

20 January 2025

John Scola, Esq.

Law Office of John A. Scola, PLLC, 90 Broad Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10004
tel. (917)423-1445; fax: (914)302-4099; <https://www.johnscolalaw.com/media.html>

Dear Mr. Scola,

1. On your website page “Work with the Law Office of John A. Scola, PLLC” you wrote: “If you are an attorney who has a...case that you feel that you cannot handle on your own, please give us a call.” I am such an attorney. My cases are described in [brief blurbs](#) and longer abstracts of full publishable [articles](#)¹. The most developed and pressing cases deal with very topical subjects:
 - a. evasion of health insurance claims by Medicare and its medical services and equipment providers through their coordinated abusive tactics of “delay, deny, defend”. I filed this case in the U.S. District Court SDNY, to wit, *Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, EmblemHealth* [an insurer with 3+ million members in the tri-state area], *Maximus Federal Services* [an “independent” reviewer of claim denials], and many officers of the Medicare Appeals Council and the Office of Medicare Hearings and Appeals (OMHA); docket no. [24-cv-9778](#)². The [66+ million](#) insureds by Medicare are either old, disabled, or suffer certain chronic diseases. Medicare and its providers count on their lacking the stamina and legal knowledge needed to go through four administrative appeal levels before reaching judicial review in the fifth level. This case is ripe for class action at the most opportune time: when the Luigi Mangione/United Healthcare case has inflamed public opinion against the healthcare industry;
 - b. public corruption involving the fabrication of indictments by ADAs and NYPD officers and detectives with false and insufficient evidence, and its cover-up by grand jury, NYC and NYS administrative, and CA judges; former NYPD Captain and now NYC Mayor Eric Adams; two former NYPD commissioners; two former IAB chiefs; the Commission on Judicial Conduct; the Civilian Complaint Review Board; IGs; etc. I witnessed such fabrication as a grand juror at the Bronx County Supreme Court Criminal Term on May 23 and 24, 2022. Since then, I have built a [robust record](#)³, including my daily emailing currently more than [60 public servants](#): I have sent 12,000+ emails!, which non-coincidentally have remained unanswered. This case also calls for class action on behalf of the likely thousands of people in NYS who have become fabricated indictees through the implementation and cover-up of a state policy coordinated by public servants of the three branches of government.
2. Supporting these cases is my three-volume study of abuse of power, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ‡
3. Many of its chapters are posted to my website, Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org. They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively as to turn into subscribers [53,071+](#) of them.
4. I respectfully offer you and your colleagues and guests to make a presentation on these cases and the proposal for calling for class members and public support through press conferences, the publication of my key articles, and [unprecedented citizens hearings](#). The latter would be held at media stations and university auditoriums, beginning with that of NY Law School, to give abusees the opportunity to tell their [story](#) in person or online to the national public. Many people will hear those stories and cry “*That happened to me too!*” They will ask to be allowed to tell theirs, creating a self-reinforcing virtual circle at ever more venues. So, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare shout “*I accuse!*”...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

† <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-JScolaEsq.pdf>

A. Blurbs of cases for joint prosecution as class actions by a team

1. (cf. ¶9↓) Federal judges **intercept** people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics, as shown by a **statistical analysis**.
2. (cf. ¶10↓) **Indictments fabricated** on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors and police officers, and covered up by criminal term judges, administrative judges, and the judges of the NY State Court of Appeals, which is the highest court in NY.
3. (cf. ¶11↓) **The Math of Abuse** is a mathematical demonstration that judges **do not read** most briefs. It can cause a flood of actions for breach of contract, false advertisement, fraud, dereliction of duty, remand for new trial, null and void case disposition by clerks not vested with judicial power, which is not assignable; demand for refund of filing fees and compensation for causing wasteful litigation expenses; etc.
4. (cf. ¶12↓) Judges in the Federal Judiciary systematically **dismiss 100% of the complaints** filed against fellow judges. Thereby they abuse the self-disciplinary authority that Congress has granted them. By exonerating each other, they ensure their own impunity and elevate themselves to a position that nobody is entitled to occupy in "government by the rule of law": Judges Above the Law.
5. (cf. ¶13↓) The **Follow the Money!** and **Follow the Wire!** investigations([jur:102§a](#); [OL:194§E](#)) will apply forensic **research** techniques, e.g., Fraud and Forensic Accounting, big data search, and AI, to discover assets that judges have grabbed, concealed, evaded taxes on, and handled through money laundering([OL:1](#)).
6. (cf. ¶14↓) Judges' **bankruptcy** fraud **scheme** deals with \$100s of bl. annually. It is **covered up** by the circuit judges who appoint the bankruptcy judges in their circuit for a 14-year term([28 U.S.C. §152](#)) and can reappoint them if the bankruptcy judges share and make "cronies"([jur:32§§2-6](#)) pay-to-play.
7. (cf. ¶15↓) **Medicare** works to maintain in, and increase, its network of thousands of medical services and equipment providers. Together they abuse many of its 67 million insureds, who appeal, if at all, their decisions pro se, for they are sick and cannot afford lawyers. They have little chance of prevailing against the lawyers of Medicare and its providers. The recovery can be huge.
8. (cf. ¶16↓) **Walgreens** had \$139.5 bl. in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards, a bait and switch **scam**. A class action can hold it liable and serve as a test case for suing giant companies that abuse dwarf clients one at a time.

B. Abstracts: a more detailed presentation of the cases for joint prosecution

9. Federal judges **intercept** people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics, as shown by a **statistical analysis**. They have the technical expertise and equipment infrastructure to run a national **IT** network that allows filing, storing, and retrieving hundreds of millions of briefs, motions, records, petitions, applications, orders, decisions, reports, statistics, dockets, schedules, and emails to and from their case management and electronic case filing system([CM/ECF](#)) administered by **PACER** (Public Access to Court Electronic Records).
 - a. The judges wield devastating decisional and retaliatory power over Internet-controlling companies([Lsch:17§C](#)), which they can refrain from wielding if the companies assist them in their interception([OL:5fn7](#)).
 - b. The U.S. Postal Service's "**Informed Delivery**" service shows that the technology to intercept mail is in use([OL3:1304¶20](#)). Register to be emailed every morning a photo of the front side of your mail for that day. Amazing computing power is needed to identify your mail since

The WordPress Admin Bar is visible at the top of the page. It includes the WordPress logo, the site name "Judicial Discipline Reform", a "Live" status indicator, and navigation icons for posts, comments, and a "New" button. The user's name "Howdy, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq." is displayed on the right, along with "Screen Options" and "Help" dropdown menus.

https://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/users.php

Other favorites

Other favorites

Judicial Discipline Reform Live 12 6 + New

Howdy, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Screen Options

Help

Dashboard

Jetpack

Posts

Media

Pages

Portfolio

Comments 6

Feedback

WooCommerce

Products

Payments

Analytics

Marketing

Appearance

Plugins 3

Users

All Users

Add New User

Profile

Tools

Settings

Security 2

Users

Add New User



Hi, Really Simple Security has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on [WordPress.org](#) to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

Leave a review

Maybe later

Don't show again

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | Administrator | Editor | Author | Contributor | Subscriber | Customer | Shop manager

Search Users

Bulk actions

Apply

Change role to...

Change

53,081 items

<<

<

1

of 2,655

>

>>

☐	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts	SSO Status [?]
☐	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite !
☐	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite !
☐	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0	Send invite !
☐	 1winUp2128N	—	1winup2128@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite !

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

3 January 2025

NYPD Interim IAB Chief Edward Thompson
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10259-0001
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org

NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch
NY Police Department
One Police Plaza, NY, NY 10038-1403
tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865

Dear Chief Thompson and Commissioner Tisch,⁺

infra, page #

1. This is an appeal to the sincerity of your statement repeated on various occasions that no task is more important to you than to restore ethical behavior among police officers and earn back public trust in the NYPD. This appeal concerns [fabricated indictments](#) based on false and insufficient 1618 evidence presented to grand juries by prosecutors, police officers, and [detectives](#), and [covered up](#) 1559; 1525.a 1557.a by [judges](#). I witnessed such fabrication first-hand as a [grand juror](#) at the [Supreme Court](#), Bronx 1506; 1571 1497; 1650 County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451, on May 23 and 24, 2022.
2. After their presentation, ADA [B. Namani](#) and Supervisor [D. Jetta](#) asked the jurors whether they 1558.a had questions. I asked some pointing to the lack of evidence that a crime had taken place at all, let alone a murder, much less by the two indictees: There was no witness to the crime; no footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The foot- age of the restaurants flanking the street did not show a crowd of onlookers or CSI vehicles. The indictment was sought for plea bargain leverage in reliance on grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment: "An ADA can indict a ham sandwich". On May 25, I was summoned before Grand Jury Judge Laurence [Busching](#), who discharged me on the spot without even bringing in the people 1574 who supposedly had complained that 'my questions were making the other grand jurors uncomfortable'. I wrote a [4,743-word](#), 8-page sworn statement and mailed it on May 27, to Admin- 1507 1573 istrative Judge Alvin [Yearwood](#), who only forwarded it to [Judge Busching](#). [Order](#) a copy from me. 1502; 1514¶2
3. For more than 2½ years, I have made numerous phone calls, whose [dates](#) I have, as I do the names 1562 1562; 1518 of those who have given me the runaround; and [mailed letters](#) requesting an [investigation](#), includ- 1512; 1514 ing those to former NYPD Commissioners Keechant [Sewell](#) and Edward [Caban](#), and IAB Chiefs 1518; 1558 1558.a; 1497 Miguel [Iglesias](#) and David [Barrere](#). I have emailed [public officers](#) daily, now more than 30, sending 1559; 1568 more than [11,500 emails](#)! To no avail, for I have not received even an acknowledgment of receipt 1622.1 from the NYPD, not even after my letter to the Civilian Complaint [Review Board](#) was forwarded 1558.b by its Director of Case Management, Eschwarie [Mahadeo](#), to IAB, a [complained about party](#). 1701; cf. 1773
4. This is the most propitious time to expose [NYPD corruption](#) that has sent thousands to jail and/or 1521 ruined them financially and reputationally based on indictments that police officers from the top down have fabricated and [covered up](#) in coordination with ADAs and judges: Karen Friedman 1568 [Agnifilo, Esq.](#), attorney for Luigi Mangione, has complained that police and other public officers 1769 are engaging in conduct that deprives her client of due process. She will depict them as so corrupt and unreliable that the jury pool and the seated jury should deem their charges of terrorism inflated; and blame the [health industry's greed](#) for his temporary insanity. That will lead to jury nullification. 1621
5. I will expose the link between the fabricated indictments and the Mangione case -see my [Medi- 1770 care case-](#) on my website, Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org. There I post some of my [articles](#)¹. They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed so positively so many educated and influential ones willing to read 1,000+-word articles that as of yesterday, [53,017](#) had become sub- 1774.a sscribers. You can complicitly join the [cover-up](#) of fabricated indictments, as your [colleagues](#) have, 1652 or get ahead of our [exposure](#). I offer to make a presentation on the latter to you and your guests. 1619

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and even enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

OL3:1774

⁺ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf
^{*} http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

12 January 2025

NYPD Interim IAB Chief Edward Thompson
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10259-0001
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org

NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch
NY Police Department
One Police Plaza, NY, NY 10038-1403
tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865

Dear Chief Thompson and Commissioner Tisch,[‡]

1. I sent you a letter([OL3:1774 infra](#)) by USPS Priority Mail([1776a-e](#)) concerning IAB's inaction for over 2½ years on my complaint about the fabrication that I witnessed as a grand juror of indictments on false and insufficient evidence by Bronx ADAs and NYPD police officers and detectives, and their cover-up by NYS judges and former NYPD captain, now NYC Mayor Eric Adams ([1510](#)). I filed my complaint by phone with many IAB officers and detectives([1557a](#); [1559](#)), who gave me the runaround and treated me disrespectfully. I complained in writing to your two predecessors, Chief David Barrere([OL3:1497](#)) and Chief Miguel Iglesias([1558a](#)). I also complained to, among many others([1561](#)), Jonathan Darche, Esq., Executive Director of the Civilian Complaint Review Board([1558b](#)). His Director of Case Management, Eshwarie Mahadeo, replied thus([1701](#)):

Some allegations do not fall under the jurisdiction of the CCRB and fall under the jurisdiction of another entity, which is the case here. As a result, we are forwarding your complaint to the Internal Affairs Bureau, so they can take appropriate action. Please expect to hear from someone from that organization who will be investigating your incident.

2. It is quite suspicious that the CCRB should have irresponsibly dismissed my complaint without any investigation and referred it to an entity, IAB, of which I was complaining due to its being involved in the cover-up. Additional confirmation of such involvement comes from IAB's frustration of Director Mahadeo's 'expectation that I would hear from someone from that organization': No one has contacted me in over five months. Moreover, I have emailed addressees with investigative authority([1559](#), [1568](#), [1620](#), [1653](#)) daily for more than two years. By now, I have sent well over 11,500 emails! But nobody has replied. This confirms that theirs is a *coordinated cover-up*.
3. Also suspicious is the fact that my letter to you sent by Priority Mail was addressed to IAB's official mail address, to wit, PO Box 10001, NY, NY 10014([1776a](#)). To create the shipping label, I entered that address on the website label form of the USPS, which reformatted it automatically. I printed the label, affixed it to the Priority Mail envelope, and handed the latter to a USPS clerk that very same day, i.e., January 3. Its tracking report shows that it was kept going back and forth between the same USPS facilities. Yesterday, Saturday, January 11, eight days after I mailed you my two-day Priority Mail, I was notified that "Your item was returned to the sender on January 11, 2025; at 11:33 am in NEW YORK, NY 10007 because it could not be delivered as addressed."
4. In the same vein, the letter that I sent by Priority Mail the same day to your appointer, NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch, was kept going back and forth between USPS facilities until it was delivered seven days later, on January 10. I have sent numerous other letters by Priority Mail and by regular mail after buying a Certificate of Mailing([1498](#), [1563a](#), [1563b](#), [1576b](#), [1576c](#), [1626](#); [1653a](#)), not to mention those that I have sent without such Certificate, but I have not received any response, other than the suspicious one from CCRB. Under 18 U.S.C. §1961(5), the formation of a pattern "requires at least two acts...within ten years". There is a pattern here. It results from coordination.
5. If you are committed to ensuring the ethical, and all the more so the lawful, conduct of NYPD officers, as is Comm. Tisch, I respectfully request that you ask me in to discuss this complaint.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

OL3:1776

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf



NYPD

Search

Patrol Transit, Housing & Transportation Investigative Administrative

Select ▼

- Counterterrorism
- Crime Control Strategies
- Detectives
- Intelligence
- Internal Affairs

Internal Affairs

Chief of Internal Affairs: Edward A. Thompson

The Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) is dedicated to preserving integrity, which is critical to the function of the Police Department, and fighting corruption within the NYPD. The department cannot successfully serve the public without maintaining the public's trust. IAB helps to ensure that trust by detecting, investigating, and bringing to justice the small number of New York City police officers and civilians who engage in misconduct and corruption.

IAB operates its Command Center 24 hours a day, seven days a week. The bureau can receive complaints from the public and NYPD service members by telephone, email, and mail. Allegations of corruption can also be reported in person at any NYPD facility. **Individuals who filed a complaint, can check on the status of their complaint by contacting the Internal Affairs Bureau.** The department also maintains a non-recorded anonymous tip-line.

While IAB's investigations are not made available to the public, the NYC Commission to Combat Police Corruption provides oversight and a level of transparency through continual evaluation of the NYPD's anti-corruption programs and efforts.

Contact Information

Email: IAB@NYPD.org

Phone: 212-741-8401

Mail: PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014

In Person: IAB
315 Hudson Street
New York, NY 10014

Individuals can file a complaint with the [Civilian Complaint Review Board](#), an independent city agency that handles alleged police misconduct in the areas of force, abuse of authority, discourtesy, and offensive language.

Complaints may also be filed in person at any NYPD precinct or police facility. [Click here to find your precinct.](#)

**UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®**

Click-N-Ship®

P

usps.com

\$8.68

US POSTAGE

9405 5301 0935 5083 9657 36 0086 8001 0001 0259



01/03/2025

Mailed from 10472 367055522381548

PRIORITY MAIL®

JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM
DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ
2165 BRUCKNER BLVD
BRONX NY 10472-6506


01/06/2025
Flat Rate Envelope
RDC 03

B380



NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT
INTERIM IAB CHIEF EDWARD THOMPSON
PO BOX 10001
NEW YORK NY 10259-0001

USPS TRACKING #



9405 5301 0935 5083 9657 36





Cut on dotted line.

Instructions

1. Please use a laser or laser-quality printer.
2. Adhere shipping label to package with tape or glue - DO NOT TAPE OVER BARCODE. Be sure all edges are secure. Self-adhesive label is recommended.
3. Place label so that it does not wrap around the edge of the package.
4. Each shipping label number is unique and can be used only once - DO NOT PHOTOCOPY.
5. Please use this shipping label on the "Ship date" selected when you requested the label.
6. If a mailing receipt is required, present the article and Online e-Label Record at a Post Office for postmark.

9405 5301 0935 5083 9657 36	PRIORITY MAIL®	\$8.68
Print Date: 2025-01-03	Extra Services:	\$0.00
Ship Date: 2025-01-03	Fees:	\$0.00
	Total:	\$8.68

From: JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM
DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ
2165 BRUCKNER BLVD
BRONX NY 10472-6506

To: NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT
INTERIM IAB CHIEF EDWARD THOMPSON
PO BOX 10001
NEW YORK NY 10259-0001

* Commercial Pricing PRIORITY MAIL® rates apply. There is no fee for USPS Tracking® service on PRIORITY MAIL® service with use of this electronic rate shipping label. Refunds for unused postage paid labels can be requested online 30 days from the print date.



Thank you for shipping with the United States Postal Service!
Check the status of your shipment on the USPS Tracking® page at usps.com

Tracking Number:

Remove X

4201025900019405530109355083965736

Copy Add to Informed Delivery (<https://informedelivery.usps.com/>)

Latest Update

Your item was returned to the sender on January 11, 2025 at 11:33 am in NEW YORK, NY 10007 because it could not be delivered as addressed.

Get More Out of USPS Tracking:

USPS Tracking Plus®

Feedback

Alert

Return to Sender

NEW YORK, NY 10007
January 11, 2025, 11:33 am

NOTE by Dr. Cordero: As of Friday, 24 January 2025, at 10:00 a.m., the item had not been returned to me. Why? Who has it?

Processing at USPS Facility

NEW YORK, NY 10007
January 11, 2025, 11:19 am

Arrived at Post Office

NEW YORK, NY 10007
January 11, 2025, 5:38 am

Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER
January 10, 2025, 5:21 pm

Arrived at USPS Facility

NEW YORK, NY 10007

January 10, 2025, 2:08 am

Departed USPS Regional Facility

NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER

January 10, 2025, 1:46 am

In Transit to Next Facility

January 9, 2025

Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER

January 8, 2025, 4:39 pm

Arrived at USPS Facility

NEW YORK, NY 10007

January 8, 2025, 1:57 am

Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER

January 6, 2025, 3:14 pm

Arrived at USPS Facility

NEW YORK, NY 10007

January 5, 2025, 5:48 am

Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER

January 4, 2025, 3:19 am

Departed Post Office

BRONX, NY 10462

January 3, 2025, 6:21 pm

USPS in possession of item

BRONX, NY 10462

January 3, 2025, 5:16 pm

Shipping Label Created, USPS Awaiting Item

BRONX, NY 10472

January 3, 2025, 3:30 pm

Pre-Shipment, USPS Awaiting Item

January 3, 2025

[What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean?](https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package) (https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package)

Text & Email Updates



USPS Tracking Plus®



Product Information



See Less ^

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

Need More Help?

Contact USPS Tracking support for further assistance.

FAQs

**UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®**

Click-N-Ship®

P

usps.com

\$8.68

US POSTAGE

01/03/2025

Mailed from 10472 425375606211983

9405 5301 0935 5083 9657 43 0086 8001 0001 0038



PRIORITY MAIL®

JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM
DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ
2165 BRUCKNER BLVD
BRONX NY 10472-6506


01/06/2025
Flat Rate Envelope
RDC 03

C036



NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT
COMMISSIONER JESSICA TISCH
1 POLICE PLZ
NEW YORK NY 10038-1403

USPS TRACKING #



9405 5301 0935 5083 9657 43





Cut on dotted line.

Instructions

1. Please use a laser or laser-quality printer.
2. Adhere shipping label to package with tape or glue - DO NOT TAPE OVER BARCODE. Be sure all edges are secure. Self-adhesive label is recommended.
3. Place label so that it does not wrap around the edge of the package.
4. Each shipping label number is unique and can be used only once - DO NOT PHOTOCOPY.
5. Please use this shipping label on the "ship date" selected when you requested the label.
6. If a mailing receipt is required, present the article and Online e-Label Record at a Post Office for postmark.

9405 5301 0935 5083 9657 43

Print Date: 2025-01-03

Ship Date: 2025-01-03

PRIORITY MAIL®

Extra Services:

Fees:

Total:

\$8.68

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$8.68

From:

TO:

JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM
DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ
2165 BRUCKNER BLVD
BRONX NY 10472-6506

NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT
COMMISSIONER JESSICA TISCH
1 POLICE PLZ
NEW YORK NY 10038-1403

* Commercial Pricing PRIORITY MAIL® rates apply. There is no fee for USPS Tracking® service on PRIORITY MAIL® service with use of this electronic rate shipping label. Refunds for unused postage paid labels can be requested online 30 days from the print date.

Tracking Number:

Remove X

4201003814039405530109355083965743

Copy Add to Informed Delivery (<https://informedelivery.usps.com/>)

Latest Update

Your item was delivered in or at the mailbox at 10:12 am on January 10, 2025 in NEW YORK, NY 10038.

Get More Out of USPS Tracking:

USPS Tracking Plus®

Delivered

Delivered, In/At Mailbox

NEW YORK, NY 10038
January 10, 2025, 10:12 am

NOTE by Dr. Cordero: Why did it take this two-day priority mail item seven days to be delivered to a contiguous county of New York City?

Out for Delivery

NEW YORK, NY 10038
January 10, 2025, 6:10 am

Arrived at Post Office

NEW YORK, NY 10007
January 10, 2025, 2:26 am

Arrived at USPS Facility

NEW YORK, NY 10007
January 9, 2025, 6:23 am

Departed USPS Regional Facility

NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER
January 9, 2025, 5:52 am

Feedback

- **Arrived at USPS Regional Facility**
NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER
January 8, 2025, 1:01 pm
- **In Transit to Next Facility**
January 7, 2025
- **Arrived at USPS Facility**
NEW YORK, NY 10038
January 6, 2025, 1:04 am
- **Arrived at USPS Facility**
NEW YORK, NY 10007
January 5, 2025, 5:48 am
- **Arrived at USPS Regional Facility**
NEW YORK NY DISTRIBUTION CENTER
January 4, 2025, 3:20 am
- **Departed Post Office**
BRONX, NY 10462
January 3, 2025, 6:21 pm
- **USPS in possession of item**
BRONX, NY 10462
January 3, 2025, 5:16 pm
- **Shipping Label Created, USPS Awaiting Item**
BRONX, NY 10472
January 3, 2025, 3:30 pm
- **Pre-Shipment, USPS Awaiting Item**
January 3, 2025
- **Hide Tracking History**

What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean? (<https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package>)

Text & Email Updates



USPS Tracking Plus®



Exhibits 1:

Letters to and from judges and
other authorities

¹ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

FOR COPIES OF THIS BOOKLET CALL:
1-800-NY-JUROR, 1-800-695-8767

OR ORDER ON THE WEB:
WWW.NYJUROR.GOV

Revised March 15, 2017

MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUDGE



Thank you for being here today to participate ^{1.} in the work of the New York State courts. As grand jurors, you now are a part of our criminal justice system.

All across our great state, in courthouses from ^{2.} Riverhead on Long Island to Buffalo on the shores of Lake Erie, grand juries uphold the laws of the land by indicting those individuals believed to have committed crimes and protecting the rights of others against unfounded accusations. ^{3.}

We are keenly aware that New Yorkers have busy lives and we ^{3.} recognize that you have many demands on your time. Knowing that, over the past decade, we have transformed the jury system by increasing the jury pool and reducing frequency of jury service, in order to make service more convenient.

Grand jury presentations range from more than 5,000 a year in the ^{4.} most populated boroughs of New York City to just a handful in our least populous counties. To keep our vast justice system moving swiftly, fairly, and efficiently requires a dedicated corps of judges, attorneys, court administrators and grand jurors just like you.

Your mission is two-fold: on the one hand, to use your power as ^{5.} grand jurors to investigate crimes and hand up an indictment when sufficient evidence exists to do so; on the other, when the evidence is insufficient, to protect people from unfounded criminal accusations by not handing up an indictment. ^{6.}

Like voting, grand jury service gives you the opportunity to participate ^{6.} – in a very direct and personal way – in our democracy. For this brief period of time, you will be performing an important, essential public service. ^{7.}

As Chief Judge, and on behalf of all the members of the judiciary, ^{7.} thank you for your participation in the pursuit of justice.

A handwritten signature in dark ink that reads "Janet DiFiore". The signature is fluid and cursive.

Janet DiFiore

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

May 28, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207
tel.: (518)455-7700

Chief Administrative Judge
Lawrence K. Marks
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle St., Albany, NY 12207
tel.: (212)428-2120;
ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov

Deputy Chief Administrative
Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Supreme Court, New York
County, Civil Term
60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007
tel.: (646)386-5567

Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014
tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org,
IABCmdCntr@nypd.org

Sherrill Spatz, Esq. Carol M. Hamm, Esq.
Inspector General Deputy Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
IG@nycourts.gov

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

1. I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties. I have written down that information in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.*
2. The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. Therefore, I respectfully request that you contact Judge Yearwood and ask that he release to you a copy of my Application so that you may promptly start your joint and several investigation of it given that each of you is an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon” such information.
3. Indeed, I have a legal and ethical duty to bring this information to your attention. That duty flows from NY Rules Of [Professional Conduct](#) (22 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 1200), which provides thus:

Rule. 8.3. REPORTING PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT(a). A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer shall report such knowledge to a tribunal or other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation.
4. Conversely, Judge Yearwood has a duty under the Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge PART 100. [Judicial Conduct](#), (C) Administrative Responsibilities.(1) to “diligently discharge the judge's administrative responsibilities without bias or prejudice” to protect fellow judges, staff, and others, and “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business.”
5. I also have a civic duty to report this information to you. That duty has been repeatedly declared and instilled in the citizenry in the guiding principle of civic conduct, “If you see something, say something”. I did see something...and then some...so I am saying it to you.
6. After you request from Judge Yearwood my Application and I receive from you an order to discuss it with you in person in NYC or by video conference, I will comply. I look forward to your acknowledgment of receipt of this letter and your statement of what you intend to do about it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

[(718)618-3000]



Greg Johnson, ACE [Acting Bureau Chief]
Doc Liaison

Lamar Decasseres, EMC [Bureau Chief]
Trial Support
[of Supreme Court,
Bronx County Criminal Term]



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

05/27/2022 05:59 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Large Envelope Bronx, NY 10451 Weight: 0 lb 2.60 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$1.56

Grand Total: \$1.56

Credit Card Remitted \$1.56
Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXX2499
Approval #: 05007P
Transaction #: 856
AID: A0000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Every household in the U.S. is now
eligible to receive a third set
of 8 free test kits.
Go to www.covidtests.gov

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-51000087-1-5405554-2
Clerk: 77

CORNELL
1950 LAFAYETTE AVE
BRONX, NY 10473-9998
(800)275-8777

05/28/2022 03:34 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10014 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58

First-Class Mail® Letter Albany, NY 12207 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter Albany, NY 12207 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10007 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

Grand Total: \$3.48

Credit Card Remitted \$3.48
Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXX9750
Approval #: 06340P
Transaction #: 318
AID: A0000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Every household in the U.S. is now
eligible to receive a third set
of 8 free test kits.
Go to www.covidtests.gov

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350923-0113
Receipt #: 840-51000107-4-7346245-2
Clerk: 3

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

June 18, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, NY 12207 tel.: (518)455-7700	Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks, NYS Unified Court System 25 Beaver St., NY, NY 10004; tel. (212) 428-2120; question@nycourts.gov ; ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov ,	Deputy Chief Administrative Judge Deborah A. Kaplan Supreme Court, NY County, Civil Term, 60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007; tel.: (646)386-5567
Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere Internal Affairs Bureau NY Police Department PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014 tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org , IABCmdCntr@nypd.org	Sherrill Spatz, Esq. Inspector General Office of Court Administration 25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004 tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158 IG@nycourts.gov	Carol M. Hamm, Esq. Deputy Inspector General 25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004 tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158 IG@nycourts.gov

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letter to you dated May 28, where I informed you that after I had entered an official position, I acquired information of substantial importance that you should without delay investigate as part of your official duties. I wrote down that information in an eight-page sworn “Emergency Application” consisting of 4,743 words, dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have received no letter acknowledging your receipt of mine. Yet, that information concerns criminal matters. It is so sensitive that the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties and even imprisonment for the unauthorized disclosure of it. That should have alerted you to the need to act “[efficiently and effectively](#)” because you have a duty to “[diligently discharge \[your\] responsibilities](#)” and Judge Yearwood has a duty to “[cooperate](#) with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. Moreover, you have the means of calling him and meeting virtually with him and others in a teleconference, as follows from Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks’ [2021 Annual Report](#) on the NYS UCS. There he highlights that “we adopted and then mastered virtual technology to...ensure access to justice in the broadest range of cases”.

Chief DiFiore’s [Excellence Initiative](#) can only succeed if it promotes people’s trust in officers with the integrity needed to deliver the “level of justice services people have a right to expect and deserve”. That trust has been so battered as to spark the movement against police brutality and for defunding the police. The information at stake can so outrage people as to cause them to extend their distrust to prosecutors and judges. The suspicion that inaction is the result of a cover-up among the three branches will exacerbate such outrage. If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their individual and official capacities can be sued and held liable.

Thus, I respectfully request that you inform me of the action that you have taken and intend to take concerning the information at stake. Conversely, I inform you that I am asking political leaders to do likewise by contacting you. Motivated by either principles or opportunism, whether to protect their constituents, in general, and those already, and yet to be, victimized, in particular, or to further their own careers, they may cause you to “diligently discharge [your] responsibilities”.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

June 24, 2022

c/o: Mr. Frank Carone, Chief of Staff
Mayor Eric L. Adams
City Hall
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212) 639-9675

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa L Gibson, Diana Ayala,
Eric Dinowitz, Kevin C. Riley, Marjorie Velázquez, Pie-
rina Ana Sanchez, Oswald Feliz, Althea Stevens, Rafael
Salamanca, Jr., Amanda Farías; Bronx Advocate Socrates
Solano; and NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams

Dear Mayor Adams, Mr. Carone, and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties to protect your constituents and hold public officers accountable. I have written down that information in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in investigating one’s friends and colleagues, and thus incriminating oneself, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder[‡]. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, J. Yearwood has a duty to “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. You are an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Therefore, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation separately or jointly with other Bronx district leaders. The onus to investigate is now on you.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “diligently” and “efficiently and effectively” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of top national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that published on September 28, 2021, and titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all officers, including you, will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

June 24, 2022

c/o: Mr. Rance Huff, Chief of Staff
Mr. Jumaane D. Williams
NYC Public Advocate
1 Centre Street, 15th Floor
NY, NY 10007; tel. (212)669-7200

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa L Gibson, Diana Ayala,
Eric Dinowitz, Kevin C. Riley, Marjorie Velázquez,
Pierina Ana Sanchez, Oswald Feliz, Althea Stevens,
Rafael Salamanca, Jr., Amanda Farías; Bronx Advocate
Socrates Solano; and NYC Mayor Eric L. Adams

Dear Mr. Williams, Mr. Huff, and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement titled “Emergency Application” and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.* Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in investigating one’s colleagues and friends, and thus incriminating oneself, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder[‡]. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, Judge Yearwood has a duty to “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. You are an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Therefore, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation separately or jointly with other Bronx district leaders. The onus to investigate is now on you.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “diligently” and “efficiently and effectively” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that of September 28, 2021, titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held by themselves and friends unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all officers, including you, will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡] <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCPublicAdvocate.pdf>

Supreme Court
of the
State of New York



LAURENCE E. BUSCHING
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

CHAMBERS
BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE
265 EAST 161ST STREET
BRONX, NEW YORK 10451

June 29, 2022

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.

Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.

Very truly yours,

Laurence E. Busching

Laurence E. Busching, AJSC

July 1, 2022

Justine Olderman, Esq.
Executive Director
The [Bronx Defenders](#)
360 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451
tel: (718)838-7878

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa [Gibson](#), Diana [Ayala](#), Eric [Dinowitz](#), Kevin [Riley](#), Marjorie [Velázquez](#), Pierina Ana [Sanchez](#), Oswald [Feliz](#), Althea [Stevens](#), Rafael [Salamanca](#), Amanda [Fariás](#); Bronx Advocate Socrates [Solano](#); and NYC Mayor Eric [Adams](#) and Public Advocate Jumaane [Williams](#)

Dear Director Olderman and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your criminal defense practice. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement titled “Emergency Application” and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.* Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in people investigating their colleagues and friends, and thus incriminating themselves, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder[‡]. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, Judge Yearwood has a duty to “[cooperate](#) with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. Others are an “authority [empowered to investigate](#) or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Hence, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation [separately or jointly](#) with Bronx districts and NYC leaders for your own and your constituents’ sake.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “[diligently](#)” and “[efficiently and effectively](#)” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that of September 28, 2021, titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a [Financial Interest](#)” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held by themselves and friends unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all those officers will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to them, money should be to you: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice. So, let’s talk.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Bronx_Defenders.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 4, 2022

Mr. Kevin Rothermel, Acting Bronx County
Clerk/Bronx Commissioner of Jurors
851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451
bronxjury@nycourts.gov; tel.: (718)618-3360

Ms. Jocelyn E. Strauber, Commissioner of
Investigation; NYC Department of Investigation
180 Maiden Lane, 16th Fl.; New York, NY 10038
Tel. (212)825-5959; Fax (212)825-2504


Dear Mr. Rothermel, Commissioner of Jurors, and Ms. Strauber,[‡]

The image hereunder depicts the NYS check that I received as a grand juror in Bronx. I object to both the amount paid for my service and the circumstances that caused it to last only four days, although the term of service was 20 days and I had made arrangements, and was able and willing, to serve it. Those circumstances are inextricably intertwined with the substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that I acquired as a grand juror. The Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of such information. Prudently, I stated those circumstances and information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn "Emergency Application" of May 26, 2022. I submitted it to, and it was received on May 31* by, Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700...to no avail.

I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and the six judicial and police officers addressed below to send you a copy of my "Emergency Application" so that you may conduct an investigation [separately or jointly](#) with them and the Bronx districts and NYC leaders named infra.

You should conduct that investigation not only on my behalf and that of third parties, but also for your own sake: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Those are precedents.

The below image of the check indicates that it must be cashed within 180 days. To mitigate damages, I will cash it, and will do so without prejudice, and reserving all my rights, to demand the payment of the full term of service and further compensatory damages on my behalf and that of third parties. Meantime, I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.



Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

July 11, 2022

Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood
Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term
265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451

Dear Judge Yearwood[‡]

1. I mailed you with date of May 26, 2022, and you received on May 30, a writing thus titled:

**Emergency Application
of Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., for his reinstalment in
Panel A of the current grand jury,
which is seated for the period May 23-June 17, 2022,
after the peremptory discharge of him by
Grand Jury Judge Laurence E. Busching upon the statements to him of
ADAs and grand jurors accusing Dr. Cordero in his absence;
for preservation of evidence; and other relief action**

2. Since then, I have had to make numerous calls to your chambers at (718)618-3700 to request from your assistants Ms. Dana and Ms. Stephanie to speak with you and ask for an answer from you to the “Emergency Application”; to no avail. To the same end, I had to call at (718)618-3000 Chief Clerk Michelle Foggie; Mr. Greg Johnson, Acting Bureau Chief Document Liaison; and Mr. Lamar Decassures, Bureau Chief Trial Support. They too were unable to induce you to contact me.
3. However, now, a month later and without even recognizing my writing as an “Emergency Application”, Judge Busching mailed me a letter whose full text is the following:

Supreme Court
of the State of New York
LAURENCE E. BUSCHING
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

CHAMBER'S
BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE
265 EAST 161st STREET
BRONX, NEW YORK 10451

June 29, 2022

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.

Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.

Very truly yours,
/s/ Laurence E. Busching, AJSC

4. My “Emergency Application” consists of 8 pages and 4,743 words. It states in its very title that it deals, in addition with my request for reinstatement in the grand jury, with the substantive issues of genuine material and legal importance of “the peremptory discharge of [me] by Grand Jury Judge Busching upon the statements to him of ADAs and grand jurors accusing Dr. Cordero in his absence; for preservation of evidence; and other relief action”.
5. More importantly, the “Emergency Application” deals with the accusation of murder against two people and their probable incarceration. The ADA who presented the indictment to Panel A of the

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Administrative_JudgeAYearwood.pdf

grand jury on May 23 and 24, 2022, failed to connect to the alleged murder the only two pieces of “evidence”, i.e., a pair of sneakers and of jeans shown on photos, so that they were irrelevant as they lacked any probative value. None of the 12 videos and pictures showed the scene of the alleged crime, the alleged victim lying on the street, or the autopsy report; and the NYPD police officers and detectives who testified before the grand jury did not even allege to have seen any of that. No bystander, nearby storeowner, or relative of the alleged victim was brought to testify. The alleged victim was seen on a video walking on the sidewalk with a friend, who was the only civilian to testify although he could not identify the alleged murderers or provide a composite of them. No murder weapon was recovered and no motive for the murder was alleged. The “evidence” and the testimony could only permit of one conclusion: *no murder had occurred!* Once more, an ADA had abused uncritical and indifferent grand jurors ‘to indict a sandwich’...and how many people?

6. A person guided by “common sense and good judgment” (Grand Juror’s Handbook, p.10), and especially officers of the court charged with administering justice, would have realized the emergency situation at hand: They would have promptly undertaken a determination of whether there was probable cause to free those two accused people immediately as well as people who like them had been accused and even incarcerated for crimes that they could not possibly have committed because the alleged crimes had not even occurred or the alleged “evidence” was totally irrelevant.
7. Judge Busching’s letter to me was non-responsive. Justice Thurgood Marshall put it this way in his dissent in *Greenholtz v. Inmates of the Nebraska Penal & Correctional Complex*, 442 U.S. 1, 40 (1979): “[A]n inability to provide any reasons suggests that the decision is, in fact, arbitrary”.
8. You had the duty to investigate the “Emergency Application” and do so with due diligence. You and J. Busching waited until Panel A’s term had expired to make my request for grand jury reinstatement moot and pretend that was the only issue. You committed bad faith and dereliction of duty.
9. You referred the “Emergency Application” to Judge Busching although he had every interest in not incriminating himself or causing ADAs, NYPD officers and detectives, and grand jurors to incriminate him if he faulted any of them. Self-servingly and inexcusably, you disregarded the millennial principle of judicial process “nobody can be a fair and impartial judge in his own cause”.
10. If you investigated the “evidence” and the transcripts of the proceedings before the grand jury and Judge Busching referred to in the “Application”, you knew how incriminating they were. That knowledge should have prevented you from referring it to him. If you failed to perform that investigation, you engaged in willful blindness and ignorance. Applying the principle, “People are deemed to intend the foreseeable consequences of their acts”, you complicitly intended a cover-up.
11. You, ADAs, witnesses, jurors, and Judge Busching have inflicted injury in fact on the two people referred to in ¶5 above; others similarly accused and incarcerated before and since then; and me. There is precedent that you have made applicable to you: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.*[‡] that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.
12. Hence, I respectfully request that you disqualify them and recuse yourself from any involvement in the “Emergency Application” and refer it, the “evidence”, and the transcripts to Chief Judge Janet DiFiori; Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence Marks; NYC Administrative Judge Deborah Kaplan; NYPD IAB Chief David Barrere; and Inspectors General Sherrill Spatz and Carol Hamm.

cc: Judge Laurence Busching

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, NY 12207 tel.: (518)455-7700	Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks, NYS Unified Court System 25 Beaver St., NY, NY 10004; tel. (212) 428-2120; question@nycourts.gov ; ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov	Deputy Chief Administrative Judge Deborah A. Kaplan Supreme Court, NY County, Civil Term, 60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007; tel.: (646)386-5567
Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere Internal Affairs Bureau NY Police Department PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014 tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org , IABCmdCntr@nypd.org	Sherrill Spatz, Esq. Inspector General Office of Court Administration 25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004 tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158 IG@nycourts.gov	Carol M. Hamm, Esq. Deputy Inspector General 25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004 tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158 IG@nycourts.gov

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letters of May 28 and June 18 and numerous calls, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized.

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Busching, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment on it are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may investigate it without further delay. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will promptly comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches of government. If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

c/o: Mr. Frank Carone, Chief of Staff
NYC Mayor Eric L. Adams
City Hall
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212)639-9675; <https://www1.nyc.gov/office-of-the-mayor/mayor-contact.page>

Dear Mayor Adams and Mr. Carone,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letter of last June 24, reproduced on the back hereof, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized. If you were the target of an unfounded accusation, never mind if as a result you were committed to Rikers, you would want and expect public officers with authority to help you to proceed with a sense of urgency and personal responsibility. This should be quite understandable since you too must know the outrageous amount of abuse, violence, and humiliation that fester in Rikers.

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Busching, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment on it are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may promptly begin your investigation. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of those branches of government protecting themselves rather than the people that they are sworn to protect.

If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.

Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely,
Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

c/o: Mr. Rance Huff, Chief of Staff
Mr. Jumaane D. Williams, NYC Public Advocate
1 Centre Street, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212)669-7200; <https://www.pubadvocate.nyc.gov/contact/>

Dear Mr. Williams and Mr. Huff,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letter of last June 24, reproduced on the back hereof, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized. If you were the target of an unfounded accusation, never mind if as a result you were committed to Rikers, you would want and expect public officers with authority to help you to proceed with a sense of urgency and personal responsibility. This should be quite understandable since you too must know the outrageous amount of abuse, violence, and humiliation that fester in Rikers.

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Bushing, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment thereon are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may promptly begin your investigation. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of those branches of government protecting themselves rather than the people that they are sworn to protect.

If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.

Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCPublic_Advocate.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

Ms. Jocelyn E. Strauber
Commissioner of Investigation
NYC Department of Investigation
180 Maiden Lane, 16th Fl.
New York, NY 10038
tel. (212)825-5959; fax (212)825-2504

Mr. Kevin Rothermel
Acting Bronx County Clerk/Bronx
Commissioner of Jurors
851 Grand Concourse
Bronx, NY 10451
bronxjury@nycourts.gov; tel. (718)618-3360

Dear Mr. Rothermel, Commissioner of Jurors, and Ms. Strauber,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letter of 4 instant, reproduced on the back hereof, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized. If you were the target of an unfounded accusation, never mind if as a result you were committed to Rikers, you would want and expect public officers with authority to help you to proceed with a sense of urgency and personal responsibility. This should be quite understandable since you too must know the outrageous amount of abuse, violence, and humiliation that fester in Rikers

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Busching, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment on it are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may promptly begin your investigation. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of those branches of government protecting themselves rather than the people that they are sworn to protect.

If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.

Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

July 27, 2022

Taylor D. Gibson, Esq.
Investigator Hart
Office of the Inspector General for the NYPD
tel. 1(212)825-5959; OIGNYPDcomplaints@doi.nyc.gov

Dear Mr. Gibson and Ms. Hart,

1. Thank you for calling me today concerning my complaint about wrongdoing coordinated among prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges. I gained first-hand knowledge of their wrongdoing while in an official capacity.
2. The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. So, I wrote it down in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700. You requested that I send it you. As agreed, it is hereunder.
3. I sent IAB Chief David Barrere my complaint about NYPD officers and detectives on May 28. It sets forth how they testified before a grand jury in support of charges of first-degree murder against the accused in the indictment. When the prosecutor presenting the indictment asked whether any grand juror had any questions, I asked for photos or videos of the scene of the crime; the alleged victim shot dead in the middle of the street; the autopsy report; any relation whatsoever to the crime of one photo of a pair of jeans and another of a pair of sneakers; the probative value of the videos presented although they did not show that any crime had happened at all!
4. The following day, the prosecutor had his supervising prosecutor come to the grand jury. She asked whether I was a lawyer and I said that I was. I complained about the grand jury warden, who had challenged me because of my questions, although the *Grand Juror’s Handbook*, which is prefaced by Chief Judge Janet DiFiore, page 16, cites the Judiciary Law, Article 190, §190.20.2), which provides that “Neither the grand jury panel nor any individual grand juror may be challenged” by even the judge impaneling the grand jury.
5. On what turned out to be a pretense, I was brought before the judge who had impaneled the grand jury, Judge Laurence E. Busching. While four courtroom police officers flanked me within arm’s length in the most intimidating way, Judge Busching said that prosecutors and grand jurors had accused me of “being disruptive and making them uncomfortable”...and he peremptorily discharged me from the grand jury.
6. In my absence, he had allowed them to accuse me, thus disregarding the constitutional right of every person to confront his accusers. In defiance of all semblance of fairness and impartiality, Judge Busching believed the accusations of the prosecutors and jurors, but denied my request that the transcript of the presentation of the indictment in question be brought in and examined. He also denied my request to have a copy of the transcript of the record made before him by the court reporter. Then he deprived me of my civil right to be a member of a grand jury.
7. Such secrecy, arbitrariness, and oppression are the characteristics of a star-chamber. They are resorted to by the powerful to cover up their wrongdoing.
8. Although they knew that I was a lawyer, that is how they treated me: with gross contempt for the provisions of Article 190 and the Constitution. How do you think that they treat Joe Schmuck and

Jane Widget, who have no clue about their rights or the strictures of due process?

9. If you were the accused, would you trust NYPD officers to disclose exculpatory evidence after having given testimony about irrelevant ‘evidence’ that they could not connect to the alleged murder? Would you have probable cause to believe that they had supported an indictment fabricated as leverage to extort your acceptance of the plea bargain offered or the basis for prosecuting you on false charges? Would you trust Judge Busching not to let those officers mislead a grand jury too disinterested and lacking the critical judgment needed to analyze the evidence presented and realize that you could not possibly have committed a crime that had not even occurred?
10. I addressed my complaint against the NYPD officers and detectives that had appeared before the grand jury to IAB Chief David Barrere in my letter of May 28, 2022. Since then, I have sent many emails to IAB@nypd.org and IABCmdCntr@nypd.org and made numerous calls to (212)741-8401. The IAB officers with whom I have spoken have told me that they do not know where my complaint is or that I have to “give it more time”. Among them are Lt. Atala; Sgt. Cortes and Dario; Detectives Kifaieh, Arata, Pier-Owens, Peattie, Perez; Officers Atway, Duran, Kim, et al.
11. Is it reasonable to think that they would know the whereabouts and status of my complaint if I had not called IAB during the past two months? Would they know if only I had not shown any interest in my complaint at all? Rather, it is in spite of my letters, emails, and phone calls that they do not know, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is due to concealment of their actual knowledge or to willful ignorance or blindness.
12. Yet, it is their duty to know the whereabouts and status of my complaint because they are imputed with knowledge of what happens to people who land in Rikers: They are subjected to all kinds of threats, degradation, and abuse, including rape. Given the gravity and clear and present danger to those sent to Rikers or put through the ordeal of raising bail and going to trial, IAB had to proceed with due diligence. But it has intentionally failed to do so despite having the means to do it.
13. If IAB officers do not know the whereabouts and status of my complaint after two months since my filing it, it is certainly not going to investigate it. In fact, the assertion of ignorance by so many of its officers for such a long time shows a pattern of conduct born of coordination. They have provided probable cause to believe that IAB has engaged in a cover-up.
14. Hence, the onus to investigate IAB and the NYPD officers referred to in my complaint falls on you and the IG for the NYPD. You must with due diligence investigate the complaint to avoid the charge that may be brought against you as it can against IAB, namely, dereliction of duty.
15. With that charge the public is very aware since the House committee investigating the January 6 Capitol attack at its public hearing on July 21, 2022, detailed the [187 minutes](#) of President Trump’s dereliction of duty during the attack. For comparison, months have gone by since I filed my complaint. For being derelict in its duty, IAB deserves as much public criticism as former President Trump has received for his own dereliction.
16. Just as seven Capitol Police [officers have sued Trump](#) and the organizers of the rally that preceded the attack, those who have allowed people to remain or become victims of fabricated indictments can be sued. Such a suit will find strong support in the precedent set by the Court of Appeals for the [4th Circuit](#) in *Strickland v. U.S.*, where it held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.
17. By promptly undertaking a decisive and effective investigation, you avoid becoming a defendant, and instead earn the recognition of a grateful *We the People* as their Champions of Justice. Thus, I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

August 19, 2022

Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell[‡]
 New York City Police Department
 One Police Plaza
 New York, NY 10259-0001

Dear Commissioner Sewell,

This is to inform you of my efforts to bring to the attention of Internal Affairs Bureau Chief David Barrere by email to IAB@NYPD.org and IABCmdCntr@NYPD.org, and letter, as shown by those attached, and in phone conversations with, among others, the NYPD officers and detectives listed below, since May 28, 2022, the information that I acquired while in an official capacity concerning police officers and detectives as well as prosecutors and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that they could not possibly have committed because that crime never occurred.

The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. So, I wrote it down in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451, where the information originated. I asked that Chief Barrere request a copy from Judge Yearwood.

If he obtained it, then he knows the gravity of this information: NYPD officers and detectives participated in abusing the known indifference and uncritical judgment of grand jurors to obtain an indictment that lacked any evidentiary basis. Such an indictment and similar ones could be used to force the accused to accept a plea bargain or to prosecute them on fabricated charges.

If Chief Barrere met with Judge Yearwood’s refusal to send him a copy, he had the duty to question why the Judge did not want to cooperate with an IAB’s investigation of police wrongdoing that is corrupting judicial process. He could have subpoenaed or otherwise ordered the “Emergency Application” from me, as I suggested that he do and promised compliance. Given the abuse of power that I witnessed firsthand and the retaliation that I have already been subjected to, I want to be protected by an order so that I do not end up where those falsely accused did: in Rikers Island.

Since then, I have phoned IAB at (212)741-8401 and been given the runaround by officers who answered the call there or at the Records or Assessment Units to which I was transferred. They have alleged that they could not either find my emailed or mailed information or determine the status of complaints 2022-13831 of June 9; complaint 2022-15482 of June 30, or complaint 2022-15601 of July 1. Yet, some officers had copied the link <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/ChiefDBarrere.pdf>, which I gave them on the phone and they acknowledged having downloaded the file. Among those NYPD officers and detectives are the following:

1.	Names of NYPD Officers and Detectives at IAB Each time before calling, I resent my email to Chief Barrere	Date of call
2.	Officer Washington, badge 8281	6June22
3.	Officer Washington	7June22
4.	Detective Atway	7June22
5.	Det. Arata	9June22
6.	Officer Duran, who said that he would send the link, supra, to Records	9June22

7.	Det. Arata	9June22
8.	Det. Arata transferred me to Records; and I recorded a message there	23June22
9.	Det. Kifaieh	24June22
10.	Re 2022-13831	27June22
11.	Det. Arata transferred me to Records; and I recorded yet another message	29June22
12.	Sargent Cortes	30June22
13.	Det. Atway	30June22
14.	Det. Ms. Pier-Owen found the link and transferred me to Cmd Center to:	30June22
15.	Lt. Atala, who said that he would send the complaint to the Assessment Unit	30June22
16.	Det. Peattie opened complaint 2022-15482 after I complained about my complaint being scheduled to be sent, as officers told me it was, to "First District", although nobody knew what that was or even its address!	30June22
17.	Sgt. Dario, Assessment Unit, downloaded & attached the file to the complaint	1July22
18.	Det. Ms. Perez at the In-Take was instructed to open complaint 2022-15601	1July22
19.	Det. Atway asked me to be patient and 'give it more time'	14July22
20.	Det. Kifaieh said that that he would give my telephone number to somebody and have him or her call me; but nobody did	18July22
21.	Officer Kim could not determine the status of any of the three complaints; he said the he would have somebody call me, but nobody did	25July22
22.	Det. Kifaieh agreed to have his CO call me, but nobody did	9August22
23.	Det. Sunu transferred me to Records, where I could only record a message	9August22
24.	Det. Sunu said his CO was not there and again transferred me to Records, where I could only record another message; nobody called me back	9August22
25.	Det. Arata transferred me to Lt. Hoe. He checked and when he came back asked me whether my complaint was against a lawyer!, if so, IAB could not do anything. 2+ months after being filed, IAB ignored what it was all about!	9August22
26.	Det. Kifaieh agreed to print my complaints and give them to Captain Keon, his CO, and ask that he call me, but nobody called me.	9August22

The above generates probable cause to believe that IAB has engaged in a cover-up. Its officers have coordinated its implementation. Let it be their [dereliction of duty](#)[†], not yours, that allows thousands of falsely accused people to remain or be incarcerated. They are potential members of a class action. Failure to discharge your duty should offend against your oath to Protect and Respect.

If not, you will be shocked by the money consequences, other than those related to the call to defund the police: 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their inaction. The [Fourth Circuit](#) Court of Appeals held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Abusive state judges were ordered to [pay \\$200+ million](#) to their victims. Instead, do right and be recognized nationally as a Champion of Justice.

So, let's meet.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

September 17, 2022

NY Attorney General [Letitia James](#)
c/o: PIB Chief Gerard Murphy and AAG Susan Sadinsky
The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224-0341

Brooklyn District Attorney [Eric Gonzalez](#)
350 Jay Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201
tel. (718)250-2747, (718)250-2001

Dear A.G. James, Chief Murphy, AAG Sadinsky, and Brooklyn D.A. Gonzalez,[‡]

1. I read with interest the press release “[AG James](#) Announces Sentencing of Former NYS SCt Justice for accepting bribes”; 13 September 2022; which quoted you as saying “New Yorkers deserve a justice system they can have faith in. That’s why this corrupt behavior is so egregious and unacceptable. Regardless of party affiliation, my office remains committed to rooting out corruption in government, at every level and in every branch”...of which the case below implicates several.
2. I also read with interest the articles “[Brooklyn district attorney](#) moves to dismiss nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”; John [Annese](#); New York [Daily News](#); 7 September 2022; and “[Brooklyn Beats Crime By Cleaning Record Tied To Dirty Cops](#) [who planted evidence]”; Chris Williams; [Above the Law](#); 9 Sep. 2022; cwilliams@abovethelaw.com. There is more to clean.
3. You have shown that you dare take on judges and the NYPD. Thus, your conduct supports the reasonable expectation that you can openly and/or discreetly help expose this case: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning five NYPD officers, including detectives, as well as prosecutors and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not possibly have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
4. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court’s administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing it, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau ([IAB](#)) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, but to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: Instead of planting evidence, officers fool grand juries with non-evidence to leverage false indictments at plea bargaining. Their cover-up is not only of this case: It is the product of an institutional policy. So, the fact that this case occurred in Bronx instead of Brooklyn is irrelevant. You and your offices deal with the same NYPD. Worse yet...
6. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#) who have failed to investigate this case. There can be not only “nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”, but rather a systemic policy. Under it, thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by NYPD officers, prosecutors, and [judges](#). By contrast, [your policy](#) favors “accountability solutions over incarceration”. You with law school [professors](#) and [students](#) can prevent convictions on non-evidence and generate “faith in the justice system” by cleaning it of officers and [others](#) who are dirty and remiss in their duty and cover it up.

Let’s meet to discuss coordination.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf



NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
JODIE CORNGOLD
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI
HON. ROBERT J. MILLER
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
RONALD J. ROSENBERG
GRAHAM B. SEITER
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS
CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

646-386-4800 518-299-1757
TELEPHONE FACSIMILE
www.cjc.ny.gov

CONFIDENTIAL

November 3, 2022

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL

MARK LEVINE
DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR

BRENDA CORREA
JENNIFER L. LOWRY
PRINCIPAL ATTORNEYS

MELISSA DIPALO
VICKIE MA

ERIC ARNONE
SENIOR ATTORNEYS

KELVIN S. DAVIS
STELLA E. GILLILAND

ADAM B. KAHAN
STAFF ATTORNEYS

ALAN W. FRIEDBERG
SPECIAL COUNSEL

Mr. Richard Cordero. Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, New York 10472-6506

Re: 2022/N-1084

Dear Mr. Cordero:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your complaint received
September 23, 2022.

Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints,
please note that the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to judges in the
New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to
your complaint.

By law the Commission cannot offer legal advice and cannot
represent litigants.

For your information, we have enclosed some background material
about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.

Very truly yours,

Lee Kiklier

Lee Kiklier

Senior Administrative Assistant

Encl.

November 7, 2022

Mr. Joseph Belluck, Chair, M. Taa Grays, Vice Chair, Robert Rembeckjian, Esq., Administrator
New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct
61 Broadway, Ste 1200, NY, NY 10006; tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757; www.cjc.ny.gov

Dear Chair Belluck, Vice Chair Grays, and Administrator Tembeckjian,[‡]

1. I filed a complaint, described hereunder, against Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood and Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching, both of the Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term, tel. (718)618-3700, 265 E. 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. They are indisputably NYS judges.
2. Defying that fact, your assistant Lee Kiklier has sent me a letter “Re: 2022/N-1084”, dated November 3 and attached hereto, dismissing the complaint on the following counterfactual pretense:

Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission’s jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint.

3. Did assistant Kiklier even gloss over the complaint, let alone “review” it or is that pretense for dismissal the Commission’s means of covering up the wrongdoing of judges to evade a scandal?
4. The gist of the complaint is this: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning prosecutors, five NYPD officers, including detectives, and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that any crime had occurred at all: No photos of the victim or the street crime scene or incident or autopsy report. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to J. Busching, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words -available upon the Commission’s order- and submitted it to J. Yearwood. The latter was remiss in his supervisory duty by referring the statement to J. Busching, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing the statement, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted a complaint in writing to the Chief Judge and the NYS and NYC administrative [judges](#) on May 28, to no avail. I also submitted it to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) Chief David Barrere and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of the complaint, they have opened [three](#) of them, but still no feedback. I brought the complaint to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that judges, IAB, and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up. The latter does not concern this complaint only: The cover-up is the product of complicit systemic coordination. Under it, thousands of people have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by prosecutors, NYPD officers, and [judges](#).
6. This complaint is ripe for expanding the applicability of *Strickland v. U.S.*, *the Judicial Conference of the U.S.*, *the Office of the Public Defender*, *et al.* That is a civil case from the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals that held that the Federal Judiciary as well as judges and others can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities. Will you be on the side of the defendants or of the Champions of Justice? See also the suit against the [FBI](#) for \$1+ billion.

I look forward to hearing from you shortly.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

November 7, 2022

Principal Attorneys Brenda Correa and Jennifer L. Lowry; Special Counsel Alan W. Friedberg
New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct
61 Broadway, Ste 1200, NY, NY 10006; tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757; www.cjc.ny.gov

Dear Attorneys Friedberg, Lowry, and Correa,[‡]

1. I filed a complaint, described hereunder, against Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood and Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching, both of the Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term, tel. (718)618-3700, 265 E. 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. They are indisputably NYS judges.
2. Defying that fact, your assistant Lee Kiklier has sent me a letter “Re: 2022/N-1084”, dated November 3 and attached hereto, dismissing the complaint on the following counterfactual pretense:

Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission’s jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint.

3. Did assistant Kiklier even gloss over the complaint, let alone “review” it or is that pretense for dismissal the Commission’s means of covering up the wrongdoing of judges to evade a scandal?
4. The gist of the complaint is this: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning prosecutors, five NYPD officers, including detectives, and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that any crime had occurred at all: No photos of the victim or the street crime scene or incident or autopsy report. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to J. Busching, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words -available upon the Commission’s order- and submitted it to J. Yearwood. The latter was remiss in his supervisory duty by referring the statement to J. Busching, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing the statement, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted a complaint in writing to the Chief Judge and the NYS and NYC administrative [judges](#) on May 28, to no avail. I also submitted it to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) Chief David Barrere and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of the complaint, they have opened [three](#) of them, but still no feedback. I brought the complaint to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that judges, IAB, and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up. The latter does not concern this complaint only: The cover-up is the product of complicit systemic coordination. Under it, thousands of people have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by prosecutors, NYPD officers, and [judges](#).
6. This complaint is ripe for expanding the applicability of *Strickland v. U.S.*, the *Judicial Conference of the U.S.*, the *Office of the Public Defender*, et al. That is a civil case from the [4th Circuit Court of Appeals](#) that held that the Federal Judiciary as well as judges and others can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities. Will you be on the side of the defendants or of the Champions of Justice? See also the suit against the [FBI](#) for \$1+ billion.

I look forward to hearing from you shortly.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.



NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
JODIE CORNGOLD
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI
HON. ROBERT W. MILLER
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
RONALD J. ROSENBERG
GRAHAM B. SEITER
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS
CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006
646-386-4800 518-299-1757
TELEPHONE FACSIMILE
cjc.ny.gov

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL
MARK LEVINE
DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR
BRENDA CORREA
JENNIFER L. LOWRY
PRINCIPAL ATTORNEYS
MELISSA DIPALO
VICKIE MA
ERIC ARNONE
SENIOR ATTORNEYS
KELVIN S. DAVIS
STELLA E. GILLILAND
ADAM B. KAHAN
STAFF ATTORNEYS
ALAN W. FRIEDBERG
SPECIAL COUNSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

December 6, 2022

Via Email: Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472-6506

Re: File No. 2022/N-1259-60
Dear Mr. Cordero:

This is to acknowledge receipt by the State Commission on Judicial Conduct of your complaint dated November 7, 2022.

Your complaint will be presented to the Commission, which will decide whether or not to inquire into it. We will contact you after the Commission has reviewed the matter.

For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.

Very truly yours,



Laura A. Soto

Executive

Assistant to the Deputy Administrator

Encl.



13 Jan 23

NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
JODIE CORNGOLD
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ROBERT J. MILLER
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
RONALD J. ROSENBERG
GRAHAM B. SEITER
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS

CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

646-386-4800 518-299-1757

TELEPHONE FACSIMILE

www.cjc.ny.gov

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

January 10, 2023

Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Boulevard
Bronx, New York 10472-6506

Re: File No. 2022/N-1084

Dear Mr. Cordero:

The State Commission on Judicial Conduct has reviewed your letter of complaint dated September 22, 2022. The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint.

The Commission's jurisdiction is limited to misconduct by judges of the New York State unified court system.

Very truly yours,

Celia A. Zahner
Clerk of the Commission

CAZ/ja

January 5, 2023

Detective Arata
Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB)
New York Police Department (NYPD); tel.: (212)741-8401
IAB@NYPD.org, IABCMDCNTR@nypd.org

Dear Detective Arata,

Thank you for calling me early this morning. Kindly find hereunder the complaint that I emailed and mailed so many times to IAB Chief David Barrere, you, and your colleagues last year. Indeed, my letter to NYPD Commissioner Keechant Sewell has a list of all the officers to whom I emailed my complaint and with whom I spoke on the phone. You may retrieve it using this [link](#)[‡].

The runaround that I have been given for over half a year justifies my assertion that there is a cover-up to protect NYPD officers and detectives that testify at grand juries in support of false accusations and deceive grand jurors, so as to protect the NYPD itself. The following brief description of what happened is intended as an introduction to the original letters that I emailed to the NYPD and others; it is not intended to serve as an excuse for not reading the letters below:

1. While serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and NYPD officers, including detectives, charged people with a murder that those people could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought for plea bargain leverage in reliance on grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment.
2. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, J. Laurence Busching of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term. He summarily discharged me without affording me even the opportunity to confront my accusers.
3. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge, J. Alvin Yearwood of the same Bronx Criminal Court. Late enough, he sent it to the grand jury Judge Busching, who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired.
 - a. The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing grand jury information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. Therefore, I respectfully request that IAB Chief Barrere or you contact Judge Yearwood and ask that he release to you a copy of my 8-page sworn statement so that you may promptly start your investigation of this complaint.
 - b. As lawyer, I have a legal and ethical duty to bring this information to your attention. It flows from the NY Rules of Professional Conduct (22 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 1200), which provides:

Rule. 8.3. REPORTING PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT(a). A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer [such as the prosecutors who presented a false indictment before the grand jury] shall report such knowledge to a tribunal or other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation. [emphasis added].
 - c. If the NYPD, as "*other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation*", issues me an order to submit to it my 8-page sworn statement, I will readily comply with it.

Your legal department must ensure that my compliance with such order will not expose me to criminal penalties, never mind imprisonment. Notice that the above-mentioned judges have already retaliated against me or condoned the retaliation against me by summarily discharging me from the grand jury in violation under color of law of my civil right to serve on it and my constitutional rights to due process.

4. I filed a complaint with the NY State [Chief Judge](#), the NYS and NYC administrative judges, the NYPD IAB chief and the [Commissioner](#), the [Bronx council](#) members, [public advocates](#), [et al.](#), who have not replied.
5. They form the defendant in the class action that I am preparing against all of them for, among other causes of action, abuse of power; actual or constructive complicity in a cover-up of official abuse of power; dereliction of duty; filing false accusations; and false imprisonment, in addition to violation of civil and constitutional rights.
 - a. There is strong precedent for suing them:
 - 1) In the civil suit [Strickland v. U.S.](#), the Judicial Conference of the U.S., the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#), et al., that Appeals Court held on April 26, 2022, that the Federal Judiciary and its [judges](#) in their official and individual capacities can on due process and equal protection grounds be sued and held liable. The plaintiff's exposure of 4th Circuit appellate judges' complicit coordination forced all the judges of that Court to recuse themselves! Judges from other circuits were seated by designation on the three-judge appellate panel. Their decision was unanimous.
 - 2) Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8 for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty. This is in addition to the [\\$380 million](#) that USA Gymnastics and the U.S. Olympic Committee had to pay to Nassar sexual abuse victims.
 - 3) A Pennsylvania state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages.
 - b. The officers in the class action, including the NYPD, do not investigate judges to avoid judges' retaliation, thus engaging in dereliction of duty for their own benefit.
 - c. They pursue a pecuniary benefit, which is anything to which a monetary value can be assigned. Their dereliction of duty is a policy and a systemic cover-up based on actual or constructive complicit coordination; cf. companies that coordinate their anti-competitive practices by following the price leader, which is illegal under antitrust law and case law.
6. Acting under color of law, the defendant officers have violated the civil rights of the likely thousands of people whom they have, and allowed to be, indicted on false accusations. Thereby they have caused those people injury in fact resulting from their having to bear the cost of bail, incarceration, and a criminal record that impairs their job and housing situation and social standing. Those people are among the plaintiffs in the class action. Their attorneys can earn vast rewards, including treble damages, attorney's fees, and national recognition as Champions of Justice.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

February 3, 2023

NYPD Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038
tel. (646)610-5410;
fax (646)610-5865

Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Miguel A. Iglesias
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org

Dear Commissioner Sewell, Chief Iglesias, and fellow addressees in ¶6 and §§C, D infra,[‡]

1. This is a follow-up to my letters, reprinted below, to both of you and many other authorities, to no avail. Yet, they concern prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges at the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451. On May 23 and 24, 2022, Assistant District Attorney Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta presented an indictment for murder allegedly committed on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street flanked by restaurants and bodegas. When they asked whether grand jurors had questions, I, a grand juror, asked critical ones because the 12 exhibits that they had presented contained:
 - a. not a single photo or video of the scene of the crime or of the victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring restaurants and bodegas, or any bystander...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police report or autopsy report by the medical examiner;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
2. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Judge Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of ‘being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable’; presented no evidence; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
3. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to his supervisor, Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, who sits in the same building. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. Busching, who as judge in his own cause biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. They condoned and aided prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on false accusations.

A. Action requested

4. There are more details, augmented by those stated next, concerning the false accusations based on irrelevant and insufficient evidence; the discharge; and the complicit dereliction of duty in aid of a cover-up by the many officers with authority to investigate this case to whose attention I have brought it, many of whom are listed in ¶6 and §§C, D infra. Thus, I respectfully request that you:
 - a. investigate this complaint, first taking cognizance of my below Statement of new facts, sworn to under 28 U.S.C. §1746, and the copies of communications below and at ♦ and ‡;
 - b. call Judge Yearwood to ask for a copy of my 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement;
 - c. issue me with an order to produce it for your review based on your authority to investigate this kind of case, which will entitle me to disclose it and with which I will comply; and
 - d. ask me to come to your office for a recorded interview of me by you about this case.

Sincerely, /s/ Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

February 10, 2023

Current Commissioner and former
First Deputy Commissioner Edward Caban
NY Police Department
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038
tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865

Jonathan Darche, Esq., Executive Director
Civilian Complaint Review Board
100 Church Street, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10007
tel. (800)341-2272, (212)912-7235

Dear Commissioner Caban, Director Darche, and fellow addressees in ¶6 and §§C, D infra,[‡]

1. This is a follow-up to my letters and emails to NYPD Comm. Keechant Sewell, former IAB Chief David Barrere; et al., to no avail. Yet, they concern prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges at the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451. On May 23 and 24, 2022, Assistant District Attorney Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta presented an indictment for murder allegedly committed on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street flanked by restaurants and bodegas. When they asked whether grand jurors had questions, I, a grand juror, asked critical ones because the 12 exhibits that they presented:
 - a. contained not a single photo or video of the scene of the crime or of the victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring restaurants and bodegas, or any bystander...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police report or autopsy report by the medical examiner;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
2. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Judge Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of 'being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable'; presented no evidence; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
3. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to his supervisor, Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, who sits in the same building. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. Busching, who as judge in his own cause biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. They condoned and aided prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on false accusations.

A. Action requested

4. There are more details, augmented by those stated next, concerning the false accusations based on irrelevant and insufficient evidence; the discharge; and the complicit dereliction of duty in aid of a cover-up by the many officers with authority to investigate this case to whose attention I have brought it, many of whom are listed in ¶6 and §§C, D infra. Thus, I respectfully request that you:
 - a. investigate this complaint, first taking cognizance of my below Statement of new facts, sworn to under 28 U.S.C. §1746, and the copies of communications below and at ♦ and ‡;
 - b. call Judge Yearwood to ask for a copy of my 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement;
 - c. issue me with an order to produce it for your review based on your authority to investigate this kind of case, which will entitle me to disclose it and with which I will comply; and
 - d. ask me to come to your office for officers to interview me about this case.

Sincerely, /s/ Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

February 23, 2023

Hon. Rowan Wilson
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207
tel. (518)455-7700

NYS AG Letitia James
c/o Public Integrity Bureau Chief Gerard Murphy
28 Liberty Street, 15th Floor, NY, NY 10005
tel. (212) 416-8610, (800)771-7755
public.integrity@ag.ny.gov

Dear Judge Wilson, AG James, Chief Murphy, and addressees in ¶6 and §§C, D infra,♦

1. This is a follow-up to my letters♦ to former CJ J. DiFiore, Deputy Chief Administrative Judge D. Kaplan, et al., to no avail. Yet, they concern prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges at the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. On May 23 and 24, 2022, the first days of a grand jury term, ADA Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta presented an indictment for murder allegedly committed on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street lined with restaurants and bodegas. When they asked whether jurors had questions, I, a grand juror, asked critical ones because the 12 exhibits that they presented contained:
 - a. not a single photo or video of the scene of the crime or of the victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring restaurants and bodegas, or any bystander ...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police incident report; medical examiner autopsy report; or death or burial certificate;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
2. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of “being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable”; presented no evidence; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
3. I described these events in a May 28, 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement and submitted it to Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, who sits in the same building. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. Busching, who as judge in his own cause biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. These judges aided and condoned prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on false accusations.

A. Action requested

4. There are more details, augmented by those stated next, concerning the false accusations based on irrelevant and insufficient evidence; the discharge; and the complicit dereliction of duty in aid of a cover-up by the many officers with authority to investigate this case to whose attention I have brought it, many of whom are listed in ¶6 and §§C, D infra. Thus, I respectfully request that you:
 - a. investigate this complaint, first taking cognizance of my below Statement of new facts, sworn to under 28 U.S.C. §1746, and the copies of communications below and at ♦ and ‡;
 - b. call J. Yearwood to ask for a copy of my May 28 statement and the transcript of the discharge;
 - c. based on your authority to investigate this kind of case, issue me with an order to produce the May 28 statement, which will entitle me to disclose it and with which I will comply; and
 - d. ask me to discuss this case with you, on video if not in NYC; otherwise, in person.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

B. Statement of facts since January 5, 2023, showing a coordinated cover-up

5. On May 28, 2022, I mailed to IAB Chief David Barrere my first written complaint against all those NYPD officers in the grand jury room and the courtroom. I had to keep calling IAB at (212)741-8401 until I was given a complaint number, i.e., # 2022-13831. I kept calling to find out its status, but neither the Command Center nor the Records or the Assessment Units could find it. As a result, a second complaint was opened, # 2022-15482, uselessly, for the same happened to it. So, a third complaint was opened: # 2022-15601. They insisted that I had to be patient and wait until they called me. I waited for months. In the meantime, I contacted other public officers and entities.
6. On January 5, 2023, Detective Arata called me unexpectedly. As shown in my August 19 letter to Commissioner Sewell, I had spoken with him several times beginning on June 9. Even so, he asked that I tell him my complaint. He claimed to know nothing about my letters to Chief Barrere or the three complaints, or did not bother to read them before calling me. What contained my name and phone number that prompted him to call me? I offered to email him my written complaint and he agreed to call me to acknowledge receipt of it. But he failed to do so although since January 5, I have sent and resent daily my summarizing and previous emails to him and these other addressees: iabcmdctr@nypd.org, iab@nypd.org, question@nycourts.gov, rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov, reception@advocate.nyc.gov, oignypdcomplaints@doj.nyc.gov, gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov, mtsciq1@bb.nyc.gov, ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov, recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov, bronxjury@nycourts.gov, webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov, agencyemail@customercare.nyc.gov, ig@nycourts.gov, jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov, msabio@bronxbp.nyc.gov, lwaltan@bronxbp.nyc.gov, jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov, scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov, pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov, mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov, amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov, district8@council.nyc.gov, dinowitz@council.nyc.gov, district12@council.nyc.gov, district13@council.nyc.gov, district14@council.nyc.gov, district15@council.nyc.gov, district16@council.nyc.gov, salamanca@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov, socratessolano2021@gmail.com, Info@bronxdefenders.org, media@bronxdefenders.org,
7. The overwhelming majority did not even acknowledge receipt. In the more than eight months since May 28, none has informed me of having investigated this case. What are the odds that those 34 email addressees plus those whom I could reach only by mail have just coincidentally decided not to answer my communications or take any action? Zero. Self-interested unaccountability 100%.
8. That provides probable cause to believe that there is either actual or constructive complicit coordination among them not to take any action that can provoke a frightening reaction: retaliation by NYS judges having closed ranks to protect the complained-against fellow judges and themselves; NYPD officers testifying as hostile witnesses to make prosecutors lose indictments and cases; and the embarrassment of, and firing by, former police captain and current NYC Mayor Eric Adams.
9. On January 30, I called IAB and spoke with the supervisor of Det. Arata, Sgt. Palermo. He claimed to know nothing about my complaints. He looked up the numbers that I gave him and said that my complaints had been referred to the U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General (IG) since they concerned judges rather than NYPD officers. I protested that willful misstatement given that I had complained against the five NYPD officers, including detectives, who testified in support of the false accusations presented to a grand jury and those who surrounded me in Judge Busching's courtroom, who intentionally intimidated me, while I was alone, as reminders of those who brutalized Abner Louima, Amodou Diallo, Eric Garner, and George Floyd, among others.
10. Sgt. Palermo had no explanation for such referral despite the fact that I have not complained against any federal officer. Nor could he state the authority on which that IG of the U.S. DoJ would

investigate New York State prosecutors, judges, and NYPD officers. He could not tell me the names of the IAB officers who made the referral; whether there was any cover letter, much less its text; the names of the referred-to DoJ officers; their addresses; the referral dates; or whether the complaints had, if at all, been put in envelopes with only my or no return address and mailed.

11. I stated a reasonable inference: If IAB had referred any or all of my complaints to DoJ, it did so in bad faith to evade having to investigate its officers and avoid judges' retaliation. Unable to dispute that inference, the Sgt. transferred me to Det. Stone for her to open a complaint: # 2023-3787.
12. On January 31, I called and spoke with Det. Arata. He claimed that he had not received my complaint. But shortly thereafter, he said that it had been sent to that IG and to the FBI because I had complained about it. I refuted that: I had cited as precedent for suing public officers the suit brought by 90 gymnasts against the FBI for ignoring their complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar(cf. my January 5 letter to him, ¶5.a.2). How disingenuous! When I pressed him on why he and IAB had not investigated the NYPD officers that I had complained against, he claimed that my 'complaint made no sense and I had given them nothing to investigate'. I replied that if so, it was his duty to call me to ask for clarifications or additional information. He was stumped. I told him that he was arguing in bad faith to cover up for his fellow officers. He terminated the call.
13. I called IAB and Det. Melexemis could not find who had referred my complaint to the U.S. DoJ. He asked me to call the Records Unit at (212)741-8414. There Det. Pier-Owen confirmed that my complaints had been referred to DoJ, including two others that she found: # 2022-19474 and 2023-00275. When I asked who had signed the referral cover letter and to whom it was addressed, she put me on hold. After coming back, she said that she could not find their names. She said that complaint # 2022-15482 had been referred to 'Dept. Discipline Committee for 1st District', whose address she could not find. Then she said that it had been referred to the U.S. District Court for NY. I said that courts do not investigate; they adjudicate. She said that I had complained against a lawyer. When I asked for his/her name, she could not find it. Of course, since I did not complain against any lawyer. She put me on another hold; then I overheard a female voice say "Don't bring him here!" She hung up on me and did not call me back although I had given her my phone number.
14. I called the Assessment Unit at (212)741-8444. I explained to Det. Jones what had just happened and gave her the numbers of the complaints and my phone number. I asked to speak with her supervisor, whom she identified as Sgt. Dario, and was stating the purpose...she hung up on me.
15. I called back the Assessment Unit, but landed in the Command Center. Sgt. Priola answered and said that my complaints had been sent to the FBI and circuit judges. I protested and asked who had referred them there. He did not know. He asked me whether I wanted to open a complaint against the NYPD. I said yes and he transferred me to Det. Stone. She opened complaint # 2023-3930.
16. I called the Records Unit. PAA Burkett transferred me to supervisor Lt. Davy Dob. He said that my complaint had been sent to the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct. I had independently filed a complaint with it, docketed under # 2022/N-1084 as of September 23. Senior Administrative Assistant Lee Kiklier wrote me on November 23 "that the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to NYS judges, which does not appear to apply to your complaint". Was this flagrant misstatement the result of an IAB cover letter pretending that I had complained against federal judges?
17. All these officers have in fact or in effect coordinated their dereliction of duty and cover-up to the detriment of the defendants in this case; those similarly situated, whose lives have been devastated by being jailed; forced to incur burdensome debt to make bail; and tainted or ruined domestically, socially, and professionally by a criminal record; and me. *It is your duty to investigate this case.*

*****Dare cry "*I accuse!*" ...You may trigger history and enter it*****

C. Service List: This letter was individualized for, and served on, these public officers and entities:

A.

1. NYPD Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038
tel. (646)610-5410
fax (646)610-5865
2. First Deputy Commissioner Edward Caban
NY Police Department
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038
tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865
3. Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Miguel Iglesias
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org
4. Jocelyn E. Strauber, Esq.
Commissioner of Investigation
NYC Department of Investigation
180 Maiden Lane, 16th Fl., NY, NY 10038
tel. (212)825-5959; fax (212)825-2504
5. Philip K. Eure, Esq., Inspector General for the
NYPD, Office of the IG for the NYPD
NYC Department of Investigation
80 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038
tel. (212)806-5200
6. Taylor Gibson, Esq., and Investigator Hart
Office of the IG for the NYPD
NYC Department of Investigation
80 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038
tel. (212)806-5200
7. Jonathan Darche, Esq., Executive Director
Civilian Complaint Review Board
100 Church Street, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10007
tel. (800)341-2272, (212)912-7235
8. Kathy Hirata Chin, Esq., Acting Chair
Commission to Combat Police Corruption
17 Battery Place, Suite 327
New York, NY 10004
tel. (212)806-5370

B.

NYS Attorney General Letitia James
c/o Public Integrity Bureau Chief Gerard Murphy
28 Liberty Street, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10005; public.integrity@ag.ny.gov
tel. (212) 416-8610, (800)771-7755

The Head of the Investigative Review Unit
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014

The Head of the Integrity Testing Unit
Internal Affairs Bureau, Group 52
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014

NYC Mayor Eric L. Adams
c/o: Mr. Frank Carone, Chief of Staff
City Hall
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212) 639-9675

NYC Public Advocate Jumaane D. Williams
c/o: Mr. Rance Huff, Chief of Staff
1 Centre Street, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212)669-7200

Sherrill Spatz, Esq.
Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel. (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158

Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Deputy Chief Administrative Judge
Supreme Court, New York County, Civil Term
60 Centre St., New York, NY 10007
tel. (646)386-5567

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq., Chair
NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct
61 Broadway, Suite 1200
New York, NY 10006
tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757

D. Table of Exhibits

Date of Letter	Name of Addressee or Sender (=from...)
1. May 28, 2022	IAB Chief David Barrere; Office of Court Administration IG Sherrill Spatz; et al.
2. May 27 and 28, 2022	USCS receipt for postage to addressees in ¶4 above
3. June 18, 2022	addressees in ¶4 above, follow-up letter
4. June 24, 2022	Mayor Eric Adams, Chief of Staff Frank Carone, and Bronx & NYC public officers
5. June 24, 2022	NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams and Chief of Staff Rance Huff
6. June 29, 2022	<i>from</i> grand jury Judge Laurence Busching, Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term
7. July 11, 2022	Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term
8. July 11, 2022	addressees in ¶4 above, follow-up letter
9. August 19, 2022	NYPD Commissioner Keechant Sewell
10. July 1, 2022	Bronx and NYC public officers; and Bronx Defenders Executive Director Justine Olderman
11. July 4, 2022	Kevin Rothermel, Acting Bronx County Clerk/Bronx Commissioner of Jurors and NYC Department of Investigation Commissioner Jocelyn Strauber
12. July 11, 2022	NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams and Chief of Staff Rance Huff
13. July 11, 2022	NYC Department of Investigation Commissioner Jocelyn Strauber and Kevin Rothermel, Acting Bronx County Clerk/Bronx Commissioner of Jurors
14. July 27, 2022	Office of the Inspector General for the NYPD Taylor Gibson, Esq., and Investigator Hart
15. September 17, 2022	NY Attorney General Letitia James and Brooklyn District Attorney Eric Gonzalez
16. November 3, 2022	<i>from</i> NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Senior Administrative Assistant Lee Kiklier
17. November 7, 2022	NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Chair Joseph Belluck, Vice Chair Taa Grays, and Administrator Robert Rembeckjian, Esq.
18. December 6, 2022	<i>from</i> NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Executive Assistant to the Deputy Administrator Laura Soto
19. January 5, 2023	IAB Detective Arata
20. January 10, 2023	<i>from</i> Clerk of the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Celia Zahner
21. January 12, 2023	Deputy Director of the Office of the Mayor of the City of New York Jarrett Andrews
22. February 3, 2023	Cover letter and Statement of facts since January 5, 2023
23. February 3, 2023	USPS Certificate of Mailing to NYPD IAB Chief Miguel A. Iglesias and Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell
24. February 20, 2023	Each of the 10 members of the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777



RDC 99

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
BRONX, NY
10462
FEB 04, 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2304M111492-69

02/04/2023 04:15 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Large Envelope	1		\$1.98
New York, NY 10014 Weight: 0 lb 3.10 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 02/08/2023			
Cert of Mailing			\$1.85
Total			\$3.83

Grand Total: \$3.83

Credit Card Remit \$3.83

Card Name: VISA
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX5689
Approval #: 055956
Transaction #: 859
AID: A0000000031010 Chip
AL: VISA CREDIT
PIN: Not Required

Preview your Mail

Track your Packages

Sign up for FREE ®

<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.

Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Fes>
or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420



UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®

Certificate Of
Mailing

To pay fee, affix stamps or
meter postage here.

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing.
This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq
2165 Bruckner Blvd
BRONX, NY 10472-6506

To: Bureau Chief Miguel Izkias
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
P.O. Box 10001, NY, NY 10014

Postmark Here

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-51000087-3-11884665-2
Clerk: 69

AL: VISA CREDIT
PIN: Not Required

Preview your Mail



PARKCHESTER
1445 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800) 275-8777

02/08/2023

07:03 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Large Envelope	1		\$2.99
New York, NY 10038			
Weight: 0 lb 5.00 oz			
Estimated Delivery Date: Sat 02/11/2023			
Cost of Mailing			\$1.05
Total			\$4.07

Grand Total: \$4.07

Credit Card Total: \$4.07

Card Name: VISA

Account #: XXXXXXXXXX XXXX

Approval #: 008654

Transaction #: 921

AID: A0000000031010

AL: VISA CREDIT

PIN: Not Required

(tip)

Preview your Mail

Track your Packages

Sign up for FREE @

<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage

Refunds for guaranteed services only

Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience

Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Post>

or scan this code with your mobile device



or call 1-800-410-7420

UFN: 350912-0135

Receipt #: 840 51000087 2/9291548 2

Clerk: 69



UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®

Certificate
Mail

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing.
This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472-6506

To: Commissioner Keechan Z. Sewell
New York Police Department
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FORM 3817
BRONX, NY
10472-6506
FEB 08 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2305M144516-6



RDC 99

January 12, 2023

Mr. Jarrett Andrews
Deputy Director
Office of the Mayor of the City of New York; mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov
City Hall, New York, NY 10007

Dear Mr. Andrews and Addressees in the TO: box of this email¹,

On June 24, 2022, I sent my [first letter](#)[‡] to former police officer and now NYC Mayor Eric Adams, his Chief of Staff Frank Carone, Public Advocate Jumaane Williams, and before and after that date also to the Bronx council members, commissioners, judges, et al., to inform them, and request an investigation, of the evidence that I had collected first-hand of the public corruption in criminal cases described hereunder, which involves prosecutors and NYPD officers, including detectives, seeking indictments based on false accusations, and a cover-up by judges, the NYPD, and others.

On July 21, you sent me the following email:

From: Office of the Mayor (imailagent) mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov
To: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net
Re: Office of the Mayor of New York City (Intranet Quorum IMA00304014)
IQFormatFile.txt (235 B)
<APP>WORKFLOW
<TRANS>304014</TRANS>
<ORIG_EMAIL>mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov</ORIG_EMAIL>
<ORIG_SERVICE_TYPE>Case</ORIG_SERVICE_TYPE>
<ORIG_NAME>OFFICE OF THE MAYOR</ORIG_NAME>
<DUE_DATE></DUE_DATE>
<ORIG_WFID>999726</ORIG_WFID>
</APP>

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Thank you again for contacting the Office of the Mayor. Your query has been routed to the appropriate agency for further action. You should receive a response soon.

Sincerely,

Jarrett Andrews
Deputy Director

Casework# 999726</ORIG_WFID>

Despite my continuous sending of the complaint to all of you, I have not received any statement of any action undertaken by any of you or any other officers.

¹ mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov, agency@mail@customercare.nyc.gov, iab@nypd.org, ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov, OIGNYPDcomplaints@doi.nyc.gov, iabcmdctr@nypd.org, question@nycourts.gov, drccordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org, recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov, reception@advocate.nyc.gov, gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov, bronxjury@nycourts.gov, rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov, webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov, socratessolano2021@gmail.com, jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov, msabio@bronxbp.nyc.gov, mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov, lwaltan@bronxbp.nyc.gov, ig@nycourts.gov, jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov, scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov, pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, d11scheduling@council.nyc.gov, District8@council.nyc.gov, dinowitz@council.nyc.gov, district12@council.nyc.gov, District13@council.nyc.gov, District14@council.nyc.gov, District15@council.nyc.gov, District16@council.nyc.gov, salamanca@council.nyc.gov, District18@council.nyc.gov, jklous@council.nyc.gov, astaropoli@council.nyc.gov, corderoric@yahoo.com,

On the contrary, on August 31, I received the following email:

Subject: Your City of New York Correspondence Number is #1-1-2213769

Date: Tue, Aug 30, 2022 1:15 pm

From: agencyemail (agencyemail@customercare.nyc.gov)

Thank you for contacting the City of New York. Your message has been forwarded to the appropriate agency for review and handling.

For future reference, your correspondence number is 1-1-2213769.

Sincerely,

The City of New York

No public officer of the City of New York whom I have contacted by phone or email knows what to do with that "correspondence number". Do you?

Whatever that "agency" is that sent me that email, it intended to commit a pretense of a reply calculated to lead nowhere, and as such meaningless and useless. Here applies a tenet of the law of torts that provides: "people are deemed to intend the foreseeable consequences of their actions".

I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

- a. read the statement below to grasp the gravity of the complaint affecting countless people indicted, prosecuted, imprisoned, and tainted by a criminal record based on false accusations presented to a grand jury by prosecutors and NYPD officers;
- b. bring this matter to the attention of the Mayor's chief of staff for the appointment of independent investigators that do not have a conflict of interests about investigating the NYPD because they, unlike Mayor Adams, never were police officers, or for that matter, prosecutors or judges; and
- c. ask me in for an interview with you and the chief of staff via video conference or in person.

Meantime, I continue with the preparation of the class action, as described infra.

Every day in which the inaction persists of the public officers that know from me or others of this complaint will cause the outrage of those directly affected and the rest of the state and national public to be exponentially more intense and vocal when they learn how recklessly and with what callous indifference those officers in self-interest disregarded their individual and collective duty to investigate or cause the investigation of, and stop, the public abuse of power and corruption underlying the complaint and the explicit or implicit complicit coordination of its cover-up.

Those likely to number in the thousands who on false criminal accusations have been sent to, and kept jailed in, that place festering with violence, humiliation, and depravity, namely, the Rikers Island Prison, or who have lost their jobs or been evicted due to having been indicted, or been financially devastated to raise bail, will demand from those responsible therefor *in their official and individual capacities* correspondingly devastating compensation in line with the [cited precedent](#).

What would you do in their place?

Juries have awarded \$1.4 billion to the parents and relatives of the Sandy Hook victims for the defamatory words of Alex Jones and their practical injurious consequences.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

February 20, 2023

Mr. Joseph Belluck, Chair, and fellow Commission members
New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct (CJC)
61 Broadway, Ste 1200, NY, NY 10006; tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757; www.cjc.ny.gov

Dear Chair Belluck and fellow Commission members,

1. I filed a complaint, acknowledged by CJC as [received on](#) September 23, 2022, against two justices of the NYS Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, tel. (718)618-3700, 265 E. 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451: Administrative J. Alvin [Yearwood](#) and Grand Jury J. Laurence [Busching](#). That description sufficed for you to know that they are members of the NYS Unified Court System, which you could have confirmed by checking its [website](#); cf. [printouts](#) of their webbionotes infra.♦
2. Knowledge of it is imputed to you, all the other members of the Commission, and your [assistants](#), e.g., Lee [Kiklier](#), who on November 3 sent me letter “Re: 2022/N-1084”[‡] dismissing the complaint on the following grounds: “Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission’s jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System (UCS), which does not appear to apply to your complaint”. In my letter to you of November 7♦, I protested that knowingly and thus intentionally false grounds for dismissal.
3. On December 6, Executive Assistant to the Deputy Administrator Laura A. [Soto](#) wrote in “Re: File No. 2022/N-1259-60” that “Your complaint will be presented to the Commission, which will decide whether or not to inquire into it”[‡]. The Commission includes three fellow UCS judges and lawyers.
4. On January 10, 2023, Clerk of the Commission Celia A. [Zahner](#) wrote in “Re: File No. 2022/N-1084”, thus: “The commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint. [Its] jurisdiction is limited to misconduct of judges of the New York State unified court system”.
5. You, as a principal, have stated through the letters of your assistants that my complaint would be and was presented to the Commission. The dismissal decision is a Commission decision. The Commission predicated it on “false statements” pretending that the judges that I complained about are not UCS members. Its dismissal furthers a cover-up on behalf of officers, including fellow Bronx judges, prosecutors, and members of the NYPD, who sought an indictment from a grand jury based on false evidence and accusations, and complicitly coordinated the discharge of a grand juror, i.e., me on May 25, 2022, who questioned the irrelevance and insufficiency of the evidence presented.
6. These officers and the Commission are not the proverbial angels that during their life dedicated to “the legitimate needs of law enforcement” woke up one day, engaged in “perjury and contempt”, “tampering”, and “a scheme to defraud” defendants and jurors, but resumed their life of rectitude. Rather, the complaint and the [statements](#) infra reveal the “pattern of criminal activity of a criminal enterprise of a group of persons sharing a common purpose”, a felony under the Enterprise Corruption Law, NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460, NY’s version of the federal [RICO](#) Act.

A. Action requested

7. Therefore, I respectfully request that the Commission:
 - a. investigate this complaint♦, which is updated by the letter of [February 23](#); §B; et seq.;[‡]
 - b. issue me with an order to produce the 4,743-word, 8-page, sworn statement dated May 26, 2022, that I submitted to J. Yearwood describing the events that prompted this complaint; and
 - c. ask me to come to your office for a recorded interview of me by you about this case.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

February 20, 2023

Mr. Joseph W. Belluck, Chair
New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct (CJC)
61 Broadway, Ste 1200, NY, NY 10006; tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757; www.cjc.ny.gov

Dear Chair Belluck,

1. I filed a complaint, acknowledged by CJC as [received on](#) September 23, 2022, against two justices of the NYS Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, tel. (718)618-3700, 265 E. 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451: Administrative J. Alvin [Yearwood](#) and Grand Jury J. Laurence [Busching](#). That description sufficed for you to know that they are members of the NYS Unified Court System, which you could have confirmed by checking its [website](#); cf. [printouts](#) of their webbionotes *infra*.[♦]
2. Knowledge of it is imputed to you, all the other members of the Commission, and your [assistants](#), e.g., Lee [Kiklier](#), who on November 3 sent me letter “Re: 2022/N-1084”[‡] dismissing the complaint on the following grounds: “Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission’s jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System (UCS), which does not appear to apply to your complaint”. In my letter to you of November 7[♦], I protested that knowingly and thus intentionally false grounds for dismissal.
3. On December 6, Executive Assistant to the Deputy Administrator Laura A. [Soto](#) wrote in “Re: File No. 2022/N-1259-60” that “Your complaint will be presented to the Commission, which will decide whether or not to inquire into it”[‡]. The Commission includes three fellow UCS judges and lawyers.
4. On January 10, 2023, Clerk of the Commission Celia A. [Zahner](#) wrote in “Re: File No. 2022/N-1084”, thus: “The commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint. [Its] jurisdiction is limited to misconduct of judges of the New York State unified court system”.
5. You, as a principal, have stated through the letters of your assistants that my complaint would be and was presented to the Commission. The dismissal decision is a Commission decision. The Commission predicated it on “false statements” pretending that the judges that I complained about are not UCS members. Its dismissal furthers a cover-up on behalf of officers, including fellow Bronx judges, prosecutors, and members of the NYPD, who sought an indictment from a grand jury based on false evidence and accusations, and complicitly coordinated the discharge of a grand juror, i.e., me on May 25, 2022, who questioned the irrelevance and insufficiency of the evidence presented.
6. These officers and the Commission are not the proverbial angels that during their life dedicated to “the legitimate needs of law enforcement” woke up one day, engaged in “perjury and contempt”, “tampering”, and “a scheme to defraud” defendants and jurors, but resumed their life of rectitude. Rather, the complaint and the [statement infra](#) point to the “pattern of criminal activity of a criminal enterprise of a group of persons sharing a common purpose”, a felony under the Enterprise Corruption Law, NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460, NY’s version of the federal [RICO](#) Act.

A. Action requested

7. Therefore, I respectfully request that the Commission:
 - a. investigate this complaint[♦], which is updated by the letter of February 19 [hereunder](#)[‡];
 - b. issue me with an order to produce the 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement dated May 28, 2022, that I submitted to J. Yearwood describing the events that prompted this complaint; and
 - c. ask me to come to your office for a recorded interview about this case.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

12th JD – Criminal Supreme, Bronx



Accessibility (ADA)

Criminal Term – Court Info

Jurors

FAQ

Calendars

WebCrims Court Appearance

Civil Term

Statewide Info

Links

Bronx and/or

Choose Court Type 

OL3:1570c

NYCOURTS.GOV

Judicial Directory

JUDGES OF THE TRIAL COURTS

Select from below to view an alphabetical listing of trial court judges.

[A](#) [B](#) [C](#) [D](#) [E](#) [F](#) [G](#) [H](#) [I](#) [J](#) [K](#) [L](#) [M](#) [N](#) [O](#) [P](#) [Q](#) [R](#) [S](#) [T](#) [U](#) [V](#) [W](#) [X](#) [Y](#) [Z](#)



[Abbate, Rosamaria](#)
[Acker, Christi](#)
[Ackerman, Andra L.](#)
[Adams, Rachel](#)
[Adams, Suzanne](#)
[Adler, Lester](#)
[Agazarian, Jaclene A.](#)
[Aherne, Elizabeth Eleanor](#)
[Aldrich, M. Liberty](#)
[Alexander, David](#)
[Alexander, Katherine D.](#)
[Alford, Gary R.](#)
[Ally, Shahabuddeen](#)
[Aloise, Michael](#)
[Alomar, Karina](#)
[Alonso, Marisol](#)
[Alpert, Paul](#)
[Alvarado, Efrain](#)
[Amaker, Tamiko A.](#)
[Ambekar, Deepa](#)
[Ambro, Richard](#)
[Amoroso, Gregory](#)
[An, Giyang](#)
[Andrews, John](#)
[Andrews, Ross P.](#)
[Antignani, Stephen](#)
[Antonacci, Robert](#)
[Anzalone, Anna](#)
[Aragona, Maria](#)
[Argento, Victoria](#)
[Arias, Maria](#)
[Ark, John](#)
[Armstrong, Adrian](#)
[Arriaga, Frederick C.](#)
[Arrindell, Travis J.](#)
[Asforis, Evon](#)

NYCOURTS.GOV

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM

Judicial Directory

JUDGES HOME

[Judges of the Court of Appeals](#)

Judges of the Appellate Divisions:

- [First Dept.](#)
- [Second Dept.](#)
- [Third Dept.](#)
- [Fourth Dept.](#)

[Judges of the Trial Courts](#)

[Administrative Directory of Executive Officers, Administrative Judges, & Supervising Judges](#)

JUDGES OF THE TRIAL COURTS

Hon. Alvin M. Yearwood



Supreme Court, Bronx County
851 Grand Concourse
Bronx, NY 11201
(718) 618-3788

Judicial Offices

Acting Supreme Court Justice, Supreme Court, Bronx County, Appointed by Mayor, Bill deBlasio, 2018 to 2029

Judge, Criminal Court of the City of New York, Appointed by Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg, 2003 to 2005, Re-appointed 2006 to 2008, 2009 to 2018

Other Professional Experience

Office of the District Attorney, Bronx County, Assistant District Attorney, 1998 to 2003

Alvin Yearwood, Attorney at Law, Attorney, 1997 to 1998

Leonard Zack and Associates, Associate, 1997 to 1997

Leahey and Johnson, Associate, 1996 to 1997

Office of the District Attorney, Bronx County, Assistant District Attorney, 1988 to 1996

Heidell, Pittoni, Murphy and Bach PC, Associate, 1987 to 1988

Office of the District Attorney, Nassau County, 1987 to 1987

Admission to the Bar

NYS, Appellate Division, Second Department, 1987

Connecticut, 1986

United States District Court, Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, 1988

Education

J.D. Boston University School of Law, 1986

NYCOURTS.GOV NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM**Judicial Directory**+ [JUDGES HOME](#)[Judges of the Court of Appeals](#)**Judges of the Appellate Divisions:**

- [First Dept.](#)
- [Second Dept.](#)
- [Third Dept.](#)
- [Fourth Dept.](#)

[Judges of the Trial Courts](#)
[Administrative Directory of Executive
Officers, Administrative Judges, &
Supervising Judges](#)
JUDGES OF THE TRIAL COURTS**Hon. Laurence E. Busching**

Criminal Court of the City of New York, Bronx County
265 East 161st Street
Bronx, NY 10451
(718) 618-2532

Judicial Offices

Acting Supreme Court Justice, Criminal Court of the City of New York, Bronx County, Appointed by Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks, 2019 to Present

Judge, Criminal Court of the City of New York, New York County, Appointed by Mayor Michael Bloomberg, 2013 to Present

Other Professional Experience

NYC Mayor's Office, First Deputy Criminal Justice Coordinator, 2012 to 2013

NYC Administration for Children's Services, Executive Deputy Commissioner, 2010 to 2012

New York City Law Department, Chief of the Family Court Division, 2005 to 2010

New York County District Attorney's Office, Chief of Family Violence and Child Abuse Bureau, 2000 to 2004

Admission to the Bar

NYS, Appellate Division, Second Department, 1991

Education

J.D., St. John's University School of Law, 1990

B.A., Boston College, 1987

Publications

Rethinking Strategies for Prosecution of Domestic Violence in the Wake of Crawford, 71 Brook. L. Rev. 391, 2005

Professional & Civic Activities

19 July 23



NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ROBERT J. MILLER
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
RONALD J. ROSENBERG
GRAHAM B. SEITER
HON. ANIL C. SINGH
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS
CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

646-386-4800 518-299-1757
TELEPHONE FACSIMILE
www.cjc.ny.gov

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

July 17, 2023

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Boulevard
Bronx, New York 10472

Re: File Nos. 2022/N-1259, 1260

Dear Dr. Cordero:

The Commission has asked me to respond to your February 20, 2023 letter and your additional correspondence concerning the disposition of your complaint.

The New York State Constitution and the Commission's governing statute (Judiciary Law §40 *et seq.*) do not provide for appeal or reconsideration of a decision by the Commission dismissing a complaint.

The Commission carefully considered your complaint. Your recent correspondence and information you submitted do not contain information that would change the Commission's decision dismissing your earlier complaint. The Commission is not a court of law and does not have the authority to intervene in a case or review the merits of a judge's rulings.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "C. Zahner", written over a horizontal line.

Celia A. Zahner
Clerk of the Commission

2 mar 24



NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
BRIAN C. DOYLE
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ROBERT J. MILLER
NINA M. MOORE
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
GRAHAM B. SEITER
HON. ANIL C. SINGH
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS
CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

646-386-4800 518-299-1757
TELEPHONE FACSIMILE
www.cjc.ny.gov

CONFIDENTIAL

February 26, 2024

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL

MARK LEVINE
DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR

BRENDA CORREA
JENNIFER L. LOWRY
MELISSA DIPALO
PRINCIPAL ATTORNEYS

VICKIE MA
ERIC ARNONE
SENIOR ATTORNEYS

KELVIN S. DAVIS
STELLA E. GILLILAND
ADAM B. KAHAN
STAFF ATTORNEYS

ALAN W. FRIEDBERG
SPECIAL COUNSEL

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472-6506

Re: File No. 2024/N-0197-99

Dear Dr. Cordero:

The New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct acknowledges receipt of your complaint dated January 24, 2024.

The Commission reviews all complaints and decides whether or not to inquire into them. We will communicate with you again after it has reviewed the matter and rendered a disposition.

For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.

Very truly yours,

Debrah-Ann Douglas

Debrah-Ann Douglas
Administrative Assistant

Enclosure

February 23, 2023

Acting Chief Judge Anthony Cannataro
(individualized to each of his fellow judges)
New York State Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street, Albany, NY 12207
tel. (518)455-7700

NYS AG Letitia James
c/o Public Integrity Bureau Chief Gerard Murphy
28 Liberty Street, 15th Floor, NY, NY 10005
tel. (212) 416-8610, (800)771-7755
public.integrity@ag.ny.gov

Dear ACJ Cannataro, AG James, Chief Murphy, and addressees in ¶6 and §§C, D infra, ♦

1. This is a follow-up to my letters♦ to former CJ J. DiFiore, Deputy Chief Administrative Judge D. Kaplan, et al., to no avail. Yet, they concern prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges at the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. On May 23 and 24, 2022, the first days of a grand jury term, ADA Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta presented an indictment for murder allegedly committed on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street lined with restaurants and bodegas. When they asked whether jurors had questions, I, a grand juror, asked critical ones because the 12 exhibits that they presented contained:
 - a. not a single photo or video of the scene of the crime or of the victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring restaurants and bodegas, or any bystander ...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police incident report; medical examiner autopsy report; or death or burial certificate;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
2. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of “being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable”; presented no evidence; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
3. I described these events in a May 26, 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement and submitted it to Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, who sits in the same building. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. Busching, who as judge in his own cause biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. These judges aided and condoned prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on “unfounded accusations”.

A. Action requested

4. There are more details, augmented by those stated herein, concerning the irrelevant and insufficient evidence presented to support false accusations; the discharge; and the complicit dereliction of duty in aid of a cover-up by the officers with authority and duty-bound to investigate this case to whom I submitted it, many of whom are listed in ¶6 and §§C, D infra. Thus, I respectfully request that you:
 - a. investigate this case, taking cognizance of my §B. Statement, sworn to under 28 U.S.C. §1746; the February 20 letter; and the copies of communications below and at ♦ and ‡;
 - b. call J. Yearwood to ask for a copy of my May 26 statement and the transcript of the discharge;
 - c. based on your authority to investigate this kind of case, issue me with an order to produce the May 26 statement, which will entitle me to disclose it and with which I will comply; and
 - d. ask me to discuss this case with you, on video conference if not in NYC; otherwise, in person.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*



**UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®**

Certificate Of

M

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS®.
This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472-6506

To: Acting Chief Judge Anthony Cannataro
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207

PS Form **3817**, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

RDC 06



U.S. POSTAGE
USPS RETAIL
BRONX, NY
10462
FEB 24, 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2305K143190



**UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®**

PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

02/24/2023

05:42 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
USPS Retail Ground® 1			\$10.55
Albany, NY 12207			
Weight: 2 lb 4.00 oz			
Estimated Delivery Date			
Mon 02/27/2023			
Tracking #:			
9534 6141 9821 3055 2255 80			
Cert of Mailing			\$1.85
Total			\$12.40

Grand Total: \$12.40

Credit Card Remit \$12.40

Card Name: VISA
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX5689
Approval #: 06780B
Transaction #: 374
AID: A0000000031010 Chip
AL: VISA CREDIT
PIN: Not Required

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS)
to get the latest status. Standard Message
and Data rates may apply. You may also
visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call
1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-51000087-1-5805545-2
Clerk: 70



Certificate of Mailing

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS®.
This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordery, Esq.

2165 Bruckner Blvd

Bronx, NY 10472-6506

To: Hon. Tamiko Amaker, Admin. J.

NYC Criminal Court

1 Centre St., 16th Floor

New York, NY 10007-1602

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
BRONX, NY
10462
FEB 23, 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2305H130257-16



PARKWAY
2100 WHITE PLAINS RD FRNT 3
BRONX, NY 10462-9992
(800)275-8777

02/23/2023

04:02 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail®	1		\$2.46
Large Envelope			
New York, NY 10007			
Weight: 0 lb 6.00 oz			
Estimated Delivery Date			
Mon 02/27/2023			
Cert of Mailing			\$1.85
Affixed Postage			-\$0.35
Affixed Amount: \$0.35			
Total			\$3.96

Grand Total: \$3.96

Credit Card Remit \$2.00

Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXXX9750
Approval #: 01668M
Transaction #: 763
AID: A0000000041010
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Chip

Credit Card Remit \$1.96

Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXXX2499
Approval #: 00060M
Transaction #: 764
AID: A0000000041010
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Chip

Preview your Mail

Track your Packages

Sign up for FREE ®

<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.

Refunds for guaranteed services only.

Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.

Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350939-0136

Receipt #: 840-51000084-3-8554497-2

Clerk: 16

22 October 2023

**Fabricated indictments
based on false and insufficient evidence presented to grand juries by
prosecutors, police, and judges who abuse the jurors'
ignorance of the law and untrained and uncritical judgment, and
reciprocally cover up their dereliction of honest service and due process duties[‡]**
The proposal to expose the abusers through UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS.[‡]

A. Jointly exposing the fabricators of indictments and those who cover for them

1. This is a call by a grand juror lawyer to abusees, class action lawyers, and investigative journalists, to join forces to expose those public officers in the administration of justice who have participated in the fabrication of indictments based on [false and insufficient evidence](#) and/or its cover-up, e.g.:
 - a. former NY State [Chief Judge](#) Janet DiFiore; former acting Chief Judge Anthony Cannataro; Bronx Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood & Grand Jury J. Laurence Busching; et al.;
 - b. former NY Police Department (NYPD) captain and now [NY City Mayor](#) Eric Adams;
 - c. NYPD [Internal Affairs Bureau](#) (IAB) Chief Miguel Iglesias & [Commissioner Edward Caban](#);
 - d. [NY City Public Advocate](#) Jumaane Williams and his county counterparts in Bronx; et al.
2. Since 28 May 2022, some thirty public officers, whether personal or institutional, have been informed by [letter](#), email, and telephone of the fabricated indictments. A sample of the email headings and text is collected in a [pdf file[‡]](#); the addressees' email addresses are in the bloc of addresses below. Nevertheless, the officers have failed to even reply to them.
3. Their failure betrays coordination among them consisting in harmonious conduct in furtherance of common interests. Circumstantial evidence is as sufficient to establish such coordination as it is in a criminal case where it provides the predicate for the jury to find the defendant guilty and punishable by death. They have given rise to 'a community of interests too big to be held accountable'.
 - a. The officers have an interest in avoiding retaliation from the judges, who would close ranks to protect any fellow judge implicated in admitting into evidence or covering up fabricated indictments; and strike back at the implicators. The implication may derive from judges' possessing actual knowledge of the fabrication or committing willful ignorance or blindness.
 - b. Prosecutors and police officers are interested in using fabricated indictments as leverage to coerce acceptance of even very unfavorable terms in plea bargains that they offer people in their custody: The more cases they close without, or win at, trial, the better their chances of being reelected or promoted.
4. In purpose and effect, whether implicitly or explicitly, for their gain and convenience, the coordinated public officers run a corrupt and [racketeering](#) enterprise. Thereby they violate the [Enterprise Corruption](#) Law, NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-[PEN §460](#); and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ([RICO](#)), [18 U.S.C. §§1961](#) to 1968. They have committed:
 - a. abuse of the public power entrusted to them for the benefit of the sovereign source and destination of all public power in a democracy: *We the People*;
 - b. dereliction of duty to investigate allegations of wrongdoing and enforce the law;
 - c. denial of due process and equal protection under law, causing the injury in fact of:

- d. deprivation of liberty and property of those falsely accused of a crime, who incur expense to make bail, lose their jobs, and suffer reputational impairment by having a criminal record;
 - e. obstruction of the administration of justice;
 - f. denial of honest services to be rendered through due diligence;
 - g. a cover-up to ensure reciprocal complicit protection: ‘I don’t investigate you and you don’t investigate me’; and
 - h. deprivation of the right to be a grand jury member, confront accusers, present evidence, etc.
5. The exposure of [coordinated abuse of power](#), [public unaccountability](#), and need for [justice system reform](#) can turn those issues into decisive ones of the presidential debates, the primaries, and the general election campaign.
6. An informed and outraged public can incentivize the holding of the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).
- a. The citizens hearings are to be held by journalists, media outlets, Information Technology (IT) and AI experts, and [journalism](#), [law](#), and [IT](#) students and professors.
 - b. Their venue will be media stations and school auditoriums.
 - c. They will be transmitted via the Internet so that wherever abusees and the audience are, they can tell and listen to the [stories](#) of the abuse that they have suffered or witnessed by those who have fabricated indictments or covered them up. See [the two-phase method](#) for writing your story in up to 500 words and in a way so that it is accurate, significant, and verifiable.
 - d. At the hearings, the abusees will shout self-assertively the rallying cry: *Enough is enough!* We won’t take any abuse by anybody anymore.
 - e. Thus informing and outraging state and national audiences, the hearings will encourage ever more people to tell their story. A self-reinforcing process will snowball.
7. The citizens hearings can give rise to both a multidisciplinary academic and [business investigation](#); and the development of a national, civic, *Me Too!*-like movement. Both will contribute to implementing a [plan of action](#) that is concrete, realistic, and feasible.
- a. The hearings and the plan are aimed to spark the formation of a powerhouse centered on the media and academe that compels politicians, lest they be voted out of, or not into, office by an informed and outraged national public to join the exposure of indictments fabricators; the collective demand for [compensation](#) for the abusees; and the pursuit of judicial reform.
 - b. That powerhouse can grow so strong as to bring about transformative change in American society and government to carry out the will of *the People*.
8. This is the most propitious time to respond to the call to join forces because the national public is receptive to it and resentful of public abuse of power and unaccountability:
- a. Supreme Court justices have been exposed for engaging in unethical and illegal conduct; and failing to ‘disqualify themselves in proceedings where their impartiality might reasonably be questioned’ ([28 U.S.C. §445](#)), e.g., due to conflict of interests arising from their decades-long beneficial personal relationship with ‘Friends of the Justices’, such as billionaire Harlan Crow, as revealed by [ProPublica](#). Consequently, public trust in the justices has plummeted;
 - b. the judicial treatment of President Biden’s son and former President Trump has sparked al-

legations of double judicial standards and the politization of the Department of Justice; and

- c. the current electoral season will allow politicians, whether principled or opportunistic, to turn judicial abuse, unaccountability, and reform into the distinguishing issues of their platform in an effort to earn public recognition as *the People's* Champions of Justice.

B. Thousands[‡] of emails have been, and continue to be, sent to officers and entities duty-bound to safeguard the integrity of the justice system

9. These are email addressees to whom emails[‡] have been sent since 7 June 2022:

iab@nypd.org, iabcmdcntr@nypd.org, Shawn.Morris@nypd.org, Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org,
Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org, Fernando.Garza@nypd.org, Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org,
Kandice.Hall@nypd.org, Robert.Candela@nypd.org, John.McLoughlin@nypd.org,
Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org, outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov, bronxjury@nycourts.gov,
question@nycourts.gov, ig@nycourts.gov, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
agencyemail@customercare.nyc.gov, doipress@doi.nyc.gov, reception@advocate.nyc.gov,
nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov, gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov, jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov,
rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov, recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov, NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov,
public.integrity@ag.ny.gov, ig.press@ig.ny.gov, Press.Office@exec.ny.gov, mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov,
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov, mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov, pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov, lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov, webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov, jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov, amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov, accessibility@council.nyc.gov,
dinowitz@council.nyc.gov, district8@council.nyc.gov, district12@council.nyc.gov,
district13@council.nyc.gov, district14@council.nyc.gov, district15@council.nyc.gov,
district16@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov,
socratessolano2021@gmail.com, Info@bronxdefenders.org, justineo@bronxdefenders.org,
msellick@bronxdefenders.org, media@bronxdefenders.org, corderoric@yahoo.com,

10. I respectfully offer you and your colleagues and guests to make via video conference or, if in New York City, in person, a presentation on how to join forces to achieve the above-stated exposure, compensation, and reform; followed by a Questions & Answers session.

11. The presentation is supported by my professional [law research and writing](#), and [strategic thinking](#). They are the skills that undergird my three-volume study^{*†} of judges and their judiciaries titled:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{*†}

12. The study discusses the axiom 'Unaccountability breeds abuse'. Its corollary is 'What [judges](#) allow themselves to do -regardless of the requirement to "avoid the even the appearance of impropriety" (§100.2), as exposed by [The Wall Street Journal](#) and [Thomson Reuters](#)-, others copy and exceed'.

- a. [Former President](#) Trump was found by NY State [Judge Arthur Engoron](#) to have committed business fraud, as stated in his decision of 26 September 2023. How much encouragement did he receive to defraud from his sister, former 3rd Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, who was going to be investigated for fraud before she simply resigned with impunity([¶16c](#))?

13. Some of my articles on unaccountability and abuse of power are posted to my website [Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#). They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of 22 October 2023, the number of visitors that had become subscribers was [49,036](#).

C. A similar case of coordinated abuse of power and cover-up, one involving Medicare and related medical services and equipment providers

14. Similar emails have been sent since 4 October 2022 to the top officers of Medicare and related entities named infra. They have not replied or produced discovery, disclosure, or the overdue decision of the Medicare Appeals Council. Such failure to reply, produce, or decide results from, or works in effect, a coordinated cover-up to obstruct justice. Those officers have abused their power. They need to be **exposed** through the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**(¶6↑) on:

**A call
to Medicare Appeals Council
to decide appeal M-23-386, filed on 28 October 2022 and still pending;
and
to people who have been denied their rights by Medicare and related entities,
to class action law firms, and to investigative journalists,
to join forces to expose the abuse of power and cover-up
affecting so many people who assert their rights as
single party to their stand-alone case and even do so without a lawyer (pro se),
and as a result, have barely any chance against coordinated
hospitals, medical practitioners, equipment and laboratory services providers,
health insurance companies and health management organizations (HMOs)
and their networks of services and equipment providers,
medical decisions reviewers, administrative law judges,
Medicare, Medicaid, and the Medicare Appeals Council...with their lawyers,
all of whom coordinate their pursuit of their common interest in
enlarging their networks of services and equipment providers;
denying claims of people to save money; and/or
billing them for the balance of medical bills in excess of
what the tables of medical costs allow by law and contract, which
constitutes balance billing and has been illegal since 1997*(OL3:1611§A)
because it defeats the purpose of medical costs limited by health insurance,
thus prompting the recent adoption by Congress of the **No Surprise Bill Act****

1. emails sent To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov, OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov, OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov, appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov, James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov, Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov, john.colter@hhs.gov, erin.brown@hhs.gov, erin.nugent@hhs.gov, Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov, Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov, alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov, hillary.didona@hhs.gov, dawn.kos@hhs.gov, James.Brown@hhs.gov, leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov, Sherese.Warren@hhs.gov, Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, medicareappeal@maximus.com, SHillegass@emblemhealth.com, esosa@emblemhealth.com, CManalansan@emblemhealth.com, lcampos@emblemhealth.com, toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com, SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com, EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com, sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com, M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com, sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com, Corderoric@yahoo.com,

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and enter it.

D. Sample of thousands of emails on Fabricated Indictments sent since 7 June 2022, from Dr. Cordero's email accounts at Verizon, Yahoo, and Judicial Discipline Reform to prosecutors, police, and judges, who have failed to answer them, as they did **letters and messages recorded on their telephone answering machines, thus committing a coordinated cover-up**

1. Sample of emails up to 30 September 2023 from 7 June 2022

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>

To: iab@nypd.org <iab@nypd.org>; iabcmdctr@nypd.org <iabcmdctr@nypd.org>; outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov <outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov>; Shawn.Morris@nypd.org <shawn.morris@nypd.org>; Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org <sherman.tyson@nypd.org>; Fernando.Garza@nypd.org <fernando.garza@nypd.org>; Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org <billy.ramirez@nypd.org>; Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org <jesus.ramos@nypd.org>; Kandice.Hall@nypd.org <kandice.hall@nypd.org>; Robert.Candela@nypd.org <robert.candela@nypd.org>; John.McLoughlin@nypd.org <john.mcloughlin@nypd.org>; Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org <xiomara.linton@nypd.org>; question@nycourts.gov <question@nycourts.gov>; bronxjury@nycourts.gov <bronxjury@nycourts.gov>; ig@nycourts.gov <ig@nycourts.gov>; agencymail@customercare.nyc.gov <agencymail@customercare.nyc.gov>; doipress@doi.nyc.gov <doipress@doi.nyc.gov>; rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov <rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov>; reception@advocate.nyc.gov <reception@advocate.nyc.gov>; nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov <nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov>; gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov <gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov>; jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov <jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov>; recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov <recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov>; NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov <nyag.pressoffice@ag.ny.gov>; public.integrity@ag.ny.gov <public.integrity@ag.ny.gov>; ig.press@ig.ny.gov <ig.press@ig.ny.gov>; Press.Office@exec.ny.gov <press.office@exec.ny.gov>; mtscsiq1@bb.nyc.gov <mtscsiq1@bb.nyc.gov>; scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov <scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov <pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov <mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov <everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov <lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov <jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov <webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov <jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov <rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov <amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; accessibility@council.nyc.gov <accessibility@council.nyc.gov>; dinowitz@council.nyc.gov <dinowitz@council.nyc.gov>; district8@council.nyc.gov <district8@council.nyc.gov>; district12@council.nyc.gov <district12@council.nyc.gov>; district13@council.nyc.gov <district13@council.nyc.gov>; district14@council.nyc.gov <district14@council.nyc.gov>; district15@council.nyc.gov <district15@council.nyc.gov>; district16@council.nyc.gov <district16@council.nyc.gov>; district18@council.nyc.gov <district18@council.nyc.gov>; socratessolano2021@gmail.com <socratessolano2021@gmail.com>; Info@bronxdefenders.org <info@bronxdefenders.org>; justineo@bronxdefenders.org <justineo@bronxdefenders.org>; media@bronxdefenders.org <media@bronxdefenders.org>; corderoric@yahoo.com <corderoric@yahoo.com>; DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org <drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org>; dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>

Cc: eric.sylvers@wsj.com <eric.sylvers@wsj.com>; michael.siconolfi@wsj.com <michael.siconolfi@wsj.com>; jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com <jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com>; christopher.stewart@wsj.com <christopher.stewart@wsj.com>; clare.ansberry@wsj.com <clare.ansberry@wsj.com>; kate.milani@wsj.com <kate.milani@wsj.com>; Amy.Stevens@thomsonreuters.com <amy.stevens@thomsonreuters.com>; blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com <blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com>; diana.jones2@thomsonreuters.com <diana.jones2@thomsonreuters.com>; mike.scarcella@thomsonreuters.com <mike.scarcella@thomsonreuters.com>; marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com <marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com>; tr.legal.updates@thomsonreuters.com <tr.legal.updates@thomsonreuters.com>; Noeleen.Walder@thomsonreuters.com <noeleen.walder@thomsonreuters.com>; info@legalprof.thomsonreuters.com <info@legalprof.thomsonreuters.com>; Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org <stephen.engelberg@propublica.org>; media@propublica.org <media@propublica.org>; info@propublica.org <info@propublica.org>; newsletters@lists.propublica.net <newsletters@lists.propublica.net>; heather.long@washpost.com <heather.long@washpost.com>; Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com <kimberly.kindy@washpost.com>;

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

26 October 2023

Chief Judge Rowan Wilson, Associate Judges, tel. (518)455-7700, and
Chief Administrative Judge Joseph Zayas; tel. in Albany (518)453-8680; in NYC (212)428-2884
Principal Administrative Secretary Tonya Speckhardt; tspeckha@nycourts.gov
NYS Court of Appeals, 20 Eagle Street, Albany, NY 12207

Dear Chief Judges Wilson and Zayas, and Associate Judges,¹

In your [materials](#), C.J. Wilson, for your webinar at the NYS Academy of Trial Lawyers on October 24, 2023, you wrote that “the common law is meant to be grounded in principles of fundamental fairness and justice [so that] judges’ job is arriving at a just result”.

No “just result” can be arrived at when judicial process begins with judges covering for indictments fabricated on [false and insufficient](#)^{1558¶1} evidence by DAs and police officers who abuse their power to exploit the jurors’ ignorance of the law and untrained and uncritical judgment.

I, a lawyer with a Ph.D. in law, witnessed such fabrication [as a grand juror](#) at the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451, on May 23 and 24, 2022. After the presentation of the indictment, I asked critical questions in the grand jury room. Thereupon, the presenting and the supervising assistant district attorneys, namely, ADA Burim Namani and ADA Diana Jetta, respectively, referred me to Grand Jury Justice Laurence [Busching](#). He discharged me on May 25, 2022, peremptorily without even allowing me to confront the accusers, whether those ADAs, any of the other 20 grand jurors, or the grand jury warden.

I complained in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement of facts dated May 28, 2022, to Administrative Justice Alvin [Yearwood](#), who did not even reply or take any of my calls.

I filed a complaint with the [Commission](#) on Judicial Conduct, which dismissed it on the patently [false allegation](#) that it lacked jurisdiction because those [judges](#) “are [not members](#) of the NYS Unified Court System”!² To protect your [colleagues](#), do you [condone](#) such [dishonesty](#) by CJC?

I complained in writing to each of the [Court of Appeals](#) judges on 23 February 2023; and to the NYC and NYS administrative [judges](#); I called and left messages for them [repeatedly](#).¹ None replied.

Are you so committed to “fundamental fairness and justice” that you will investigate how as a result of judges’ pattern of condoning fabricated indictments likely thousands of individuals have had their lives devastated by being jailed; forced to incur burdensome debt to make bail; and tainted or ruined domestically, socially, and professionally by a criminal record? You have the duty and the authority to expose the judges’ non-coincidental dereliction of duty, abuse of power, obstruction of justice, and reciprocal protection through an explicitly or implicitly coordinated cover-up.³

Action requested: I respectfully request that you investigate this matter, and to that end:

- call Judge Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, to ask for a copy of my May 28, 2022, sworn statement and the transcript of the court reporter’s record of Judge Busching’s May 25 discharge of me;
- based on your authority to investigate this kind of cases, issue me with an order to produce that statement, which will entitle me to disclose it without risking statutory penalties; and
- ask me to discuss this case with you, in person if in NYC; otherwise, via video conference.

Dare shout “[L 100Ñσ\\$](#) ” You may trigger history and enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero Esq.

¹ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

².../[IAB/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf](#) ³.../[IAB/DrRCordero_fabricated_indictments-public_officers.pdf](#)



CORNELL
1950 LAFAYETTE AVE
BRONX, NY 10473-9998
(800)275-8777

10/28/2023

04:07 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
USPS Grnd Advtg	1		\$6.25
Albany NY 12207			
Weight: 0 lb 8.90 oz			
Estimated Delivery Date			
Wed 11/01/2023			
Tracking #:			
9500 1150 6462 3301 8353 54			
Insurance			\$0.00
Up to \$100.00 included			
Affixed Postage			-\$3.50
Affixed Amount: \$3.50			
Total			\$2.75

Grand Total: \$2.75

Credit Card Remit \$2.75

Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX1591
Approval #: 02808B
Transaction #: 710
AID: A0000000041010 Chip
AL: Mastercard
PIN: Not Required

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS)
to get the latest status. Standard Message
and Data rates may apply. You may also
visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call
1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of
insurance. For information on filing an
insurance claim go to
<https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm>
or call 1-800-222-1811

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350923-0113
Receipt #: 840-51000107-2-9590759-2
Clerk: 03



USPS® Item Delivered, Individual Picked Up at Postal Facility 9500115064623301835354

From:auto-reply@usps.com

To:corderoric@yahoo.com

Date:Tuesday, October 31, 2023 at 10:24 AM EDT



Hello **Richard Cordero**,

Your item was picked up at a postal facility at 10:04 am on October 31, 2023 in ALBANY, NY 12207.

Tracking Number:

[9500115064623301835354](#)

**Delivered, Individual Picked Up at
Postal Facility**



Tracking & Delivery Options

[My Account](#)

Get Tracking Updates in a Daily Email

Our Informed Delivery® feature sends you a daily email with mail and packages arriving soon. Tracking numbers are automatically included so you don't have to manually track your deliveries.

Sign Up for Free



Expected delivery date and time is subject to change, but if it does we'll send you an email update. Delivery options not available for all packages at all times.

Visit **[USPS Tracking](#)**® to check the most up-to-date status of your package. Sign up for **[Informed Delivery](#)**® to digitally preview the address side of your incoming letter-sized mail and

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

15 November 2023

Tonya Speckhardt
Principal Administrative Secretary
NYS Unified Court System – OCA
4 ESP, Suite 2001
Albany, NY 12223
tspeckha@nycourts.gov
tel. (518) 453-8680

Dear Ms. Speckhardt,

Thank you for taking my call this morning, November 15, 2023.

I mentioned that I, as a lawyer and a grand juror in a Bronx criminal court term, witnessed first-hand the fabrication of indictments by district attorneys and police officers. In reaction to my critical question, I was discharged peremptorily by the grand jury judge.¹

I have brought and continue to bring my complaint on behalf of the fabricated indictments victims and myself to the attention of authorities by letter, email, and phone calls.² I have only received by email reference numbers whose meaning nobody knows so that the numbers cannot be traced back to find the status of the complaint. See the file that I emailed you and NYS Chief Administrative Judge Joseph Zayas on November 12, 2023, at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf >page OL3:1568.

In the same vein, kindly find below the automatic email form that I have repeatedly received from the Unified Court System² in reply to my complaint. Despite its assertion that "Your e-mail...will be reviewed", it has not been and has not been given any follow-up...not even a reference number!

If a complaint against abuse of power and corruption by the authorities is emailed daily month after month to some 30 authorities and none takes any action, not even to ask that they be removed from the emailing list, jurors together with you can reasonably infer that there is in place a coordinated cover-up. Jurors and you are allowed to draw reasonable inferences from "the totality of circumstances".³

If I sent you my email daily from now on, would you object to me; forward it to your superiors; take some other action reasonably calculated to be more effective;...or simply keep passively receiving it despite its impact as a conscience-nagging reminder that you should do something on behalf of the victims and that you have a duty to proceed with due diligence as a supervisory administrator of justice?

I respectfully encourage you to take reasonable action in pursuit of justice...'as you would like others to take on your behalf'.

How would you feel if others with reckless indifference left you on a fabricated indictment in Rikers while they feasted on Thanksgiving?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and enter it.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: QUESTION <question@nycourts.gov>

To: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 24, 2023 at 11:18:50 PM EDT

Subject: Automatic reply: Indictments fabricated and covered up by NY police, prosecutors, judges, and public advocates; and a call for unprecedented citizens hearings to expose similar abusers everywhere, to be held by lawyers, journalists, professors, and students

THIS IS AN AUTOMATED REPLY - PLEASE DO NOT RESPOND TO THIS EMAIL

Your e-mail to the Question@nycourts.gov inbox has been received and will be reviewed. Please be advised response times are not immediate.

If your question is **urgent**, you may call **1-800-COURTNY (1-800-268-7869)**.

Looking for the status of your case?

- You may be able to search your case using [eCourts](#)
- Or contact your courthouse directly using the [Court Locator](#)

Need forms?

- Browse [standard printable forms](#) or use the interactive [DIY forms program](#) to create legal forms.

Requesting court records?

- Court order or document from your case: [Court Records | NYCOURTS.GOV](#)
- FOIL request: [Administrative Records | NYCOURTS.GOV](#)

Change the date of your court appearance?

- Contact your court directly using the [Court Locator](#)

Have questions about jury duty?

- [Jury duty: FAQ page](#), Instructions to postpone, and other jury service information.

Additional resources:

- [Court Help](#): How-to pages explaining the court system and court procedures.
- [Court Help Centers](#): In-person or remote assistance with forms and court procedures.
- [Ask a Law Librarian service](#): Chat and email reference service.

March 8, 2024

Hon. Rowan D. Wilson, Chief Judge
Each of the other judges of the
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, NY 12207; tel. (518)455-7700

Dear Chief Judge Wilson,[‡]

1. Starting with my complaint of [May 28, 2022](#) infra, I have informed the successive chief judges, the associate judges, clerks in their offices and in that of the clerk of court of the NY State [Court of Appeals](#) (CA) of my having witnessed as a grand juror in the [Bronx County Supreme Court](#) Criminal Term in NY City the fabrication of indictments on false and insufficient evidence([§A](#)).
2. After I asked in the grand jury room critical questions pointing to such fabrication, I was discharged on the spot by Grand Jury [Justice](#) Laurence [Busching](#) with the subsequent approval of Administrative [Justice](#) Alvin [Yearwood](#). Thereby I suffered injury in fact by deprivation of my right to be a member of a grand jury; and of my constitutional rights to confront my accusers and to be afforded due process to defend myself. The defendants who were charged with murder in the fabricated indictment and others who have been victimized by such indictments have suffered and will continue to suffer even more grievous injury in fact as a result of the base motives and complicit cover-up of those to whom I have complained, some of whom are identified hereunder([§B](#)).
3. There is no denying such cover-up: Till this day, I have not received from any CA member even written acknowledgment of receipt of my letters, let alone a statement of how they will proceed. On the contrary, when I have called, clerks Heather Davis, Ann Byer, and Ms. Taylor have acknowledged that my letters were received; and stated that the respective judge would be informed of my call; and my call would be referred for action to “the Counsel”, meaning most likely Chief Clerk and Legal [Counsel Lisa LeCours](#). Nobody has contacted me. The consistency of their refusal to engage me in any discussion allows the reasonable inference -which jurors are allowed to draw even in capital cases- that their way of dealing with me had been coordinated: Public servants intentionally misled me with a false expectation despite knowing that nobody would contact me.
4. The cover-up has taken a self-incriminating form: I filed my complaint about Justices Yearwood and Busching with the [Commission on Judicial Conduct](#). The latter [dismissed](#) it alleging that the Commission [lacked jurisdiction](#) to process it because those justices were not members of the NYS [Unified Court System](#) (UCS)! I filed with Acting C.J. Cannataro, C.J. Wilson, and the other CA judges the letters between the Commission and me; and [UCS webpages](#) showing that those justices are UCS members. The CA judges knew that and received notice thereof through those webpages.
5. The CA judges and their clerks had actual knowledge of fabricated indictments and of the Commission’s mendacity; and had duties of supervision of the UCS and the Commission; ‘the end does not justify the means’; “people are deemed to intend the foreseeable consequences of their actions”; ‘power corrupts and unaccountably wielded is absolute and corrupts absolutely’; and ‘I was following orders’ affords no defense. Thus, since knowing about fabricated indictments and condoning them, the judges and clerks have constructively intended to fabricate them and coordinate their cover-up. They have sent the ‘fabricated’ inditees to, and kept them in, those hellish places of terrifying depravity and wanton violence that are the Rikers Island and the other NYS prisons.
6. The **action requested** is that you **a.** take notice of the statement of facts next; **b.** have CA issue me with a written order to disclose to it my 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement of facts([¶7d](#) infra); and **c.** investigate this complaint, summoning me to discuss it with you and the investigators.

Dare shout “*I accuse!*” ...You may trigger history and enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

OL3:1650

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Court_of_Appeals_cover-up.pdf

I, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 and under penalty of perjury that I am submitting on 8 March 2024, as true and correct to the best of my knowledge the following:

Statement of facts about indictments fabricated on false and insufficient evidence by Bronx prosecutors and NYPD officers, and covered up by judges, including those of the New York State Court of Appeals

A. How the fabrication of indictments and its cover-up were revealed

7. I am a lawyer and hold a Ph.D. in law. I was a member of a grand jury in Bronx, New York City. I had the knowledge¹ and was in a position to realize that the prosecutors and police officers had charged people with murder despite their lack of any evidence that any crime had been committed:
 - a. They presented no footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The footage of the restaurants flanking the street showed no crowd of onlookers or vehicles of the police, the medical examiner, or crime scene investigators.
 - b. One footage showed only a Chinese female customer talking to the bearded white male clerk of a bodega over the counter and it had no sound! This footage had no probative value. But it proved the saying “a prosecutor can manipulate a grand jury into indicting a ham sandwich” by exploiting grand jurors’ known indifference and uncritical judgment. So, it was presented in bad faith to mislead the grand jury into thinking that it justified the indictment.
 - c. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge. He discharged me in his courtroom with a court reporter although neither those prosecutors nor anybody else showed up to make any accusation against me.
 - d. I stated these facts in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge. Late enough, he sent it to the grand jury judge, who with no oral argument dismissed it by [letter](#) on the disingenuous allegation that the grand jury term had expired.
8. Rogue prosecutors present to a grand jury charges whose supporting evidence is lacking, false, or insufficient to warrant their degree of gravity, i.e., they are baseless or overcharges. If the jury votes them true, the prosecutors have successfully fabricated an indictment. With it, they seek to coerce the indictee into agreeing to a power abusive plea or prosecute him/her on fabricated charges.

¹ This complaint is supported by my professional [law research and writing](#), and [strategic thinking](#). They are the skills that have already produced my three-volume study^{*†♣} of judges and their judiciaries, titled thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{*†♣}**

The study discusses evidence supporting the axiom ‘Unaccountability breeds abuse’. Its corollary is ‘What [judges](#) allow themselves to do -exposed by top national news networks, e.g., [The Wall Street Journal](#), [Thomson Reuters](#), and [The Boston Globe](#), others copy and exceed’. How many judges and the individuals and entities, such as government departments and private companies, with whom they coordinate their abuse have found comfort and encouragement in the unethical and illegal acts that justices of the U.S. Supreme Court and the ‘Friends of the Justices’ have committed for decades, as revealed by [ProPublica](#)?

Some of my [articles](#)[♦] on unaccountability and abuse of power are posted to my website [Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#). They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of 13 March 2024, those who had become subscribers numbered [49,780](#). They read what was in front of them and asked for more. They can reasonably be expected to be educated, intellectually curious, influential, affluent, and ready to seek compensation in a class action against public servants who fabricate indictments and judges who in self-interest condone such fabrication and coordinate its cover-up.

♦ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

* [.../OL/....pdf](#) >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

† [.../OL2/....2.pdf](#) >from OL2:394-1143

9. The fabricators reciprocally cover up so leveraging fabricated indictments because through them they secure higher conviction rates; greater chances of reelection and promotion; and IOUs to be cashed in when needed. They gain a benefit while inflicting injury in fact on the fabricated inditees, such as sending them to jail; causing them financial hardship by requiring bail; and stigmatizing them with a criminal record. Thereby their family and friends are also injured. Everybody who learns about fabricated indictments is injured by the fear of becoming a fabricated inditee, thus suffering the loss of trust in the justice system. The public at large is injured by deprivation of the honest services that the fabricators are duty-bound to render it as public servants. The fabricators join in committing abuse of power, honest services fraud, [racketeering](#), and [enterprise corruption](#).

B. Public officers who instead of investigating have coordinated a cover-up

10. I wrote a complaint letter[♣], mailed it and its updates, and followed them up with calls, to:
- a. i. NY State Court of Appeals then-[Chief Judge Janet DiFiore](#); -tel. (518)455-7700-;
 - ii. succeeding [Acting Chief Judge Anthony Cannataro](#);
 - iii. current [Chief Judge Rowan Wilson](#); and
 - iv. each of the [associate judges](#) -tel. (518)445-2360-;
 - v. Deputy Clerk of Court Heather Davis; and
 - vi. Clerks Ann Byer and Ms. Taylor in the Office of the Chief Judge;
 - b. i. Bronx County [NY City (NYC)] Court Supreme Criminal Term Administrative Justice [Alvin Yearwood](#) -tel. (718)618-3700-; and
 - ii. Grand Jury [Justice Laurence Busching](#);
 - iii. NYC Criminal Court Administrative [Judge Tamiko A. Amaker](#) -tel. (646)386-4937, (646)386-4900-;
 - c. i. former NYS Chief Administrative [Judge Lawrence Marks](#);
 - ii. current NYS Chief Administrative [Judge Joseph Zayas](#); and
 - iii. Principal Administrative Secretary [Tonya Speckhardt](#) -tel. in Albany, NY, (518)453-8680; in NY City, (212)428-2884 and (212)428-2120-;
 - iv. Deputy Chief Administrative [Judge Deborah Kaplan](#), Civil Term -tel. (646)386-5567-;
 - d. i. former NY Police Department (NYPD) [Internal Affairs Bureau \(IAB\)](#) Chief David Barrere;
 - ii. current [IAB Chief Miguel Iglesias](#) -tel. (212)741-8401-;
 - iii. IAB Lt. Atala, [Det. Arata](#), Det. Atway, Sgt. Cortez, Sgt. Dario, Duran, Capt. Keon, Det. Kifaieh, Kim, Det. Peattie, Det. Perez, Det. Pier-Owens, Det. Sunu, Officer Washington,
 - 1) complaints to IAB # 2022-13831; 2022-15482; 2022-15601;
 - 2022-19474; 2023-00275; 2022-03787;
 - 2) Records Unit -tel. (212)741-8414-; and Assessment Unit -tel. (212)741-8444-;
 - e. i. former NYPD [Commissioner Keechant Sewell](#) -tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865-;
 - ii. current NYPD [Commissioner Edward Caban](#);

- f. i. former NYPD captain and current [NY City Mayor Eric Adams](#);
- ii. [Chief of Staff Frank Carone](#) -tel. (212)639-9675-; [Mayor's Operation Unit](#) complaint reference no. EC-00482580
- g. Clerk Ms. Brenda, NYC [Department of Investigation](#) -tel. (212)825-5959-; complaint reference no. EC-00482590
- h. i. Office of Court Administration [Inspector General Sherrill Spatz, Esq.](#), and
- ii. [Deputy Inspector General Carol Hamm, Esq.](#) -tel. (646)386-3500, fax (212)514-7158-;
- i. i. [NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams](#);
- ii. [Chief of Staff Rance Huff](#) -tel. (212)669-7200-;
- j. i. [NYS Attorney General Letitia James](#) -tel. (800)771-7755-;
- ii. [Public Integrity Chief Gerard Murphy](#) -tel. (212)416-8610-;
- k. [NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct](#) -tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757-; complaint # 2022/N-1084;
- L. et al. ([OL3:1518](#); [1561](#) infra; [1562](#))


11. Since 28 May 2022, some 50 public officers, including entities, with the duty to serve and authority to investigate, have been informed by [letter](#)[‡], fax, phone, and over 10,500 [emails](#) of the fabricated indictments and requested to investigate them for their victims' sake; their email addresses are:

[iab@nypd.org](#), [iabcmdctr@nypd.org](#), [outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov](#), [Shawn.Morris@nypd.org](#),
[Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org](#), [Fernando.Garza@nypd.org](#), [Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org](#),
[Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org](#), [Kandice.Hall@nypd.org](#), [Robert.Candela@nypd.org](#),
[John.McLoughlin@nypd.org](#), [Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org](#), [CorderoRic@yahoo.com](#),
[question@nycourts.gov](#), [ig@nycourts.gov](#), [bronxjury@nycourts.gov](#), [doipress@doi.nyc.gov](#),
[agency@mail@customercare.nyc.gov](#), [Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net](#), [rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov](#),
[reception@advocate.nyc.gov](#), [jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov](#), [recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov](#),
[nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov](#), [gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov](#), [public.integrity@ag.ny.gov](#),
[NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov](#), [ig.press@ig.ny.gov](#), [Press.Office@exec.ny.gov](#), [mtesciql@bb.nyc.gov](#),
[scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#), [pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#), [mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#),
[Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#), [lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#), [jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#),
[webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#), [jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#), [rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#),
[amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov](#), [DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#), [dinowitz@council.nyc.gov](#),
[accessibility@council.nyc.gov](#), [district8@council.nyc.gov](#), [district12@council.nyc.gov](#),
[district13@council.nyc.gov](#), [district14@council.nyc.gov](#), [district15@council.nyc.gov](#),
[district16@council.nyc.gov](#), [district18@council.nyc.gov](#), [socratessolano2021@gmail.com](#),
[Info@bronxdefenders.org](#), [justineo@bronxdefenders.org](#), [media@bronxdefenders.org](#),

12. The informed public officers have failed to even reply -but see ¶4 supra- despite their duty to investigate with due diligence a credible complaint of public corruption based on verifiable facts. Any looking the other way, willful ignorance, or willful blindness on their part constitutes dereliction of duty. What are the odds of none of them replying but for coordination established before this case? Their conduct is non-coincidental, the product of a tacit or expressed complicit agreement on cover-up and reciprocal protection; cf. agreements in restraint of competition by following the price leader. Their failure to investigate results from their common interest in avoiding judges' retaliation and ensuring their protection if needed, the rights of inditees and the public notwithstanding.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" ...You may trigger history and enter it.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Court_of_Appeals_cover-up.pdf

 UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®		Click-N-Ship®	
		usps.com 9405 5036 9930 0667 8654 16 0101 5000 0021 2207 US POSTAGE \$10.15 Legal Flat Rt Env 	
03/08/2024		Mailed from 10472 986738871684805	
PRIORITY MAIL®			
DR. RICHARD CORDERO, ESQ. JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM 2165 BRUCKNER BLVD BRONX NY 10472-6506		Expected Delivery Date: 03/11/24 0003	
 LISA LECOURS NYS COURT OF APPEALS 20 EAGLE ST ALBANY NY 12207-1009		C010	
USPS TRACKING #			
			
9405 5036 9930 0667 8654 16			
Electronic Rate Approved #038555749			


 Cut on dotted line.

Instructions

- Each Click-N-Ship® label is unique. Labels are to be used as printed and used only once. DO NOT PHOTO COPY OR ALTER LABEL.
- Place your label so it does not wrap around the edge of the package.
- Adhere your label to the package. A self-adhesive label is recommended. If tape or glue is used, DO NOT TAPE OVER BARCODE. Be sure all edges are secure.
- To mail your package with PC Postage®, you may schedule a Package Pickup online, hand to your letter carrier, take to a Post Office™, or drop in a USPS collection box.
- Mail your package on the "Ship Date" you selected when creating this label.

Click-N-Ship® Label Record

USPS TRACKING # :
9405 5036 9930 0667 8654 16

Trans. #: 600648668
 Print Date: 03/08/2024
 Ship Date: 03/08/2024
 Expected Delivery Date: 03/11/2024

Priority Mail® Postage: **\$10.15**
 Total: **\$10.15**

From: DR. RICHARD CORDERO, ESQ.
 JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM
 2165 BRUCKNER BLVD
 BRONX NY 10472-6506

To: LISA LECOURS
 NYS COURT OF APPEALS
 20 EAGLE ST
 ALBANY NY 12207-1009

* Retail Pricing Priority Mail rates apply. There is no fee for USPS Tracking® service on Priority Mail service with use of this electronic rate shipping label. Refunds for unused postage paid labels can be requested online 30 days from the print date.



Thank you for shipping with the United States Postal Service!
 Check the status of your shipment on the USPS Tracking® page at usps.com

USPS® Item Delivered, PO Box 9405503699300667865416
From:auto-reply@usps.com (auto-reply@usps.com)
To:corderoric@yahoo.com
Date:Tuesday, March 12, 2024 at 09:45 AM EDT



Hello **DR RICHARD CORDERO ESQ**

,

Your item has been delivered and is available at a PO Box at 9:28 am on March 12, 2024 in ALBANY, NY 12207.

Tracking Number:

[9405503699300667865416](#)

Delivered, PO Box

[My Account](#)

Get Tracking Updates in a

Expected delivery date and time is subject to change, but if it does we'll send you an email update. Delivery options not available for all packages at all times.

Visit **USPS Tracking**® to check the most up-to-date status of your package. Sign up for **Informed Delivery**® to digitally preview the address side of your incoming letter-sized mail and manage your packages scheduled to arrive soon! To update

OL3:1653.b



CCRB Case #202407652

From:CCRB_CTS (administrator-1@ccrb.nyc.gov)

To:corderoric@yahoo.com

Cc:administrator-1@ccrb.nyc.gov

Date:Friday, August 2, 2024 at 02:00 PM EDT

**CIVILIAN
COMPLAINT
REVIEW BOARD**
100 CHURCH STREET
10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
10007 ♦ TELEPHONE
(212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb

ERIC L. ADAMS

ARVA RICE
INTERIM

IAB REF#: 202407652

August 02, 2024

Richard Cordero

Unknown

<CVW City Name><CVW State Code> <CVW Zipcode>

Dear Richard Cordero:

The Civilian Complaint Review Board has a specific jurisdiction when it is investigating allegations against sworn members of the NYPD. Some allegations do not fall under the jurisdiction of the CCRB and fall under the jurisdiction of another entity, which is the case here.

As a result, we are forwarding your complaint to the **Internal Affairs Bureau**, so they can take appropriate action. Please expect to hear from someone from that organization who will be investigating your incident. They will be able to explain to you in detail how their process works. Your continued willingness to participate in this extremely important process with the **Internal Affairs Bureau** is greatly appreciated.

If you have any questions, please contact the **Internal Affairs Bureau** at **315 Hudson Street 3 Fl, New York NY 10013; telephone (212) 741-8414**. Make sure you have the reference number at the top of this page when reaching out to them.

The integrity and quality of the Police Department's service to the public depends, in large part, upon receiving information from citizens like you regarding the performance of police officers as they carry out their duties. The Civilian Complaint Review Board is grateful for the effort you have already put forth in furthering this goal.

Sincerely,

Eshwarie Mahadeo

Director of Case Management

December 27, 2024

Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq. Marc Agnifilo, Esq.
445 Park Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Mr. Luigi Mangione
Metropolitan Detention Center
80 29th St., Brooklyn, NY 11232

Dear Ms. Friedman Agnifilo, Mr. Agnifilo, and Mr. Mangione,[‡]

1. This is a proposal to join forces to help your client Luigi Mangione. While the evidence that keeps being found against him makes it ever more probable that he will be unable to win his freedom, we can help him save his objective: expose the healthcare industry's abusive claim evasion tactics, pithily described in the paraphrase 'delay, deny, defend'. His use of that description has provoked in the public, not condemnation of him, but rather outrage at the industry. It can gain Mr. Mangione the extenuating sympathy of the public, the jury pool, the jury, and perhaps even the judges.
2. We can jointly exacerbate that outrage by using the complaint/appeal that I have written with that word triptych illustrating those tactics. I just filed it in the U.S. District Court SDNY, i.e., *Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, EmblemHealth* (health insurer), *Maximus Federal Services*, and many of the top officers of the Medicare Appeals Council (Council) and the Office of Medicare Appeals and Hearings (OMHA), 24cv9778-UA.¹ Emblem medically insures more than 3 million people in NY and the tristate area. Maximus performs for Medicare reconsiderations of the denial of medical services by health insurance companies. These entities have their own but harmonious interests: Medicare wants to attract to, and retain in, its network the largest number of medical insurers, whose decisions affect the options of millions of people. Emblem, like the other insurers, wants to pay as few claims as possible. Maximus, a so-called qualified independent contractor, works for Medicare in a principal-agent relation, but not for long if it routinely reconsidered disapprovingly claim denials, thus causing the other two entities to be liable for the claims.
3. The typical Medicare insureds whose claims were denied are old, disabled, sick, and ignorant of the law. They can hardly afford a lawyer or muster the energy needed to go through levels of complaint and appeal until reaching the fifth, the district court. So, they just take the abuse. If able at all, they scribble a whining personal anecdote with no legal arguments...and are wiped out. By contrast, my filing analyzes the functioning of the system rigged through coordination by Medicare and the other entities to implement their abusive claim evasion tactics (see the excerpt next), which likely represent those of similar entities in the healthcare industry; and discusses causes of action.
4. This functional exposure can benefit you, your client, and me by turning him and my complaint into a rallying point through self-reinforcing cycles: The more the complaint is described at press conferences, in press releases, at interviews, and in published articles, the more it will inform the public about the industry's abusive tactics, the more people will become more outraged and many will scream, "That happened to *me too!*" They will want to advance their quest for justice and compensation by telling their *story*². We will promote the holding by media outlets and universities at their stations and auditoriums of *unprecedented citizens hearings*. There people will be able to tell their story in person or online to the national public. Your client and my complaint can rally ever more people that demand the hearings. A more informed and outraged public will energize another self-reinforcing cycle. So can my site at Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org. There I post articles of my study^{*} of abuse of power, which have turned countless visitors into 52,996+ subscribers. I offer to make in your office a presentation to you and your guests on this proposal and my cases abstracted infra, e.g., indictments fabricated on false and insufficient evidence, which can influence the jury's attitude to the DA, the NYPD, your "unfair trial" complaint, and nullification.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*"...You may trigger history and even enter it. Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

^{*} http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-

OL3:1769

[‡] <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-K&MAgnifilo-LMangione.pdf>

Complaint-appeal excerpt¹

L. Delay, deny to wear down the insured and cause him to abandon his claim

88. Plaintiff's statements show that the conduct of Emblem's people when they pass an insured from one supervisor to the other and to the other and so on (in his case 19 supervisors!, see [SDNY: 12§3](#)), constitutes Emblem's institutionalized way of doing business: Those supervisors were not rogue employees; rather, they are the face and body of Emblem. They make up what Emblem is. They were implementing Emblem's first abusive claim evasion tactic: "delay, delay, delay".
89. Their purpose is to drag out the claim for coverage for so long, raise so many obstacles, disrupt the insured's life so profoundly, and cause so much frustration, that he, sick, old, and financially exhausted, will be worn out. Then he will abandon his claim.
90. Their pattern of conduct started to manifest itself with the first level Emblem people in The Philippines that picked up the phone when Plaintiff called Emblem's so-called Customer Service at (877)344-7364.
91. These phone picker uppers did not have the faintest idea how to answer Plaintiff's question about what to do with the crown that had fallen out of tooth # 19. Hence, they would put Plaintiff on hold every time he asked a question so that they could write an email to their supervisors to describe to them Plaintiff's question.
92. The first level phone picker uppers did not have access to a floor supervisor or manager.
93. One clear reason for this is that many, if not all, phone picker uppers worked from home, not in a building that houses Emblem's offices in The Philippines.
94. It is in the self-interest of the phone picker uppers to make up all sorts of excuses not to put callers in direct contact with their supervisors: The more the phone picker uppers connect callers and supervisors directly to each other, the more they inevitably reveal that they do not have answers to the questions of yet another caller.
95. It is reasonable to infer from their work setup that such revelation would put their job with Emblem at risk, i.e., the job of the phone picker uppers because they have not learned enough to know the answers; and that of the supervisors because they have not taught them sufficiently well for them to figure out the answers based on the information that they have. This deficiency in critical thinking may be traced back to how the Philippine educational system in the grades educates children.
96. Critical thinking allows jurors to draw inferences from facts known to them before they become jurors, making them 'peers of the parties'; the verbal statements and body language of parties at the tables and witnesses on the stand; and the physical evidence introduced at trial.
97. No wonder it was so exasperating and time-consuming for Plaintiff to prevail upon phone picker uppers to stop emailing their supervisors and transfer his call to the supervisor at the time.
98. Soon Plaintiff realized that it was a total waste of time to speak with the first level Emblem Philippine people. Consequently, he would systematically ask to be transferred to a supervisor.
99. The supervisors did not know what to do either. So, they told Plaintiff that they would have to do some "research" to find out what to do.
100. The supervisors never mentioned that the "research" that they had to do was on anything other than Emblem's own advertisement and evidence of coverage.
101. The supervisors never mentioned that they had to do "research" on Medicare rules.

102. Nor did they mention anything about Medicaid, let alone about "Medicaid COB", for they did not know what "COB" meant. It means "Coordination of Benefits". Of course, they did not know with what Medicaid had to be coordinated, how, and to what extent.
103. The supervisors never mentioned anything remotely similar to the above-quoted (SDNY:18cj33) technical description, which includes even medical coding, of 'the requested pre-authorization' for treating tooth # 19 after its crown fell out.
104. The recorded phone conversations between Plaintiff and Emblem people would bear that out, which explains why Emblem never produced them during discovery.
105. When the Emblem Philippine supervisors could not find out what Emblem would cover to deal with the fallen-out crown, they would stop communicating with Plaintiff.
106. After a cost-benefit analysis it is highly likely that Emblem has determined that it is not cost-effective to try to teach their Philippine people to think critically, or learn anything other than the basic.
107. That analysis may be confirmed by the very high employee turnover that Emblem has to deal with. Why spend an enormous amount of money to properly train people for months on end given that after only a very short time on the job they will suffer under crushing intellectual demands and quit?
108. Emblem's Customer Service in The Philippines is staffed with people who are neither trained to deal, nor intellectually capable of dealing, with the problems that insureds bring to them.
109. For one thing, the Emblem Philippine people are required to repeat the question that an insured asks of them in order to obtain confirmation from the insured that they understood the question.
110. That requirement shows that Emblem itself does not trust their capacity to even understand what insureds are talking about.
111. Emblem Philippine people so often appear to be reading from a script when speaking with an insured while disregarding what the insured is asking or saying. If taken off-script by the questions of an insured, they do not know what to say. They repeat the script or have to ask a supervisor.
112. This may also explain why the Emblem Philippine people either do not have the authority to solve the problem that the insured brings to them or do not feel confident in exercising that authority.
113. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not have a direct phone extension.
114. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not return the phone call messages that Plaintiff left on their general voice mailbox.
115. The Emblem Philippine supervisors did not return the messages for them that Plaintiff would leave with the first level telephone picker uppers.
116. If a supervisor transferred the case to another supervisor, the latter did not know anything about the case either.
117. If a previous supervisor wrote notes on Plaintiff's chart -forget about a phone picker upper doing so-, the next supervisor would not have read it, either because it was poorly written or because he or she was not competent enough to understand what was going on or responsible enough to make the effort to understand. After all, *"why sweat it?!"*
118. It is unlikely that higher supervisors were listening or would listen in on the conversations to realize what was happening and hold anybody accountable. Having them listen in would be costly.

119. After being dropped by the latest supervisor, Plaintiff had to begin all over again with another supervisor...after wrestling with phone picker uppers to have his call transferred while hearing in the background dogs barking, chickens crowing, and children crying or adults laughing or talking all at the same time. Oh, life in the countryside is so convivial with fowl and folks around!
120. This unaccountability on which phone picker uppers and supervisors alike can rely accounts for the fact that for them callers are nothing but a transient nuisance. Inconsequentially, they can be dropped and forgotten if they demand reliable information....or simply information.
121. Since they are unsupervised and thus held unaccountable, the Philippine people do whatever they want. They are a ship cast onto the ocean and forgotten by the Emblem U.S. captains.
122. After a while, Plaintiff refused to deal with the Emblem Philippine people. He requested to be transferred to the Emblem people in the U.S.
123. It took the Philippine people more than an hour to get connected to somebody in the U.S. to whom to transfer Plaintiff. After a shockingly long time, he found somebody in the U.S. who would deal with him. It was not a great improvement, except for the absence of domestic animals' noise.
124. This indicates that Emblem's Customer Service call center in The Philippines is not in constant contact with their counterparts, much less their superiors, in the U.S. The Philippines call center is in practice left to its own devices by Emblem officers in the U.S.
125. Running a call center with phone picker uppers in The Philippines, some of whom have been elevated to supervisors, may cost a pittance of what it costs in the U.S. But what they offer is only a mockery of Customer Service.
126. It follows that Emblem Customer Service call center in The Philippines is a sham. Its purpose is to pretend to satisfy the Medicare requirement that its network members have such a Service, at least in name and appearance.
127. Medicare knows, and by exercising due diligence in supervising and controlling would know, that such a Customer Service is a sham.
128. Plaintiff would not give up his demand for an answer to his question about crown repair coverage even after months of Emblem's "delay, delay, delay". Hence, Emblem proceeded to implement the second tactic of claim evasion: On December 12, 2021, Emblem denied Plaintiff's claim. Like a poker player, it pulled out from under its sleeve the excuse that Medicare did not cover the repair of tooth # 19 after its crown fell out.
129. It is not possible that nobody in Emblem knew what Medicare did or did not cover, or with due diligence could have found out during Plaintiff's first call.
130. Emblem's delay was in bad faith: part of a racketeering scheme to wear Plaintiff down and cause him to abandon his claim without Emblem having to issue yet another denial and enter it on its records...assuming it keeps such records.
131. Emblem, Maximus, and Medicare must know it. But how many sick, old, and law-ignorant insureds are going to survive four levels of appeal and still have the stamina to climb to the fifth level to appeal to a U.S. district court for judicial review of the administrative proceedings below?
132. Insureds are likely scared away from appealing to a court by the specter of what awaits them there: A hypertechnical, protracted, and unaffordable battle with an army of corporate lawyers determined to crush the insureds with the third and merciless tactic of abusive claim evasion: "defend".

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" You may trigger history and even enter it as a Champion of Justice.

Exhibits 2:

Letters to and from
the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct

See:

OL3:1524-1526.b

and

OL3:1570.a-1575.b :

End Page

Blank

APPENDIXES
to
the Study of Judges and their Judiciaries

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of
judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

By

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial-Discipline-Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

tel. 1(718)827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, Corderoric@yahoo.com

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

TABLE OF CONTENTS
of
The Appendixes

- [App.1.](#) Volumes of the study of judges and their judiciaries
- [App.2.](#) Offer of a presentation; and
Activities to support with donations and investment
- [App.3.](#) Number of subscribers to Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
- [App.4.](#) Statement by LinkedIn that Dr. Cordero has “one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012”
- [App.5.](#) Resume of Dr. Cordero
- [App.6.](#) Links to articles ready for review and publication; subjects for commissioned articles; and links to external sources of information
- [App.7.](#) Blocs of email addresses of the people to whom to send one’s story of judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

December 23, 2022

Table of Contents

of the sections laying out the main concepts in Volume I,
with references to articles in Volumes II and III, of the study:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

This file contains only pages ggl:1-38. For a comprehensive list of articles, see Appendix 6. i.

Introduction: The goal is not only to expose judges' abuse of power, but also to enable *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and [liable to compensation](#), and thereby *trigger history!* [jur:1](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
Intro_trigger_history.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf)

- ii. Tables compiling judicial statistics, and graphs, all supporting probable cause to believe that judges have complicitly coordinated an agreement for their 100% dismissal of complaints against any of them and 100% denial of petitions to review those dismissals, thus mutually ensuring their survival and continued abuse of power to [grab](#) illegal, unethical, and rules-disregarding gains and convenience [jur:9](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf)

- A. Means, motive, and opportunity of federal judges to engage in, and so to coordinate their, abuse of power as to make it their institutionalized modus operandi, thereby ensuring that the Federal Judiciary is a safe haven that they run as a racketeering enterprise..... [jur:21](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf)

- B. *In re DeLano*, Then-Circuit Judge Sonia Sotomayor presiding, and her nomination to the Supreme Court by President Barak Obama: evidence of a [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) and her concealment of assets dismissed with knowing indifference and willful blindness to a bankruptcy mill operated by the bankruptcy judges appointed under 28 U.S.C. §152 by the circuit judges: the appointers cover for their appointees [jur:65](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf)

- C. Nature and [forms of judges' abuse](#) of power and strategy to expose their unaccountability and riskless abuse, e.g., [auditing](#) their decisions and other people's writings to detect their patterns, trends, and schemes of individual and coordinated abuse..... [jur:81](#)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf

- D. Multimedia public presentation made by judicial unaccountability reporters on:
i) the [available evidence](#) of judges' abuse of power and the *In re DeLano*-J. Sotomayor story;

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >OL3:394-1143

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1555+

ii) their own findings through their <i>Follow the money!</i> and <i>Follow the wire!</i> investigations; and	
iii) the <i>We accuse!</i> denunciation at a press conference, in articles, and through broadcast reportage.....	jur:97
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_investigation_&_presentation.pdf	
E. Multidisciplinary academic and business venture leading up to the creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy.....	jur:119-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_academic_biz_venture&Institute.pdf	
§§1-4. The academic and business venture that implements the business plan in activities that include the holding of unprecedented citizens hearings, and publications to inform the national public of, and outrage it at, judges' abuse of power	jur:119
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf	
§5. Creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy	jur:130
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf	
§§5-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:130-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
§§6-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:158-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
F. Offer to present <i>The Business of Justice</i> to expose judges' abuse of power, implement the business plan, and engage in actions to trigger history.....	jur:171
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf	
G. Evidence of interference with Dr. Cordero's email accounts	ggl:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf	
H. Presentation at schools on judges' abuse of power and a plan for judicial reform.....	Lsch:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf	
I. The <i>DeLano</i> case course: syllabus of classwork on investigating a case on judges' abuse of power and the organization of a conference to present its findings	DCC:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	
J. Creative Writings: using storytelling to persuade and inspire readers;	CW:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	
K. OL:1-393; first part of the OL series of articles; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf	OL:1

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** and its [business plan](#) to:

1. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣**

2. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively that as of 23 Dec. 22, the number of those who had become subscribers was 45,959([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a [research center](#) for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the plan's business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy at a university or news network;
3. organize and embark on a tour of [presentations](#) at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or in person to form [local chapters](#) of a national movement to investigate and hold judges accountable and liable under [Strickland v. U.S.](#);
4. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
5. [organize](#) the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
6. publish an academics/journalists multidisciplinary [Annual](#) Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
7. launch an abuse [investigation](#) that attracts the media, for *Scandal sells & wins Pulitzer Prizes*;
8. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.([¶57](#)).

Put your money where your [outrage at abuse](#) and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through
either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

or TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

9. I offer to present any article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set the terms and schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Users

[Add New User](#)

Screen Options ▾

Help ▾



Hi, Really Simple Security has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#)[Maybe later](#)[Don't show again](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | Administrator | Editor | Author | Contributor | Subscriber | Customer | Shop manager

 Search Users

Bulk actions ▾

[Apply](#)

Change role to... ▾

[Change](#)

53,071 items



1

of 2,654



☐	Username ▴	Name	Email ▴	Role	Posts	SSO Status [?]
☐	1970mdegcf Edit Delete View Send password reset	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite !
☐	1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite !
☐	1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0	Send invite !
☐	1winUp2128Neort	—	1winup2128@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite !
☐	32350284247	—	s.dj.fhbnjew.31.5@gmail.com	Subscriber	0	Send invite !



Richard Cordero <dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com>

Congratulations! You have one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012.

1 message

LinkedIn <linkedin@e.linkedin.com>
Reply-To: LinkedIn <donotreply@e.linkedin.com>
To: dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com

Thu, Feb 7, 2013 at 4:02 PM

LinkedIn now has 200 million members.



Richard, congratulations!

You have one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012.

LinkedIn now has 200 million members. Thanks for playing a
unique part in our community!

[Read More](#)



This is an occasional email to help you get the most of LinkedIn. [Unsubscribe](#)
This email was intended for Richard Cordero (Lawyer, researcher-writer, and advocate of judicial
accountability and discipline reform). [Learn why we include this.](#)
If you need assistance or have questions, please contact [LinkedIn Customer Service](#) .
© 2013, LinkedIn Corporation. 2029 Stierlin Ct., Mountain View, CA 94043, USA.



Hi Richard,

Recently, LinkedIn reached a new milestone: 200 million members. But this isn't just our achievement to celebrate — it's also yours.

I want to personally thank you for being part of our community. Your journey is part of our journey, and we're delighted and humbled when we hear stories of how our members are using LinkedIn to connect, learn, and find opportunity.

All of us come to work each day focused on our shared mission of connecting the world's professionals to make them more productive and successful. We're excited to show you what's next.

With sincere thanks,

Deep Nishar
Senior Vice President, Products & User Experience

P.S. What does 200 million look like? [See the infographic▶](#)

A stat this delightful
deserves to be shared

Share   

BAR MEMBERSHIP AND SPECIAL SKILLS: • U.S. citizen; member of the NYS Bar; specialized in field and library research and writing of legal briefs and business and IT studies

- I would like to work for you as a lawyer and researcher-writer strategist in a position where I can contribute to your business or legal problem solution a talent that gives me a competitive advantage: I can gather seemingly unconnected pieces of information, select those relevant to the prioritized objectives to be pursued, and imaginatively integrate them into a coherent new structure -expressed clearly and concisely both orally and in writing- that renders those pieces meaningful and useful, like a mosaic that depicts a realistic and decorative scene of the ancient Romans, yet originates in insignificant stone fragments expertly sifted from dirt and artfully set together to appeal to the spirit and the mind while serving the practical purpose of making money.

ADVANCED KNOWLEDGE OF: • computers and their use for word processing, graphics composition, presentations, and research; and for developing IT products to audit cases through statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of opinions to give lawyers an informational advantage

LANGUAGES: • I speak English, Spanish, and French; and converse in German and Italian.

RELEVANT EXPERIENCE

FOUNDER OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM, 2008-to date New York City

- A non-partisan and non-denominational organization that advocates the study of the judiciary and the adoption of legislation to replace the inherently biased and ineffective judges-judging-judges system of judicial self-discipline with a system based on independent boards of citizens unrelated to the judges and empowered to publicly receive, investigate, and resolve complaints

RESEARCHER AND WRITER ATTORNEY, 1995-to date New York City

- Prosecution of cases from bankruptcy, district, and circuit courts to the SCt; practice in NY courts
- Developed the Euro Project, a 3-prong business package consisting of the Euro Conference, the Euro Consulting Services, and the Euro Newsletter; aimed at enabling firms to capitalize on their expertise in the euro by providing services for the adaptation of business practices and IT systems to the European Union's new common currency that replaced its national currencies

WAYNE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE, 1994 Detroit, MI

- Developed economic and marketing features of the master plan for the intermodal transportation and industrial complex of Willow Run Tradeport in Detroit
- Drafted and implemented proposals for increasing office productivity using IT and equipment

LAWYERS COOPERATIVE PUBLISHING, 1991-1993 Rochester, NY

- Member of the editorial staff of LCP, the foremost publisher of analytical legal commentaries.
- Researched and wrote articles on securities regulations, antitrust, and banking under U.S. law

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES, 1984-1985 Brussels, Belgium

- Devised proposals for harmonizing supervisory regulations on mortgage credit and on reporting large loan exposures by one and all members of a banking system to one and related borrowers
- My proposals were adopted by the EEC Banking Division and negotiated with the national experts in the supervision of financial institutions of the Member States
- Drafted replies to financial questions put by the European Parliament to the Commission

EDUCATION

THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, Faculty of Law, Ph.D., 1988 Cambridge, England

- Doctoral dissertation analyzed the existing European legal and political environment and proposed a new system for harmonizing the regulation and supervision of financial institutions

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, Business School, MBA, 1995 Ann Arbor, Michigan

- Emphasis on corporate strategies to maximize profitability and competitiveness through the optimal use of IT expert systems using artificial intelligence, and telecommunications networks

LA SORBONNE, Faculty of Law and Economics, French law degree, 1982 Paris, France

- Was awarded a French Government scholarship
- Concentrated on the operation of a currency basket to achieve monetary stability and on the application of harmonized regulations & antitrust rules on companies with dominant positions

RESEARCH WORKS

1. Study of judges and their judiciaries, based on an original and innovative analysis of the Federal Judiciary' statistics submitted to Congress annually, reports, judges' statements and websites, etc

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

2. List of articles on judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power offered for publication individually or as a series; †>[OL2:719§C](#);
3. Complaint against Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues of the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC), submitted to the DCC Court of Appeals and ““Because of the exceptional circumstances related to this complaint”, referred by it to Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., who assigned it to the 11th Circuit for disposition; includes the official letters of referral and the decision of the 11th Circuit chief judge; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
4. The official statistics of the U.S. District of Columbia Circuit show that P. Trump SCt nominee Judge Brett Kavanaugh, P. Obama SCt nominee Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers received during the 1oct06/30sep17 11-year period, 478 complaints against judges in their Circuit and dismissed 100% of them and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals, thus covering as a matter of policy for abusive judges regardless of the gravity of their abuse; 1jun18; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/1DrRCordero_Judges_Unaccountability_Riskless_Abuse.pdf
5. Availability of an Implied Right of Action under the Tender Offer Provisions of §14d-f of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 USCS §78n(d)-(f)), added to the Exchange Act by the Williams Act of 1968, and Rules Promulgated thereunder by the SEC, **120 ALR Federal 145**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/2DrRCordero_120ALRFed145.pdf
6. Venue Provisions of the National Bank Act (12 USCS §94) As Affected By Other Federal Venue Provisions and Doctrines, **111 ALR Federal 235**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/3DrRCordero_111ALRFed235.pdf
7. Construction and Application of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (12 USCS §§ 3401-3422), **112 ALR Federal 295**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/4DrRCordero_112ALRFederal295.pdf

8. Exemption or Immunity From Federal Antitrust Liability Under the McCarran-Ferguson Act (15 USCS §§1011-1013) and the State Action and Noerr-Pennington Doctrines for the Business of Insurance and Persons Engaged in It, **116 ALR Federal 163**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/5DrRCordero_116ALRFed163.pdf
9. Who May Maintain an Action Under §11(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 USCS §77k (a)), in Connection With False or Misleading Registration Statements, **111 ALR Fed. 83**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/6DrRCordero_111ALRFed83.pdf
10. Judicial Conference's Reforms Will Not Fix the Problem of Abusive Judges Who Go Undisciplined, Letter to the Editor, National Law Journal, March 3, 2008; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/7DrRCordero_Letters_To_Editor_NYLJ3mar8.pdf; <http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1204212424055>
11. The Creation of a European Banking System: A study of its legal and technical aspects, Peter Lang, Inc., NY, XXXVI, 390 pp., 1990; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/8DrRCordero_Creation_European_Banking_System.pdf; this book earned a grant from the Commission of the European Communities and was reviewed very favorably in 32 Harvard International Law Journal 603 (1991), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Harvard_Int_Law_J.pdf; and 24 New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 1019 (1992), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYU_JIntLaw&Pol.pdf
12. Competition Strategies Must Adapt to the Euro, 17 Amicus Curiae of the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, London, 27 (May 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/9DrRCordero_Competition_Strategies_&_euro.pdf
13. Why Business Executives in Third Countries and Non-participating Member States Should Pay Attention to the Euro, European Financial Services Law 140 (March 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/10DrRCordero_6European_Financial_Services_Law93.pdf
14. Some Practical Consequences for Financial Management Brought About by the Euro, 5 European Financial Services Law 187 (1998); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/11DrRCordero_5European_Financial_Services_Law_187.pdf
15. Impending Conversion to the Euro Prompts New Guidelines from the IRS, New York Law Journal, pg. 1, Friday, October 2, 1998; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/12DrRCordero_Conversion_to_the_Euro_&_IRS_NYLJ.pdf
16. The Development of Video Dialtone Networks by Large Phone and Cable Companies and its Impact on their Small Counterparts, 1 Personal Technologies no. 2, 60 (Springer-Verlag London Ltd., 1997); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/13DrRCordero_Dialtone_1Personal_Technologies2.pdf
17. Video Dialtone: Its Potential for Social Change, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (1996) http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/14DrRCordero_Dialtone_&_Social_Change_15JBF16.pdf
18. Video Dialtone Network Architectures, by Richard Cordero and Jeffery Joles, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (Summer 1996); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/15DrRCordero_Dialtone_networks_15JBF16.pdf
19. A Strict but Liberalizing Interpretation of EEC Treaty Articles 67(1) and 68(1) on Capital Movements, 2 Legal Issues of European Integration 39 (1989); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/16DrRCordero_Strict_but_liberalizing_interpretation_2LIEI39.pdf

23 January 2025

APPENDIX 6 (of 7*)

**A study and articles already written on
abuse of power by judges and their judiciaries;
collective compensation for abusees; and
transformative judicial reform;
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing†**

Table of Contents

- A. The study and articles available for review and publication 1
- B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles..... 18
- C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing..... 21

A. The study and articles available for review and publication

1. The study and its volumes

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume I: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all
prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume II: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page
OL2:394-1143

♣ Volume III: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from
OL3:1144-1716+

1. Downloading and navigating the volumes:

- a. Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome.
- b. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html), which is available for free at
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.
- c. In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use
its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † ♣ >blue footnote-like
references) very easy.

2. Downloading articles

- 2. The three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries contain many articles that can be downloaded as
individual and much smaller files by clicking on the corresponding link in each entry hereunder:

†1 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

♦ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_Appendixes1-7.pdf

3. To search for articles on a given topic, go up to this file's menu bar, click the binocular icon, and in the search page that opens type in its search box one keyword at a time. In the return list, click on the phrase that contains the keyword to go to the full entry containing it.
4. If a link in an entry hereunder does not download the corresponding individual file, download the volume whose range of page numbers contains the number of the file's first page indicated in the entry; e.g. If in entry 222. the link http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-FBI_SDNY.pdf fails to download its corresponding individual file, download Volume III, whose page range OL3:1144-1733+ contains OL3:1712, and scroll down to page 1712.
5. A similar search can be done by downloading each of the volumes and searching in each one at a time. Begin your search in Volume III, then II, and finally I because every downloaded file contains references to previous related files.

3. The website

6. Many of the articles described in the entries hereunder have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
7. Visit the website and join its 53,083+ subscribers to its articles. Go to:
 - a. [Judicial Discipline Reform](#) <left panel ↓Register; or
 - b. + New or Users >Add New; or
 - c. fill out the New User form at <https://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/user-new.php>.

4. The individual sections of Volume I of the study

8. jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Intro_jur1-8.pdf
9. jur:10, 11; the official statistics that the federal courts produce and submit to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and the latter aggregates and files with Congress as a public document in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §§604\(a\)\(3-4\)](#) and (h)(2), show that federal judges dismiss 100% of complaints against their fellow judges and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals; this betrays an implicit or explicit complicit agreement among the judges to cover for each other regardless of the nature, frequency, and gravity of their misconduct complained about; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf; see also OL2:546; 548; OL3:1253; 1176
10. jur:21§A; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_A_jur21-63.pdf
11. jur:65§B; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_B_jur65-80.pdf
12. jur:81§C; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_C_jur81-95.pdf
13. jur:97§D; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_launch_investigation.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_D_jur97-112.pdf

14. jur:119§§E1-4; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur119-130.pdf
15. jur:130§E5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Sec_E_5-8_jur130-169.pdf
16. jur:130§E5-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting&agenda.pdf
17. jur:158§§E6-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf
18. jur:171§F; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf
19. ggl:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf
20. jur:i-lix; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_jur_i-lix_summarizing_articles.pdf
21. Lsch:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Lsch/DrRCordero_presentation_at_schools.pdf; see also http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
22. DCC:1; The *DeLano* Case Course, with two 15-week syllabi for classwork of case investigation and organization of findings presentation conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_Case_Course.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_docs.pdf
23. CW:1; Creative writings: blurbs, synopses of novels and movie scripts, drama scenes, and a short story by Dr Cordero; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/CW/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
24. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/a&p/DrRCordero-Agent&Publisher.pdf>
25. OL:1-393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf

5. Written articles available for review and publication

26. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf. Cf.:
 - a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; official statistics of dismissal of complaints against fellow judges while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_barrett_statistics.pdf

Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf

- e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
- f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
- g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
- 27. jur:32§§2-3; Congress's finding of **cronyism in the federal courts**, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
- 28. jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
- 29. jur:72fn144d; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
- 30. jur:106-112 research proposals, see OL:42
- 31. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
- 32. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
- 33. jur:131-153 research proposals, see OL:42
- 34. Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf; the means of judges' retaliation: Lsch:17§C
- 35. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
- 36. OL:1; to the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-ICIJ.pdf>;
 - a. §F. Moral and material rewards for exposing abusers of power;
 - b. OL:5footnote7: federal judges grant 100% of the intelligence agencies' secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act(50 U.S.C. §§1801-1885). As a result, the judges are in a position to do what since the first impeachment of President Trump officers at the highest level of government are known to do or suspected of doing: enter into quid pro quos to exact from the agencies the cooperation for the judges' own benefit that the judges are not entitled to obtain.
- 37. OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf; collected law and journalistic research proposals; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_law&journalistic_research_proposals.pdf
- 38. OL:60; research proposals, see OL:42
- 39. OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
- 40. OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
- 41. OL:190; a plan for investigating federal judges based on the leads already gathered; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf

42. OL:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CBS_Reporter_SAAttkisson.pdf
43. OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
44. OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
45. OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
46. OL:395; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_communications.pdf; see next
47. OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf; see also OL:395↑, 582§C, 929, 1081; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550↓
48. OL:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
49. OL:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
50. OL:453; analysis of official statistics of the U.S. Courts, which by law must be filed with Congress annually as a public document; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
51. OL:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
52. OL:491; skit: How Sen. Clinton stole the show at the charity gala, causing Mr. Trump to concede that “She’s such a naspy, naspy woman”, and the strategy that she devised to turn “naspy” into the theme that would win her the election; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/mag/DrRCordero-SecHClinton.pdf>
53. OL:530; skit: Trump and the Four Chicks; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-GSuss.pdf>
54. OL:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
55. OL:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
56. OL:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
57. OL:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through ‘dumping forms’, which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
58. OL:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
59. OL:724; skit: The Trumpeter and his White House Circus; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero-AOcasio-Cortez_CNixon_SenBSanders.pdf
60. OL:677; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_amicus_curiae.pdf
61. OL:687; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_clerks_as_judges_abusers_by_proxy.pdf

62. OL2:760; see OL2:608
63. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
64. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
65. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf; see also OL2:395, 440; 582§C↑, 929, 1081; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550↓
66. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
67. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
68. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
69. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
70. >OL2:879; exposing judges who alone or with their cronies prey on parties and the public; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
71. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
72. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
73. OL2:929; interception of people's mail and emails to detect and suppress those critical of judges; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf; see also OL2:395, 440; 582§C↑, 1081; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550↓
74. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
75. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
76. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
77. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
78. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
79. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
80. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
81. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
82. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
83. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
84. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
85. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
86. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
87. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf

88. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
89. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
90. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
91. >OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
92. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
93. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf, discussing a proposal to LexisNexis
94. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
95. >OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf = <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>; see also OL2:395, 440; 582§C, 929↑; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550↓
96. OL2:1084; Adapting to the Covid legal market by Making Money While Doing Justice; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
97. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
98. >OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
99. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
100. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
101. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
102. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
103. OL2:1119; patterns of judges' abuse of power that journalists and lawyers can investigate; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
104. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
105. >OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
106. OL3:1144; analysis of Thomson Reuters's report "The Teflon Robe"; which found "hardwired judicial corruption", i.e., corruption that is an integral element of state judiciaries and that intertwines their judges and the conniving state commissions on judicial conduct duty-bound to supervise them but in practice covering up their abuse of power by not even investigating, let alone punishing, them; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
107. OL3:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
108. OL3:1164 and 1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
109. OL3:1168; Joining forces with Reuters to extend its investigation of state commissions on judicial conduct

to the Federal Judiciary and connect it with U.S. Senator Elizabeth Warren's denunciation of "unaccountable federal judges' abusive self-enrichment"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf

110. OL3:1172; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
111. OL3:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
112. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
113. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
114. OL3:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
115. OL3:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
116. OL3:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
117. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf; see also OL2:395, 440; 582§C, 929, 1081↑; OL3:1544, 1550↓
118. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
119. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
120. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
121. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
122. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
123. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
124. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
125. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
126. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
127. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf
128. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCt_reform_Commission.pdf
129. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf
130. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_SCt_Commission.pdf
131. OL3:1329; the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
132. OL3:1338; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf

133. OL3:1342; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf
134. OL3:1348; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_politicians-judges_connivance.pdf
135. OL3:1351; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_folly_of_pro_se.pdf
136. OL3:1367; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf
137. OL3:1371; proposal to apply to judges expertise in financial criminality investigations; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
138. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
139. OL3:1378; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
140. OL3:1380; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_writing_reliable_stories&telling_national_public.pdf
141. OL3:1383; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf
142. OL3:1389; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar&story_workshop_slides.pdf
143. OL3:1393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_&_workshop_dates.pdf
144. OL3:1394; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_thinking_strategically_to_gain_result_allies.pdf
145. OL3:1399; analysis of *The Wall Street Journal* article series “Hidden Interests” exposing how “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf
146. OL3:1407; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading_strategizing_taking_action.pdf
147. OL3:1411; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf
148. OL3:1415; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_concrete_actions_by_advocates.pdf
149. OL3:1417; a joint venture proposal for Reuters to develop a law website with 49,763 subscribers at the most propitious time: when the attention of the national public has been focused on the impact of judges on national politics and the outcome of presidential elections; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf
150. OL3:1426; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_forming_local_chapters&appealing_to_schools.pdf
151. OL3:1430; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ABC_investigate_judges_abuse.pdf
152. OL3:1436; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLE_webinar_exposing_judges.pdf
153. OL3:1440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_workshop_for_preparing_your_story.pdf
154. OL3:1445; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf; also ↓OL3:1619¶6
155. OL3:1449; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_need_to_read&best_time_to_distribute.pdf

156. OL3:1451; to publishers to offer them my articles and describe a plan of action to enter jointly a multidisciplinary academic and business venture for judicial abuse exposure, compensation and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_articles_actions_to_expose_judges.pdf
157. OL3:1457; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading&telling_knowledgeable_judicial_abuse_stories.pdf
158. OL3:1460; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf
159. OL3:1470; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Offshoot_Oases_Project.pdf
160. OL3:1473; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_offering_law_services&articles.pdf
161. OL3:1476; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CIRS_&_trainees.pdf
162. OL3:1479; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf
163. OL3:1485; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Commission_Jurists.pdf
164. OL3:1487; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_distributing_articles_exposing_judges.pdf
165. OL3:1489; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf
166. OL3:1491; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf
167. OL3:1493; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_recusal_principles.pdf
168. OL3:1495; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_The_Business_of_Justice.pdf
169. OL3:1497; letters to NYS Chief and Administrative Judges, NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau Chiefs, and Inspectors Generals; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf
170. OL3:1520; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf
171. OL3:1522; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Legal_Aid_Society.pdf
172. OL3:1525; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
173. OL3:1527; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_proposal_for_class_actions.pdf
174. OL3:1530; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_assets_for_negotiating_table.pdf
175. OL3:1532; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_ReplyAll_read_write_your_story.pdf
176. OL3:1533; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_think_proceed_strategically_to_expose_abuse.pdf
177. OL3:1538; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf
178. OL3:1542; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Medicare&HMO_class_action.pdf
179. OL3:1544; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Cybersecurity_experts.pdf; see next
180. OL3:1550; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_IT_experts.pdf; see also OL2:395, 440; 395, 582§C, 929, 1081; OL3:1228, 1544, 1550

181. OL3:1555; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Presentation_to_Honest_Judiciaries_Advocates.pdf
182. OL3:1558; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommissioner.pdf>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
183. OL3:1578; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/23-3-30DrRCordero-Settle_or_Expose_Team.pdf
184. OL3:1579; containing a list of media outlets that have exposed judges' abuse in an unaccountable justice system; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_holding_justice_system_accountable.pdf
185. OL3:1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ProfLTribe_AttRKaplan.pdf
186. OL3:1587; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-LegalAidSociety_DebevoisePlimpton.pdf
187. OL3:1588; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf
188. OL3:1589; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ExecDirGRoth_CoanchorGBennett.pdf
189. OL3:1593; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_class_actions-Duane_Morris_LL.P.pdf
190. OL3:1602; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-pro_ses.pdf
191. OL3:1604; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-DA_Candidate_TCohen.pdf
192. OL3:1607; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
193. OL3:1609; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-schools_&_media.pdf
194. OL3:1611; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/23-8-28DrRCordero_class_action_v_Medicare.pdf
195. OL3:1618; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_fabricated_indictments-public_officers.pdf
196. OL3:1623; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_defamation_specificity_analogy_distinction.pdf
197. OL3:1625; Complaint to New York State (NYS) Court of Appeals (CA) Chief Judge Rowan Wilson and Associate Judges about fabricated indictments based on false and insufficient evidence presented to a grand jury by prosecutors and NY Police Department (NYPD) officers with the support of judges of the NYS Unified Court System (UCS) and the cover-up by those CA judges, the NYS and NY City (NYC) administrative judges, the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct, two NYPD Commissioners and two Chiefs of its Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB), and their appointer, i.e., a former NYPD captain and current mayor of NYC, and NYPD and UCS inspectors general (IG): the black robe over judges' holding each other unaccountable covers the NYPD officers' blue wall of complicit silence to make their enterprise corruption under NY law and racketeering organization under the federal RICO Act invisible and soundproof (see §C.10 *infra*); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf
198. OL3:1630; To U.S. Senator Kirsten Gillibrand of New York to request assistance in dealing with a federal agency, namely, Medicare; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/DrRCordero-SenKGillibrand_Medicare.pdf
199. OL3:1635; proposal to Moms for Liberty for jointly expanding the addressees of their message to people other than parents concerned about their children's education by holding unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-MomsforLiberty.pdf>;
200. OL3:1638; the folly of laypeople improvising themselves as lawyers given the complexities of procedural rules

and substantive provisions and to propose that they promote the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_folly_laypeople_as_lawyers.pdf

201. OL3:1640; proposal for homeowners and tenants to join forces to denounce abusive landlords and real estate investors and lenders by promoting the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Stop_Wall_Street_Landlords_Acts.pdf;
202. OL3:1644; proposal for holding citizens hearings and law clinics at universities and media stations to expose judges' and their judiciaries' abuse of power; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/24-2-1DrRCordero-ProfMHutter.pdf>
203. OL3:1645; Blurbs of serial articles by top national media outlets exposing abuse of power by judges and their judiciaries; and blurbs of cases ripe for class action to hold those abusers accountable for their abuse and liable to collective compensation for their victims; *id.*
204. OL3:1646; proposal to AI and GPT investors interested in "revolutionizing access to justice" on behalf of pro ses for a joint venture that would persuade media outlets and universities to hold unprecedented citizens hearings in their media stations and auditoriums, where pro ses and represented parties alike would tell their stories of judges' and their judiciaries' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power; cause an informed and outraged national public to force politicians to discuss the issue as a decisive electoral one and investigate those abusers; and subsequently finance class actions to hold the abusers accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse because if a former president and his top aides; lawyers and their law firms; police officers and their departments; doctors and their hospitals; clergy and their churches; pharmaceutical officers and their companies; pundits/moderators and their media outlets, can be prosecuted and ordered to compensate their victims, so can judges and their judiciaries given that in a democracy governed by the rule of law, which aims at administering "Equal Justice Under Law", it follows that "Nobody is Above the Law"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/24-2-5DrRCordero-Pro-se_Pro.pdf
205. OL3:1647; proposal to the proponents of declaring the formerly incarcerated a protected class because upon their release they face discrimination when seeking a job or housing, which prevents their incorporation into the civil society and a productive and normal life; cf. the blurb at OL3:1645; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/24-2-10DrRCordero-formerly_currently_next_incarcerated.pdf
206. OL3:1648; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-joint_venture_with_lawyers&journalists.pdf
207. OL3:1650; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Court_of_Appeals_cover-up.pdf
208. OL3:1654; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Reuters_joint_venture_proposal.pdf
209. OL3:1656; Letter from NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct of 26 February 2024, acknowledging receipt of Dr. Cordero's complaint of 24 January 2024; see next
210. OL3:1657; Letter to NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Chair Joseph Belluck, Esq., about the Commission's failure to investigate his complaint against two judges of the NYS Unified Court System, received first on 23 September 20~~22~~²³, as per its acknowledgment of November 3, 2022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
211. OL3:1659; reply to a law student and proposal for her and her law school to hold unprecedented citizens hearings where people will tell their stories (*above*, OL3:1329) of the abuse of power by unaccountable judges that they have suffered or witnessed, which will inform the public of the nature, extent, and gravity of the abuse, and so outrage it at judges as to cause the public to force politicians to investigate judges

officially; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-schools_holding_citizens_hearings.pdf

212. OL3:1665; proposal to criminal defense lawyers to join forces to expose the fabrication of indictment on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors and police officers and covered up by judges; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-criminal_defense_lawyers.pdf
213. OL3:1667; an eye-witness account of an indictment fabricated on false and insufficient evidence and a proposal to The Legal Aid Society to jointly expose it on behalf of thousands of 'fabricated' inditees; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-LAS_exposing_false_accusations.pdf
214. OL3:1673; general considerations for reviewing indictments and determining their validity; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_reviewing_indictments.pdf
215. OL3:1680; Proposal to the American Civil Liberties Union to expose indictments fabricated with false and insufficient evidence; and seek compensation for thousands of 'fabricated' inditees; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-ACLU.pdf>
216. OL3:1683; When Jews and pro-Palestinian advocates think strategically to form a coalition that organizes a 1963 MLK "March on Washington"-like demonstration at the Lincoln Memorial next June 16 at noon to force P. Biden to choose between a chance of reelection by supporting the coalition demands and appearing nationally and internationally as an unprincipled, hypocritical, and subservient non-leader incapable of asserting American values; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Jews_Palestinians_demonstration_in_DC.pdf
217. OL3:1686; Neither pro ses nor abusees can afford to pay for a class action against judges and their cronies who work together to abuse their power. Nor do they have the technical knowledge to prosecute such a complex type of action in court. Instead, they should join forces to take affordable and strategic action by writing their story of the abuse that they have suffered or witnessed; distributing the article that proposes unprecedented citizens hearings at university auditoriums and media stations, where they will have the opportunity to tell their story; and promoting the proposed demonstration of Jews and pro-Palestinian advocates at the Lincoln Memorial in Washington, DC, on September 29, 2024, where also the abuse by judges and their cronies can be brought to the attention of the public countrywide and turned into a decisive electoral and national debate issue; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-only_talking_v_acting_strategically.pdf
218. OL3:1690; proposal to Thomson Reuters's staff at *The Daily Docket* and the Business Development Department for a joint business venture to develop a law website with 53,004+ subscribers as of 29 December 2024, and to implement a plan of action to protect court employees and parties from risklessly abusive unaccountable judges and their judiciaries; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_biz.venture.proposal-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
219. OL3:1696; Proposal to *The Atlantic* for a joint venture that through a series of articles and *unprecedented citizens hearings* aims to enable *We the People* to exercise our sovereign power over a kingly judiciary and the politicians who have connivingly put it in office and cover-up its judges' abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-joint_venture_proposal-The_Atlantic.pdf
220. OL3:1698; after *The Wall Street Journal* published its article "Insurers Pocketed \$50 Billion From Medicare for Diseases No Doctor Treated", on 9 July 2024, a proposal to it was made for a joint venture to investigate and prosecute through a class action Medicare and its providers of medical services and equipment for their coordinated abuse of millions of senior and disabled people out of the 67 million covered by the Medicare program who individually lack the health, knowledge, and money necessary to sue Medicare et al.; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-WSJ_on_Medicare.pdf

221. OL3:1700; on finding legal assistance from Thomson Reuters's Practical Law and Reference Attorney; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_legal_help_from_Thomson_Reuters.pdf
222. OL3:1702; proposal for deans of top law, journalism, IT and business schools; officers of media outlets; as well as professors, journalists, and multidisciplinary experts to enter a multidisciplinary academic and journalistic joint business venture to organize a joint demonstration of Jewish and pro-Palestinian students at the Lincoln Memorial in Washington, DC; citizens hearings; produce their report and a documentary; publish a series of articles to implement the inform and outrage strategy for exposing public officers' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power; embark on a tour of presentations; create the Institute for Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy; give rise to representative journalism; and carry out many other actions; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-leaders_demonstration_citizens_hearings.pdf
223. OL3:1708; requesting a court permission to file an amicus curiae brief by offering to discuss the statistics of the industry in question so as to establish what therein is and is not reasonable conduct and thereby assess the parties' conduct; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_amicus_curiae_permission_basis.pdf
224. OL3:1710; proposal to attorneys, journalists, and academics to expose prosecutors and police officers who engage in, and the judges and their judiciary who condone, the fabrication of indictments on false and insufficient evidence; and other rewarding cases; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-lawyers-exposure_rewards.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_abuse_exposure_rewards.pdf
225. OL3:1712; after the probe by the FBI office for the Southern District of NY into corruption by NYPD Commissioner Edward Caban and others involving money and nightclubs caused his resignation, the evidence gathered by Dr. Cordero of the cover-up by Comm. Caban and the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau of indictments fabricated by police officers, prosecutors, and judges was sent to the FBI with the request that it use that evidence to further its investigation of the systemic corruption in the NY system of justice that has victimized thousands of fabricated indictees throughout the state; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-FBI_SDNY.pdf
226. OL3:1718; the investigation by the District Attorney's Office in Manhattan, NY City, and those of the FBI SDNY and EDNY into wrongdoing by Mayor E. Adams and his aides have led to the indictment of the Mayor, the resignation of NYPD Commissioner E. Caban, the early retirement of Schools Chancellor D. Banks, the guilty plead of others, etc. They show that enterprise corruption pervades NYC government. These events buttress the credibility of the evidence of their corruption described in this article, to wit, their fabrication of indictments based on false and insufficient evidence by NYPD officers, prosecutors, and judges, and the cover-up by NYC and NYS administrative judges, the successive chief and associate judges of the NYS Court of Appeals, inspectors general, etc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Manhattan_DA_Office.pdf
227. OL3:1722; proposal to the investigative journalists of THE CITY to jointly investigate the evidence of fabricated indictments (see supra) and of pervasive corruption in the NYS system of justice, where abuse of power has become the institutionalized modus operandi; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-THE_CITY.pdf
228. OL3:1725; proposal to the non-for-profit investigative news organization The Intercept to investigate two stories of abuse of power that affects practically everybody, namely, fabricated indictments (see supra) and the interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those critical of judges, and thereby instill in everybody the feeling that it is investigating their own story and that everybody's donation will make such investigation possible; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-The_Intercept.pdf

229. OL3:1728; proposal to National Catholic Reporter Executive Editor James V. Grimaldi, formerly a reporter at *The Wall Street Journal* and three time winner of the Pulitzer Prize for investigative journalism, to publish some articles already written that can inform the national public of corruption in the federal and state judiciaries so outrageous as to have the effect of 'an October surprise scoop' whereby the public pressures politicians into taking a stand on it, thus impacting the last days of the presidential campaign and the outcome of the election; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-NCRExecEdJGrimaldi.pdf>
230. OL3:1733; blurbs and abstracts of cases and corresponding articles with the potential to outrage an informed national public, and proposed for joint prosecution by lawyers, journalists, multidisciplinary experts, professors and students of law, journalism, IT, and business schools; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-blurbs&abstracts_of_cases&articles.pdf
231. OL3:1741; Proposal to business development officers and venture capitalists to turn a successful law and business website with 53,004 subscribers, which provides news, critical analysis, and a strategy for realistic action, into a commercial undertaking that sells goods and services guided by the principle "Making Money While Doing The Business of Justice"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-website_business_development.pdf
232. OL3:1742; a table of socially acceptable talking points paired to clips available on the Internet where Trump is seen and heard making statements ever more profane, vulgar, supportive of violence, dismissive of the Constitution, and assertive of his own "absolute power" and unaccountability, so that he cannot be reasonably expected to hold judges accountable for their abuse of power, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Democrats_October_Surprise.pdf
233. OL3:1748; proposal to ALM to publish the key articles of my cases ripe for class action and leverage its knowledge of the class action and mass tort bars to form teams of lawyers, journalists, and multidisciplinary experts to prosecute them for-profit and in the public interest, thus giving rise to a new form of journalism: representative journalism, which can challenge the Establishment and become a powerhouse of American governance; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-ALM_RepABronstad_Critical_Mass.pdf
234. OL3:1749; short blurbs and longer abstracts of the cases proposed to lawyers, journalists, professors, students, and potential class members to join and prosecute cases as class actions to be supported by a successful website with 53,004+ subscribers, which can be developed as a business guided by the principle "Making Money While Doing Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-blurbs_abstracts_class_action_cases.pdf
235. OL3:1751; discussion of the evidence of tens of thousands of mail and emails critical of judges and other entities for their abuse of power sent by Dr Cordero, yet they give rise to no return letters and to only email replies automatically generated by servers and stating that his emails were either "Delivered" or "Undeliverable", which is a unique reaction that can only result from the intentional interception and suppression of replies composed by recipients, especially abusees, who would naturally reply to seek Dr. Cordero's help; and proposal to law firms and lawyers to join forces to prosecute the abusers in class actions; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-ProflTribe_HeckerFink.pdf
236. OL3:1754; proposal to Thomson Reuters of an academic and business venture; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_joint_venture-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
237. OL3:1758; proposal to NYU Law Professor Stephen Gillers (Emeritus) and colleagues to join an appeal from a decision of Medicare and thereby assist many of its 67 million insureds that suffer its abuse of power and that of its medical services and equipment providers; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-NYUProfSGillers&colleagues.pdf>

238. OL3:1761; Dr. Cordero's statement to the IT technicians of the company, Hostmonster, hosting his website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, of the problems that he has been encountering with sending emails and receiving replies composed by individuals in response to my emails, as opposed to boilerplate emails automatically sent by an email server; links in my emails and articles posted to my website that do not download the corresponding materials and instead causing the display on the screen of a 404 Page Not Found error or displaying nothing at all, a problem known as broken links; and the abnormally low number of recipients of his emails or visitors to his website that are able to subscribe to his website; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Hostmonster_ITtechnicians.pdf
239. OL3:1769; proposal for Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq., Marc Agnifilo, Esq., and Dr. Cordero to join forces to help their client Luigi Mangione, who may be unable to win his freedom, but whose objective through the crimes of which he has been accused we may help save, namely, expose the healthcare industry's abusive claim evasion tactics, pithily described in the paraphrase 'delay, deny, defend', <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-K&MAgnifilo-LMangione.pdf>, and illustrated in the complaint-appeal filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, *Cordero v. Secretary of HHS, EmblemHealth* (insurer), *Maximus Federal Services* (claims denials reviewer) and many of the top officers of the Medicare Appeals Council and the Office of Medicare Appeals and Hearings (OMHA), 24cv9778-UA; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/24-12-15DrRCordero-v-Medicare_EmblemHealth_et_al.pdf, of which a copy was mailed to Mr. Mangione
240. OL3:1774; appeal to the sincerity of NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch and Interim Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Edward Thompson when stating repeatedly that no task is more important to them than to restore ethical behavior among police officers and earn back public trust in the NYPD so as to ask that they investigate the evidence of indictments fabricated on false and insufficient evidence by prosecutors, police officers, and detectives, and covered up by judges; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf
241. OL3:1775; proposal to a group of successful women, namely, U.S. Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, NYS Sen. Nathalia Fernandez, NYS Assemblywoman Karinés Reyes, and NYC Councilwoman Amanda Farias, to join forces and together with other successful women, to wit, NYPD Commissioner Jessica Tisch and Karen Friedman Agnifilo, Esq., the attorney for Luigi Mangione, expose fabricated indictments -OL3:1625 above- and abusive evasion of health insurance claims -OL3:1769 above-; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-women_leading_movement.pdf
242. OL3:1776; to inform NYPD IAB Chief Thompson that I was informed by the USPS that the complaint that I sent him by two-day priority mail could not be delivered as addressed, although I mailed it to IAB's official mailing address, as automatically corrected by the label form page of www.USPS.com; and that the complaint mailed to NYPD Commissioner Tisch by priority mail was delivered late seven days later; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf
243. OL3:1777; my fee and retainer; my current brief in the public interest filed in federal court; and the description of my other cases that are ripe for class action; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-fees_brief_cases.pdf
244. OL3:1779; proposal to lawyers to join forces to expose the fabrication of indictments based on false and insufficient evidence by New York State prosecutors and NYPD police officers and detectives, and the cover-up by grand jury, NYS and NYC administrative, and Court of Appeals (the highest court in the NY judiciary) judges; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-JScolaEsq.pdf>
245. OL3:1781; joining forces with groups throughout the U.S. to expose abuse of power in health insurance, indictments, child protective services, mortgage foreclosure, etc.; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_state_groups_joining_to_expose_abuse.pdf

246. next article starts at OL3:1783

247.

248. 250 reserved;

NOTE: Section B:251 begins on the next page.

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles

251. judges' unaccountability(*>[OL:265](#)) and their riskless abuse of power(*>[jur:5§3](#); [OL:154§3](#));
252. statistical analysis for the public(†>[OL2:455§§B-E](#), 608§A) and for researchers([jur:131§b](#));
253. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"]", unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable([OL2:453](#));
254. to receive 'justice services'([OL2:607](#)) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs([OL2:608§A](#)), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract([OL2:609§2](#));
255. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back([OL2:546](#));
256. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"([jur:68^{123a}](#));
257. abuse-enabling clerks([OL2:687](#)), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse([jur:30§1](#));
258. law clerks' vision is fixated on the end of their clerkship, when they must ask the judge for whom they clerked for a glowing letter of recommendation ([OL2:645§B](#)) to a potential employer, which can bring in a signing-up bonus of hundreds of thousands of dollars; clerks are beholden to judges' power to write or not to write that letter, which morally blinds clerks to their being used by judges as executioners of their abuse;
259. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them([jur:10-14](#); [OL2:548](#)), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority([jur:21§a](#));
260. escaping the futility of suing judges([OL2:713](#), [609§1](#)): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation([OL2:581](#));
261. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation([jur:81§1](#); [Lsch:17§C](#)): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public([OL2:635](#), [593¶15](#));
262. turning insiders into Deep Throats([jur:106§C](#)); outsiders into informants([OL2:468](#)); and judges into criers of 'Me Too! Abusers'([OL2:682¶¶7, 8](#)) that issue an *I accuse!*([jur:98§2](#)) denunciation of judges' abuse: thinking and acting strategically([OL2:635](#), [593¶15](#)) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice([OL2:687](#)), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
263. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab([OL2:614](#)), conceal([jur:65^{107a,c}](#)), and launder([105²¹³](#)) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics([OL2:440](#); [OL2:582 § C](#); [OL2:395](#), 929, 1081; [OL3:1228](#));
 - 1) made all the more credible by former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the U.S. Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting([OL2:612§b](#));
 - 2) by using Information Technology examination and statistical analysis, such interception and

contents-based suppression can be exposed, which will provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);

- 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
- 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception of people's emails and mail;

264. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;

265. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;

266. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:

- a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
- b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL3:1228; OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
- c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608¶5);
- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571 ¶ 24a);

267. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;

268. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>,

which as of 23 January 2025, had **53,083**+ subscribers, into:

- a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
- b. a **research center** for professionals and parties([OL2:575](#)) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
- c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture ([jur:119 § § 1-4](#)). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130 § 5](#));

269. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

270. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;

the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the [Constitution](#), Article V.

C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing

271. Dr. Cordero's collected law and journalistic research proposals; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero_law&journalistic_research_proposals.pdf

1. Treatises

272. **Start your research here to gain an overview of the subject and proceed to the ever more specific:** <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law.

a. Also search using the keywords "encyclopedia", "cyclopedia", "jurisprudence", "manual", or "treatise" of your state law, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/New-York-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100029357>.

273. Corpus Juris Secundum, a restatement of the law as it has developed from reported cases and legislation; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/Corpus-Juris-Secundumreg-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104934968>

274. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction

275. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea

2. Law reviews and journals

276. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

277. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

278. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

279. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; cf. Legal Information Institute (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>

280. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), Bankruptcy Code; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Code.pdf

281. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), Criminal Code, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Code.pdf

282. E.g., US Code, Title 28 (28 USC), Judicial Code; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

283. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

6. Federal procedural and evidentiary rules applicable in federal court

284. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (11 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Rules.pdf
285. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (18 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Rules.pdf
286. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (28 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence** (FRCP, FRAP, FRE); <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf
287. *Federal Civil Judicial Procedure and Rules*, 2022 ed.; 1,248 pages; Thomson Reuters; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2022-ed/p/106767284>
288. *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules and Commentary*, 2021 ed.; Steven S. Gensler and Lumen N. Mulligan; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Rules-of-Civil-Procedure-Rules-and-Commentary-2021-ed/p/106676872?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
289. *Federal Civil Rules Handbook*, 2022 ed.; Steven Baicker-McKee and William M. Janssen; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Civil-Rules-Handbook-2022-ed/p/106744908>
290. For the rules of the Supreme Court, see subsection 24 *infra*.

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

291. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

292. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law; <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

293. https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b_three_sections_with_tasers/active_leg_page.htm
294. <https://www.house.gov/legislative-activity>

10. Federal laws -and a state law version- of particular interest

295. The **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 U.S.C. [the Code of the laws of the federal government]; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_in_Government.pdf
296. **Racketeer Influenced** and Corrupt Organizations Act(**RICO**); 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; <http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title18-section1961&num=0&edition=prelim>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc1961_RICO.pdf
- a. Enterprise Corruption; NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/PEN/460.00>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf
297. 18 U.S.C. [Federal Criminal Code] §2511; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited: (1) ...any person who— (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;...shall be punished...or shall be subject to suit....; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18_usc_11.pdf
298. Duty to report abuse, **18 USC §3057**; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
299. Supreme Court justices assigned to federal circuits and known as circuit justices, **28 U.S.C. §42**
300. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, titled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
301. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the meetings of the judicial councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
302. **Judicial Conduct** and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 U.S.C. §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#)), setting forth a procedure for anybody to file a complaint about a federal judge with the chief circuit judge where the complained-about judge sits
303. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints filed under **28 U.S.C. §§351-364**; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
304. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 11 on the duties of lawyers and pro ses who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance; https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11
305. **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978; 5 U.S.C. Appendix; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
306. Rule 23 on class actions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Rule_23_Class_Actions_FRCp.pdf
307. **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act** (FISA) 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc_FISA.pdf
308. Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the **Social Security Act**, https://www.ssa.gov/OP_Home/ssact/ssact-toc.htm, found in **Title 42** of the U.S. Code of federal laws, <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>, as modified by Section 4714 of the **Balanced Budget Act of 1997**, <https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>, prohibits Medicare providers from balance

billing Medicaid QMBs [Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries] for Medicare cost-sharing. The provider must submit its bill to Medicaid and accept as full payment what Medicaid pays. See also Overview of Medicaid Provisions in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, P.L. 105-33;
<https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>.

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

309. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>

310. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx

311. The annual report of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who discusses the key issues of the Federal Judiciary and statistics on the cases filed with it and those handled by its judges during the reported year:

a. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2021year-endreport.pdf>

b. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

312. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice;
<https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

313. Table 1

Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020		
Supreme Court		69
Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	
Federal circuit	1,568	
94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

12. Landmark cases in the federal courts

341. *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254 (1964); <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/376/254/>

342. *Strickland v. U.S.*, No. 21-1346, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/opinions/211346.p.pdf>, a federal civil case decided on April 26, 2022, by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/>, held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers, including judges in their official and individual capacities, can be held accountable for their performance and liable to compensation.

13. Forms

343. E.g., District Courts—Civil (Vols. 2-4A, West's® Federal Forms);
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/District-CourtsmdashCivil-Vols-2-4A-Westsreg-Federal-Forms/p/100001667>

344. Bankruptcy Courts (Vols. 6-6C, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Bankruptcy-Courts-Vols-6-6C-Wests174-Federal-Forms/p/100001669>

14. Judicial Conference of the U.S. (the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary)

345. 28 USC §331. Judicial Conference; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>

346. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference>, which contains a list of its 20 committees

347. The Chief Justice appoints the members of the Judicial Conference committees; <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference/about-judicial-conference>

348. Reports of the Judicial Conference's biannual meetings, <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us>

349. Regulations on judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, <https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/judiciary-policies/ethics-policies/financial-disclosure-report-regulations>

15. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal, as opposed to state, courts)

350. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>

351. <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

352. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, <https://www.uscourts.gov/>; established as provided for in **28 USC §§601-613**, <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

353. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>

354. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports>

355. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document(**28 USC §604(a)(3-4)**); the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court(**§601**); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>

356. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/caseload-statistics-data-tables>

357. U.S. Federal Courts - Complaints Filed and Action Taken Under 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364 in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2023/09/30>

358. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>

359. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

360. Table 2

Number of federal judicial officers https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9

circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges id.	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

402. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and
403. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
404. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
405. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints about federal judges in Table S-22(28 USC §604(h)(2)); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
406. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>
407. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>
408. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

16. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

409. <https://www.fjc.gov>
410. List of the 8 impeached federal judges since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

17. PACER and other and other case and court finders

411. Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER); <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>
412. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF); <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmef>
413. Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>
414. To find the website of each federal court, where its cases are posted go to <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

18. Other federal entities and people

415. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**”; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>
416. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge

and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>

417. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>

418. Judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

419. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

19. United States Postal Service

420. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

20. Sources of state legal authority

a. Treatises

421. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231>

b. State constitutions and laws

422. https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8glVh9zlCh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS_PP_Law-Books_Main_Search_Brand-Phrase_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&ef_id=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8glVh9zlCh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE:G:s&s_kwid=AL!7944!3!440994957489!p!g!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books

423. Search for a compilation of all state codes, laws, rules, and regulations; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>

c. Uniform laws (the product of agreements among the states)

424. Uniform Laws Annotated; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/p/100028543>

425. Uniform Commercial Code; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Commercial-Code-2020-2021-ed/p/106675446?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

d. Restatement of laws

426. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=restatement+of+laws>

e. Omnibus site collecting the law materials of a state or the links to them

1) Illustrated with New York materials. For materials of your state, go to the website of your state legislature; your department of state; or Thomson Reuters and search for its [law books on you state](#).

427. NY State Law, Cases & Legislation | NYCOURTS.GOV;
<https://ww2.nycourts.gov/lawlibraries/nycodesstatutes.shtml>

f. Rules of procedure applicable in the courts of a state (the equivalent of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at 28 U.S.C. Appendix; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>)

428. The Consolidated Laws of New York; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CONSOLIDATED>; McKinney's **Consolidated Laws** of New York Annotated® (Annotated Statute & Code Series); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york>

429. In New York, the judicial procedural rules adopted by the state legislation to regulate the procedural aspects of lawsuits in New York state courts -and in federal courts when diversity of citizenship jurisdiction is asserted- are codified to the Consolidated Laws of NY, Chapter 8, Civil Practice Law and Rules; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CVP>; annotated in <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Court-Rules/McKinneys-New-York-Civil-Practice-Law-and-Rules-2023-ed/p/106962400?trkcode=recrserp&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

- a. From the Internet: "The **New York Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR)** is chapter 8 of the *Consolidated Laws of New York*^[1] and governs legal procedure in the NY Unified Court System such as jurisdiction, venue, and pleadings, as well as certain areas of substantive law such as the statute of limitations and joint and several liability.^[2] The CPLR has approximately 700 individual sections and rules which are divided into 70 articles."
- b. The CPLR can be composed piecemeal for free by going to <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/CVP>, downloading each provision of each article, and pasting them in their official order of appearance in a Word document so as to end up with one searchable file; otherwise, the one volume of the CPLR published by Thomson Reuters can be bought for \$383; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Court-Rules/McKinneys-New-York-Civil-Practice-Law-and-Rules-2023-ed/p/106962400?trkcode=recrserp&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
- c. NY Civil Practice Law and Rules, CVR, **Civil Practice Law & Rules** (West's®... | Legal Solutions (thomsonreuters.com)); "The hundreds of official and authored forms provided in *Civil Practice Law and Rules* help you comply with the various New York civil practice laws and court rules while saving you research and drafting time. Comprehensive commentary guides you through every stage of a case from commencement of an action to judgment, enforcement, and appeal." <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Civil-Practice-Law--Rules-Westsreg-McKinneys-Forms/p/100028288>. The price of this set of volumes is \$5,033 as of 9 February 2024.

430. Developments in the application of the NY Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR) in 2023; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYSATL_2024_CPLR_Update.pdf

g. Law regulating a judiciary (the equivalent of the code regulating the federal judiciary at 28 U.S.C.; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>)

431. Consolidated Laws of New York, Chapter 30, Judiciary; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/JUD>

h. Treatises on state law or topics of it

432. E.g.: Carmody-Wait, 2d, *Cyclopedia of New York Law* | Legal Solutions (thomsonreuters.com); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Carmody-Wait-2d-Cyclopedia-of-New-York->

[Practice-with-Forms/p/100027436](#)

433. **Modern New York Discovery, 2d**, a reference that discusses significant cases on discovery; **Book (Full Set) \$773.00**, **ProView eBook** [its digital version] **\$773.00** as of 9 February 2024; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Modern-New-York-Discovery-2d/p/100001845>

i. Rules of the state administrative judges

434. The rules issued by the state office of court administration, such as those found in PART [#]. **Uniform Civil Rules For The Supreme Court & The County Court** | NYCOURTS.GOV; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/>; and **Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge - HOME** | NYCOURTS.GOV; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>
435. Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge (Parts 100 to 154), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>
436. Uniform Rules of the New York State trial courts (Parts 200 to 221), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/index.shtml>; e.g., the supreme and the county courts; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.shtml>.
- a. Rules of the First Department Supreme Court [of four departments], which in NY is a trial court; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/Commencement-of-Cases-2.shtml>
 - b. There are uniform rules (Parts 205 to 221) for specialized courts, e.g., family and surrogate, capital cases, and particular activities, e.g., jury selection, depositions

j. Rules of the court where a case or motion is being filed

437. E.g., in New York; <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/index.shtml>
438. Rules of the Chief Judge, <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefjudge/index.shtml>, of the Court of Appeals, <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/courtofAppeals.shtml>, the highest NY State court (Parts 1 to 81)
439. Joint Rules of the Departments of the Appellate Division (partial: 22 NYCRR Parts 1200-1400); <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml>
- a. Rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>
440. Each court may have supplementary rules of its own as well as rules of specific judges...so much for a New York State Unified Court System.

k. Regulations of state administrative agencies

441. Go to your state's department of state website; Google the state administrative agency in question; or search for a compilation of the state codes, laws, rules, and regulations
442. E.g., Description from the Internet: "**The New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations (NYCRR)** contains the exact wording of the **codes, rules, and regulations** adopted by more than 100 New York state departments and agencies to implement state statutes¹. The NYCRR primarily contains state agency rules and regulations adopted under the State Administrative Procedure Act (SAPA)². The 23 Titles include one for each state department, one for miscellaneous agencies and one for the Judiciary³."
- a. New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations, published digitally by the New York Department of State,

Division of Administrative Rules, and Thomson Reuters Westlaw;
<https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/index?contextData=%28sc.Default%29&transitionType=Default>

- b. Title 22 of NYCRR concerns the rules of the Judiciary and its several courts;
[https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Browse/Home/NewYork/NewYorkCodesRulesandRegulations?guid=151e975e0ac3d11dd9f72c1eb90efe723&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)](https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Browse/Home/NewYork/NewYorkCodesRulesandRegulations?guid=151e975e0ac3d11dd9f72c1eb90efe723&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default))

443. E.g.,
<https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Index?bhcp=1&transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>

444. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/New-York-Codes-Rules-and-Regulations-NYCRR/p/100019553>

L. Bills pending in the state legislatures

445. E.g.: <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation>

m. State laws of particular relevance

446. E.g.: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf

n. Sources of state cases

447. For information on state cases Google the highest court in the state, which may have a state court locator or a “Links of interest”; otherwise, Google the lower state court in question, which may have a website and post its cases to it; e.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/>

448. E.g., Court of Appeals of the State of New York (the highest court in New York State),
<https://www.nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm>

449. E.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/cts-NYC-SUPREME.shtml> (the supreme courts in NYS are trial courts)

450. E.g., Supreme Court for the County of New York (Manhattan and Bronx)
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/index.shtml>

451. When a court issues a decision, it is first published in ‘slip form’ or ‘advanced sheets’, that is, separate from any other decision, hence, neither in a book nor a pamphlet.

- a. Thereafter the decisions issued during a period of months are published in a pamphlet.
- b. Finally, the pamphlets are bound in a hardcover volume. A set of such volumes containing the decisions for a number of years is normally referred to as a ‘reporter’ or ‘reports’. One set can cost tens of thousands of dollars.
 - 1) Normally, reporters are enhanced editorially by the publisher, rather than the writing judge or their courts, with a summary; headnotes summarizing the key point of law of a section of the decision; key numbers identifying the same point everywhere in any law book published by the same publisher; historical notes; and references to other cases, pertinent laws and regulations; etc. Those enhancements are practically indispensable to conduct cost-efficient law research. They save an enormous amount of research and reading time.
 - 2) Reporters -or reports- may be available online on a subscription basis. They may also be accessible, whether online or physically, in the library of a court, a law school, a bar association, a law firm, a public library, or a law institute or service, e.g., Legal Information

Institute of Cornell Law School (LII), <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>, and Findlaw, <https://www.findlaw.com/>, provided you are a member; have been granted or have purchased a temporary pass; or there is some measure of public access.

- 3) The decisions for a given court may be published together in their own reporter, such as the U.S. Supreme Court, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=Supreme+Court+reporter>; or
- 4) the highest state court, such as the New York State Court of Appeals, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Case-Law/New-York-Court-of-Appeals-Reports-2d-and-3d/p/100001560>; or
- 5) the courts of a state, e.g., New York Supplement, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Reporters/New-York-Supplement-2d-and-3d/p/100030135>; search for your state here: <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=reporters>; or
- 6) the courts of a region comprising several states, e.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Reporters/Atlantic-Reporterreg-3d/p/100024131>.
- 7) The decisions on a particular area of the law may be published in a reporter; e.g.; commercial law, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Commercial-Litigation-in-New-York-State-Courts-5th-Vols-2-4H-New-York-Practice-Series/p/106667772>; or bankruptcy, <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Case-Law/Westsreg-Bankruptcy-Reporter-National-Reporter-Systemreg/p/100002692>
- 8) The decisions of the federal courts are normally published in volumes separate from the state court decisions. However, there are online subscription plans that provide access to the federal and state decisions concerning a state or a federal circuit; e.g., call Thomson Reuters Customer Service at (800)328-4880 and ask about its plans.

o. Forms

452. E.g.: Carmody-Wait, 2d, Cyclopedia of New York Law | Legal Solutions (thomsonreuters.com); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms/Carmody-Wait-2d-Cyclopedia-of-New-York-Practice-with-Forms/p/100027436>
453. E.g., Domestic Relations (Volume 7, West's Legal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Domestic-Relations-Vol-7-Westsreg-Legal-Forms/p/100001671>

21. Entities representing state courts and compiling their statistics

454. Conference of **Chief Justices** of the states; <https://ccj.ncsc.org>
455. National Center for State Courts; www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics
456. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
457. Conference of State Court **Administrators** (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
458. National Association for Court **Management** (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>

459. National Conference of Appellate **Court Clerks** (NCACC); www.appellatecourtclerks.org

460. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

22. Rules and codes of conduct for judges

461. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>

462. American Bar Association Model **Rules** of Professional Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/

463. American Bar Association Model **Code** of Judicial Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/

464. Rules of the NYS Chief Administrative Judge, Part 100. Judicial Conduct; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/100.shtml>

- a. E.g.: Section 100.2 A judge shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all of the judge's activities; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/100.shtml#02>

23. Rules of conduct for lawyers

465. Joint Rules of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of each of the four Judicial Departments, Rules of Professional Conduct [for lawyers] Part 1200 – (22 NYCRR [Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations] Part 1200); <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml> New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

24. Reports by media outlets and VIPs that have exposed judges, prosecutors, the FBI, and Medicare and insurance officers

a. Reports exposing judges

466. Enhancing Efforts to Coordinate Best Workplace Practices Across the Federal Judiciary; Federal Judicial Center and National Academy of Public Administration; July 2024; <https://www.fjc.gov/content/388247/enhancing-efforts-coordinate-best-workplace-practices-across-federal-judiciary>

467. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters. They journalists found “hardwired judicial corruption”, i.e., corruption that is an integral element of state judiciaries and that intertwines their judges and the conniving state commissions on judicial conduct. Although the latter are duty-bound to supervise the judges, in practice the commissions cover up the judges’ abuse of power by not even investigating, let alone punishing or holding, them liable to the victims of their fraud and dereliction of duty.

- a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
- b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
- c. Part 3, 14july21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
- d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
- e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>

- f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
468. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>
469. *The Wall Street Journal* “Hidden Interests” serial articles by James.Grimaldi@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/james-v-grimaldi>; Coulter.Jones@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/coulter-jones>; reach Mr. Jones at 212-416-3778; Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/joe-palazzolo>
- a. 131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest; https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdrn4VM9Ssvk_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ; 28sep21;
 - 1) updated under the title “Federal Judges Heard Cases Despite a Financial Interest”; 29sep21; https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-the-journal-found-judges-violations-of-law-on-conflicts-11632833775?mod=Searchresults_pos11&page=1
 - 2) updated under the title: Dozens of Federal Judges Had Financial Conflicts: What You Need to Know: A Wall Street Journal investigation finds more than 130 federal judges unlawfully ruled in cases involving companies in which they or their families held shares; *Michael Siconolfi, Coulter Jones, Joe Palazzolo, and James V. Grimaldi*; WSJ; April 27, 2022; <https://www.wsj.com/articles/dozens-of-federal-judges-broke-the-law-on-conflicts-what-you-need-to-know-11632922140>

“A Wall Street Journal investigation found that 152 federal judges around the nation have violated U.S. law and judicial ethics by overseeing 1,076 [court cases](#) involving companies in which they or their family owned stock.

As a result of the Journal’s reporting, judges in 883 cases have notified courts that they presided in the lawsuits improperly and that the cases are eligible to be reopened.”
 - b. Texas Judge Leads Tally of Cases With Financial Conflicts --- Gilstrap didn't recuse in 138 suits involving firms in which he or his wife had an interest; 30sep21
 - c. Judges or Their Brokers Bought And Sold Stocks of Litigants --- 61 report trades made while they oversaw suits involving the companies; 16oct21
 - d. U.S. News: Bill Would Toughen Stock-Trading Rules for Federal Judges; 26oct21
 - e. Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law; 2nov21
 - f. U.S. News: Judge Acknowledges Possible Recusal Errors; 3nov21
 - g. U.S. News: Bill on Judge Disclosures Passes House Panel; 18nov21
 - h. U.S. News: Bill Gains To Speed Disclosure by Judges; 2dec21
470. Friends of the Court: SCOTUS Justices’ Beneficial Relationships With Billionaire Donors; ProPublica; <https://www.propublica.org/series/supreme-court-scotus>; <https://www.propublica.org/article/clarence-thomas-scotus-undisclosed-luxury-travel-gifts-crow>; <https://www.pulitzer.org/winners/propublica-work-joshua-kaplan-justin-elliott-brett-murphy-alex-mierjeski-and-kirsten-berg>;

471. Federal Judges Admit Conflicts Of Interests, Leaving Litigants Reeling; HuffPost Latest News; Henry Kerali contributed to this report; Center For Public Integrity; Apr 28, 2014, 12:50 PM; https://www.huffpost.com/entry/judges-conflicts-of-interest_n_5227031
472. House panel to explore impeachment, judicial ethics in wake of Ginni Thomas texts; Emily Brooks; *The Hill*; April 2, 2022; https://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=Social&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alertshttps://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=Social&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alerts
473. Price of Protection: Woman loses Seffner home after father's guardian sues her for libel: Former guardian faces felony charges; Adam Walser; ABC Action News Plus; February 10, 2022; https://www.abcactionnews.com/news/local-news/i-team-investigates/the-price-of-protection/price-of-protection-woman-loses-seffner-home-after-fathers-guardian-sues-her-for-libel?fbclid=IwAR0aejMvfcxBAJ4UqHm4xWHXolqRx7fkEX2_NXpmXahHH27L3snV54foPdw; "...If you have a story you think the I-Team should investigate, email us at adam@abcactionnews.com."
474. Senator Elizabeth Warren's "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", where she denounces federal judges who fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in a company that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor to protect or increase their stock's value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as judges' abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to judges' **unaccountability**; <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
475. Several of the above-listed reports are collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
476. The constitutional convention that 34 states since 2 April 2014, have petitioned Congress to convene as provided for in the amending provisions of Article V of the Constitution; <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/did-michigan-just-trigger-constitutional-convention-bid-gains-steam?msocid=23d8d337d2db6a7d2c59c75cd32b6be7>
477. A Pennsylvania state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay victims **\$206 million** in compensatory and punitive damages; www.TheLuzerneCountyRailroad.com; https://www.abajournal.com/web/article/ex-judges-ordered-to-pay-more-than-200m-to-victims-of-juvenile-detention-corruption-scheme?utm_medium=email&utm_source=salesforce_569848&sc_sid=03050232&utm_campaign=weekly_email&promo=&utm_content=&additional4=&additional5=&sfmc_j=569848&sfmc_s=51600549&sfmc_l=1527&sfmc_jb=27008&sfmc_mid=100027443&sfmc_u=16628812

b. Prosecutors

478. Prosecutors Who Break The Rules Go Unpunished, Leading To Unfair Trials And Unjust Imprisonment; WGBH News (GBH, wgbh.org) reporter Isaiah Thompson and The New England Center for Investigative Reporting (NECIR; at Boston University and WGBH News) interns Naomi LaChance, Bret Hauff,

Jacqueline Roman, Amanda Lucidi and Tristan Cimini; NECIR and GBH; 3 April 2016;
<https://www.wgbh.org/news/local/2016-04-03/prosecutors-who-break-the-rules-go-unpunished-leading-to-unfair-trials-and-unjust-imprisonment>

c. The FBI

479. 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty.

d. Medicare and insurance officers

480. Insurers Pocketed \$50 Billion From Medicare for Diseases No Doctor Treated; Christopher.Weaver@wsj.com, Tom.McGinty@wsj.com, Mark.Maremont@wsj.com, Anna.Wilde.Mathews@wsj.com; *The Wall Street Journal*; 9 July 2024;
https://www.wsj.com/health/healthcare/medicare-health-insurance-diagnosis-payments-b4d99a5d?%20mod=Searchresults_pos1&page=1

e. Reports with leads and methodology useful for investigating judges

481. Pandora Papers; International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, Washington, D.C.; 3oct21;
<https://www.icij.org/investigations/pandora-papers/>

25. Journalists and media outlets

482. CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
483. *Biden's court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
484. The Associated Press; <https://www.ap.org/about/>

26. Entities accrediting educational institutions (and serving as portals to them)

485. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
486. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
487. (business schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
488. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

27. Law book publishers

489. Thomson Reuters is the largest publisher of law books and related materials in the U.S.;
<https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
490. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>

491. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drccordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
492. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

28. Other private entities and people

493. American Association of University Professors, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>
494. American Association of Retired People; <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>
495. Judicial Watch, <https://www.judicialwatch.org>
- a. Judicial Watch's repository of judges' financial disclosure reports, <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
 - b. Judicial Watch representing former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson in her suit against the U.S. Department of Justice for hacking her office and home computers, for which she is demanding \$35 million in damages; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/cases/sharyl-attkisson-judicial-watch-v-u-s-department-justice-no114-cv-01944/>
496. 5 Young Women in Montana's Climate Case on How They Pulled Off Their Historic Win; Rachel Janfaza; Cosmopolitan; 18 August 2023; <https://www.yahoo.com/news/lifestyle/5-young-women-montana-climate-173600590.html>
- 497.

Appendix 7

Two blocs of email addresses of journalists, media outlets, professors, and students who can be persuaded to hold UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

Place each in the To: box of separate emails containing your story of abuse that you have suffered or witnessed. You can easily write it in up to 500 words by applying the [two-phase method](#). By so doing, your story will be informative, accurate, and verifiable by those who can enable you to tell it at the hearings.[‡]

To: [journalists and media officers]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, cjc@cjc.ny.gov, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com,
twallack@gmail.com, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, gpduf@aol.com,
jimwdean@aol.com, investigate@ap.org, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
drew@americanthinker.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com,
ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org,
Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com,
Evan.Allen@globe.com, causecollector@msn.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com,
oped@nytimes.com, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com,
Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, info@AP.org, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,
Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com, sarah.childress@washpost.com, david.fallis@washpost.com,

To: [lawyers and professors]

jsg@law.harvard.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gnu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
krooseve@law.upenn.edu, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

End Page

Blank

APPENDIXES
to
the Study of Judges and their Judiciaries

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of
judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

By

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial-Discipline-Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

tel. 1(718)827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, Corderoric@yahoo.com

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Appendix 7

Two blocs of email addresses of journalists, media outlets, professors, and students who can be persuaded to hold UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

Place each in the To: box of separate emails containing your story of abuse that you have suffered or witnessed. You can easily write it in up to 500 words by applying the [two-phase method](#). By so doing, your story will be informative, accurate, and verifiable by those who can enable you to tell it at the hearings.[‡]

To: [journalists and media officers]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, cjc@cjc.ny.gov, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com,
twallack@gmail.com, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, gpduf@aol.com,
jimwdean@aol.com, investigate@ap.org, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
drew@americanthinker.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com,
ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org,
Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com,
Evan.Allen@globe.com, causecollector@msn.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com,
oped@nytimes.com, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com,
Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, info@AP.org, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,
Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com, sarah.childress@washpost.com, david.fallis@washpost.com,

To: [lawyers and professors]

jsg@law.harvard.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gnu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
krooseve@law.upenn.edu, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

End Page

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1143-1555+

Blank