

January 26, 2021

**Forming the Coalition of Talkshow Hosts for Justice,
which will enable people to
tell their stories of judges' abuse of power that they have suffered or witnessed;
persuade Thomson Reuters to extend its investigation from state to federal judges;
and lead the way for media outlets and universities to hold
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS***

Dear Mr. Kincaid, BOP officer, Mr. McClenton, Rev. Pinkney, Mr. Brigman, producer Russell, Talkshow Hosts, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your emails expressing interest in interviewing me on your show to discuss judges' abuse of power; my proposal to join forces for their exposure; and abusees' collective demand to be compensated by judges and their judiciaries.

A. Taking advantage of the current optimal circumstances to expose judges' abuse of power

1. This is the optimal time to expose judges given that:

- a. the U.S. Senate is getting ready to conduct confirmation hearings on Attorney General nominee [Judge Merrick Garland](#);
- b. President Biden can at any time form the commission to reform the judicial system that he announced during an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020;
- c. I have requested that U.S. Judge Robert Pratt of the Southern District of Iowa and Associated Press reporter Ryan Foley:
 - 1) dare to expose judges' criminality, not only President Trump's, to which the Judge referred in their interview, as reported by Mr. Foley in his article "Federal judge in Iowa ridicules Trump's pardons", published on December 30, 2020; and
 - 2) promote as a means of attaining such exposure the holding of [unprecedented citizens hearings](#), to be conducted at media stations and universities where journalists, academics, and multidisciplinary experts will take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' crimes as it is broadcast via video conference to the national public; and
- d. Among the some 155 million people who voted in the November 3 elections, there are scores of millions who are disappointed in judges because they did not uphold any of President Trump's more than 55 lawsuits challenging the electoral results. President Trump railed against the Supreme Court because it ruled that he lacked standing to file an appeal from the lawsuits that he had lost in the lower courts. The Court even declared moot all pending applications to it, thus barring his effort to enlist the courts in overturning the results.

B. Forming the Coalition of Talkshow Hosts for Justice

2. Therefore, in response to your interest in interviewing me on your talkshow, I would like to counterpropose that before I appear thereon, we and other peers of yours hold a preparatory

discussion via video conference on this the proposal for forming a coalition of talkshow hosts.

3. One of the coalition's foundational elements is the agreement to hold coordinated weekly or monthly shows where people are given the opportunity to tell your audience their stories of judges' abuse of power that they have suffered or witnessed. These coordinated shows can pave the way for the unprecedented citizens hearings on judicial abuse and compensation of abusees broadcast to a national audience.
4. The shows will appeal to your audience because the latter may be, expect to become, or know, parties to the more than 50 million cases that are filed every year in the state and federal courts, to which must be added the scores of millions of cases that are pending or deemed to have been wrongly or wrongfully decided.
5. By the very nature of a case in court, 50% of those parties are disappointed because they lost, and the other 50% may also be to some degree disappointed because they were not granted all the relief that they had requested from the court.
6. The point here is not whether a talkshow host or his or her audience is Republican or Democrat, but rather whether in order to advance the common interest in exposing judges' abuse of power one can think strategically to apply the aphorism "The enemy of my enemy is my friend". This means that all those who want to expose judges' abuse share an interest that they can advance together regardless of, and by putting aside, the other particular and even conflicting interests that they may have.
7. Hence, the proposed coalition of talkshow hosts can generate the momentum necessary to move the public to demand that media outlets and universities in their own commercial and reputational interest hold the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings on judges' abuse of power and compensation of abusees.
8. There is evidence that media outlets may want to do so:
 - a. Thomson Reuters, a worldwide news organization with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photojournalists, investigated judges of the state judiciaries. In its report "The Teflon Robe", it described its finding of "hardwired judicial corruption", that is, corruption that is so intertwined among judges and between them and the commissions that are supposed to oversee their performance as to constitute part of their institutionalized modus operandi. I have [proposed](#) that Reuters extend its investigation to unaccountable federal judges and their consequent riskless abuse of power.
 - b. [Boston Globe](#) , the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report " Inside our secret courts ", in whose " private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree] , who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong".
9. Many people hate and distrust big media as well as lawyers. Yet, a news organization like Thomson Reuters has the resources necessary to conduct any investigation and produce, advertise, and distribute any show. Those who have been, or are afraid of being, abused by judges, as well as those who are outraged at their abuse need the media together with the people who understand the functioning of judges, their judiciaries, and the law, to wit, lawyers.
 - a. In addition, Reuters is the largest publisher of law books in the U.S. and runs the most widely used database for computer assisted legal research, that is, Westlaw. Every lawyer relies on its books and has used its database.

- b. Lawyers are as abused by judges as are their clients, not to mention parties without legal representation, known as pro ses. Lawyers too have stories of abuse by judges.
 - c. Hence, we must seek and welcome the support of both lawyers and the media, particularly those with resources similar to Reuters's. Their contribution to exposing judges' abuse and organizing abusees for their collective demand of compensation is indispensable to take on the most powerful officers in our country, namely, federal judges: They have a life-appointment and are in practice irremovable; their orders apply nationwide; and can suspend even the executive orders, e.g., the Muslim travel ban, of the president of the United States, who was elected in 2016 by more than 62.5 million voters.
 - d. Likewise, strategic thinking points to the need for the support of everybody who can contribute to forming the coalition, in general, and putting on any show, in particular. This highlights the importance for every talkshow host to reach out to his or her peers to persuade them to consider joining the coalition and participating in the preparatory discussion.
10. Therefore, I respectfully propose that you read the articles mentioned above, especially those in paragraph 1 supra; discuss them with fellow talkshow hosts; and call me to set up the preparatory discussion via video conference.

**C. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Judicial Discipline Reform

engages in professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking, and has produced:

- a. this proposal;
- b. the three-volume study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]**

- c. the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which has attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively to my articles posted there that 37,053 and counting have become subscribers to it(Appendix 3).

You too can subscribe to the articles by going to its
[homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

- d. my presentation to you and your group of guests, which is followed by a Q&A session, whose [agenda](#) you can read, and which you can preview by watching my [video](#) and following it using its [slides](#) in [pdf](#).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are. **DONATE** to:

Judicial Discipline Reform

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

←

→

↺

🏠

https://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/users.php

🔒

⋮

🛡️

★

🔖

📄

🔍

👤

🔒

🟢

S

Most VisitedGetting StartedAOL Mail (134)ACCESS NYC HomeFix HP Laptop and PC ...Nightly News with Les...Current NBC Shows - ...CBS Evening News - F...Full Mace Interview: Tr...cbs.com-shows-Other Bookm

🌐

🏠

Judicial Discipline Reform

🔄 13

💬 38

➕ New

Howdy, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Screen Options

Help

Dashboard

Posts

Media

Pages

Comments 38

WooCommerce

Products

Appearance

Plugins 7

Users

All Users

Add New

Profile

Tools

Settings 1

Collapse menu

Users

Add New

SSL activated!

Take the time to review these things

>

Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)

>

Improve your security [with security headers](#)

Really Simple SSL Pro



Really Simple SSL



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

Leave a review

 [Maybe later](#)

✕ [Don't show again](#)

All (37,054) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (37,053)**

Bulk actions

Apply

Change role to...

Change

37,054 items

<<

<

1

>

>>

of 1,853

Search Users

☐	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
☐	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 1ofthemany	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
☐	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
☐	 3aplug63von	—	sam@3aplug63.ru	Subscriber	0
☐	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0

January 15, 2021

Links[‡] to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study^{* † ♣} of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{* † ♣}**

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of
Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 36,818+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review as individual files

1. ^{*}>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
2. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
3. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
4. ^{*}>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
5. ^{*}>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
6. ^{*}>Creative writings, cw; http://judicialdiscipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
7. ^{*}>OL:42; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
8. ^{*}>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
9. ^{*}>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
10. ^{*}>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
11. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
12. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
13. [†]>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf;
see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
14. OL2:567; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
15. OL2:608, 760; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
16. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
17. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
18. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero->

^{*} http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf > all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:1
.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 .../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

[SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf](#)

a. Links to official court statistics on complaints about judges and their analysis

19. Article on official statistics on complaints about J. Kavanaugh, DCC Chief Judge Merrick Garland, & peers and their analysis using "the math of abuse": http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf
 20. Table of complaints against judges lodged in, and dismissed by, DCC in the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 21. Collected official statistics on complaints about federal judges in the 1oct96-30sep17 21-year period: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf
 22. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
 23. Article on statistics and math: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms': unresearched, unreasoned, arbitrary, and fiat-like orders; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
24. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
25. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
26. OL2:901; <http://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
27. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
28. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
29. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
30. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
31. OL2:951; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
32. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
33. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
34. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
35. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
36. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
37. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
38. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf

39. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
40. OL2:1022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
41. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
42. OL2:1032; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
43. OL2:1037; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
44. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
45. OL2:1045. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
46. *>OL2:1051; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
47. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
48. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
[sent to LexisNexis]
49. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
50. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
51. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
52. OL2:1090; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
53. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
54. OL2:1101; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
55. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
56. OL2:1108; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
57. OL2:1116; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
58. OL2:1119; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
59. OL2:1125; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
60. *>OL2:1134; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
61. OL2:1144; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
62. OL2:1154; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
63. *>OL2:1159; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf
64. *>OL2:1163; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-.pdf>
65. *>OL2:1175; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
66. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf

67. *>OL2:1205: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
68. *>OL2:1213: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-preparing_video_conference.pdf
69. OL2:1219; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
70. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf>
71. OL3:1236; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
72. OL3:1239; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
73. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_articles_for_publication.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries

- a. judges' unaccountability(OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
- b. statistical analysis for the public(OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
- c. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, and in practice unappealable(†>OL2:453);
- d. to receive "justice services"(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
- e. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
- f. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68123a);
- g. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
- h. law clerks' vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter's glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
- i. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
- j. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(581);
- k. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
- l. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of '*MeToo! Abusers*'(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation

of judges' abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687);

m. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:

- 1) *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65107a,c), and launder(105213) it;
- 2) *The Silence of the Judges*: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics' communications(OL2:582§C);
 - a) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - b) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - c) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - d) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;

n. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;

o. *Black Robed Predators*(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;

p. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:

- 1) the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised public hearings(OL2: 675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
- 2) a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
- 3) suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for "justice services"(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A;457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608¶5);

- 4) suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b,c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for "justice services"; and
 - 5) suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
- q. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
- r. the development of my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of June 25, 2018, had 24,226 subscribers, into:
- 1) a clearinghouse for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - 2) a research center for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - 3) the showroom and working platform of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture(jur:119§§1-4) intended to develop into the institute of judicial accountability reporting and reform advocacy(jur:130§5);
- s. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:
- 1) judges' abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154¶3);
 - 2) development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of due process;
 - 3) promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
 - 4) announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers; and
- t. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference(jur:97§1; *>dcc:13§C) on judges' abuse at a top university(OL2:452) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
- u. a constitutional convention(OL:136§3) and judicial reform unthinkable today, but rendered

Blank