

February 9, 2021

**Pitching a series of webinars and articles on
exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power;
representing abusees' collective demand for compensation;
promoting unprecedented citizens hearings held by the media and universities;
and making history through transformational change that
enables the holding of judicial public servants accountable and liable by
the Masters of all public servants: *We the People***

The foundation for a multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture[‡]

Dear CLE officers and members, lawyers, the media, academics, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. This is a pitch for a series of webinars and articles that can benefit you materially and reputationally.

A. Contents and purpose of the webinars and articles

2. The webinars and articles aim to:
 - a. expose to the national public judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. They do not deal with the exercise of judicial power within a wide margin of discretion, which judges invoke as an easy excuse for their complained-about conduct. Rather, their originality lies in their focus on judges' criminal and unethical conduct for their personal and judicial class gain and convenience. Such conduct must be as punishable when judges engage in it as when anybody else does. That is required by the tenet of "Equal Justice Under Law" and their oath of office to "do equal right to the poor [in ties to them] and to the rich [in power to reciprocate their holding a fellow judge unaccountable]" (28 USC §453 = Title 28 of the U.S. Code of federal law, section 453);
 - b. help lawyers **organize** abusees in local chapters and represent them in their collective demand for **compensation** from the same abusive judge before whom, or the same abuse-condoning court in which, abusees have appeared. This can become a profitable niche practice, for many people would sign up to such a demand if well publicized and guided by lawyers;
 - c. promote unprecedented **citizens hearings** organized by the media and universities where victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse will testify before journalists, academics, and multidisciplinary experts via video conference accessible to a national and international audience. These hearings will pioneer others on other subjects by self-assertive citizens;
 - d. set off transformative change: the system of justice that comes out of the change is different from the one that went in. Beginning here and extending abroad, it can for the first time in history cause judicial public servants to be held accountable and liable to their abusees by the Masters of all public servants in "government of, by, and for the people": *We the People*.
3. The webinars and articles will lay the foundation for a joint multidisciplinary academic and business venture. Its basis is the successful website of Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. It has attracted with my articles posted there so many webvisitors that 37,259+ have become subscribers(Appendix 3). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know have a website with so many subscribers?
4. The joint venture will develop the site from a free informational platform into:

- a. a **clearinghouse** for **complaints** against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients **auditing** judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources to apply to them **computer-assisted** statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis. All those writings can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of "bad Behaviour" (U.S. **Constitution**, Article III, Section 1), which constitutes one of the grounds for removing judges from office; and
 - c. the digital portal of the joint venture and the precursor to the **institute** of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy, to be attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets. Both the venture and the institute are described in my **business plan**, which is guided by the motto: Making Money While Doing Justice.
5. The audience for the webinars and the articles is large just as there is a large market for the joint venture: We live in a litigious society. More than 50 million cases are filed annually in the **state** and **federal** courts, to which must be added the scores of millions of cases that are pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. Indeed, judges' power is enormous: One district judge suspended *nationwide* an executive order, e.g., the Muslim travel ban, issued by a president elected by 62.5+ million voters, and three circuit judges upheld the suspension *nationwide*. What can judges not do to you, a lawyer, never mind a pro se? Judges' opportunity for abuse has increased as the Covid pandemic has enlarged the number of cases of bankruptcy; insurance coverage; landlord-tenant disputes and evictions; socio-economic and health access inequality; etc.
 6. By the very nature of a case in court, 50% of the parties to those cases are disappointed because they lost and the other 50% may also be to some degree disappointed because they were not granted all the relief that they had requested from the court. Hence the huge size of **The Dissatisfied with The Judicial and Legal System**. They are determined to pursue their quest for justice, for nothing outrages people and inflames their passions more than the feeling that they have been abused and had their rights trampled upon. The Dissatisfied constitute the broader audience of the webinars and articles, and the target market of the multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture.
 7. The size and growth potential of that audience and market will help people drop the objection that 'we only sell X goods and services 'cause we ain't do no legal activism stuff'. If Jeff Bezos of Amazon had taken that attitude, he would never have stepped out of selling books from a garage to sell books in a different way; invent a new business model to sell everything through an imaginative way of using what at the time was the new communications vehicle of the Internet; and become a global economic and political powerhouse that can challenge any actual or potential competitor and even governments. Mr. Bezos and Amazon detected a business opportunity and seized it.
 8. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. The webinars and articles will provide the knowledge that will enable you and your entity to seize the opportunity to become your own version of Bezos and Amazon.

B. Exhibits of my qualifications for holding webinars & having articles published

9. To ascertain my qualifications for holding the webinars and writing articles for publication:
 - a. watch my **video** and follow it on its **slides**;
 - b. read in my three-volume study* † ✨ of judges and their judiciaries, which is the product of professional law research and writing, and **strategic thinking**. It is uniquely based on the analysis of the official **statistics and reports** of the U.S. courts, collected by the **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO), and submitted to Congress in the **Annual Report** of the AO Director, who is **appointed by** the Chief Justice (**28 USC §601**). The study is titled:

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL3:1247

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ‡

c. visit the website of Judicial Discipline Reform:

- 1) You can subscribe for free to its articles, such as [this one](#), by going to its [homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.
- 2) Many articles are downloadable as individual files through their links on a [list](#). The latter includes a sample of subjects on which I can write articles on commission.
- 3) The study and the articles are formatted as if they were briefs. The articles are written in the long form published by law journals or the likes of *The New York Times* Sunday magazine supplement, *The New Yorker*, *The Atlantic*, TIME, etc. These characteristics support the reasonable conclusion that my website subscribers are above-average educated and affluent. They constitute the initial market base of the proposed multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture.

C. Optimal time for exposing judges' unaccountability and abuse of power

10. President Joe Biden can anytime form the commission to reform the judicial system that he announced in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020.
11. He nominated as attorney general Judge Merrick Garland of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (CADCC). The Senate will begin its confirmation hearings on February 22.
 - a. J. Garland was CADCC chief judge and member of the circuit council. As such, he dismissed 100% of the [476 complaints](#) filed there against his peers and colleagues, and denied 100% of the [petitions](#) for review of such dismissals during the 11-year 1oct06-30sep17 period. Since he began to serve on that Court in 1997, he has [kept silent](#) about such abuse of people's right under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act (the Act) ([28 USC §§351-364](#)) to complain against judges despite his legal and ethical duty to report it to safeguard the integrity of the judiciary ([18 USC §3057](#); Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges, [Canon 3\(B\)\(6\)](#)).
 - b. Thus, if Judge Garland is confirmed as attorney general, never mind if he becomes directly or indirectly a member of President Biden's commission to reform the judicial system, he will cover up that abusive dismissal of complaints and denial of review petitions.
 - c. Worse yet, he will hinder any investigation into judges' conduct underlying the complaints. Such conduct may include "Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors" ([U.S. Constitution](#), Article II, Section 4), which can lead to judges' impeachment and removal from office. He must cover up the abusive handling of complaints and hinder their investigation by the commission; another official entity, such as the Department of Justice, the FBI, or the Office of Professional Responsibility; and the media, lest he be [incriminated](#) as principal or accessory to abuse, not only by the investigators, but also by a more threatening authority, to wit, his own fellow judges, all of whom stridently scream at him, 'If you let them bring us down, *we'll take you with us!*'
12. Federal judges have abrogated in effect the Act, showing contemptuous disregard for Congress and the Executive. They have continued their self-insured unaccountability as it existed before the passage of the Act in 1980. In the 232 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of federal judicial officers impeached and removed is [8!](#) Those officers include Article III judges, i.e., justices and circuit and district judges, and their appointees, that is, bankruptcy

judges and magistrates. All of them -2,340 as of September 30, 2019- are in practice unimpeachable and irremovable: Judges Above the Law of a State within a state.

13. Can you reasonably expect them to have any regard for what you write in your briefs or argue in court, let alone when people appear unrepresented, that is, pro se, and are treated by judges as “trash”? Your words are as dead as the Act is toothless, for politicians lack the courage to hold ‘our men and women on the bench’ accountable. They have shown reckless disregard for the harm to the integrity of judicial process, democratic government by the rule of law, and *We the People*.
14. Compare reality with Sen. DeConcini’s lip service statement: “As part of a vigorous oversight responsibility, I plan to monitor implementation of the [] Act. I particularly expect to examine the way in which specific sanctions are imposed, as well as the method of appellate review of judicial council decisions, in order to see if statutory or other perfecting changes are necessary in the future”; [Congressional Record](#) – Senate, September 30, 1980, p. 28090. Sen. DeConcini and the rest of Congress have perfected nothing and condoned the absence of any appellate review.
15. In fact, the statistics of the federal courts of appeals show that 93% of appeals (>OL2:457§§D, B) to the circuit courts are disposed of in decisions that are “procedural [mostly the catchall pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation”. This means that in 93% of appeals what you receive is an unresearched, unreasoned, unprecedented, ad hoc, arbitrary fiat of a star chamber, contained in a 5¢ dumping form rubberstamped by a clerk. Just check those posted to their websites. Statistically, it is wishful thinking for you to expect to be in the unequally treated 7% of parties whose appeals are decided in opinions signed by judges and included in a [reporter](#) (a serial publication of a set of books containing judicial decisions).
16. All this points to the implications of the [comments](#) that U.S. Judge Robert Pratt of the Southern District of Iowa made in an interview with Associated Press reporter Ryan Foley, who published his article “U.S. judge ridicules Trump's pardons”, published on December 30, 2020.
17. Judges’ dismissal of 100% of complaints against them and denial of 100% of review petitions are preemptive reciprocal pardons. The latter are more harmful to the judicial system than the president’s pardons, for their dismissals and denials take place at the outset and out of hand, without the benefit of any investigation, disclosure and discovery of evidence, presentation in open court, and public debate. They are pardons issued with contempt for judicial process, with a self-interest motive, and as means of bribing and extorting: ‘Today I pardon you and tomorrow you pardon me or my friends if we are the target of a complaint...or else!’ Pardoned judges are granted a retroactive license to keep abusing their power. So are their peers and colleagues. Complainants and the rest of the public are left uncompensated and at the mercy of reciprocally-protecting judges.

D. A sample of subjects of the series of webinars and articles

18. Judges cause injury in fact to the people whose money they grab and the participants in judicial process, whose effort, time, and money spent on discovery, briefs, court and attorney’s fees, etc., they render wasteful, and whose reasonable expectation of justice they foreseeably, and thus intentionally frustrate. This is the basis for holding them liable to [compensate](#) your clients and you. This is possible even if you have never filed a complaint against any of them. Their abuse is so pervasive, grave, and coordinated that it has become their [institutionalized](#) modus operandi. It corrupts judicial process and harms even those who do not know it. The following [forms of abuse](#) are discussed in articles available for review before publication and presentable in webinars:
 - a. judges’ abusive enrichment denounced by Sen. Elizabeth [Warren](#)

- b. judges' bankruptcy fraud [scheme](#)
- c. judges' fraudulent filing and approval of mandatory annual [financial disclosure](#) reports
- d. judges' [interception](#) of people's email and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics
- e. judges' [failure to read](#) the overwhelming majority of briefs
- f. judges' and conniving congressional politicians' [sham hearings](#) on judicial conduct
- g. debunking the self-serving doctrine of [judicial immunity](#) concocted by judges
- h. setting in motion a generalized [media investigation](#) of judges' abuse
- i. investigating [justices](#) and demanding the release of the FBI's secret judicial vetting reports
- j. a method for people to write in [up to 500 words](#) their story of abuse by judges
- k. organizing and holding unprecedented [citizens hearings](#) on judges' abuse
- l. forming the coalition of [talkshow hosts](#) for justice
- m. adapting to the [new legal market](#) resulting from Covid 19
- n. making the documentary [Black Robed Predators](#): when judges are the abusers
- o. [research proposals](#) for the joint venture and the [institute](#) of judicial unaccountability reporting

E. Thinking strategically to discreetly expose judges' abuse of power

19. It can be assumed that CLE members and all other lawyers are reluctant to engage in any activity that can provoke judges to exercise their devastating power of retaliation against them. Mindful thereof, I have identified actions that they can discreetly take to advance their own interest, their clients', and the rest of society in exposing judges' abuse of power.
20. To begin with, they can resort to their affiliations and contacts to cause a reputable media outlet with a national audience publish in a newspaper, magazine, or TV show articles. There is precedent for the enormous impact that such publication can have: *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* published their exposés of Harvey Weinstein on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, led to the eruption within a week of the global phenomenon of the *MeToo!* movement. As a result, the millenarian indifference to, tolerance, and cover-up of, sexual abuse came to an abrupt end.
 - a. The publication of my article on the nomination of Judge Merrick Garland as attorney general can focus the attention of the media on [his abusive dismissal](#) of 100% of complaints against his fellow judges and denial of 100% of petitions to review those dismissals, thus ensuring their Unequal protection *from* the Law, the harm to complainants notwithstanding. This is what all other federal chief judges have done for decades and still do, some of whom are [justices](#) of the Supreme Court, as shown by the [official statistics](#) discussed in ¶11 supra.
 - b. My article can lead to the public demand that Judge Garland's nomination be withdrawn or his confirmation denied; and to the [investigation](#)(>OL:194§E) by professional, student, and citizens journalists of similar conduct throughout the Federal Judiciary and of the crimes and unethical conduct underlying the dismissed complaints.
 - c. What is more, the article can open the door to the public demand that President Biden release the FBI's secret vetting reports on judicial candidates.
 - d. That is how a generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power can be put in

motion. It can set the agenda of President Biden's commission to reform the judicial system: to begin with, expose to the fullest the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. The principle underlying the formation of that commission has received a boost by the proposal by House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and other political leaders for the establishment, even after the acquittal of former President Trump at his impeachment trial, of a 9/11-like commission to study the events that led to, and the handling of, the storming of the Capitol on January 6, 2021.

e. My articles and their publication could hardly be traced back to you and turn you into the target of judges' retaliation.

f. But time is of the essence: Judge Garland's confirmation hearings will start on February 22.

21. Another way of protecting exposers of judges' abuse is by joining forces with others in the proposed multidisciplinary academic and business venture to develop my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. It has attracted so many webvisitors with my articles posted there that it currently has 37,259 subscribers and counting.

22. Yet another way is by using your contacts to bring court clerks and even judges as confidential **informants** into the joint venture. They may have signed up to be Workers of Justice, but have become disillusioned with the system and disgusted with themselves for having allowed peer pressure and personal interest to reduce them to enforcers of abuse.

a. Court clerks and judges may be attracted by the prospect of becoming this generation's Deep Throat, who turned out to be Mark Felt, the associate director of the FBI during the Watergate scandal and investigation. His insider information helped *Washington Post* reporter Bob Woodward expose how President Nixon was abusing his power to cover up his and his White House aides' planning and financing of the burglary on June 17, 1972, into the Democratic National Committee at the Watergate building complex in Washington, D.C., to steal documents in an act of political espionage aimed to ensure Nixon's reelection.

23. You can help finance a **tour of presentations**(>197§G) at law, journalism, business, and IT schools.

F. My offer to present this proposal; and your sharing and posting it

24. I offer to make a presentation on this proposal for a series of webinars and articles to you and your guests followed by a Q&A session. The presentation can take place on short notice via video conference or, if here in New York City, in person.

25. To decide whether to organize the presentation you may watch my **video** and follow it on its **slides**.

26. To consult with others on this proposal; interest your potential guests in the presentation; and lead journalists to investigate Judge Garland, you may share this article and post it to social media, e.g.:

Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, WhatsApp, Instagram, Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat

Twitter: Pitching a series of webinars & articles on exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power & a multidisciplinary academic-business joint venture to make money while doing justice http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf

G. Requested action

27. Individually, you and I are nothing but a petit nuisance to judges and their conniving protectors. But if we join forces and **think strategically**, we can start that for which there is repeatable pre-

cedent: a Tea Party/*MeToo*!/BLM-like global civic apolitical movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, collective compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative change.

28. People informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse can impose their demand for change as they join the precedential movements in shouting the rallying cry so expressive of their common mood: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
29. They can force the holding of the constitutional convention that since April 2, 2014, 34 states have petitioned Congress to call, which satisfies the amending provisions of Article V of the [Constitution](#). Just as the delegates convened to amend the Articles of Confederation set them aside and wrote a totally new constitution, that of 1789, a runaway convention can do what is anathema to political leaders, for it threatens their privileges and powers: write a new constitution enabling *We the People* to hold all public servants, including judicial ones, accountable and liable.
30. By agreeing to the proposed webinars and articles you can make yourself this generation's *Washington Post* publisher Katharine Graham and editor Benjamin Bradlee of Watergate fame. They supported the exposure of President Nixon and his aides as "a criminal enterprise", as described by their reporters Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward. They were instrumental in bringing them down, which sent "*All the President's Men*" to jail and forced Nixon to resign on August 8, 1974.
31. By contrast, you can bring down a whole branch of government that operates as a [racketeering enterprise](#). You can do so profitably because "Scandal sells". It can lead to a flood of specialized consulting and lobbying work; compensation claims; motions to vacate and remand for a new trial; a bestseller and a blockbuster movie (cf. the link in the above paragraph); and a Pulitzer Prize for "[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting](#)".
32. Therefore, I respectfully request that you get in touch with me to discuss this proposal, using my contact information in the letterhead or below. We must proceed with due haste to take advantage of this optimal time for exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

H. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE to Judicial Discipline Reform

through [Paypal](#)

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to the address below.

I look forward to hearing from you. Send your comments by pasting in the To: box of your email to me this bloc of my email addresses:

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

- Dashboard
- Posts
- Media
- Pages
- Comments 39
- WooCommerce
- Products
- Appearance
- Plugins 4
- Users**
 - All Users
 - Add New
 - Profile
- Tools
- Settings 2
- Collapse menu

Users [Add New](#)

 Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (37,321) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (37,320)**

Bulk actions Change role to...

37,321 items 1 of 1,867

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcuickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaBEvick	—	a21@play.quakeclub.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AAAGlennbeish	—	umbainvro@viagraforsale.monster	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaPiopsy	—	enn.max.to.y@gmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaBNmvsUDXWuwO	—	kelflee@yahoo.com	Subscriber	0

February 23, 2021

Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,311+ subscribers to its articles thus: homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - Cf. a. *id.* on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
 - b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 476 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf
 - d. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. Garland; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - e. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - f. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
2. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
3. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
4. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
5. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
6. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
7. *>Creative writings, cw; http://judicialdiscipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
8. *>OL:42; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

9. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
10. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
11. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
12. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahogroups.pdf
13. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
14. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
15. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
16. OL2:567; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
17. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonable, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
18. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
19. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
20. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
21. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
22. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
23. OL2:901; <http://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
24. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
25. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
26. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
27. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
28. OL2:951; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
29. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
30. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
31. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf

32. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
33. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
34. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
35. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
36. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
37. OL2:1022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
38. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
39. OL2:1032; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
40. OL2:1037; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
41. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
42. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
43. *>OL2:1051; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
44. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
45. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf [sent to LexisNexis]
46. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
47. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf = <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
48. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
49. OL2:1090; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
50. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
51. OL2:1101; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
52. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
53. OL2:1108; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
54. OL2:1116; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
55. OL2:1119; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
56. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
57. *>OL2:1134; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
58. OL2:1144; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

59. OL2:1154; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
60. *>OL2:1159; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
61. *>OL2:1163; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-.pdf>
62. *>OL2:1175; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
63. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
64. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
65. *>OL2:1213; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
66. OL2:1219; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
67. OL3:1226; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
68. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
69. OL3:1237; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
70. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
71. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † * of judges and their judiciaries

72. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
73. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
74. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
75. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
76. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
77. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
78. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
79. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
80. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to

themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);

81. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
82. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
83. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘*MeToo! Abusers*’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
84. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications(OL2:582§C);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges’ interception;
85. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges’ abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
86. ***Black Robed Predators***(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges’ victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges’ abuse of power;
87. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges’ abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People*’s loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges

intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);

- c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for ‘justice services’(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out “dumping forms”(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys’ fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for ‘justice services’; and
- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day’s work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);

88. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;

89. the development of my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of February 23, 2021, had 37,300 subscribers, into:

- a. a clearinghouse for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
- b. a research center for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
- c. the showroom and working platform of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture(jur:119§§1-4) intended to develop into the institute of judicial accountability reporting and reform advocacy(jur:130§5);

90. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges’ abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154 ¶ 3);
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges’ decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges’ abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People’s Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

91. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference(jur:97§1; *>dcc:13§C) on judges' abuses held at a top university(OL2:452) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
92. the call of the constitutional convention(OL:136§3) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful external links

93. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
94. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
95. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
96. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
97. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
98. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
99. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
100. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
101. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
102. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
103. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
104. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
105. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
106. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
107. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
108. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
109. AO's 2019-1997 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
110. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>
111. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
112. the **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
113. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
114. Number of cases filed in state courts annually: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf
115. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

116. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>
117. **Federal Judicial Center on impeachments**; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>
118. See(jur:159²⁸⁰):
- a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf
 - b The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf\(jur:75¹⁴⁸\)](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf(jur:75¹⁴⁸)).
 - c The Conduct and Disability part of the bill as adopted is at ¶111 supra(jur:24^{18a})
119. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
- 120.

Blank

Blank

Blank