

October 8, 2022

Twyla Carter, Esq.
Attorney-in-Chief and CEO

Tina Luongo, Esq.
Chief Attorney of the Criminal Defense Practice

The Legal Aid Society, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038
TheLegalAidSociety@legal-aid.org, info@legal-aid.org; tel. (212)577-3300; fax (212)509-8761

Dear Ms. Carter and Ms. Luongo,[†]

1. I would like to congratulate you on being ranked No. 1 in *City & State's* Power List of the Top 100 NY Non-Profit Leaders. In the LAS October 6 [press release](#), you are quoted as saying, "I feel lucky to have joined an organization so fiercely committed to the clients and communities we serve. The opportunity to lead and support our attorneys and staff at this critical moment is an honor."
2. This is an appeal to that 'fierce commitment' to join forces to 'serve your clients' and others victimized by false indictments by colluding and conniving prosecutors, police officers, and judges:
3. As a grand juror, I learned on May 23 & 24 about people being indicted for a murder that they could not have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. The presenters relied on the grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
4. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court's administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: At a convenient time for him, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau ([IAB](#)) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he dutifully investigate his officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, but to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of "NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769". There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: Instead of planting evidence, officers fool grand juries with non-evidence to leverage false indictments at plea bargaining. Their cover-up is not only of this case: It is the product of an institutional policy: to abuse power as modus operandi.
6. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#), mayor, public advocates, NYS attorney general, and borough officials, who have failed to investigate this case, as has Brooklyn DA Eric Gonzalez, who nonetheless "[vacated nearly 400 convictions](#) tied to dirty NYPD cops". Their dereliction of duty points to systemic wrongdoing founded on collusion and connivance. Thereby thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence. For those sent to Rikers only to be abused, humiliated and raped there, or have their lives disrupted as they tried to raise bail, this is "a critical moment".
7. They need you to "support our attorneys", such as me, to expose those powerful colluders and connivers. That is what another courageous female public defender dare do, who as plaintiff in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) exposed judges' abuse of power, causing the bench of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [4th Circuit](#) to recuse themselves. She established a precedent. You can launch a national trend toward exposing judges', prosecutors', and police's unaccountability and [riskless](#) abuse.

Let's meet to discuss 'your support'.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

October 8, 2022

Tina Luongo, Esq.

Chief Attorney of the Criminal Defense Practice

Twyla Carter, Esq.

Attorney-in-Chief and CEO

The Legal Aid Society, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038

TheLegalAidSociety@legal-aid.org, info@legal-aid.org; tel. (212)577-3300; fax (212)509-8761

Dear Ms. Luongo and Ms. Carter,[‡]

1. When LAS announced [LELU](#) in its press release of October 3, you were quoted as saying, "For too long, City Hall, the NYPD, and DOC withheld these misconduct records from the public, although these records contain critical information that all New Yorkers should have access to." It added, "We cannot count on those in charge to hold law enforcement accountable for the culture of impunity that has plagued our city for decades." This is an appeal that counts on you to jointly with me hold prosecutors, police officers, and judges accountable for concocting false indictments:
2. As a grand juror, I learned on May 23 & 24 about people being indicted for a murder that they could not have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. The presenters relied on the grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
3. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court's administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: At a convenient time for him, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
4. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau ([IAB](#)) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he dutifully investigate his officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, but to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of "NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769". There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: Instead of planting evidence, officers fool grand juries with non-evidence to leverage false indictments at plea bargaining. Their cover-up is not only of this case: It is the product of an institutional policy: to abuse power as *modus operandi*.
5. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#), mayor, public advocates, NYS attorney general, and borough officials, who have failed to investigate this case, as has Brooklyn DA Eric Gonzalez, who nonetheless "[vacated nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops](#)". Their dereliction of duty points to systemic wrongdoing founded on collusion and connivance. Thereby thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence. For those sent to Rikers only to be abused, humiliated and raped there, or have their lives disrupted as they tried to raise bail, this is "a critical moment".
6. I 'count on you' because "It is up to all of us to seek liability for" those powerful colluders and connivers. That is what another courageous female public defender dare do, who as plaintiff in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) exposed judges' abuse of power, thus causing the whole bench of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [4th Circuit](#) to recuse themselves. She established a precedent. You can launch a national trend toward holding judges, prosecutors, and police accountable for their [riskless](#) abuse.

Let's meet to discuss 'jointly seeking liability'.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Legal_Aid_Society.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

May 28, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207
tel.: (518)455-7700

Chief Administrative Judge
Lawrence K. Marks
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle St., Albany, NY 12207
tel.: (212)428-2120;
ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov

Deputy Chief Administrative
Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Supreme Court, New York
County, Civil Term
60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007
tel.: (646)386-5567

Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10259
tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org,
IABCmdCntr@nypd.org

Sherrill Spatz, Esq. Carol M. Hamm, Esq.
Inspector General Deputy Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
IG@nycourts.gov

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.*

The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that a person who discloses such information, except under court order, is subject to serious penalties, including imprisonment. Therefore, I respectfully request that you contact Judge Yearwood and ask that he release to you a copy of my Application so that you may promptly start your joint and several investigation of it given that each of you is an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon” such information.

Indeed, I have a legal and ethical duty to bring this information to your attention. That duty flows from NY Rules Of [Professional Conduct](#) (22 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 1200), which provides thus:

Rule. 8.3. REPORTING PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT(a). A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer shall report such knowledge to a tribunal or other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation.

Conversely, Judge Yearwood has a duty under the Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge PART 100. [Judicial Conduct](#), (C) Administrative Responsibilities.(1) to “diligently discharge the judge's administrative responsibilities without bias or prejudice” to protect fellow judges, staff, and others, and “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business.”

I also have a civic duty to report this information to you. That duty has been repeatedly declared and instilled in the citizenry in the guiding principle of civic conduct, “If you see something, say something”. I did see something...and then some...so I am saying it to you.

After you request from Judge Yearwood my Application and I receive from you an order to discuss it with you in person in NYC or by video conference, I will comply. I look forward to your acknowledgment of receipt of this letter and your statement of what you intend to do about it.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

X

[(718)618-3000]

Greg Johnson, ACE [Acting Bureau Chief]
Doc Liaison

Lamar Decasseres, EMC [Bureau Chief]
Trial Support
[Of Supreme Court,
Bronx County Criminal Term]



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

05/27/2022 05:59 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Large Envelope Bronx, NY 10451 Weight: 0 lb 2.60 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$1.56

Grand Total: \$1.56

Credit Card Remitted \$1.56
Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXX2499
Approval #: 05007P
Transaction #: 856
AID: A000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Every household in the U.S. is now
eligible to receive a third set
of 8 free test kits.
Go to www.covidtests.gov

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-5100087-1-5405554-2
Clerk: 77



CORNELL
1950 LAFAYETTE AVE
BRONX, NY 10473-9998
(800)275-8777

05/28/2022 03:34 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10014 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58

First-Class Mail® Letter Albany, NY 12207 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter Albany, NY 12207 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10007 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

Grand Total: \$3.48

Credit Card Remitted \$3.48
Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXX9750
Approval #: 06340P
Transaction #: 318
AID: A000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Every household in the U.S. is now
eligible to receive a third set
of 8 free test kits.
Go to www.covidtests.gov

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350923-0113
Receipt #: 840-51000107-4-7346245-2
Clerk: 3

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

June 18, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207
tel.: (518)455-7700

Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks
NYS Unified Court System
25 Beaver St., NY, NY 10004
question@nycourts.gov; tel. (212)428-2120; ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov

Deputy Chief Administrative Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Supreme Court, New York County, Civil Term
60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007
tel.: (646)386-5567

Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014
tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org,
IABCmdCntr@nypd.org

Sherrill Spatz, Esq. Carol M. Hamm, Esq.
Inspector General Deputy Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
IG@nycourts.gov

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my May 28 letter, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your official duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have received no letter acknowledging your receipt of mine. Yet, that information concerns criminal matters. It is so sensitive that the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. That should have alerted you to the need to act “[efficiently and effectively](#)” because you have a duty to “[diligently discharge \[your\] responsibilities](#)” and Judge Yearwood has a duty to “[cooperate](#) with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. Moreover, you have the means of calling him and meeting virtually with him and others in a teleconference, as follows from Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks’ [2021 Annual Report](#) on the NYS UCS. There he highlights that “we adopted and then mastered virtual technology to...ensure access to justice in the broadest range of cases”.

Chief DiFiore’s [Excellence Initiative](#) can only succeed if it promotes people’s trust in officers with the integrity needed to deliver the “level of justice services people have a right to expect and deserve”. That trust has been so battered as to spark the movement against police brutality and for defunding the police. The information at stake can so outrage people as to cause them to extend their distrust to prosecutors and judges. The suspicion that inaction is the result of a cover-up among the three branches will exacerbate such outrage. If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their individual and official capacities can be sued and held liable.

Thus, I respectfully request that you inform me of the action that you have taken and intend to take concerning the information at stake. Conversely, I inform you that I am asking political leaders to do likewise by contacting you. Motivated by either principles or opportunism, whether to protect their constituents, in general, and those already, and yet to be, victimized, in particular, or to further their own careers, they may cause you to “diligently discharge [your] responsibilities”.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

June 24, 2022

c/o: Mr. Frank Carone, Chief of Staff
NYC Mayor Eric L. Adams
City Hall
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212) 639-9675

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa Gibson, Diana Ayala, Eric Dinowitz, Kevin C. Riley, Marjorie Velázquez, Pierina Ana Sanchez, Oswald Feliz, Althea Stevens, Rafael Salamanca, Jr., Amanda Farías; Bronx Advocate Socrates Solano; and NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams

Dear Mayor Adams, Mr. Carone, and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement titled “Emergency Application” and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.* Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in investigating one’s colleagues and friends, and thus incriminating oneself, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder[‡]. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, Judge Yearwood has a duty to “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. You are an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Therefore, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation separately or jointly with other Bronx district leaders. The onus to investigate is now on you.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “diligently” and “efficiently and effectively” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that of September 28, 2021, titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held by themselves and friends unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all officers, including you, will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Mayor_&_Bronx_political_leaders.pdf

Supreme Court
of the
State of New York



LAURENCE E. BUSCHING
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

CHAMBERS
BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE
265 EAST 161ST STREET
BRONX, NEW YORK 10451

June 29, 2022

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.

Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.

Very truly yours,

Laurence E. Busching

Laurence E. Busching, AJSC

July 11, 2022

Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood
Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term
265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451

Dear Judge Yearwood[‡]

1. I mailed you with date of May 26, 2022, and you received on May 30, a writing thus titled:

**Emergency Application
of Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., for his reinstalment in
Panel A of the current grand jury,
which is seated for the period May 23-June 17, 2022,
after the peremptory discharge of him by
Grand Jury Judge Laurence E. Busching upon the statements to him of
ADAs and grand jurors accusing Dr. Cordero in his absence;
for preservation of evidence; and other relief action**

2. Since then, I have had to make numerous calls to your chambers at (718)618-3700 to request from your assistants Ms. Dana and Ms. Stephanie to speak with you and ask for an answer from you to the “Emergency Application”; to no avail. To the same end, I had to call at (718)618-3000 Chief Clerk Michelle Foggie; Mr. Greg Johnson, Acting Bureau Chief Document Liaison; and Mr. Lamar Decassures, Bureau Chief Trial Support. They too were unable to induce you to contact me.
3. However, now, a month later and without even recognizing my writing as an “Emergency Application”, Judge Busching mailed me a [letter](#) whose full text is the following:

Supreme Court
of the State of New York
LAURENCE E. BUSCHING
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

CHAMBER'S
BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE
265 EAST 161st STREET
BRONX, NEW YORK 10451

June 29, 2022

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.

Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.

Very truly yours,
/s/ Laurence E. Busching, AJSC

4. My “Emergency Application” consists of 8 pages and 4,743 words. It states in its very title that it deals, in addition with my request for reinstatement in the grand jury, with the substantive issues of genuine material and legal importance of “the peremptory discharge of [me] by Grand Jury Judge Busching upon the statements to him of ADAs and grand jurors accusing Dr. Cordero in his absence; for preservation of evidence; and other relief action”.
5. More importantly, the “Emergency Application” deals with the accusation of murder against two people and their probable incarceration. The ADA who presented the indictment to Panel A of the

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Admininstrative_JudgeAYearwood.pdf

grand jury on May 23 and 24, 2022, failed to connect to the alleged murder the only two pieces of “evidence”, i.e., a pair of sneakers and of jeans shown on photos, so that they were irrelevant as they lacked any probative value. None of the 12 videos and pictures showed the scene of the alleged crime, the alleged victim lying on the street, or the autopsy report; and the NYPD police officers and detectives who testified before the grand jury did not even allege to have seen any of that. No bystander, nearby storeowner, or relative of the alleged victim was brought to testify. The alleged victim was seen on a video walking on the sidewalk with a friend, who was the only civilian to testify although he could not identify the alleged murderers or provide a composite of them. No murder weapon was recovered and no motive for the murder was alleged. The “evidence” and the testimony could only permit of one conclusion: *no murder had occurred!* Once more, an ADA had abused uncritical and indifferent grand jurors ‘to indict a sandwich’...and how many people?

6. A person guided by “common sense and good judgment” (Grand Juror’s Handbook, p.10), and especially officers of the court charged with administering justice, would have realized the emergency situation at hand: They would have promptly undertaken a determination of whether there was probable cause to free those two accused people immediately as well as people who like them had been accused and even incarcerated for crimes that they could not possibly have committed because the alleged crimes had not even occurred or the alleged “evidence” was totally irrelevant.
7. Judge Busching’s letter to me was non-responsive. Justice Thurgood Marshall put it this way in his dissent in *Greenholtz v. Inmates of the Nebraska Penal & Correctional Complex*, 442 U.S. 1, 40 (1979): “[A]n inability to provide any reasons suggests that the decision is, in fact, arbitrary”.
8. You had the duty to investigate the “Emergency Application” and do so with due diligence. You and J. Busching waited until Panel A’s term had expired to make my request for grand jury reinstatement moot and pretend that was the only issue. You committed bad faith and dereliction of duty.
9. You referred the “Emergency Application” to Judge Busching although he had every interest in not incriminating himself or causing ADAs, NYPD officers and detectives, and grand jurors to incriminate him if he faulted any of them. Self-servingly and inexcusably, you disregarded the millennial principle of judicial process “nobody can be a fair and impartial judge in his own cause”.
10. If you investigated the “evidence” and the transcripts of the proceedings before the grand jury and Judge Busching referred to in the “Application”, you knew how incriminating they were. That knowledge should have prevented you from referring it to him. If you failed to perform that investigation, you engaged in willful blindness and ignorance. Applying the principle, “People are deemed to intend the foreseeable consequences of their acts”, you complicitly intended a cover-up.
11. You, ADAs, witnesses, jurors, and Judge Busching have inflicted injury in fact on the two people referred to in ¶5 above; others similarly accused and incarcerated before and since then; and me. There is precedent that you have made applicable to you: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.*[‡] that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.
12. Hence, I respectfully request that you disqualify them and recuse yourself from any involvement in the “Emergency Application” and refer it, the “evidence”, and the transcripts to Chief Judge Janet DiFiori; Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence Marks; NYC Administrative Judge Deborah Kaplan; NYPD IAB Chief David Barrere; and Inspectors General Sherrill Spatz and Carol Hamm.

cc: Judge Laurence Busching

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, NY 12207 tel.: (518)455-7700	Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks, NYS Unified Court System 25 Beaver St., NY, NY 10004; tel. (212) 428-2120; question@nycourts.gov ; ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov	Deputy Chief Administrative Judge Deborah A. Kaplan Supreme Court, NY County, Civil Term, 60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007; tel.: (646)386-5567
Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere Internal Affairs Bureau NY Police Department PO Box 10001, NY, NY 10259-0001 tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org , IABCmdCntr@nypd.org	Sherrill Spatz, Esq. Inspector General Office of Court Administration 25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004 tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158 IG@nycourts.gov	Carol M. Hamm, Esq. Deputy Inspector General

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letters of [May 28](#) and [June 18](#) and numerous calls, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized.

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Busching, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment on it are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may investigate it without further delay. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will promptly comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches of government. If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

August 19, 2022

Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell[‡]
New York City Police Department
One Police Plaza, New York, NY 10259-0001

Dear Commissioner Sewell,

This is to inform you of my efforts to bring to the attention of Internal Affairs Bureau Chief David Barrere by email to IAB@NYPD.org and IABCmdCntr@NYPD.org, and letter, as shown by those attached, and in phone conversations with, among others, the NYPD officers and detectives listed below, since May 28, 2022, the information that I acquired while in an official capacity concerning police officers and detectives as well as prosecutors and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that they could not possibly have committed because that crime never occurred.

The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. So, I wrote it down in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451, where the information originated. I asked that Chief Barrere request a copy from Judge Yearwood.

If he obtained it, then he knows the gravity of this information: NYPD officers and detectives participated in abusing the known indifference and uncritical judgment of grand jurors to obtain an indictment that lacked any evidentiary basis. Such an indictment and similar ones could be used to force the accused to accept a plea bargain or to prosecute them on fabricated charges.

If Chief Barrere met with Judge Yearwood’s refusal to send him a copy, he had the duty to question why the Judge did not want to cooperate with an IAB’s investigation of police wrongdoing that is corrupting judicial process. He could have subpoenaed or otherwise ordered the “Emergency Application” from me, as I suggested that he do and promised compliance. Given the abuse of power that I witnessed firsthand and the retaliation that I have already been subjected to, I want to be protected by an order so that I do not end up where those falsely accused did: in Rikers Island.

Since then, I have phoned IAB at (212)741-8401 and been given the runaround by officers who answered the call there or at the Records or Assessment Units to which I was transferred. They have alleged that they could not either find my emailed or mailed information or determine the status of complaints 2022-13831 of June 9; complaint 2022-15482 of June 30, or complaint 2022-15601 of July 1. Yet, some officers had copied the link <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/ChiefDBarrere.pdf>, which I gave them on the phone and they acknowledged having downloaded the file. Among those NYPD officers and detectives are the following:

1.	Names of NYPD Officers and Detectives at IAB Each time before calling, I resent my email to Chief Barrere	Date of call
2.	Officer Washington, badge 8281	6June22
3.	Officer Washington	7June22
4.	Detective Atway	7June22
5.	Det. Arata	9June22
6.	Officer Duran, who said that he would send the link, supra, to Records	9June22

[‡] <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommKSewell.pdf>

7.	Det. Arata	9June22
8.	Det. Arata transferred me to Records; and I recorded a message there	23June22
9.	Det. Kifaieh	24June22
10.	Re 2022-13831	27June22
11.	Det. Arata transferred me to Records; and I recorded yet another message	29June22
12.	Sargent Cortes	30June22
13.	Det. Atway	30June22
14.	Det. Ms. Pier-Owen found the link and transferred me to Cmd Center to:	30June22
15.	Lt. Atala, who said that he would send the complaint to the Assessment Unit	30June22
16.	Det. Peattie opened complaint 2022-15482 after I complained about my complaint being scheduled to be sent, as officers told me it was, to "First District", although nobody knew what that was or even its address!	30June22
17.	Sgt. Dario, Assessment Unit, downloaded & attached the file to the complaint	1July22
18.	Det. Ms. Perez at the In-Take was instructed to open complaint 2022-15601	1July22
19.	Det. Atway asked me to be patient and 'give it more time'	14July22
20.	Det. Kifaieh said that that he would give my telephone number to somebody and have him or her call me; but nobody did	18July22
21.	Officer Kim could not determine the status of any of the three complaints; he said the he would have somebody call me, but nobody did	25July22
22.	Det. Kifaieh agreed to have his CO call me, but nobody did	9August22
23.	Det. Sunu transferred me to Records, where I could only record a message	9August22
24.	Det. Sunu said his CO was not there and again transferred me to Records, where I could only record another message; nobody called me back	9August22
25.	Det. Arata transferred me to Lt. Hoe. He checked and when he came back asked me whether my complaint was against a lawyer!, if so, IAB could not do anything. 2+ months after being filed, IAB ignored what it was all about!	9August22
26.	Det. Kifaieh agreed to print my complaints and give them to Captain Keon, his CO, and ask that he call me, but nobody called me.	9August22

The above generates probable cause to believe that IAB has engaged in a cover-up. Its officers have coordinated its implementation. Let it be their [dereliction of duty](#)[†], not yours, that allows thousands of falsely accused people to remain or be incarcerated. They are potential members of a class action. Failure to discharge your duty should offend against your oath to Protect and Respect.

If not, you will be shocked by the money consequences, other than those related to the call to defund the police: 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their inaction. The [Fourth Circuit](#) Court of Appeals held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Abusive state judges were ordered to [pay \\$200+ million](#) to their victims. Instead, do right and be recognized nationally as a Champion of Justice.

So, let's meet.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

August 20, 2022

c/o Assistant Alyssa Lary: Professor Jeannie Suk Gersen | Professor Susan Rose-Ackerman
Harvard Law School; jsg@law.harvard.edu | Yale Law School; ackerman@yale.edu

Dear Professor Professor Gersen, Rose-Ackerman, peers, and students,[‡]

1. I read with interest, Prof. Rose-Ackerman, your paper “Judicial Independence and Corruption”.
2. Thanks to your arguing, Prof. Gersen, of *Strickland v. U.S.*, the Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held on April 26 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers, including judges, can on constitutional and statutory grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities.
3. This is a proposal to follow a series of strategic steps to expose judicial independence as unaccountability that allows judges’ riskless corruption and abuse of power for their gain and convenience. Those steps should lead to a class action to compensate their victims. Yale and Harvard law students can take the lead in that exposure as they did in the opposition to the nomination of J. Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court. The action can be a teaching event, as shown infra.
4. **The first step** is for you and your students to invite me to present the proposal by video conference or in person to you, them, and your peers. You can preview it my article at [♦] and on my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and turned into subscribers 44,633 of them as of August 19, 2022.
5. They have been induced to subscribe by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. You all can assess the validity of that statement by reviewing the foundation of my articles posted there, namely, my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries[♣]:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{* † ♣}

6. That study collects and discusses abundant evidence([OL:194§E](#)) showing that judges individually and as a class through coordination engage in corruption and abuse of power. The most recent and indisputable evidence thereof is found in the [series](#) of articles that *The Wall Street Journal (WSJ)* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”. At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their clerks of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases.
7. The Federal Judiciary has not taken any disciplinary action against any of those judges. Judges protect each other through their explicit or implicit reciprocal cover-up agreement: ‘Today you protect me and tomorrow I’ll protect you, for if you let them take me down, *I’ll bring you with me!*’
8. This explains why no action is going to be taken by AG Merrick Garland given that he was a member, and for 7 years the chief judge, of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any investigation of judges authorized by him even if he subsequently recused himself would incriminate him as their accessory: He kept quite after learning of their act of corruption and abuse, whereby his expected silence enabled them before their next act; let alone if he were a principal. So, it falls on law professors and students, and lawyers to muster the courage and take the initiative to expose judges’ misconduct and the cover-up agreement that perpetuates it.
9. **The second step** envisages your and your students’ sharing this proposal with the officers of the student class and associations that will vie for new members during the fair of associations to be held at the beginning of next academic year. Thus, time is of the essence. It is also so because the

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRoseAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf
♦ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf

public is getting ready to vote in the midterm elections. It can hold accountable the politicians who nominated and confirmed judicial candidates and since then protect them as ‘*our* men and women on the bench’, their harm to others notwithstanding. Hence the importance of turning into a key electoral issue judges’ corruption and abuse of power and politicians’ condonation of them.

10. **The third step** aims to do that by professors and students holding press conferences where they ask the media to join them in demanding that President Biden release the secret reports that the FBI has submitted to presidents after vetting judicial candidates by exercising, when needed, its subpoena power. That demand will be justified by the need to answer this question: What did the President and his predecessors know about their corruption and abuse and when did they know it? Will they claim that the reports were ‘accidentally erased during a system upgrade’, as the Secret Service and Homeland Security have concerning emails related to the January 6 Capitol assault?
11. **The fourth step** is the class action on behalf of judges’ victims. It will be supported by a public informed and outraged by journalists pursuing a scoop. It finds a strong precedent in the suit brought by 90 gymnasts against the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their dereliction of duty. In the same vein of suing even top government officers, seven Capitol Police [officers have sued former President Trump](#) and the organizers of the rally at the Ellipse where he held the inflaming speech that preceded the January 6 assault on the Capitol.
12. The above are manifestations of the strongest support for the class action, to wit, the national mood of intolerance of any form of abuse. Indeed, the public has grown increasingly determined to hold public figures and officers accountable and liable to compensate their victims since the eruption of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements; the protests against police brutality, socio-economic inequalities, and the Supreme Court’s reversal of *Roe v. Wade*; the public hearings of the House January 6 Committee and the lip service assurance by AG Garland that “nobody is above the law” so that the Department of Justice will prosecute all Capitol assault organizers and participants.
13. The class action will generate a flood of motions to vacate, remand, and for new trials; for the reimbursement by recused judges of the cost of judicial process that they rendered useless and of disentangling contracts based on their now void or voidable decisions; and for actions against state judges and judiciaries. They will create a much-needed niche practice for you and your students.
14. Judges’ and their judiciaries’ conduct forms a pattern of racketeering that warrants bringing a count against them under federal and/or state civil RICO ([18 U.S.C. §1961](#)). They provide that the injured party “shall recover threefold the damages he sustains and at the attorney’s fee” (§1964(c)).
15. **The fifth step** is for professors and students to develop their niche practice through public interest clinics centered on consulting and bringing those motions and actions on behalf of judges’ victims. Those clinics can return a profit for law schools at a time of [dwindling enrollment](#) and revenue.
16. Instead of teaching lofty principles of law only in theory applied by judges, law schools can give practical effect in their own and the public interest to their knowledge that judges have institutionalized their corruption and abuse of power as their *modus operandi*. Judges do so risklessly for their gain and convenience because they are held by themselves and politicians unaccountable. You, your peers, and students[‡] can take the proposed steps to lead the transformative change of law schools into a pole of power that uses its independence and knowledge of legal grounds to hold judges and their judiciaries accountable and liable. Let your actions speak with facts a tenet of our justice system: Nobody is Above the Law. *Dare trigger history!*...and you may enter it.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Dr Richard Cordero, Esq.

September 5, 2022

The dean of the law school, the dean of students, and the clinical law professors, and
in their care to all other professors and the officers of the student class and other appropriate organizations
The law school

Dear Deans, Professors, and Officers,[‡]

1. This is a proposal¹ for a presentation on how through a [series of steps](#)² and a public interest clinic you all can counter the problems besieging law schools: [dwindling enrollment](#), imperiled financial viability, and [diminishing chances](#) of finding a law job upon graduation. It is based on precedent.
 - a. In the civil suit [Strickland v. U.S.](#), the Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held last April 26 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers, including judges, can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities.³ b. 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty. c. A PA state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/privately run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay victims [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages.
2. The presentation will center on three ongoing cases that can be further prosecuted through the device that can gain the highest payoff for law schools and [compensate](#) the largest number of victims: class actions including [RICO](#) charges. They can provoke national outrage⁴; open the floodgates of motions that create a niche practice for law students; and lead schools to hold [citizens hearings](#) that transform their role into *We the People's* watchdog⁵ on [unaccountable](#) judges and their judiciaries.
 - a. A person in an official capacity acquired knowledge firsthand about prosecutors, NYPD officers and detectives, and judges of a NY criminal court colluding to obtain an indictment against people charged with murder even though their 'supporting evidence' revealed that no crime had even occurred. A complaint was filed with the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) requesting that it investigate its members' participation in such wrongdoing. Its handling by over a dozen officers for three months indicates that IAB has coordinated a cover-up. A complaint against it has been escalated to NYPD Commissioner Keechant Sewell⁶. The class action plaintiffs will be thousands of people who have been and still are charged and/or prosecuted on false indictments and incarcerated. The defendants will be the wrongdoers and the administrative judges, elected officers, public defenders, and their institutions chargeable with [dereliction of duty](#) for failing to [investigate](#).
 - b. Medicare administers a budget of \$100s of billion for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds' claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare's schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. The majority of insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change⁷.
 - c. Federal judges [intercept](#) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics.⁸ They thus deprive *the People* of their most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed by the [1st Amendment](#) to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the [Internet](#)], and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances [e.g., [compensation](#)]"⁹.

I look forward to hearing from you all.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Endnotes

- ¹ This letter is at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf. It and its link can be shared widely; distributed at the student organizations fair at the start of the academic year, and posted to social media. The letter is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking; they undergird the production of a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries titled thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

- ♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-1492+

The study collects and discusses abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability, which is also protected connivingly by the [politicians](#) who put them in office, and for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench'. As a result, judges engage in abuse of power risklessly for their gain and convenience individually and as a [coordinated class](#).

Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and turned into subscribers 44,716 of them as of 5 September '22. They are potential class members.

- ² http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf
- ³ The most recent and indisputable evidence of unaccountable judges' abuse of power is found in the [series](#) of articles that *The Wall Street Journal* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "[[now 152](#)] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their [clerks](#) of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases. However, to date, not a single of those judges has been investigated, subjected to disciplinary measures, let alone referred for impeachment, or forced to [disgorge](#) the gains that they made by resolving in their favor their [conflict](#) of interests.
- ⁴ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf
- ⁵ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf
- ⁶ <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommKSewell.pdf>
- ⁷ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/22-8-17DrRCordero_motion_recuse_ALJLFleming.pdf
- ⁸ See the proposal for a [forensic examination](#) by Information Technology experts of the computers of critics of judges; cf. former [CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson](#) in her suit against DoJ.
- ⁹ The professors and students participating in the proposed public interest clinic can reasonably expect broad support: The *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and for racial and socio-economic equality are expressions of *the People's* self-assertive rallying cry: *Enough is enough!* We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore. So, the participants can give rise to a key midterm issue and be nationally recognized as the *People's* Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

September 14, 2022

Brooklyn District Attorney [Eric Gonzalez](#)
350 Jay Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201
tel. (718)250-2747, (718)250-2001

Ben Crump, Esq., & NY Supervising Attorney
360 Lexington Ave., 11th Floor
New York, NY 10017; tel. (800)350-5777

[Law360](#) Reporter Marco Poggio & the Editor
Portfolio Media/LexisNexis
111 W 19 St, NY, NY 10011; tel. (646)783 7100

ACLU NY Director Donna [Lieberman](#), 125 Broad St,
18th Fl, NY, NY 10004; tel. (212)607-3300
[Justice Lab](#) Louisiana Director Nora [Ahmed](#)

Dear D.A. Gonzalez, Mr. Crump & NY Supervisor, Rep. Poggio & Editor, and Ms. Lieberman,[‡]

1. I read with interest the articles “[Brooklyn district attorney](#) moves to dismiss nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”; John [Annese](#); New York [Daily News](#); 7 September 2022; and “[Brooklyn Beats Crime By Cleaning Record Tied To Dirty Cops](#) [who planted evidence]”; Chris Williams; [Above the Law](#); 9 Sep. 2022; cwilliams@abovethelaw.com. There is more to clean.
2. You have shown that you dare take on the NYPD. Hence, your conduct supports the reasonable expectation that you can openly and/or discreetly help expose the case at hand: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning five NYPD officers, including detectives, as well as prosecutors and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not possibly have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
3. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court’s administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing it, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
4. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau ([IAB](#)) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: NYPD officers need not plant evidence; they simply fool grand juries with non-evidence. Their cover-up is not only of this particular case: It is the product of an institutional policy. Thus, the fact that this case occurred in Bronx instead of Brooklyn is irrelevant. You and your office deal with the same NYPD. Worse yet...
5. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#) who have taken no action to investigate this case. There can be not only “nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”, but rather a systemic policy. Under it, thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by NYPD officers, prosecutors, and [judges](#). By contrast, [your policy](#) is to favor “preventative and accountability solutions over criminal convictions and incarceration”. You with Mr. Crump, Law360, Above the Law, ACLU Lieberman, and law school [professors](#) and [students](#) can expand your “nationally-recognized Conviction Review Unit” so that it prevents convicting people on non-evidence and cleans the justice system of officers and [others](#) who are dirty and/or remiss in their duty and cover it up.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

September 17, 2022

NY Attorney General [Letitia James](#)
c/o: PIB Chief Gerard Murphy and AAG Susan Sadinsky
The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224-0341

Brooklyn District Attorney [Eric Gonzalez](#)
350 Jay Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201
tel. (718)250-2747, (718)250-2001

Dear A.G. James, Chief Murphy, AAG Sadinsky, and Brooklyn D.A. Gonzalez,[‡]

1. I read with interest the press release “[AG James](#) Announces Sentencing of Former NYS SCt Justice for accepting bribes”; 13 September 2022; which quoted you as saying “New Yorkers deserve a justice system they can have faith in. That’s why this corrupt behavior is so egregious and unacceptable. Regardless of party affiliation, my office remains committed to rooting out corruption in government, at every level and in every branch”...of which the case below implicates several.
2. I also read with interest the articles “[Brooklyn district attorney](#) moves to dismiss nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”; John [Annese](#); New York [Daily News](#); 7 September 2022; and “[Brooklyn Beats Crime By Cleaning Record Tied To Dirty Cops](#) [who planted evidence]”; Chris Williams; [Above the Law](#); 9 Sep. 2022; cwilliams@abovethelaw.com. There is more to clean.
3. You have shown that you dare take on judges and the NYPD. Thus, your conduct supports the reasonable expectation that you can openly and/or discreetly help expose this case: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning five NYPD officers, including detectives, as well as prosecutors and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not possibly have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
4. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court’s administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing it, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau ([IAB](#)) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, but to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: Instead of planting evidence, officers fool grand juries with non-evidence to leverage false indictments at plea bargaining. Their cover-up is not only of this case: It is the product of an institutional policy. So, the fact that this case occurred in Bronx instead of Brooklyn is irrelevant. You and your offices deal with the same NYPD. Worse yet...
6. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#) who have failed to investigate this case. There can be not only “nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”, but rather a systemic policy. Under it, thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by NYPD officers, prosecutors, and [judges](#). By contrast, [your policy](#) favors “accountability solutions over incarceration”. You with law school [professors](#) and [students](#) can prevent convictions on non-evidence and generate “faith in the justice system” by cleaning it of officers and [others](#) who are dirty and remiss in their duty and cover it up.

Let’s meet to discuss coordination.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

OL3:1496

[‡]<http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAsLawFirmsOrganizationsSchools.pdf>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.