

January 7, 2020

**How Sen. Elizabeth Warren can benefit from
attracting the huge leaderless untapped voting bloc of
The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System
rather than ceding it to the other presidential candidates**

Dear Sen. Warren[†],

1. I read with interest your denunciation([†]>OL2:998) of judges' self-enrichment through their abuse of power and your "plan for that too": if elected, to adopt legislation to hold judges accountable.
2. This is a proposal for you to benefit electorally from my two-volume professional study of judges and their judiciaries that describes the harm inflicted on parties to lawsuits together with their friends and family, workmates, customers, etc., by unaccountable judges who abuse their enormous power over all our property, liberty, and the rights and duties that frame our lives and shape our identities. Their abuse of power has given rise to the huge leaderless untapped voting bloc of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System. You can be the first politician daring enough to give them voice([†]>OL2:781) and obtain their support, which is reasonably expected to be of considerable importance to any presidential candidate, including you.
3. Indeed, The Dissatisfied emerge from the more than 50 million new lawsuits filed in the state and federal courts every year(^{*}>jur:8^{4,5}), to which must be added the scores of millions of suits that are pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. It is in the nature of suits that 50% of them end up with losing parties and the other 50% have parties that are not granted all the relief that they requested. Since the immense majority of parties sue or are sued individually, they are not aware that there are scores of millions of people that share their dissatisfaction.
4. You can be the presidential candidate that by describing judges' abuse and its harmful consequences informs each member of The Dissatisfied –and each prospective party- that he or she is not alone. Thereby you can validate his or her individual claim that the judge in his or her case was abusive. Those members and the class of The Dissatisfied will be grateful to you for your moral support and your "plan" to hold judges accountable. Even from the present time you can attract their attention and support by making a credible proposal for the unprecedented: the compensation of the victims of the abuse by judges and their judiciaries([†]>OL2:760).
5. There is no noisier dissatisfaction than that provoked by abuse; and nothing arouses more passionate action than the quest for justice. Compensation is an essential element of justice. Your proposal for it can be credibly based on my study, thus titled and downloadable:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]**

^{*} http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from OL2:394

6. I offer to present via video conference or in person to you and your aides this proposal for your tapping the voting bloc of The Dissatisfied as well as retaining my services as consultant and strategist. As their leader, you can empower *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, to hold their judicial servants accountable. You can thus become *the People's* Champion of Justice. To decide whether to accept the offer, watch my video at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4. So I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!([†]>OL2:1003)...and you may enter it. Sincerely, s/Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[#]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf

OL2:1027

October 31, 2019

Analysis of Sen. Elizabeth Warren’s “plan” to hold judges accountable; and proposal that can bring about transformative change in the judiciary[‡]

I would like to submit to your consideration and your colleagues’ this proposal for:

1. the publication of one([†]>[OL2:998](#)) or a series([OL2:719§C](#)) of my articles:
 - a. analyzing Sen. E. Warren’s “plan” to hold judges accountable for failing to recuse themselves when they have conflicts of interests due to their holding shares in one of the parties before them and instead resolving the conflicts in that party’s and their own favor; and
 - b. exposing unaccountable judges’ riskless abuse of power([OL2:971§A](#)) and holding them liable to compensate their victims, who are entitled to the equal protection of the law afforded victims of malpracticing doctors and lawyers, pedophilic priests, H. Weinstein-like abusers, etc.;
2. a joint investigation of timely stories given the presidential campaign:
 - a. judges’ self-interested interception of people’s emails and mail([OL2:995§B](#)) to detect and suppress those critical of their abuse([OL2:974§B](#), [930§C](#)); and
 - b. judges’ failure to read the vast majority of the briefs that they require of parties, each of whom must spend \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to produce its brief and would be outraged upon learning that without reading them the judges dump the corresponding cases and motions out of their case-load by having clerks apply categories([762¶¶14-15](#), [981¶18d](#)) to rubberstamp in the clerk of court’s name unresearched, unreasoned, arbitrary, fiat-like “affirmed/denied” orders contained in 5¢ dumping forms, whereas a tiny minority([OL2:457§D](#)) of briefs of interest to the judges benefit from their *unequal* protection by being read and discussed in opinions with precedential value issued in their names and published in the official books called law reporters([OL2:760](#));
3. investment, as set forth in the business plan([OL2:914](#)):
 - a. in <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, whose articles exert such intense public appeal as to attract so many webvisitors that 28,286 and counting([OL2:Appendix 3](#)) have become subscribers. This makes it a sound business proposition for this free informational site to be developed into a for-profit interactive one that sells ads, services, and goods; and
 - b. to finance the programmatic activities([916§C](#), [978§E](#)) to form a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.
4. The foundation of this proposal is found in my professional study of judges and their judiciaries:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * †
5. In the same vein, you may wish to review my presentation video and slides through these links:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
6. More than 50 million cases are filed in the state and federal courts annually(*>[jur:8^{4,5}](#)), to which must be added the scores of millions of cases pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. They have generated the huge untapped leaderless voting bloc of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System. To explain how this proposal can attract them to you, make you money, and turn you into one of *We the People*’s national Champions of Justice I offer to present it to you and your guests by video conference or in person. So I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!([†]>[OL2:953](#))...and you may enter it.

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from [OL2:394](#)

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf

October 22, 2019

**Sen. Elizabeth Warren’s “plan” to hold judges accountable,
her unrealistic expectation that Congress and judges will implement it, and
an informed and outraged public that can do so when its political power is strongest**

7. Senator Elizabeth Warren has dare criticize federal judges. She is the first presidential candidate to do so, denouncing how those judges resolve financial conflicts of interests in their favor, e.g., far from the judges recusing themselves from cases in one of the parties to which they hold shares, deciding them to that party’s and their own benefit. Sen. Warren’s “plan” would hold them to the duty to disclose those conflicts and be liable for mishandling them to investigation by the Judicial Conference of the U.S. and Congress, and removal from office by the latter. Yet, those two entities have known for years about judges’ abusive handling of such conflicts(*>[jur:146²⁷²](#)) and other forms of abuse(†>[OL2:971§A](#)), but have failed to take measures to expose, punish, and prevent them, as shown in the study of judges and their judiciaries that constitutes the basis of this article:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * †

A. Judges will not incriminate themselves and Congress will not antagonize them

8. The Conference was set up under Title 28 of the Code of federal laws, section 331 (28 U.S.C. §331). It is composed of the chief judges of the 13 federal circuits and the U.S. Court of International Trade, and an elected district judge from each of the 12 circuits with such judges. It is presided over by the Supreme Court chief justice, who convenes it behind closed doors twice a year.

The Conference shall make a comprehensive survey of the condition of business in the courts of the United States [and] is authorized to exercise the authority provided [in the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, (§351-364; the Act), which requires all complaints against federal judges to be submitted to, and processed by, federal judges]. The Chief Justice shall submit to Congress an annual report of the proceedings of the Judicial Conference and its recommendations for legislation. (§331)

9. Congress has also learned about the condition of the federal courts through the Annual Report (§604(a)(4)), filed as a public document, of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, who is appointed and removable by the Supreme Court chief justice (§601). What is more:

The Director...shall include in his annual report filed with the Congress...a summary of the number of complaints filed with each judicial council under [the Act], indicating the general nature of such complaints and the disposition of those complaints in which action has been taken. (§604(h)(2))

10. The Annual Reports since 1996 are available on the Administrative Office’s website. Their official statistics(†>[OL2:795§C](#)) show that federal judges for decades have dismissed 100% of complaints against them and denied 100% of the petitions to review those dismissals(*>[jur:10-14](#)). In fact, the official statistics compiled by the U.S. District of Columbia Circuit show that Then-Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland –nominated by Presidents Trump and Obama to the Supreme Court, respectively–, and their peers received 478 complaints against judges in their Circuit during the 1oct06/30sep17 11-year period, but abused their power to dismiss 100% of them([OL2:748](#)) and deny all review petitions. A complaint about that abuse([OL2:792](#)) was filed with the DC Circuit Court of Appeals, which referred it to Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr., who in turn assigned

it for disposition to the 11th Circuit. Predictably, the latter dismissed it and denied the petition for review of such dismissal(918; see also the statistics on Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch and the 10th Circuit(548) and J. Sonia Sotomayor and the 2nd Circuit(jur:11)). It is a sham of a process.

11. Judges have known for decades of each other's abuse of power(†>OL2:976§A), e.g., trading for their own account even if based on information in documents filed under seal or discussed in chambers confidentially. But they have failed their duty to report any abuse. Had they reported it, they would have been treated as treasonous pariahs by the other judges. So they looked the other way or, worse yet, engaged in the same inside trading and all other forms of abuse. They did and do it for their own personal and class survival, for all justices and judges have written on their forehead this stern warning to each other: "I know about all the abuse that you have committed or covered up. If you bring me down now, *I'll take you with me!*" So is complicit exoneration extorted.
12. While on 30sep18, the number of federal judicial officers was 2,255, in the last 230 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of federal judges impeached and removed from office is 8!(jur:21§1) '*All their peer men and women*' end up exonerated and unaccountable. (cf. *Washington Post* Reporter Carl Bernstein referred to President Nixon's White House during the Watergate scandal as "a criminal enterprise"; "*All the President's men*"(jur:4³) went to prison).
13. Congress granted federal judges self-disciplining authority through its Act(supra ¶2). But it is not in its interest to supervise their exercise of it, never mind their abrogation of it in effect by dismissing and denying 100% of complaints and review petitions: The senators confirmed those justices and judges. They will not indict their own capacity to evaluate character and conduct a competent vetting procedure by turning around and admitting that '*all our men and women*' on the bench are individually dishonest and collectively members of 'a racketeering branch'(18 U.S.C. §1961).
14. The Senate and the House have practiced willful ignorance and blindness(jur:88§§a-c) to avoid judges' devastating power of retaliation: Judges can declare laws and even political agendas unconstitutional(jur:23¹⁷) or decide against either chamber every suit that it filed against the other or the Executive Branch or filed by political rivals during electoral campaigns. Judges bear on their forehead a condonation-exacting warning for the politicians that empowered them and enabled them to develop a very long memory by giving them a life-appointment: '*Don't you ever mess with us!*'
15. Judges' power is devastating: a single federal one suspended *nationwide* the President's ban on Muslim travel(OL2:993¶8e). Judges exert their power as an expression of their gang mentality (OL2:569¶¶13-14): Then-Judge Gorsuch said during his confirmation process, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us"(546). Thereby he revealed that judges deem the rule of law and ethical considerations meaningless when it comes to defending their gang interests. They think in terms of 'us against the rest of the world'. The gang must not lose face. So they resort to fear, retaliation, and lawlessness. Congress cowers and covers for 'its' judges no matter the nature, frequency, and gravity of the complaints against them. It leaves complainants and everybody else, including you, at the mercy of a racketeering gang of abusive judges.

B. Sen. Warren can denounce abuse that outrages the public, launches a generalized journalistic investigation, and leads to transformative change

16. Sen. Warren has courageously denounced judges' abusive resolution in their favor of their conflicts of interests. That constitutes only one type of abuse out of all forms of abuse that unaccountable judges have turned into their coordinated and their Judiciary's institutionalized modus operandi. However, her "plan" to have their abuse eliminated by the very Congress and judges who condone it and have the greatest interest in maintaining it is doomed as objectively unrealistic.

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from OL2:394

17. The national media and public must be informed of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power (OL2:971§A) and Sen. Warren's unrealistic "plan" to curb it. They will be outraged. Through a reciprocally reinforcing dynamic, an outraged public can give the media a competitive and commercial incentive to launch a Ukrainian scandal-like generalized investigation. It must aim at a full exposure of the nature, frequency, and gravity of the abuse; it can pursue concrete leads(*>OL:194 §E). Its findings can outrage so intensely that abuse-curbing measures that today appear inconceivable will become inevitable; and drive Sen. Warren to propose a fact-consistent realistic "plan".
18. There must be held nationally televised congressional hearings and unprecedented citizens hearings conducted in the public interest by the media and universities(OL2:916¶13.i), not interest-conflicted politicians, and leading to accountability legislation proposals. Outrage can motivate judges and their clerks to become Deep Throat(*>jur:106§c) confidential informants(*>OL:180; †>OL2:468) and the new kinds of transformative agents of our public life: clearly present and more 'dangerous' *Whistleblowers* and officers that defy their superiors' gag orders and testify under subpoena before a fact-finding Congress. These agents are candidates for *Time's* Persons of the Year and champions in the documentary ***Black Robed Predators! when the judges are the abusers***(OL2:879).
19. This out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for exposing judges' abuse can be most effective during a presidential campaign: The public's power to volunteer for campaign work, donate, spread the word, and talk to pollsters is decisive. An outraged public can force each presidential candidate to take a stand on such abuse at his or her rallies and townhall meetings, and at press conferences, op-eds, and the presidential debates. In addition, because an overcrowded field of 20+ candidates splits media and public attention, and the impeachment inquiry sucks it in, each candidate is desperate to break an issue that captures that attention and saves her or him from dropping out of the race.
20. That issue is abuse by judges and their judiciaries as their way of doing business, and holding them **accountable AND liable** to compensate their victims, as all other abusers and their victims are, because in 'government, not of men and women, but by the rule of law' *The Law is Equal for All*. Two types of abuse will be intensely outrageous and draw victims together to demand compensation:
 - a. judges' suppressing-interception of people's emails and mail(supra ¶2a), which tramples on Americans' most cherished constitutional guarantees of freedom of speech, the press, and assembly, and compensation by the government; and will outrage more than the Snowden/NSA scandal, where the illegal mass surveillance of phone calls did not suppress any call(995§B);
 - b. judges' failure to read most briefs(supra ¶2b), which warrants a national movement for parties to join in demanding from the court where they had or have cases and its judges the refund of their filing fees and compensation for the funds and effort(OL2:729) wasted on unread briefs.
21. By Sen. Warren making these compensation demands, she will attract The Dissatisfied(supra ¶6) and force the other candidates to emulate her, lest they cede that huge voting bloc to her. She and they can thus insert the issue of judges' abuse in the campaign. Media and public outrage can be so intense as to force justices and judges to resign, as it did Justice Abe Fortas in 1969(*>jur:92§d). That can give the next president and Senate majority a historic opportunity: to nominate and confirm the majority or even the whole of the Supreme Court and lower courts. This will allow them to implement their agenda and even fashion a new form of government where *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, hold also our judicial public servants accountable and liable.
22. That would be transformative change(971§B). By sharing and posting this article as widely as possible, you will increase the chances that it will outrage the public, the media, Sen. Warren, and the other candidates. You can thus become recognized as one of *the People's* Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!(†>OL2:953)...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable:
We the People, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' **abuse** the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable: *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero


Users [Add New](#)

SSL activated!

Take the time to review these things

- > Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)
- > Improve your security [with security headers](#)

[Really Simple SSL Pro](#)



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate your questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (32,368) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (32,367)**

[Bulk Actions](#) [Apply](#) [Change role to...](#) [Change](#) 32,368 items

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruckshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber