Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. Judicial Discipline Reform

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506 DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 30, 2019

Professor Eric Posner Chicago Law School 1111 East 60th Street Chicago, Illinois 60637

eposner@uchicago.edu, bjwalker@uchicago.edu tel.: (773)702-9494

Dear Professor Posner,

1. You are said to be associated with the Center of Justice for Pro Se's of Former Judge R. Posner. He "had come to realize albeit belatedly that my court was systematically unjust to pro se's." This is a proposal for you, whether as such associate or in your own right, to assist pro ses and represented([†]>OL2:457§D) parties alike, not by helping one at a time within the judicial system, but rather by exposing out-of-court as described in OL2:929, 938 what enables judges to be "system-atically unjust", which is identified in his amicus curiae brief in *Martin v. Living Essentials, Ltd.*, p.2, as "a system comfortable with zero accountability". Judges deal with parties and the rest of the public however they want because they are unaccountable and risklessly abuse their power for their own and judicial class benefit(*>OL:173¶93), the harm to others notwithstanding(OL2:760). This is shown in my two-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * [†]

- 2. The study is based on an original analysis of the official statistics, reports, and statements of the judges themselves, such as those contained or referred to in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, which is submitted to Congress and made available to the public. The Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and can be removed by him and the other Judicial Conference members(*>jur:21¹⁰). They are imputed with knowledge and approval of the Report. The latter states that 'a case filed by a pro se is weighted as a third of a case'([†]>OL2:455§B). This means that from the moment a pro se files the Case Information Sheet and therein checks the "pro se ■", as opposed to the "represented □", box, the judges are not only authorized to give his case only ¹/₃ of the attention that they give an average case, but also are expected not to waste more than that on it regardless of its merits or "the Center's behind the scenes help" given him. The chances of this policy changing formally or effectively are nil:
- 3. The chances of the petition for certiorari and rehearing in *Martin* being granted by the Supreme Court were less than 1 in 93, according to the statistics in the Chief Justice Year-end Reports(*>jur: 47§1) and much less when the Court's preference for cases argued by superlawyers([†]>OL2:459¶ 35) is factored in. J. Posner has stated that "We are just touching the surface, for there are reliably believed to be at least a million pro se's in the U.S.". In what way will one pro se case reviewed in the next 93 years by the Court, let alone a circuit court, help them? How many amicus curiae briefs can you and the Center afford to research, write, and file before one case is discretionarily accepted for review? Even if *Martin* had been accepted, what were the chances of convincing at least five justices that they had been wrong up to then in showing similar contempt for pro ses and should 'order' judges to accord pro se cases the attention that they deserved on their merits? What reasonable expectation could there be that such 'order' would force a change in attitude and practice of, in J. Posner's words, "Many judges [who] are hostile to pro se's, seeing them as a kind of 'trash' not even worth the courts' time", and who are life-tenured and unimpeachable(OL2:929¶4)?
- 4. I respectfully submit that you can act on this proposal by providing Deep Throat(jur:106§c) information and exposing judges' abuse to those that can force change, *We the People*; and request the opportunity to present it to you and your colleagues and students via video conference or in person.

Dare trigger history!(*>jur:7§5)...and you may enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. 0L2:932 [†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/*0L2*/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >from 0L2:394

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform New York City http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org



A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' abuse the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable: *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/**OL**/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf **Volume II**: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/**OL2**/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

> ***** https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq

> > ©2019 Richard Cordero

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform New York City http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org



A study of judges and their judiciaries, who held unaccountable by themselves through their self-exemption from complaints and by politicians, have turned abuse of power into their institutionalized way of doing business; and their exposure by applying a strategy that out of court informs of, and outrages at, judges' **abuse** the only entity capable of forcing reform and holding them liable: *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq

©2019 Richard Cordero

←)→ ୯ û	🛛 🔒 https://www.judicial	-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/users.php	E ···· (
🌣 Most Visited 🛛 🧕 Gettir	ng Started 🛛 🚇 AOL Mail (134) 🔋 6 ACCESS NYC H	ome 🕬 Inactivity - Session Ti 👰 AOL Mail (157) 🜐 Fix H	P Laptop and PC и Nightly News with Les и Current NBC Shows 🔇	CBS Evening News - F	
🕅 🕋 Judicial Disciplir	ne Reform 🕂 11 👎 10 🕂 New				
Dashboard	Users Add New				
 Posts Media Pages 	SSL activated! Take the time to review these things Don't forget to change your set	tings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. <u>More info</u>			
Comments 10 WooCommerce	Minor your security with security Really Simple SSL Pro	it <u>y headers</u>			
 Appearance Plugins 6 Users All Users 		SL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If yo back, leave us a <u>message</u> . Maybe later × Don't show again	ou have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to s	pread the word. We greatly a	
Add New /our Profile 🗲 Tools	All (32,756) Administrator (1) Subscrib Bulk Actions 🗸 Apply Chang	ge role to V Change		32,756 items	
Settings 🚺	 Username 	Name	Email	Role	
Collapse menu	D 1970mdegcf		einarcruickshank 1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	
	Barret1cuple	_	tornado 102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	
	O I 10fthemany		terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	
	365betv31h		yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	
	3aplus63von	—	sam@3aplus63.ru	Subscriber	