or other sources are stored in your commissary account that we maintain.

[List and prices of items sold at the commissary in MDC Brooklyn](#)

Legal Activities

This document outlines the procedures for access to legal reference materials and legal counsel, and the opportunities that you will be afforded to prepare legal documents while incarcerated.

[Inmate Legal Activities](#)

		Click-N-Ship®	
	usps.com \$8.40 US POSTAGE	9405 5301 0935 5092 3053 70 0084 0001 0001 1232  	
	01/24/2025	Mailed from 10472 095567655532997	
PRIORITY MAIL®			
JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ 2165 BRUCKNER BLVD BRONX NY 10472-6506		01/27/2025 Flat Rate Envelope RDC 03 <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"> B099 </div>	
	METROPOLITAN DETENTION CENTER WARDEN HERIBERTO TELLEZ PO BOX 329002 BROOKLYN NY 11232-9002		
USPS TRACKING #			
			
9405 5301 0935 5092 3053 70			
For inmate Luigi M			



Cut on dotted line.

Instructions

1. Please use a laser or laser-quality printer.
2. Adhere shipping label to package with tape or glue - DO NOT TAPE OVER BARCODE. Be sure all edges are secure. Self-adhesive label is recommended.
3. Place label so that it does not wrap around the edge of the package.
4. Each shipping label number is unique and can be used only once - DO NOT PHOTOCOPY.
5. Please use this shipping label on the "ship date" selected when you requested the label.
6. If a mailing receipt is required, present the article and Online e-Label Record at a Post Office for postmark.

9405 5301 0935 5092 3053 70	
Print Date: 2025-01-24	PRIORITY MAIL®
Ship Date: 2025-01-24	Extra Services:
	Fees:
	Total:
	\$8.40 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$8.40
From:	JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ 2165 BRUCKNER BLVD BRONX NY 10472-6506
To:	METROPOLITAN DETENTION CENTER WARDEN HERIBERTO TELLEZ PO BOX 329002 BROOKLYN NY 11232-9002
<small>* Commercial Pricing PRIORITY MAIL® rates apply. There is no fee for USPS Tracking® service on PRIORITY MAIL® service with use of this electronic rate shipping label. Refunds for unused postage paid labels can be requested online 30 days from the print date.</small>	


UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®
 Thank you for shipping with the United States Postal Service!
 Check the status of your shipment on the USPS Tracking® page at usps.com



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

01/24/2025 05:58 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
Prepaid Mail Brooklyn, NY 11232 Weight: 0 lb 8.20 oz Acceptance Date: Fri 01/24/2025 Tracking #: 9405 5301 0935 5092 3053 70	1		\$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-51000087-3-14538975-1
Clerk: 70

Tracking Number:

Remove X

4201123290029405530109355092305370

Copy

Add to Informed Delivery (<https://informedelivery.usps.com/>)

Latest Update

Your item arrived at the BROOKLYN, NY 11232 post office at 12:16 pm on January 27, 2025 and is ready for pickup. Your item may be picked up at BUSH TERMINAL CARRIER ANNEX, 147 41ST ST STE 1, BROOKLYN, NY 112323322, M-F 0900-1700; SAT 0830-1300.

Get More Out of USPS Tracking:

USPS Tracking Plus®

Delivered

Available for Pickup

Available for Pickup

BUSH TERMINAL CARRIER ANNEX
147 41ST ST STE 1
BROOKLYN NY 11232-3322
M-F 0900-1700; SAT 0830-1300
January 27, 2025, 12:16 pm

NOTE: Why was this item not placed in the addressee's P.O. Box 329002, Brooklyn, NY 11232-9002?

Arrived at Post Office

BROOKLYN, NY 11232
January 27, 2025, 11:59 am

Arrived at USPS Facility

BROOKLYN, NY 11232
January 26, 2025, 8:56 am

Departed USPS Regional Facility

BROOKLYN NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER

Feedback

January 26, 2025, 8:03 am

Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

BROOKLYN NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER

January 25, 2025, 10:07 am

Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER

January 24, 2025, 10:15 pm

USPS in possession of item

BRONX, NY 10462

January 24, 2025, 5:58 pm

Shipping Label Created, USPS Awaiting Item

BRONX, NY 10472

January 24, 2025, 2:30 pm

Hide Tracking History

[What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean? \(https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package\)](https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package)

Text & Email Updates



USPS Tracking Plus®



Product Information










See Less

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

Need More Help?

Contact USPS Tracking support for further assistance.

		Click-N-Ship®	
	usps.com \$8.68 US POSTAGE	9405 5301 0935 5081 9682 10 0086 8001 0001 0022  	
	12/28/2024	Mailed from 10472 602348660097110	
PRIORITY MAIL®			
JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ 2165 BRUCKNER BLVD BRONX NY 10472-6506		12/30/2024 Flat Rate Envelope RDC 03 <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"> C288 </div>	
	AGNIFILO INTRATER LLP KAREN & MARC AGNIFILO 445 PARK AVE FL 7 NEW YORK NY 10022-2629		
USPS TRACKING #			
			
9405 5301 0935 5081 9682 10			
			



Cut on dotted line.

Instructions

1. Please use a laser or laser-quality printer.
2. Adhere shipping label to package with tape or glue - DO NOT TAPE OVER BARCODE. Be sure all edges are secure. Self-adhesive label is recommended.
3. Place label so that it does not wrap around the edge of the package.
4. Each shipping label number is unique and can be used only once - DO NOT PHOTOCOPY.
5. Please use this shipping label on the "ship date" selected when you requested the label.
6. If a mailing receipt is required, present the article and Online e-Label Record at a Post Office for postmark.

9405 5301 0935 5081 9682 10	
Print Date: 2024-12-28 Ship Date: 2024-12-28	PRIORITY MAIL® Extra Services: Fees: Total:
	\$8.68 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$8.68
From: JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ 2165 BRUCKNER BLVD BRONX NY 10472-6506	To: AGNIFILO INTRATER LLP KAREN & MARC AGNIFILO 445 PARK AVE FL 7 NEW YORK NY 10022-2629
<small>* Commercial Pricing PRIORITY MAIL® rates apply. There is no fee for USPS Tracking® service on PRIORITY MAIL® service with use of this electronic rate shipping label. Refunds for unused postage paid labels can be requested online 30 days from the print date.</small>	



Thank you for shipping with the United States Postal Service!
 Check the status of your shipment on the USPS Tracking® page at usps.com

USPS® Item Delivered, Left with Individual 9405530109355081968210
From:auto-reply@usps.com
To:dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net
Date:Monday, December 30, 2024 at 01:54 PM EST



Hello **Dr Richard Cordero Esq,**

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 1:50 pm on December 30, 2024 in NEW YORK, NY 10022.

NOTE: This item was addressed to Karen & Marc Agnifilo.

Tracking Number: [9405530109355081968210](#)

Package Shipped from: **HQ - ECNS**

Delivered, Left with Individual



Tracking & Delivery Options

[My Account](#)

Get Tracking Updates in a Daily Email

Our Informed Delivery® feature sends you a daily email with mail and packages arriving soon. Tracking numbers are automatically included so you don't have to manually track your deliveries.

[Sign Up for Free](#)

A smartphone held in a hand, displaying the USPS Informed Delivery app interface. The screen shows a 'COMING TO YOUR MAILBOX SOON' section with a 'MAIL' tab selected, listing items like 'SEVEN SEASONS GREETINGS' and 'CRAFT & CO.'. Below that is a 'PACKAGES' section with a list of items and their delivery dates.

Expected delivery date and time is subject to change, but if it does we'll send you an email update. Delivery options not available for all packages at all times.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

3 January 2025

NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch
NY Police Department
One Police Plaza, NY, NY 10038-1403
tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865

Interim IAB Chief Edward Thompson
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10259-0001
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org

Dear Commissioner Tisch and Chief Thompson,[‡]

infra, page #

1. This is an appeal to the sincerity of your statement repeated on various occasions that no task is more important to you than to restore ethical behavior among police officers and earn back public trust in the NYPD. This appeal concerns [fabricated indictments](#) based on false and insufficient 1618 evidence presented to grand juries by prosecutors, police officers, and [detectives](#), and [covered up](#) 1559; 1525.a 1557.a by [judges](#). I witnessed such fabrication first-hand as a [grand juror](#) at the [Supreme Court](#), Bronx 1506; 1571 1497; 1650 County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451, on May 23 and 24, 2022.
2. After their presentation, ADA [B. Namani](#) and Supervisor [D. Jetta](#) asked the jurors whether they 1558 had questions. I asked some pointing to the lack of evidence that a crime had taken place at all, let alone a murder, much less by the two indictees: There was no witness to the crime; no footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The foot- age of the restaurants flanking the street did not show a crowd of onlookers or CSI vehicles. The indictment was sought for plea bargain leverage in reliance on grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment: "An ADA can indict a ham sandwich". On May 25, I was summoned before Grand Jury Judge Laurence [Busching](#), who discharged me on the spot without even bringing in the people 1574 who supposedly had complained that 'my questions were making the other grand jurors uncomfortable'. I wrote a [4,743-word](#), 8-page sworn statement and mailed it on May 27, to Admin- 1507 1573 istrative Judge Alvin [Yearwood](#), who only forwarded it to [Judge Busching](#). [Order](#) a copy from me. 1502; 1514¶2
3. For more than 2½ years, I have made numerous phone calls, whose [dates](#) I have, as I do the names 1562 1562; 1518 of those who have given me the runaround; and [mailed letters](#) requesting an [investigation](#), includ- 1512; 1514 ing those to former NYPD Commissioners Keechant [Sewell](#) and Edward [Caban](#), and IAB Chiefs 1518; 1558 1558.a; 1497 Miguel [Iglesias](#) and David [Barrere](#). I have emailed [public officers](#) daily, now more than 30, sending 1559; 1568 more than [11,500 emails!](#) To no avail, for I have not received even an acknowledgment of receipt 1622.1 from the NYPD, not even after my letter to the Civilian Complaint [Review Board](#) was forwarded 1558.b by its Director of Case Management, Eschwarie [Mahadeo](#), to IAB, a [complained about party](#). 1701; cf. 1773
4. This is the most propitious time to expose [NYPD corruption](#) that has sent thousands to jail and/or 1521 ruined them financially and reputationally based on indictments that police officers from the top down have fabricated and [covered up](#) in coordination with ADAs and judges: Karen Friedman 1568 [Agnifilo, Esq.](#), attorney for Luigi Mangione, has complained that police and other public officers 1769 are engaging in conduct that deprives her client of due process. She will depict them as so corrupt and unreliable that the jury pool and the seated jury should deem their charges of terrorism inflated; and blame the [health industry's greed](#) for his temporary insanity. That will lead to jury nullification. 1621
5. I will expose the link between the fabricated indictments and the Mangione case -see my [Medi- 1770 care case-](#) on my website, Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org. There I post some of my [articles](#)¹. They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed so positively so many educated and influential ones willing to read 1,000+-word articles that as of 27/01/25, [53,099](#) had become sub- 1774.a scribers. You can complicitly join the [cover-up](#) of fabricated indictments, as your [colleagues](#) have, 1652 or get ahead of our [exposure](#). I offer to make a presentation on the latter to you and your guests. 1619

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

OL3:1774

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf
¹ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

January 6, 2025

NYC Councilwoman Amanda Farias
778 Castle Hill Avenue
Bronx, NY 10473; tel. (718)792-1140
fax: (718)931-0235; (212)788-1656
District18@council.nyc.gov

NYS Sen. Nathalia Fernandez
3853 East Tremont Avenue
Bronx, NY 10465
tel. (718)822-2049
Fernandez@nysenate.gov

U.S. Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez
Hunts Point Office
1231 Lafayette Ave, Suite L-610
Bronx, NY 10474
tel. (718)662-5970

NYS Assemblywoman Karínés Reyes
1973 Westchester Avenue
Bronx, NY 10462
tel. (718)931-2620
reyesk@nyassembly.gov

Dear Councilwoman Farias, Assemblywoman Reyes, Rep. Ocasio-Cortez, and Sen. Fernandez,[‡]

1. This is a proposal for you all to join forces to amplify and make long-term the strong and positive impact on public opinion that other women are having, to wit, [NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch](#) and Karen [Friedman Agnifilo, Esq.](#), the attorney for Luigi Mangione. We can bring to the attention of your constituents, the audience at your activities, and the media two related cases that provoke the emotion that most effectively drives the public, and especially voters, to protest vehemently and take sustained action: outrage at abuse of power that harms them and those they care about.
2. These two cases deal with police corruption and denials of healthcare insurance claims:
 - a. [Indictments are fabricated](#) on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors, police officers, and detectives, and covered up by grand jury and NYC and NYS administrative judges, and each of the judges of the NYS Court of Appeals. Thousands of people have fallen victim to the coordinated abuse of power of these public officers, and have been deprived of their liberty or evicted, fired, and ruined financially and reputationally by having a criminal record.
 - b. [Medicare](#) seeks to keep in its network, and increase the number of, its thousands of medical services and equipment providers. They coordinate their denial of claims of many of its 67 million insureds, who are old, disabled, sick, and cannot afford lawyers though confronting five levels of administrative and judicial appeals. If capable at all, the insureds appeal pro se, but ignorant of the law, they have little to no chance of prevailing over the lawyers of Medicare and its providers. Their coordinated abuse of power is exposed in a case filed in [SDNY](#)¹.
3. Indeed, officers in those cases engage in patterns of abusive conduct that reveal an institutionalized modus operandi. Their conduct can be exposed as so coordinated by them, and for their benefit, as a class as to make them part of racketeering and corrupt organizations. That will distinguish our joint effort from a mere sensational case of officers going rogue individually. Such exposure will exacerbate public outrage. That will motivate ever more people to participate in what we will promote to media outlets and universities: [unprecedented citizens hearings](#). To be held at their stations and auditoriums, these hearings will enable people to tell in person or online to the national public their [story](#)² of the abuse by those organizations that they have suffered or witnessed.
4. Only an informed and outraged *We the People* can subject those who wield entrenched political and financial power to transparency, accountability, and liability. I offer to make for you and your guests a presentation in person or via video conference on leading a movement that can have transformative impact on our system of governance and turn you into Champions of *the People*. Cf. [↓530](#)

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

12 January 2025

NYPD Interim IAB Chief Edward Thompson
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10259-0001
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org

NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch
NY Police Department
One Police Plaza, New York, NY 10038-1403
tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865

Dear Chief Thompson and Commissioner Tisch,[‡]

1. I sent you a letter([OL3:1774 infra](#)) by USPS Priority Mail([1776a-e](#)) concerning IAB's inaction for over 2½ years on my complaint about the fabrication that I witnessed as a grand juror of indictments on false and insufficient evidence by Bronx ADAs and NYPD police officers and detectives, and their cover-up by NYS judges and former NYPD captain, now NYC Mayor Eric Adams ([1510](#)). I filed my complaint by phone with many IAB officers and detectives([1557a](#); [1559](#)), who gave me the runaround and treated me disrespectfully. I complained in writing to your two predecessors, Chief David Barrere([OL3:1497](#)) and Chief Miguel Iglesias([1558a](#)). I also complained to, among many others([1561](#)), Jonathan Darche, Esq., Executive Director of the Civilian Complaint Review Board([1558b](#)). His Director of Case Management, Eshwarie Mahadeo, replied thus([1701](#)):

Some allegations do not fall under the jurisdiction of the CCRB and fall under the jurisdiction of another entity, which is the case here. As a result, we are forwarding your complaint to the Internal Affairs Bureau, so they can take appropriate action. Please expect to hear from someone from that organization who will be investigating your incident.

2. It is quite suspicious that the CCRB should have irresponsibly dismissed my complaint without any investigation and referred it to an entity, IAB, of which I was complaining due to its being involved in the cover-up. Additional confirmation of such involvement comes from IAB's frustration of Director Mahadeo's 'expectation that I would hear from someone from that organization': No one has contacted me in over five months. Moreover, I have emailed addressees with investigative authority([1559](#), [1568](#), [1620](#), [1653](#)) daily for more than two years. By now, I have sent well over 11,500 emails! But nobody has replied. This confirms that theirs is a *coordinated cover-up*.
3. Also suspicious is the fact that my letter to you sent by Priority Mail was addressed to IAB's official mail address, to wit, PO Box 10001, NY, NY 10014([1776a](#)). To create the shipping label, I entered that address on the website label form of the USPS, which reformatted it automatically. I printed the label, affixed it to the Priority Mail envelope, and handed the latter to a USPS clerk that very same day, i.e., January 3. Its tracking report shows that it was kept going back and forth between the same USPS facilities. Yesterday, Saturday, January 11, eight days after I mailed you my two-day Priority Mail, I was notified that "Your item was returned to the sender on January 11, 2025; at 11:33 am in NEW YORK, NY 10007 because it could not be delivered as addressed."
4. The letter that I sent by Priority Mail the same day to your appointer, NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch, was kept going back and forth between USPS facilities until it was delivered seven days later([1776g,h](#)). I have sent numerous other letters by Priority Mail and by regular mail after buying a Certificate of Mailing([1498](#), [1563a](#), [1563b](#), [1576b](#), [1576c](#), [1626](#); [1653a](#)), not to mention those sent without such Certificate, but I have received no response other than the one from CCRB and the mendacious ones at [1502](#) and [1524](#). Under 18 U.S.C.§1961(5), the formation of a pattern "requires at least two acts...within ten years". There is a pattern here. It results from coordination.
5. If you are committed to ensuring the ethical, and all the more so the lawful, conduct of NYPD officers, as is Comm. Tisch, I respectfully request that you ask me in to discuss this complaint.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

OL3:1776

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

30 November 2024

Proposal to lawyers, journalists, professors, students, and potential class members to join forces to prosecute cases as class actions to be supported by a successful website with 53,099+ subscribers, which can be developed as a business guided by the principle “Making Money While Doing Justice”[†]

Dear lawyers, journalists, professors, experts, students, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. This is a proposal to prosecute cases jointly as class actions on behalf of sizable segments of the national public, doing so in our personal as well as the public interest. These cases are described in the below short blurbs and the longer abstracts of the detailed articles to which they refer.
2. The cases are of interest to all of you because they involve [abuse of power](#) by public officers. The proposed publication of the articles, their presentation at press conferences, and their prosecution as class actions are intended to expose the abuse to hold the abusers accountable, demand collective compensation for the abusees, and launch transformative reform of the system of justice.
3. This proposal for professionals to form a team as needed to prosecute each case and for abusees to join the respective class action is realistic, for it recognizes the substantial amount of effort, time, and money required for its implementation. In this vein, it is pertinent to consider that:
 - a. ‘Scandal sells’ and can win Pulitzer Prizes. Indeed, [ProPublica](#) won this year’s Pulitzer for Public Service for its investigative work that in a series of articles exposed corruption between justices of the U.S. Supreme Court and ‘friends of the justices’.
 - b. Martin Luther King said in effect that ‘abuse tolerated of someone leads to abuse inflicted on everyone’.
 - c. Successful class actions with counts under [RICO](#) -Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act; 18 U.S.C. [§§1961](#) to 1968- and their state counterparts -e.g., [NY Enterprise Corruption](#)- can lead to settlements of \$10s of millions, the award of compensatory and punitive damages, treble damages, attorneys’ fees, a significant reputational enhancement, a bestseller, a blockbuster movie, a tour of presentations, an influx of new clients, etc.
4. The blurbs and the abstracts contain links to articles that I already wrote and you all can review. My articles have proved their appeal for the public: I have posted some of them to my website, [Judicial Discipline Reform](#), at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited such a positive reaction that as of 27 January 2025, the number of visitors who had become subscribers was 53,099 and counting. How many law firms, let alone individual lawyers, do you know that have a website with so many subscribers?
5. I have written many other articles([§A[♣]](#)) cum chapters of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, the product of my professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#). They propose action that lawyers and lay people can take severally and jointly. The study is titled thus:

**Exposing Judges’ Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]♣**

6. The site, the study, and the articles support the several [proposals](#) for a journalistic, business, and academic [joint venture](#), including:
 - a. the serial publication of the key articles of my cases, which I can edit as requested, simultaneously with the further investigation of the cases, which will be facilitated by the leads that

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-blurbs_abstracts_class_action_cases.pdf

I have gathered, e.g., [OL:194§E](#) and the articles referred to in the blurbs and abstracts;

- b. the creation of a new form of journalism: representative journalism. It will enable you to leverage your knowledge of the main players in the class action bar and other fields to put together ad hoc teams of lawyers, journalists, multidisciplinary experts, and [media and academic](#) entities that have the necessary investigative and financial resources and expertise to:
 - 1) represent the abusees -e.g., those in my cases- in class actions with [civil RICO](#) counts against [big unaccountable abusive entities](#);
 - 2) lobby on their behalf [in Congress](#), and state and local lawmaking bodies for official investigations, legislation, and law enforcement;
 - 3) challenge the Establishment in the name of millions who individually lack the means of defending their rights, but whose strength in numbers representative journalism turns into a force to be reckoned with: a new powerhouse of American governance;
 - 4) grow the readership, revenue, and reputation of representative journalists and their team members who engage in [concrete actions](#) guided by the principle “[Making Money While Doing Justice](#)”;
- c. the development of my site from a news and analytical platform into an independent, self-sustaining [commercial](#) undertaking that acts as a watchdog to advance the public interest.
 - 1) Already attracted to my website, the subscribers to it constitute the initial client base of the site developed to run as do so many others that apply the TV and radio business model: You give viewers and listeners appealing programming or your basic [goods](#) and services for free, and charge a fee for [premium](#) ones and for carrying the ads of sellers of related goods and services, such as books, webinars, conferences, transportation and hotel accommodations, trial services, briefs preparing and serving, etc.
- d. the holding by academe and the media of [unprecedented citizens hearings](#):
 - 1) to be moderated by journalists and professors at university auditoriums and broadcasting stations;
 - 2) to allow people to tell in person or via video conference accessible to the national public their [stories](#) of the abuse by public entities that they have suffered or witnessed;
 - 3) to present the moderators’ report on the citizens hearings at the first national conference on public officers’ unaccountability and riskless abuse of power;
 - 4) to turn the report into the first edition of the Annual Report of Abuse of Power in America;
- e. the creation of the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy, to be:
 - 1) attached to a preeminent university or a national media network; and
 - 2) run as a for-profit research, publishing, teaching, and public interest litigating and lobbying entity; *etc.*

7. I offer to present to you and your guests this proposal in person if in New York City, and anywhere else if my expenses and fee are paid; otherwise, via video conference. Please call me at 1(718)827-9521 to make appropriate arrangements.

Dare shout “*I accuse!*” You may trigger history and even enter it as a Champion of Justice.

A. Blurbs of cases for joint prosecution as class actions by a team

1. (cf. ¶9↓) Federal judges **intercept** people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics, as shown by a **statistical analysis**.
2. (cf. ¶10↓) **Indictments fabricated** on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors and police officers, and covered up by criminal term judges, administrative judges, and the judges of the NY State Court of Appeals, which is the highest court in NY.
3. (cf. ¶11↓) **The Math of Abuse** is a mathematical demonstration that judges **do not read** most briefs. It can cause a flood of actions for breach of contract, false advertisement, fraud, dereliction of duty, remand for new trial, null and void case disposition by clerks not vested with judicial power, which is not assignable; demand for refund of filing fees and compensation for causing wasteful litigation expenses; etc.
4. (cf. ¶12↓) Judges in the Federal Judiciary systematically **dismiss 100% of the complaints** filed against fellow judges. Thereby they abuse the self-disciplinary authority that Congress has granted them. By exonerating each other, they ensure their own impunity and elevate themselves to a position that nobody is entitled to occupy in "government by the rule of law": Judges Above the Law.
5. (cf. ¶13↓) The **Follow the Money!** and **Follow the Wire!** investigations(**jur:102§a; OL:194§E**) will apply forensic **research** techniques, e.g., Fraud and Forensic Accounting, big data search, and AI, to discover assets that judges have grabbed, concealed, evaded taxes on, and handled through money laundering(**OL:1**).
6. (cf. ¶14↓) Judges' **bankruptcy** fraud **scheme** deals with \$100s of bl. annually. It is **covered up** by the circuit judges who appoint the bankruptcy judges in their circuit for a 14-year term(**28 U.S.C. §152**) and can reappoint them if the bankruptcy judges share and make "cronies"(**jur:32§§2-6**) pay-to-play.
7. (cf. ¶15↓) **Medicare** works to maintain in, and increase, its network of thousands of medical services and equipment providers. Together they abuse many of its 67 million insureds, who appeal, if at all, their decisions pro se, for they are sick and cannot afford lawyers. They have little chance of prevailing against the lawyers of Medicare and its providers. The recovery can be huge.
8. (cf. ¶16↓) **Walgreens** had \$139.5 bl. in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards, a bait and switch **scam**. A class action can hold it liable and serve as a test case for suing giant companies that abuse dwarf clients one at a time.

B. Abstracts: a more detailed presentation of the cases for joint prosecution

9. Federal judges **intercept people's emails and mail** to detect and suppress those of their critics, as shown by a **statistical analysis**. They have the technical expertise and equipment infrastructure to run a national **IT** network that allows filing, storing, and retrieving hundreds of millions of briefs, motions, records, petitions, applications, orders, decisions, reports, statistics, dockets, schedules, and emails to and from their case management and electronic case filing system(**CM/ECF**) administered by **PACER** (Public Access to Court Electronic Records).
 - a. The judges wield devastating decisional and retaliatory power over Internet-controlling companies(**Lsch:17§C**), which they can refrain from wielding if the companies assist them in their interception(**OL:5fn7**).
 - b. The U.S. Postal Service's "**Informed Delivery**" service shows that the technology to intercept mail is in use(**OL3:1304¶20**). Register to be emailed every morning a photo of the front side of your mail for that day. Amazing computing power is needed to identify your mail since

"The Postal Service processed and delivered an average of 318 million mail pieces **daily**".

- c. All public power belongs to *We the People* in a democracy. No abuse of it will **outrage** us more than the exposure of judges' violation of our most cherished constitutional freedoms, i.e., of speech, press, and assembly -on social media too- under the **First Amendment**. Informing the public of such violation will provoke **Snowden/NSA**-like national outrage.
- d. Exposing such interception will provoke a constitutional crisis -which branch will prosecute the judiciary?--; launch a flood of lawsuits by abusees demanding compensation; may lead to a **constitutional convention**; etc.

10. **Fabricated indictments**. As a lawyer and grand juror, I had the knowledge and was in a position to realize that the assistant district attorney and his supervisor(ADAs) had no evidence supporting their charge of murder brought against two defendants, and neither did the police officers who testified against them.

- a. When I questioned what they were doing, the ADAs referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me from the jury peremptorily.
- b. I have mailed complaint letters -see **Exhibits 1-4**- to the county and state administrative judges; the **Judicial Conduct Commission**; the **NYPD** commissioners and their **Internal Affairs Bureau** chiefs; each of the judges of **NYS Court of Appeals**; the indicted NYC Mayor and his probed aides; public advocates; city council members; et al. All of them have covered for their colleagues.
- c. **Lawyers** can jointly defend thousands of '**fabricated indictees**' by **impugning** their indictments; and win **punitive damages**. **Scandal sells**.

11. **The Math of Abuse**. This is a mathematical demonstration that the vast majority of case and motion briefs filed in the courts are not read by the judges. It is based on official statistics of the NY Supreme Court Appellate Division and those in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, which is required to be filed with Congress as a public document (**28 U.S.C. §§604(a)(3-4); (h)(2)**).

- a. Judges dispose of the brief-related cases and motions by having their **clerks** rubberstamp reasonable, unresearched, fiat-like 5¢ dumping forms. Their blanks are filled out with case-identifying data; and their boilerplate does not contain findings of facts or arguments of law.
- b. The forms' only operative words are "affirmed", if the case was a decision appealed from; or "denied", if slapped on a substantive motion, e.g., one that argues the rights and duties of a party, the basis of a charge, or the admissibility of evidence, as opposed to a procedural motion, such as one concerning the extension of a deadline or the substitution of an attorney. The status quo remains, for no judges' action was needed, only clerks' complicit obedience.
- c. Filled out dumping forms are not judicial decisions, but rather arbitrary, capricious, and ad hoc fiats to dump off cases in judges' caseload that based on a list of judges' criteria their clerks must dispose of as 'deadweight'.
- d. Many dumping-form fiats can be found on courts' websites, courts' research rooms, some 'Miscellaneous' reporters -printed collections of decisions-, and legal notice newspapers, e.g., New York Law Journal.
- e. Dumping-form fiats are such an abuse of power that judges may even conceal them under the "Not for publication" rubberstamp. Consequently, they may not be entered into the public

record by either the clerk of court or the county clerk. The parties may have received a copy by mail; been informed thereof when they called to ask about the status of their cases; or found it after they were told by a clerk to come to the court's in-take room to search for the decision in their case in the chest of drawers full of decisions not yet entered.

- f. "Not for publication" rubberstamping and dumping-form fiats are means of judges not making public pro-forma decisions of which they are ashamed due to their perfunctoriness or to their having made them in their [self-interest](#) of preserving or increasing the value of their shares in one of the parties before them.
- g. A contract for service is formed when a party pays the advertised brief filing fee to have its case or motion decided judicially, i.e., based on the brief and the application of the law. This requires that the brief be read by a judge, who were vetted publicly for their competence and honesty. Clerks were not; so, judicial decisional authority cannot be delegated to them.
- h. By instead issuing a dumping-form fiat, judges commit bait and switch false advertisement, breach of contract, dereliction of duty, and fraud on the public.
- i. They mislead lawyers and their clients and waste the cost of preparation by granting a petition for 15 minutes of oral argument but at the hearing cut it to only 1 minute!; and make decisions on the fly about matters that they barely know for failure to read the briefs.
- j. An [informed and outraged](#) group or class of parties so injured in fact can generate enough public pressure to force judges to recognize that those pro-forma decisions have the appearance of a conflict of interests; declare them null and void; call for a new trial; and recuse themselves from the cases. Cf. *The Wall Street Journal's* serial article:

- 1) beginning on 28 September 2021, with "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest"; and
- 2) followed on 2 November 2021, by "Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law" ([App6:30entry449](#) or [thereunder](#)).

12. Federal judges' **systematic dismissal of complaints** against their fellow federal judges. They abuse their self-discipline authority under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980([28 U.S.C. §§351-364](#)) by [dismissing 100% of complaints](#) filed against any of their own and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals.

- a. Judges have institutionalized the implicit or explicit complicit agreement for mutual assured protection through their reciprocal exoneration from all complaints: 'Today I exempt you from the complaint against you, and tomorrow you exempt me and my friends from any complaint against us, no matter the abuse's nature, extent, gravity, or harm.' Judges' reciprocal exoneration corrupts judicial integrity as judges look after each other rather than to administer fair and impartial justice in accordance to law.
- b. Judges have defrauded the public by pretending that they will process complaints fairly and impartially while in fact they dismiss the complaints to cover for each other as an integral part of their interpersonal relationship. By covering for the abuse that was committed, they encourage more abuse. That is how they have become accessories after and before the fact.

13. The ***Follow the Money!*** and ***Follow the Wire!*** investigations. These investigations apply forensic [research](#) techniques, e.g., Fraud and Forensic Accounting(FFA), big data search, and AI([jur:102§a; OL:194§E](#)), to discover assets that judges have grabbed, concealed, evaded taxes on, handled

through money laundering(OL:1); etc.

- a. Justice Thomas was shown to have received more than \$4 million in gifts from *billionaires* with business before the Supreme Court. He has failed to declare those gifts in his annual financial disclosure report mandated under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 (5 U.S. Code, Appendix). He refuses to recuse himself from cases related to them.
- b. The other justices and lower court judges abstain from exhibiting the moral courage necessary to criticize him, let alone demand that he resign. Their reciprocal cover-up through silence is due to the capacity of each of them to bring down all the others as accessories before and after the fact, for their willful ignorance and blindness, culpable indifference, dereliction of duty to safeguard the integrity of the judicial system, obstruction of justice, their own abuse of power, about which they may even have boasted (jur:88§§a-e), etc.
- c. The justices and judges tacitly shout at each other, ‘If you help them take me down, *I’ll bring you with me!*’ As a result of their complicit silence, they are beholden to each other. Their reciprocal cover-up is their **institutionalized modus operandi**. Their silence and cover-ups are the pervasive means of controlling and corrupting the judicial system. See the analysis of the official statistics(OL2:455§§B, D) and hereunder.
- d. Judges' abuse of power and cover-ups can be prosecuted under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO; 18 U.S.C. §1961 (U.S. Code of federal criminal law) and its version in the law of the several states; cf. NY Enterprise Corruption Law.

14. **Judges’ bankruptcy fraud scheme.** Bankruptcy judges are appointed to a term of 14 years (28 U.S.C. §152) by the circuit judges of the circuit where they will sit, who can reappoint them if the bankruptcy judges share and make “cronies”(jur:32§§2-6) pay-to-play.

- a. Those circuit judges will decide any appeal from the decisions of their bankruptcy judges. Appointers loath to reverse the decisions of their appointees, which incriminates them as having appointed an incompetent or corrupt judicial candidate. Rather, appointers **cover up** for, and do not appreciate lawyers who attack, their appointees.
- b. The majority of bankrupt parties are individuals, as opposed to companies. Almost all of them appear pro se, for they lack the money to mount costly and time-consuming appeals. They also lack knowledge of the intricacies of bankruptcy law. Thus, appeals from bankruptcy judges’ decisions are extremely rare. What the bankruptcy judge says, goes.
- c. In most of the 90 bankruptcy courts across the country -all of which are federal- there is only one, two, or three bankruptcy judges. Thus, bankruptcy lawyers, whom companies must retain to represent them, hardly ever challenge their decisions, whereby they would risk the retaliation of the judge presiding over their case as well as that of his/her fellow judges when they preside over their cases and teach *the lesson*: ‘*Don’t you ever mess with any of us!*’
- d. Moreover, a bankruptcy judge can have a bankruptcy trustee removed from all the trustee’s thousands of cases by filing a complaint against him in one single case(28 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) Part 58.6(a)(4)). Obviously, the trustee has every interest in never even appearing to challenge or otherwise displeasing the judge, and in showing his gratitude for every day that the judge allows him to keep his job.
- e. A bankruptcy trustee wields enormous power in his dealings with the debtor: He recommends to the judge what assets to exempt from distribution to the creditors and the dollar percentage to which debts must be paid. Appreciation for a recommended lower percentage

may be shown with a kickback, as may be the judge's approval of the recommendation.

- f. In 2005, Congress found an “absence of effective oversight”, and in 1979, “cronyism”, in the bankruptcy system.([jur:32§§2-6](#)) The result was and still is the unaccountability of the system cronies, e.g., circuit and bankruptcy judges, the bankruptcy trustees, and the service providers that they hire, such as accountants, appraisers, warehousemen, and lawyers. They take care of, and cover for, each other, thus evolving into a tight-knit racketeering enterprise.
 - g. Held unaccountable by the bankruptcy judges, the cronies are free to run risklessly an abusive bankruptcy petition mill. Thereby money becomes accessible by approving for processing every petition for protection from creditors regardless of its merits under bankruptcy law. After all, only if petitions are in the system can the cronies grab the money through their bankruptcy fraud scheme.
 - h. Consequently, bankruptcy judges exercise unaccountable power over \$100s of billions every year. Their ‘absolute power’ over so much money degenerates into ‘absolute corruption’ ([jur:27fn28](#)). Their unaccountability enables them to run risklessly their bankruptcy fraud scheme and makes its [workings understandable](#).
 - i. These are some of the mechanisms that provide motive, means, and opportunity for abuse of power in the bankruptcy courts and their running of a bankruptcy fraud scheme. The scheme has millions of victims, namely, the debtors and creditors in one-off cases, who are unlikely to be among the cronies. The latter are repeat players and thus, the beneficiaries.
 - j. An investigation must determine whether bankruptcy and circuit judges abuse the Federal Judiciary's national IT network to illegally transfer, conceal from individuals and the IRS, and launder onshore and offshore money that they have grabbed through the scheme([OL:1](#)).
 - k. A group of lawyers, journalists, multidisciplinary experts, professors, and students can join forces to work as a team to expose the schemers. The team members can shake to the core not only the bankruptcy system, but also the rest of the judicial system that appoints and covers for bankruptcy judges, and abets and benefits from their bankruptcy fraud scheme. In the process, the team can earn a lot of money and make a name for themselves.
15. **Medicare** abuses the power that it derives from administering the 2nd largest entitlement budget, i.e., \$900+ bl., for the benefit of its more than 67 million insureds. It has thousands of HMOs, other health insurance entities, and medical services and equipment providers in its network.
- a. Medicare has an interest in attracting to, and maintaining in its, network the largest number of medical services providers, which makes joining and remaining in Medicare more appealing for potential and current insureds.
 - b. But it is also in its interest to pay the fewest claims by, or on behalf of, the insureds. Those entities that receive a lump sum of money from Medicare to manage in line with certain guidelines, also have an interest in paying the fewest claims.
 - c. For their part, providers have an interest in receiving not just what Medicare pays according to its schedules, although they agreed contractually to accept as full payment the amount set forth in the schedules. So, the providers bill the insureds for the balance unpaid by Medicare, never mind that such balance billing is legally prohibited.
 - d. However, if Medicare were too strict in enforcing the balance billing prohibition, it would run the risk of providers quitting its network or even not joining it. As result, it looks the other way and lets its network providers balance bill its insureds.

- e. The insureds can hardly do anything about it because they are sick and burdened with medical bills. Hence, they cannot afford a lawyer. Since they do not know the law, they cannot represent themselves effectively pro se. So, they are abused by Medicare and its providers.
 - f. The [recovery](#) for holding Medicare and its providers accountable and liable for their abuse of insureds can be huge. A successful class action or perhaps only informing the public about, and outraging it at, the abusers, can force transformative change in not only Medicare, but also the rest of the national health care system.
16. [Walgreens](#) is [described](#) as having had \$139.5 billion in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards.
- a. It is a misnomer, for rewards are not earned by paying in cash and cannot be redeemed for cash despite the statement on its false advertisement: "Save time. Redeem your rewards instantly at checkout". But at checkout you cannot pay your total purchase with your Cash Rewards. You can only apply one single "tier" of \$1, \$3, \$5, \$10, or \$20 that is equal to or less than the total purchase cost. The balance must be paid with your money. Your rewards, though earned, are not yours, for they expire. Cash Rewards are a bait and switch scam.
 - b. Walgreens has shown its propensity for abuse: For its involvement in the opioid epidemic, ['It will pay \\$4.95 billion, plus more than \\$750 million in fees for attorneys and costs'](#).
 - c. This is a test case for going after big businesses that make substantial gains by defrauding millions of customers of small amounts that do not justify the cost of individual prosecution.

C. Potential impact of the key articles published by a national media outlet

17. The key articles of the above blurbs and abstracts have the potential for opening the floodgates for tens of thousands of motions by individual lawyers or better yet, by our ad hoc teams:
- a. to vacate decisions fraudulently issued by judges although *The Math of Abuse* proves that they could not have had the material time to read the briefs and research and apply the law;
 - b. to remand for a new trial or to enter judgment against the party in which the judge had an interest if the party knew or through due diligence would have known of the judge's interest;
 - c. to be compensated by judges and their judiciaries for the waste of effort, time, and money needed to write a brief -an appellate brief can cost between \$20,000 and \$100,000- and the foreseeable, thus intentional frustration of the reasonable expectation that the brief would be used as the source of facts and law for judges to administer justice although the judges knew that they would not read them so that requiring a brief and a filing fee was done in bad faith;
 - d. to recuse themselves for engaging in a pattern of coordinated abuse of power, fraud on the public, dereliction of duty, intentional infliction of emotional and financial distress, etc;
 - e. to unscramble the transactions and events based on the now vacated decisions so as to place the parties in the position in which they would be if those decisions had never been issued and to compensate the parties for the unscrambling and when it is not possible; etc.
18. These motions will give rise to a new and high-stakes law practice: public accountability and liability practice. Students who learn in a law clinic to argue them may develop an expertise that they can market to recruiters or use as the foundation of a boutique law firm after graduation. Law schools can attract applicants by making a name for teaching that "Nobody is Above the Law".

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"

You may trigger history and even enter it as a Champion of Justice.

D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Support Judicial Discipline Reform and its [business plan](#) to:

19. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#) * † ♣

20. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§ A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited such a positive reaction that as of 27 January 2025 those who had become subscribers numbered 53,099([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
- a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - a [research center](#) for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;
21. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
22. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
23. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
24. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
25. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & earns Pulitzers*;
26. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.(¶57).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through

either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

E. Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

27. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set its terms and scheduling use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare shout "I accuse!"...You may trigger history and even enter it.

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-

OL3:1740a

*.../OL/....pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

- Judicial Discipline Reform Live 12 6 New
- Dashboard
- Jetpack
- Posts
- Media
- Pages
- Portfolio
- Comments 6
- Feedback
- WooCommerce
- Products
- Payments
- Analytics
- Marketing
- Appearance
- Plugins 3
- Users**
 - All Users
 - Add New User
 - Profile
- Tools
- Settings
- Security 2
- Collapse menu

Users Add New User



Hi, Really Simple Security has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#)

[Maybe later](#)

[Don't show again](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | [Administrator](#) | [Editor](#) | [Author](#) | [Contributor](#) | [Subscriber](#) | [Customer](#) | [Shop manager](#)

Search Users

Bulk actions

[Apply](#)

Change role to...

[Change](#)

53,099 items



1

of 2,655



<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts	SSO Status [?]
<input type="checkbox"/>	1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.be ngira.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.co m	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	1winUp2128Neort	—	1winup2128@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	32350284247	—	s.dj.fhbnjew.31.5@gmail.co m	Subscriber	0	Send invite
<input type="checkbox"/>	36187892923496	—	pe.rs.a.i.t.o.v.2.0@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite

End Page