

August 18, 2015

**Proposal for one or more presidential candidates
to raise the issue of unaccountable judges risklessly doing wrong
in order to break out of the overcrowded pack of candidates and
draw support from the huge untapped voting bloc of
the dissatisfied with the legal and judicial systems**

1. This is a proposal for presidential candidates to raise an issue that can make any of them stand out in an overcrowded field of candidates and draw support from a huge untapped voting bloc:
 - a. unaccountable judges who risklessly do wrong(ol:224§A) for their benefit(ol:173¶93); and
 - b. the consequent dissatisfied users of the legal and judicial systems among the 100 million parties to the 50 million cases^{4,5} filed in the federal and state courts every year, plus the parties to the scores of millions of pending cases as well as cases deemed to have been wrongly or wrongfully decided.

A. In practice irremovable, they are Judges Above the Law...and wrongdoers too

2. In the last 226 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of its judges –2,217 were in office on 30sep13¹³– impeached and removed is 8!(jur:21§1). Without fearing for their job or salary¹², judges wield enormous power over people’s property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that determine their lives.
3. If you were in their position, would you abuse your power for your and your colleagues’ benefit? Judges do so individually and, worse yet, in coordination among themselves(jur:86§§4-c). Hence, the dissatisfied users are so numerous and yearn for a champion.

B. Tapping on the dissatisfied and abused by asking for their complaints

4. There are no more resentful people than those dissatisfied users who feel abused and betrayed by judges, the ones duty-bound to administer Equal Justice Under Law. For them, it is personal. They will be the most passionately committed supporters of a candidate who raises the issue of judges’ wrongdoing and credibly promises to do them justice and hold judges accountable¹.
5. You can tap their voting bloc by asking them to submit to your website for the public to compare and search for patterns of judges’ wrongdoing(ol:274) their complaints on a template(ol:306) or those that they filed with federal chief circuit judges, who dismiss 99.82% of them(jur:10,11; 24§§b-d), and with state judicial performance commissions.

**C. Giving the media two stories to ensure the reciprocal reinforcement
of your and their covering of your judges’ wrongdoing issue**

6. You can also attract sustained media coverage, especially by journalists searching for the scoop of a lifetime(jur:4¶¶10-14): At the root of judges’ wrongdoing lies connivance between the president who nominates people to the Judiciary, and the senators –including some candidates– who confirm them, who thereafter protect ‘their men and women on the bench’ by allowing them:
 - a. to hold all their adjudicative, policy-making, administrative, and disciplinary meetings behind closed doors;
 - b. to keep complaints secret; and
 - c. never to appear at a press conference to explain their conduct because "Justice should not

only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done"⁷¹.

7. Secrecy is one of THE CIRCUMSTANCES ENABLING WRONGDOING(ol:190¶¶1-7) in the Judiciary. The media will keep investigating them, thereby drawing attention to you and helping you stay on the stump, if you break two Trojan horse-like unique national stories(ol:191§§A,B):
 - a. The President Obama-Justice Sotomayor story was first pursued by *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, and Politico^{107a}, which suspected Then-Judge Sotomayor of concealment of assets, a crime engaged in to evade taxes and launder money^{ol:5fn10}.
 - b. The Federal Judiciary-NSA story will provoke a scandal graver than that caused by E. Snowden's disclosure of NSA's illegal surveillance because it points, not to a purportedly justifiable national security interest, but rather to an unadmittable and incriminating crass class interest: Federal judges approve up to 100%^{ol:5fn7} of NSA's secret requests for secret orders of surveillance; and the NSA helps transfer assets between illegal sources(jur:65 §§1-3) and money laundering accounts; and to intercept communications among critics(ol:227§A),^{ol:19fn2} >ws:58§7, cf. >ws:51§C) of wrongdoing judges(ggl:1 et seq.).

1. Ensuing question and request to redirect Campaign 2016 and American governance toward public accountability and transparency

8. Based on these stories and updating a historic devastating question, you can ask one capable of dominating Campaign 2016 and tarnishing opponents and the other party and its top officers:

What did the President(jur:77§5), Sen. Schumer(78§6), and the justices^{23b} and judges know(71§4) about J. Sotomayor's concealment of assets^{107a} and tax evasion^{107c} and other judges'²¹³ coordinated wrongdoing and when(75§d) did they know it?;

9. You can follow up that question with an embarrassing request for transparency: that they support their denial of wrongdoing or explain their silence by releasing the three FBI vetting reports(jur:102¶231a.4-6) on Justice Sotomayor.
10. Although judges wield enormous power, they cannot retaliate simultaneously against all journalists and candidates critical of them without revealing their abuse of power to implement their unlawful motive of preserving their status and benefits, their duty to uphold the law notwithstanding. On the contrary, they are the most vulnerable officers to the public exposure of their failure to abide by the injunction in their own Code of Conduct to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"^{123a}. Their resulting resignations, even of justices(jur:92§d), and impeachments will open vacancies that will allow the next president to "pack"^{17a} the Supreme and lower courts.

D. Offer to present the judges issue in your survival and the public interest

11. Your survival entails being invited to the next prime time debates and not being demoted to the afternoon ones thanks to your courage in addressing an issue that threatens the powerful as it defends *We the People's* birthright to 'government by the rule of Law that ensures Equal Justice'. Your courage can be rewarded with donations, volunteered work, and word of mouth support, particularly by the scores of millions of the untapped voting bloc of the dissatisfied.
12. Therefore, I respectfully request you too the opportunity to present to you and your aides at a video conference or in person this proposal for using the judges' wrongdoing issue as a means to survive in an overcrowded presidential field. Indeed, the judges' wrongdoing issue can make of a candidate like you the Champion of the Dissatisfied as well as of all *the People* who demand a new America of public accountability and transparency. So, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!(jur:7§5)...and you may enter it.

January 3, 2016

[Individualized for each of the presidential candidates]

Dear Presidential Candidate,

This is a proposal for you to emerge as the leader who enlightens and reassures the national public when as a result of the terrorist attacks in Paris and San Bernardino some presidential candidates have misled the public into thinking that terrorism is the main death risk that it runs. You can put terrorism in perspective by comparing it with other leading causes of death that have mortality rates indisputably and even surprisingly higher, e.g., hospital infections and lightning.

By thinking strategically, you can responsibly use the accompanying table of comparative statistics in a novel way: to reassure and attract the public to your website through crowd fact-checking and posting. To that end, you can reassuringly comment at rallies, debates, and interviews on the need to confront terrorism with a sense of proportion so as not to be unduly impressed by the day to day events or even exploited by demagoguery for political gain at the expense of the public peace of mind. Then you can unfold a paper and read its title aloud: Facts against Fear: a table comparing terrorism with other causes of death in America. That table will be only the first of many on a wide spectrum of subjects and serve as a template for the presentation of verifiable data.

So you can invite the public to contribute to researching the incomplete entries of the table and submit their findings to your website for verification. You can announce that the most prolific submitters of verifiable and enlightening statistics and analysis¹ will be publicly recognized and invited to become members of your campaign's virtual teams of enlighteners. Their task will be to turn your website into the most trusted and visited source of presidential election information and the most reliable fact-checking entity. Their mission will be to provide the truth-in-fact foundation for your motto: An enlightening leader leads an enlightened people². You can portray the table(s) as your means of running a campaign based on facts, as opposed to fearmongering and hyperbole. This will illustrate how you as president will run a transparent, honest administration based on facts actively shared with, and verifiable by, *We the People*. The public that is attracted to your website to post and check facts will also find there information about your platform, phone banks, and rallies, and have the opportunity to donate to your campaign.

The above proposal further illustrates the potential of strategic thinking, which already gave rise to another proposal(ol:311): You can draw electoral support from the huge³ untapped voting bloc of people dissatisfied with the judicial and legal systems. Their dissatisfaction derives from judges' self-disciplining authority, their abuse of it by systematically dismissing complaints against them⁴, and their secretive functioning⁵. By so doing, they are able to disregard the facts and the law applicable to cases to gain benefits risklessly. You can tap the bloc's support⁶ by presenting at a press conference and rallies the evidence⁷ thereof contained in my study **Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing**⁸. You can invite the public to post on your website its judicial complaints so that it can analyze them for coordinated wrongdoing patterns⁹, attracting Republicans and Democrats alike; and to join you in calling for nationally televised hearings (to be known as *your* hearings) on judges' wrongdoing, and journalistic and official investigations even as your teams of enlighteners conduct their own(ol:194§E). Judges who give "even the appearance of impropriety"¹⁰ can be led to resign¹¹. As president, you can nominate their replacements to secure your legislative agenda's constitutionality¹². By leading *We the People's* "petition for a redress of grievances"¹³, you can emerge as their Champion of Justice¹⁴.

I offer to make a presentation¹⁵ to you and your officers at a video conference or in person. *Dare trigger history!(jur:7§5)*...and you may enter it. Sincerely, s/Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Endnotes

1. You can post the accompanying table([ol:365](#)) and ask people to use it as a template when submitting their research findings. The latter will be subject to an initial phase of vetting by the public. Findings that surmount such vetting will be posted as your campaign's official facts.
2. After presenting to your audience the Facts Against Fear table, you can ask it and the rest of the American public poignant rhetorical questions to cause them to perform a balancing test:
 - a. Given the comparative statistics already presented, would you prefer to take your chances with falling victim to terrorism or becoming a victim of any of the other causes of death in America whose chance of occurrence is 10s, 100s, or 1,000s of times higher?
 - b. When a member of your family, a relative, a friend, a neighbor, a workmate or fellow American dies in a car accident, a house fire, a drive-by shooting, or by food poisoning, do you say that their deaths do not count because they did not die a victim of terrorism?
 - c. The federal government spends more than it collects in taxes, which explains why its borrowing limit has to be raised so often; otherwise, it would run out of funds and have to close down. Imagine that the government manages to gather \$5 billion to reduce the mortality of one of the causes of death in America. If you could vote on how to allocate that money, would you vote to allocate it to fight terrorism or to combat any of the other causes of death with significantly higher mortality rates, such as cancer or car crashes?
3. In the federal and state courts, 50 million new cases are filed annually ([jur:8fn4, 5](#)). They involve at least 100 million parties, each of which may consist of two, ten, a hundred persons or the thousands of members of a class. In addition, every case affects the parties' relatives, employees, suppliers, clients, similarly situated people, etc. To those cases must be added the scores of millions pending and those deemed by parties to have been wrongfully decided by judges who risklessly took their property, liberty, and the rights and duties that determine their lives.
4. Official statistics cited in my study([jur:21§1](#)) show that federal judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against their peers and deny up to 100% of petitions to review such dismissals([jur:10-14](#)).

In the last 227 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of its judges –2,217 were in office on 30sep13([jur:22fn13](#))– impeached and removed is 8! So they not only are appointed for life “during good Behaviour”, but also know based on that historical record that they are in effect irremovable. Impeachment is a useless mechanism for judicial integrity. They also rely on the constitutional provision that prohibits diminishing their salary([jur:22fn12](#)).

They dispose of around 75% of appeals to the circuit courts with reasonless summary orders, and of up to an additional 15% with decisions so “perfunctory” that they mark them “not for publication” and “not precedential”, turning them into arbitrary, ad hoc fiats of raw unaccountable power. They are in practice secret because hardly findable, but if found, they are useless since they do not establish a precedent; hence not worth looking for. They are anathema to a legal system based on precedent as a means of keeping judicial power in check and predictable.

If you were in their position, would you be irresistibly tempted to abuse your power for your benefit and that of your peers, other insiders, and your protectors since to do so was riskless?
5. The Federal Judiciary and its judges are most secretive([jur:27§e](#)), holding all their policy-making, administrative, adjudicative, and disciplinary meetings behind closed doors. Wrongdoing festers

in secrecy, which makes it infectious. This requires ‘the best disinfectant, sunlight’, as Justice Brandeis put it([jur:158¶350b](#)). Today, the sun of information and knowledge shines through the Internet. A presidential candidate can out of principle or opportunism use his or her website, in addition to stump speeches and access to journalists, to shine light on judicial wrongdoing and cause an outraged national public to follow his or her bright lead to Equal Justice Under Law.

6. People feel offended by judges who took advantage of their ignorance of the law, inability to afford lawyers, lack of access to the media, and impotence before judges who abused them because they could get away with it. For them, vindicating their position is a driving personal matter. They make for passionate supporters of one who can help them in their quest for justice.
7. Official statistics from the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and official reports, and statements from justices and judges are presented and their implications analyzed at [jur:21§§1-3](#). Those sections contain the most compelling general evidence of judges’ wrongdoing. For evidence concerning specific justices, see [jur:65§§1-4](#). For the enabling circumstances of wrongdoing, i.e., unaccountability, secrecy, coordination, and risklessness, see [ol:191¶6](#).
8. [jur:1](#); subtitled: **Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting**
9. Judges can be unfair, partial, and dismissive of the rule of law because doing so does not constitute in practice a breach of their oath of office and dereliction of duty that carry adverse consequences; rather, it is merely an option. Hence, they do wrong individually, and worse yet, engage in wrongdoing coordinated among themselves([jur:86§§4-c](#)) and with other insiders of the judicial and legal systems. Among the latter are the politicians who recommended, endorsed, nominated, confirmed, appointed, and co-opted them into their party list, and who protect them as ‘*their* men and women on the bench’. Coordination renders their wrongdoing more secure, routine, susceptible of extension into more areas, apt to develop the complexity of schemes, e.g., a bankruptcy fraud scheme and concealment of assets([jur:65§§1-3](#)), and thus more profitable.
10. Their Code of Conduct enjoins them to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”([jur:68fn123](#)).
11. Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas failed to meet this standard and was led to resign on May 14, 1969, even though he had been nominated to the chief justiceship by P. Johnson([jur:92§d](#)).
12. Packing the courts due to vacancies is different from what P. Roosevelt tried to do([jur:23fn17a](#)).
13. Only a national figure with ample access to journalists can lead an enlightened and outraged([ol:333§G](#)) national public in successfully exercising its 1st Amendment right to “assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances”([jur:130¶b](#)) against judges who self-exempt from any responsibility, e.g., by invoking their unconstitutional doctrine of judicial immunity.
14. This requires strategic thinking: being perceptive, nimble, and astute to quickly detect even slight developments, such as the above proposals, and react promptly to change one’s plan of action as required to turn those developments into opportunities to advance one’s interests.
15. I offer to present also to groups interested in a multidisciplinary academic([jur:128§4](#)) and business([jur:119§1](#)) venture to research the nature, extent, and gravity of judges’ wrongdoing and strategically expose it to outrage the national public and cause it to assert its status as *We the People*, the masters of ‘government, not of men and women, but by the rule of law’, where none of their public servants, such as judges, is above the law, and instead all are accountable to *the People* and liable to compensate the victims of their wrongdoing. Private, electoral, journalistic, and official diagnostic exposure must precede judicial reform([jur:158§§6-8](#)) treatment.

January 11, 2016

FACTS AGAINST FEAR
Placing the risk of death by terrorism in perspective
by comparing it with other causes of death in America
so that an enlightening leader can lead an enlightened *People*¹

(Template for submitting verifiable data on a wide spectrum of subjects)

1.	A	B	C	D
2.	Cause of death	Number of deaths (annually unless a period is indicated)	Ranking	Title of source of information, author, year, and Internet link (collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Facts_v_Fear_sources)
3.	All deaths in the U.S. in 2013	2,596,993/821.5 death rate per 100,000 population	1	CDC ² , National Vital Statistics System: Mortality Data; http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/deaths.htm
4.	Cancer, estimate for 2015	589,430		President Obama is right that guns kill more Americans than terrorism. So do lots of other things, By Philip Bump ; The Fix, <i>The Washington Post</i> ; August 27, 2015; https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-

¹ This table accompanies the letter(* >[ol:362](#)) of Dr. Cordero to a presidential candidate that lays out proposals based on strategic thinking for inviting the public to contribute, through crowd fact-checking and posting to the candidate's website, to running a campaign based on facts. The invitation to post facts to, and the opportunity to find them in, that website will attract the public to it, where it will incidentally be able to learn about the candidate's platform and events, such as rallies and interviews, and donate to the campaign. Dr. Cordero offers to present at a video conference or in person to the candidate and campaign officers as well as other groups, especially those interested in judicial reform([ol:350](#)), the proposals' strategy, content, and implementation, including the way to use this table as a template for a wide spectrum of other issues. Cf. similar tables at [ol:280](#); [306](#); [jur:10-11](#); [15-16](#); [31](#); graphs [jur:12-14](#); [jur:122§2](#).

² Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 1600 Clifton Road Atlanta, GA 30329-4027, 800-CDC-INFO (800-232-4636) TTY: (888) 232-6348; and in particular, its National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), 3311 Toledo Rd, Hyattsville, MD 20782-20641; (800) 232-4636; Division of Vital Statistics, National Vital Statistics System (NVSS), <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/vitalstats.htm>; Mortality Tables, <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/deaths.htm>

1.	A	B	C	D
2.	Cause of death	Number of deaths (annually unless a period is indicated)	Ranking	Title of source of information, author, year, and Internet link (collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Facts_v_Fear_sources)
				fix/wp/2015/08/27/obama-is-right-that-guns-kill-more-americans-than-terrorism-so-do-lots-of-things/
5.	Deaths from healthcare-associated infections (HAIs)	99,000 ³		Preventing Healthcare-Associated Infections [HAIs], CDC; http://www.cdc.gov/washington/~cdcatWork/pdf/infections.pdf
6.	Deaths from healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) in 2013	75,000 ⁴		Healthcare-associated Infections (HAIs), Data and Statistics, CDC; as of October 25, 2015; http://www.cdc.gov/HAI/surveillance/
7.	Car accidents in 2013	32,719		President Obama is right that...(source supra)
8.	Death by firearms on U.S. soil, including homicide, accident and suicide from 2001 to 2013	406,496/31,269 annually		American deaths in terrorism vs. gun violence in one graph, By Julia Jones and Eve Bower, CNN; October 2, 2015 ⁵ ; http://www.cnn.com/2015/10/02/us/oregon-shooting-terrorism-gun-violence/
9.	Firearm suicides in 2013	21,175		President Obama is right that...(source supra)

³ “HAIs affect 5 to 10 percent of hospitalized patients in the U.S. per year. Approximately 1.7 million HAIs occur in U.S. hospitals each year, resulting in 99,000 deaths.”

⁴ “[O]n any given day, about 1 in 25 hospital patients has at least one healthcare-associated infection. There were an estimated 722,000 HAIs in U.S acute care hospitals in 2011. About 75,000 hospital patients with HAIs died during their hospitalizations. More than half of all HAIs occurred outside of the intensive care unit.”

⁵ “Using numbers from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, we found that from 2001 to 2013, 406,496 people died by firearms on U.S. soil. (2013 is the most recent year CDC data for deaths by firearms is available.) This data covered all manners of death, including homicide, accident and suicide. According to the U.S. State Department, the number of U.S. citizens killed overseas as a result of incidents of terrorism from 2001 to 2013 was 350. In addition, we compiled all terrorism incidents inside the U.S. and found that between 2001 and 2013, there were 3,030 people killed in domestic acts of terrorism. This brings the total to 3,380.”

1.	A	B	C	D
2.	Cause of death	Number of deaths (annually unless a period is indicated)	Ranking	Title of source of information, author, year, and Internet link (collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Facts_v_Fear_sources)
10.	Falls	17,000+		Human Shark Bait: Shark bait facts, National Geographic; 2013; http://natgeotv.com/ca/human-shark-bait/facts
11.	Victims of gun violence, including mass shootings, officer involved incidents, home invasion, accidental shootings, in the U.S. to 27dec15	13,095		Gun Violence Archive 2015 Toll of Gun Violence, Gun Violence Archive; 27dec15; http://www.gunviolencearchive.org/
12.	Firearm homicides in 2013	11,208		President Obama is right that...(source supra)
13.	Death by lightning strike	37+		Human Shark Bait: (source supra)
14.	American killed in acts of terrorism on U.S. soil and abroad on average between 2002 and 2013, excluding the 3,008.1= (3,380 -(27 +4.1 +2,977)) who died in 2001	372/31 annually		American deaths in terrorism (source supra)
15.	U.S. citizens killed abroad in acts of terrorism from 2001 to 2013 ⁶	350/27 annually		American deaths in terrorism (source supra)
16.	Victims of terrorism on U.S. soil in 2014	18		President Obama is right that ⁷ ...(source supra)
17.	Victims of terrorism on U.S. soil between 1970 and 2014, including 9/11 victims excluding the 2,977 that died on	3,521/80 annually 544/12.36 ⁸		President Obama is right that...(source supra)

⁶ Of the 17,891 Deaths from Terrorism [worldwide] Last Year [2014], 19 Were American. Let Iraqis Fight ISIS, by H. A. Goodman; The Blog, Huff Post Politics; December 26, 2015; http://www.huffingtonpost.com/h-a-goodman/of-the-17891-deaths-from_b_5818082.html

⁷ “The [Global Terrorism Database \[http://www.start.umd.edu/gtd/search/Results.aspx?country=217\]](http://www.start.umd.edu/gtd/search/Results.aspx?country=217) at the University of Maryland estimates that 18 people died in terror attacks in the United States last year — of 3,521 total between 1970 and 2014. By comparison, the nonprofit [Gun Violence Archive \[http://www.gunviolencearchive.org/\]](http://www.gunviolencearchive.org/) figures that 9,948 people have been killed by gun violence *so far in 2015 alone.*”

⁸ The difference between the 4.1 annual average number of victims of terrorism on U.S. soil that can be computed based

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

1.	A	B	C	D
2.	Cause of death	Number of deaths (annually unless a period is indicated)	Ranking	Title of source of information, author, year, and Internet link (collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Facts_v_Fear_sources)
	9/11, http://www.cnn.com/2013/07/27/us/september-11-anniversary-fast-facts/			
18.	U.S. citizens killed on U.S. soil and abroad in acts of terrorism from 2001 to 2013; on U.S. soil alone; excluding the 2,977 that died on 9/11, http://www.cnn.com/2013/07/27/us/september-11-anniversary-fast-facts/	3,380/260 annually 3,030/233 annually 53/4.1		American deaths in terrorism (source supra)
19.	Death by shark attack	.5		Human Shark Bait: (source supra)
20.	School shootings			
21.	Drive-by shootings of gang-unrelated bystander			
22.	Gang killings			
23.	Accidental gun discharge			
24.	Cop shooting			
25.	Home invasion deaths			
26.	Death by spouse or			

on the CNN article American deaths in terrorism vs. gun violence in one graph, and the 12.36 number based on *The Washington Post* article President Obama is right that guns kill more Americans than terrorism, can be explained by definitional divergencies, alluded to by the latter article in its statement "How "terrorism" is defined can be tricky, as we've noted in the past".

1.	A	B	C	D
2.	Cause of death	Number of deaths (annually unless a period is indicated)	Ranking	Title of source of information, author, year, and Internet link (collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero_Facts_v_Fear_sources)
	significant other			
27.	Heart attacks			
28.	Breast cancer			
29.	In-hospital wrong medication or dosage			
30.	Cancer of the colon			
31.	Death from cancer-causing sun tanning, e.g., melanoma			
32.	Death by drug overdose			
33.	Mechanical and tire vehicle defects			
34.	Fishermen's deaths			
35.	Construction workers' accidents			
36.	Distracted walking			
37.	Football deaths			
38.	Death by hurricanes			
39.	Accidental deaths at home			
40.	Death by tornadoes			

See also the following sources of statistical data:

1. Terrorism Deaths, Injuries and Kidnappings of Private U.S. Citizens Overseas in 2013, U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Counterterrorism, [Country Reports on Terrorism 2013](http://www.state.gov/j/ct/rls/crt/2013/224833.htm), Report; <http://www.state.gov/j/ct/rls/crt/2013/224833.htm>

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

- a. U.S. citizens overseas killed as a result of incidents of terrorism: 16
 - b. U.S. citizens overseas injured as a result of incidents of terrorism: 7
 - c. U.S. citizens overseas kidnapped as a result of incidents of terrorism: 12
2. Country Reports on Terrorism, U.S. Department of State, <http://www.state.gov/j/ct/rls/crt/>; Country Reports on Terrorism 2014; <http://www.state.gov/j/ct/rls/crt/2014/index.htm>:
 3. National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism (START), A Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology Center of Excellence, Based at the University of Maryland, 8400 Baltimore Ave, Suite 250 • College Park, MD 20740 • 301.405.6600; www.start.umd.edu. “Since 2001, START has maintained the Global Terrorism Database (GTD), an unclassified event database compiled from information in open-source reports of terrorist attacks”; www.state.gov/documents/organization/210288.pdf
 4. Office of the Director of National Intelligence, National Counterterrorism Center and its Worldwide Incidents Tracking System (WITS); <http://www.nctc.gov/overview.html>
 22 USC §2656f requires the Dept. of State to include in its annual report on terrorism "to the extent practicable, complete statistical information on the number of individuals, including United States citizens and dual nationals, killed, injured, or kidnapped by each terrorist group during the preceding calendar year"; <http://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
 5. National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems; <http://www.naphsis.org/>
 6. President Office of Management and Budget (OMB); https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/gils_gil-home
 7. National Death Index, <http://www.naphsis.org/nchs/ndi.htm>; Vital Statistics of the United States, <http://www.naphsis.org/nchs/products/vsus.htm>
 8. Related Sites for Mortality Data: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/mortality_related.htm; http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/mortality_tables.htm
 9. *Health, United States*, the annual report on the health status of the Nation; <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/hus.htm>
 10. NCHS Data Online Query System; <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ahcd.htm>; Statistics Branch at 301-458-4600; email SSchappert@cdc.gov for questions on DOQS or public use data
 11. National Safety Council, Annual Injury Report; <http://www.nsc.org/pages/home.aspx>
 12. CDC Work-Related Injury Statistics Query System; http://wwwn.cdc.gov/wisards/workrisqs/workrisqs_estimates.aspx
 13. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Databases, Tables & Calculators by Subject; <http://www.bls.gov/data/>