Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

<u>DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org</u>
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
59 Crescent Street, Brooklyn, NY 11208
tel. (718)827-9521

Dr. Richard Cordero holds a Ph.D. in law from the University of Cambridge in England, where his doctoral thesis dealt with the legal and technical aspects of creating a European banking system. He also holds a law degree from La Sorbonne in Paris, where he concentrated on monetary regulation and financial integration in the European Union. Likewise, he earned a Master of Business Administration from the University of Michigan, where he emphasized the study of the new technologies of telecommunications and the use of computer networks. He worked researching and writing analytical annotations on federal business regulatory law as a member of the editorial staff of Lawyers Cooperative Publishing. Dr. Cordero is a member of the bar of the State of New York. For the past 5 years he has been involved in 11 federal bankruptcy cases, which he has appealed from the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, WBNY, to the U.S. District Court, WDNY, to the Court of Appeals, CA2, and to the Supreme Court of the United States.

In keeping with his academic and professional experience as a researcher-writer, his statements in Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, in general, and his call for a class action against federal judges engaged in coordinated wrongdoing, in particular, are based on the solid evidence found in publicly available documents filed in those courts as well as in official statistics, letters exchanged with federal officers, and judges' speeches in addition to their decisions. He has collected and posted all these supporting documents on the website. Each of them has been summarized in a descriptive paragraph and all the paragraphs have been organized thematically and chronologically in 3 main lettered sets (C; A; D>Add>Pst) that correspond to the 11 cases. So described and organized those documents are the subject matter of the PDF downloadable Tables of Exhibits for his fundamental claim, namely, that the judges have shown bias and disregard for the rule of law in order to support or tolerate a bankruptcy fraud scheme, and that they have both made it possible and been encouraged to engage in such wrongdoing by ensuring for themselves immunity through the systematic dismissal of complaints against their conduct, which shows coordination as a self-reinforcing cause and effect of their wrongdoing. This claim is discussed in his Statement of Facts, which he supports with numerous references to the documents posted on the website and listed in the Tables of Exhibits. The latter can be accessed through the hyperlinks on the posted versions of the Tables of Exhibits and Statement of Facts.

That Statement provides the foundation for Dr. Cordero's strategy to expose and prevent the judges' coordinated wrongdoing. It is presented in his Programmatic Proposal, which calls on the many entities and individuals that complain on the Internet, talk shows, and e-mails about the judges' abuse of power and bias, to unite in order to use their resources effectively in the common mission to restore integrity to our legal system. The Proposal puts forth three concrete objectives achievable through specific activities 1) to create a virtual firm on the Internet of investigative journalists and lawyers to 2) make the public aware of how and why judges abuse their rights by exposing evidence of their wrongdoing through a media campaign and a class action against wrongdoing judges aimed at gaining the public's support to 3) force executive and legislative authorities to launch official investigations into coordinated wrongdoing in the judicial branch leading to public demand for, and passage of, reform legislation that creates an external body for administering judicial discipline and inspecting the judges' use of public funds. Through this program of activities the entities and individuals can embark on a common mission to deal effectively with the cause of their complaints: the judges' unlawful, intentional failure to discharge their self-discipline duty, which enables them to eliminate punishment as a deterrent to wrongdoing and to engage in coordinated wrongdoing that leads to abuse and corruption in our legal system.

PUBLICATIONS

- ◆ Competition Strategies Must Adapt to the Euro, 17 Amicus Curiae of the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, London, 27 (May 1999)
- ♦ Why Business Executives in Third Countries and Non-participating Member States Should Pay Attention to the Euro, European Financial Services Law 140 (March 1999)
- ◆ Some Practical Consequences for Financial Management Brought About by the Euro, 5 European Financial Services Law 187 (1998)
- ♦ Impending Conversion to the Euro Prompts New Guidelines from the IRS, New York Law Journal, pg. 1, Friday, October 2, 1998
- ◆ The Creation of a European Banking System: A study of its legal and technical aspects, Peter Lang, Inc., New York, XXXVI, 390 pp., 1990; this book earned a grant from the Commission of the European Communities and was reviewed very favorably in 32 Harvard International Law Journal 603 (1991) and 24 New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 1019 (1992)
- ◆ A Strict but Liberalizing Interpretation of EEC Treaty Articles 67(1) and 68(1) on Capital Movements, 2 Legal Issues of European Integration 39 (1989); article proposing a novel interpretation and application of European Communities provisions on capital movements
- ♦ The Development of Video Dialtone Networks by Large Phone and Cable Companies and its Impact on their Small Counterparts, 1 Personal Technologies no. 2, 60 (Springer Verlag London Ltd., 1997)
- ◆ Video Dialtone: Its Potential for Social Change, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (1996)
- ♦ Video Dialtone Network Architectures, by Richard Cordero and Jeffery Joles, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (Summer 1996)
- ◆ Availability of an Implied Right of Action under the Tender Offer Provisions of §14d-f of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 USCS §78n(d)-(f)), added to the Exchange Act by the Williams Act of 1968, and Rules Promulgated thereunder by the SEC, 120 ALR Federal 145
- ◆ Venue Provisions of the National Bank Act (12 USCS §94) As Affected By Other Federal Venue Provisions and Doctrines, 111 ALR Federal 235
- ◆ Construction and Application of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (12 USCS §§ 3401-3422), 112 ALR Federal 295
- ♦ Exemption or Immunity From Federal Antitrust Liability Under the McCarran-Ferguson Act (15 USCS §§1011-1013) and the State Action and Noerr-Pennington Doctrines for the Business of Insurance and Persons Engaged in It, 116 ALR Federal 163
- ◆ Who May Maintain an Action Under §11(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 USCS §77k (a)), in Connection With False or Misleading Registration Statements, 111 ALR Fed. 83