

September 24, 2020

**Extending Reuters's "The Teflon Robe" investigation of state judges to federal judges so that their coordinated abuse of power as their modus operandi is exposed at the most opportune time:
when the appointment of a Supreme Court justice will focus national attention on all things judicial and the 2020 campaign and Election Day will enable an informed and outraged national public to hold judges and their appointing politicians accountable and liable for their conniving toleration of abuse of *We the People*, the masters of all public servants[‡]**

Mr. Andy Piness
Inside Sales Executive
Reuters News Agency
Mobile: +1-973-951-9352
andy.piness@thomsonreuters.com
and Reuters Editor and Business Development Officers

Dear Mr. Piness and Reuters Officers,

Thank you, Mr. Piness, for your phone call and your prompt follow-up email.

A. My proposals to Reuters and their basis on a study and a website

1. I want to propose that Reuters extend to federal judges its massive investigation of state judges, which last June 30 produced the first of its three-part report "The Teflon Robe" by reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman, and editor Blake Morrison.
2. My proposal finds its solid foundation in my study^{* †} of judges and their judiciaries, based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking, which is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{* †}**

3. Some of my articles have been posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
4. They have elicited so much positive reaction that out of its countless webvisitors 34,382+ have become subscribers. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you and your colleagues know who have a website with so many subscribers and can show their names and email addresses?
5. I bring to the negotiating table something of value that has proven its appeal to potential customers.
6. Indeed, my concrete proposals aim to report on federal judges on the up to now ignored yet incontrovertible basis of the official statistics of the courts, e.g., those submitted to Congress as a public document by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts as required by law under 28 U.S.C. §604(a)(3-4) and (h)(2).
7. In the same vein, my proposals are the sole to hold out a prospect of indisputable appeal to a national public in a *MeToo!* mood that has developed a visceral intolerance of any form of abuse: holding judges and their judiciaries accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse, just as they so hold police and their departments, priests and their

^{*}OL3:1172 [†]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocate3.pdf >from OL3:1144
^{*}.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 [†].../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf

churches, doctors and their hospitals, lawyers and their law firms, and everybody else, including officers of the other two branches.

8. My proposals to Reuters are set forth at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf. They discuss what Reuters stands to gain if it agrees to:

§A. Undertake a joint investigation with me to extend its Teflon Robe report from state to federal judges

§B. Publish one or a series of my articles exposing federal judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power

§C. Hold unprecedented citizens hearings on judges' abuse of power

§D. Further develop the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, as proposed in my business plan([†]>[OL2:1022](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/1022))[‡], to turn it from an informational platform into:

- 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by anybody; and
- 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients searching many writings from many sources that can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power.

[‡] http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf

B. The most opportune time to expose federal judges: a Supreme Court appointment and a presidential election

9. This is the most opportune time to investigate federal judges for abuse of power that they have institutionalized as their modus operandi: the nomination and confirmation of a justice to the Supreme Court will focus national attention on all things judicial.
10. In addition, the 2020 campaign and the fast approaching Election Day make the national public be at its strongest point: when as voters it can hold politicians and judges accountable for their conniving abuse of power to the detriment of *We the People*.
11. The proposed investigation of federal judges can begin precisely with sitting Supreme Court justices[‡] and the evidence of their abuse of power, including criminal conduct, e.g., concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering. But since time is of the essence, the investigation can be introduced by the publication of one or a series of my articles, which are already written and available to you for review. These articles can insert into the 2020 campaign the issue of federal judges' unaccountability that with the connivance of politicians allows their running of the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.

[‡] http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf

C. Some of my articles to inform and outrage the national public

12. Federal judges cover for each other by dismissing 100% of complaints against them, which must be submitted to their respective circuit, and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. Complainants are left uncompensated and the rest of the public is condemned to remain at the mercy of judges emboldened by their self-assured unaccountability.
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
13. My analysis will allow the assessment of a candidate, not on the basis of the 7% of decisions with written opinions by federal circuit judges, but rather on the basis of the 93% of cases that their clerks dispose of by rubberstamping a 5¢ dumping form! Many if not all the parties in that 93%

will be outraged upon learning that they were denied both equal protection and due process of law and caused to waste an enormous amount of effort, time, and money in a sham judicial process. They will demand compensation of actual and punitive damages. They will be able to do so collectively thanks to their representation by lawyers –so many of them now unemployed, as are many law students- who will practice in the niche specialty of boutique law firms set up under our franchise. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf >OL2:455§§B, D.

14. Nothing will so outrage the national public as the evidence that judges intercept the mail and emails of people in order to detect and suppress those critical of them. Thereby judges offend against the rights most cherished by the American public, to wit, those guaranteed by the First Amendment of “freedom of speech, or of the press [including citizen journalists], or the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the Internet too], and to petition the Government [of which the judiciary is a branch] for a redress of grievances [as by payment of damages]”. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
15. My charges against federal judges have been unwittingly validated by none other than Sen. Elizabeth Warren, who dare denounce federal judges in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”. There Sen. Warren stated that due to their unaccountability, federal judges engage in ‘abusive self-enrichment’ by failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest and steering the cases so as to protect or even enhance the value of their interest. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf

D. Thinking strategically about the reporting field to be pioneered

16. Through the publication of my articles as soon as possible before the confirmation of the justice-ship candidate and Election Day, Reuters can set off a generalized media investigation of federal judges’ abuse of power. The exposure of the nature, extent, and gravity of their institutionalized abuse of power can become a scandal. “Scandal sells”.
17. Such a scandal can render all the more opportune the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings. There people will testify to the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed. Reuters can join forces with universities and other media to hold those hearings. Thereby the media will burnish their image in the eyes of the public to appear as *The People’s* Loudspeaker.
18. The articles, the scandal, and the hearings can open the way to a constitutional crisis: An informed and outraged national public can put intense pressure on Congress and the Executive to expose, detect, and curb abuse, and punish, not one rogue judge, but rather a Federal Judiciary that as a branch of government has gone rogue...with the connivance of other two branches. How do judges avoid “even the appearance of [the] impropriety” of partiality when presiding over suits brought against their own branch by the other two branches or even the class of victims of their abuse?
19. That constitutional crisis can be aggravated:
 - a. A president that fears losing or actually loses the election may call for the constitutional convention that 34 states since April 2, 2014, have asked Congress to hold in accordance with Article V of the Constitution. It can become yet another runaway convention as it takes into account the world as it has evolved in the last 230 years to fashion a novel form of government.
 - b. A party that wins the presidency and both chambers of Congress may vote to have a constitutional convention so that under a new constitution the whole of the Supreme Court

is terminated or bypassed and a new similar institution is created and staffed pursuant to new criteria but under the influence of that party.

20. Therefore, our “**Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting**” can reasonably be expected to set in motion transformative change in the judicial and legal system and the rest of government: For the first time in history, *We the People*, as masters of all public servants, may hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power. That will constitute one way in which *the People* will assert their status as the sovereign source of all political power.

E. How Reuters can benefit even more by adopting my proposals

21. My articles have already benefited Reuters: Since last July 8, I have informed of its “Teflon Robe” report my website subscribers as well as the addressees of a series of articles that I have sent directly in more than 100,000 emails and indirectly through the more than 200 yahoo- and google-groups, and groups.io to which I am subscribed.
22. What is more, I have encouraged all of them to pay heed to the request of the Reuters reporters, Mr. Berens and Mr. Shiffman, that readers send them their stories of abuse by judges. They have stated that they have been “inundated” with those stories. I know that some of those stories were written after people asked me for guidance on writing their stories and I provided it in a series of articles, the latest version of which is at:
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

F. Offer of a presentation to you and your colleagues

23. I offer you and all Reuters officers a presentation on this proposal leading to a Q&A session via video conference or in person. To set its terms and scheduling you may get in touch with me using my contact information below.
24. To decide whether to accept my offer, see my [video](#) and follow it with its slides([†]>OL2:956).
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

G. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

25. This proposal and the study* [†] of judges and their judiciaries([supra ¶2](#)) on which it is based are the product of the professional law research and writing and strategic thinking conducted by:

Judicial Discipline Reform.

26. To subscribe for free to its articles: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, <left panel
↓Register or [+ New](#) or [Users](#) >[Add New](#).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate

through [Paypal](#), https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001
or by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

1. If you are interested in bringing Judges Above the Law and their judiciaries down to the level where every other person is held accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power because All Are Equal Before the Law, support Judicial Discipline Reform in its:
 - a. professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking([†]>[OL2:445§B](#), [475§D](#)); and
 - b. implementation of its business plan([OL2:914](#)) by, to begin with, turning its informational website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> into a profit center that offers:
 - 1) **a clearinghouse** for complaints([OL2:918](#)) about judges that anybody can upload for free; and
 - 2) **a research center** for fee-paying customers to audit(^{*}>[OL:274-280](#), [304-307](#)) many complaints in search of(^{*}>[jur:131§b](#), [OL:255](#)) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns([†]>[OL2:792§A](#)), trends([OL2:455§B](#)), and schemes([OL2:614](#), [929](#), [457§D](#)) of abuse of power, including the coordinated fraudulent filing by judges and approval by other judges of mandatory annual financial disclosure reports([jur:102§a](#) and ^{213b}) under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978([jur:65](#)^{107d}), which are intentionally misleading in order to conceal assets, evade taxes, and launder money, such as the money grabbed by judges through their self-enrichment denounced by Sen. Warren in her “plan” to hold them accountable for it([OL2:998](#)).

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

DONATE

through

PayPal

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or at the **GoFundMe** campaign, <https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

Offer of a presentation

2. Dr. Cordero offers to present via video conference or in person his business plan and program of activities([OL2:978§E](#)) to you and your guests. To reach him and discuss the presentation's terms and conditions and its scheduling, you may use the contact information in the letterhead above.
3. To decide whether to organize such presentation watch his video as you follow its slides([†]>[OL2:958](#)) using these links:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!([†]>[OL2:1003](#))...and you may enter it.

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>



- Rogier

✕

All (37,321) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (37,320)**

Bulk actions

Apply

Change role to... 

Change

37,321 items

«

2

1

of 1,867

>

»

☐	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
☐	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
☐	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
☐	 3aplus63von	—	sam@3aplus63.ru	Subscriber	0
☐	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 AaaBEvick	—	a21@play.quakeclub.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 AAAGlennbeish	—	umbainvro@viagraforsale.monster	Subscriber	0
☐	 AaaPiopsy	—	enn.max.to.y@gmail.com	Subscriber	0
☐	 AaBNmvsUDXWuWO	—	kelflee@yahoo.com	Subscriber	0

February 23, 2021

**Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in
the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡**

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of
Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,311+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
Cf. a. *id.* on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
c. OL2:748; Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 476 complaints against them during the 10oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf
d. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. Garland; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
e. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
f. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
2. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
3. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
4. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
5. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
6. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
7. *>Creative writings, cw; http://judicialdiscipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
8. *>OL:42; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

9. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
10. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
11. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
12. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
13. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
14. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
15. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
16. OL2:567; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
17. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through ‘dumping forms’, which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
18. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
19. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
20. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
21. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
22. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
23. OL2:901; <http://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
24. OL2:918; File on the complaint’s journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
25. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
26. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
27. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
28. OL2:951; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
29. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
30. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
31. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf

32. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
33. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
34. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
35. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
36. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
37. OL2:1022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
38. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
39. OL2:1032; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
40. OL2:1037; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
41. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
42. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
43. *>OL2:1051; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
44. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
45. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf [sent to LexisNexis]
46. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
47. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf = <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
48. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
49. OL2:1090; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
50. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
51. OL2:1101; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
52. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
53. OL2:1108; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
54. OL2:1116; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
55. OL2:1119; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
56. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
57. *>OL2:1134; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
58. OL2:1144; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

59. OL2:1154; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
60. *>OL2:1159; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
61. *>OL2:1163; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-.pdf>
62. *>OL2:1175; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
63. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
64. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
65. *>OL2:1213; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
66. OL2:1219; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
67. OL3:1226; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
68. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
69. OL3:1237; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
70. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
71. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † * of judges and their judiciaries

72. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
73. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
74. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
75. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
76. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
77. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
78. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
79. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
80. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to

themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority([jur:21§a](#));

81. escaping the futility of suing judges([OL2:713, 609§1](#)): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation([OL2:581](#));
82. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation([jur:81§1](#)): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public([OL2:635, 593¶15](#));
83. turning insiders into Deep Throats([jur:106§C](#)); outsiders into informants([OL2:468](#)); and judges into criers of ‘*MeToo! Abusers*’([OL2:682¶¶7,8](#)) that issue an *I accuse!*([jur:98§2](#)) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically([OL2:635, 593¶15](#)) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice([OL2:687](#)), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
84. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab([OL2:614](#)), conceal([jur:65^{107a,c}](#)), and launder([105²¹³](#)) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications([OL2:582§C](#));
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting([OL2:612§b](#));
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata([OL2:583§3](#));
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million([OL2:528](#));
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges’ interception;
85. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like([jur:4¶¶10-14](#)) generalized media investigation into judges’ abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads([OL:194§E](#)) that I have gathered;
86. ***Black Robed Predators***([OL:85](#)) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges’ victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges’ abuse of power;
87. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges’ abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People*’s loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings([OL2:675§2, 580§2](#)) on judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges

intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);

- c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for ‘justice services’(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out “dumping forms”(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys’ fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for ‘justice services’; and
- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day’s work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);

88. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;

89. the development of my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of February 23, 2021, had 37,300 subscribers, into:

- a. a clearinghouse for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
- b. a research center for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
- c. the showroom and working platform of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture(jur:119§§1-4) intended to develop into the institute of judicial accountability reporting and reform advocacy(jur:130§5);

90. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges’ abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154 ¶ 3);
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges’ decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges’ abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People’s Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

91. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
92. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful external links

93. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
94. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
95. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
96. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
97. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
98. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
99. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
100. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
101. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
102. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
103. **Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(AO)**; <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
104. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
105. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
106. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
107. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
108. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
109. AO's 2019-1997 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
110. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>
111. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
112. the **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
113. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
114. Number of cases filed in state courts annually: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf
115. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

116. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>
117. **Federal Judicial Center on impeachments**; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>
118. See(jur:159²⁸⁰):
- a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf
 - b The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf(jur:75¹⁴⁸).
 - c The Conduct and Disability part of the bill as adopted is at ¶111 supra(jur:24^{18a})
119. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
- 120.

Blank