

April 23, 2023

How pro ses harm themselves by thinking that they have a chance in court and how they can help themselves by turning the national public into their ally by informing it about, and outraging it at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power

Dear Ms. B, XYZ members, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. You wrote me an email but did not even include a salutation with my name, although that is expected common courtesy. What are the chances that you know how to write the caption of a paper, that is, the top of a complaint, brief, or motion that identifies the court, the plaintiff and the defendant, the nature of the paper, and the substance of the claim?
2. If you make a mistake in the caption, you open the door for the opposing party to file a motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction or to correct the paper within the amount of time allowed by the procedural rules, and refile. Thereby you are enmeshed in 'motion practice', which is expensive, time-consuming, and overwhelming.
3. An experienced party can burry you in motions and cause you an enormous amount of expenses needed to engage in legal research and writing to determine how to answer a motion, let alone to learn how to raise your own motions against the opposing party.
4. Substantive issues are more demanding to solve: You want to bring RICO case under the federal Racketeer Influence and Corrupt Organization Act([18 U.S.C. §1961](#)) or a state version of it(e.g., Enterprise Corruption Law, NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-[PEN §460](#)) That law is highly technical. You, as pro ses, do not stand a chance of overcoming the lack of legal expertise obstacle that you confront when bringing a RICO case.
5. Do you even know how to show that the defendants engaged in "racketeering"? To begin with, the federal RICO act does not define "racketeering". You need to read many RICO and even non-RICO cases to be able "to craft an argument" to show that whatever it is that the defendants did amounted to "racketeering" chargeable under RICO.
6. You, who hardly ever read my articles, even though they are written for your benefit, are most unlikely to read the staggering number of cases needed to be cited to support your contentions that RICO applies to your defendants and provides an adequate basis for your claims. You do not even show an awareness of jurisdictional considerations: I live in New York. I do not have a license to practice law everywhere in the U.S.
7. Then there is the lack of awareness of practical obstacles: Although the license obstacle can be overcome, who is going to pay for me to travel wherever it is that you want to bring your case, e.g., to depose witnesses and argue motions, never mind to try the case in the courtroom? Chances are that it is in any of the other 49 states or the District of Columbia. Once I have traveled there, you need to put me up in a hotel and pay for my meals.
8. There is also the obstacle of judges who are intent on advancing their own and their cronies' interest even by abusing their power over people like you, that is, pro ses. That obstacle becomes harder to overcome if a party's dealings with judges and the opposing parties have been rendered defective and liable to be used against them by the party's failure to meet the standard of conduct that the law, in general, and the law of torts, in particular, applies to every party, witness, lawyer, and juror, i.e., a man or a woman informed of the relevant circumstances and judging them reasonably by applying common sense and good judgment.

9. Parties contact me by email, mail, and phone expecting me to drop what I am doing and rush to work for them for free. To expect that I be available to spend my effort, time, and money informing myself of their circumstances and then represent them for free is not reasonable.
10. Hence the justification for my attorney's fee. It is \$350 per hour plus all necessary and incidental expenses, including, but not limited to, access to specialized databases, books, transportation, room and board, communication, etc. An initial retainer of \$7,500 –which may fluctuate depending on the work requested and agreed upon– is paid in advance, from which my fee and expenses are deducted. In the alternative, an agreement can be reached for a flat fee paid in advance to cover a specific piece of work, such as consulting.
11. Consulting involves reading the briefs supporting the judge's latest decision and that decision itself; researching appropriate law authorities; thinking strategically; and writing my analysis and the strategy that I recommend that the consulting party follow.
12. Your dealings with the judge and their cronies have informed you that they do whatever they want for the worst possible reason: because they can and can get away with it given that judges are unaccountable and hold their cronies unaccountable.
13. Their unaccountability and their consequent riskless abuse of power are the facts that you want the national public to be informed of. Only that public, informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse, is strong enough thanks to its voting power -such as that wielded in the primaries, abortion referenda, and midterm elections- to force politicians to investigate abusive judges.
14. Help yourself and your case by informing and outraging the national public. To that end, distribute the emails that you receive from me. That you can do easily, for all you have to do is open the emails that you receive from me and click "Reply All" and "Send". While the Subject: line of those emails may be the same, the set of email addresses in the To: box of each of them is different from that of the other emails. Such distribution takes less than 8 seconds per email from me.
15. You can participate consistently in that distribution even as you continue to pursue your own case after or without consultation with me. How does it feel now when it is you who are being asked to do something, however easy and in your own interest it is, without being compensated?
16. You can do something that will not cost you a cent, namely, learn how to write in only 500 words your story of the abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed. The [two-phase method](#) is intended to help you do so. By carefully reading and applying it, you can write a story that is significant, accurate, and verifiable. If you cannot grab the attention of your readers in 500 words, you lose them, who will, as it were, click another key on their remote control and be gone.
17. Retain your readers by giving them only the most significant information about your story: that which shows the outrageousness of judges' abuse. The more names of people, places, events, documents, dates, etc., you provide, the more your story will appear accurate. That will make it worth verifying by your target readers: journalists. They are interested in a scoop and have the means and know-how to verify your story. No responsible journalist will publish your story without first checking it out so as to avoid a lawsuit for defamation or the appearance of credulity and naivete. You need journalists if you want them to tell your story...or better yet, if you want to earn the opportunity to tell your story in five minutes to the national public at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#). Let the public hear your cry of outrage by applying the [two-phase method](#).
18. The more people write significant, accurate, and verifiable stories, the more journalists will want to organize the hearings. Hence, distribute this and my other articles as widely as possible.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 29, 2023

Empower yourselves by gaining knowledge & informing and outraging *We the People*

1. There is not "the Supreme Court of New York". In this state, a supreme court is merely a trial court of general jurisdiction for cases where the amount in controversy is above a certain minimum. A party may appeal its decision to the [appellate division court](#) of the appropriate judicial department, of which there are four. An appeal from there lies with the highest court of NY State, namely, the Court of Appeals, which only takes up a case for review if in its discretion it has wider implications for the administration of justice in the state. This is to say the long way ahead of you. There is no way I can drop what I am doing to help you pro bono. I too have bills to pay.
2. I have an agenda intended to help people across the state of New York and throughout our country. You may learn about it in the proposal hereunder. You can help your case by distributing it as widely as possible because there is strength in numbers and this is the most propitious moment for drawing attention to judges' unaccountability and their consequent riskless abuse of power. Your case, though the most important to you, is only one of millions of such cases. Resolving that case in your favor will do absolutely nothing for the other millions of victims of judges' abuse of power.
3. Hence the strategy of widely distributing the articles that I write, which aim to make that abuse a top issue of the 2024 primaries and general election. Only an outraged *We the People* can persuade journalists and the media to investigate the issue, not just one single case, and force Congress and the state legislatures to conduct nationally televised public hearings...and cause the holding of the proposed [citizens hearings](#). That is in essence the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for exposing judges' abuse, demanding [compensation](#), and forcing reform.
4. "KNOWLEDGE IS POWER" while in the weakness of ignorance abuse festers and is perpetuated. You will be empowered by the information found in my dealings with NYS judges and the [Commission on Judicial Conduct](#). You will be furthered strengthened by reading the articles accessible through the [blue links](#) herein. Indeed, the article below and many others have been posted to my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, which is titled thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

- a. The study collects and discusses abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability by systematically [dismissing 100% of complaints](#) against fellow judges and denying 100% of petitions to review dismissals.
 - b. Judges are connivingly held unaccountable by the [politicians](#) who put them in office, for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench'. As a result, judges engage in abuse of power risklessly for their gain and convenience individually and as a [coordinated class](#). Their pattern of conduct shows that they run their judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
 - d. Some of my articles have been posted to my website [Judicial Discipline Reform](#). That site has attracted countless webvisitors and as of 28 March 2023, had turned into subscribers 46,962 of them. They are potential class members and fellow victims of yours. Together we can outrage the national public and make opportunistic or principled politician insert the issue into their political platform so as to emerge as Champions of Justice.
5. So, it is in your interest to join the effort to distribute my articles widely: simply open each of the emails that you receive from me, click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**". While those emails may have the same subject in the Re: box, each has a different set of email addresses in the To: box.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

**A. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** and its [business plan](#) to:

6. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ‡**

7. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited such a positive reaction that as of 23 April 23, the number of those who had become subscribers was 47,396([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;
8. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
9. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
10. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
11. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
12. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & earns Pulitzers*;
13. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.(¶57).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through

either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

B. Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

14. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set its terms and scheduling use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 to date

September 5, 2021

**The folly of improvising yourself as a lawyer
to appear in court pro se
lets abusers take advantage of their power and superior knowledge to
*wipe you out!***

Your failure to read
lets your ignorance and laziness make you
a whiner of your own doing:
what you got...*you had it coming!*

**Securing legal assistance is not cheap,
but if the assistance is honest,
it includes tough love as a bonus of great value.**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your reply.

A. What work you already did as pro se; what work you are requesting from me

1. You wrote in your reply, "Can't wait to work together".
2. What kind of work are you requesting me to do for you?
3. Did you actually read my email to you and the accompanying article below all the way down where I stated the following?:

If you do not read the article though written for your benefit by a lawyer, how are you in a position to criticize judges for not reading your briefs and applications, though written by pro ses only to make things harder for the judges by requiring that they learn the facts of your cases and apply the law to them while respecting its due process and equal protection requirements?

4. Is it possible that you never read the papers submitted to you and the court, or the rulings and decisions of the judges so that you are not in a position to allege that they violated the law or any agreement with you?

**B. Researching the law to know your rights and duties and
determine whether you were abused**

5. As for the law, did you read the applicable law? To identify the applicable law and learn how it has been interpreted and applied by the courts, did you engage in law research in the following representative sources? (Cf. [Appendix 6§C](#), which is frequently updated; hence, numbers of headings and paragraphs are approximate.) (Cf. [Appendix 6§C](#))

1. Treatises

125. Start your law research here to gain an overview of the subject:
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:394-1143 OL3:1351
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf>from OL2:394-1143
† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_folly_of_pro_se.pdf

2. Law reviews and journals

130. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

131. [U.S. Constitution](#), Preamble: “*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
132. [U.S. Constitution](#), Article III, The Judicial Power. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

133. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
134. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), **Bankruptcy** Code; [id.](#)
135. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), **Criminal** Code, containing all federal criminal laws; [id.](#)

...

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

139. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The **Judicial** Code;
<https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

...

6. Federal rules of procedure applicable in all federal courts

141. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (USC 11a) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
142. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (USC 18a) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; [id.](#)
143. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (USC 28a) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence**; [id.](#)
144. Cf., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2021-revised-ed/p/106721176?trkcode=recsrpl&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

145. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit; https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

153. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law; <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

...

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

...

12. Cases in the lower federal courts

...

13. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (provides only administrative services to the federal courts. e.g., the collection of federal courts statistics)

173. **Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO)**; <https://www.uscourts.gov/>

174. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document; the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>

...

17. Sources of state legal authority

...

a. State constitution and laws

...

b. Uniform laws (among the states)

...

c. Restatement of laws

...

d. Treatises and law reviews and journals

...

e. Rules of procedure applicable in all the courts of the state

...

f. Rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed

...

g. Rules of the state chief administrative judge

...

h. Regulations of the state administrative departments and agencies

...

i. State cases

j. Cases from the Federal Judiciary and from other states

...

6. It should be apparent that if you have proceeded pro se and have not read the law, opposing counsel briefs, and court writings, your case may not only need intensive legal care to repair the damage caused by gross mistakes of ignorance...it may already need an autopsy and a resurrection.

C. The standard of reasonableness and what I request from you

7. Researching the appropriate legal authorities is the kind of professional, demanding, and time-

consuming work that justifies my fees.

8. To expect that I be available for free consultation –never mind writing briefs– on your behalf is not reasonable.
9. People stand in court in a weak position if their dealings with the opposing parties and the judges have been rendered defective and liable to be used against them by their failure to meet the standard that the law, in general, and the law of torts, in particular, expect of every party, juror, and witness, namely, ‘a reasonable man and woman applying common sense’.
10. Often, pro se’s realize only too late that it was a folly to pretend that they could improvise themselves as lawyers...without even researching the law!, let alone applying it to their dealings and their written and oral presentations of their case.
11. Hence the justification for my attorney’s fee. It is \$350 per hour plus all necessary and incidental expenses, including, but not limited to, access to specialized databases, books, transportation, room and board, communication, etc. A minimum retainer of \$7,500 –which may fluctuate upwards depending on the work requested- is paid in advance, from which my attorney’s fee and expenses are deducted.
12. A flat fee arrangement is available upon agreeing on a specific piece of work.

D. Facts and evidence do not win cases alone: you need to apply the law to them

13. As you do your legal research, you have to “think like a lawyer”. So thinking is not acquired by merely reading an article. It is borne through training and practice during years in law school. It allows you to analyze your case in legal terms and “craft legal arguments”. A model for doing so is expressed thus: Facts, Issues, Law, Application, Rationale, Decision. To reach a decision, you must ‘show the math’, i.e., the operations to get the result. It is intellectually demanding, methodical, and time-consuming work. It makes the difference between a lawyer and a pro se.

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

DONATE

to support the professional law research and writing,
and strategic thinking of

Judicial Discipline Reform

You may make a deposit or an online transfer to

Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

TD Bank routing number 260 13 673; account 43 92 62 52 45

through Zelle

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Blank

APPENDIXES

- [App.1.](#) Volumes of the study of judges and their judiciaries
- [App.2.](#) Offer of a presentation; and
Activities to support with donations and investment
- [App.3.](#) Number of subscribers to Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
- [App.4.](#) Statement by LinkedIn that Dr. Cordero has “one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012”
- [App.5.](#) Resume of Dr. Cordero
- [App.6.](#) Links to articles ready for review and publication; subjects for commissioned articles; and links to external sources of information
- [App.7.](#) Blocs of email addresses of the people to whom to send one’s story of judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

December 23, 2022

Table of Contents

of the sections laying out the main concepts in Volume I,
with references to articles in Volumes II and III, of the study:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

This file contains only pages ggl:1-38. For a comprehensive list of articles, see Appendix 6. i.

Introduction: The goal is not only to expose judges' abuse of power, but also to enable *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and [liable to compensation](#), and thereby *trigger history!* [jur:1](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
Intro_trigger_history.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf)

- ii. Tables compiling judicial statistics, and graphs, all supporting probable cause to believe that judges have complicitly coordinated an agreement for their 100% dismissal of complaints against any of them and 100% denial of petitions to review those dismissals, thus mutually ensuring their survival and continued abuse of power to [grab](#) illegal, unethical, and rules-disregarding gains and convenience [jur:9](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf)

- A. Means, motive, and opportunity of federal judges to engage in, and so to coordinate their, abuse of power as to make it their institutionalized modus operandi, thereby ensuring that the Federal Judiciary is a safe haven that they run as a racketeering enterprise..... [jur:21](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf)

- B. *In re DeLano*, Then-Circuit Judge Sonia Sotomayor presiding, and her nomination to the Supreme Court by President Barak Obama: evidence of a [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) and her concealment of assets dismissed with knowing indifference and willful blindness to a bankruptcy mill operated by the bankruptcy judges appointed under 28 U.S.C. §152 by the circuit judges: the appointers cover for their appointees [jur:65](#)

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_
bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf)

- C. Nature and [forms of judges' abuse](#) of power and strategy to expose their unaccountability and riskless abuse, e.g., [auditing](#) their decisions and other people's writings to detect their patterns, trends, and schemes of individual and coordinated abuse..... [jur:81](#)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf

- D. Multimedia public presentation made by judicial unaccountability reporters on:
i) the [available evidence](#) of judges' abuse of power and the *In re DeLano*-J. Sotomayor story;

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >OL3:394-1143

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1555+

ii) their own findings through their <i>Follow the money!</i> and <i>Follow the wire!</i> investigations; and	
iii) the <i>We accuse!</i> denunciation at a press conference, in articles, and through broadcast reportage.....	jur:97
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_investigation_&_presentation.pdf	
E. Multidisciplinary academic and business venture leading up to the creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy.....	jur:119-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_academic_biz_venture&Institute.pdf	
§§1-4. The academic and business venture that implements the business plan in activities that include the holding of unprecedented citizens hearings, and publications to inform the national public of, and outrage it at, judges' abuse of power	jur:119
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf	
§5. Creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy	jur:130
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf	
§§5-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:130-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
§§6-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:158-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
F. Offer to present <i>The Business of Justice</i> to expose judges' abuse of power, implement the business plan, and engage in actions to trigger history.....	jur:171
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf	
G. Evidence of interference with Dr. Cordero's email accounts	ggl:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf	
H. Presentation at schools on judges' abuse of power and a plan for judicial reform	Lsch:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf	
I. The <i>DeLano</i> case course: syllabus of classwork on investigating a case on judges' abuse of power and the organization of a conference to present its findings	DCC:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	
J. Creative Writings: using storytelling to persuade and inspire readers;	CW:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	
K. OL:1-393; first part of the OL series of articles; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf	OL:1

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** and its [business plan](#) to:

1. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣**

2. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively that as of 20 Dec. 22, the number of those who had become subscribers was 45,874([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a [research center](#) for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the plan's business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy at a university or news network;
3. organize and embark on a tour of [presentations](#) at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or in person to form [local chapters](#) of a national movement to investigate and hold judges accountable and liable under [Strickland v. U.S.](#);
4. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
5. [organize](#) the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
6. publish an academics/journalists multidisciplinary [Annual](#) Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
7. launch an abuse [investigation](#) that attracts the media, for *Scandal sells & wins Pulitzer Prizes*;
8. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.([¶57](#)).

Put your money where your [outrage at abuse](#) and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through
either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

or TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

9. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set the terms and schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Users [Add New](#)

Thanks for updating to Really Simple SSL 6.0! Check out our new features on the settings page.

[Dismiss](#)[More info](#)

Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on [WordPress.org](#) to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#)[Maybe later](#)[Don't show again](#)

Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?

You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?

[Leave a Review](#)[No thanks / I already have](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | [Administrator](#) | [Editor](#) | [Author](#) | [Contributor](#) | [Subscriber](#) | [Customer](#) | [Shop manager](#)

 [Search Users](#)

Bulk actions

[Apply](#)

Change role to...

[Change](#)

47,396 items



1

of 2,370



Username

Name

Email

Role

Posts

Active
subscriber? 1970md
egcf

—

einarcruickshan
k1989@e.bengi
ra.com

Subscriber

0

-

 1Barret1
cuple

—

tornado102034
@hotmail.com

Subscriber

0

-

My Site [Reader](#)[Dashboard](#)[Jetpack](#)[Posts](#)[Media](#)[Pages](#)[Portfolio](#)[Comments](#) 97[Feedback](#)[WooCommerce](#)[Products](#)[Payments](#)[Analytics](#)[Marketing](#)[Appearance](#)[Plugins](#) 2[Users](#)[All Users](#)[Add New](#)[Profile](#)[Tools](#)[Settings](#) 2[Collapse menu](#)



Richard Cordero <dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com>

Congratulations! You have one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012.

1 message

LinkedIn <linkedin@e.linkedin.com>
Reply-To: LinkedIn <donotreply@e.linkedin.com>
To: dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com

Thu, Feb 7, 2013 at 4:02 PM

LinkedIn now has 200 million members.



This is an occasional email to help you get the most of LinkedIn. [Unsubscribe](#)
This email was intended for Richard Cordero (Lawyer, researcher-writer, and advocate of judicial accountability and discipline reform). [Learn why we include this.](#)
If you need assistance or have questions, please contact [LinkedIn Customer Service](#) .
© 2013, LinkedIn Corporation. 2029 Stierlin Ct., Mountain View, CA 94043, USA.



Hi Richard,

Recently, LinkedIn reached a new milestone: 200 million members. But this isn't just our achievement to celebrate — it's also yours.

I want to personally thank you for being part of our community. Your journey is part of our journey, and we're delighted and humbled when we hear stories of how our members are using LinkedIn to connect, learn, and find opportunity.

All of us come to work each day focused on our shared mission of connecting the world's professionals to make them more productive and successful. We're excited to show you what's next.

With sincere thanks,



Deep Nishar
Senior Vice President, Products & User Experience

P.S. What does 200 million look like? [See the infographic▶](#)

A stat this delightful
deserves to be shared

Share   

BAR MEMBERSHIP AND SPECIAL SKILLS: • U.S. citizen; member of the NYS Bar; specialized in field and library research and writing of legal briefs and business and IT studies

- I would like to work for you as a lawyer and researcher-writer strategist in a position where I can contribute to your business or legal problem solution a talent that gives me a competitive advantage: I can gather seemingly unconnected pieces of information, select those relevant to the prioritized objectives to be pursued, and imaginatively integrate them into a coherent new structure -expressed clearly and concisely both orally and in writing- that renders those pieces meaningful and useful, like a mosaic that depicts a realistic and decorative scene of the ancient Romans, yet originates in insignificant stone fragments expertly sifted from dirt and artfully set together to appeal to the spirit and the mind while serving the practical purpose of making money.

ADVANCED KNOWLEDGE OF: • computers and their use for word processing, graphics composition, presentations, and research; and for developing IT products to audit cases through statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of opinions to give lawyers an informational advantage

LANGUAGES: • I speak English, Spanish, and French; and converse in German and Italian.

RELEVANT EXPERIENCE

FOUNDER OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM, 2008-to date New York City

- A non-partisan and non-denominational organization that advocates the study of the judiciary and the adoption of legislation to replace the inherently biased and ineffective judges-judging-judges system of judicial self-discipline with a system based on independent boards of citizens unrelated to the judges and empowered to publicly receive, investigate, and resolve complaints

RESEARCHER AND WRITER ATTORNEY, 1995-to date New York City

- Prosecution of cases from bankruptcy, district, and circuit courts to the SCt; practice in NY courts
- Developed the Euro Project, a 3-prong business package consisting of the Euro Conference, the Euro Consulting Services, and the Euro Newsletter; aimed at enabling firms to capitalize on their expertise in the euro by providing services for the adaptation of business practices and IT systems to the European Union's new common currency that replaced its national currencies

WAYNE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE, 1994 Detroit, MI

- Developed economic and marketing features of the master plan for the intermodal transportation and industrial complex of Willow Run Tradeport in Detroit
- Drafted and implemented proposals for increasing office productivity using IT and equipment

LAWYERS COOPERATIVE PUBLISHING, 1991-1993 Rochester, NY

- Member of the editorial staff of LCP, the foremost publisher of analytical legal commentaries.
- Researched and wrote articles on securities regulations, antitrust, and banking under U.S. law

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES, 1984-1985 Brussels, Belgium

- Devised proposals for harmonizing supervisory regulations on mortgage credit and on reporting large loan exposures by one and all members of a banking system to one and related borrowers
- My proposals were adopted by the EEC Banking Division and negotiated with the national experts in the supervision of financial institutions of the Member States
- Drafted replies to financial questions put by the European Parliament to the Commission

EDUCATION

THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, Faculty of Law, Ph.D., 1988 Cambridge, England

- Doctoral dissertation analyzed the existing European legal and political environment and proposed a new system for harmonizing the regulation and supervision of financial institutions

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, Business School, MBA, 1995 Ann Arbor, Michigan

- Emphasis on corporate strategies to maximize profitability and competitiveness through the optimal use of IT expert systems using artificial intelligence, and telecommunications networks

LA SORBONNE, Faculty of Law and Economics, French law degree, 1982 Paris, France

- Was awarded a French Government scholarship
- Concentrated on the operation of a currency basket to achieve monetary stability and on the application of harmonized regulations & antitrust rules on companies with dominant positions

RESEARCH WORKS

1. Study of judges and their judiciaries, based on an original and innovative analysis of the Federal Judiciary' statistics submitted to Congress annually, reports, judges' statements and websites, etc

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

2. List of articles on judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power offered for publication individually or as a series; †>[OL2:719§C](#);
3. Complaint against Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues of the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC), submitted to the DCC Court of Appeals and ““Because of the exceptional circumstances related to this complaint”, referred by it to Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., who assigned it to the 11th Circuit for disposition; includes the official letters of referral and the decision of the 11th Circuit chief judge; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
4. The official statistics of the U.S. District of Columbia Circuit show that P. Trump SCt nominee Judge Brett Kavanaugh, P. Obama SCt nominee Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers received during the 1oct06/30sep17 11-year period, 478 complaints against judges in their Circuit and dismissed 100% of them and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals, thus covering as a matter of policy for abusive judges regardless of the gravity of their abuse; 1jun18; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/1DrRCordero_Judges_Unaccountability_Riskless_Abuse.pdf
5. Availability of an Implied Right of Action under the Tender Offer Provisions of §14d-f of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 USCS §78n(d)-(f)), added to the Exchange Act by the Williams Act of 1968, and Rules Promulgated thereunder by the SEC, **120 ALR Federal 145**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/2DrRCordero_120ALRFed145.pdf
6. Venue Provisions of the National Bank Act (12 USCS §94) As Affected By Other Federal Venue Provisions and Doctrines, **111 ALR Federal 235**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/3DrRCordero_111ALRFed235.pdf
7. Construction and Application of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (12 USCS §§ 3401-3422), **112 ALR Federal 295**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/4DrRCordero_112ALRFederal295.pdf

8. Exemption or Immunity From Federal Antitrust Liability Under the McCarran-Ferguson Act (15 USCS §§1011-1013) and the State Action and Noerr-Pennington Doctrines for the Business of Insurance and Persons Engaged in It, **116 ALR Federal 163**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/5DrRCordero_116ALRFed163.pdf
9. Who May Maintain an Action Under §11(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 USCS §77k (a)), in Connection With False or Misleading Registration Statements, **111 ALR Fed. 83**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/6DrRCordero_111ALRFed83.pdf
10. Judicial Conference's Reforms Will Not Fix the Problem of Abusive Judges Who Go Undisciplined, Letter to the Editor, National Law Journal, March 3, 2008; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/7DrRCordero_Letters_To_Editor_NYLJ3mar8.pdf; <http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1204212424055>
11. The Creation of a European Banking System: A study of its legal and technical aspects, Peter Lang, Inc., NY, XXXVI, 390 pp., 1990; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/8DrRCordero_Creation_European_Banking_System.pdf; this book earned a grant from the Commission of the European Communities and was reviewed very favorably in 32 Harvard International Law Journal 603 (1991), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Harvard_Int_Law_J.pdf; and 24 New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 1019 (1992), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYU_JIntLaw&Pol.pdf
12. Competition Strategies Must Adapt to the Euro, 17 Amicus Curiae of the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, London, 27 (May 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/9DrRCordero_Competition_Strategies_&_euro.pdf
13. Why Business Executives in Third Countries and Non-participating Member States Should Pay Attention to the Euro, European Financial Services Law 140 (March 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/10DrRCordero_6European_Financial_Services_Law93.pdf
14. Some Practical Consequences for Financial Management Brought About by the Euro, 5 European Financial Services Law 187 (1998); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/11DrRCordero_5European_Financial_Services_Law_187.pdf
15. Impending Conversion to the Euro Prompts New Guidelines from the IRS, New York Law Journal, pg. 1, Friday, October 2, 1998; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/12DrRCordero_Conversion_to_the_Euro_&_IRS_NYLJ.pdf
16. The Development of Video Dialtone Networks by Large Phone and Cable Companies and its Impact on their Small Counterparts, 1 Personal Technologies no. 2, 60 (Springer-Verlag London Ltd., 1997); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/13DrRCordero_Dialtone_1Personal_Technologies2.pdf
17. Video Dialtone: Its Potential for Social Change, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (1996) http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/14DrRCordero_Dialtone_&_Social_Change_15JBF16.pdf
18. Video Dialtone Network Architectures, by Richard Cordero and Jeffery Joles, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (Summer 1996); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/15DrRCordero_Dialtone_networks_15JBF16.pdf
19. A Strict but Liberalizing Interpretation of EEC Treaty Articles 67(1) and 68(1) on Capital Movements, 2 Legal Issues of European Integration 39 (1989); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/16DrRCordero_Strict_but_liberalizing_interpretation_2LIEI39.pdf

April 23, 2023

APPENDIX 6

**A study and articles already written on
judicial abuse of power, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform;
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing***

Table of Contents

A. The study and articles available for review and publication	1
B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles	10
C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing	12

A. The study and articles available for review and publication

1. The study

1. The three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries that supports the articles, which are downloadable as individual files

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all
prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page
OL2:394-1143

* Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from
OL3:1144-1555+

- i. Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome.
- ii. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html), which is available for free at
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.
- iii. In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use
its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † ♣ >blue footnote-like
references) very easy.

2. Many of the articles have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
3. Visit the website and join its 47,396 + subscribers to its articles thus: [homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or
+ New or Users >Add New.

2. The individual sections of Volume I of the study

1. jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1555+
*.../OL/....pdf >all prefixes:page# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf >OL2:394-1143
* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

2. jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf
3. jur:21§A; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf
4. jur:65§B; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf
5. jur:85§C; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf
6. jur:97§D; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_launch_investigation.pdf
7. jur:119§§E1-4; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf
8. jur:130§E5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf
9. jur:130§E5-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting&agenda.pdf
10. jur:158§§E6-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf
11. jur:171§F; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf
12. ggl:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf
13. jur:i-lix; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_jur_i-lix_summarizing_articles.pdf
14. Lsch:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Lsch/DrRCordero_presentation_at_schools.pdf; see also http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
15. DCC:1; The *DeLano Case Course*, with two 15-week syllabi for classwork of case investigation and organization of findings presentation conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_Case_Course.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_docs.pdf
16. CW:1; Creative writings: blurbs, synopses of novels and movie scripts, drama scenes, and a short story by Dr Cordero; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/CW/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
17. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/a&p/DrRCordero-Agent&Publisher.pdf>
18. OL:1-393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf

3. The articles written and available for review and publication

19. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf. Cf.:
 - a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf

[Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf)

- c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 10oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
- 20. jur:32§§2-3; Congress's finding of cronyism in the federal courts, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
 - 21. jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
 - 22. jur:72fn144d; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
 - 23. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
 - 24. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
 - 25. Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
 - 26. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
 - 27. OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
 - 28. OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
 - 29. OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
 - 30. OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
 - 31. OL:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CBS_Reporter_SAttkisson.pdf
 - 32. OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
 - 33. OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
 - 34. OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf

35. OL2:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
36. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
37. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
38. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
39. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
40. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
41. OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
42. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
43. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through ‘dumping forms’, which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
44. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
45. OL2:760; see OL2:608
46. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
47. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
48. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
49. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
50. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
51. †OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
52. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
53. >OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
54. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
55. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
56. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
57. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>

58. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
59. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
60. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
61. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
62. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
63. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
64. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
65. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
66. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
67. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
68. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
69. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
70. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
71. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
72. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
73. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
74. >OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
75. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
76. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf,
discussing a proposal to LexisNexis
77. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
78. >OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
79. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
80. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
81. >OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
82. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
83. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
84. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf

85. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
86. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
87. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
88. >OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
89. OL3:1144; analysis of Thomson Reuters's report "The Teflon Robe"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
90. OL3:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
91. OL3:1164 and 1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
92. OL3:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
93. OL3:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
94. OL3:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
95. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
96. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
97. OL3:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
98. OL3:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
99. OL3:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
100. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
101. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
102. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
103. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
104. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
105. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
106. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
107. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
108. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
109. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
110. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf

111. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_ScT_reform_Commission.pdf
112. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf
113. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_ScT_Commission.pdf
114. OL3:1329; the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
115. OL3:1338; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf
116. OL3:1342; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf
117. OL3:1348; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_politicians-judges_connivance.pdf
118. OL3:1351; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_folly_of_pro_se.pdf
119. OL3:1367; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf
120. OL3:1371; proposal to apply to judges expertise in financial criminality investigations; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
121. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
122. OL3:1378; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
123. OL3:1380; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_writing_reliable_stories&telling_national_public.pdf
124. OL3:1383; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf
125. OL3:1389; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar&story_workshop_slides.pdf
126. OL3:1393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_&_workshop_dates.pdf
127. OL3:1394; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_thinking_strategically_to_gain_result_allies.pdf
128. OL3:1399; analysis of *The Wall Street Journal* article exposing how "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf
129. OL3:1407; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading_strategizing_taking_action.pdf
130. OL3:1411; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf
131. OL3:1415; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_concrete_actions_by_advocates.pdf
132. OL3:1417; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf
133. OL3:1426; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_forming_local_chapters&appealing_to_schools.pdf
134. OL3:1430; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ABC_investigate_judges_abuse.pdf

135. OL3:1436; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLE_webinar_exposing_judges.pdf
136. OL3:1440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_workshop_for_preparing_your_story.pdf
137. OL3:1445; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf
138. OL3:1449; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_need_to_read&best_time_to_distribute.pdf
139. OL3:1451; to publishers to offer them my articles and describe a plan of action to enter jointly a multidisciplinary academic and business venture for judicial abuse exposure, compensation and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_articles_actions_to_expose_judges.pdf
140. OL3:1457; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading&telling_knowledgeable_judicial_abuse_stories.pdf
141. OL3:1460; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf
142. OL3:1470; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Offshoot_Oases_Project.pdf
143. OL3:1473; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_offering_law_services&articles.pdf
144. OL3:1476; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CIRS_&_trainees.pdf
145. OL3:1479; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf
146. OL3:1485; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Commission_Jurists.pdf
147. OL3:1487; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_distributing_articles_exposing_judges.pdf
148. OL3:1489; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf
149. OL3:1491; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf
150. OL3:1493; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_recusal_principles.pdf
151. OL3:1495; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_The_Business_of_Justice.pdf
152. OL3:1520; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf
153. OL3:1522; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Legal_Aid_Society.pdf
154. OL3:1525; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
155. OL3:1527; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_proposal_for_class_actions.pdf
156. OL3:1530; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_assets_for_negotiating_table.pdf
157. OL3:1532; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_ReplyAll_read_write_your_story.pdf
158. OL3:1533; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_think_proceed_strategically_to_expose_abuse.pdf
159. OL3:1538; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf

160. OL3:1542; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Medicare&HMO_class_action.pdf
161. OL3:1544; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Cybersecurity_experts.pdf
162. OL3:1550; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_IT_experts.pdf
163. OL3:1555; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Presentation_to_Honest_Judiciaries_Advocates.pdf
164. OL3:1558; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommissioner.pdf>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
165. OL3:1578; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/23-3-30DrRCordero-Settle_or_Expose_Team.pdf
166. OL3:1579; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_holding_justice_system_accountable.pdf
167. OL3:1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ProfLTRibe_AttRKaplan.pdf
168. OL3:1587; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-LegalAidSociety_DebevoisePlimpton.pdf
169. OL3:1588; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf
170. OL3:1589; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ExecDirGRoth_CoanchorGBennett.pdf
171. OL3:1593; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_class_actions-Duane_Morris_LL.Pdf
172. OL3:1593; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-pro_ses.pdf

↓↓↓↓↓

B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles

173. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
174. statistical analysis for the public(† >OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);

174. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
175. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
176. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
177. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
178. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
179. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation (OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
180. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
181. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
182. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1; Lsch:17§C): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
183. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘MeToo! Abusers’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
184. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics(OL2:582§C;OL3:1228);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharyl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) by using Information Technology examination and statistical analysis, such interception and contents-based suppression can be exposed, which will provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bank-rolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);

- 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
185. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
186. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
187. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL3:1228; OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608¶5);
 - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
188. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
189. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of 23 April 2023, had 47,396+ subscribers, into:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture (jur:119§§1-4). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and

reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));

190. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

191. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;

the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the [Constitution](#), Article V.

C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing

1. Treatises

192. **Start your research here to gain an overview of the subject and proceed to the ever more specific:**

<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law

193. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction

194. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea

2. Law reviews and journals

195. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics;

<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

196. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union,

establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

197. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

198. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; cf. Legal Information Institute (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
199. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), Bankruptcy Code; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Code.pdf
200. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), Criminal Code, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Code.pdf
201. E.g., US Code, Title 28 (28 USC), Judicial Code; *id.*; with bookmarks at <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

202. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

6. Federal rules of procedure applicable in all federal courts

203. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (11 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Rules.pdf
204. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (18 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Rules.pdf
205. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (28 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence**; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf
206. *Federal Civil Judicial Procedure and Rules*, 2022 ed.; 1,248 pages; Thomson Reuters; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2022-ed/p/106767284>
207. *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules and Commentary*, 2021 ed.; Steven S. Gensler and Lumen N. Mulligan; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Rules-of-Civil-Procedure-Rules-and-Commentary-2021-ed/p/106676872?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
208. *Federal Civil Rules Handbook*, 2022 ed.; Steven Baicker-McKee and William M. Janssen; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Civil-Rules-Handbook-2022-ed/p/106744908>
209. For the rules of the Supreme Court, see subsection 15 infra.

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

210. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

211. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law; <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

212. https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b_three_sections_with_teasers/active_leg_page.htm
213. <https://www.house.gov/legislative-activity>

10. Federal laws of particular interest

214. The **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_in_Government.pdf
215. **Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO)**; 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; <http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title18-section1961&num=0&edition=prelim>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc1961_RICO.pdf; cf. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf at NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460
216. Duty to report abuse, **18 USC §3057**; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
217. Supreme Court justices assigned to federal circuits and known as circuit justices, **28 USC §42**
218. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, titled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
219. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the meetings of the judicial councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
220. **Judicial Conduct** and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#)), setting forth a procedure for anybody to file a complaint about a federal judge with the chief circuit judge where the complained-about judge sits
221. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
222. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of lawyers and pro ses who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
223. **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978; 5 U.S.C. Appendix; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
224. Rule 23 on class actions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Rule_23_Class_Actions_FRCp.pdf

225. **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act**; 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc_FISA.pdf
226. Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the **Social Security Act**, https://www.ssa.gov/OP_Home/ssact/ssact-toc.htm, found in **Title 42** of the U.S. Code of federal laws, <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>, as modified by Section 4714 of the **Balanced Budget Act of 1997**, <https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>, prohibits Medicare providers from balance billing Medicaid QMBs [Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries] for Medicare cost-sharing. The provider must submit its bill to Medicaid and accept as full payment what Medicaid pays. See also **Overview of Medicaid Provisions** in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, P.L. 105-33; <https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>.

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

227. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
228. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
229. The annual report of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who discusses the key issues of the Federal Judiciary and statistics on the cases filed with it and those handled by its judges during the reported year:
- <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2021year-endreport.pdf>
 - <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
230. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice; <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
231. Table 1

Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020		
Supreme Court		69
Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	
Federal circuit	1,568	
94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

12. Cases in the lower federal courts

259. *Strickland v. U.S.*, No. 21-1346, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/opinions/211346.p.pdf>, a federal civil case decided on April 26, 2022, by the **U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit**, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/>, held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers, including judges in their official and individual capacities, can be held accountable for their performance and liable to compensation.

13. Forms

260. E.g., District Courts—Civil (Vols. 2-4A, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/District-CourtsmdashCivil-Vols-2-4A-Westsreg-Federal-Forms/p/100001667>
261. Bankruptcy Courts (Vols. 6-6C, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Bankruptcy-Courts-Vols-6-6C-Wests174-Federal-Forms/p/100001669>

14. Judicial Conference of the U.S. (the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary)

262. 28 USC §331. Judicial Conference; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
263. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference>, which contains a list of its 20 committees
264. The Chief Justice appoints the members of the Judicial Conference committees; <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference/about-judicial-conference>
265. Reports of the Judicial Conference's biannual meetings, <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us>
266. Regulations on judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, <https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/judiciary-policies/ethics-policies/financial-disclosure-report-regulations>

15. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal, as opposed to state, courts)

267. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
268. <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>
269. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (28 USC §§601-613); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
270. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
271. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document(28 USC §604(a)(3-4)); the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court(§601); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
272. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2021>
273. https://www.uscourts.gov/news/2022/03/15/judiciary-releases-annual-report-and-judicial-business-2021?utm_campaign=usc-news&utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery
274. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>
275. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>
276. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
277. Table 2

Number of federal judicial officers

<https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>

Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9
circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges id.	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

319. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and
320. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
321. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
322. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints about federal judges in Table S-22(28 USC §604(h)(2)); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
323. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>
324. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>
325. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

16. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

326. <https://www.fjc.gov>
327. List of the 8 impeached federal judges since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

17. PACER and other and other case and court finders

328. Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER); <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>
329. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF); <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf>
330. Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>
331. To find the website of each federal court, where its cases are posted go to <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

18. Other federal entities and people

332. White House press release of April 9, 2021, "President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the

Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States”;

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>

333. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>
334. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>
335. Judges’ annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
336. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

19. United States Postal Service

337. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

20. Sources of state legal authority

a. Treatises

338. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231>

b. State constitutions and laws

339. https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS_PP_Law-Books_Main_Search_Brand-Phrase_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&ef_id=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE:G:s&s_kwid=AL!7944!3!440994957489!p!lg!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books
340. Search for a compilation of all state codes, laws, rules, and regulations; e.g., McKinney's Consolidated **Laws of New York Annotated®** (Annotated Statute & Code Series); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york>

c. Uniform laws (the product of agreements among the states)

341. Uniform Laws Annotated; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/p/100028543>
342. Uniform Commercial Code; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Commercial-Code-2020-2021-ed/p/106675446?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

d. Restatement of laws

343. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=restatement+of+laws>

e. Rules of procedure applicable in all the courts of a state

344. E.g., [McKinney's New York Civil Practice Law and Rules](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075), 2020 ed.;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075>

1) Rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed; e.g., in New York; <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/index.shtml>

345. Rules of the Chief Judge, <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefjudge/index.shtml>, of the Court of Appeals, <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/courtofAppeals.shtml>, the highest NY State court (#1- to 81)
346. Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge (#100 to 154), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>
347. Uniform Rules of the New York State trial courts (#200 to 221), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/index.shtml>; e.g., the supreme and the county courts; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.shtml>.
- a. Rules of the First Department Supreme Court [of four departments], which in NY is a trial court; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/Commencement-of-Cases-2.shtml>
 - b. There are uniform rules (#205 to 221) for specialized courts, e.g., family and surrogate, capital cases, and particular activities, e.g., jury selection, depositions
348. Joint Rules of the Departments of the Appellate Division (partial: 22 NYCRR Parts 1200-1400); <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml>
- a. Rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>
349. Each court may have supplementary rules of its own as well as rules of specific judges...so much for a New York State Unified Court System.

f. Regulations of the state administrative agencies

350. Go to the state's department of state; Google the state administrative agency; or search for a compilation of the state codes, laws, rules, and regulations
351. E.g., <https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Index?bhcp=1&transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>
352. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/New-York-Codes-Rules-and-Regulations-NYCRR/p/100019553>

g. Bills pending in the state legislature

353. E.g. <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation>

h. State laws

354. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf

i. Sources of state cases

355. For information on state cases Google the highest court in the state, which may have a state court locator or a "Links of interest"; otherwise, Google the lower state court in question, which may have a website and post its cases to it; e.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/>

356. E.g., Court of Appeals of the State of New York (the highest court in New York State), <https://www.nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm>
357. E.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/cts-NYC-SUPREME.shtml> (the supreme courts in NYS are trial courts)
358. E.g., Supreme Court for the County of New York (Manhattan and Bronx) <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/index.shtml>

i. Forms

359. E.g., Domestic Relations (Volume 7, West's Legal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Domestic-Relations-Vol-7-Westsreg-Legal-Forms/p/100001671>

j. Cases from the Federal Judiciary and from other states

21. Entities representing state courts and compiling their statistics

360. Conference of **Chief Justices** of the states; <https://ccj.ncsc.org>
361. National Center for State Courts; www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics
362. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
363. Conference of State Court **Administrators** (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
364. National Association for Court **Management** (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>
365. National Conference of Appellate **Court Clerks** (NCACC); www.appellatecourtclerks.org
366. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

22. Rules and codes of conduct for judges and lawyers

367. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>
368. American Bar Association Model **Rules** of Professional Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/
369. American Bar Association Model **Code** of Judicial Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/
370. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

23. Reports by media outlets and VIPs that have exposed judges

a. Reports exposing judges

371. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:
- a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
 - b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
 - c. Part 3, 14juy21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>

- d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
 - e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>
 - f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
372. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>
373. *The Wall Street Journal*; **James.Grimaldi@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/james-v-grimaldi>; **Coulter.Jones@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/coulter-jones>; reach Mr. Jones at 212-416-3778; **Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com**; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/joe-palazzolo>
- a. 131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest; https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdrn4VM9Ssvk_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ; 28sep21;
 - 1) updated under the title "Federal Judges Heard Cases Despite a Financial Interest"; 29sep21; https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-the-journal-found-judges-violations-of-law-on-conflicts-11632833775?mod=Searchresults_pos11&page=1
 - 2) updated under the title: Dozens of Federal Judges Had Financial Conflicts: What You Need to Know: A Wall Street Journal investigation finds more than 130 federal judges unlawfully ruled in cases involving companies in which they or their families held shares; *Michael Siconolfi, Coulter Jones, Joe Palazzolo, and James V. Grimaldi*; WSJ; April 27, 2022; <https://www.wsj.com/articles/dozens-of-federal-judges-broke-the-law-on-conflicts-what-you-need-to-know-11632922140>

A Wall Street Journal investigation found that 152 federal judges around the nation have violated U.S. law and judicial ethics by overseeing 1,076 [court cases](#) involving companies in which they or their family owned stock.

As a result of the Journal's reporting, judges in 883 cases have notified courts that they presided in the lawsuits improperly and that the cases are eligible to be reopened.
 - b. Texas Judge Leads Tally of Cases With Financial Conflicts --- Gilstrap didn't recuse in 138 suits involving firms in which he or his wife had an interest; 30sep21
 - c. Judges or Their Brokers Bought And Sold Stocks of Litigants --- 61 report trades made while they oversaw suits involving the companies; 16oct21
 - d. U.S. News: Bill Would Toughen Stock-Trading Rules for Federal Judges; 26oct21
 - e. Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law; 2nov21
 - f. U.S. News: Judge Acknowledges Possible Recusal Errors; 3nov21
 - g. U.S. News: Bill on Judge Disclosures Passes House Panel; 18nov21
 - h. U.S. News: Bill Gains To Speed Disclosure by Judges; 2dec21
374. Federal Judges Admit Conflicts Of Interests, Leaving Litigants Reeling; HuffPost Latest News; Henry Kerali contributed to this report; Center For Public Integrity; Apr 28, 2014, 12:50 PM; https://www.huffpost.com/entry/judges-conflicts-of-interest_n_5227031

375. House panel to explore impeachment, judicial ethics in wake of Ginni Thomas texts; Emily Brooks; *The Hill*; April 2, 2022; [https://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=Social&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alerts](https://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=Social&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alertshttps://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=Social&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alerts)
376. Senator Elizabeth Warren's "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too"; <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
377. Several of the above-listed reports are collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf

b. Reports with leads and methodology useful for investigating judges

378. Pandora Papers; International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, Washington, D.C.; 3oct21; <https://www.icij.org/investigations/pandora-papers/>

24. Journalists and media outlets

379. CBS news anchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
380. *Biden's court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
381. The Associated Press; <https://www.ap.org/about/>

25. Entities accrediting educational institutions (and serving as portals to them)

382. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
383. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
384. (business schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
385. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

26. Law book publishers

386. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
387. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>

388. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYPTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drRCordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYPTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
389. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

27. Other private entities and people

390. American Association of University Professors, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>
391. American Association of Retired People; <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>
392. Judicial Watch, <https://www.judicialwatch.org>
- a. Judicial Watch's repository of judges' financial disclosure reports, <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
 - b. Judicial Watch representing former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson in her suit against the U.S. Department of Justice for hacking her office and home computers, for which she is demanding \$35 million in damages; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/cases/sharyl-attkisson-judicial-watch-v-u-s-department-justice-no114-cv-01944/>

Appendix 7

Two blocs of email addresses of journalists, media outlets, professors, and students who can be persuaded to hold **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.**

Place each in the To: box of separate emails containing your story of abuse that you have suffered or witnessed. You can easily write it in up to 500 words by applying the [two-phase method](#). By so doing, your story will be informative, accurate, and verifiable by those who can enable you to tell it at the hearings.[‡]

To: [journalists and media officers]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, cjc@cjc.ny.gov, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com,
twallack@gmail.com, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, gpduf@aol.com,
jimwdean@aol.com, investigate@ap.org, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
drew@americanthinker.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com,
ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org,
Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com,
Evan.Allen@globe.com, causecollector@msn.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com,
oped@nytimes.com, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com,
Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, info@AP.org, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,
Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com, sarah.childress@washpost.com, david.fallis@washpost.com,

To: [lawyers and professors]

jsg@law.harvard.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
krooseve@law.upenn.edu, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

End Page

Blank

End Page

[illegible]