

September 18, 2020

Joining forces with Reuters, Sen. E. Warren, and a Hollywood company to extend Reuters's investigation of state judges to the Federal Judiciary and insert its finding of "hardwired judicial corruption" and unaccountability; and Sen. Warren's denunciation of "unaccountable federal judges' abusive self-enrichment" into the 2020 campaign to enable an outraged national public with a *MeToo!* and George Floyd intolerance of any form of abuse to hold politicians and judges accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power

Dear Mr. Mc, Hollywood producer, Thomson Reuters A. Piness, M. Berens, J. Shiffman & other media,

I would like to congratulate you, Mr. Mc, on the fact that "a Hollywood production company bought the rights to [your] story" and for the prospect of having a book and a major motion picture produced thereon. Few people manage to have a Hollywood producer pay attention to their stories, never mind buy anything from them. I also appreciate your invitation to appear on your podcast.

A. Turning Reuters, Sen. E. Warren, and an outraged public into your allies

1. I would like to make a proposal that will advance your interests. In the process, it will also advance the interest of all victims of abuse of power by public officers, be they enforcement law or judicial officers. Those victims are of critical importance to you, for they are your main audience, whether as viewers of the movie, readers of your book, or listeners to your podcast.

1. Reuters's report on "hardwired judicial corruption"

2. The proposal is for you and the Hollywood company to join forces with none other than a major news organization: Reuters. Indeed, last June 30, Reuters published its report "The Teflon Robe" on its massive investigation of state judges. It found that those judges and the public officers charged with supervising their performance engage in "hardwired judicial corruption".
3. You, the Hollywood company, and Reuters can join forces to extend the investigation from state to federal judges. Such investigation will interest and outrage the national public because federal judges are the only judges whose decisions affect everybody nationwide, even the President himself! Thus, it can be reasonably expected to insert the issue of judges' abuse of power into what remains of the 2020 campaign and make it a decisive issue on Election Day.
4. Nothing will outrage the national public –and increase your audience– more than to be informed that the very federal judges who are supposed to protect its constitutional rights from violation by state officers covering for abusive police have coordinated their abuse of power into their modus operandi for grabbing gains and convenience. Their abuse is riskless since they are unaccountable.
5. As you point out in your blog, the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (Title 28 U.S. Code §§351-364) is no deterrent whatsoever to federal judges' abuse of power. In fact, that Act requires that all complaints against federal judges be filed in the respective circuit. Their chief circuit judges dismiss 100% of complaints against federal judges; and circuit councils deny 100% of petitions for review of those dismissals.
6. Federal judges inform politicians of those statistics through their annual report to Congress, which is filed as a public document under 28 U.S.C. §§604(a)(3-4); (h)(2). Politicians disregard those statistics, for they are the ones who recommended, endorsed, nominated, and confirmed judicial candidates to the Federal Judiciary and thereafter protect them as 'our men and women on the bench'.

7. That is how federal judges ensure their unaccountability and how politicians ensure their good relations with judges, who appointed to office for life can hold grudges for a long time and wield devastating power of retaliation. So arises the connivance that festers between politicians and federal judges, the harm to parties before judges, the rest of the public, and the rule of law notwithstanding.

2. Sen. E. Warren's denunciation of judges' abusive self-enrichment

8. One concrete form of this harm has been denounced by a federal politician and expert in financial matters as well-known as Sen. Elizabeth Warren. In her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", she denounces federal judges for refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest in one of the parties before them and steering the case so as to protect or even increase the value of such interest. Sen. Warren refers to it as abusive self-enrichment.
9. Self-enrichment incriminates federal judges in a conflict of interests hidden from parties and the rest of the public and resolved in their own favor; concealment of assets; tax evasion; money laundering; fraud; and breach of trust. Those are crimes. They are committed by judges who earn more than four times the average U.S. household income. This information will outrage a public in the throes of financial hardship due to Covid-19 layoffs, foreclosures, evictions, and bankruptcies.
10. By you, the Hollywood company, Reuters, and Sen. Warren joining forces to give this information to the national public, you will provoke its outrage. That will redound to your and public's benefit because in order to advance your common interest in exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power an outraged public is indispensable.

3. Your strongest ally: an outraged public voting on a presidential election

11. This element of the proposal is in line with your own statements on your qualified immunity blogpage:

In the wake of the murder of George Floyd seen by millions of people, there has been a renewed interest in culpability, accountability and liability of a law enforcement officer acting in his official capacity....Now the shoe is on the other foot and it is time for organizations to press legislators to waive protections of state and federal employees, especially law enforcement.

12. The mood of the national public is against any form of abuse. This is shown by the *MeToo!* movement, the protests against police brutality, and the demands for racial and economic equality.
13. Politicians never show as much sincere or opportunistic sensitivity to the concerns and demands of the national public as when they need the public the most: at a presidential election. That is the occasion when the public is strongest. The more hotly contested the election, the stronger the public in getting politicians to act in its behalf.
14. The national public can reasonably be expected to listen to the information about judges' abuse of power and be outraged into shouting even more loudly its rallying cry so expressive of its current mood:

Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.

15. The national public is your indispensable ally because it is the only entity that can force politicians to hold judges accountable, lest politicians be voted out of, or not into, office.

16. You, the Hollywood company, Reuters, Sen. Warren, and the national public have a common interest that makes you natural allies: exposing judges' unaccountability and abuse of power. The presidential election offers the most opportune moment to advance it jointly. This opportunity must not be missed. Your effort and time must not be squandered in a futile exercise.

4. Neither your blog nor your podcast can advance your interest

17. If when you were pitching derivative products and asset management services to financial institutions and well-heeled people you had centered their attention only on how much money you would earn, for how long would they have paid any attention to you, never mind how much interest would they have shown in buying anything from you?
18. Your blog and your podcast offer nothing to your audience. On the contrary, the blog asks people to give you their sympathy, commiseration, and pity because you, a member of the wealthy, greedy, and hated Wall Street class, were caught doing something wrong –so wrong that you do not dare say what it was, thus heightening contemptible suspicion about you–, found guilty by a jury of your peers, and sent to prison, where you were abused. What is in it for your audience?
19. Worse yet, your podcast will be merely yet another one among thousands of such podcasts: Anything is a podcast if regularly somebody simply talks to himself or chats with somebody else, records it, and makes the recorded file available on the cloud in the hope that somebody in the fog of boredom will stumble upon, and want to listen to, it. No advertiser is sought because none would pay to advertise to no audience. A podcast is an egocentric file in search of an audience with excess tears and a lot of time for others. If yours were a financial product, could you sell it? Would it even flash through your mind trying to sell an ad slot on it? Your enthusiasm clouded the business judgment that once made you so successful.

B. Concrete actions to advance your and your allies' interest

1. The interests of the parties

20. The proposal set forth here is reasonably calculated to bring about positive results because it takes into account the interests of the parties involved.
21. To begin with, I respectfully propose that you contact the Hollywood company to ask that it take advantage of its contacts and reputation to approach Reuters and Sen. Warren with the request that all of you together with me jointly extend Reuters's investigation of state judges to federal ones in time to set off a generalized media investigation of them.
22. The investigation will interest and outrage the public. That will generate public interest in the subject and make the public receptive to your book and movie whenever they are ready for re-lease. This is a reasonable expectation: Can you imagine a more outrageous scandal than the exposure of federal judges' abusing their unaccountability to coordinate their power as their means of risklessly running their Federal Judiciary for their gain and convenience as a racketeering enterprise? That is why the investigation will advance the commercial interest of the Hollywood company and Reuters: because "Scandal sells".
23. Moreover, Reuters and its journalists, such as the authors of "The Teflon Robe" report if they[†] also investigate federal judges, can win an always coveted Pulitzer Prize.[‡] Reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman, and editor Blake Morrison, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com
24. These Reuters authors asked their readers to send them their stories of abuse by judges and the

officers with whom they were involved in “hardwired judicial corruption”. You too can send them yours following the instructions therefor.

http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf.

25. Likewise, the investigation will confirm Sen. Warren’s denunciation of federal judges’ abusive self-enrichment and even provide additional support for it by exposing other forms of their abuse.

http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf

26. The investigation of federal judges will earn the Senator public recognition as the only politician who has dare denounce their unaccountability and abuse of power. This is her opportunity to become a national Champion of Justice...and perhaps attorney general in a Biden administration.http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf

27. This proposal finds a solid foundation in the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking that have produced my study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]**

2. Concrete actions that can be taken in short time

28. Time is of the essence: Election Day is fewer than 47 days away. So I have proposed concrete actions: http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf

29. In brief, they are:

§A. Agree to a joint investigation with me that extends Reuters’s from state to federal judges

§B. Publish one or a series of my articles exposing federal judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power

§C. Hold unprecedented citizen hearings on judges’ abuse of power

§D. Further develop my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which has attracted so many visitors that 33,840 and counting have become subscribers. Its proposed development is described in my [business plan](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf)([†]>OL2:1022).
http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf

C. My offer of a presentation

30. After reading this email you can share it with the Hollywood company and discuss it with its officers and other people. So informed of my proposals you can accept my offer of a presentation by me to you both and your guests on this proposal via Skype or Zoom.

31. To help you all decide whether to hold the presentation you may watch my video together with its slides: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Donate through **Paypal**, https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ; by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001; or by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

1. If you are interested in bringing Judges Above the Law and their judiciaries down to the level where every other person is held accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power because All Are Equal Before the Law, support Judicial Discipline Reform in its:
 - a. professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking([†]>[OL2:445§B, 475§D](#)); and
 - b. implementation of its business plan([OL2:914](#)) by, to begin with, turning its informational website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> into a profit center that offers:
 - 1) **a clearinghouse** for complaints([OL2:918](#)) about judges that anybody can upload for free; and
 - 2) **a research center** for fee-paying customers to audit(^{*}>[OL:274-280, 304-307](#)) many complaints in search of(^{*}>[jur:131§b, OL:255](#)) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns([†]>[OL2:792§A](#)), trends([OL2:455§B](#)), and schemes([OL2:614, 929, 457§D](#)) of abuse of power, including the coordinated fraudulent filing by judges and approval by other judges of mandatory annual financial disclosure reports([jur:102§a](#) and ^{213b}) under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978([jur:65^{107d}](#)), which are intentionally misleading in order to conceal assets, evade taxes, and launder money, such as the money grabbed by judges through their self-enrichment denounced by Sen. Warren in her “plan” to hold them accountable for it([OL2:998](#)).

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

DONATE

through

PayPal

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or at the **GoFundMe** campaign, <https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

Offer of a presentation

2. Dr. Cordero offers to present via video conference or in person his business plan and program of activities([OL2:978§E](#)) to you and your guests. To reach him and discuss the presentation's terms and conditions and its scheduling, you may use the contact information in the letterhead above.
3. To decide whether to organize such presentation watch his video as you follow its slides([†]>[OL2:958](#)) using these links:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history! ([†]>[OL2:1003](#))...and you may enter it.

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

February 23, 2021

**Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in
the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡**

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of
Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,311+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
Cf. a. *id.* on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
c. OL2:748; Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 476 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf
d. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. Garland; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
e. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
f. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
2. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
3. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
4. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
5. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
6. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
7. *>Creative writings, cw; http://judicialdiscipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
8. *>OL:42; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

9. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
10. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
11. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
12. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
13. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
14. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
15. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
16. OL2:567; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
17. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through ‘dumping forms’, which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
18. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
19. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
20. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
21. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
22. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
23. OL2:901; <http://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
24. OL2:918; File on the complaint’s journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
25. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
26. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
27. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
28. OL2:951; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
29. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
30. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
31. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf

32. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
33. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
34. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
35. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
36. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
37. OL2:1022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
38. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
39. OL2:1032; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
40. OL2:1037; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
41. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
42. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
43. *>OL2:1051; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
44. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
45. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf [sent to LexisNexis]
46. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
47. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf = <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
48. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
49. OL2:1090; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
50. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
51. OL2:1101; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
52. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
53. OL2:1108; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
54. OL2:1116; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
55. OL2:1119; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
56. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
57. *>OL2:1134; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
58. OL2:1144; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

59. OL2:1154; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
60. *>OL2:1159; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
61. *>OL2:1163; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-.pdf>
62. *>OL2:1175; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
63. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
64. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
65. *>OL2:1213; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
66. OL2:1219; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
67. OL3:1226; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
68. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
69. OL3:1237; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
70. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
71. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † * of judges and their judiciaries

72. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
73. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
74. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
75. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
76. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
77. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
78. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
79. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
80. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to

themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority([jur:21§a](#));

81. escaping the futility of suing judges([OL2:713, 609§1](#)): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation([OL2:581](#));
82. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation([jur:81§1](#)): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public([OL2:635, 593¶15](#));
83. turning insiders into Deep Throats([jur:106§C](#)); outsiders into informants([OL2:468](#)); and judges into criers of ‘*MeToo! Abusers*’([OL2:682¶¶7,8](#)) that issue an *I accuse!*([jur:98§2](#)) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically([OL2:635, 593¶15](#)) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice([OL2:687](#)), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
84. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab([OL2:614](#)), conceal([jur:65^{107a,c}](#)), and launder([105²¹³](#)) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications([OL2:582§C](#));
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting([OL2:612§b](#));
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata([OL2:583§3](#));
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million([OL2:528](#));
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges’ interception;
85. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like([jur:4¶¶10-14](#)) generalized media investigation into judges’ abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads([OL:194§E](#)) that I have gathered;
86. ***Black Robed Predators***([OL:85](#)) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges’ victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges’ abuse of power;
87. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges’ abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People*’s loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings([OL2:675§2, 580§2](#)) on judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges

intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);

- c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for ‘justice services’(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out “dumping forms”(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys’ fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for ‘justice services’; and
- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day’s work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);

88. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;

89. the development of my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of February 23, 2021, had 37,300 subscribers, into:

- a. a clearinghouse for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
- b. a research center for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
- c. the showroom and working platform of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture(jur:119§§1-4) intended to develop into the institute of judicial accountability reporting and reform advocacy(jur:130§5);

90. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges’ abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154 ¶ 3);
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges’ decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges’ abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People’s Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

91. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
92. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful external links

93. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
94. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
95. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
96. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
97. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
98. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
99. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
100. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
101. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
102. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
103. **Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(AO)**; <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
104. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
105. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
106. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
107. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
108. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
109. AO's 2019-1997 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
110. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>
111. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
112. the **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
113. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
114. Number of cases filed in state courts annually: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf
115. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

116. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>
117. **Federal Judicial Center on impeachments**; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>
118. See(jur:159²⁸⁰):
- a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf
 - b The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf(jur:75¹⁴⁸).
 - c The Conduct and Disability part of the bill as adopted is at ¶111 supra(jur:24^{18a})
119. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
- 120.

Blank