

April 18, 2022

The Directors of CLE Programs, Law firm hiring partners,
Officers of other entities employing and serving attorneys,
Publishers, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

Dear Directors, Hiring partners, Officers, Publishers, and Advocates,

This is an offer of services as researcher-writer attorney and webinar/workshop presenter.†

A. My bar admission and academic qualifications.

1. I am a member of the New York State Bar. I hold a Ph.D. in law from the University of Cambridge in the United Kingdom; an advanced law degree from La Sorbonne in Paris; and a Master's in Business Administration from the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, where I concentrated on computers and their networks for business.

B. My three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries

2. I offer superior skills in law research and writing, and strategic thinking, e.g., the urban development [Offshoot Oases Project](#). They are implicit in earning a Ph.D. and other advanced degrees.
3. In addition, I worked as a researcher-writer of federal law annotations([Research Works ¶¶5-9](#)) for ALRFed (American Law Reports Federal) at Lawyers Cooperative Publishing, a Thomson Reuters, Legal, Company, the publisher of Westlaw, through which ALRFed can be accessed.
4. I have produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †♣**

5. The substance of that title has been unwittingly validated by none other than [The Wall Street Journal](#) in its series of articles that began on September 28, 2021, with the publication of the one titled, "131 federal judges broke the law by hearing cases where they had a financial interest".
6. Similarly, Thomson Reuters published its three-part report "The Teflon Robe" beginning on June 30, 2020. It found that "hardwired judicial corruption" intertwines state judges and the state commissions on judicial performance that are duty-bound to supervise and discipline them. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse of power by judges...and it was "inundated" with them. This shows the large number of people who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse and want to tell their story to the largest public possible. See the proposal to enable them to reach the public by entities like yours and media outlets jointly holding [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).
7. In fact, widespread abuse and [financial criminality](#) by federal and state judges' are attested to by the articles and reportage published by additional top media outlets([OL3:1452§1](#)). The abuses are not only pro ses. Federal judges, the models for their state counterparts, abuse represented parties just the same. Proof thereof is found in the [reports and statistics](#) of the U.S. courts, published in the [Annual Report](#) of the [Director](#) of the [Administrative Office](#) of the U.S. Courts and submitted to Congress as a public document([28 U.S.C. §604\(a\)\(4\)](#)). The director is appointed by the SCt. Chief Justice(§601), who receives a copy(§604(a)(3); §331) only to condone such abuse.
8. Those statistics show that 93% of appeals to the federal circuit courts are disposed of in decisions that are "procedural [mostly the catchall pretext of 'lack of jurisdiction'], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation [cases slapped together]"([OL2:455§§B, D](#)). The deci-

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_offering_law_services&articles.pdf

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-1477+

sions, unreasoned, lacking any discussion of the facts or the law, are meaningless. They are “affirmed” or “denied” fiats that judges have their clerks rubberstamp on *dumping forms*!(OL2:608)

9. How many times have you received them? How many of the parties that resort to you for assistance have been abused by judges? To how many have you admitted, ‘We know, judges do whatever they want because they can get away with it. But there is nothing we can do about it’.

C. My website with 43,811+ subscribers

10. But there is! I offer to show it to you and your colleagues in a presentation either in person or via video conference. While I present to you, you will have the opportunity to interview me by most realistically assessing my skills ‘in action’. To do so on paper you have this letter and my study...
11. ...as well as my website **Judicial Discipline Reform**. To it I have posted many of my articles(Appendix 6§A), which I offer for review and publication; see subjects on which others can be commissioned(6§B). They have attracted so many webvisitors, who have assessed their contents –including concrete, reasonable, and pragmatic **proposals**– and my skills so positively that the number of visitors who had become subscribers as of April 17, 2022, was 43,811+(App. 3).
12. How many professionals, let alone lawyers, do you know who have a website that has so many subscribers? You too can subscribe: go to my site **homepage** <left panel ↓Register; or + **New** or **Users** >Add New; or <https://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/user-new.php>.
13. Webvisitors have accepted my offer of a **webinar**(OL3:1436) on judges, their unaccountability, and the judiciary that they run risklessly for their gain and convenience as a racketeering **enterprise**(OL3:1378). They have appreciated what you and your staff and clients might likewise appreciate: my **workshop** to teach parties to apply the **two-phase writing method**(OL3:1329):
14. Imagine how much your office productivity would increase if instead of your clients overwhelming you with a rambling and never-ending saga of what happened to them and documents tossed into boxes or bags for your staff to sort out, read, and extract useful data, they learned to do that themselves in a stream of consciousness-like creative and a critical phase, and provided in up to 500 words a written story of their legal problem rich in names, dates, numbers, titles, relations, etc., that served as the verifiable basis for your accurate and legally significant statement of facts.
15. Your clients will be incentivized to apply the **method** upon learning that **scores** of federal judges have asked their clerks of court to notify the respective parties that the judges should have recused from their cases and that new judges may be assigned to them. This gives the parties a cause of action in torts for **compensation** for the injury in fact caused by judges and their judiciary foreseeably rendering trials and appeals null, their conjured-up **judicial immunity doctrine** notwithstanding. If judges are not above the law, they must also compensate those who must disentangle the contracts and performance that were based on their decisions now void or voidable.
16. Your clients can also demand **compensation** for contracts for judicial services in consideration of filing fees that judges broke by not reading their briefs(OL2:608) and form-dumping their cases.

D. Action requested

17. By defending the national public, i.e., *We the People*, from up to now unaccountable judges, you can make legal history. Thus, I respectfully request that you grant me a job interview and let me present what can benefit your office and enable you to **scoop** as *the People*’s Champion of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely, /s/ Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506; tel. +1(718) 827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org ‡

BAR MEMBERSHIP AND SPECIAL SKILLS: U.S. citizen; member of the NYS Bar; specialized in field and library research and writing of legal briefs and business and IT studies

- I would like to work for you as a lawyer and researcher-writer strategist in a position where I can contribute to your business or legal problem solution a talent that gives me a competitive advantage: I can gather seemingly unconnected pieces of information, select those relevant to the prioritized objectives to be pursued, and imaginatively integrate them into a coherent new structure -expressed clearly and concisely both orally and in writing- that renders those pieces meaningful and useful, like a mosaic that depicts a realistic and decorative scene of the ancient Romans, yet originates in insignificant stone fragments expertly sifted from dirt and artfully set together to appeal to the spirit and the mind while serving the practical purpose of making money.

ADVANCED KNOWLEDGE OF: computers and their use for word processing, graphics composition, presentations, and research; and for developing IT products to audit cases through statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of opinions to give lawyers an informational advantage

LANGUAGES: I speak English, Spanish, and French; and converse in German and Italian.

RELEVANT EXPERIENCE

FOUNDER OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM, 2008-to date New York City

- A non-partisan and non-denominational organization that advocates the study of the judiciary and the adoption of legislation to replace the inherently biased and ineffective judges-judging-judges system of judicial self-discipline with a system based on independent boards of citizens unrelated to the judges and empowered to publicly receive, investigate, and resolve complaints

RESEARCHER AND WRITER ATTORNEY, 1995-to date New York City

- Prosecution of cases from bankruptcy, district, and circuit courts to the SCt; practice in NY courts
- Developed the Euro Project, a 3-prong business package consisting of the Euro Conference, the Euro Consulting Services, and the Euro Newsletter; aimed at enabling firms to capitalize on their expertise in the euro by providing services for the adaptation of business practices and IT systems to the European Union's new common currency that replaced its national currencies

WAYNE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE, 1994 Detroit, MI

- Developed economic and marketing features of the master plan for the intermodal transportation and industrial complex of Willow Run Tradeport in Detroit
- Drafted and implemented proposals for increasing office productivity using IT and equipment

LAWYERS COOPERATIVE PUBLISHING, 1991-1993 Rochester, NY

- Member of the editorial staff of LCP, the foremost publisher of analytical legal annotations, where I researched and wrote articles on securities regulations, antitrust, and banking under U.S. law; infra, [Research Works](#), ¶¶5-9

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES, 1984-1985 Brussels, Belgium

- Devised proposals for harmonizing supervisory regulations on mortgage credit and on reporting large loan exposures by one and all members of a banking system to one and related borrowers
- My proposals were adopted by the EEC Banking Division and negotiated with the national experts in the supervision of financial institutions of the Member States, and became EC law
- Drafted replies to financial questions put by the European Parliament to the Commission

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_attorney_employment_application.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 aea:3

EDUCATION

THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, Faculty of Law, Ph.D., 1988 Cambridge, England
Doctoral dissertation analyzed the existing European legal and political environment and proposed a new system for harmonizing the regulation and supervision of financial institutions

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, Business School, MBA, 1995 Ann Arbor, Michigan
Emphasis on corporate strategies to maximize profitability and competitiveness through the optimal use of IT expert systems using artificial intelligence, and telecommunications networks

LA SORBONNE, Faculty of Law and Economics, French law degree, 1982 Paris, France

- Was awarded a French Government scholarship
- Concentrated on the operation of a currency basket to achieve monetary stability and on the application of harmonized regulations & antitrust rules on companies with dominant positions

RESEARCH WORKS

1. Three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, based on an original analysis of the Federal Judiciary' statistics submitted to Congress annually, reports, judges' statements and websites, etc.

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †♣

2. List of articles on judges' unaccountability and abuse of power already written and available for publication individually or as a series([Appendix:6§A](#)); and subjects for commissioning articles([§B](#))
3. Complaint against Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues of the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC), submitted to the DCC Court of Appeals and "“Because of the exceptional circumstances related to this complaint”, referred by it to Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., who assigned it to the 11th Circuit for disposition; includes the official letters of referral and the decision of the 11th Circuit chief judge; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
4. The official statistics of the U.S. District of Columbia Circuit show that P. Trump SCt nominee Judge Brett Kavanaugh, P. Obama SCt nominee Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers received during the 10oct06/30sep17 11-year period, 478 complaints against judges in their Circuit and dismissed 100% of them and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals, thus covering as a matter of policy for abusive judges regardless of the gravity of their abuse; 1jun18; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/1DrRCordero_Judges_Unaccountability_Riskless_Abuse.pdf
5. Availability of an Implied Right of Action under the Tender Offer Provisions of §14d-f of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 USCS §78n(d)-(f)), added to the Exchange Act by the Williams Act of 1968, and Rules Promulgated thereunder by the SEC, **120 ALR Federal 145**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/2DrRCordero_120ALRFed145.pdf
6. Venue Provisions of the National Bank Act (12 USCS §94) As Affected By Other Federal Venue Provisions and Doctrines, **111 ALR Federal 235**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/3DrRCordero_111ALRFed235.pdf
7. Construction and Application of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (12 USCS §§ 3401-3422), **112 ALR Federal 295**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/4DrRCordero_112ALRFederal295.pdf

8. Exemption or Immunity From Federal Antitrust Liability Under the McCarran-Ferguson Act (15 USCS §§1011-1013) and the State Action and Noerr-Pennington Doctrines for the Business of Insurance and Persons Engaged in It, **116 ALR Federal 163**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/5DrRCordero_116ALRFed163.pdf
9. Who May Maintain an Action Under §11(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 USCS §77k (a)), in Connection With False or Misleading Registration Statements, **111 ALR Federal 83**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/6DrRCordero_111ALRFed83.pdf
10. Judicial Conference's Reforms Will Not Fix the Problem of Abusive Judges Who Go Undisciplined, Letter to the Editor, National Law Journal, March 3, 2008; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/7DrRCordero_Letters_To_Editor_NYLJ3mar8.pdf; <http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1204212424055>
11. The Creation of a European Banking System: A study of its legal and technical aspects, Peter Lang, Inc., NY, XXXVI, 390 pp., 1990; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/8DrRCordero_Creation_European_Banking_System.pdf; this book earned a grant from the Commission of the European Communities and was reviewed very favorably in 32 Harvard International Law Journal 603 (1991), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Harvard_Int_Law_J.pdf; and 24 New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 1019 (1992), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYU_JIntLaw&Pol.pdf
12. Competition Strategies Must Adapt to the Euro, 17 Amicus Curiae of the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, London, 27 (May 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/9DrRCordero_Competition_Strategies_&_euro.pdf
13. Why Business Executives in Third Countries and Non-participating Member States Should Pay Attention to the Euro, European Financial Services Law 140 (March 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/10DrRCordero_6European_Financial_Services_Law93.pdf
14. Some Practical Consequences for Financial Management Brought About by the Euro, 5 European Financial Services Law 187 (1998); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/11DrRCordero_5European_Financial_Services_Law_187.pdf
15. Impending Conversion to the Euro Prompts New Guidelines from the IRS, New York Law Journal, pg. 1, Friday, October 2, 1998; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/12DrRCordero_Conversion_to_the_Euro_&_IRS_NYLJ.pdf
16. The Development of Video Dialtone Networks by Large Phone and Cable Companies and its Impact on their Small Counterparts, 1 Personal Technologies no. 2, 60 (Springer-Verlag London Ltd., 1997); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/13DrRCordero_Dialtone_1Personal_Techonologies2.pdf
17. Video Dialtone: Its Potential for Social Change, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (1996) http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/14DrRCordero_Dialtone_&_Social_Change_15JBF16.pdf
18. Video Dialtone Network Architectures, by Richard Cordero and Jeffery Joles, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (Summer 1996); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/15DrRCordero_Dialtone_networks_15JBF16.pdf
19. A Strict but Liberalizing Interpretation of EEC Treaty Articles 67(1) and 68(1) on Capital Movements, 2 Legal Issues of European Integration 39 (1989); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/16DrRCordero_Strict_but_liberalizing_interpretation_2LIEI39.pdf

March 19, 2022

**Journalists interested in a scoop and a Pulitzer Prize,
senators voting on the confirmation of justice nominee Ketanji Brown Jackson, and
those outraged by *The Wall Street Journal* finding in only a sample of cases that
“131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”,
yet they have been
neither investigated by either their Federal Judiciary
or former chief judge now Attorney General Merrick Garland,
nor required to disgorge the gains that they grabbed,
can use the official statistics of her circuit to show her participation in a cover-up
concerning her peers' law-breaking and other forms of abuse of power, and
effected by dismissing 100% of complaints against her peers and
denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals,
thus revealing her lack of courage to expose their abuse and interest
in not being shunned as a traitor but rather
in being accepted by her "brothers and sisters of the robe"
at the expense of the complainants and
the integrity of the system of justice, left to fester with
the underlying and untreated cause for complaint:
judges emboldened by reciprocally ensuring the risklessness of their abuse[‡]**

Dear Journalists, Senators, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

This is a pitch for the publication of the article hereunder.

The above title is the article's abstract. It makes self-explanatory why for the publication of the article time is of the essence.

I am willing to edit the article as requested.

A. The official statistics on complaints against judges

1. The Senate confirmation hearings on justice nominee [Ketanji Brown Jackson](#) have ended; but the Senate Judiciary Committee as well as the whole Senate still have to vote. Even after their vote there will be an opportunity for journalists, including the media outlets for which they work, who want to make a scoop that can lead to their winning a Pulitzer Prize; the Senators opposed to her confirmation; and people who are more interested in the integrity of the judiciary than in partisan politics: They can examine her integrity and character in light of the official reports and statistics of the District of Columbia Circuit where Judge Brown sat as a trial judge from 2013 to 2021, and where she has sat as an appellate judge of the [Court of Appeals](#) (CADCC) since June 2021.
2. Those [reports and statistics](#) are submitted by the 13 U.S. courts of appeals, including CADCC, and two national courts to Congress as a public document in the [Annual Report](#) of the [Director](#) of the [Administrative Office](#) of the U.S. Courts. The director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court under Title 28 of the U.S. Code [of federal law only] section 601 ([28 U.S.C. §601](#)).
3. Complaints against judges of a circuit can be filed by any person, including a judge, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980(the Act; [id.](#) §§351-364). The complaint statistics have

OL3:1460 * http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/...pdf>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_wrongdoing.pdf

appeared for most of those years in Table S-22 of the Annual Report.

4. Indeed, the [introduction](#) to [Table S-22](#) for 2021 states the following concerning complaints filed in the 15 reporting courts:

"The number of complaints filed in 2021 was 1,282, an increase of 29 complaints (up 2 percent) from the number filed in 2020.

Fifty-nine percent of the complaints were made against district judges, 25 percent were against circuit judges,...

Chief judges dismissed 1,402 complaints in whole or in part. This total includes complaints that later were terminated with finality by circuit judicial council orders on petitions for review, as well as complaints for which additional review was still possible.

Chief judges terminated 948 complaints with no further review. Circuit judicial councils terminated 480 complaints, including 2 terminated after reports by special committees were issued."

5. Table S-22 shows the outcome of those complaints.

B. The implications for judges of the statistics on complaints against them

6. It follows indisputably that the outcome of processing complaints against federal judges is predetermined: The chief circuit judge, who by law examines them in the first instance, will dismiss them systematically. The circuit judicial council, composed of district and circuit judges, will deny all petitions for dismissal review out of hand on a 5¢ form bearing the rubberstamped signature of the clerk of court. No reason whatsoever is given. There is no discussion of facts or law. The denial is a fiat.
- a. The processing occurs in complete secrecy. It guarantees that the complained-against judges will not be disturbed by any complaint, for they need not have to be notified of it...after all, it will be dumped no matter its nature, frequency, and gravity. But if a judge replies, he can make up any story in his defense and to the detriment of the complainant, who will not be able to check it in rebuttal because she will not be given a copy of the reply without the judge's consent.
 - b. Such peremptory dumping of complaints implies that judges have entered in effect into an agreement to reciprocally ensure that "Judges are Untouchable". That emboldens them.
7. It is statistically impossible for thousands of complaints over decades involving hundreds of judges to have led to the same outcome but for the implicit or explicit complicit agreement among judges to exonerate each other by abusing the power to self-discipline granted by Congress: 'Today I exonerate you and tomorrow, when I am or my friends are complained against, you and your friends exonerate us'.
8. Judges wield the most power over people's property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identity. This is especially so of federal judges, who are the only officers in our country to have a lifetime appointment; they have the longest time to hold grudges. When judges [dismiss 100% of complaints](#) against their peers and [deny 100% of petitions](#) to review those dismissals, they not only protect themselves by covering up their abuse underlying the complaints against them. They also leave complainants uncompensated and unprotected from the retaliation of all judges.

9. What is more, judges have left all parties and the rest of the public at the mercy of judges emboldened by the assurance that no matter what they do, their "brothers and sisters of the robe" will cover for them. They reciprocally ensure that they are Judges Above Congress by in effect abrogating its Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. Yet, they give the false impression to the public that a complaint under that Act will be processed fairly and impartially. By misleading the public to its detriment for their own gain and convenience, the judges have committed fraud on the public.
10. That is what they have done as a matter of fact. For proof, there is the [series](#) of articles published by the highly regarded *The Wall Street Journal* beginning on September 28, 2021, under the initial title:

“131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”. “[Federal] judges failed to recuse themselves from 685 lawsuits from 2010 to 2018 involving firms in which they or their family held shares, a Wall Street Journal investigation found...Alerted to the violations by the Journal, 56 of the judges have directed court clerks to notify parties in 329 lawsuits that they should have recused themselves. That means new judges might be assigned, potentially upending rulings.”

 - b. Another article in the series was published on November 2, 2021, titled “Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn’t violate financial-conflicts law”; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Michael.Siconolfi@wsj.com. (See the articles referred to [here](#) and at [Appendix:6§C.22.](#))
 - c. Who is going to pay for a new trial or appeal or for disentangling contracts based on void or voidable decisions by law-breaking judges? See paragraph 26 below and a plan for collectively demanding [compensation](#) to be implemented by journalists, professors, and students.

C. The implications for justice nominee Brown Jackson and former chief judge now Attorney General Merrick Garland

11. The above provides reasonable grounds, and even probable cause, to believe that during her long career in the Federal Judiciary, including nine years on the bench of federal district and circuit courts, Judge Brown acquired actual knowledge of the abuse of power of judges and their complicit agreement on reciprocal exoneration from complaints against them. She satisfies the standard that makes jurors suitable peers of a defendant: 'a person with common sense reasonably becomes aware and informs herself of the circumstances affecting her and the people close to her emotionally, physically, or socially and forms an opinion of what is right or wrong'.
12. Judge Brown has breached the reporting duty under [18 U.S.C. §3057](#) -Title 18 contains the federal Criminal Code- on any judge “having reasonable grounds for believing [which is a standard lower than “probable cause to believe” and much lower than “evidence admissible in court ”] that any violation under chapter 9 [on bankruptcy, the classification of over 70% of all cases filed in the Federal Judiciary] of this title [18] or other laws of the United States relating to insolvent debtors, receiverships or reorganization plans has been committed, or that an investigation should be had in connection therewith [which lowers the standard below, and precedes, “having reasonable grounds for believing”].
 - a. "Probable cause to believe" that a person has committed the offense with which he has been charged is a standard of proof. It need not be satisfied to warrant investigating a person. Before any investigation, it may be satisfied by the facts known up to then, e.g., those surrounding the person's detention. That explains why it can be applied before conducting

discovery. In criminal cases, district attorneys may invoke it to justify the indictment that they present to the arraignment judge. The latter may reject the not guilty plea of the defendant and rely on probable cause to commit him to jail with or without bail. If the defendant cannot post the bail set, he is committed to jail until he can or the case is finally disposed of.

- b. Neither willful blindness nor willful ignorance([jur:88§§a-c](#)) prevents knowledge of such breach from being imputed to judges or their clerks.

13. Likewise, Judge Brown has breached her ethical reporting duties under the [Code of Conduct](#) for U.S. Judges, Canon 3(B)(6)).

- a. Judges have legal and ethical duties to report other judges' breach of their duties and "improprieties and even the appearance of improprieties"([id.](#), Canon 2).

14. Judges must not perform such reporting pro forma, but rather must pursue it in good faith by exercising due diligence until the reporting achieves its intended purpose of safeguarding their own integrity and that of judicial process, lest the judges end up inured to the commission or cover-up of the breach, condoning it, and becoming chargeable with misprision of felony([18 U.S.C. §4](#)).

15. It follows that by Judge Brown not reporting judges' abusive self-exoneration from complaints, she has covered it up. Thereby she has contributed to judges' committing with impunity the abuse underlying the complaints. In fact, she has aggravated their abuse, for people who commit one type of abuse without suffering any adverse consequences are, far from deterred, encouraged by risklessness and the lure of more gains and convenience to grab them by committing ever more types. For both her, as accessory after the last abuse that she knew about but covered up and as accessory before the next abuse that the principals committed in reliance on that cover-up precedent of hers, applying the law, never mind doing so fairly and impartially, has become only an afterthought...'so long as it does not keep me from grabbing ever more or making me run the risk of being treated as a traitor to "my brothers and sisters in the robe".

- a. That is how Judge Rodney Gilstrap broke the law by deciding 138 cases in which he had a financial interest and the judges that heard him brag about it covered him by failing to report him([supra](#), paragraph 10).

D. The opportunity for journalists and the senators

1. Conducting journalistic and congressional investigations

16. Journalists in their investigation and the senators in their written questions before the hearing and their oral ones at the hearing can ask that historic question asked of every witness by Senator Howard Baker, the cochairman of the Senate committee holding hearings on the break-in at the Democratic National Committee at the Watergate building in Washington, DC, by Republican operatives engaged in political espionage in favor of the reelection campaign of President Nixon: "What did the President know and when did he know it?"

- a. The answers to that question led to the resignation of President Nixon on August 8, 1974, and the incarceration of all his aides.

17. Journalists and senators can reformulate that question to determine whether Judge Brown has shown bias toward her peers and harmful indifference toward parties and the rest of the public in disregard of her oath of office([28 U.S.C. §453](#)) that disqualify her from both becoming a justice and remaining a judge:

- a. What did Judge Brown know and when did she know about, e.g., judges', including [A.G. Merrick Garland](#) particularly while he was the chief judge of the Court of Appeals for her circuit from 2013 to 2020, and Then-Judge, Now-Justice [Brett Kavanaugh](#):
 - 1) implicit or explicit agreement for reciprocal exoneration;
 - 2) breaking the law by failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they had a financial interest;
 - 3) bragging in court and out of court, e.g., at the suite of the organizer of a judicial seminar, a country club, restaurants, about the gains and convenience that they had grabbed by breaking the law that way and any other way;
 - 4) concealing assets, evading taxes, money laundering, and filing misleading and false mandatory annual financial disclosure reports under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978([Appendix to 5 U.S.C.](#)) with the all-judge Financial Disclosure Committee of the Judicial Conference([28 U.S.C. §331](#)) in reliance on the Committee examining them only pro forma with the approval of their appointer, none other than the Chief Justice;
 - 5) many other questions are suggested throughout my three-volume study* [†] [♣] of judges and their judiciaries, the product of my professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#). The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* [†] [♣]**

- i. Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free.
 - a) Some of my law articles included in that study are also posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
 - b) My articles analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest.
 - c) Those articles have attracted so many visitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of April 3, 2022, was 43,719+([Appendix 3](#)).
 - d) How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - e) You too can subscribe: go to the website <left panel ↓Register or + [New](#) or [Users](#) >[Add New](#).
18. Those questions can be supplemented by one that can have far reaching implications by exposing the politicians-judges connivance and breaking open a window into the staff of the most secretive entity in the U.S.: the Federal Judiciary.
 - a. What did President Biden know through the secret FBI report vetting Judge Brown for disqualifying actions and when did he know it?
 - b. Cf. Justice Thomas's wife, Virginia "Ginni" Thomas, was exposed by CBS News on March 25, 2022, as working with Trump's chief of staff Mark Meadows, with whom she

exchanged 29 emails, and members of Congress to overturn the results of the 2020 presidential election and make Trump the president. Justice Thomas was the only justice who voted to allow Trump to keep secret documents sought from him in the official investigation of the House Committee on the January 6 insurrection. One can think of the situation where Justice Thomas screams at his peers what all judges have etched on their forefronts: 'If you let them take me [or my wife] down, I'll bring you with me!' If so, the domino effect of his retaliatory revelations can cause one or more judges or justices to topple others until the Judiciary crumbles from the inside.

c.i. Cf. The Judiciary's highest policy-making body, i.e., the Judicial Conference of the U.S., whose presiding officer is the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, adopted rules at its March 2022 meeting for:

- 1) the automatic release of judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports and the screening of conflicts;
- 2) the certification by judges twice a year of a statement that they do not have conflict of interests, whether financial or otherwise; and
- 3) the expansion of the livestreaming audio of proceedings.

c.ii. The wealth of information that may be available for comparison with what judges have reported for the past seven years can prove devastating to the Judiciary, the most secretive branch, the one that holds all its adjudicative, policy-making, administrative, and disciplinary meetings behind closed doors.

- 1) The Judiciary's closed doors can be pried open by another source of invaluable information: the justices' and judges' current and former law clerks as well as court clerks. Some are disgusted, as are even some judges, by the abuse that is committed in the secrecy of chambers and other venues([OL3:1405§2](#)). They signed up to become Workers of Justice but have been degraded to executors of abuse. Approached discreetly, they can be cultivated as confidential informants. They can become historic figures, as is Deep Throat of Watergate fame([jur106§C](#)).

2. Investigative requests concerning the President, Attorney General M. Garland, and the House of Representatives

19. Journalists, senators, and the rest of the national public should:

- a. demand that President Biden release the FBI report on Judge Brown as well as the reports on all the other judges and justices. That is necessary to establish what presidents and the senators who shepherded judicial nominees through the confirmation process([OL:194§E](#)) knew about them and when they knew it. We the People, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, are entitled to those reports to be able to hold our servants accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power.

20. They should invoke Article III, Section 1, of the Constitution, which provides that:

"The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behaviour, and shall, at stated Times, receive for their Services, a Compensation, which shall not be diminished during their Continuance in Office."

- a. to argue that the 131 judges who broke the law and others similarly situated have given

probable cause to believe that they have not maintained the "good Behaviour" required to "hold their Offices" so that their "Continuance in Office" must be suspended, and they must without "Compensation" be removed from their current cases and not assigned new ones until an investigation, e.g., into their financial reports for false and misleading disclosures, and their relations to other people for any bragging about, or admission of, their grabbing gains and convenience, either clears them or determines that they should permanently not be allowed to "hold their Offices".

21. They should demand that Attorney General judge Garland recuse himself publicly from any participation in the official investigation by the FBI and the Department of Justice of his former fellow judges and their clerks.
22. They should also petition the House of Representatives to form a committee, to the fullest extent endowed with discovery powers, such as of subpoena, contempt, and search and seizure, to investigate judges' failure to maintain "good Behaviour" by engaging in many forms of abuse, such as their:
 - a. dismissal of 100% of complaints and denial of 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. That is how they cover-up of the abuse of power underlying the complaints;
 - b. disposition of the majority of cases without ever reading their briefs. Each brief costs \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to produce through discovery, field investigation, witness-locating, transcription of depositions, printing, copying, binding, serving, filing, arguing orally, etc., and entails compensable waste, breach of contract for judicial service, false advertisement, fraud on the party and the public, etc.
 - 1) Such failure can be demonstrated mathematically by dividing the annual number of disposed of cases, motions, and applications, by the number of judges in the court, of judges on each panel, of panels in the court, and of working days. The number of daily dispositions will make it apparent that the judges could not possibly have had time to read the respective briefs, decisions, record, laws, rules, regulations, treatises, journals, field specific and background information, etc., never mind research, draft, deliberate, etc. Note that their time for dispositions was diminished by their non-adjudicative activities, e.g., administrative, policy-making, disciplinary, bar-admitting, guest-receiving activities.
 - 2) Yet, more than 93% of appeals to the federal courts of appeals are disposed of in "procedural [e.g., the catchall, expedient ground of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation [throwing together a bunch of cases for disposition in one fell swoop]" decisions issued by caseload-lightening clerks rubberstamping the signature of the clerk of court on a reasonless, non-precedential, ad-hoc, arbitrary fiats contained in a 5¢ in-the-wastebasket-dumping form!(OL2:457§D); and
 - c. interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. That constitutes a deprivation of the rights most cherished by We the People, namely, those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment to the Constitution to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [by paying compensation].
23. Informing the national public about how the above questions, investigations, and similar ones are

warranted by judges' abuse of power and their official court statistics can so outrage the public as to force Judge Brown to withdraw her name from the justice nomination and resign. That expectation is reasonable on the strength of its precedent: Public outrage at Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas's 'improprieties', which were not criminal, not even civil offenses, forced him to withdraw his name from the nomination to chief justice and thereafter resign on May 14, 1969(jur:92§d).

24. In fact, an informed public's outrage can be so intense as to stir up the public to demand that politicians running in the primaries and their supporters:
 - a. address the issue of judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of their unequalled power at every interview, rally, townhall meeting, and in their electoral programs;
 - b. call for official investigations by the House and the FBI and DoJ;
 - c. support the abusees' collective demand for compensation; and
 - d. reform the system of justice so that judges and their judiciary are held as accountable and liable as they have held pedophilic clergy and their churches because nobody is entitled to arrogate to themselves unequal protection from the law.

3. Asking for copies of complaints and stories of abuse by judges

25. In the same vein, journalists and senators can ask people to send them a copy of the complaints that they have filed in court or with judicial performance review commissions as well as their stories of judges' many forms of abuse of power that they have suffered or witnessed.
 - a. They can invite people to apply the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words stories that are accurate, significant, and verifiable. Their analysis of those complaints and stories will enable them to detect the most probative type of evidence: patterns of abuse and schemes that can only be the product of coordination among wrongdoers, thus acting knowingly and intentionally.
 - b. Such analysis will also enrich the existing list of abundant leads(OL:194§E) for launching a generalized media investigation into judges' and justices' abuse of power and their cover-up.
26. People can send their story to the following two blocs of email addresses of top journalists -many of whom in their articles and reportage(OL3:1452§1) have already exposed judges' abuse of power- and professors:

To: [journalists]

adenney@alm.com, pam.spector@law360.com, dbiscobing@abc15.com,
adam@abcactionnews.com, iteam@abcactionnews.com, assignmentdesk@abc15.com,
iteam@abc.com, James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com,
Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, michael.siconolfi@wsj.com, kate.davidson@wsj.com,
john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
erik.ortiz@nbcuni.com, Anna.Brand@nbcuni.com, Tim.Perone@nbcuni.com,
Jessica.Simeone@nbcuni.com, cmartel@thehill.com, Jaquetta.White@nbcuni.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
newstip@globe.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
spotlight@globe.com, insiders@icij.org, tips@publicintegrity.org, gryle@icij.org,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, andrea@americanthinker.com,

marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, drew@americanthinker.com,
 contact@icij.org, fshiel@icij.org, investigations@icij.org, charles.ornstein@propublica.org,
 newsletters@abovethelaw.com, email@washingtonpost.com, Lisabennett418@gmail.com,
 mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.org,
 emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com, ryan.grim@theintercept.com,
 tips@propublica.org, info@AP.org, corderoric@yahoo.com, mcнулaj@nytimes.com,
 communication@lexisnexis.com, info@mail.huffpost.com, aturturro@alm.com,
 support@washposthelp.zendesk.com, Opencourt@cnn.com, aj.cameron1@gmail.com,
 wpmagazine@washpost.com, Evan.Allen@globe.com, Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com,
 colorofmoney@washpost.com,

cc: [professors]

robert.bauer@nyu.edu, cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, dana.fowler@pscotus.gov,
 caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu, jack.balkin@yale.edu,
 baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu, charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu,
 jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu, bross@law.virginia.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
 levi@law.duke.edu, ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu,
 development@naacpldf.org, rfallon@law.harvard.edu, heather.k.gerken@yale.edu,
 tgrove@law.ua.edu, ngertner@harvard.edu, tgriffith@law.harvard.edu,
 bhuang@law.columbia.edu, mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu,
 awhite36@gmu.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu, lemos@law.duke.edu,
 trevor.morrison@nyu.edu, cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, d-
 strauss@uchicago.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu,
 krooseve@law.upenn.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu, michael.waldman@nyu.edu,
 Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

4. Holding unprecedented citizens hearings and a conference; and forming local chapters of a national movement for judicial reform

27. By writing their story, people can take their first step toward attaining one of their most compelling objectives in their quest for justice: telling it orally to the national public. That is the second step, which they can take at the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings. They are to be organized by journalists and politicians joining forces with professors and students at schools of journalism, law, business, Information Technology, and social sciences; and held at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conference so that the largest number of people everywhere can attend and testify inexpensively and with minimal disruption to their daily routine.
 - a. The report on the citizens hearings can be presented at the first-ever conference on judicial unaccountability and abuse of power, broadcast nationally and internationally multimedia and interactively.
 - b. Only after a thorough investigation and presentation of the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' unaccountable and abusive exercise of power can there be a discussion of measures to prohibit, prevent, detect, and punish their abuse.
28. Journalists and politicians can also work together to facilitate the formation by people who have cases before the same abusive judge or in the same court of local chapters. Their purpose will be to collectively demand compensation for abusees from judges and their judiciaries.
 - a. The local chapters are intended to coalesce eventually into a national movement for judicial

abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.

29. That is how the unprecedented citizens hearings and the conference will open the way for reforming the system of justice through transformative change: the system that enters the process of change will come out transformed into a different entity because reformative measures that today are deemed unthinkable will manifest themselves as unavoidable([OL3:1372¶f](#)) .

E. My offer of a presentation to you and your guests and a pitch of one or a series of my articles

30. The Senate confirmation hearings, the primaries, and the national *MeToo!*-BLM intolerance of all forms of abuse have coincided to turn the present time into the most propitious to make progress in judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform. By taking the above-mentioned and similar concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that I have proposed, you can advance your own commercial and reputational interests: "Scandal sells" and you can become nationally recognized by a grateful We the People as their Champion of Justice.
31. I offer to present these actions to you and your guests via video conference or in person. To schedule the presentation, use my contact information below.
32. To invite people to attend the presentation and send you their complaints and stories you can as widely as possible share this article with all your friends, relatives, workmates, etc., and post it to social media, such as Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp

Tweet: Tell journalists and politicians your story of judges' abuse of power to participate in unprecedented citizens hearings and demand compensation and reform;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf

33. Therefore, this pitch can advance your commercial and reputational interests just as it can mine if you publish this or a series of my articles. I have written scores of them and made them available for download and review(infra, [OL3:App6§A](#)). In addition, there is a long list of subjects(id., [§B](#)) that I have already treated in my study of judges and their judiciaries(paragraph [17.a.5](#) supra). Of course, I can write on commission, whether articles, briefs, or case evaluations.

F. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

34. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.

**Put your money where your
outrage at abuse and quest for justice are.**

35. Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking at

Judicial Discipline Reform

36. **DONATE** by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account

to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;

or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

APPENDIXES

App.1. Volumes of the study of judges and their judiciaries

App.2. Offer of a presentation; and

Activities to support with donations and investment

App.3. Number of subscribers to Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

App.4. Statement by LinkedIn that Dr. Cordero has “one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012”

App.5. Resume of Dr. Cordero

App.6. Links to articles ready for review and publication; subjects for commissioned articles; and links to external sources of information

App.7. Blocs of email addresses of the people to whom to send one’s story of judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

A. Offer to make a presentation on holding judges and their judiciaries accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

1. I offer to present my [webinar](#) & [workshop](#), and [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and its [slides](#). To set the presentation terms and scheduling use my contact information in the letterhead.

B. Activities to be financed by donations and capital investment

2. Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted countless webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that as of 9Mar22, the number of those who had become subscribers was 43,450([App3](#)), to:

- a continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

- b. turn the website from an informational platform, into:

- 1) a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
- 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
- 3) the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;

- c. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your guests at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my video and follow it on its slides;
- d. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
- e. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
- f. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
- g. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & earns Pulitzers*;
- h. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change([¶77](#)); etc.([¶48](#)).

3. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money. **Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

- a. **DONATE** by making a transfer using your online bank account Bill Pay feature or through Zelle: to Citi Bank, routing 021 000 089, acc. 4977 59 2001; or TD Bank, routing 260 13 673, acc. 4392 62 5245; or by mailing a check to the address in the above letterhead; or through [Paypal](#).

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Getting Started

AOL Mail (134)

Law & Order: Special ...

Peacock

Yahoo

Nightly News with Les...

cPanel File Manager v...

Current NBC Shows - ...

CBS Evening News - F...

AOL Mail (157)

cbs.com-shows-

Yahoo

Washington Week Vid...

My Site

Reader

Write

Screen Options

Help

Dashboard

Jetpack

Posts

Media

Pages

Portfolio

Comments 83

Feedback

WooCommerce

Products

Analytics

Marketing

Appearance

Plugins

Users

All Users

Add New

Profile

Tools

Settings 1

Collapse menu

Users

Add New

Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

Leave a review

Maybe later

Don't show again

Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?

You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?

Leave a Review

No thanks / I already have

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All (43,811) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (43,810)**

Bulk actions

Apply

Change role to...

Change

43,811 items

<<

<

1

>

>>

of 2,191

☐	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
☐	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
☐	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
☐	 3aplus63von	—	sam@3aplus63.ru	Subscriber	0
☐	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 AaaBEvick	—	a21@play.quakeclub.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 AAAGlennbeish	—	umbainvro@viagraforsale.monster	Subscriber	0

April 18, 2022

APPENDIX 6

**A study and articles already written on
judicial abuse of power, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform;
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing***

Table of Contents

- A. The study and articles available for review before publication or law writing..... 1
- B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles..... 7
- C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing..... 10

A. The study and articles available for review before publication or law writing

1. The study

1. The three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries that supports the articles, which are downloadable as individual files

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all
prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page
OL2:394-1143

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from
OL3:1144-1455+

- i. Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome; it may happen that Internet Explorer only downloads a blank page.
- ii. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html), which is available for free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.
- iii. In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † ♣ >blue footnote-like references) very easy.

2. Many of the articles have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

3. Visit the website and join its 43,810+ subscribers to its articles thus: [homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or +
[New](#) or [Users](#) >[Add New](#).

2. The individual sections of the study

1. jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_jur:1-8.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

App.6:1

2. jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics_jur9-20.pdf
3. jur:21; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_A_jur21-63.pdf
4. jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_B_jur65-80.pdf
5. jur:85; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_C_jur85-97.pdf
6. jur:97; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_D_jur97-111.pdf
7. jur:119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur119-130.pdf
8. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur130-169.pdf
9. jur:171; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_F_jur171-174.pdf

3. The articles already written

10. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf
 - Cf. a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
11. jur:32§§2-3; Congress's finding of cronyism in the federal courts, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
12. jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
13. jur:72fn144d; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
14. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
15. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

16. Lsch 5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
17. Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
18. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
19. DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
20. Creative writings, cw; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
21. OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
22. OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
23. OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
24. OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
25. OL:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers
26. OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
27. OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
28. OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
29. OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
30. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
31. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
32. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
33. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
34. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
35. OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
36. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
37. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
38. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf

39. OL2:760; see OL2:608
40. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
41. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
42. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
43. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
44. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
45. †OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
46. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
47. *OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
48. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
49. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
50. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
51. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
52. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
53. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
54. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
55. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
56. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
57. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
58. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
59. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
60. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
61. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
62. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
63. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
64. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
65. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

[Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf)

66. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
67. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
68. *>OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
69. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
70. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf,
discussing a proposal to LexisNexis
71. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
72. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
73. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
74. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
75. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
76. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
77. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
78. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
79. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
80. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
81. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
82. *>OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
83. OL2:1144; analysis of Thomson Reuters's report "The Teflon Robe"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
84. OL2:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
85. *>OL2:1164; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
86. *>OL2:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
87. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
88. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
89. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
90. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
91. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf

92. *>OL2:1212: agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
93. OL2:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
94. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
95. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
96. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
97. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
98. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
99. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
100. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
101. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
102. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
103. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
104. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf
105. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCt_reform_Commission.pdf
106. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf
107. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_SCt_Commission.pdf
108. OL3:1329; the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
109. OL3:1338; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf
110. OL3:1342; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf
111. OL3:1348; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_politicians-judges_connivance.pdf
112. OL3:1351; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_folly_of_pro_se.pdf
113. OL3:1367; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf
114. OL3:1371; proposal to apply to judges expertise in financial criminality investigations; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
115. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
116. OL3:1378; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-exposing_federal_judiciary_as_racketeering_enterprise.pdf

Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf

117. OL3:1380; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_writing_reliable_stories&telling_national_public.pdf
118. OL3:1383; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf
119. OL3:1389; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar&story_workshop_slides.pdf
120. OL3:1393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_&_workshop_dates.pdf
121. OL3:1394; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_thinking_strategically_to_gain_result_allies.pdf
122. OL3:1399; analysis of *The Wall Street Journal* article exposing how “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf
123. OL3:1407; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading_strategizing_taking_action.pdf
124. OL3:1411; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf
125. OL3:1415; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_concrete_actions_by_advocates.pdf
126. OL3:1417; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf
127. OL3:1426; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_forming_local_chapters&appealing_to_schools.pdf
128. OL3:1430; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ABC_investigate_judges_abuse.pdf
129. OL3:1436; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLE_webinar_exposing_judges.pdf
130. OL3:1440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_workshop_for_preparing_your_story.pdf
131. OL3:1445; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf
132. OL3:1449; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_need_to_read&best_time_to_distribute.pdf
133. OL3:1451; to publishers to offer them my articles and describe a plan of actions to enter jointly a multidisciplinary academic and business venture for judicial abuse exposure, compensation and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_articles_actions_to_expose_judges.pdf
134. OL3:1457; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading&telling_knowledgeable_judicial_abuse_stories.pdf
135. OL3:1460; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_wrongdoing.pdf
136. OL3:1470; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Offshoot_Oases_Project.pdf

B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles

137. judges’ unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:7

138. statistical analysis for the public([†]>[OL2:455§§B-E](#), 608§A) and for researchers([jur:131§b](#));
139. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable([OL2:453](#));
140. to receive ‘justice services’([OL2:607](#)) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs([OL2:608§A](#)), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract([OL2:609§2](#));
141. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back([OL2:546](#));
142. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”([jur:68^{123a}](#));
143. abuse-enabling clerks([OL2:687](#)), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse([jur:30§1](#));
144. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation ([OL2:645§B](#)) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
145. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them([jur:10-14](#); [OL2:548](#)), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority([jur:21§a](#));
146. escaping the futility of suing judges([OL2:713](#), [609§1](#)): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation([OL2:581](#));
147. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation([jur:81§1](#); [Lsch:17§C](#)): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public([OL2:635](#), [593¶15](#));
148. turning insiders into Deep Throats([jur:106§C](#)); outsiders into informants([OL2:468](#)); and judges into criers of ‘MeToo! Abusers’([OL2:682¶¶7,8](#)) that issue an *I accuse!*([jur:98§2](#)) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically([OL2:635](#), [593¶15](#)) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice([OL2:687](#)), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
149. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab([OL2:614](#)), conceal([jur:65^{107a,c}](#)), and launder([105²¹³](#)) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics([OL2:582§C](#); [OL3:1228](#));
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting([OL2:612§b](#));
 - 2) by using Information Technology examination and statistical analysis, such interception and contents-based suppression can be exposed, which will provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata([OL2:583§3](#));
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bank-rolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby

made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);

4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;

150. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
151. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
152. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
 - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
153. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
154. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of April 17, 2022, had **43,810+** subscribers, into:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture

([jur:119§§1-4](#)). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));

155. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:
- a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
 - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
 - c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
 - d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;
156. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
157. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the [Constitution](#), Article V.

C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing

1. Treatises

158. **Start your research here to gain an overview of the subject and proceed to the ever more specific:**
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law
159. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction
160. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea

2. Law reviews and journals

161. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews-Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

162. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

163. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

164. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
165. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), Bankruptcy Code; *id.* ; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Code.pdf
166. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), Criminal Code, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Code.pdf

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

167. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

6. Federal rules of procedure applicable in all federal courts

168. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (11 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Rules.pdf
169. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (18 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Rules.pdf
170. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (28 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence**; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf
171. Cf., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2021-revised-ed/p/106721176?trkcode=recsrpl&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
172. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules and Commentary, 2021 ed.; Steven S. Gensler and Lumen N. Mulligan; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Rules-of-Civil-Procedure-Rules-and-Commentary-2021-ed/p/106676872?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
173. Federal Civil Rules Handbook, 2022 ed.; Steven Baicker-McKee and William M. Janssen; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Court-Rules/Federal-Civil-Rules-Handbook-2022-ed/p/106744906?trkcode=recsrserp&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
174. For the rules of the Supreme Court, see subsection 12 infra.

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

175. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:11

176. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law;
<https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

177. https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b_three_sections_with_teasers/active_leg_page.htm
178. <https://www.house.gov/legislative-activity>

10. Some federal laws of particular interest

179. The **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_in_Government.pdf
180. Duty to report abuse, **18 USC §3057**; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
181. Circuit justices, **28 USC 42**
182. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
183. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the meetings of the judicial councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
184. **Judicial Conduct** and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#)), setting forth a procedure for anybody to file a complaint about a federal judge with the chief circuit judge where the complained-about judge sits
185. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
186. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of lawyers and pro se's who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
187. **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978; 5 U.S.C. Appendix; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
188. **Racketeer Influenced** and Corrupt Organizations Act(**RICO**); 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
189. **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act**; 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc_FISA.pdf

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

190. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
191. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
192. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who discusses the key statistics on federal cases

193. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice;
<https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

194. Table 1

Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020		
Supreme Court		69
Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	
Federal circuit	1,568	
94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

12. Cases in the lower federal courts

222. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF); <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf>

223. Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>

224. Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER); <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>

225. To find the website of each federal court, where its cases are posted go to
<https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

13. Forms

226. E.g., District Courts—Civil (Vols. 2-4A, West's® Federal Forms);
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/District-CourtsmdashCivil-Vols-2-4A-Westsreg-Federal-Forms/p/100001667>

227. Bankruptcy Courts (Vols. 6-6C, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Bankruptcy-Courts-Vols-6-6C-Wests174-Federal-Forms/p/100001669>

14. Judicial Conference of the U.S. (the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary)

228. 28 USC §331. Judicial Conference; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>

229. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference>, which contains a list of its 20 committees

230. The Chief Justice appoints the members of the Judicial Conference committees;
<https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference/about-judicial-conference>

231. Reports of the Judicial Conference's biannual meetings, <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal->

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:13

[courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us](#)

232. Regulations on judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, <https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/judiciary-policies/ethics-policies/financial-disclosure-report-regulations>

15. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal, as opposed to state, courts)

233. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
234. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
235. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
236. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document(28 USC §604(a)(3-4)); the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court (§601); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
237. https://www.uscourts.gov/news/2022/03/15/judiciary-releases-annual-report-and-judicial-business-2021?utm_campaign=usc-news&utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery
238. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>
239. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>
240. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
241. Table 2

Number of federal judicial officers https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9
circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges id.	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

283. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and
284. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
285. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
286. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints about federal judges in

Table S-22(28 USC §604(h)(2)); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>

287. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>

288. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>

289. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

16. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

290. <https://www.fjc.gov>

291. List of the 8 impeached federal judges since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

17. Other federal entities and people

292. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**”; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>

293. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>

294. Office of **Professional Responsibility** of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>

295. Judges’ annual mandatory **financial disclosure reports**, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

296. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

18. United States Postal Service

297. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

19. Sources of state legal authority

a. Treatises

298. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231>

b. State constitution and laws

299. https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8glVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS_PP_Law-Books_Main_Search_Brand-Phrase_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&ef_id=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8glVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE:G:s&s_kwid=AL!7944!3!440994957489!p!!g!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:15

300. Search for a compilation of all state codes, laws, rules, and regulations; e.g., [McKinney's Consolidated Laws of New York Annotated®](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york) (Annotated Statute & Code Series);
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york>

c. Uniform laws (the product of agreements among the states)

301. Uniform Laws Annotated; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/p/100028543>
302. Uniform Commercial Code; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Commercial-Code-2020-2021-ed/p/106675446?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

d. Restatement of laws

303. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=restatement+of+laws>

e. Rules of procedure applicable in all the courts of a state

304. E.g., [McKinney's New York Civil Practice Law and Rules](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075), 2020 ed.;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075>

1) Rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed; e.g., in New York; <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/index.shtml>

305. Rules of the Chief Judge, <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefjudge/index.shtml>, of the Court of Appeals, <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/courtofAppeals.shtml>, the highest NY State court (#1- to 81)
306. Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge (#100 to 154), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>
307. Uniform Rules of the New York State trial courts (#200 to 221), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/index.shtml>; e.g., the supreme and the county courts; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.shtml>.
- a. Rules of the First Department Supreme Court [of four departments], which in NY is a trial court; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/suptctmanh/Commencement-of-Cases-2.shtml>
- b. There are uniform rules (#205 to 221) for specialized courts, e.g., family and surrogate, capital cases, and particular activities, e.g., jury selection, depositions
308. Joint Rules of the Departments of the Appellate Division (partial: 22 NYCRR Parts 1200-1400); <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml>
- a. Rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>
309. Each court may have supplementary rules of its own as well as rules of specific judges...so much for a New York State *Unified* Court System.

f. Regulations of the state administrative agencies

310. Go to the state's department of state; Google the state administrative agency; or search for a compilation of

the state codes, laws, rules, and regulations

311. E.g., <https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Index?bhcp=1&transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>
312. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/New-York-Codes-Rules-and-Regulations-NYCRR/p/100019553>

g. Bills pending in the state legislature

313. E.g. <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation>

h. State cases

314. For information on state cases Google the highest court in the state, which may have a state court locator or a “Links of interest”; otherwise, Google the lower state court in question, which may have a website and post its cases to it; e.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/>
315. E.g., Court of Appeals of the State of New York (the highest court in New York State), <https://www.nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm>
316. E.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/cts-NYC-SUPREME.shtml> (the supreme courts in NYS are trial courts)
317. E.g., Supreme Court for the County of New York (Manhattan and Bronx) <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/index.shtml>

i. Forms

318. E.g., Domestic Relations (Volume 7, West’s Legal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms—Topical/Domestic-Relations-Vol-7-Westsreg-Legal-Forms/p/100001671>

j. Cases from the Federal Judiciary and from other states

20. Entities representing state courts and compiling their statistics

319. Conference of **Chief Justices** of the states; <https://ccj.ncsc.org>
320. National Center for State Courts; www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics
321. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
322. Conference of State Court **Administrators** (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
323. National Association for Court **Management** (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>
324. National Conference of Appellate **Court Clerks** (NCACC); www.appellatecourtclerks.org
325. Number of cases filed in state courts **annually**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

21. Rules and codes of conduct for judges and lawyers

326. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>
327. American Bar Association Model **Rules** of Professional Conduct;

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:17

https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/

328. American Bar Association Model **Code** of Judicial Conduct;
https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/

329. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

22. Reports by media outlets and VIPs that have exposed judges

a. Reports exposing judges

330. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:

- a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
- b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
- c. Part 3, 14juy21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
- d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
- e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>
- f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>

331. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18

- a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>

332. *The Wall Street Journal*; **James.Grimaldi@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/james-v-grimaldi>; **Coulter.Jones@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/coulter-jones>; reach Mr. Jones at 212-416-3778; **Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/joe-palazzolo>

- a. 131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest; https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdm4VM9Ssvk_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ; 28sep21; updated under the title "Federal Judges Heard Cases Despite a Financial Interest"; 29sep21; https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-the-journal-found-judges-violations-of-law-on-conflicts-11632833775?mod=Searchresults_pos11&page=1
- b. Texas Judge Leads Tally of Cases With Financial Conflicts — Gilstrap didn't recuse in 138 suits involving firms in which he or his wife had an interest; 30sep21
- c. Judges or Their Brokers Bought And Sold Stocks of Litigants — 61 report trades made while they oversaw suits involving the companies; 16oct21
- d. U.S. News: Bill Would Toughen Stock-Trading Rules for Federal Judges; 26oct21
- e. Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law; 2nov21
- f. U.S. News: Judge Acknowledges Possible Recusal Errors; 3nov21
- g. U.S. News: Bill on Judge Disclosures Passes House Panel; 18nov21
- h. U.S. News: Bill Gains To Speed Disclosure by Judges; 2dec21

333. Senator Elizabeth Warren's "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too";
<https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
334. Several of the above-listed reports are collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf

b. Reports with leads and methodology useful for investigating judges

335. Pandora Papers; International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, Washington, D.C.; 3oct21;
<https://www.icij.org/investigations/pandora-papers/>

23. Journalists and media outlets

336. CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
337. *Biden's court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
338. **The Associated Press**; <https://www.ap.org/about/>

24. Entities accrediting educational institutions (and serving as portals to them)

339. (**journalism** schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
340. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
341. (**business** schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
342. [https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm](https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm;); consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

25. Law book publishers

343. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
344. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
345. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
346. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

26. Other private entities and people

347. American Association of **University Professors**, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>
348. American Association of Retired People; <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2->

EWHERE

- 349. Judicial Watch, <https://www.judicialwatch.org>
- 350. Judicial Watch's repository of judges' financial disclosure reports,
<https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

Appendix 7

**Two blocs of email addresses of
the members of the Biden Commission for the reform of the Supreme Court[‡]; and
journalists, students, and professors to interest them in holding
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS,
to be placed in the To: and cc: boxes of your email containing
your story of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality[♦]**

To: [commissioners]

dana.fowler@pscscotus.gov, info@pscscotus.gov, staff@pscscotus.gov,
cristina.rodriquez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, baude@uchicago.edu,
kandrias@law.columbia.edu, jack.balkin@yale.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, ngertner@harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu,
jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu, cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu,
bhuang@law.columbia.edu, awhite36@gm.u.edu, mkang@northwestern.edu,
mramsey@SanDiego.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu, krooseve@law.upenn.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, d-strauss@uchicago.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu,
development@naacpldf.org, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

cc: [journalists and academics]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com,
contact@icij.org, fshiel@icij.org, investigations@icij.org, newstip@globe.com,
insiders@icij.org, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
tips@publicintegrity.org, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, gryle@icij.org,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, andrea@americanthinker.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, drew@americanthinker.com,
help@washpost.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
spotlight@globe.com, charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org,
Thehill@email.thehill.com, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, tips@propublica.org,
mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.org,
emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com, ryan.grim@theintercept.com,
info@AP.org, corderoric@yahoo.com, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@mail.huffpost.com,
aturturro@alm.com, support@washposthelp.zendesk.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com, oped@nytimes.com,
wpmagazine@washpost.com, hello@propublica.org,
Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com, letters@washpost.com, Evan.Allen@globe.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, national@washpost.com,
colorofmoney@washpost.com, email@washingtonpost.com, oped@washpost.com,

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCt_reform_Commission.pdf

[♦] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf

End Page

Blank

Blank