

October 10, 2021

**Proposal to top media outlets and a VIP that have exposed judges' abuse of power, their self-enrichment, and tax havens, –The Wall Street Journal, Thomson Reuters, The Boston Globe, Sen. Elizabeth Warren, and the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists– to combine their expertise and means to investigate judges' financial criminality and to that end hold UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS where abusees provide investigative leads as they tell the national public their stories, and together with lawyers and their clients harmed by judges who failed to recuse themselves due to their conflict of interests collectively demand compensation from judges and their judiciaries by turning against them their own Catholic Church pedophilic jurisprudence<sup>‡</sup>**

**A. Proposal for a joint investigation into judges' conduct and its potential for transformative change**

1. This is a proposal for top media outlets and a VIP that have dare expose tax havens and judges' abuse of power and self-enrichment – the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), The Wall Street Journal (WSJ), Thomson Reuters, Boston Globe, and U.S. Senator Elizabeth Warren– to combine their expertise and means to:
  - a. investigate judges' financial criminality consisting, among other things, in their failure to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest, conceal assets, evade taxes, and launder money;
  - b. ask abusees for copies of the complaints that they have filed and may file against judges, which abusees can freely share and publish as an exercise of their and the rest of *We the People's* most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment to the [Constitution](#) of “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [in person, by email, mail, and on social media], and to petition the Government [of which judges constitute the Third Branch] for a redress of grievances [as by paying abusees compensation]”
  - c. hold unprecedented [citizens hearings](#) together with students([OL:115](#)) and professors at journalism, law, business, and Information Technology schools, so that abusees may tell their stories of [judges' abuse of power](#) to the national public at media stations, university auditoriums, and everywhere else via video conference, thereby providing investigative leads; making it possible to analyze their independent stories for [pattern evidence](#); and [informing and outraging the People](#), the only entity strong enough thanks to its voting power to compel politicians in, or running for, office to officially investigate, or promise to investigate, judges;
  - d. facilitate the joining of abusees and lawyers and their clients harmed by judges who hid their financial interest in their cases in collectively demanding compensation from judges and their judiciaries by invoking, among other grounds, their own Catholic Church pedophilic jurisprudence: ‘too big to fail to know’, too many pedophilic priests and acts for the Church not to know them.
    - 1) A principal is liable for the acts of its agent, whether it had actual knowledge of the agent's malfeasance or it has imputed knowledge because if it had proceeded with due diligence to discharge its duty to control its agent it would have known;

- 2) The judges' decisions have caused the Church to pay more than \$2 billion to the victims of priests and of its cover-up of their crimes. As a result of "lookback" laws adopted in at least 15 states to lift or extend the statute of limitations for victims to sue, some 5,000 new cases could force the Church to compensate the victims with more than \$4 billion.
  - 3) As the Catholic Church so the Federal Judiciary: A corollary of the equal protection clause is "Nobody is above the law". So everybody is subject to the same punishment for committing acts that require others to be protected.
- e. appeal to the members of the Biden Commission for reforming the Supreme Court (see their bloc of email addresses below) and politicians likely to participate in the primaries for the mid-term elections to demand the reform of all the courts of the Federal Judiciary, the model for its state counterparts.
- 1) Current judges and former judges who now are Supreme Court justices abusively self-ensure their unaccountability –they **dismiss 100% of complaints** filed against, and by law(28 U.S.C. §351) necessarily with, them; and **deny 100% of petitions to review** their dismissals–; and foster it through pervasive secrecy –they hold all their adjudicative, policy-making, administrative, and disciplinary meetings behind closed doors–.
  - 2) Thanks to this self and 'unequal protection from the law', judges risklessly coordinate their abuse of power and criminal acts to the point of turning them into their **institutionalized** modus operandi, and their Judiciary into a safe-haven for abusers.
  - 3) I have **proposed** that the commissioners and politicians make the exposure of judges' abuse the key issue of their report and electoral campaign, respectively.
- f. promote what 34 states have called for since April 2, 2014, thus meeting the requirements of Article V for amending the **Constitution**: a constitutional convention. That call the congressional and party leadership will never heed because it threatens its privileges and power. However, such convention, especially a runaway one overtaken by *the People*, will make it possible to cast aside a 232-year old Constitution written by the long since dead hand of only landed, educated, white men. They will replace it with a new constitution addressing the needs of today's *People* of both genders and all races, ethnicity, educational levels, sexual orientation, wealth, and power.
2. Hence, this is a proposal for the top media outlets and the VIP that have dare expose judges and tax havens to rehabilitate themselves by shaking off the label "the enemy of the people" pasted on them and the rest of the media. They can set in motion a process that while benefiting them commercially because "scandal sells", turns them into the engine that drives transformative change in American politics and society. That will be the most practically meaningful, long-term, and historic accomplishment of theirs and the other outlets of the media. For their feat, they will become nationally recognized as the Champions of *the People*.

## **B. A proposed plan of investigation**

3. During my research and writing on judges and their judiciaries, I have gathered many investigative leads. I have arranged them in a concrete, feasible, two-pronged plan of investigation: *Follow the money!* and *Follow the wire!*(OL:194§E) I propose that we jointly implement it on the strength of the expertise gained by the media that have investigated judges and tax heavens.

## 1. Financial disclosure report

4. Federal judges are required under the [Ethics in Government Act](#) of 1978(jur:65<sup>107d</sup>), to file mandatory annual [financial disclosure reports](#)(id. jur:102§a and 105<sup>213b</sup>).
5. They need not worry about the accuracy and completeness of their reports, for they do not file them with an independent body of accounting and investing professionals. Rather, they are filed with other fellow judges who are members for three years of the Committee for Financial Disclosure of the [Judicial Conference](#) of the U.S. The latter is presided over by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who appoints all committee members, and includes all the chief circuit judges, and representative district, bankruptcy, and magistrate judges.
6. The Committee meets, of course, behind closed doors. Its members are also subject to the same duty to file their own financial disclosure reports. Hence, they have every interest in being as indulgent with the filers as they want the filers and their friends to be eventually with them when they become Committee members. As a result, these reports are risklessly drawn up and filed pro forma, containing incomplete and misleading material information.
7. They are easy to come by now that [JudicialWatch.org](#) downloads them in bulk from the [Administrative Office](#) of the U.S. Courts and makes them available for free. Their analysis can provide significant investigative leads.

## 2. The FBI reports on vetting judicial candidates

8. Candidates for judicial office are vetted by the FBI. Wielding its subpoena, contempt, and indictment powers, it can obtain a candidate's bank account statements, property reports, and other financial information that is not public. The media lack all those powers.
9. The FBI judicial vetting reports are for the eyes of the president as he reviews candidates and chooses whom to nominate; and his staff and senators who are "shepherding" them through the confirmation process.
10. Nothing would put to the test President Biden's claim that he runs a transparent administration, wants in good faith to reform the Supreme Court, and condemns tax heavens than for the media to publicly demand that he authorize the release of all FBI vetting reports on all judges and justices.

## 3. A constitutional crisis resulting from investigating judges

11. In the wake of the Pandora Papers, being in favor of releasing those reports, never mind doing so, will amount to the presidency and Congress investigating judges and their Judiciary for financial crimes, e.g., running a [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) as a principal source of illegal funds.
12. The Attorney General leading the Department of Justice and its FBI is [former Chief Judge Merrick Garland](#) of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Will he dare investigate his [former peers](#) at the risk of being incriminated by the investigation and even by them as they trade up in plea bargaining: 'I'll give you a bigger fish, the AG, if you show leniency to me'? Will he be forced to recuse himself because he cannot be loyal to DoJ and those peers at the same time?
13. A constitutional crisis can ensue that reveals the [connivance](#) between appointing-politicians and 'their appointed men and women on the bench' as well as the devastating power of retaliation that judges can wield to force politicians to hold them unaccountable; e.g., declaring unconstitutional one law after another until their whole political agenda on which they were elected is doomed.

#### 4. Federal judges' means of engaging in financial crimes

14. Federal judges have the expertise and control needed to run one of the largest digital networks in the country. It handles the filing, retrieval, and storage of hundreds of millions of briefs, records, applications, dockets, docket entries, calendars, orders, decisions, etc. Do judges 'embezzle' the service of their network to transfer illicit funds between their origin, tax havens, and money laundering investments?
15. Also, they wield the power to approve or deny the intelligence agencies' secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA; [50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c](#)). They approve 100% of those requests([OL:5fn7](#))...as part of a quid pro quo?
16. The *Follow the money!* and *Follow the wire!* investigations should answer these questions. Their findings can lead to not only the imprisonment of "All the President's Men" and the resignation of the President, that is, of P. R. Nixon on August 8, 1974, as a result of the generalized media investigation into the political espionage that motivated the break-in into the Democratic National Committee and provoked the Watergate Scandal. Rather, it can lead to resignation of one, several, and all the justices and judges of a judiciary upon being exposed as principals or accessories in the commission and cover up of financial crimes in the coordinated way of a [racketeering enterprise](#).

#### C. A proposal for the publication of articles

17. I have posted many of my articles([click](#) and scroll down to [Appendix 6§A](#)) to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **40,018+** have become subscribers to the site as of October 28, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
  - a. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, have a website with so many subscribers?
  - b. You can for free join the subscribers thus: go to my [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or + [New](#) or [Users](#) >[Add New](#).
18. These articles are based on my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. They are all the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:  
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting\* †\***

\* Volume 3: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf) >from OL3:1144-1360+

  - 1) To open and navigate through those volumes, download [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#).
19. Given the proven readership attraction of my articles and the solid study that provides their basis, I propose the publication of one or a series of the articles that I have already written, so they are available for review, as well as others that I can write on commission(cf. see a list of possible subjects at [Appendix 6§B](#)). This article is an example of their tenor, format, and quality.
20. I draw particular attention to two articles because they would outrage a substantial segment of the national public at judges' abuse of power and accomplish what is indispensable for becoming a memorable publication: They would cause people to take action in their own interest as they self-assertively shout the rallying cry so expressive of their *MeToo!*, *BLM*, and anti-police brutality mood and their demand for socio-economic equality: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse*

by anybody anymore...*not even from judges!*

## **1. Judges' failure to read most briefs**

- a. The **math of abuse** analyzes the ratio of the number of cases, motions, and applications per panel of a court and shows that judges do not read the overwhelming majority of briefs; this math is implicitly verified by the **official statistics**([OL2:457§D](#)) of the Federal Judiciary.
  - 1) Yet, judges keep requiring them although they know that they will have their clerks dispose of them by rubberstamping a 5¢ out-of-court dumping form where the only operative word is “denied”, if it concerns a substantive as opposed to a clerical motion, or “affirmed”, if it concerns an appeal.
  - 2) That is how clerks, who have no judicial power and cannot receive it by delegation, are used to maintain the status quo.
  - 3) Upon parties learning that the judges made them waste \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to research, write, print, bind, serve, and file briefs that the judges knew they would not read, the parties will be outraged! They will want to be informed by us how they can join in collectively demanding compensation.

## **2. Judges' interception of people's communications**

- a. My statistical analysis shows that judges **intercept** people’s email and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. What an outrageous deprivation of their First Amendment rights! Such interception is illegal under **Title 18** of the U.S. Code section 2511 ([18 U.S.C. §2511](#)). Victims can likewise demand compensatory and punitive damages as well as an official investigation of judges’ means of interception.

## **D. A proposal for commercially developing a website with 39,874+ subscribers**

21. The readers of my articles and the subscribers to my website, whose number is approaching 40,000, can be treated as the initial client base of a website developed from a free informational platform into a for-profit service center. These subscribers are highly educated and well-off people willing to read the kind of intellectually demanding long form articles published by *The Wall Street Journal*, Reuters, The Boston Globe, ICIJ, and the likes of *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, etc.
22. These readers and subscribers can be reasonably expected to be willing and able to pay for a host of services such as:
  - a. obtaining legal advice on being compensated for the harm that judges and their Judiciary have caused them;
  - b. forming, or joining others in, a local chapter of victims of the same judge or the same court so that they can collectively demand such compensation;
  - c. applying the **two-phase method** for writing in up to 500 words their story so that it contains the kind of facts and data that can be used as leads for further investigation by journalists and for auditing many independently submitted stories in search of patterns of judges' coordinated abuse of power as opposed to the conduct of separate rogue judges.
23. Many other services that can be offered to visitors to, and clients of, the website are described in my **business plan**; e.g., a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges; and a **research** center for

auditing judges' writings using [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis.

24. As an example of the kind of valuable information that visitors and clients can receive at a further developed website, there follows the blocs of the email address of the members of the Biden Commission and of journalists to whom those who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse of power and/or financial criminality can send their stories by placing those blocs of email in the **To:** and the **cc:** boxes, respectively, of their email containing their story:

**To:** [commissioners]

[cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu](mailto:cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu), [robert.bauer@nyu.edu](mailto:robert.bauer@nyu.edu), [kandrias@law.columbia.edu](mailto:kandrias@law.columbia.edu),  
[jack.balkin@yale.edu](mailto:jack.balkin@yale.edu), [RBauer@perkinscoie.com](mailto:RBauer@perkinscoie.com), [baude@uchicago.edu](mailto:baude@uchicago.edu),  
[madams@yu.edu](mailto:madams@yu.edu), [charles@law.duke.edu](mailto:charles@law.duke.edu), [acrespo@law.harvard.edu](mailto:acrespo@law.harvard.edu),  
[wdellinger@omm.com](mailto:wdellinger@omm.com), [ecb95@law.rutgers.edu](mailto:ecb95@law.rutgers.edu), [justin.driver@yale.edu](mailto:justin.driver@yale.edu),  
[rfallon@law.harvard.edu](mailto:rfallon@law.harvard.edu), [heather.k.gerken@yale.edu](mailto:heather.k.gerken@yale.edu), [ngertner@law.harvard.edu](mailto:ngertner@law.harvard.edu),  
[jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu](mailto:jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu), [tgriffith@law.harvard.edu](mailto:tgriffith@law.harvard.edu), [tgrove@law.ua.edu](mailto:tgrove@law.ua.edu),  
[bhuang@law.columbia.edu](mailto:bhuang@law.columbia.edu), [mkang@northwestern.edu](mailto:mkang@northwestern.edu), [ojohns@law.columbia.edu](mailto:ojohns@law.columbia.edu),  
[lacroix@uchicago.edu](mailto:lacroix@uchicago.edu), [lemos@law.duke.edu](mailto:lemos@law.duke.edu), [levi@law.duke.edu](mailto:levi@law.duke.edu), [staff@pcscotus.gov](mailto:staff@pcscotus.gov),  
[trevor.morrison@nyu.edu](mailto:trevor.morrison@nyu.edu), [cnelson@law.virginia.edu](mailto:cnelson@law.virginia.edu), [rick.pildes@nyu.edu](mailto:rick.pildes@nyu.edu),  
[mramsey@SanDiego.edu](mailto:mramsey@SanDiego.edu), [krooseve@law.upenn.edu](mailto:krooseve@law.upenn.edu), [bross@law.virginia.edu](mailto:bross@law.virginia.edu), [d-strauss@uchicago.edu](mailto:d-strauss@uchicago.edu), [tribe@law.harvard.edu](mailto:tribe@law.harvard.edu), [awhite36@gmu.edu](mailto:awhite36@gmu.edu),  
[kewhitt@princeton.edu](mailto:kewhitt@princeton.edu), [michael.waldman@nyu.edu](mailto:michael.waldman@nyu.edu),  
[caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu](mailto:caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu), [development@naacpldf.org](mailto:development@naacpldf.org),  
[CorderoRic@yahoo.com](mailto:CorderoRic@yahoo.com), [Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net),

**cc:** [journalists and academics]

[James.Grimaldi@wsj.com](mailto:James.Grimaldi@wsj.com), [Coulter.Jones@wsj.com](mailto:Coulter.Jones@wsj.com), [Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com](mailto:Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com),  
[john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com), [michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com),  
[blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com), [tips@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:tips@thomsonreuters.com), [aturturro@alm.com](mailto:aturturro@alm.com),  
[contact@go.reuters.com](mailto:contact@go.reuters.com), [marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com), “Todd  
Wallack” <[twallack@gmail.com](mailto:twallack@gmail.com)>, [patricia.wen@globe.com](mailto:patricia.wen@globe.com), “Brian McGrory  
Editor” <[brian.mcgrory@globe.com](mailto:brian.mcgrory@globe.com)>, [newstip@globe.com](mailto:newstip@globe.com), [spotlight@globe.com](mailto:spotlight@globe.com),  
[charles.ornstein@propublica.org](mailto:charles.ornstein@propublica.org), [tracy.weber@propublica.org](mailto:tracy.weber@propublica.org), “Veterans Today Senior  
Editor Gordon Duff” <[gpdud@aol.com](mailto:gpdud@aol.com)>, “Veterans Today Managing Editor Jim W Dean”  
<[jimwdean@aol.com](mailto:jimwdean@aol.com)>, [ajaffe@thehill.com](mailto:ajaffe@thehill.com), [Thehill@email.thehill.com](mailto:Thehill@email.thehill.com),  
[ijerr@spectacularjournals.org](mailto:ijerr@spectacularjournals.org), [newsletters@abovethelaw.com](mailto:newsletters@abovethelaw.com), [NTotenberg@npr.org](mailto:NTotenberg@npr.org),  
[drew@americanthinker.com](mailto:drew@americanthinker.com), [tips@publicintegrity.org](mailto:tips@publicintegrity.org), [mderienzo@publicintegrity.org](mailto:mderienzo@publicintegrity.org),  
[watchdog@publicintegrity.com](mailto:watchdog@publicintegrity.com), [emily.holden@theguardian.com](mailto:emily.holden@theguardian.com), [tips@latimes.com](mailto:tips@latimes.com),  
[ryan.grim@theintercept.com](mailto:ryan.grim@theintercept.com), [andrea@americanthinker.com](mailto:andrea@americanthinker.com), [tips@propublica.org](mailto:tips@propublica.org),  
[Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com](mailto:Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com), [inytletters@nytimes.com](mailto:inytletters@nytimes.com), [info@elizabethwarren.com](mailto:info@elizabethwarren.com),  
[Evan.Allen@globe.com](mailto:Evan.Allen@globe.com), [info@AP.org](mailto:info@AP.org), [Elizabeth\\_Warren@warren.senate.gov](mailto:Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov),  
[ginger.thompson@propublica.org](mailto:ginger.thompson@propublica.org), [mcnulaj@nytimes.com](mailto:mcnulaj@nytimes.com), [MCoyle@alm.com](mailto:MCoyle@alm.com),  
[communication@lexisnexis.com](mailto:communication@lexisnexis.com), [aglantz@stanford.edu](mailto:aglantz@stanford.edu), [joepatrice@abovethelaw.com](mailto:joepatrice@abovethelaw.com),  
[info@mail.huffpost.com](mailto:info@mail.huffpost.com), [tips@thedailybeast.com](mailto:tips@thedailybeast.com), [Opencourt@cnn.com](mailto:Opencourt@cnn.com),  
[letters@nytimes.com](mailto:letters@nytimes.com), [contact\\_us@spectacularjournals.org](mailto:contact_us@spectacularjournals.org), [jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu](mailto:jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu),  
[Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com](mailto:Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com), [oped@nytimes.com](mailto:oped@nytimes.com), [Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com),  
[hello@propublica.org](mailto:hello@propublica.org), [Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com](mailto:Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com),  
[Vernal.Coleman@globe.com](mailto:Vernal.Coleman@globe.com), [Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com](mailto:Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com),

## **E. Articles of the top media outlets and the VIP supporting the proposal**

25. The following articles are the most recent ones on which this proposal is founded:
26. *The Wall Street Journal* published on September 28, 2021, its article “[131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest](#)”; by James V. [Grimaldi](mailto:James.Grimaldi@wsj.com), [James.Grimaldi@wsj.com](mailto:James.Grimaldi@wsj.com); Joe [Palazzolo](mailto:Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com), [Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com](mailto:Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com), Coulter [Jones](mailto:Coulter.Jones@wsj.com), [Coulter.Jones@wsj.com](mailto:Coulter.Jones@wsj.com); Mr. Jones can be reached at 212-416-3778.
27. Thomson Reuters, a worldwide news organization with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photojournalists, investigated judges of the state judiciaries. In its three-part report “[The Teflon Robe](#)”, the first of which appeared on June 30, 2020, it described its finding of “hardwired judicial corruption”: corruption that is so intertwined among judges and between them and the commissions for overseeing their performance as to constitute part of their institutionalized modus operandi. This is a most opportune time for Reuters to heed the [proposal](#) that I made to it to extend its investigation to unaccountable *federal* judges and their consequent riskless abuse of power.
28. *The Boston Globe*, the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report “[Inside our secret courts](#)”, in whose “private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree] , who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.
29. Senator Elizabeth Warren [dare](#) denounce during her presidential campaign in her “[I have a plan for the Judiciary too](#)”, the systematic failure of federal judges to recuse themselves from cases in which they hold a financial interest in the company of one of the parties before them. They resolve the ensuing conflict of interests by favoring that party so as to maintain or increase the value of their interest. Sen. Warren identified the circumstance enabling federal judges to commit such abusive self-enrichment to be their unaccountability. I proposed to her a [plan of investigation](#).
30. The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), in Washington, D.C., published on October 3, 2021, the Pandora Papers, that is, close to 12 million financial documents leaked to it. “MORE THAN 600 REPORTERS AROUND THE GLOBE WORK WITH ICIJ ON THE MOST EXPANSIVE LEAK OF TAX HAVEN FILES IN HISTORY”. These documents are connected to “the 14 offshore firms at the heart of the Pandora Papers investigation”. ICIJ describes its work thus:
  - a. “The largest investigation in journalism history exposes a shadow financial system that benefits the world’s most rich and powerful. Offshore havens and hidden riches of world leaders and billionaires exposed in unprecedented leak. The [Pandora Papers](#) reveal the inner workings of a shadow economy that benefits the wealthy and well-connected at the expense of everyone else”.
  - b. In April 2013, ICIJ published its “Offshore Leaks” report involving over 130,000 accounts held in offshore tax havens. I made a [proposal](#) to it for a joint investigation to determine whether judges were concealing ill-gotten assets in such type of offshore accounts.
31. Some of the above articles are collected in the [financially conflicted judges](#) file.

## **F. My offer to present this proposal**

32. I offer to make a presentation of this proposal via video conference and, if it is in New York City, in person. To schedule it and discuss its terms, use my contact information in the letterhead above.
33. You may preview my presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#) in pdf.

*Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.*

October 14, 2021

**Exposing the ramifications of the Federal Judiciary's system of  
abuse of power and financial criminality that  
has turned it into a racketeering enterprise<sup>‡</sup>**

Gerard Ryle, Director and  
Fergus Shiel, Managing Editor  
International Consortium of Investigative Journalists  
[gryle@icij.org](mailto:gryle@icij.org), [fshiel@icij.org](mailto:fshiel@icij.org), [investigations@icij.org](mailto:investigations@icij.org), [insiders@icij.org](mailto:insiders@icij.org);

James V. Grimaldi, Coulter Jones, and Joe Palazzolo, Reporters  
*The Wall Street Journal*  
[James.Grimaldi@wsj.com](mailto:James.Grimaldi@wsj.com), [Coulter.Jones@wsj.com](mailto:Coulter.Jones@wsj.com), [Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com](mailto:Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com);

Sen. Elizabeth Warren  
[info@elizabethwarren.com](mailto:info@elizabethwarren.com), [Elizabeth\\_Warren@warren.senate.gov](mailto:Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov);

and other top media outlets

Dear Messrs. Ryle and Shiel,

1. In your article "A look behind the scenes of Pandora Papers" you, Mr. Shiel, highlighted that you wanted to share "what it is like to create **powerful investigative journalism with global ramifications...**and **expose the entire system and confront it head-on**" (emphasis by you).
2. In the article below, I am proposing that you investigate the "ramifications" that the system of tax havens has developed into, generally, our "entire system" of justice, and particularly, the Federal Judiciary, where judges are appointed for life, are in effect unimpeachable and irremovable (see *infra*), and consequently engage risklessly in **abuse of power** and financial criminality.
3. Indeed, *Money!* is the force that drives federal judges to breach their oath of office and disregard their duty under law. That is what *The Wall Street Journal* exposed in its article "**131 Federal Judges Broke the Law** by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest", first published on September 28, 2021, only 5 days before you published your Pandora Papers.
4. That had been done much earlier by Senator Elizabeth Warren when she was a presidential candidate. In her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", she was the first and still remains the only politician who **dare denounce** federal judges' widespread practice of not recusing themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest in one of the parties so as to ensure what she called their "self-enrichment". Sen. Warren has explained that federal judges engage in such conduct in reliance on their unaccountability.
5. The proposed investigation will be set in motion by ICIJ, WSJ, Sen. Warren, and for the reasons shown below **Reuters** and **The Boston Globe**, and me. It will apply this pithily expressed principle: Too big to fail to know. The abuse and criminality is so 'big', for it **pervades** the Federal Judiciary, that **Chief Justice** John G. Roberts, Jr., could not fail to know. This suffices to impute knowledge to him.



6. By contrast, Attorney General [Merrick Garland](#) had actual knowledge: While he was for seven years the chief judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, he dismissed 100% of complaints against his peers and colleagues, which under law had to be filed with the respective circuit chief judge. In addition, he participated in the reasonless, rubberstamped denial of 100% of petitions to review such dismissals. So did two other members of that Court, namely, Then-Judges Roberts and [Brett Kavanaugh](#).
7. It follows that the joint investigation will "confront [federal judges] head-on" with the evidence of their abuse and criminality that is not the inadvertent mistake of individual honest judges or even the intentional malfeasance of individual rogue judges. Rather, it is wrongful conduct so coordinated among judges, whether acting as principals or as accessories who cover up for them through their acts and passive complicity, that it has become the modus operandi of their institutional system. The investigation will expose how federal judges rely on their unaccountability to run their Judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
8. The "ramifications" of this investigation will not only grip the attention of your readers and the rest of the national public. It will also operate a historic stranglehold that will squeeze out of office something much more substantial than did the generalized media investigation that caused President Nixon to resign and sent 'All his Men' to prison as a result of the Watergate scandal. It will lead to cascading resignations of [justices](#), judges, and courts until a whole branch of government, "**confronted head-on**" with its institutionalized abuse of power and financial criminality, is brought down.
9. Just as I offer hereunder other articles for publication and my plan of investigation based on the abundance of leads that I have gathered, I offer to write an article that describes in detail the "ramifications" of the Pandora Papers and WSJ article for lawyers and their clients. It will be centered on two issues that never fail to draw intense interest: increased legal business and compensation! This will provide the basis for the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).

#### **A. My offer to present this proposal**

10. I offer to make a presentation of this proposal via video conference and, if it is in New York City, in person. To schedule it and discuss its terms, use my contact information in the letterhead above.
11. You may preview my presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#) in pdf.

#### **B. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

12. **DONATE** to support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of

#### **Judicial Discipline Reform**

You may make a deposit or an online transfer to  
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

through Zelle

through *Paypal*

[https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=\\_s-xclick&hosted\\_button\\_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ](https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ)

or by mailing a check to the address below.

*Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.*

### **C. Activities financed by donations and capital investment and intended to advance the common cause of judiciaries held accountable and liable**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** and its [business plan](#) to:

13. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:  
[Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)\* † ♣
14. turn the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted countless webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that 40,110 ([App.3](#)) have become subscribers as of November 1, 2021– from an informational platform, into:
  - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
  - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes of abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
  - c. the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;
15. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
16. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
17. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
18. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
19. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & earns Pulitzers*;
20. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformational change; etc.(¶77).
21. Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are. DONATE

by making a deposit into, or a transfer using the Bill Pay feature of your online bank account to,  
Citi Bank account 4977 59 2001, routing number 021 000 089;

through Zelle;            through *Paypal*

[https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=\\_s-xclick&hosted\\_button\\_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ](https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ) ;

or by mailing a check to the address in the above letterhead.

### **E. Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities**

22. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set its terms and scheduling use my contact information in the letterhead above.

*Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.*

## Appendixes

**Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.**

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)

[DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

**Exposing**  
**Judges' Unaccountability**  
**and**  
**Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power**  
Pioneering the news and publishing field  
of  
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

**VOLUME I:**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

Volume II:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates2.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf)

Volume III:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf)

**Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.**

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)

[DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

**Judicial Discipline Reform**

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

**Exposing**  
**Judges' Unaccountability**  
**and**  
**Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power**

Pioneering the news and publishing field  
of  
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

**VOLUME II:**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates2.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf)

Volume I:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

Volume III:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf)

**Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.**

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)

[DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

**Judicial Discipline Reform**

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

**Exposing**  
**Judges' Unaccountability**  
**and**  
**Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power**

Pioneering the news and publishing field  
of  
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

**VOLUME III:**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf)

Volume I:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

Volume II:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates2.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf)

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;  
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support **Judicial Discipline Reform** to

1. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produce a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:  
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting \* † ‡;**

2. turn the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, whose articles(Appendix 6) have attracted countless webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that 40,018 (App.3) have become subscribers as of October 28, 2021, from an informational platform, into:
  - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
  - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through computer-assisted statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and schemes of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
  - c. the digital portal of the precursor to the creation of the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a top university or news network;
3. organize and embark on a tour of presentations on the above article<sup>‡</sup>, to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, and business [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
4. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS, where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
5. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in connivance with the politicians who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
6. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
7. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & earns Pulitzers*;
8. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformational change; etc.(¶57).

**Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

**DONATE**

by making a deposit into, or a transfer using the Bill Pay feature of your online bank account to,  
Citi Bank account 4977 59 2001, routing number 021 000 089;

through Zelle;

through **Paypal**

[https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=\\_s-xclick&hosted\\_button\\_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ](https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ) ;

or

by mailing a check to the address in the above letterhead.

*Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.*

♣ [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf) >from OL3:1144-1353+

\*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393


†.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143

‡ [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital\\_Investors.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf)

Browser address bar: https://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/users.php

Navigation: My Site, Reader, Write, Screen Options, Help

### Users [Add New](#)




Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).



#### Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?

You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?







[Leave a Review](#)

No thanks / I already have

All (40,123) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (40,122)**

Bulk actions  Change role to...

40,123 items << < 1 of 2,007 > >>

| <input type="checkbox"/> | Username  | Name | Email  | Role       | Posts |
|--------------------------|---|------|--|------------|-------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> |  1970mdegcf      | —    | <a href="mailto:einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com">einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com</a> | Subscriber | 0     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> |  1Barret1cuple   | —    | <a href="mailto:tornado102034@hotmail.com">tornado102034@hotmail.com</a>                   | Subscriber | 0     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> |  1ofthemany      | —    | <a href="mailto:terree@comcast.net">terree@comcast.net</a>                                 | Subscriber | 0     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> |  365betv31h      | —    | <a href="mailto:yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru">yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru</a>           | Subscriber | 0     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> |  3aplust63von    | —    | <a href="mailto:sam@3aplust63.ru">sam@3aplust63.ru</a>                                     | Subscriber | 0     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> |  791064087357326 | —    | <a href="mailto:daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com">daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com</a>           | Subscriber | 0     |



November 3, 2021

**A study and articles already written on  
judicial abuse of power, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform;  
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and  
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing<sup>‡</sup>**

**Table of Contents**

A. The study and articles available for review before publication or law writing..... 1  
B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles..... 6  
C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing..... 9

\*\*\*\*\*

**A. The study and articles available for review before publication or law writing**

**1. The study**

- 1. The three-volume study\* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries that supports the articles, which are downloadable as individual files

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:  
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting\* † ♣**

- \* Volume 1: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)  
>all prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393
- † Volume 2: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates2.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf)  
>from page OL2:394-1143
- \* Volume 3: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf)  
>from OL3:1144-1386+

Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome; it may happen that Internet Explorer only downloads a blank page.

Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(\* † ♣ >blue footnote-like references) very easy.

- 2. Many of the articles have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
- 3. Visit the website and join its 40,122+ subscribers to its articles thus: [homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

**2. The individual sections of the study**

- 1. jur:1; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Intro\\_jur:1-8.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_jur:1-8.pdf)

\* [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf) >from OL3:1144  
\*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143  
‡ [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_individual\\_files\\_links.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf)

2. jur:10; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_complaint\\_dismissal\\_statistics\\_jur9-20.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics_jur9-20.pdf)
3. jur:21; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_A\\_jur21-63.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_A_jur21-63.pdf)
4. jur:65; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_B\\_jur65-80.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_B_jur65-80.pdf)
5. jur:85; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_C\\_jur85-97.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_C_jur85-97.pdf)
6. jur:97; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_D\\_jur97-111.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_D_jur97-111.pdf)
7. jur:119; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_E\\_jur119-130.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur119-130.pdf)
8. jur:130; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_E\\_jur130-169.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur130-169.pdf)
9. jur:171; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_F\\_jur171-174.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_F_jur171-174.pdf)

### 3. The articles already written

10. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_collected\\_statistics\\_complaints\\_v\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf)
  - Cf. a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_complaint\\_dismissal\\_statistics.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf)
  - b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_hearings\\_JGorsuch\\_complainants&parties.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf)
  - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_JJ\\_Kavanaugh-Garland\\_exoneration\\_policy.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf); [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_table\\_exonerations\\_by\\_JJ\\_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf)
  - d. OL2:1176; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_JgACBarrett\\_condonation\\_judges\\_power\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf)
  - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
  - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_media\\_exposing\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf)
  - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_template\\_table\\_complaints\\_v\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf)
11. Congress's finding of cronyism in the federal courts, [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf) >(jur:32§§2-3)
12. \*>jur:65; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_abuse\\_by\\_justices.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf)
13. >jur:72fn144d; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
14. jur:122; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judicial\\_unaccountability\\_brochures\\_report.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf)
15. jur:130; <http://Judicial-Discipline->

- [Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Institute\\_judicial\\_unaccountability\\_reporting.pdf](http://Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf)
16. \*>Lsch 5; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans\\_professors\\_students.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf)
  17. \*>Lsch:13; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_dynamic\\_analysis&strategic\\_thinking.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf)
  18. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI\\_Corruption\\_Unit.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf)
  19. \*>DeLano Case Course; dcc; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Syllabus.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf)
  20. \*>Creative writings, cw; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_creative\\_writings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf)
  21. \*>OL:42; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_law\\_research\\_proposals.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf)
  22. \*>OL:158; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_no\\_judicial\\_immunity.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf)
  23. \*>OL:180 [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_turning\\_judges\\_clerks\\_into\\_informants.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_informants.pdf)
  24. \*>OL:190; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_institutionalized\\_judges\\_abuse\\_power.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf)
  25. OL:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers
  26. \*>OL:255; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university\\_law\\_research.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf)
  27. \*>OL:274; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_auditing\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf)
  28. \*>OL:311; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential\\_candidates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf)
  29. \*>OL:440; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT\\_investigate\\_interception.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf)
  30. OL2:433; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Yahogroups.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahogroups.pdf)
  31. OL2:452; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans\\_professors\\_students.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf)
  32. OL2:453; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judicial\\_accountability\\_presentation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf)
  33. OL2:468; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_turning\\_court\\_clerks\\_into\\_informants.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf)
  34. OL2:546; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_complaint\\_dismissal\\_statistics.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf); see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical\\_tables\\_complaints\\_v\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf)
  35. OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10<sup>th</sup> Circuit; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_hearings\\_JGorsuch\\_complainants&parties.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf)
  36. OL2:567; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The\\_Dissatisfied\\_with\\_Judicial\\_System.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf)
  37. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_do\\_not\\_read.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf)
  38. OL2:614; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_how\\_fraud\\_scheme\\_works.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf)

39. OL2:760; see OL2:608
40. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
41. OL2:773; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard\\_Yale\\_prof\\_students.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf)
42. OL2:781; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_intercepting\\_emails\\_mail.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf)
43. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt\\_CJ\\_JGRoberts.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf)
44. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
45. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_programmatic\\_presentation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf)
46. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
47. \*>OL2:879; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Black\\_Robed\\_Predators\\_documentary.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf)
48. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
49. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
50. OL2:929; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT\\_investigate\\_interception.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf)
51. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
52. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
53. OL2:951; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_citizens\\_hearings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf)
54. OL2:957; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_video.mp4](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4)
55. OL2:957; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_slides.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf)
56. OL2:971; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors\\_students\\_journalists.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf);  
[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors\\_students\\_lawyers.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf)
57. OL2:983; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_introduction\\_video\\_slides\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf)
58. OL2:991; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_on\\_SenEWarren.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf)
59. OL2:997; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_SenEWarren\\_plan\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf)
60. OL2:1003; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media\\_DARE.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf)
61. OL2:1006; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_pitch-Media.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf)
62. OL2:1022; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital\\_Investors.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf)
63. OL2:1027; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_SenEWarren\\_plan\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf)
64. OL2:1032; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_international\\_exposure\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf)
65. OL2:1037; <http://Judicial-Discipline->

[Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_out\\_of\\_court\\_inform\\_outrage\\_strategy.pdf](http://Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf)

66. OL2:1040; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties\\_invoking\\_impeachment\\_trial.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf)
67. OL2:1045; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors\\_Students\\_Journalists.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf); [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors\\_students\\_lawyers.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf)
68. \*>OL2:1051; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_citizen\\_hearings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf)
69. OL2:1056; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters\\_clerks.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf) = [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_sham\\_hearings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf)
70. OL2:1066; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_adapting\\_to\\_new\\_legal\\_market.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf)  
[sent to LexisNexis]
71. OL2:1073; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_inform\\_outrage\\_be\\_compensated.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf)
72. \*>OL2:1081; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_intercepting\\_emails\\_mail.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf)  
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
73. OL2:1084; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson\\_Reuters.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf)
74. OL2:1090; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky\\_Above\\_the\\_Law.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf)
75. \*>OL2:1093; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington\\_Post.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf)
76. OL2:1101; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial\\_abusees&publishers.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf)
77. OL2:1104; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring\\_manager.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf)
78. OL2:1108; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International\\_Team.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf)
79. OL2:1116; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_research\\_documents&sources.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf)
80. OL2:1119; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judicial\\_abuse\\_forms.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf)
81. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters\\_judges\\_investigation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf)
82. \*>OL2:1134; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow\\_hosts\\_coalition.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf)
83. OL2:1144; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_your\\_story\\_for\\_Reuters.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf)
84. OL2:1154; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American\\_Thinker.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf)
85. \*>OL2:1164; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center\\_Public\\_Integrity.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf); [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_of\\_power.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf)
86. \*>OL2:1168; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_joining\\_forces\\_making\\_allies.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf)
87. \*>OL2:1172; [http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_exposure\\_election\\_justice.pdf](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf)
88. \*>OL2:1176; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_JgACBarrett\\_condonation\\_judges\\_power\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf)
89. OL3:1187; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD\\_repairing\\_democracy.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf)
90. OL3:1197; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_citizens\\_hearings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf)
91. \*>OL2:1205; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters\\_Law\\_Firm\\_Council.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf)
92. \*>OL2:1212; agenda for video conference; <http://Judicial-Discipline->

[Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_preparing\\_video\\_conference.pdf](http://Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf)

93. OL2:1221; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News\\_Directors\\_on\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf)
94. OL3:1228; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_emails\\_mail\\_intercepted\\_by\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf)
95. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
96. OL3:1237; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_media\\_exposing\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf)
97. OL3:1243; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_talkshow\\_hosts\\_coalition.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf)
98. OL3:1246; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs\\_lawyers\\_media.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf)
99. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
100. OL3:1253; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_exposing\\_Judge\\_Garland&judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf); [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_actions\\_to\\_expose\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf)
101. OL3:1257; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship\\_Abuse\\_Symposium.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf); [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_exposing\\_judges\\_power\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf)
102. OL3:1273; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship\\_Abuse\\_Symposium\\_slides.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf)
103. OL3:1283; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_facts\\_&\\_strategic\\_thinking.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf)
104. OL3:1291. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica\\_&\\_media.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf)
105. OL3:1301; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden\\_ScT\\_reform\\_Commission.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_ScT_reform_Commission.pdf)
106. OL3:1318; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_citizens\\_hearings\\_outrage\\_compensation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf)
107. OL3:1323; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians\\_v\\_Biden\\_ScT\\_Commission.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_ScT_Commission.pdf)
108. OL3:1329; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_method\\_for\\_writing\\_your\\_story.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf)
109. OL3:1338; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_joining\\_forces\\_to\\_tell\\_your\\_story.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf)
110. ; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_citizens\\_hearings\\_by\\_students&journalists.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf)
111. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_politicians-judges\\_connivance.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_politicians-judges_connivance.pdf)
112. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_becoming\\_teacher&leader.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf)
113. OL3:1371; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media\\_to\\_investigate\\_judges\\_financial\\_criminality.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf)
114. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially\\_conflicted\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf)
115. OL3:1380; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_writing\\_reliable\\_stories&telling\\_national\\_public.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_writing_reliable_stories&telling_national_public.pdf)
116. OL3:1383; [http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_webinar\\_judges\\_abuse\\_compensation.pdf](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf)

## **B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf)

117. judges' unaccountability(\*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(\*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
118. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
119. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
120. to receive 'justice services'(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
121. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
122. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68<sup>123a</sup>);
123. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
124. law clerks' vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter's glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
125. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
126. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
127. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
128. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of 'Me Too! Abusers'(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges' abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
129. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
  - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65<sup>107a,c</sup>), and launder(105<sup>213</sup>) it;
  - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics' communications(OL2:582§C);
    - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
    - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
    - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal,

bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);

- 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
130. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
131. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
132. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
  - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
  - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
  - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
  - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
  - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
133. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
134. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of November 3, 2021, had **40,122+** subscribers, into:
  - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
  - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the



judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and

- c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture ([jur:119§§1-4](#)). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));

135. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([\\*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

136. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [\\*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;

137. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

## **C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing**

### **1. Treatises**

138. **Start your research here to gain an overview of the subject and proceed to the ever more specific:** <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law

139. [https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC\\_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction)

140. [https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC\\_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea)

### **2. Law reviews and journals**

141. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

### **3. U.S. Constitution**

142. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: “*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice”; [http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US\\_Constitution.pdf](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf)
143. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US\\_Constitution.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf)

#### **4. U.S. Code** (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

144. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
145. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), Bankruptcy Code; *id.* ; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc\\_Bankruptcy\\_Code.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Code.pdf)
146. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), Criminal Code, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*; with bookmarks at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc\\_Criminal\\_Code.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Code.pdf)

#### **5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary**

147. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc\\_Judicial\\_Code.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf)

#### **6. Federal rules of procedure applicable in all federal courts**

148. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (11 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc\\_Bankruptcy\\_Rules.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Rules.pdf)
149. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (18 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc\\_Criminal\\_Rules.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Rules.pdf)
150. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (28 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence**; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc\\_Civ\\_App\\_Evi\\_Rules.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf)
151. Cf., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2021-revised-ed/p/106721176?trkcode=recsrpl&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
152. For the rules of the Supreme Court, see subsection 11 infra.

#### **7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court**

153. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; [https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case\\_filing/rules/rules\\_home.html](https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html)

#### **8. Code of Federal Regulations**

154. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law; <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

#### **9. Bills pending** (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

155. [https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b\\_three\\_sections\\_with\\_teasers/active\\_leg\\_page.htm](https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b_three_sections_with_teasers/active_leg_page.htm)  
156. <https://www.house.gov/legislative-activity>

## 10. Some federal laws of particular interest

157. The **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc\\_Ethics\\_in\\_Government.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_in_Government.pdf)
158. Duty to report abuse, **18 USC §3057**; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
159. Circuit justices, **28 USC 42**
160. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud\\_Councils\\_Reform\\_bill\\_30sep80.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf) (see also [jur:159<sup>280</sup>](#))
161. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the meetings of the judicial councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335\\_Conf\\_Councils.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf) (see also [jur:75<sup>148</sup>](#))
162. **Judicial Conduct** and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24<sup>18a</sup>](#)), setting forth a procedure for anybody to file a complaint about a federal judge with the chief circuit judge where the complained-about judge sits
163. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
164. [https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule\\_11](https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11) (duties of lawyers and pro ses who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
165. **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978; 5 U.S.C. Appendix
166. **Racketeer Influenced** and Corrupt Organizations Act(**RICO**); 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
167. **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act**; 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc\\_FISA.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc_FISA.pdf)

## 11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

168. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
169. [https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules\\_guidance.aspx](https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx)
170. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who discusses the key statistics on federal cases
171. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice; <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

172. Table 1

| <b>Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020</b> |         |           |
|---|---------|-----------|
| Supreme Court   |         | 69        |
| Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)  | 48,300  |           |
| Federal circuit   | 1,568   |           |
| 94 District courts (civil cases)  | 271,256 |           |
| 94 District courts (criminal cases)   | 58,589  |           |
| 90 Bankruptcy courts  | 721,251 |           |
| U.S. Court of International Trade   | 631     |           |
| U.S. Court of Federal Claims  | 1,742   |           |
| Totals  |         | 1,103,337 |

### 12. Cases in the lower federal courts

- 200. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF); <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf>
- 201. Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>
- 202. Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER); <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>
- 203. To find the website of each federal court, where its cases are posted go to <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

### 13. Judicial Conference of the U.S. (the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary)

- 204. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference>, which contains a list of its 20 committees
- 205. Reports of the Judicial Conference’s biannual meetings, <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us>
- 206. Regulations on judges’ annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, <https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/judiciary-policies/ethics-policies/financial-disclosure-report-regulations>

### 14. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal, as opposed to state, courts)

- 207. **Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO)**; <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
- 208. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
- 209. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
- 210. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document(28 USC §604(a)(3-4)); the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court(§601);

<https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>

211. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>

212. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>

213. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num\\_jud\\_officers.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf)

214. Table 2

| <b>Number of federal judicial officers</b>  |         |         |         |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| <a href="https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020">https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020</a> |         |         |         |
| Categories of federal judicial officers   | 30sep18 | 30sep19 | 30sep20 |
| Supreme Court justices  | 9       | 9       | 9       |
| <a href="#">circuit judges</a>  | 166     | 175     | 179     |
| senior circuit judges (semi-retired)  | 96      | 100     | 99      |
| <a href="#">district judges id.</a>   | 562     | 585     | 621     |
| senior district judges  | 412     | 423     | 419     |
| <a href="#">bankruptcy judges</a> (including recalled judges)   | 350     | 344     | 334     |
| <a href="#">magistrates</a> (including recalled judges)   | 664     | 671     | 680     |
| Totals  | 2259    | 2307    | 2341    |

256. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and

257. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>

258. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>

259. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints about federal judges in Table S-22(28 USC §604(h)(2)); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>

260. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>;

261. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>

262. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

## **15. Federal Judicial Center** (for research; and education of judges)

263. <https://www.fjc.gov>

264. List of the 8 impeached federal judges since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

## **16. Other federal entities and people**

265. White House press release of April 9, 2021, "**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the**

\* [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf) >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:13

**Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States”;**

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>

266. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>
267. Office of **Professional Responsibility** of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>
268. Judges’ annual mandatory **financial disclosure reports**, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
269. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

**17. United States Postal Service**

270. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

**18. Sources of state legal authority**

**a. Treatises**

271. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231>

**b. State constitution and laws**

272. [https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD\\_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS\\_PP\\_Law-Books\\_Main\\_Search\\_Brand-Phrase\\_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&ef\\_id=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD\\_BwE:G:s&s\\_kwid=AL!7944!3!440994957489!p!g!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books](https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS_PP_Law-Books_Main_Search_Brand-Phrase_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&ef_id=EAlaIqobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE:G:s&s_kwid=AL!7944!3!440994957489!p!g!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books)
273. Search for a compilation of all state codes, laws, rules, and regulations; e.g., [McKinney's Consolidated Laws of New York Annotated® \(Annotated Statute & Code Series\)](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york>

**c. Uniform laws** (the product of agreements among the states)

274. Uniform Laws Annotated; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/p/100028543>
275. Uniform Commercial Code; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Commercial-Code-2020-2021-ed/p/106675446?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

**d. Restatement of laws**

276. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=restatement+of+laws>

### **e. Rules of procedure applicable in all the courts of a state**

277. E.g., [McKinney's New York Civil Practice Law and Rules](#), 2020 ed.;  
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075>

#### **1) Rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed; e.g., in New York; <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/index.shtml>**

278. Rules of the Chief Judge, <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefjudge/index.shtml>, of the Court of Appeals,  
<https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/courtofAppeals.shtml>, the highest NY State court (#1- to 81)

279. Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge (#100 to 154),  
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>

280. Uniform Rules of the New York State trial courts (#200 to 221),  
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/index.shtml>; e.g., the supreme and the county courts;  
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.shtml>.

a. Rules of the First Department Supreme Court [of four departments], which in NY is a trial court;  
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/Commencement-of-Cases-2.shtml>

b. There are uniform rules (#205 to 221) for specialized courts, e.g., family and surrogate, capital cases, and particular activities, e.g., jury selection, depositions

281. Joint Rules of the Departments of the Appellate Division (partial: 22 NYCRR Parts 1200-1400);  
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml>

a. Rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>

282. Each court may have supplementary rules of its own as well as rules of specific judges...so much for a New York State *Unified* Court System.

### **f. Regulations of the state administrative agencies**

283. Go to the state's department of state; Google the state administrative agency; or search for a compilation of the state codes, laws, rules, and regulations

284. E.g.,  
<https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Index?bhcp=1&transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>

285. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/New-York-Codes-Rules-and-Regulations-NYCRR/p/100019553>

### **g. Bills pending in the state legislature**

286. E.g. <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation>

### **h. State cases**

287. For information on state cases Google the highest court in the state, which may have a state court locator or a "Links of interest"; otherwise, Google the lower state court in question, which may have a website and post its cases to it; e.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/>

288. E.g., Court of Appeals of the State of New York (the highest court in New York State),  
<https://www.nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm>

\* [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf) >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:15

289. E.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/cts-NYC-SUPREME.shtml> (the supreme courts in NYS are trial courts)
290. E.g., Supreme Court for the County of New York (Manhattan and Bronx)  
<http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/index.shtml>

## **19. Entities representing state courts and compiling their statistics**

291. Conference of **Chief Justices** of the states; <https://ccj.ncsc.org>
292. National Center for State Courts; [www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics](http://www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics)
293. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
294. Conference of State Court **Administrators** (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
295. National Association for Court **Management** (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>
296. National Conference of Appellate **Court Clerks** (NCACC); [www.appellatecourtclerks.org](http://www.appellatecourtclerks.org)
297. Number of cases filed in state courts **annually**; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num\\_state\\_cases\\_07.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf)

## **20. Rules and codes of conduct for judges and lawyers**

298. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>
299. American Bar Association Model **Rules** of Professional Conduct;  
[https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional\\_responsibility/publications/model\\_rules\\_of\\_professional\\_conduct/model\\_rules\\_of\\_professional\\_conduct\\_table\\_of\\_contents/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/)
300. American Bar Association Model **Code** of Judicial Conduct;  
[https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional\\_responsibility/publications/model\\_code\\_of\\_judicial\\_conduct/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/)
301. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

## **21. Reports by media outlets and VIPs that have exposed judges**

### **a. Reports exposing judges**

302. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:
- Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
  - Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
  - Part 3, 14juy21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
  - <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
  - 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>
  - <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
303. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>



304. 131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest; James V. Grimaldi, Coulter Jones, Joe Palazzolo; Wall Street Journal; [https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdrn4VM9Ssvk\\_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ](https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdrn4VM9Ssvk_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ); 28sep21
- a. [James.Grimaldi@wsj.com](mailto:James.Grimaldi@wsj.com); <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/james-v-grimaldi>
  - b. [Coulter.Jones@wsj.com](mailto:Coulter.Jones@wsj.com); <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/coulter-jones>; reach Mr. Jones at 212-416-3778
  - c. [Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com](mailto:Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com); <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/joe-palazzolo>
305. Senator Elizabeth Warren's "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too"; <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>

### **b. Reports with leads and methodology useful for investigating judges**

306. Pandora Papers; International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, Washington, D.C.; 3oct21; <https://www.icij.org/investigations/pandora-papers/>

## **22. Journalists and media outlets**

307. CBS news anchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
308. *Biden's court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
309. **The Associated Press**; <https://www.ap.org/about/>

## **23. Entities accrediting educational institutions** (and serving as portals to them)

310. (**journalism** schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
311. [https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal\\_education/resources/aba\\_approved\\_law\\_schools/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/)
312. (**business** schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
313. [https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm\\_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm](https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm;); consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

## **24. Law book publishers**

314. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
315. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
316. [https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq\\_mid=23169&elq\\_cid=15386188&elq\\_ename=P\\_PRNT\\_PRD\\_9030215\\_EMUSNPR1REMNyTitles\\_em1\\_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_source=eloqua&utm\\_campaign=P\\_PRNT\\_PRD\\_9030215\\_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles\\_20201209&utm\\_c](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNyTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_c)

ontent=9030215

317. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

## **25. Other private entities and people**

318. American Association of **University Professors**, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>

319. American Association of Retired People; <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>

320. Judicial Watch, <https://www.judicialwatch.org>

321. Judicial Watch's repository of judges' financial disclosure reports, <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>

End Page

Blank

Blank