

November 18, 2019

Publishers
Editors-in-Chief
Journalists
Media Outlets

Re: Proposal of one or a series of articles; a joint investigation;
and investment in a venture with proven public appeal

Dear Media Officers [†],

I would like to submit to your consideration and your colleagues' this proposal for:

1. the publication of one(e.g., [†]>[OL2:998, 1003, 760](#)) or a series([OL2:719§C](#)) of my articles:
 - a. analyzing Sen. Elizabeth Warren's "plan" to hold judges accountable for failing to recuse themselves when they have conflicts of interests due to their holding shares in one of the parties before them and instead resolving the conflicts to that party's and their own benefit; and
 - b. exposing unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power([†]>[OL2:971§A](#)) AND holding them liable to compensate their victims, who are entitled to the equal protection of the law that judges afford victims of malpracticing doctors and lawyers, and their hospitals and law firms; pedophilic priests and their churches; Harvey Weinstein-like sexual abusers and their enabling entities; wrongdoing officers of the other branches; pharmaceutical companies, etc.;
2. a joint investigation of stories of public interest that can affect the presidential campaign:
 - a. judges' interception of people's emails and mail([†]>[OL2:781](#)) to detect and suppress those critical of their abuse. It is intended to preserve their pretense to honesty and ward off the other branches' constitutional checks and balances. See [OL2:974§B, 930§C](#) on statistical analysis showing such interception and the application of the standard of "probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed the offense with which he has been charged";
 - b.i. judges' failure to read the vast majority of the briefs that they require of parties, demonstrated by 'the math of abuse'([OL2:760](#)). Each party must spend \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to produce its brief. All current, past, and prospective parties would be outraged upon learning that judges do not read most briefs. Instead, they expediently dump the corresponding cases and motions out of their caseload through their clerks, who merely apply categories to sort out those to be dumped by filling out 5¢ dumping forms: unresearched, unsupported by factual discussion and legal reasoning, arbitrary, fiat-like "affirmed/denied" orders. They affirm most cases on appeal and deny most motions calling for a judicial rather than an administrative decision. That way the parties' legal situation is not changed by the clerks, who need not be lawyers, were not vetted for judicial competence and integrity, are not authorized to receive by delegation judicial decisional power, and whose job is precisely to dump cases mechanically, rather than critically do justice according to law. The forms are pro forma signed "The Clerk of Court" with or without his or her signature pictured in or rubberstamped.
 - b.ii. The remaining tiny minority([†]>[OL2:457§D](#)) of briefs of interest to the judges benefit from their *unequal* protection of the law by their reading and discussing them in opinions with precedential value that they write, sign with their names, and have published in the books called law reporters. This allows parties, journalists, and other judges to cite and comment those opinions, and publishers to include them in law journals and in casebooks used by law professors and students. Thereby judges build up their reputation and enhance their chances of being considered for a higher court or prepare a golden path to private practice.

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from OL2:394

b.iii. Judges' failure to read most briefs entails institutionalized disregard for the fundamental aspects of due process: the right of parties to be heard and the duty of judges to take notice of the matter brought to them for decision. Abuse of power is committed by the judicial servants at the very point of contact with their masters, *We the People*. The potential for national outrage is enormous. The demand for compensation can mobilize a litigious nation. A savvy and principled media outlet and journalist can seize this opportunity to inform and outrage *the People* and make a name for themselves...as well as money, for "Scandal sells".

3. investment of venture capital:

- a. to sponsor the implementation of Judicial Discipline Reform's business plan([†]>OL2:914) and the undertaking of its programmatic activities(OL2:916§C, 978§E), which are reasonably calculated to turn a profit, e.g., to investigate, make presentations(*>OL:194§§E, G), and
- b. to enhance the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, whose articles, though unaccompanied by pictures or videos, exert such intense public appeal as to attract so many webvisitors that 28,633 and counting(OL2:Appendix 3) have become subscribers. This proven appeal can be monetized. For instance, the site can be developed from a free informational into a for-profit interactive and multimedia one that displays ads for a fee, earns per click commissions, sells goods and services, and becomes, among other things:
 - 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints(OL2:918) about judges that anybody can upload; and
 - 2) a fee-accessed **research center** for auditing(OL:274-280, 304-307) many complaints in search of(*>jur:131§b, OL:255) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns (OL2:792§A), trends, and schemes(OL2:614, 929) of abuse of power, such as filing and approving their misleading annual financial disclosure reports(jur:102§a, ^{213b}); inside trading on information filed under seal, discussed confidentially or provided ex parte; abusing the Judiciary's digital network and expertise to transfer and conceal ill-gained assets to evade taxes and launder money(OL2:524§§G,H); *supra* ¶1a; etc.

4. The foundation of this proposal to you is in my professional study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]**

5. This proposal can help you increase your audience and thereby make money: More than 50 million cases are filed in the state and federal courts annually(jur:8^{4,5}), to which must be added the scores of millions of cases pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. Half of the parties to them lose and the other half do not win all their requested relief. So has emerged the huge voiceless and untapped voting bloc of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System.
6. You can attract and become the leading voice of The Dissatisfied and the nationally recognized **Pioneer** of Justice. To explain how to do so I offer to by video conference or in person present this proposal to you and your guests. To assess what you can expect from my presentation review:
 - a. my presentation video and slides, and their introduction(OL2:974):
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
 - b. my article(*infra* & [†]>OL2:1003): *Dare!* follow the lead in Sen. Warren's "plan"...
7. Therefore, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!([†]>OL2:953)...and you may enter it. Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

November 10, 2019

Dare!

follow the lead in Sen. Elizabeth Warren's "plan" for holding judges accountable for enriching themselves by abusing their power; and thereby make a historic scoop: the exposure of the Judiciary as a racketeering branch that voters bring down[‡]

A. From Sen. Warren's denunciation to the Judiciary as a racketeering branch

1. Sen. Elizabeth Warren has just released her "plan" for holding federal judges accountable for failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they own shares in one of the parties and even resolving such conflict of interests in favor of that party and to the benefit of themselves, even if at the expense of the opposing party and the rule of law. Her "plan" provides for judges' accountability to be ensured by the Judicial Conference of the U.S., an entity formed by judges who themselves may have engaged and still engage in the self-serving resolution of such conflict; and by Congress, the entity that confirms judicial nominees and thereafter protects them as 'our men and women on the bench'. Those entities are interested in preserving judges' unaccountability. Expecting them to work against their interest is unrealistic and dooms her "plan" to failure([†]>OL2:998).
2. Yet, Sen. Warren is the only member of Congress and the first presidential candidate who has dare criticize federal judges. Given her example of courage, will journalists, editors, and publishers, i.e., the media, dare investigate her denunciation of judges' self-serving resolution of conflicts of interests to determine whether it exposes their claim to integrity as a pretense? Their investigation (*>OL:194§E) can be guided by the axiom 'power is ever expanding', and its corollary 'the more blatantly one breaks the rules, the more likely it is that one broke them in the past and is ready to do so in future'? How far have judges individually and collectively gone in abusing their power?
3. If the media dare follow Sen. Warren's lead, they can make a scoop that provokes the scandal with the farthest-reaching impact ever: Federal judges are the only judges whose decisions affect the whole country. They are the only officers appointed for life; so they need not restrain their conduct to avoid alienating voters or reappointers. Only they have self-disciplining authority, which they have abused by dismissing 100% of complaints and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals(*>jur:10-14; OL2:548, 748), both required by law to be filed with them(OL2:918).
4. Judges wield power to decide the controversies between the other two branches, e.g., whether Congress can issue subpoenas that override the President's claims to executive privilege; a single district judge suspended *nationwide* the President's Muslim travel ban order; judges have determined that the President cannot invalidate Congress's constitutional 'power of the purse' by reallocating to the construction of the U.S.-Mexican wall funds appropriated for other purposes. Judges have abusively turned their arbitral power into power to retaliate. The risk of its applications has frightened the other branches into abstaining from subjecting them to constitutional checks and balances.
5. Unencumbered by fear of job loss and punishment, and unchecked by the other branches, judges advance their interests by abusing their power over the property, liberty, and the rights and duties that frame the lives and shape the identity of parties and the rest of *We the People*. They protect themselves by intercepting emails and mail to detect and suppress critical ones(OL2:929). They have the motive, means, and opportunity to turn riskless abuse into their coordinated, and the Federal Judiciary's institutionalized, *modus operandi*(OL2:760). Judges are the officers(*>jur:88§§a-c) of a racketeering branch. This is shown in my two-volume study of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* [†]**

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1003

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf

B. Dare rely on the precedent for exposing unaccountable judges' abuse of power

6. *The Washington Post* dare pursue the story about “a garden variety burglary” by burglars, disparagingly dubbed “the Five Plumbers”, who broke into the Watergate complex in Washington, DC, on June 17, 1972. *The Post* continued daring until the story, shunned by its peers, became the Watergate scandal. On its bandwagon, every media outlet had to climb. They rode it to the point of driving President Nixon to resign on August 8, 1974, and causing “*All the President's Men*”, his aides, to be convicted of abuse of power, conspiracy, obstruction of justice, etc. During those 2+ years *The Post* became a household name and established its reputation as a preeminent newspaper.
7. Dare go beyond *The Post* by setting off a generalized media investigation that exposes how Supreme Court justices engaged in self-enrichment and abuse of power as lower court judges, continue to do so(*>jur:65§§1-4), and as “circuit justices” for the circuits to which they have been allotted under 28 U.S.C. §42, cover for those judges(OL2:918); and topple, not only “*Men*”, but a branch.
8. After *The New York Times* dare publish its exposé of sexual predator Harvey Weinstein on October 5, 2017, *The New Yorker* scrambled to publish its own exposé only five days later. The *MeToo!* movement erupted worldwide overnight and brought about transformative change. These publishers won Pulitzer prizes. TIME made its *Persons of the Year* those who dare be “Silence Breakers”.
9. *The New York Times* dare denounce the mistreatment of civil right protesters to suppress their criticism, which led to *NYT v. Sullivan* and its actual malice standard in defense of freedom of speech and the press. It dare publish the Pentagon Papers exposing the lies underlying the Vietnam War.
10. Dare become today's *L'Aurore* (*First Light of Day*), which published French writer Emile Zola's *I accuse!* letter on January 13, 1898, and made journalistic history in the publishing of public misconduct exposés(jur:98§2). Dare write openly or be a discreet in print Deep Throat(jur:106§c) like...
11. Anonymous *Whistleblower* dare file his/her few pages of public misconduct complaint and thereby launched the Ukrainian scandal generalized media investigation. In two weeks, the media accomplished what Special Counsel Robert Mueller failed to do in his almost two-year probe and nearly 400-page report: cause the opening of first an informal, now a formal, impeachment inquiry.
12. *We the People*, emboldened by the *MeToo!* attitude, dare shout self-assertively the rallying cry: *Enough is enough!* We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore. You, emboldened by Sen. Warren, can request each of the other presidential candidates to take a stand on her denunciation of self-enriching, abusive judges. This can substantially impact the campaign by inserting the issue in it. Thereby you can pioneer an event that has never occurred in the thousands of years during which kings and governments have appointed ‘*their* men and women to the bench’: You can thus enable *the People*, during a presidential campaign, when politicians are most responsive to public outrage, to assert their status as the source of all political power and masters of all public servants, entitled to hold also their judicial public servants accountable AND liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. That will be transformative change in the judiciary and the rest of government.
13. To foster that change, dare invest in the research and writing, and strategic thinking of Judicial Discipline Reform, as set forth in its business plan(OL2:914), e.g., to develop www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, whose appeal is proven by its 28,459+ subscribers(OL2:Appendix 3). Dare publish one(†>OL2:760) or a series(OL2:719§C) of my articles to inform *the People* about how judges prove that “power corrupts and absolute [unaccountable] power corrupts absolutely”(*>jur27²⁸).
14. If you dare seize this opportunity to bring about such transformative change, you can become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as their Champion of Justice. Time is of the essence.

*Dare trigger history!(†>OL2:953)...*and you may enter it.

November 16, 2019

Support
Judicial Discipline Reform
and its professional law research and writing
and strategic thinking.

Such as the article

Dare!

**follow the lead in Sen. Elizabeth Warren's "plan"
for holding judges accountable
for enriching themselves by abusing their power; and
thereby make a historic scoop:
the exposure of the Judiciary as
a racketeering branch that voters bring down**

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf

**Donate
through**

PayPal

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or

at the **GoFundMe** campaign at

<https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

Dare trigger history!([†]>[OL2:953](#))...and you may enter it.

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

CorderoRic@yahoo.com , Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net ,
DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

NOTE: Given the interference with Dr. Cordero's email and e-cloud storage accounts described at ^{*}>[ggl:1 et seq.](#), when emailing him, copy the above bloc of his email addresses and paste it in the To: line of your email so as to increase the chances of your email reaching him at least at one of those addresses.

Most Visited

Getting Started

ACCESS NYC Home

AOL Mail (157)

Watch PBS NewsHour ...

Actualités, info, news ...

AOL Mail (38)

Infos, news & actualit...

Nightly News with Les...

Stream TV and Movies...

hAcco

Judicial Discipline Reform

2

1

New

Dashboard

Posts

Media

Pages

Comments 1

WooCommerce

Products

Appearance

Plugins 1

Users

All Users

Add New

Your Profile

Tools

Settings 1

Collapse menu

WordPress 5.3 is available! [Please update now.](#)

Users [Add New](#)

SSL activated! Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info.](#) See the [settings page](#) for further SSL optimizations.

Hi, you have been using Really Simple SSL for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any [message](#).

- Rogier

- [Leave a review](#)
- [Maybe later](#)
- [No thanks and never ask me again](#)

All (28,660) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (28,659)**

Bulk Actions

▼

Apply

Change role to...

▼

Change

28,660 items

☐	Username	Name	Email	Role
☐	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber
☐	 1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber
☐	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber
☐	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber
☐	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber
☐	 Aaclealo	—	14@createck.ru	Subscriber
☐	 AACValerie	—	donamattiacchi@yahoo.com	Subscriber

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable:
We the People, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable: *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero