

August 31, 2021

**Politicians-judges connivance enables judges' riskless abuse of power;  
critical analysis is needed to expose it; and  
the most opportune time to do so is now<sup>‡</sup>**

Dear Mr. Vallejo, fellow members of AXJ and AAF, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,  
Thank you for your emails and your kind words about my work.

**A. Your problem and what your “proposed solution” reveals about you**

1. You stated that “We have located many conflicts of interest [concerning] unlawful foreclosures and evictions in U.S. courts”. Your “proposed solution” is for “a Federal Law to be passed to deal with the above issues immediately”.
2. Your statement gives you away as a pro se. It will be used by judges and opposing counsel to abuse you too, for it makes applicable the corollary to the aphorism “KNOWLEDGE IS POWER”: Ignorance is open season for being abused.
3. My comment on your statement may make you and others angry at me. But such anger does not help anyone in any way whatsoever.
4. Rather, my comment is constructive. It points to defective analysis of which you must be made aware so that you can correct it in your own interest and that of everybody else.
5. Which lawyer do you think cares more about you than about his pocket, the one who tells you what you need to hear at the risk of losing you as a client or the one who to secure his attorney's fees from you tells you what he thinks you want to hear, even if misleading or false, at the risk of your losing yet more effort, time, money, and esteem among your fellow members? Are you looking for an honest lawyer or a self-serving sycophant?

**B. Self-contradictory: your solution to judges' disregard for the law is another law**

6. On the one hand, you complain that “courts are not following the rules of evidence”; fail to “address properly jurisdiction and standing when a case is filed”; and ‘judges and bankruptcy trustees have a conflict of interests [between their official duties, which require their impartiality,] and their own interest in one of the creditors or other parties’. Your solution is to lobby Congress for a new federal law that addresses these issues.
7. The conflict lies in your reasoning: Since you complain about judges’ and trustees’ disregard of the law, what form of reasoning allows you to expect that they would not likewise disregard the federal law that you want to address these issues? Your statement is self-contradictory. It shows lack of critical analysis. It follows from your own statement that a solution to “unlawful foreclosures and evictions” cannot possibly be passing yet another law.

**C. No new law is passed “immediately” in Congress**

8. You insist three times that such federal law must be passed “immediately”. Your insistence betrays your lack of knowledge of judicial and legislative procedure and current circumstances
9. Congress passes fewer and fewer laws because it is not only divided, but also polarized. Neither Democrats nor Republicans want to be seen reaching a compromise, never mind giving a legislative win to the others, lest they be accused by their followers of having caved in to the other party.

10. Congress is embroiled in a tug of war over a handful of issues, namely, infrastructure; voting rights; immigration; the pullout from Afghanistan; and Covid vaccination, mandates, and going back to school or the office. Congress has hardly any time or attention for a new law.
11. In fact, Congress already failed to extend the moratorium on evictions issued originally by the Center for Disease Control (CDC). At present, there is not even discussion on extending the moratorium again, let alone a bill with the text that can make for acrimony and protracted debate among members of Congress and even within each party, for "the devil is in the detail". Congress has also failed to adopt provisions regulating how Covid-related foreclosures can take place.
12. On April 9, 2021, the formation of the Biden Commission for the reform of the Supreme Court was [announced](#). The Commission is required to submit its report within 180 days. Once its report is out and regardless of its non-binding recommendations, one issue will explode and dominate the attention of politicians and the public at large: the battle over increasing the number of justices from 9 to 15. Its overwhelming importance is obvious: The party that nominates and confirms the higher number of justices has a grip on power.
13. The start of 2022 will set off the primaries in earnest. Politicians and their parties will be jockeying for position because in the mid-term elections the stakes will be very high: the control of Congress and, thus, the agenda that will be pursued during the second half of the Biden presidency. Campaigning in the primaries will make it even more unlikely for politicians to want to be seen compromising.
14. Politicians will not write a bill of law, hold hearings thereon, debate it in Congress, vote on it, and sign it into law if it even hints that they suspect judges of engaging in unlawful activity. Politicians who dare express their suspicion would become mortal enemies of judges and provoke the latter's devastating power of retaliation (but see Sen. Elizabeth [Warren's daring denunciation](#)). A single federal judge can:
  - a. declare a law central to a party's agenda unconstitutional;
  - b. suspend nationwide the executive order of a president; and
  - c. refuse to dismiss a complaint alleging that a politician violated campaign contributions and election laws, and use rulings and jury instructions to steer a trial toward finding the politician liable or guilty.
15. Politicians recommend as judicial candidates, endorse, nominate, and confirm people who have demonstrated that they know how the power game is played. After that, politicians protect them no matter what as "*our* men and women on the bench". Thereby they avoid judges' retaliation.
16. A law, by itself, solves no problem: It is nothing but blotches of black ink on white paper. It needs judges to apply it. Judges will interpret and apply any "federal law on foreclosures and evictions" however restrictively or expansively they feel it necessary to protect and maintain the gains and convenience that they have secured for themselves and their cronies([jur:32§§2-4](#)) by disregarding current law. Judges do so because they are held by politicians unaccountable and allowed to apply any law however they want. See the [sham congressional hearings](#) on judges' abuse; and Chief Justice John G. [Roberts, Jr.'s subservient performance](#) at the impeachment trial in the Senate.
17. Consequently, to assume that the "solution" to the problem of unlawful foreclosures and evictions is for "a federal law to be passed immediately" betrays an astonishing degree of ignorance of how Congress and the Judiciary work and the connivance between their members. Such a "solution" warrants the application of Einstein's aphorism: "Doing the same thing while expecting a different

result is the hallmark of irrationality”. This is so because it reveals ignorance of a fundamental law of the physical and the human worlds: cause and effect. The same cause produces the same effect.

18. You can learn below the different things –i.e., concrete, realistic, and feasible actions– that you can do and reasonably expect a result that is different but in harmony with your objectives, if you...

#### **D. Read, write, and share with a view to telling the national public your story**

19. Far from the ‘solution’ being for you to be angry at me, you and your fellow members should use the above analysis to determine yourselves to ‘knowledge that is empowering’.
20. That calls for you to **READ** my article hereunder and those referred to there and here(cf. [Appendix 6](#)). They are based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking; and intended to assist those who like you have fallen prey to judges’ **abuse of power**. These articles are based on my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus\*†♣:

#### **Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting\*†♣**

- a. Many of my articles are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **39,475+** have become subscribers to the site as of September 1, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
- 1) How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
  - 2) You can join the subscribers thus: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or +New or Users >Add New.
21. The article([OL3:1346](#)) points out that by applying the **two-phase method** you can **WRITE** in up to 500 words your story of judges’ abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed. It aims to teach how to include information that makes your story accurate, significant, and verifiable so that it persuades journalists and other investigators to follow it leads in their own commercial and reputational interest of selling copy and winning a Pulitzer Prize.
22. The article also shows how you, your fellow members, and all other abusees can take advantage of this most opportune time to **SHARE** your stories with the **Biden Commission** as well as the journalists, students, and professors who are called upon to hold the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS** where all of you may have the opportunity to tell your stories to the national public. Sharing is facilitated by the provided two blocs of their email addresses and a tweet that can be posted to Twitter, and other social media where you can post the article so that it may go viral.

#### **E. Professional assistance reasonably requested in exchange for compensation**

23. I can assist you and your fellow members. But I cannot assist either you or everybody else who contacts me by email, mail, and phone asking me to do so pro bono. To expect that I should give my expertise, effort, and time in return for nothing is neither reasonable nor fair.
24. Kindly be advised that my attorney’s fee is \$350 per hour plus all necessary and incidental expenses, including, but not limited to, access to specialized databases, books, transportation, room and board, communication, etc. A flat fee arrangement can be agreed upon for a specific piece of work. See below available methods of payment and above in the letterhead my contact information.

*Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.*

July 21, 2021

**Using your story of abuse of power by judges  
to encourage university students and journalists  
to expose the refusal of  
the Biden Commission on the reform of the Supreme Court to hear abusees on  
the practice of abuse by justices and judges  
while hearing only professors on the theory of constitutional law; and  
to hold the proposed  
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS  
through which the national public will be  
informed of, and outraged at, unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power  
and given the opportunity to collectively demand compensation**

Dear Dr. P. McCullough, SCOTUSblog publisher T. Goldstein, editor J. Romoser, columnist for law students S. Wermiel, petitions intern M. Jagodinski, Journalists, Academics, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

[mcculloughperry44@gmail.com](mailto:mcculloughperry44@gmail.com), [tgoldstein@scotusblog.com](mailto:tgoldstein@scotusblog.com), [jromoser@scotusblog.com](mailto:jromoser@scotusblog.com),  
[swermiel@scotusblog.com](mailto:swermiel@scotusblog.com), [mjagodinski@scotusblog.com](mailto:mjagodinski@scotusblog.com),

1. I thank all those Advocates who replied to my [article](#) on the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of the abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed, for instance, as a party or while clerking for judges or reporting on their courts and decisions.
2. This article describes concrete, reasonable, and feasible steps that you can take toward the objective of enabling people to tell the national public their stories at a public meeting of the Biden Commission for the reform of the Supreme Court, and at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) to be organized by university students, professors, and journalists and held all over the country at their university auditoriums, media stations, and via video conference.
3. This is also a story pitch: I am pitching this story as well as a series of analytical pieces on judges and their judiciaries, which are already written and available for your evaluation([Appendix 6](#)). I can write articles on commission. All are supported by my three-volume study on this subject based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:**  
[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#) \* † ♣

- a. Many of my articles are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **39,130+** have become subscribers to the site as of July 21, 2021([App. 3](#)).

- 1) How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
- 2) You can join the subscribers thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or  
+ New or Users >Add New.

## A. Using your story to make a presentation and form a chapter of Advocates

4. Your poignant story, Dr. McCullough, is particularly well-written and supported by relevant citations. Your high academic degrees afford you special access to your former law schools and current deans and professors as well as class presidents and other officers, and student associations. Likewise, the first-hand knowledge of judicial abuse that you gained during your dramatic experience gives you 'standing' to approach associations of public defenders, innocent program officers, and the unjustly incarcerated.
5. You and other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries willing to take action, as opposed to merely complain, can join forces to do what is so necessary to cause the greatest number of stories to be submitted to the Biden Commission (see the commissioners' email addresses in ¶55) and promote the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#): form Tea Party-like local and video chapters.
6. Many of such chapters spread across the U.S. can become the composite engine through which committed people advance the common cause of forming a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial [abuse of power](#) exposure; [compensation](#) of abusees; and reform through transformative change –the judiciary that goes into change *only after* full exposure of the nature, extent, and gravity of its abuse will come out transformed into a new system of justice because reforms now inconceivable will be made irresistible by an [informed and outraged](#) national public–.
7. You can be instrumental in forming a chapter constituted of committed Advocates and the members of the entities mentioned in paragraph 1 above.
8. It is true that due to the [conflict of interests](#) described in the article(OL3:1308§D) on the Biden Commission, law deans and professors are compromised and will likely be reluctant to expose judges' abuse of power. By contrast, university students, e.g., those at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools, are still imbued with a sense of justice and the idealistic determination of using the rule of law, 'the Power of the News' and of numbers, and the force of the fourth industrial (the digital) revolution, to make the world a more just and fair place.
9. The presentation can be offered also at other venues reasonably expected to be interested in enabling their members to tell their stories of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
10. By contacting their class president and other officers -e.g., through the dean of students, their school and association website, and social media-, you can offer to make a presentation on:
  - a. the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power for their gain and convenience(OL2:1125¶4); and
  - b. how the students can appeal to the Biden Commission to expose unaccountable abusive judges. By so doing, they would be following the example of the students at Harvard, Yale, and other Ivy League law schools who contacted the Senate and journalists to manifest their opposition to the confirmation of Then-Judge Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court(OL2:773; OL2:971).
11. The presentation will be the appropriate event in which to emphasize how students can set in motion the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) to:
  - a. earn academic credit for a semester-long public interest and/or judiciary [auditing](#) and evaluation course; a course on team journalism; or a degree thesis that applies statistics and fraud and forensic accounting (FFA) to expose the concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering involved in judges' [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) and their misleading mandatory annual financial disclosure reports(OL:102§a), which they file pro forma with

fellow 'revising' judges, who are subject to the same reporting duty so that their interest lies in rubberstamping their approval;

- b. afford people the opportunity to tell their story of judicial abuse;
  - 1) This constitutes a public service given that complaints against federal judges are dead on arrival: They are received, processed, and kept in secrecy after 100% of them are dismissed and 100% of petitions to review such dismissals are denied. In fact, not even the names of the complained-against judges are made public. By abusing the self-disciplining power entrusted to judges by Congress in the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act(28 USC §§351-364), they ensure for themselves ‘unequal protection *from* the law’ compared to malpractising lawyers and doctors, pedophilic priests, abusive police officers, election-rigging politicians, etc., whose names and the complaints against them are made public when they are sued(OL3:1305§1).
  - 2) Students’ public service would also pursue judicial transparency, accountability, and integrity. As a test case that can attract public attention, they can expose the abuse as principal and the cover up of abuse by fellow judges committed by former Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and current attorney general Merrick Garland.
- c. make the national public the audience of the citizens hearings by broadcasting them on the Internet live and making them available on demand by recording them as podcasts;
- d. invite investigative journalists, court reporters (those who cover the courts and judges’ decisions), media outlets, and journalism professors and students to form part of the panels that hear people’s stories at the citizens hearings, just as lawyers and judges are invited to play the role of moot court judges; produce jointly a report on the hearings; and present it at the first-ever conference on judicial unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, to be held simultaneously at their schools and media outlets and made accessible to the national public through interactive multimedia broadcast
- e. publish as a sequel to that citizens hearings report a joint students/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power(jur:126§3), just as law students publish law reviews and journals, as do so many other student associations in their respective disciplines. Thereby students and journalists can become in effect the supervising entity whose creation by Congress judges have strenuously and consistently opposed: an inspector general for the Federal Judiciary;
- f. promote the creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability and Reform Advocacy, attached to a top university or news network or a consortium of them, just as The Associated Press “was founded as an independent news cooperative, whose members are U.S. newspapers and broadcasters”; and universities host centers specializing in particular areas of research and investigation for the advancement of public and commercial interests and top secret government projects. Among the Institute’s functions are that of a clearinghouse for past and current complaints against judges filed for free by anybody; and a research center for fee-paying clients to audit judges’ and lawyers’ writings, transcripts, and news by performing computer-assisted statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis in search of patterns (OL2:792§A), trends(OL2:455§§B, D), and schemes(OL2:614, 929) of abuse of power;
- g. participate in launching a generalized journalistic investigation of judges’ abuse, just as scandals force media outlets to jump on the investigative bandwagon on competitive grounds;

- h. enhance the resumes that students will submit to potential employers when applying for summer or permanent jobs with the description of the public service that the unprecedented citizens hearings launched by them have rendered and the personal initiative and commitment to “Equal Justice Under Law” and an informed citizenry that they reveal;

12. I offer to make the presentation if you cause the students to organize it. I can make it via video conference, and if they are willing to pay all my expenses, in person. To ascertain my capacity to present, watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).

## **B. Approaching university students at the start of the new academic year**

13. This is the most opportune time to approach students because the new academic year will begin soon. During the orientation week for first year students, which is likely to begin on August 30, upper class students will hold the fair of the many associations through which they pursue their varied interests. The upper class students will try to persuade their new school mates to join their respective associations and run to become officers of the class. Accordingly, they will highlight what their associations have to offer.

14. A potentially most attractive offering is participation in trend-setting citizens hearings in what is reasonably expected to dominate the national debate in the coming months:

- a. the Biden Commission’s “public meetings”, where [only law professors](#) are heard discussing the theory of constitutional law relating to the Supreme Court, but not members of the public willing to tell their stories of the unaccountability and abusive conduct in practice of justices and lower court judges;
- b. the Commission’s report setting forth its non-binding recommendations for reforming the Supreme Court, written by [commissioners](#) compromised by their conflict of interests; and
- c. what President Biden is expected by everybody to propose doing together with his party regardless of the report, thus revealing the Commission as a political farce: “pack the Court” by increasing the number of justices from 9 to 15 and reducing their term in office from a life-appointment to a term of years.

1) Packing the Court can affect the balance of judicial and political power in our country for generations. But it will not even address, let alone reduce, judicial abuse: The new justices will continue relying on their unaccountability to abuse their power risklessly and cover up the abuse of their fellow justices and judges.

2) Only the national public, [informed through the citizens hearings about, and outraged at](#), judges’ riskless abuse of power can exert enough pressure as *We the People*, the sovereign source of all political power in a democracy, to force transformative change that recognizes *the People*’s right as Masters of all public servants to hold even their judicial public servants accountable for their exercise of the public power entrusted to them, and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

## **C. My offer to make a presentation to you and your group**

15. I offer to make a presentation to you and your group of guests on how to implement the above proposals. It can take place via video conference and, if in New York City, in person.

16. To schedule it and agree on its terms, use my contact information in the letterhead above.

*Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.*

**D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;  
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

17. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.
18. You can help continue and advance our common cause through **Judicial Discipline Reform**, whose articles, posted to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that **39,130+** have become subscribers as of July 21, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
- a. How many websites of law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know that have so many subscribers?
  - b. These articles are the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking.
19. The articles describe the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy. It aims to:
- inform the national public about, and outrage it at, judges' abuse of power and
  - form a national single issue apolitical civic movement for
  - judicial abuse exposure,
  - compensation of abusees, and
  - reform through transformative change.
20. You too can subscribe to the articles by going to:

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register

or + New or Users >Add New.

**Put your money  
where your outrage at abuse and  
passion for justice are.**

**DONATE**

by making a deposit into, or an online transfer to,  
Citi Bank account 6870 82 4342, routing number 021 000 089;

through *Paypal*

[https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=\\_s-xclick&hosted\\_button\\_id=HBFP5252TB5YJby](https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJby);

or

by mailing a check to the address below.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[Judicial Discipline Reform](#)

2165 Bruckner Blvd

Bronx, New York City 10472-6506

tel. +1(718)827-9521

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net), [DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org),

[CorderoRic@yahoo.com](mailto:CorderoRic@yahoo.com)

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

*Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.*

## Appendixes

**Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.**

[Dr.Richard.Cordero\\_Esq@verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)

[DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](mailto:DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

**Exposing**  
**Judges' Unaccountability**  
**and**  
**Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power**  
Pioneering the news and publishing field  
of  
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

**VOLUME I:**

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)

Volume II:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates2.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf)

Volume III:

[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf)

- Dashboard
- Jetpack
- Posts
- Media
- Pages
- Portfolio
- Comments 43
- Feedback
- WooCommerce
- Products
- Analytics
- Marketing
- Appearance
- Plugins 6
- Users**
- All Users
- Add New
- Profile
- Tools
- Settings 2
- Collapse menu

## Users [Add New](#)

 Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#)  [Maybe later](#)  [Don't show again](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

 **Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?**

You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?

[Leave a Review](#)

No thanks / I already have

All (39,474) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (39,473)**

[Search Users](#)

Bulk actions

39,474 items  of 1,974

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1oftheman	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0

September 1, 2021

**Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study\* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡**

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting\* † ♣**

- \* Volume 1: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf)  
>all prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393
- † Volume 2: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates2.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf)  
>from page OL2:394-1143
- ♣ Volume 3: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf)  
>from OL3:1144

Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome; it may happen that Internet Explorer only downloads a blank page.

Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(\* † ♣ >blue footnote-like references) very easy.

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of

**Judicial Discipline Reform**

at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 39,473+ subscribers to its articles thus: homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

1. \*>jur:1; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Intro\\_jur1-8.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_jur1-8.pdf)
2. \*>jur:10; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_complaint\\_dismissal\\_statistics.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf)
3. \*>jur:21; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_A\\_jur21-63.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_A_jur21-63.pdf)
4. \*>jur:65; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_B\\_jur65-80.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_B_jur65-80.pdf)
5. \*>jur:85; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_C\\_jur85-97.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_C_jur85-97.pdf)
6. \*>jur:97; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_D\\_jur97-111.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_D_jur97-111.pdf)
7. \*>jur:119; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_E\\_jur119-130.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur119-130.pdf)
8. \*>jur:130; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Sec\\_E\\_5-8\\_jur130-169.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_5-8_jur130-169.pdf)

**A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files**

9. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_collected\\_statistics\\_complaints\\_v\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf)

Cf.a.jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_complaint\\_dismissal\\_statistics.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf)

\* [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates3.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf) >from OL3:1144  
\*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143  
‡ [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_individual\\_files\\_links.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf)

- b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_hearings\\_JGorsuch\\_complainants&parties.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf)
  - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_JJ\\_Kavanaugh-Garland\\_exoneration\\_policy.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf);  
[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_table\\_exonerations\\_by\\_JJ\\_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf)
  - d. OL2:1176; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_JgACBarrett\\_condonation\\_judges\\_power\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf)
  - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
  - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_media\\_exposing\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf)
  - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_template\\_table\\_complaints\\_v\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf)
10. \*>jur:65; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_abuse\\_by\\_justices.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf)
  11. >jur:72fn144d; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
  12. jur:122; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judicial\\_unaccountability\\_brochures\\_report.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf)
  13. jur:130; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Institute\\_judicial\\_unaccountability\\_reporting.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf)
  14. \*>Lsch 5; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans\\_professors\\_students.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf)
  15. \*>Lsch:13; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_dynamic\\_analysis&strategic\\_thinking.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf)
  16. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI\\_Corruption\\_Unit.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf)
  17. \*>DeLano Case Course; dcc; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Syllabus.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf)
  18. \*>Creative writings, cw; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_creative\\_writings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf)
  19. \*>OL:42; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_law\\_research\\_proposals.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf)
  20. \*>OL:158; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_no\\_judicial\\_immunity.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf)
  21. \*>OL:180 [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_turning\\_judges\\_clerks\\_into\\_informants.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_informants.pdf)
  22. \*>OL:190; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_institutionalized\\_judges\\_abuse\\_power.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf)
  23. jur:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers
  24. \*>OL:255; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university\\_law\\_research.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf)

25. \*>OL:274; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_auditing\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf)
26. \*>OL:311; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential\\_candidates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf)
27. \*>OL:440; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT\\_investigate\\_interception.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf)
28. OL2:433; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Yahoogroups.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf)
29. OL2:452; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans\\_professors\\_students.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf)
30. OL2:453; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judicial\\_accountability\\_presentation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf)
31. OL2:468; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_turning\\_court\\_clerks\\_into\\_informants.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf)
32. † >OL2:546; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_complaint\\_dismissal\\_statistics.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf); see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical\\_tables\\_complaints\\_v\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf)  
     † >OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10<sup>th</sup> Circuit; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_hearings\\_JGorsuch\\_complainants&parties.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf)
33. OL2:567; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The\\_Dissatisfied\\_with\\_Judicial\\_System.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf)
34. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_do\\_not\\_read.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf)
35. OL2:614; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_how\\_fraud\\_scheme\\_works.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf)
36. OL2:760; see OL2:608
37. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
38. OL2:773; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard\\_Yale\\_prof\\_students.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf)
39. OL2:781; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_intercepting\\_emails\\_mail.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf)
40. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt\\_CJ\\_JGRoberts.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf)
41. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
42. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_programmatic\\_presentation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf)
43. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
44. \*>OL2:879; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_Black\\_Robed\\_Predators\\_documentary.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf)
45. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
46. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>

47. OL2:929; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT\\_investigate\\_interception.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf)
48. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
49. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
50. OL2:951; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_citizens\\_hearings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf)
51. OL2:957; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_video.mp4](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4)
52. OL2:957; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_slides.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf)
53. OL2:971; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors\\_students\\_journalists.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf);  
[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors\\_students\\_lawyers.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf)
54. OL2:983; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_introduction\\_video\\_slides\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf)
55. OL2:991; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_on\\_SenEWarren.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf)
56. OL2:997; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_SenEWarren\\_plan\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf)
57. <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
58. OL2:1003; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media\\_DARE.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf)
59. OL2:1006; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_pitch-Media.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf)
60. OL2:1022; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital\\_Investors.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf)
61. OL2:1027; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_SenEWarren\\_plan\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf)
62. OL2:1032; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_international\\_exposure\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf)
63. OL2:1037; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_out\\_of\\_court\\_inform\\_outrage\\_strategy.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf)
64. OL2:1040; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties\\_invoking\\_impeachment\\_trial.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf)
65. OL2:1045; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors\\_Students\\_Journalists.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf);  
[http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors\\_students\\_lawyers.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf)
66. \*>OL2:1051; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_citizen\\_hearings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf)
67. OL2:1056; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters\\_clerks.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf) = [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_sham\\_hearings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf)
68. OL2:1066; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_adapting\\_to\\_new\\_legal\\_market.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf)  
[sent to LexisNexis]
69. OL2:1073; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_inform\\_outrage\\_be\\_compensated.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf)
70. \*>OL2:1081; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_intercepting\\_emails\\_mail.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf)  
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
71. OL2:1084; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson\\_Reuters.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf)
72. OL2:1090; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky\\_Above\\_the\\_Law.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf)
73. \*>OL2:1093; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington\\_Post.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf)

74. OL2:1101; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial\\_abusees&publishers.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf)
75. OL2:1104; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring\\_manager.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf)
76. OL2:1108; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International\\_Team.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf)
77. OL2:1116; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_research\\_documents&sources.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf)
78. OL2:1119; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judicial\\_abuse\\_forms.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf)
79. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters\\_judges\\_investigation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf)
80. \*>OL2:1134; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow\\_hosts\\_coalition.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf)
81. OL2:1144; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_your\\_story\\_for\\_Reuters.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf)
82. OL2:1154; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American\\_Thinker.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf)
83. \*>OL2:1164; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center\\_Public\\_Integrity.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf); [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_abuse\\_of\\_power.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf)
84. \*>OL2:1168; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_joining\\_forces\\_making\\_allies.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf)
85. \*>OL2:1172; [http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_judges\\_exposure\\_election\\_justice.pdf](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf)
86. \*>OL2:1176; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_JgACBarrett\\_condonation\\_judges\\_power\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf)
87. OL3:1187; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD\\_repairing\\_democracy.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf)
88. OL3:1197; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_citizens\\_hearings.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf)
89. \*>OL2:1205; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters\\_Law\\_Firm\\_Council.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf)
90. \*>OL2:1212; agenda for video conference; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_preparing\\_video\\_conference.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf)
91. OL2:1221; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News\\_Directors\\_on\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf)
92. OL3:1228; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_emails\\_mail\\_intercepted\\_by\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf)
93. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
94. OL3:1237; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_media\\_exposing\\_judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf)
95. OL3:1243; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_talkshow\\_hosts\\_coalition.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf)
96. OL3:1246; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs\\_lawyers\\_media.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf)
97. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
98. OL3:1253; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_exposing\\_Judge\\_Garland&judges.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf); [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_actions\\_to\\_expose\\_judges\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf)
99. OL3:1257; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship\\_Abuse\\_Symposium.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf); [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_exposing\\_judges\\_power\\_abuse.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf)
100. OL3:1273; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship\\_Abuse\\_Symposium\\_slides.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf)
101. OL3:1283; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_facts\\_&\\_strategic\\_thinking.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf)

102. OL3:1291. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica\\_&\\_media.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf)
103. OL3:1301; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden\\_SCT\\_reform\\_Commission.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCT_reform_Commission.pdf)
104. OL3:1318; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_citizens\\_hearings\\_outrage\\_compensation.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf)
105. OL3:1323; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians\\_v\\_Biden\\_SCT\\_Commission.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_SCT_Commission.pdf)
106. OL3:1329; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_method\\_for\\_writing\\_your\\_story.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf)
107. OL3:1338; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_joining\\_forces\\_to\\_tell\\_your\\_story.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf)
108. OL3:1342; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero\\_citizens\\_hearings\\_by\\_students&journalists.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf)

## **B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study\* † \* of judges and their judiciaries**

109. judges' unaccountability(\*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(\*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
110. statistical analysis for the public(† >OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
111. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
112. to receive 'justice services'(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
113. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
114. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68<sup>123a</sup>);
115. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
116. law clerks' vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter's glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
117. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
118. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
119. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
120. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of 'Me Too! Abusers'(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges' abuse: thinking

and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;

121. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
  - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65<sup>107a,c</sup>), and launder(105<sup>213</sup>) it;
  - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics' communications(OL2:582§C);
    - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
    - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
    - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
    - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
122. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
123. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
124. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
  - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
  - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
  - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
  - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to

deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and

- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. [OL2:571¶24a](#));
125. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points ([OL:274-280; 304-307](#)) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
  126. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of September 1, 2021, had **39,473+** subscribers, into:
    - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
    - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties([OL2:575](#)) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
    - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture ([jur:119§§1-4](#)). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));
  127. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:
    - a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
    - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
    - c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
    - d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([\\*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;
  128. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [\\*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
  129. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

## **C. Useful external links and quotations**

### **1. U.S. Constitution**

130. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union,

establish Justice”; [http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US\\_Constitution.pdf](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf)

131. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US\\_Constitution.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf)

## **2. U.S. Code and rules (federal, as opposed to state, law and rules)**

132. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml> (code of federal law with procedural rules in its appendix “a” files)
133. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
134. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; [https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case\\_filing/rules/rules\\_home.html](https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html);
135. c.f., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2021-revised-ed/p/106721176?trkcode=recsrpl&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

## **3. Federal laws**

136. The Ethics in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
137. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
138. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
139. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
140. The Judicial Code; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
141. Federal Rules of Civil and Appellate Procedure and Evidence, USC 28a –Appendix to Title 28 of the U.S. Code of federal law–; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
142. Circuit justices, **28 USC §42**
143. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24<sup>18a</sup>](#))
144. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud\\_Councils\\_Reform\\_bill\\_30sep80.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf) (see also [jur:159<sup>280</sup>](#))
145. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335\\_Conf\\_Councils.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf) (see also [jur:75<sup>148</sup>](#))
146. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
147. [https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule\\_11](https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11) (duties of those who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
148. Ethics in Government Act of 1978; [http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/5usc\\_Ethics\\_Gov\\_14apr9.pdf](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_Gov_14apr9.pdf)

## **4. U.S. Supreme Court**

\* [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest\\_Jud\\_Advocates.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf) >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:9

- 149. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
- 150. [https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules\\_guidance.aspx](https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx)
- 151. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice

**5. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts** (federal , as opposed to state, courts)

- 152. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
- 153. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
- 154. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
- 155. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
- 156. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>
- 157. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>
- 158. [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num\\_jud\\_officers.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf)

Table 1

<b>Number of federal judicial officers</b> <a href="https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020">https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020</a>			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9
<a href="#">circuit judges</a>	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
<a href="#">district judges id.</a>	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
<a href="#">bankruptcy judges</a> (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
<a href="#">magistrates</a> (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

- 159. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and
- 160. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice; <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

Table 2

<b>Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020</b>		
Supreme Court		69
Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	

Federal circuit	1,568	
94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

161. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
162. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
163. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
164. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>
165. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>
166. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
167. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>

**6. State laws and procedural rules; rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed; and the rules of the chief administrative judge**

168. <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf> Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>
169. [https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Site-Search/Law-Books/c/13002?page=1&n=count%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dCourt%2bRules%3br%3d13002%3bsort%3dSC\\_Units%3bx1%3dproductType](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Site-Search/Law-Books/c/13002?page=1&n=count%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dCourt%2bRules%3br%3d13002%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3dproductType)
170. E.g. rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York: <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>
171. E.g. New York State Unified Court System Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge; <https://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>

**7. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing and Public Access to Case Electronic Records**

172. <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmecf>
173. <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>

**8. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)**

174. <https://www.fjc.gov>

175. Federal Judicial Center on impeachments; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

## **9. United States Postal Service**

176. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

## **10. State laws and court rules**

### **11. State court entities and statistics**

177. National Center for State Courts; [www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics](http://www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics)

178. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>

179. Conference of State Court Administrators (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>

180. National Association for Court Management (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>

181. National Conference of Appellate Court Clerks (NCACC); [www.appellatecourtclerks.org](http://www.appellatecourtclerks.org)

182. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num\\_state\\_cases\\_07.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf)

### **12. Rules and codes of conduct**

183. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>

184. American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct; [https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional\\_responsibility/publications/model\\_rules\\_of\\_professional\\_conduct/model\\_rules\\_of\\_professional\\_conduct\\_table\\_of\\_contents/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/)

185. American Bar Association Model Code of Judicial Conduct; [https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional\\_responsibility/publications/model\\_code\\_of\\_judicial\\_conduct/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/)

186. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

### **13. Reports by media that have investigated judges**

187. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:

a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>

b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>

c. Part 3, 14juy21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>

d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>

e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>

f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>

188. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18

a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>

#### **14. Entities accrediting educational institutions (serving as portals to them)**

189. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
190. [https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal\\_education/resources/aba\\_approved\\_law\\_schools/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/)
191. (business schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
192. [https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm\\_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm](https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm); consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

#### **15. Law book publishers**

193. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
194. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
195. [https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq\\_mid=23169&elq\\_cid=15386188&elq\\_ename=P\\_PRNT\\_PRD\\_9030215\\_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles\\_em1\\_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_source=eloqua&utm\\_campaign=P\\_PRNT\\_PRD\\_9030215\\_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles\\_20201209&utm\\_content=9030215](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215)
196. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

#### **16. Other federal entities and people**

197. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**”; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>
198. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>
199. Judges’ annual financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
200. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
201. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>

#### **17. Journalists**

202. CBS news anchor Norah O’Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on ‘packing the Supreme Court’; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
203. *Biden’s court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform->

[commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/](#)

204. The Associated Press; <https://www.ap.org/about/> <https://www.ap.org/about/>

### **18. Other private entities and people**

205. American Association of University Professors, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>

206. <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>

End Page

Blank

Blank