

March 29, 2021

**You can make the facts and strategic thinking prevail
upon the tried and failed practice of
filing in court to ask judges to incriminate and remove their fellow judges
at the risk of the latter retaliating against them:
Prepare your opportunity
to tell your story to the national public through
The Guardianship Abuse Symposium
and
the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings[‡]**

A. Judges protect each other by dismissing complaints or steering cases to failure

1. Many have asked me whether we can join forces to file a class action against judges or whether I can help them in prosecuting their case in court.
2. Kindly notice that Judge Alex Kozinski was on the federal bench for 35 years, 32 of them on the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; and was even the chief judge of that Court from November 2007 to December 2014. For all that time, he was known to sexually harass court clerks and externs. Yet, he was protected by his peers and colleagues.
3. But then *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* published on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, their exposés of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse for over 30 years. Within a week the *MeToo!* movement erupted worldwide.
4. Supreme Court [Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.](#), received around 700 letters from former and current clerks and externs complaining about sexual harassment by judges, including Judge Kozinski. Only to avoid the risk of being swept by the *MeToo!* movement of intolerance of enablers of sexual harassers did Chief Justice Roberts issue an order on or around December 15, 2017, referring Judge Kozinski to the Judicial Council of the Second Circuit for investigation. The Chief Justice realized that an investigation by Judge Kozinski's own peers and colleagues in the Ninth Circuit would have detracted from "confidence in the impartiality of the proceedings"; in other words, it would have been suspected of being a whitewash resulting from judges judging judges.
5. Rather than face an investigation by the Second Circuit, Judge Kozinski resigned barely three days later on December 18, 2017, with immediate effect. The investigation stopped. Judge Kozinski did not have to compensate any of his victims. Instead, he began collecting his full pension from the Federal Judiciary.
6. Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, on February 11, 2019, resigned on February 11, 2019, upon learning 10 days earlier that she was being investigated for her participation in her father's distribution of his assets to his children through a fraud scheme involving shell companies to evade inheritance tax. The investigation stopped. She is simply collecting her pension.
7. In fact, all federal chief circuit judges [dismiss 100% of complaints](#) filed against their peers and colleagues; and [deny 100% of petitions](#) to review those dismissals. This is a fact established by the judges themselves in their [officials statistics](#) submitted to Congress in the [Annual Report](#) of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.
8. Now judges have a protector at the highest place: The attorney general nominated by President

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

OL3:1283

*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf

Biden and confirmed by the Senate is none other than [Judge Merrick Garland](#), who was chief judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit until his seven-year term ended on February 11, 2020. He dismissed 100% of complaints against his peers in the Court and colleagues in the District and participated in the denial of 100% of review petitions concerning the 478 complaints filed during 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period.

9. It follows that the judges in your cases will be protected by their peers and colleagues. Attorney General Judge Garland will not have the Department of Justice investigate any of them, for the investigation could get out of control and investigate him too, and investigated judges could incriminate him in exchange for leniency by the investigating authorities.
10. The most that can happen is that your judges opt to resign and collect their pension. You will be left burdened with the enormous amount of effort, time, and expenses that you have already invested up to now.
11. Moreover, you will have to invest even more if new judges are appointed to your cases and you decide to continue your battle. The new judges will doom it to end up with the same result so that you and everybody else receive a message that is painfully clear even now: *"Don't you ever mess with us! We are Judges Above All Critics."*

B. Filing suits against judges ‘reinvents the wheel’ that rolls into dismissal

12. Those are facts. Nevertheless, one Advocate wrote, “To avoid wasting time with you all trying to “reinvent the Wheel,” I attach for your information and use my recent SCOTUS filings”. As it is, the Supreme Court takes up only 1 out of 93 petitions for review, i.e., petitions for certiorari.
13. It is certainly not a petition that asks the justices to investigate, incriminate, and remove fellow judges that will persuade them to grant it.
14. Therefore, you are in fact ‘wasting your time and everybody else’s and reinventing the wheel’ when you expect judges to stop covering for each other and themselves as they have done in hundreds of thousands of previous cases...or did you think that your petition is the first one ever invented to roll into a court filing room or the one invented with the most knowledge of the law and experience of court procedure?
15. To think that you will cause judges to ‘throw fellow judges under the bus’ and order them to compensate you is a fantasy concocted by wishful thinking. That is the antithesis of strategic thinking based on facts learned through law research and reading.

C. An out-of-court strategy for you to tell your story at citizens hearings

16. Instead of ‘reinventing the wheel’, do something that will make good use of your effort, time, and money: Think out of the box...in light of the facts!

Read the article below;

Attend the Guardianship Abuse Symposium;

Promote the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings; and

Contribute to launching a *MeToo!*-like generalized media investigation of judges’ abuse of power: Share my articles widely and post them to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram,
Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp,

Twitter: Guardianship Abuse Symposium April 11-12 via Zoom opportunity to prepare to tell at first-ever citizens hearings your story of abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed & be compensated
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

17. Learn the two-phase method to tell in only up to **500 words**(>Section G) your story of abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed; and send it to a major news company, Thomson Reuters, which conducted a nationwide investigation of judges, found “hardwired judicial corruption”, and asked its readers to send it their stories.

D. My offer to present these articles

18. I offer to make a presentation on these articles via Zoom to you and your group of guests.
19. To ascertain the quality of my presentation, watch my video and follow it on its slides.
20. To schedule it and agree on its terms, use my contact information in the [letterhead](#).
21. The articles and my presentation are based on my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and the judiciaries. The study is the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣**

22. Open and operate the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#), which is available for free.

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Lip service advances nothing, but it continues to enable the abusers.

To continue and advance your common cause with

Judicial Discipline Reform

-which has attracted 37,498 subscribers
with its professionally researched and written, long form articles that describe an out-of-court strategy for informing the national public about, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power in order to form a civic apolitical non-denominational single issue movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform-

**put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

DONATE

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to

Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Appendixes

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

The above article is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking in support of the cause of honest judiciaries that in fact administer Equal Justice Under Law. This cause is pursued through the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy of forming a civic apolitical nondenominational single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform. Its pursuit is conducted at:

Judicial Discipline Reform

It has produced a three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

It maintains a website at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Visit it to strengthen yourself by reading its articles because
KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.

So have done so many webvisitors and they have reacted to its articles so positively that
37,449+ have become subscribers. To join them for free:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register
or + New or Users >Add New.

Donate

to Judicial Discipline Reform

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee, to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

March 29, 2021

**Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in
the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡**

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of

Judicial Discipline Reform

at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,498+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
2. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf
Cf. a. id. on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
c. OL2:748; Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 476 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
d. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. Garland; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts:
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
3. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
4. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
5. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
6. *>Lsch 5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

7. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
8. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
9. *>Creative writings, cw; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
10. *>OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
11. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
12. *>OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_informants.pdf
13. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
14. *>OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
15. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
16. *>OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
17. *>OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
18. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
19. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
20. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
21. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
22. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
23. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
24. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
25. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
26. OL2:760; see OL2:608
27. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
28. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
29. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
30. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
31. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>

32. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
33. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
34. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
35. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
36. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
37. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
38. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
39. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
40. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
41. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
42. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
43. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
44. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
45. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
46. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
47. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
48. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
49. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
50. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
51. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
52. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
53. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
54. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
55. *>OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
56. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
57. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf

[sent to LexisNexis]

58. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
59. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
60. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
61. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
62. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
63. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
64. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
65. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
66. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
67. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
68. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
69. *>OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
70. OL2:1144; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
71. OL2:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
72. *>OL2:1164; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
73. *>OL2:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
74. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
75. *>OL2:1; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
76. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
77. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
78. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
79. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
80. *>OL2:1213; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
81. OL2:1219; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
82. OL3:1226; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
83. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
84. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
85. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf

86. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
87. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBBar.pdf>
88. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
89. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
90. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
91. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries

92. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
93. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
94. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
95. to receive 'justice services'(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
96. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
97. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68^{123a});
98. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
99. law clerks' vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter's glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
100. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
101. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
102. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
103. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of 'MeToo! Abusers'(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges' abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:5

of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;

104. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:

- a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
- b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics' communications(OL2:582§C);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;

105. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;

106. ***Black Robed Predators***(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;

107. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:

- a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
- b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
- c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the

manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for ‘justice services’; and

- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day’s work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. [OL2:571¶24a](#));
108. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points ([OL:274-280](#); [304-307](#)) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
109. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of March 29, 2021, had 37,498 subscribers, into:
- a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties([OL2:575](#)) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture ([jur:119§§1-4](#)). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));
110. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:
- a. judges’ abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
 - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges’ decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
 - c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges’ abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People’s Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
 - d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;
111. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges’ abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
112. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful quotations and external links

1. U.S. Supreme Court

113. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: “*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union,

establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

- 114. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
- 115. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
- 116. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
- 117. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice

2. U.S. Code and rules (federal, as opposed to state, laws)

- 118. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
- 119. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
- 120. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
- 121. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
- 122. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
- 123. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
- 124. Federal Rules of Civil and Appellate Procedure and Evidence, USC 28a; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
- 125. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#))
 - a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
 - b. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
- 126. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
- 127. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of those who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)

3. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal , as opposed to state, courts)

- 128. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
- 129. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
- 130. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
- 131. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>

132. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
133. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
134. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>
135. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
136. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
137. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>

4. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

138. **Federal Judicial Center on impeachments**; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

5. State laws and statistics

139. Number of cases filed in state courts annually: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

6. Entities accrediting educational institutions (serving as portals to them)

140. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
141. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
142. (**business** schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
143. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

7. Other entities and people

144. <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>
145. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
- 146.

End Page

Blank