

August 24, 2020

**How you can reach a national public intolerant of any form of abuse
by exposing the most unaccountable officers anywhere: federal judges,
who coordinate their abuse of power and wield it as their modus operandi**

The national public has become intolerant of abuse of power and demands an end to what engenders it, unaccountability, as shown by the *MeToo!* movement, the police brutality demonstrations, the criticism of the President's Covid-19 response, and of course, of his performance in office. That public will receive avidly the individual and collective articles that the American Thinker authors and readers are hereunder called upon to write to expose the most unaccountable class of officers: federal judges, who are life-tenured, in practice irremovable, and have risklessly institutionalized their abuse of power to run the Federal Judiciary as their racketeering enterprise.

A. Reuters's report on state judges' unaccountability and abuse of power

1. Last June 30, Reuters published "[The Teflon Robe](#)", a report on its massive investigation of state judges. It found "hardwired judicial corruption". Indeed, state judges are subject to 'independent' state commissions charged with overseeing their conduct and investigating complaints against them. However, the relation between the judges and the commissions is so intertwined that the judges are routinely exonerated or, worse yet, not even investigated. As a result, state judges are unaccountable. This allows them to engage in corruption in reliance on its condonation by its 'independent' overseers.

B. The enabling circumstances of federal judges' abuse of power

2. The corruption among federal judges is much more profound precisely because they are their own overseers: Every complaint against a federal judge must be filed with their respective chief circuit judge, as provided for under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, [28 U.S.Code §351](#). The [official statistics](#) of the federal courts are contained in the [annual report](#) submitted to Congress as a public document by the [Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts](#). They show that the chief judges dismiss **100%** of those complaints. When petitions for review are filed with the respective circuit council, 100% of them are denied...in a reasonless rubberstamped 'dumping' form ([OL2:608§A](#)). Federal judges have institutionalized their unaccountability by coordinating their reciprocal exoneration throughout their Judiciary.
3. Their self-exoneration is reinforced by the failure of the politicians who nominated and confirmed them to office and the others who receive their annual report to hold them accountable and subject to constitutional checks and balances. Instead, the politicians connivingly protect them as 'our men and women on the bench'.
4. Their unaccountability is further strengthened by the exceptional fact that federal judges are the only officers in our country who hold a life appointment. In the last 231 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of them [impeached and removed](#) is **8**! Once a nominee to the federal bench is confirmed, they can do whatever they want in reliance on that historic record.
5. Their exoneration by themselves and politicians, and their irremovability in practice explain why federal judges abuse their enormous power over people's property, liberty, and all their rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identities: Their abuse is riskless. But it leaves complainants uncompensated and the rest of the people at their mercy.
6. Moreover, federal judges hold all their adjudicative, policy-making, administrative, and

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1159

disciplinary meetings behind closed doors and do not hold press conferences.

7. Nor do they provide reasons for all their decisions, which allows for arbitrary, ad hoc, conclusory fiats of star-chamber power that denies due process. Those who receive a reasonless dumping form when compared to those who receive a reasoned and published decision are deprived of equal protection of the law.(OL2:453§§B, D)
8. Federal judges' abuse of power cannot be explained away as limited to individual rogue judges, whose removal and punishment would suffice to deal with the problem. Rather, their practice of abuse is so pervasive and interwoven with their way of doing business that it is necessarily coordinated. Their individual and collective abuse has been institutionalized as the modus operandi of the Federal Judiciary. Their motive is grabbing illegal gains and convenience. Given that through coordination their abuse influences all aspects of their activity, federal judges run the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.
9. Unaccountability, risklessness, secrecy, and coordination are the circumstances enabling federal judges' abuse of power. Excluding any concern about adverse consequences, not even investigation, due to self-exoneration, let alone punishment, those circumstances enable abuse to fester.
10. Their abuse of power is treated in detail in my two-volume study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]

C. Sen. E. Warren's denunciation of federal judges' abusive self-enrichment

11. This is confirmed by no lesser a politician than Senator Elizabeth Warren. In her “[I have a plan for the Judiciary too](#)”, she [dare](#) denounce, among other things, the systematic failure of federal judges to recuse themselves from cases in which they hold an interest in the company of one of the parties before them. They resolve the ensuing conflict of interests by favoring that party so as to maintain or increase the value of their interest. Sen. Warren has identified the circumstance enabling federal judges to commit such abusive self-enrichment to be their [unaccountability](#).
12. Such self-enrichment necessarily entails the crimes of:
 - a. concealment of assets
 - b. tax evasion
 - c. money laundering
 - d. fraud on the parties through intentional frustration of judicial process predicated on fairness and impartiality
 - e. breach of contract for judicial services entered into with no intention to perform it and thus, in bad faith
 - f. breach of their oath and of public trust causing injury in fact, e.g., brief production, court filing fees and costs, and attorney's fees.
13. Federal judges have the means of committing those crimes:
 - a. The Federal Judiciary has a nationwide computer network run by expert personnel. It maintains a database that stores hundreds of millions of briefs, records, motions, applications, letters, decisions, orders, etc., and carries out electronic filings, retrievals, docket entries, daily schedule updating, database searches, etc.
 - b. It has leverage over the intelligence agencies, which run more extensive and sophisticated networks and whose secret requests for secret orders authorizing secret surveillance under

the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act must be approved by its judges.

14. Showing that the Supreme Court justices have engaged, still engage, and cover their peers' and lower court judges' engagement in abusive self-enrichment can lead to the resignation of one, several, or all the justices and judges. The precedents for this are the resignation of Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969; and of Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski on December 18, 2017.

D. Interception of emails and mail to suppress those of their critics

15. Another of their [forms of abuse](#) of power involves the Judiciary's sophisticated national computer network and expertise to [intercept](#) the emails and mail of people in order to detect and suppress those of their critics. This is likely the abuse that will most outrage the national public, for it affects most people and deprives them of their most cherished rights, namely, those guaranteed under the First Amendment to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [by email and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including their payment of compensation]"

E. Judges' bankruptcy fraud scheme

16. People who go bankrupt by definition do not have enough money to meet their needs. The vast majority of them cannot afford a lawyer and must appear without one (pro se) in court. They are overwhelmed by the mindboggling complexities of bankruptcy law and procedure. As a result, they fall prey to judges' [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#). The spread to [Covid-caused bankruptcies](#) will allow judges and their cronies in the bankruptcy industry to take advantage of people's financial and emotional distress, thereby aggravating it.

F. Judges do not read most briefs, whose high cost goes to waste

17. "[The math of abuse](#)" demonstrates judges' failure to read most briefs, which they require parties to prepare, serve on all parties, and file in support of every case and motion. Yet, each brief costs a party \$Ks and even \$10Ks to produce. Instead, they have their clerks dispose of the corresponding cases and motions by rubberstamping a 5¢ dumping form. The call for parties to jointly demand that they be compensated for such waste and fraud will attract a large segment of the national public.

G. Parties invoking the Chief Justice's performance at the impeachment trial

18. [Chief Justice Roberts](#) presided over P. Trump's impeachment trial with disregard for "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice". His disregard can be invoked by anybody as precedent for refusing discovery on equal protection grounds and privileged communications. The issue may be accepted for review by the Supreme Court on the vote of only four justices seeking to embarrass the Chief Justice for having or not having sided with them to form a majority in landmark cases.

H. Sham hearings on judicial accountability show bad faith and connivance

19. The [sham hearings](#) held in the Federal Judiciary and Congress on judicial accountability reveal politicians/judges' connivance and their fraud on witnesses and the public. Held only for show and thus, in bad faith, the hearings have brought no improvement in judges' accountability.

I. Call for unprecedented citizens hearings held by the media and universities

20. Unprecedented citizens hearings can expose judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power. These hearings are intended to be held at media outlets and universities; conducted by professors,

journalists, and experts in Information Technology, fraud and forensic accounting, bankruptcy, etc.; and use interactive multimedia to take the testimony inexpensively without involving travel of the largest number of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse. You can be a [pioneer](#) of those historic hearings or simply sit back and be a listener. They can become the means by which the fact-finding power of a grand jury is taken and exercised by *We the People* as we self-assertively shout across the nation: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.*

J. Trump v. judges: The enemy of my enemy is my friend

21. There is a top politician who will deem it in his interest to fuel the public outrage at judges' abuse: President Trump. He will benefit from discrediting the very federal judges who are currently deciding whether to order the release of his tax returns.
22. He will only have to grant the exposers' request to make public the FBI's reports on its vetting of candidates to judgeships and justiceships. The [evidence available](#) points to information contained in those reports so incriminating that it will provoke profound public outrage at not only judges, but also politicians. Such outrage will have two dramatic consequences:
 - a. The issue of judges' abuse of power and racketeering will be inserted into the 2020 campaign and become a decisive one on Election Day.
 - b. Unable to remove judges, Trump may demand that Congress call the constitutional convention that 34 states have petitioned since April 2, 2014. This will throw national politics into turmoil and even stir up a deep institutional crisis. An outraged *We the People* can force Congress to give in and call the convention.
23. Failing that, Trump could resort to what he pretends enables him to do anything that Congress shows itself incapable of doing: an executive order. Obviously, he forgot that a single federal judge, District J. James Robart of Seattle, suspended *nationwide* his ban on Muslim immigration travel and that a panel of three circuit judges sustained the ban *nationwide*. But their preventing him from achieving the greatest accomplishment in the history of the Republic, i.e., "Trump's Constitution", would cause him to lash out against judges and aggravate the institutional crisis.

K. Presentation on your and your colleagues' benefit from exposing judges' abuse

24. I offer to make a presentation via [video](#) conference on how it will be professionally and commercially beneficial for you and your colleagues to expose judges' abuse of power. If we join forces to [investigate](#) their forms of abuse mentioned above and publish one or a series of my referred-to articles, we can set rolling an investigative bandwagon on which every media must climb. Thereby we can so [inform and outrage](#) *the People* that the latter demand that every politician take a stand on that issue, thus inserting it in the 2020 campaign and making it a decisive one on Election Day.
25. This is realistic: It only took a few weeks for the public outrage at the USPS delivery slowdown to become so intense as to cause both chambers of Congress to hold hearings for Postmaster General Louis DeJoy to testify thereon and for the House to be recalled from a recess, hold a rare Saturday session, and vote \$25 billion to shore up the postal service.
26. The exposure of judges' abuse of power can have an impact as swift and profound as that of the *MeToo!* movement and the protests about George Floyd's killing: It can launch transformative change in the administration of justice and the rest of government: Judicial and all other public servants will emerge accountable to, and liable to [compensate](#), their masters in "government of, by, and for the people": *We the People*.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable:
We the People, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable: *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero

Most VisitedGetting StartedAOL Mail (134)ACCESS NYC HomeInactivity - Session Ti...AOL Mail (157)Fix HP Laptop and PC ...Nightly News with Les...Current NBC Shows - ...CBS Evening News - F...

WordPress

Judicial Discipline Reform

12

23

New

Dashboard

Posts

Media

Pages

Comments23

WooCommerce

Products

Appearance

Plugins7

Users

All Users

Add New

Profile

Tools

Settings1

Collapse menu

Users

Add New

SSL activated!

Take the time to review these things

> Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)

> Improve your security [with security headers](#)

Really Simple SSL Pro



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate your questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

Leave a review

Maybe later

Don't show again

All (34,442) | Administrator (1) |

Subscriber (34,441)

Bulk actions

Apply

Change role to...

Change

34,442 items

☐	Username	Name	Email	Role
☐	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber
☐	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber
☐	 1ofthemany	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber
☐	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber
☐	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber
☐	 https://really-simple-ssl.com/new-security-headers-for-really-simple-ssl-pro-coming-up/	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber