# United States District Court WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

**Appellant's Brief** 

# Richard Cordero

Appellant and creditor

V. <u>05-CV-6190L</u>

# **David DeLano and Mary Ann DeLano**

Respondents and debtors in bankruptcy

(Excerpt from

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Stat\_Facts\_DisCt\_dec5.pdf)

Dr. Richard Cordero, appellant and creditor, states under penalty of perjury the following:

. . .

# E. THE ARGUMENTERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

- 1. The transcript shows how Judge Ninfo, likely expecting it not to be available to Dr. Cordero before he would have to file his brief pursuant to an order from Judge Larimer manipulating its filing date, conducted a blatantly biased, arbitrary, and unlawful proceeding so that the motion to disallow his claim could be granted as needed by them, the DeLnos, and the trustees to eliminate Dr. Cordero before he could expose a bankruptcy fraud scheme
  - a. Judge Ninfo confronted Dr. Cordero at the evidentiary hearing with a lawyers directory stating that a Richard Cordero worked as an associate at a law firm specializing in litigation; Dr. Cordero stated under oath that he was not that person and had never practiced law; but the Judge assumed that he had lied and, without obtaining more evidence, in his decision on appeal portrayed him as a liar and a perjurer so as to destroy his credibility, whereby the Judge manifested his bias against and libeled Dr. Cordero, who proves here that he told the truth
  - b. Judge Ninfo shows his bias by inconsistently criticizing Dr. Cordero for acting as "a typical pro se" litigant lacking legal representation and for being "an experienced attorney" who was able to confuse Mr. DeLano

- c. Judge Ninfo misleads his appellate peers by pretending that Dr. Cordero abused his "experience" to "confuse" Mr. DeLano at the evidentiary hearing while the Judge withholds the fact that Mr. DeLano was accompanied by Att. Werner, who 'has been in this business" for 28 years and has appeared before him in more than 525 cases
- d. Judge Ninfo shows his bias toward Mr. DeLano by dismissing as "confused" and withholding from his appellate peers Mr. DeLano's "most interesting statements", which he made against legal interest and which support Dr. Cordero's claim against him, whereby the Judge misleads his peers with an unbalanced, incomplete account of the evidentiary hearing
- e. Neither Mr. DeLano nor Att. Werner bothered to read the complaint or the proof of claim containing the claim that they had moved to disallow and in the middle of the hearing asked Dr. Cordero to lend them a copy!
- f. Judge Ninfo looked on in complicit silence while Atts. Werner and Beyma signaled answers to Mr. DeLano during his examination under oath
- g. Judge Ninfo misleads his peers by pretending that there was a "Trial", yet what he ordered and held was just an evidentiary hearing
- h. Judge Ninfo shows blatant bias and bad faith in criticizing Dr. Cordero for not filing a "Pretrial Memorandum of Law", a type of paper not even mentioned in the rules, never required of him, and not filed by Att. Werner, who also filed no memorandum of law to support his motion to disallow
- i. Judge Ninfo pretends to provide legal authority, without discussing it, for his decision, which on the contrary shows that with disregard for the law he disallowed the claim
- j. Judge Ninfo has shown such bias against Dr. Cordero and in favor of the local parties as to require the nullification of his decisions and his disqualification under 28 U.S.C. §455(a), which the Supreme Court has stated calls only for the appearance, not the reality, of bias and prejudice
- 2. Local Rule 5.1(h) suspiciously singles out RICO claims by requiring exceedingly detailed facts just to file them, thus violating notice pleading under FRCivP
- 3. Section 158 of title 28 U.S.C. provides for bankruptcy appellate review by judges of unequal degree of impartiality in violation of the equal protection requirements of the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution and is unconstitutional Error! Bookmark not defined.

#### F. CONCLUSION AND RELIEF SOUGHT

Proposed Order

# A. Statement of the Case

#### 1. Nature of the case

1. This is an appeal from the disallowance by U.S. Bankruptcy Judge John C. Ninfo, II, WBNY, of a creditor's claim in the voluntary bankruptcy case filed jointly by Mr. David and Mrs. Mary Ann DeLano (the DeLanos) under 11 U.S.C. Chapter 13 (hereinafter *DeLano*; references to §# are to Title 11 unless the context requires otherwise).

# 2. Course of the Proceedings

2. The DeLanos filed their bankruptcy petition on January 27, 2004 (In re David DeLano and Mary Ann DeLano, docket no. 04-20280; Designated Items in the Record, pages 27-60=D:27-60). They listed 21 creditors, 19 as unsecured in Schedule F, where they included Dr. Richard Cordero (references to Schedules (Sch.) and other petition parts are to D:27/...; here D:27/Sch:F). He filed his proof of claim on May 19, 2004 (D:142-146). Up to then they had treated, and for months thereafter continued to treat, him as a creditor. On July 9, 2004, he filed a statement showing on the basis of even the few documents that they had produced at his instigation (D165-188) that they had committed bankruptcy fraud, particularly concealment of assets, and requesting the documents that they had failed to produce (D:196§§IV-V; 207, 208) Only then did they come up with the idea of a motion to disallow his claim as a means to get rid of him before he could prove their fraud. Filed on July 22 (D:218), it was heard on August 25. After manipulating the request for documents (D:234§§II & IV) and disregarding the motion's defects of untimeliness, laches, and bad faith (D:253§§V & VI) and the presumption of validity in favor of the claim (D:256§VII), Judge Ninfo ordered that Dr. Cordero take discovery of Mr. DeLano until December 15, 2004, in the case that gave rise to his claim, namely, *Pfuntner v*.

Gordon et al., docket no. 02-2230, WBNY (*Pfuntner*; Addendum to the Designated Items, page 534/after entry 13, infra=Add:534/after entry 13), and that the parties introduce their evidence at an evidentiary hearing (D:278¶¶3 & 4).

3. It was held on March 1, 2005, when Judge Ninfo abandoned his duty impartially to take in evidence and behaved as Chief Advocate for Mr. DeLano while the latter was the only witness examined and Dr. Cordero the only one to introduce evidence. Although Mr. DeLano made consistent admissions against self-interest, the Judge arbitrarily disregarded them in order to reach at the hearing the predetermined decision of disallowance. His written decision of April 4 (D:3) was followed by this appeal on April 11, 2005 (D:1).

# 3. Disposition in the Court Below

4. Judge Ninfo held that Dr. Cordero had not proved his claim in *Pfuntner* against Mr. DeLano and had no standing to further participate in *DeLano*; and restated his denial to stay his decision. (D:20)

# 4. Statement of Facts

5. Mr. David DeLano is not an average debtor: He has worked in financing for 7 years and at two banks as an officer for 32 years: 39 years managing money!...and counting, for he is still working for a large bank, namely, Manufacturers & Traders Trust Bank (M&T), as a manager in credit administration (Transcript page 15, line 17 to page 16, line 15=Tr:15/17-16/15). As such, he qualifies as an expert in how to assess creditworthiness and remain solvent to be able to repay bank loans. What is more, Mr. DeLano works precisely in the area of bankruptcies, collecting money from delinquent commercial borrowers and even liquidating their companies (Tr:17.14-19). Actually, he was in charge of the defaulted loan to Premier Van Lines, a storage company that filed for bankruptcy, *In re Premier Van Lines*, dkt. 01-20692 (*Premier*), and gave rise to *Pfuntner* (Add:891/fn.1); both cases were brought before Judge Ninfo. Thus, Mr. DeLano is a member of a class of people who should know better than to go bankrupt and that, because of

- their experience with borrowers that use or abuse the bankruptcy system, know bankruptcy officers and how to petition them rightfully or wrongfully but successfully for bankruptcy relief.
- 6. For her part, Mrs. Mary Ann DeLano was a specialist in business Xerox machines, and as such a person trained to think methodically so as to ask pointed questions of customers and guide them through a series of systematic steps to solve their technical problems with Xerox machines.
- 7. Hence, the DeLanos are professionals with expertise in borrowing, dealing with bankruptcies, and learning and applying technical instructions. They must be held to a high standard of responsibility. Their bankruptcy petition warranted close scrutiny, particularly since it makes no sense that:
  - a. they earned \$291,470 in just the 2001-2003 fiscal years (D:27/Statement of Financial Affairs and D:186-188);
  - b. but they declared having only \$535 in cash or in bank accounts (D:27/Sch:B); yet, they and their attorney, Christopher Werner, Esq., know they can afford to pay \$18,005 in legal fees for over a year's maneuvering to avoid producing the documents requested by Dr. Cordero to find the whereabouts of their \$291,470 (Add:872-875; 942), not to mention other funds;
  - c. indeed, they spread over 18 credit cards a whopping debt of \$98,092 (D:27/Sch:F), although the average credit card debt of Americans is \$6,000;
  - d. despite all that borrowing, they declared household goods worth only \$2,910 (D:27/Sch:B...that's all they pretend to have accumulated throughout their combined worklives!, although they earned over a *100* times that amount, \$291,470, in only the three years of 2001-03...unbelievable!;
  - e. moreover, they strung mortgages since 1975 to pay for the same home in which they still live:

Mortgages referred to in the incomplete documents produced by the DeLanos to Trustee Reiber	Exhibit page #	Amounts of the mortgages
1) took out a mortgage for \$26,000 in 1975;	D:342	\$26,000
2) another for \$7,467 in 1977;	D:343	7,467
3) still another for \$59,000 in 1988; as well as	D:346	59,000
4) an overdraft from ONONDAGA Bank for \$59,000 and	D:346	59,000
5) owed \$59,000 to M&T in 1988;	D:176	59,000
6) another mortgage for \$29,800 in 1990;	D:348	29,800
7) even another one for \$46,920 in 1993; and	D:349	46,920
8) yet another for \$95,000 in 1999.	D:350-54	95,000
	Total	\$382,187.00

- 8. Yet today, 30 years later, they still owe \$77,084 and have equity of merely \$21,415 (D:27/Sch:A...Mindboggling! (Add:1058¶54)
- 9. Although the DeLanos have received over \$670,000, as shown by even the few documents that they have reluctantly produced at Dr. Cordero's instigation, the officers that have a statutory duty to investigate evidence of bankruptcy fraud or report it for investigation have not only disregarded such duty, but have also refused even to require them to produce any statements of their bank and debit card accounts, which can show the flow of their receipts and payments.

	Officer's name and title	Statutory duty to investigate	Request for documents	Responseif any
1.	George Reiber, Standing Chpt. 13 Trustee	11 U.S.C. §§1302(b)(1) and. 704(4) & (7)	D:66§IV D:113¶6 D:492, cf. D:477-491 Add:683	D:74, cf. D:83§A D:120, cf. D:124 and 193§§I-III none none
2.	Kathleen Dunivin Schmitt, Assistant U.S. Trustee	28 U.S.C. §586(a)(3)(C) & (F)	D:63\$\$I & III D:470, cf. D:461 D:471 D:475\$c Add:685	D:70, cf. D:84§IV none none none none
3.	Deirdre A. Martini, U.S.	28 U.S.C. §586(b)	D:104, cf. D:90§VII; D:137;	none D:139, cf. D:141;

	Trustee for Region 2		Add:682	D:154-157, cf. D:158; none
4.	Bkr. Judge John C. Ninfo, II	11 U.S.C. §1325 and 18 U.S.C. §3057(a) (Add:630)	D:198§V and D:199¶31; 207-210, 217; D:320§II D:370§C Add:1051§II Add:1133§§I & II	D:220, cf. D:232§§I & V  D:327  D:3  Add:1065, cf. Add:1066; 1094  Add:1125
5.	District Judge David G. Larimer	18 U.S.C. §3057(a) (Add:630)	Add:885¶15, 900§§3 & B, Add:908§d, 951, 979§III Add:1098§I	Add:1021 Add:1155

- 10. What has motivated them to protect the DeLanos by sparing them production of incriminating documents? (D:458§V) This questions is particularly appropriate because all of them have been informed of the incident at the beginning of case that not only to a reasonable person, but all the more so to one charged with the duty to prevent bankruptcy fraud, would have shown that the DeLanos had committed fraud and needed protection from exposure: The meeting of the DeLano's creditors, held pursuant to §341 on March 8, 2004, was attended only by Dr. Cordero. Yet, Trustee Reiber's attorney, James W. Weidman, Esq., unjustifiably asked Dr. Cordero whether and, if so, how much he knew about the DeLanos' having committed fraud, and when Dr. Cordero would not reveal what he knew, Mr. Weidman, with the Trustee's approval, rather than let the DeLanos be examined under oath, as §343 requires, while officially being recorded on tape, put an end to the meeting after Dr. Cordero had asked only two questions! (D:79§§I-III; Add:889§II)
  11. Far from any of those officers investigating this cover up, they attempted or condoned the subsequent attempt to limit Dr. Cordero's examination of the DeLanos at an adjourned meeting of creditors to one hour (D:70), which they knew to be unlawful since §341 provides for a series of
- 11. Far from any of those officers investigating this cover up, they attempted or condoned the subsequent attempt to limit Dr. Cordero's examination of the DeLanos at an adjourned meeting of creditors to one hour (D:70), which they knew to be unlawful since §341 provides for a series of meetings for the very broad scope of examination set forth under FRBkrP 2004(b) (D:283). Upon realizing how broadly Dr. Cordero would examine the DeLanos, the officers attempted or condoned the attempt to prevent the examination by not holding the §341 meeting at all!

- (D:296), 299§II) They also tried to put it off until after the evidentiary hearing (¶2 above), when Dr. Cordero's claim would be disallowed and he would be stripped of standing to even call for a meeting. (D:301, 302) They were acting in coordination to evade their duty!
- 12. An appeal to Trustee Martini was never replied to (D:307). On the contrary, Trustee Reiber reiterated his decision not to hold the meeting. (D:311, 316) Dr. Cordero analyzed the law in a motion for Judge Ninfo to declare that he had not prohibited and could not prohibit its holding. (D:321§III & ¶30.c) The Judge denied it while displaying again his unwillingness and inability to argue the law. (D:328¶4) Another appeal to Trustee Martini went by without response. (D:330)
- 13. Eventually Trustee Reiber agreed to hold a §341 meeting, but gave no explanation for his reversal in his letter to Dr. Cordero of December 30, 2004 (D:333). However, on December 15, Judge Ninfo had set the date for the evidentiary hearing of the DeLanos' motion to disallow Dr. Cordero's claim (¶2 above) for March 1, 2005 (D:332). Now such meeting came in handy.
- 14. Indeed, the Judge had gone along with that motion without regard for the analysis by Dr. Cordero showing that it was an artifice to get rid of him and his requests for documents that could prove the DeLanos' fraud. (D:240§IV, 253§V). The Judge required him to take discovery of Mr. DeLano in the case that had given rise to the claim (D:272/2<sup>nd</sup>¶, 278¶3), which he wrongly identified as Att. Werner had done in his cursory motion as "Adversary Proceeding in Premier Van Lines (01-20692)" (D:218) Had either read Dr. Cordero's proof of claim (D:144), they could have realized that the claim against Mr. DeLano arose in *Pfuntner v. Trustee Gordon et al.*, no. 02-2230, not in *Premier*. They had decided to eliminate him regardless of his proof, which even by the time of the evidentiary hearing they neither had read nor had a copy of! (¶Error! Reference source not found.)
- 15. To facilitate disallowance, both the DeLanos (D:314) and Judge Ninfo (D:327¶1) unlawfully denied *every single document* that Dr. Cordero requested (D:287§§A & C). However, he did not

take discovery of any other *Pfuntner* party. So 'they had no clue what he could possibly do at the evidentiary hearing' (Tr:122/16-122/11). Hence, to find out in advance, the so-called meeting of creditors was set for and held on February 1, 2005. It was not intended for Dr. Cordero to examine the DeLanos, but rather for them to depose him! The facts prove it.

- 16. That is why Trustee Reiber allowed Att. Werner to micromanage the meeting. (D:464/4<sup>th</sup> & 5<sup>th</sup> h) He allowed him to refuse to produce documents; even those few that the Trustee got the Attorney to agree to produce, he allowed him to produce them late, only after Dr. Cordero had reminded the Trustee that they were past due (D:341). Even then Att. Werner attempted to avoid production (D:473 & 477); produced incomplete documents (D:342); or only because of Dr. Cordero's insistence, produced objectively useless documents (D:477-491) until the Trustee just stopped answering Dr. Cordero's requests (D:492) and then the Judge disallowed the claim.
- 17. As for Trustee Schmitt, she attempted to avoid producing copies of the tapes of the February 1 meeting of creditors despite Dr. Cordero's request (D:474), sending instead tapes of a different meeting (D:476). Likewise, although Trustee Reiber wrote that "At the request of Dr. Cordero, I will have court reporter [sic] available as well as having a tape recording made of the meeting" (D:333), when Dr. Cordero requested him a copy, Trustee Reiber denied it and told him to buy it from the reporter, preposterously alleging that the latter owns the copyright to it. But what the reporter produced is work for hire and Dr. Cordero was the reason why the Trustee hired a reporter.
- 18. That meeting of creditors was never intended to function as stated in the 1978 Legislative Report for §343: "The purpose of the examination is to enable creditors and the trustee to determine if assets have improperly been disposed of or concealed or if there are grounds for objection to discharge". Rather, it was an opportunity for the DeLanos and the trustees to pump information out of Dr. Cordero. It was another abuse of process, a coordinated charade! (Add:966§B)
- 19. Judge Larimer supported that charade by protecting Trustees Schmitt and Reiber from having to

produce any tapes or transcripts of those meetings of creditors on March 8, 2004, and February 1, 2005. To that end, he dispatched Dr. Cordero's requests that he order their production (Add:885¶15, 907, 980§§a & b), if only "for the proper determination of this appeal", let alone "appellant's right of appeal" (Add:951 1001§III), with a lazy and conclusory "These motions are wholly without merit and they are denied in their entirety" (Add:1022).

- 20. What is more, Judge Larimer also repeatedly maneuvered to deprive Dr. Cordero of the transcript of the evidentiary hearing on March 1, 2005, where his colleague, Judge Ninfo, disallowed his claim in *DeLano*: He manipulated orders scheduling Dr. Cordero to file his appellant's brief by a date by which the Judge knew the transcript would not be ready for Dr. Cordero to use it in writing his brief or make it part of the record. The Judge did so although he still had no jurisdiction to issue orders in the case because the record consisted then only of Dr. Cordero's notice of appeal and designation of items so that it was incomplete under FRBkrP 8006 and 8007(b), and consequently, its transfer from Judge Ninfo's court to him had been unlawful. (Add:692, cf. 695; 831, cf. 836; 839).
- 21. When the orders manipulating brief-filing dates failed due to Dr. Cordero's objections to keep the transcript from him, it was for Bankruptcy Court Reporter Mary Dianetti to refuse to agree to certify that her transcript of her own stenographic recording of the evidentiary hearing would be complete, accurate, and free from tampering influence (Add:867, 869). Although Dr. Cordero complained about the unreliability resulting from such refusal and requested that Reporter Dianetti be referred for investigation to the Judicial Conference of the United States under 28 U.S.C. §753 (Add:911), Judge Larimer just disregarded Dr. Cordero's factual and legal analysis and issued another lazy "The motion is in all respects denied" (Add:991).
- 22. Dr. Cordero pointed out in a motion for reconsideration how suspicious it was that although Reporter Dianetti could lose her job if referred to the Conference, particularly since this was the

second time that she and Judge Larimer had tried to prevent him from obtaining a transcript, which they did in *Pfuntner* (Add:1011§A), she was so sure that the Judge would not refer her that she did not even bother to file an objection to the motion (Add:1001§§III & V, cf. 1034¶10-12). Again with no discussion of Dr. Cordero's factual and legal arguments, the Judge simply forced him to request the transcript from Reporter Dianetti and pay for it lest his appeal be dismissed. (Add:1020, cf. 1025, 1027)

- 23. Even after Dr. Cordero complied (Add:1031) and the transcript was prepared and filed by Reporter Dianetti and transmitted "forthwith" from the Bankruptcy Court to the District Court, the latter failed to file it as required under FRBkrP 8007(b), thus making it necessary for Dr. Cordero to move the Court to comply with its duty to docket it, enter the appeal, and schedule the appellant's brief (Add:1081). Judge Larimer rescheduled the filing date by his order of November 21, where he wrote that "It now appears that the record on appeal is complete, and no further action pursuant to Fed.R.Bankr.P. 8007 is required" (Add:1093). Thereby he unwittingly admitted that the record was incomplete when he issued his order of April 22 (Add:692) -7 months earlier! at a time when there was not even an arrangement for the Reporter to begin preparing her transcript, let alone file it (Add:681, cf. 686-696, 831-845)- requiring Dr. Cordero to file his appellant's brief by May 12. Judge Larimer had willfully violated FRBkrP 8007 to deprive Dr. Cordero of the transcript.
- 24. By not referring Reporter Dianetti to the Judicial Conference, Judge Larimer was protecting not only her, but also himself from review that would have revealed the quality of their work: In her transcript everybody appears speaking Pidgin English, babbling in broken sentences, uttering barbarisms, and sputtering so much solecistic fragments in each line that to recompose them into the whole of a meaningful statement is toil. As a result, the participants at the hearing, though professionals, come across in the transcript as a bunch of speech impaired illiterates. Her

transcript can hardly be representative of the standard of competency to which the Conference holds reporters. Therefore, if the Conference had reviewed such an objectively inferior reproduction of a court proceeding as Reporter Dianetti's transcript is, it would have called into question why nevertheless Judges Larimer and Ninfo customarily, and thus knowingly, accept work of such disturbing quality as the record on which they determine the rights, property claims, and maybe even the liberty of litigants...or do they pay no attention to any transcript?, for their own orders show that they rarely cite and never analyze the law or the rules, and never discuss the motions on which they rule, which points to their not even reading them. (¶Error! Reference source not found..a below)

. . .

# F. Conclusion and Relief Sought

- 25. Judge Larimer has shown himself willing to disregard the rule of law and the facts as well as unable to analyze and apply the law. Moreover, he has a conflict of interest because if he orders the production of the documents necessary for the proper determination of the issues in *DeLano* and *Pfuntner*, he also risks the finding of the whereabouts of at least two thirds of a million dollars and thereby the exposure of a bankruptcy fraud scheme and of the colleagues and others supporting it. Indeed, he has already given the appearance of partiality and of misusing his judicial power in his and the schemers' interest rather than using it in the interest of justice.
- 26. Therefore, Dr. Cordero respectfully requests that:
  - a) All of Judge Ninfo's decisions in *DeLano* and *Pfuntner* be declared null and void; and;
  - b) Judge Ninfo be disqualified from both cases;
  - c) the disallowed claim of Dr. Cordero in *DeLano* be reinstated;
  - d) the proposed order attached hereto be issued, which concerns, inter alia, document production; withdrawal from the Bankruptcy Court, WBNY, and transfer to the District

Court, NDNY, of DeLano and Pfuntner; removal of Trustee Reiber and appointment of a

successor; production of a report on the DeLanos' financial affairs; referral of Reporter

Dianetti for investigation under 28 U.S.C. §753 to the Judicial Conference as requested in

Dr. Cordero's motions of July 18 and September 20, 2005, to the District Court in the instant

appeal (docket entries 13 and 19 (Add:911) and 993)); and the report under 18 U.S.C.

§3057(a) (Add:630) of *DeLano* and *Pfuntner* to U.S. Attorney General Alberto Gonzales;

e) District Court Local Rule 5.1(h) be stricken down;

f) 28 U.S.C. §158(b) be held unconstitutional.

Dated: December 21, 2005
59 Crescent Street

Brooklyn, NY 11208

Dr. Richard Cordera

Dr. Richard Cordero tel. (718) 827-9521

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DR. RICHARD CORDERO,

Appellant,

v. <u>ORDER</u>

05-CV-6190L

DAVID DE LANO and MARY ANN DE LANO,

Respondents.

Having considered the briefs submitted in his appeal, the Court orders as follows:

# A. Persons and entities concerned by this Order

- Respondents, David DeLano and Mary Ann DeLano (hereinafter the DeLanos), Debtors in *In re David DeLano and Mary Ann DeLano*, docket no. 04-20280, WBNY, (hereinafter *DeLano*, which shall be understood to include the above-captioned appeal);
- Chapter 13 Trustee George Reiber, South Winton Court, 3136 S. Winton Road, Rochester,
   NY 14623, tel. (585) 427-7225, and any and all members of his staff, including but not limited to, James Weidman, Esq., attorney for Trustee Reiber;
- 3. Christopher K. Werner, Esq., attorney for the DeLanos, Boylan, Brown, Code, Vigdor & Wilson, LLP, 2400 Chase Square, Rochester, NY 14604, tel. (585) 232-5300; and any and all members of his firm, including but not limited to, Devin L. Palmer, Esq.;
- 4. Mary Dianetti, Bankruptcy Court Reporter, 612 South Lincoln Road, East Rochester, NY 14445, tel. (585) 586-6392;
- 5. Kathleen Dunivin Schmitt, Esq., Assistant U.S. Trustee for Rochester, Office of the U.S. Trustee, U.S. Courthouse, 100 State Street, Rochester, NY, 14614, tel. (585) 263-5812, and any and all members of her staff, including but not limited to, Ms. Christine Kyler, Ms. Jill

- Wood, and Ms. Stephanie Becker;
- Deirdre A. Martini, United States Trustee for Region 2, Office of the United States Trustee,
   33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004, tel. (212) 510-0500;
- 7. g)Manufacturers & Traders Trust Bank (M&T Bank), 255 East Avenue, Rochester, NY, tel. (800) 724-8472;
- 8. Paul R. Warren, Esq., Clerk of Court, United States Bankruptcy Court, 1400 U.S. Courthouse, 100 State Street, Rochester, NY 14614, tel. (585) 613-4200, and any and all members of his staff; and
- 9. Any and all persons or entities that are in possession or know the whereabouts of, or control, the documents requested hereinafter.

# B. Procedural provisions applicable to all persons and entities concerned by this Order, who shall:

- 10. Understand a reference to a named person or entity to include any and all members of such person's or entity's staff or firm;
- 11. Comply with the instructions stated below and complete such compliance within seven days of the issue of this Order unless a different deadline for compliance is stated below;
- 12. Be held responsible for any non-compliance and subject to the continuing duty to comply with this Order within the day each day after the applicable deadline is missed;
- 13. Produce of each document within the scope of this Order those parts stating as to each transaction covered by such document:
  - a. the source or recipient of funds or who made any charge or claim for funds;
  - b. the time and amount of each such transaction;
  - c. the description of the goods or service concerned by the transaction;
  - d. the document closing date;

- e. the payment due date;
- f. the applicable rates;
- g. the opening date and the good or delinquent standing of the account, agreement, or contract concerned by the document;
- h. the beneficiary of any payment;
- i. the surety, codebtor, or collateral; and
- j. any other matter relevant to this Order or to the formulation of the terms and conditions of such document;
- 14. Certify individually as such person, or if an entity, by its representative, in an affidavit or an unsworn declaration subscribed as provided for under 28 U.S.C. §1746 (hereinafter collectively referred to as a certificate), with respect to each document produced that such document has not been the subject of any addition, omission, modification, or correction of any type whatsoever and that it is the whole of the document without regard to the degree of relevance or lack thereof of any part of such document other than any part requiring its production; or certify why such certification cannot be made with respect to any part or the whole of such document and attach such document;
- 15. Produce any document within the scope of this Order by producing a true and correct copy of such document;
- 16. Produce a document and/or a certificate concerning it whenever a reasonable person acting in good faith would:
  - a. believe that at least one part of such document comes within the scope of this Order;
  - b. be in doubt as to whether any or no part of a document comes within that scope; or
  - c. think that another person with an adversarial interest would want such production or certificate made or find it of interest in the context of ascertaining whether, in particular,

- the DeLanos have committed bankruptcy fraud, or, in general, there is a bankruptcy fraud scheme involving the DeLanos and/or any other individual; and
- 17. File with the Court and serve on Appellant Dr. Richard Cordero at 59 Crescent Street, Brooklyn, NY 11028, (tel. (718) 827-9521), and the trustee succeeding Trustee George Reiber when appointed (hereinafter the successor trustee) any document produced or certificate made pursuant to this Order.

# C. Substantive provisions

- 18. Any person or entity concerned by this Order who with respect to any of the following documents i) holds such document (hereinafter holder) shall produce a true and correct copy thereof and a certificate; ii) controls or knows the whereabouts or likely whereabouts of any such document (hereinafter identifier) shall certify what document the identifier controls or knows the whereabouts or likely whereabouts of, and state such whereabouts and the name and address of the known or likely holder of such document:
  - a. The audio tape of the meeting of creditors of the DeLanos held on March 8, 2004, at the Office of the U.S. Trustee in Rochester, room 6080, and conducted by Att. Weidman, shall be produced by Trustee Schmitt, who shall within 10 days of this Order arrange for, and produce, its transcription on paper and on a floppy disc or CD; and produce also the video tape shown at the beginning of such meeting and in which Trustee Reiber was seen providing the introduction to it;
  - b. The transcript of the meeting of creditors of the DeLanos held on February 1, 2005, at Trustee Reiber's office, which transcript has already been prepared and is in possession of Trustee Reiber, who shall produce it on paper and on a floppy disc or CD;
  - c. The original stenographic packs and folds on which Reporter Dianetti recorded the evidentiary hearing of the DeLanos' motion to disallow Dr. Cordero's claim, held on

- March 1, 2005, in the Bankruptcy Court, shall be kept in the custody of the Bankruptcy Clerk of Court and made available to the Court or the Judicial Conference of the United States upon its request;
- d. The documents that Trustee Reiber obtained from any source prior to the confirmation hearing for the DeLanos' plan on July 25, 2005, in the Bankruptcy Court, whether such documents relate generally to the DeLanos' bankruptcy petition or particularly to the investigation of whether they have committed fraud, regardless of whether such documents point to their joint or several commission of fraud or do not point to such commission but were obtained in the context of such investigation;
- e. The statement reported in *DeLano*, docket entry 134, to have been read by Trustee Reiber into the record at the July 25 confirmation hearing of the DeLanos' plan, of which there shall be produced a copy of the written version, if any, of such statement as well as a transcription of such statement exactly as read;
- f. The financial documents in either or both of the DeLanos' names, or otherwise concerning a financial matter under the total or partial control of either or both of them, regardless of whether either or both exercise such control directly or indirectly through a third person or entity, and whether for their benefit or somebody else's, since January 1, 1975, to date,

## 1) Such as:

- (a) the ordinary, whether the interval of issue is a month or a longer or shorter interval, and extraordinary statements of account of each and all checking, savings, investment, retirement, pension, credit card, and debit card accounts at or issued by M&T Bank and/or any other entity in the world;
- (b) the unbroken series of documents relating to the DeLanos' purchase, sale, or

rental of any property or share thereof or right to its use, wherever in the world such property may have been, is, or may be located, including but not limited to:

- (i) real estate, including but not limited to the home and surrounding lot at 1262 Shoecraft Road, Webster (and Penfield, if different), NY; and
- (ii) personal property, including any vehicle or mobile home;
- (c) mortgage and/or loan documents;
- (d) title documents and other documents reviewing title, such as abstracts of title;
- (e) prize documents, such as lottery and gambling documents;
- (f) service documents, wherever in the world such service was, is being, or may be received or given; and
- (g) documents concerning the college expenses of each of the DeLanos' children, including but not limited to tuition, books, transportation, room and board, and any loan extended by a government or a private entity for the purpose of such education, regardless of whose name appears as the borrower on the loan documents;
- 2) the production of such documents shall be made pursuant to the following timeframes:
  - (a) within two weeks of the date of this Order, such documents dated since January 1, 1999, to date;
  - (b) within 30 days from the date of this Order, such documents dated since January 1, 1975, to December 31, 1998.
- 19. The holder of the original of any of the documents within the scope of this Order shall certify that he or she holds such original and acknowledges the duty under this Order to hold it in a

secure place, ensure its chain of custody, and produce it only upon order of this Court, the court to which *DeLano* may be transferred, a higher court of appeals, or the Judicial Conference of the United States.

- 20. *DeLano* and *Pfuntner v. Gordon et al.*, docket no. 02-2230, WBNY, (hereinafter *Pfuntner*), are withdrawn from the Bankruptcy Court to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157(d).
- 21. The order of Bankruptcy Judge John C. Ninfo, II, WBNY, of August 9, 2005, confirming the DeLanos' plan is hereby revoked; his order of August 8, 2005, to M&T Bank shall continue in force and the Bank shall continue making payments to Trustee Reiber until the appointment of a trustee to succeed him and from then on to successor trustee, to the custody of whom all funds held by Trustee Reiber in connection with *DeLano* shall be transferred.
- 22. Trustee George Reiber is removed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §324(a) as trustee in *DeLano*, but shall continue subject to the jurisdiction of this Court and this Order, and such jurisdiction shall continue after appointment of a successor trustee or transfer of *DeLano* to any other court;

#### 23. The Court recommends that:

- a. the successor trustee be an experienced trustee from a district other than this district,
   WDNY, such as a trustee based in Albany, NY, who
- b. shall certify that he or she is unfamiliar with any aspect of *DeLano*, unrelated and unknown to any party or officer in WDNY and WBNY; will faithfully represent pursuant to law the DeLanos' unsecured creditors; and will:
  - 1) exhaustively investigate the DeLanos' financial affairs on the basis of the documents described herein and similar documents, such as those already produced by the DeLanos to both Trustee Reiber and Dr. Cordero, to determine whether they have committed bankruptcy fraud, particularly concealment of assets, and

- 2) produce a report of the inflow, outflow, and current whereabouts of the DeLanos' assets -whether such assets be earnings, real or personal property, rights, or otherwise, or be held jointly or severally by them directly or indirectly under their control anywhere in the world- since January 1, 1975, to date; and
- 3) file in the court under whose jurisdiction this case shall be at the time, and serve upon the DeLanos and Dr. Cordero a copy of, such report together with a copy of its related documents, which shall include all documents obtained during the course of such investigation and any previous investigation conducted while the case was in the Bankruptcy Court or this Court.
- 24. The Court recommends that the successor trustee employ under 11 U.S.C. §327 a reputable, independent, and certified accounting and title firm, such as one based in Albany, to conduct the investigation and produce the report referred to in ¶23 above; and such firm shall produce a certificate equivalent to that required therein.
- 25. Court Reporter Mary Dianetti, who shall have no part in the transcription of any document within the scope of this Order, is referred to the Judicial Conference of the United States for investigation of her refusal to certify that the transcript of her recording of the evidentiary hearing held in the Bankruptcy Court, WBNY, on March 1, 2005, of the DeLanos' motion to disallow Dr. Cordero's claim would be complete, accurate, and tamper-free; Dr. Cordero's motion of July 18, 2005, for this Court to make such referral under 28 U.S.C. §753 and all its exhibits are referred to the Judicial Conference as his statement on the matter; and the Conference is hereby requested to designate an individual other than Reporter Dianetti to make such transcript and produce it for review and evaluation to the Conference, this Court, and Dr. Cordero.
- 26. DeLano and Pfuntner are reported under 18 U.S.C. §3057(a) to U.S. Attorney General

Alberto Gonzales, with the recommendation that they be investigated by U.S. attorneys and

FBI agents, such as those from the Department of Justice and FBI offices in Washington,

D.C., or Chicago, who are unfamiliar with either of those cases and unacquainted with any of

the parties to either of them, or court officers, whether judicial or administrative, or trustees,

directly or indirectly involved in, concerned with, or affected by either of those cases or that

may be investigated, and that no staff from such offices in either Rochester or Buffalo

participate in any way in such investigation.

27. DeLano and Pfuntner are transferred in the interest of justice and judicial economy under 28

U.S.C. §1412 to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District in Albany for a trial by jury

before a judge unfamiliar with either of those cases and unrelated and unacquainted with any

of the parties to either of those case, or any court officers, whether judicial or administrative,

or trustees, directly or indirectly involved in, concerned with, or affected by either of those

cases or that may be investigated in connection therewith.

28. All proceedings concerning this matter shall be recorded by the Court using, in addition to

stenographic means, electronic sound recording, and any party shall be allowed to make his

own electronic sound recording of any and all such proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DAVID G. LARIMER United States District Judge

Dated: Rochester, New York , 2006.

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Dr. Richard Cordero, certify that I served by UPS or U.S.P.S. on the following parties a copy of my appellant's brief in *Cordero v. DeLano*, docket no. 05cv6190L, WDNY:

## I. DeLano Parties

Christopher K. Werner, Esq.
Boylan, Brown, Code, Vigdor & Wilson, LLP
2400 Chase Square
Rochester, NY 14604
tel. (585)232-5300;
fax (585)232-3528

Trustee George M. Reiber South Winton Court 3136 S. Winton Road Rochester, NY 14623 tel. (585) 427-7225; fax (585)427-7804

Kathleen Dunivin Schmitt, Esq. Assistant U.S. Trustee Office of the United States Trustee 100 State Street, Room 6090 Rochester, NY 14614 tel. (585) 263-5812; fax (585) 263-5862

Ms. Deirdre A. Martini
U.S. Trustee for Region 2
Office of the United States Trustee
33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor
New York, NY 10004
tel. (212) 510-0500;
fax (212) 668-2255

Ms. Mary Dianetti 612 South Lincoln Road East Rochester, NY 14445 tel. (585)586-6392

# II. Pfuntner Parties (02-2230, WBNY)

Kenneth W. Gordon, Esq. Chapter 7 Trustee Gordon & Schaal, LLP 100 Meridian Centre Blvd., Suite 120 Rochester, NY 14618 tel. (585) 244-1070; fax (585) 244-1085

David D. MacKnight, Esq., for James Pfuntner Lacy, Katzen, Ryen & Mittleman, LLP 130 East Main Street Rochester, NY 14604-1686 tel. (585) 454-5650; fax (585) 454-6525

Michael J. Beyma, Esq., for M&T Bank and David DeLano Underberg & Kessler, LLP 1800 Chase Square Rochester, NY 14604 tel. (585) 258-2890; fax (585) 258-2821

Karl S. Essler, Esq., for David Dworkin and Jefferson Henrietta Associates
Fix Spindelman Brovitz & Goldman, P.C.
295 Woodcliff Drive, Suite 200
Fairport, NY 14450 tel. (585) 641-8000; fax (585) 641-8080