

May 9, 2020

**Advancing your interests by enlisting an embarrassed Trump  
in exposing judges who will abuse Covid victims**

Reporter Amber Phillips, The 5-Minute Fix [amber.phillips@washpost.com](mailto:amber.phillips@washpost.com)  
Columnist Michelle Singletary, Color of Money [Michelle.Singletary@washpost.com](mailto:Michelle.Singletary@washpost.com)  
*The Washington Post* [colorofmoney@washpost.com](mailto:colorofmoney@washpost.com)

Dear reporter Phillips, columnist Singletary, and *Washington Post* editors,<sup>‡</sup>

I read with interest your articles “*Early warnings about coronavirus went straight to Trump*” and “If you’re still waiting on your \$1,200 stimulus check...”.

**A. A proposal to publish and investigate that advances your interests**

1. *The Washington Post* does not spare President Trump any embarrassment. To that fact I apply a key principle of strategic thinking: ‘dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests’(\*>[dcc:8¶11](#); [Lsch:14§§2-3](#), [OL:52§C](#)). You, *The Post*, and I each have ideological, commercial, and reputational interests. They are harmonious. Embarrassing P. Trump will advance them.
2. The fostering of our respective interests underlies this proposal<sup>‡</sup>. It seeks to join you, your assigning editors, editor-in-chief, publisher, and me in exposing the riskless abuse of power of unaccountable judges and their judiciaries; demanding that they compensate their victims; and eventually advocating the reform of the judicial and legal system. To that end, I propose that you:
  - a. publish one(e.g., <sup>†</sup>>[OL2:608](#), [614](#), [760](#), [781](#)) or a series of my articles([OL2:719§C](#)); or commission me to write them; which can appear in a column, maybe a syndicated one; and
  - b. jointly undertake related investigations(\*>[OL:194§E](#)) intended to expose the full nature, extent, and gravity of judges’ abuse. Our findings will outrage the national public and prompt their victims into forming local chapters to demand that the judges before whom they appeared and their courts refund court filing fees abusively collected for services not rendered; and upon waiver applications being denied arbitrarily with no explanation; and compensate parties for wasteful briefs that they did not, and knew that they would not, read.
  - c. Such exposure, investigations, and popular demand are the prerequisites for any reform.
3. No partisanship or political consideration motivates the effort to embarrass Trump. Rather, it is a means to attain an end: create a situation where he, in all likelihood acting in his own interest and not “in the interest of justice”, either joins the exposure of judges’ abuse or comes to their defense, thereby further outraging the public. Embarrassing Trump with this choice applies another strategic thinking principle: ‘Turn a party into the enemy of your enemy and you have given yourself an ally’(cf. \*>[OL2:445§B](#)).

**B. Repeating history to transform a millennial impossible into historic reform**

4. By exposing unaccountable judges’ abuse of power, you can become this generation’s counterparts to your iconic figures of Watergate fame: reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein. They were the first to report on the break-in on June 17, 1972, at the Democratic National Headquarters in the Watergate building complex in Washington, D.C. Thanks to the unwavering support that they received from editor Benjamin Bradlee and publisher Katharine Graham, they continued investigating, and reporting on, the story.

\*[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf) >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1093

‡ [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington\\_Post.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf)

5. Yet, many of their peers inside and outside *the Post* derided it as dealing with “a garden variety burglary by five plumbers”...until Woodward’s and Bernstein’s findings exposed the gravity of the break-in as political espionage organized by President Nixon and his White House aides with the help of the top officers of his reelection committee. They also exposed what so very often is graver than the initial crime: its cover-up: A hush fund at the committee was used to pay the burglars and what they would not have been able to afford, that is, their first-rate, D.C. lawyers; and the FBI and the IRS were misused to harass, publicly denigrate, and silence P. Nixon’s political opponents.
6. Woodward’s and Bernstein’s articles and the public interest that they elicited prompted ever more journalists and media outlets to investigate the story. So developed a generalized media investigation of, and reporting on, the story. It became a runaway media bandwagon that neither Nixon nor his aides could stop. It arrived at an unprecedented point, one that was not envisioned initially: the announcement by the President on August 8, 1974, of his resignation; and the imprisonment of ‘*All His Men*’ for plotting and executing the break-in and its cover-up. (\*>jur:4¶¶10-14)
7. What you can do today is more significant for our ‘government, not of men and women, but by the rule of law’ (\*>OL:5<sup>6</sup>): set in motion the exposure of unaccountable judges’ riskless abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi. Their abuse can so outrage *We the People* as to force judges and justices to resign, as Former 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski had to do on December 18, 2017(OL2:645§A), and Justice Abe Fortas did on May 14, 1969(\*>jur: 92§d). These are repeatable precedents. They make it reasonable to expect the resignation of a discredited Supreme Court. The Federal Judiciary can go morally and financially bankrupt and be replaced:
8. The interest in being compensated is bound to attract many of the scores of millions of people who were, are, or will be parties to the more than 50 million cases filed in all courts every year(\*>jur:8<sup>4.5</sup>) and whom judges abused, are abusing, or will abuse. They constitute The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System(†>OL2:951). They are a huge untapped audience, whose recognition as a market and reformative force we can pioneer. Local chapters of demanders of compensation can unite into a single issue Tea Party-like national movement for judicial reform.
9. Scores of millions can force the transformation of the millennial impossible of holding judges accountable into the reality of holding them even liable to compensate their victims. Indeed, where the law rules, “Nobody is Above the Law”. Judges hold liable doctors and their hospitals; police officers and their departments; priests and their churches; etc. It follows that the law not only must afford equal protection, but also must hold everybody equally accountable and liable. So reforming the system of justice to apply to judges too will constitute historic, transformative change.

### **C. Proposal based on a professional study and a website with 31,566+ subscribers**

10. The articles proposed for publication are based on my 2-volume study\* † of judges and their judiciaries, the product of my professional law research and writing and strategic thinking:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:**  
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting\* †

11. I have posted some of my articles(summarized [infra §G](#)) to my Judicial Discipline Reform website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have interested so many visitors that as of this writing 31,566 and counting have become subscribers(†>OL2:Appendix 3).
12. This is understandable because my study and articles deal with vital interests of the national public:
  - a. Senator E. Warren’s denunciation of judges’ self-enrichment by concealing their interests in cases and deciding them in their favor and to the detriment of parties(†>OL2:997, 1003)

- b. Judges' bankruptcy fraud scheme and its spread to Covid-caused bankruptcies, taking advantage of, and aggravating people's financial and emotional distress(\*>jur:9; †>OL2:614)
  - c. "The math of abuse" demonstrates judges' failure to read most briefs, each of which costs a party \$Ks and even \$10Ks to produce and becomes a compensable waste(†>OL2:760)
  - d. Judges' interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics and prevent individuals from uniting to form a reformative movement(†>OL2:781, 929)
  - e. The sham hearings in the Federal Judiciary and Congress on judicial accountability, involving judges/politicians connivance and fraud on witnesses and the public(†>OL2:1056)
  - f. The Chief Justice's presiding over Trump's impeachment trial with disregard for "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice" can be invoked by anybody as precedent for refusing discovery on equal protection grounds and privileged communications(†>OL2:1040)
  - g. Judges dismiss 100% of complaints against them, which must be filed with them, while politicians condone such self-ensured unaccountability, thus conniving to leave complainants uncompensated and the rest of the public at their mercy(\*>jur:10-14; †>OL2:548, 748)
13. My articles and website have proven their public interest. Their publication and further investigation should interest you and *The Post* commercially(OL2:1022) and journalistically, for "scandal sells and grows your audience". Since *The Post's* logo is "Democracy Dies in Darkness", it can show that "**THE PEOPLE, ENLIGHTENED AND OUTRAGED BY INFORMATION, CAN ADVANCE THEIR OWN INTERESTS**".

#### **D. Exposing outrageous abuse committed or covered up by Supreme Court justices**

14. We can expose the abuse of power committed by Trump Supreme Court nominees J. Neil Gorsuch(†>OL2:548) and J. Brett Kavanaugh(OL2:748); as well as the abuse that they continue to commit by covering up the abuse that is committed in the circuits to which they have been allotted under Title 28 of the U.S. Code §42 as circuit justices, that is, as their supervisors.
15. Likewise, we can lay bare the abuse of Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sotomayor(\*>jur:65 §§1-3) and of the two senators who recommended her for the Supreme Court and connivingly shepherded her through the Senate confirmation process, none others than Senate Minority Leader Charles Schumer and former presidential candidate Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand(\*>jur:77 §§5-6). The abuse and cover-up by other justices can also be exposed(\*>jur:71 §4).
16. By publishing these articles, we can set in motion a generalized media request for Trump to order released the FBI vetting reports on those justices and other judges. This request is in line with the very low standard provided by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1) and 11(b)(3), which authorize discovery as a fishing expedition for anything that may turn out to be useful(†>OL2:1043 ¶¶ 20, 21) because even the statements of a hunted witch could "likely have evidentiary support".
17. P. Trump's response to that request will confront him with a most embarrassing dilemma:
18. On the one hand, if Trump appears to agree that "so-called" judges abuse their power or that their FBI vetting reports should be ordered released in order to establish the facts, the statement of Then-Judge, Now-Justice Gorsuch will come into play, who said "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us"(†>OL2:546).
- a. That statement expresses judges' gang mentality: Legal and ethical considerations do not determine whether the "attack" was right or wrong; when a member of the gang of judicial "brothers and sisters" is "attacked", their primeval reaction is to utter a cry to gang warfare.

- b. A single federal district judge suspended Trump’s Muslim travel ban *nationwide*. Three circuit judges upheld the suspension *nationwide*. Imagine what the gang can do to him if all flexed their muscles in their judicial decisions to teach him a lesson: “*Never ever attack any of the brothers and sisters of the gang of the Black Robed Bullies!*”(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:879).
  - c. If the opponents of Trump filed claims concerning his pandemic management, reelection, and tax records, and judges found for them, he could “attack” the judges in line with his assertion lacking any sense of proportion or self-restraint: “When I’m hit, I hit back 10 times harder”. The judges could teach him that they are the bigger, stronger, and meaner gang. Judges’ ganging up on him will provoke a constitutional crisis precisely when he can ill afford to divert his attention from attacking VP Biden and fighting for his reelection while managing the coronavirus pandemic without making self-embarrassing comments.
19. On the other hand, if Trump refuses to release the FBI reports on the judges, he will appear covering up their abuse and racketeering(OL2:1051). Will he risk dissatisfying even more The Dissatisfied with the Judicial System(supra ¶9) by allowing judges to keep abusing them, or try to become their anti-abuse champion while becoming the judges’ nemesis? An embarrassing dilemma!

### **E. Bringing out whistleblowers, demanders of compensation, and hearings**

20. While judges can retaliate against one person at a time, they cannot retaliate simultaneously against the media investigating them, lest they betray their self-interested complicit coordination.
21. The investigation can create the contacts with, or elicit the spontaneous appearance of, the most threatening figure for any abuser of power: *whistleblowers!* Judges and court/law clerks disgusted at the abuse that they have committed or been forced to commit(\*>jur:30§1) may become whistleblowers. They may reveal their damning inside information to journalists as Deep Throat(\*>jur:106§c) confidential informants(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:1014, 468); to the authorities, such as the leaders of Congress or the chairs of its committees on the judiciary; or to the public directly in Emile Zola’s *I accuse!*-like(\*>jur:98§2) op-eds published, not on *The New York Times*, but for a change, on *The Washington Post*. Whistleblowers can have a chilling effect on judges and their retaliation.
22. The findings of our and other journalists’ investigation and the whistleblowers’ revelations can outrage the public precisely when it is strongest: when preparing to vote at a presidential election so that opportunistic and honest politicians must appear to be responsive to their complaints and demands, such as those for compensation. An informed and outraged public can demand that:
- a. their representatives in Congress and all other 2020 election candidates expose judges’ abuse, e.g., at their rallies and by holding nationally televised congressional hearings; and/or
  - b. unprecedented citizen hearings(OL2:1078§F) be held, where journalists, professors, and others hear victims of, and witnesses to, judges’ abuse before a live audience at media and university sites and everywhere else through interactive multimedia nationally broadcast; their report to be presented at the first-ever and *Post* sponsored conference on judges’ abuse.

### **F. My offer of a presentation of this publication and investigation proposal**

23. I respectfully suggest that after you read this article(and *infra* §G) and submit it to your editors and publisher, we hold a presentation via video conference where I can lay it out and answer your questions concern-ing the publication of one or a series of my articles and the launch of related joint investigations. Let us advance our interests together by ‘Enlightening *the People* to Keep their Democracy Alive’ and “Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting”.

*Dare trigger history!*(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:1003)...and you may enter it.

## G. Sample of summarized articles for ‘pioneering a legal news and publishing market’

24. The sample of summarized articles(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:719§C) is for you or others(OL2:1060) to adapt to the new normal legal market. They will inform and outrage the national public concerning unaccountable judges’ riskless abuse of power. Each provides the basis for a joint investigation(\*>OL:194§E). They can become our Emile Zola’s *I accuse!*-like(\*>jur:98§2) denunciation thereof.

### 1. Sen. E. Warren’s denunciation of judges’ abusive self-enrichment

25. Sen. Elizabeth Warren has a “plan for the Judiciary too”<sup>φ</sup>. She dare denounce federal judges for failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they hold shares in the company of one of the parties before them and resolving such conflict of interests in their own favor so as to protect or enhance the value of their shares. Sen. Warren explains judges’ abusive self-enrichment by their reliance on their unaccountability. Her plan envisages the adoption of legislation to hold judges accountable for enriching themselves abusively(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:998, 1003<sup>φ</sup>).

<sup>φ</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media\\_DARE.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf)

26. Sen. Warren’s denunciation unwittingly validates the key finding of my study\*<sup>†</sup>: The class of judges acting collectively, as opposed to rogue judges acting individually, have institutionalized their abuse of power as their and their judiciary’s modus operandi for their gain and convenience.

27. Their abusive self-enrichment necessarily entails judges’ committing in an organized way the crimes of concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, breach of trust, and fraud.

28. A key circumstance enabling these crimes is that judges file misleading annual financial disclosure reports(\*>jur:65<sup>107c</sup>) required by the Ethics in Government Act(jur:65<sup>107d</sup>).

a. Though public documents(jur:105<sup>213a</sup>), those reports are filed pro forma with, since they are approved as a matter of course by, not independent non-judges, but rather other judges. The latter are the members on the committee to review those reports just as they are the filers’ peers, colleagues, and friends; the reviewers too are subject to the same filing obligation(jur:102§a; <sup>213b</sup>).

b. Since filers and reviewers commit and cover up crimes(jur:88§§a-c), they are parties to an interdependent survival agreement that assures them of reciprocal exoneration from any reporting abuse and other complaints(infra §7). The ensuing unaccountability removes the moral reins on greed and allows it to run amok into corruption.

### 2. Judges’ bankruptcy fraud scheme and its spread to Covid-caused bankruptcies

29. This scheme(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:614)<sup>φ</sup> involves annually hundreds of thousands of cases filed in the 90 federal bankruptcy courts -776,674 in the 1oct18-30sep19 fiscal year, bound to increase, e.g., Nieman Marcus and J. Crew- and \$100s of billions in controversy between creditors and debtors(jur:27§2).

<sup>φ</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_how\\_fraud\\_scheme\\_works.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf)

30. The scheme also involves not only judges, but also bankruptcy professionals, who are insiders of the legal and bankruptcy system, including “attorneys, accountants, appraisers, auctioneers, or other professional persons”(jur:81<sup>169</sup>), such as warehousemen, bankers, bankruptcy form fillers and advisers, etc. They work in coordination to prey easily on bankrupts(\*>jur:65§§1-3).

31. Covid-19 has made more than 22 million people unemployed and sent millions to food banks. Many will not be able to find a job and will default on their mortgage, rent payments, or medical



bills. Many will go bankrupt, as will many of the 30+ millions of small businesses.

32. The immense majority of bankrupts will not be able to afford attorneys' fees. So, they will appear in court without legal representation as pro ses, i.e., self-represented. They will very soon be overwhelmed by the unimaginable complexity of:
  - a. the bankruptcy forms which they must fill out(\*>jur:28<sup>35</sup>, 43<sup>65</sup>) and which make reference to:
  - b. the Bankruptcy Code (Title 11 U.S. Code [of federal laws]) and
  - c. the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure(11 U.S.C. appendix) as supplemented by
  - d. the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure(28 U.S.C. appendix) all as well as
  - e. the rules of the court in which they are filing(\*>OL:131), as interpreted by
  - f. judges' procedural and substantive decisions...*Stop! Stop!! Get me out of here!!!*
33. Parties represented by attorneys will not fare much better: In most of the 90 bankruptcy courts nationwide(\*>jur:20), which are part of the Federal Judiciary, there are three or fewer bankruptcy judges. Attorneys must appear before them time and again(jur:66¶139). Practically none will challenge the judge, never mind appeal from his decision(jur:28§3), because antagonizing the judge results in becoming the target of that judge's and his peers' devastating retaliatory power (\*>Lsch:17§C). Hence, attorneys will take their clients' money and give the judge a subservient and fearful "Yes, your Honor. Yes, yes, yes!, your Honor".
34. It follows that clients need to 'grill' their attorneys on how vigorously they have represented their previous clients and will dare represent them...but they need to do much more.
35. Parties need to know what they are getting into and dealing with, before going to bankruptcy court and while there. They must apply the aphorism KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.
36. Parties must learn as much as they can about the process(\*>jur:37§§4, 5) and each player in it, as shown in the seminar on role playing(†>OL2:712§E; OL:359§F).
37. Yet, neither self- nor attorney-represented parties are a match for judges and their cronies(jur:32 §§2, 3), among whom attorneys are. Parties, each proceeding separately, will be picked out one by one by unaccountable judges who abuse their power risklessly for their gain and convenience (OL2:1051). But parties will at least know what hit them and got them *wiped out!*

### **3. Judges' failure to read the vast majority of briefs**

38. Judges' failure to read most briefs is demonstrated by 'the math of abuse'(†>OL2:608§A). This is an innovative way of analyzing judges' performance by using the objectivity of math rather than the subjectivity of a personal assessment of their decisions.
39. Judges require that each party file in support of its case or motion a brief that costs \$Ks and even \$10Ks to produce(†>OL2:760§A)<sup>φ</sup> although they know that they will in all likelihood not read it.  
<sup>φ</sup>[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_do\\_not\\_read.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf)
40. Instead, they have their clerks dump most briefs out of the judges' caseload by applying robotically guidelines to identify those cases to be disposed of by the clerks issuing unresearched, unreasoned, arbitrary orders lacking any discussion of the facts and the law, and contained in what the clerks only need to date, fill out the blanks, and rubberstamp: *a dumping form!*

### **4. Judges' interception and suppression of people's emails and mail**

41. Judges intercept people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics so as to protect their pretense of honesty and thereby keep their unaccountability from congressional supervision(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:1083§§A-B)<sup>ϕ</sup>.  
<sup>ϕ</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson\\_Reuters.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf)
42. To that end, they abuse their own vast, nationwide computer networks and expertise, which enable the daily filing and retrieval of millions of briefs, motions, records, decisions, orders, docket entries and inquiries, etc.; and the dependency of the intelligence agencies, such as the NSA, for the approval of their secret requests of secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act(OL2:781§§A-B)<sup>ϕ</sup> and other subpoenas and warrants.  
<sup>ϕ</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_intercepting\\_emails\\_mail.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf)
43. With these interception and suppression, judges breach their oath and duty to safeguard the Constitution in behalf of *We the People*, and instead, protect their personal and class interest in concealing and continuing their abuse of power.
44. In so doing, they trample on Americans' most cherished rights, namely, those under the First Amendment guaranteeing "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [by email and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including their payment of compensation]" (<sup>†</sup>>OL2:792¶1).
45. Exposing their interception and suppression will cause national outrage graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's leak of documents showing the NSA's unlawful surveillance of scores of millions of phone calls to collect their metadata, e.g., phone numbers of callers and callees, duration of the call, call origin and destination, but without suppressing any call at all.

### **5. The sham hearings in Congress and the Federal Judiciary on judicial accountability**

46. Sham hearings on judicial accountability have been held by politicians and the judges that they put and protect on the bench. Aside from Sen. Warren, politicians do not dare criticize judges, for they fear their power of retaliation(<sup>\*</sup>>Lsch:17§C) to assert their unaccountability(<sup>\*</sup>>jur:23<sup>17a</sup>):
- a. A single federal judge suspended nationwide the Muslim travel ban of a president who had campaigned on issuing it and was elected by more than 62.5 million voters; three circuit judges upheld the suspension nationwide, although only two on a three-judge federal appellate panel would have sufficed.
  - b. Then-Justice nominee and Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch expressed judges' gang mentality when he said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us"(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:546). This 'we against the rest of the world' attitude excludes the possibility for court/law clerks and parties to lawsuits of a fair and impartial hearing of their grievances against judges(OL2:1056<sup>ϕ</sup>).  
<sup>ϕ</sup> [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters\\_clerks.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf)

### **6. Invoking in one's own trial the precedents set by the Chief Justice while presiding over the impeachment trial**

47. After the courts reopen for business, parties can invoke as precedent for their own benefit the disregard by Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., during the Senate impeachment trial of "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice"(<sup>†</sup>>OL2:1040<sup>ϕ</sup>, 1045); and his application in connivance

with the Senate of a mutual self-serving live and let live complicit arrangement:

♠ [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties\\_invoking\\_impeachment\\_trial.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf)

- a. I will let you run the impeachment trial however you want, and you let us, the judges, run the Judiciary however we want, regardless of due process and equal protection requirements.

### **7. Judges' abusive self-exoneration by dismissing 100% of complaints against them and its cover-up by politicians**

48. Judges ensure their unaccountability by dismissing 100% of complaints against them, which must be filed with them, and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals([jur:10-14](#); [OL2:548, 748](#)). Through such systematic self-exoneration, their power of retaliation, and their connivance with politicians, they protect and run what they have built for themselves: a State within the state.

### **H. From impunity >outrage >investigations >transformative change**

49. All this brings us to the one single statistic that people need to keep in mind who understand human nature and can draw implications from facts as if they were using data to make a mathematical demonstration: In the last 231 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of federal judges impeached and removed is 8!(\*>[jur:22<sup>14</sup>](#))
50. It follows that once a judicial candidate is nominated and confirmed to the bench, he or she can do whatever they want in reliance on that historical record and the assurance that "their brothers and sisters of the robe" will close ranks behind them to defend their impunity.
51. As a reminder of their gang duty to mutually ensure their survival, judges have written on their foreheads a threat screaming: 'I and my friends know enough about the abuse that you and your friends have committed or covered up. So, if you let anybody bring me down, *I'll take you with me!*'
52. The articles proposed for publication do not charge any one judge with abuse of power. Rather, they show that all judges commit it or cover up that of their peers, colleagues, and friends. By coordinating their abuse and executing it as principals or accessories for their gain or convenience, they run their branch as a racketeering enterprise([supra §F](#); [OL2:1051](#)).
53. Exposing them as members of it can turn that threat on their foreheads into the most self-destructive state of mind for any organization: *Every man for himself!* When that happens, they may topple themselves as a row of dominoes or resign jointly or severally. This is a reasonable expectation: The articles can launch its realization, just as the publication by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* of their exposés of Harvey Weinstein' abuse sparked the *MeToo!* movement.
54. In the same vein, the articles can so inform and outrage the public as to prompt a Ukrainian scandal-like generalized media investigation into judges' abuse(\*>[OL:194§E](#)).
55. Its findings can lead, not to the impeachment of one top officer, but rather to making "the appearance of impropriety" censured by Canon 2 of the Code of Conduct for Judges(\*>[jur:68<sup>123</sup>](#)) so flagrant as to render untenable holding on to office. Based on the precedent of the resignation of Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969(\*>[jur:92§d](#)), the forced consequence can be the resignation of judges, justices, and even the Supreme Court itself.
56. That is how by publishing the articles, you, *Washington Post*(†>[OL2:1093](#))‡, and others can become the historic agents that set in motion transformative change in the system of justice.

‡ [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington\\_Post.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf)

*Dare trigger history!*(†>[OL2:1003](#))...and you may enter it.



**Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.**

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)

[DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing  
Judges' Unaccountability  
and  
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power  
  
Pioneering  
the news and publishing field  
of  
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable:  
*We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

**Volume I:**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

**Volume II:** [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

\*\*\*\*\*

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero

**Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.**

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)

[DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing  
Judges' Unaccountability  
and  
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power  
Pioneering  
the news and publishing field  
of  
judicial unaccountability reporting

A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' **abuse** the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable: *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

**Volume II:**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

**Volume I:** [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

\*\*\*\*\*

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

©2019 Richard Cordero


## Users [Add New](#)

### SSL activated!

Take the time to review these things

- > Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)
- > Improve your security [with security headers](#)

[Really Simple SSL Pro](#)



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate your questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

**All** (32,368) | **Administrator** (1) | **Subscriber** (32,367)

[Bulk Actions](#) [Apply](#) [Change role to...](#) [Change](#) 32,368 items

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruckshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber