

January 15, 2021 (as of February 23)

**Pitching a scoop on
exposing attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland's cover-up
of judges' interception of people's emails and mail; financial fraud; dismissal of
100% of complaints against him and his fellow judges; etc.; and
demanding President Biden release the FBI's secret judicial vetting reports
before he forms his commission to reform the system of justice**
Setting in motion a generalized media investigation into the judiciary
as the prerequisite to any reform[‡]

Senior editor Joe Patrice
Above the Law; Co-host of Thinking Like a Lawyer
joeatrice@abovethelaw.com

Dear Mr. Patrice; editors, journalists, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

I read with interest your article [Favorite Stories Of 2020: Corruption, Courts,...](#), published
by Above the Law on December 28, 2020.

A. Charting a future for yourself and your publication with a scoop on judges' abuse of power and crimes

1. Your number 10 story “discusses the role the Chief Justice could have played (and might still play) in charting the future of the Supreme Court”.
2. Your story opens the way to discussing the role that a legal news editor like you and a publication like Above the Law “might still have” by scooping the [proposed exposure](#) by [U.S. Judge Robert Pratt](#) of the Southern District of Iowa and Associated Press reporter Ryan Foley of federal judges' crimes as opposed to Trump's, to which Judge Pratt referred.
3. It can reasonably be expected that the scoop would ‘play a role in charting the future’ of not merely the Supreme Court, but rather of the system of justice: It can significantly influence the composition and agenda of the commission for the reform of the system of justice that Then-presidential candidate Joe Biden, while interviewing with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020, announced that he would form if he became president.
4. The articles discussed and mentioned herein deal with federal judges. However, those judges are the models of their state counterparts. So, what the former allow themselves to do, the latter copy gleefully and add to it shamelessly. On state judges' abuse of power and crimes, see:
 - a. [Thomson Reuters](#), a major news organization with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photojournalists, published the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe”, which found “hardwired judicial corruption”, on June 30, 2020;
 - b. [Boston Globe](#), the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree], who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.

1. Judges' interception of people's emails and mail

5. In this vein, consider the publication of an [initial article](#) on the interception by federal judges of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics and thereby cover up their crimes and other forms of abuse of power and disregard for ethical standards.
6. The exposure of such interception by judges will cause national outrage because it affects the national public and deprives Americans of their most cherished rights, namely, those guaranteed by the 1st Amendment to the Constitution to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including [compensation](#) of abusees by judges and their judiciaries]”.

2. Judges' dismissal of 100% of complaints against them

7. This is the subject of the [article outlined below](#), whose point of departure is the statement by Judge Pratt made in an interview with Associated Press reporter Foley, who quoted him in his article “Federal judge in Iowa ridicules Trump's pardons”, published on December 30, 2020.
8. My article asks both Judge Pratt and reporter Foley to denounce federal judges' preemptive reciprocal ‘pardons’: They [dismiss](#) 100% of complaints filed against them under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act (the Act; 28 U.S. Code §§351-364), and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals.
9. Judges grant their pardons before there has been any investigation of the complained-about judge, never mind a trial and a criminal conviction or even a finding of civil liability. That is how judges self-ensure their unaccountability, thus opening the way to their riskless commission of “bad Behaviour” ([Constitution](#), Article III, Section 1), i.e., crimes, abuses of power, unethical conduct, and other “improprieties and even the appearance of impropriety” prohibited by Canon 2 of the [Code of Conduct](#) for U.S. Judges.
10. By comparison with Trump's pardons of his cronies and family, federal judges' preemptive reciprocal pardons are so much more harmful to the integrity of the judicial system and the national public subject to their national jurisdiction. Their denunciation by Judge Pratt and reporter Foley –and yours too– can be similar to Emile Zola's famous “*I accuse!*” open letter of January 13, 1898, to the President of the French Republic to denounce an anti-Semitic conspiracy of the military against Jewish Lt. Alfred Dreyfus.

3. The vetting and disqualifying of attorney general nominee Judge Merrick Garland

11. [Another article](#) can deal with the nomination by President-elect Biden of Judge Merrick Garland to be the next attorney general:
12. The [official statistics](#) of [Judge Garland](#)'s court, to wit, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC), show that he participated in the dismissal of 100% of the 478 complaints about him and his peers, colleagues, and friends in DCC, and the denial of 100% of the petitions to review of such dismissals during at least the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period.
13. By so doing, he too arrogated to himself -and during his tenure as chief circuit judge even led his peers and colleagues in arrogating to themselves- the power to abrogate in effect the Act with reckless disregard for the detriment to complainants and the rest of the public left uncompensated and at the mercy of unaccountable judges risklessly committing “bad Behaviour”.
14. It follows that Judge Garland has a conflict of interests that prevents him from being an impartial

and fair attorney general, much less an effective and honest overseer or even member of the yet-to-be-formed Biden commission to reform the judicial system:

15. If as attorney general Judge Garland allowed the investigation of complaints against judges filed with the Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility or brought to the attention of the Biden commission, he would end up incriminated for both his abrogation in effect of the Act and his cover-up of the “bad Behaviour” underlying the complaints and committed for his own and the other judges’ gain and convenience.
16. To survive, Judge Garland must ‘pardon’ himself and his peers and colleagues, thus making all of them yet another time Judges Above the Law, the harm to the system of justice and *We the People* notwithstanding. Preventing Judge Garland's self-pardon calls for a generalized media investigation into his and his fellow judge' “bad Behaviour”.

4. The demand for the release of the FBI judicial vetting reports

17. The above article can set in motion a generalized media investigation of both Judge Garland and federal judges because “scandal sells”.
18. Moreover, it can provide the basis for you and the rest of the media to make a bold demand for President-elect Biden to prove that his professed interest in judicial reform is expressed in good faith that has no fear of, and is pursued through, transparency and Equal exposure to investigation Under Law:
19. Demand that President Biden release all the FBI's secret vetting reports on judicial candidates and nominees. They contain information discovered by the FBI exercising its power of subpoena, search and seizure, and contempt, which the media lack.
 - a. Those reports are the equivalent of "the tapes" that President Nixon recorded in the White House of his conversations about his and his aides' cover-up of the break-in into the Democratic National Committee headquarters at the Watergate building complex in Washington, D.C., on June 17, 1972, to conduct political espionage to ensure his reelection. After the Supreme Court ordered Nixon to turn them over to the Senate Watergate Committee, they showed that 18.5 minutes had been 'inexplicably' erased. They became "the smoking gun" in Nixon's hand, implicating him in the Watergate scandal and precipitating his resignation on August 8, 1974.
 - b. However, the FBI reports do have information incriminating judges and revealing 'what presidents, vice presidents, and senators knew about judges' abuse of power for their individual and collective gain and convenience, and when they knew it', but disregarded so as to nominate and confirm 'their men and women' to the bench: It is undeniably impossible to delete that information from hundreds of reports "by accident".
20. The released FBI secret reports will reveal:
 - a. the unfitness for office of many [justices](#) and judges due to their “bad Behaviour” before they began their service and during their service leading up to their being considered for elevation to a higher office; and
 - b. the connivance between politicians and 'their' judges, whom they must protect from any investigation, lest the politicians end up being investigated and incriminated, no matter how many people complain about the judges' abuse of power and how many are harmed by it.
 - c. “Power corrupts and” when it can be exercised risklessly for any reason and no reason it

becomes “absolute power[, which] corrupts absolutely”.

21. Judges who in self-interest break the law have no qualms about doing so for their friends or their biases.
22. Let President-elect Biden show that he honestly believes in the tenet inscribed on the outside wall of the building housing the [Office of Public Responsibility](#):

Justice in the life and conduct of the state is possible only
as first it resides in the hearts and souls of the citizens.

23. Does justice reside in President-elect Biden’s heart and soul? If so, he must show it by the concrete and visible act of opening the secret FBI reports on judicial candidates and nominees so that transparency brings forth truth, which is indispensable for doing justice.
24. If not, let him publicly admit that in his heart and soul there is a secret place for protecting his own interest, not in ‘the Blessings secured for *We the People* through Freedom from Injustice’ (Constitution, Preamble), but rather in implementing his agenda by not antagonizing the judges. The latter can breach their oath of office ([28 U.S.C. §453](#)) ‘to uphold the Constitution and the laws thereunder’ and disregard the will of voters to retaliate in their self-interest against him by holding the laws implementing his agenda unconstitutional or reading them so narrowly and hindering them with so many restrictions that they are rendered ineffective.

5. Thinking strategically to cause judicial resignations, pack the Judiciary, and secure the agenda, judicial reform, and legacy

25. President Biden can release the secret FBI reports and encourage the official and media investigation of judges. The findings of unaccountable judges risklessly committing crimes and abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi will so intensely outrage the national public and deprive judges of so much public trust as to force them to resign individually and even collectively.
26. There is precedent for this in:
 - a. Justice Abe Fortas, who resigned from the U.S. Supreme Court on May 14, 1969, for ‘improprieties’ in taking outside source money and benefiting from relations with a former client;
 - b. Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski, who resigned on December 18, 2017, to avoid an investigation of sexual harassment referred to the Second Circuit by Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; and
 - c. Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, who resigned on February 11, 2019, upon learning 10 days earlier that she was being investigated for her participation in her father’s distribution of his assets to his children through a fraud scheme involving shell companies to evade inheritance tax.
27. The resignations can begin with one justice, [several of them](#), or even the whole Supreme Court for the crimes and abuse that they committed as lower court judges and are committing now as principals; and the crimes and abuse of current lower court judges and of former peers that they are covering up as circuit justices ([28 U.S.C. §42](#)) allotted as supervisors to the several circuits. This will shake trust in the Federal Judiciary so profoundly that the public could demand its replacement.
28. As a result, the unimaginable could happen: President Biden could not only “pack the Court”, but rather pack the whole Judiciary, thereby not only ensuring that his agenda is upheld by the judges that he nominates, but more importantly, also establishing a long-standing basis for his legacy.

29. President Biden could even push through constitutional amendments that recognize the role that *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, must have in holding even judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse so that they can protect themselves from politicians and their appointed judges conniving to grab gain and convenience at the expense of the system of justice and *the People*.

B. The foundation of the articles in a study and a website

30. The articles pitched above are available for review by you, and I can shorten, split, update, and otherwise edit them as required.
31. But time is of the essence, for they should be published before President-elect Biden forms the commission and Judge Garland is vetted and confirmed by the Senate.
32. There is ample justification for considering the above-mentioned articles and others that I have written, which exemplify what I can write on commission. They form part of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

33. I have posted some of my articles to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at **Error! Hyperlink reference not valid..** Countless webvisitors have read and appreciated them so much that as of this writing 37,302 and counting have subscribed to my website(Appendix 3). How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
34. You can subscribe for free to its articles, such as this one, thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or
+ New or Users >Add New.

C. Proposed action

35. Therefore, I respectfully propose that you, with a view to making yourself this generation's equivalent of *Washington Post* editor Benjamin Bradlee of Watergate fame:
- publish upon payment to me one or a **series** of my articles on judges' crimes and abuse of power; compensation of abusees; and reform of the judicial system, including those described in the **article below**, section C. Sources of evidence and examples of federal judges' criminality; e.g.:
 - 1) their abusive enrichment denounced by Sen. Elizabeth **Warren**
 - 2) their fraudulent filing and approval of **financial disclosure** reports
 - 3) their bankruptcy fraud **scheme**
 - 4) their **failure to read** the overwhelming majority of briefs
 - embark on a **joint investigation** with me and others of judges' crimes and abuse, which will be focused and cost-effective thanks to the abundance of leads that I have gathered;
 - i. make with me a joint *I accuse!* presentation, via video conference and/or in person, whose **agenda** includes judicial abuse of power, **compensation** of abusees, and reform, at:
 - 1) a press conference; and

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL3:1241

- 2) a tour of law ([OL:197§G](#)), journalism, business, and Information Technology schools and think tanks upon contacting the student officers of the class, the deans, and the professors whose courses are germane to the subject of our presentation;
- c. ii. to assess my capacity to make a presentation, watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
- d. promote the holding of [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) on judges' "bad Behaviour", to be conducted by multidisciplinary panels of journalists, professors, and experts; at media stations and university auditoriums; where the victims of, and witnesses to, judges' "bad Behaviour" can tell their [story](#) to the national public; and do so mostly through interactive video conference to reduce travel expenses; reach the largest life audience possible; and receive their feedback in real time;
- e. encourage the formation of local chapters of parties who have appeared before the same 'badly behaving' judge or in the same court that covers up for them, to demand collectively [compensation](#) for the abuse and waste that they have suffered;
- f. organize together with other media outlets, academics, and me the first-ever, and national conference on judges' "bad Behaviour", where the citizens hearings report will be presented;
- g. participate in a multidisciplinary academic and business venture, described in my business plan, that will turn the website of Judicial Discipline Reform from an informational platform into:
 - 1) a **clearinghouse** for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of "bad Behaviour"; and
 - 3) the digital portal of the venture and the precursor to the creation attached to a top university or think tank of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy.
- h. See paragraph 57 in the [below article](#) for other proposed actions.

D. My offer to present this article and related proposals

36. I offer to make a presentation of this article and related proposals to you and your guests followed by a Q&A session. The presentation can take place via video conference on short notice. To set its terms and scheduling you may use my contact information below.
37. To decide whether to organize the presentation you may watch my video and follow it on its slides.
38. To consult with others on this article and/or interest potential guests in attending this presentation you may widely share this article and post it to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, WhatsApp, Instagram, Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat

Twitter: Pitching a scoop on exposing AG nominee Judge Merrick Garland's cover-up of judges' interception of emails and mail, financial fraud, etc.; and the release of the secret FBI's judicial vetting reports; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

1. If you are interested in bringing Judges Above the Law and their judiciaries down to the level where every other person is held accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power because All Are Equal Before the Law, support Judicial Discipline Reform in its:
 - a. professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking([†]>[OL2:445§B](#), [475§D](#)); and
 - b. implementation of its business plan([OL2:914](#)) by, to begin with, turning its informational website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> into a profit center that offers:
 - 1) **a clearinghouse** for complaints([OL2:918](#)) about judges that anybody can upload for free; and
 - 2) **a research center** for fee-paying customers to audit(^{*}>[OL:274-280](#), [304-307](#)) many complaints in search of(^{*}>[jur:131§b](#), [OL:255](#)) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns([†]>[OL2:792§A](#)), trends([OL2:455§B](#)), and schemes([OL2:614](#), [929](#), [457§D](#)) of abuse of power, including the coordinated fraudulent filing by judges and approval by other judges of mandatory annual financial disclosure reports([jur:102§a](#) and ^{213b}) under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978([jur:65](#)^{107d}), which are intentionally misleading in order to conceal assets, evade taxes, and launder money, such as the money grabbed by judges through their self-enrichment denounced by Sen. Warren in her “plan” to hold them accountable for it([OL2:998](#)).

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

DONATE
through

PayPal

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or at the **GoFundMe** campaign, <https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

Offer of a presentation

2. Dr. Cordero offers to present via video conference or in person his business plan and program of activities([OL2:978§E](#)) to you and your guests. To reach him and discuss the presentation's terms and conditions and its scheduling, you may use the contact information in the letterhead above.
3. To decide whether to organize such presentation watch his video as you follow its slides([†]>[OL2:958](#)) using these links:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!([†]>[OL2:1003](#))...and you may enter it.

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

February 23, 2021

**Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in
the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡**

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of
Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,311+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
Cf. a. [id.](#) on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
c. OL2:748; Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 476 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf
d. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. Garland; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
e. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
f. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
2. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
3. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
4. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
5. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
6. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
7. *>Creative writings, cw; http://judicialdiscipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
8. *>OL:42; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

9. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
10. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
11. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
12. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
13. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
14. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
15. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
16. OL2:567; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
17. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through ‘dumping forms’, which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
18. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
19. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
20. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
21. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
22. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
23. OL2:901; <http://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
24. OL2:918; File on the complaint’s journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
25. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
26. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
27. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
28. OL2:951; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
29. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
30. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
31. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf

32. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
33. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
34. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
35. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
36. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
37. OL2:1022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
38. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
39. OL2:1032; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
40. OL2:1037; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
41. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
42. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
43. *>OL2:1051; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
44. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
45. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
[sent to LexisNexis]
46. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
47. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
48. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
49. OL2:1090; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
50. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
51. OL2:1101; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
52. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
53. OL2:1108; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
54. OL2:1116; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
55. OL2:1119; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
56. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
57. *>OL2:1134; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
58. OL2:1144; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

59. OL2:1154; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
60. *>OL2:1159; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
61. *>OL2:1163; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-.pdf>
62. *>OL2:1175; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
63. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
64. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
65. *>OL2:1213; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
66. OL2:1219; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
67. OL3:1226; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
68. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
69. OL3:1237; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
70. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
71. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries

72. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
73. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
74. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
75. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
76. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
77. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
78. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
79. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
80. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to

themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority([jur:21§a](#));

81. escaping the futility of suing judges([OL2:713, 609§1](#)): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation([OL2:581](#));
82. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation([jur:81§1](#)): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public([OL2:635, 593¶15](#));
83. turning insiders into Deep Throats([jur:106§C](#)); outsiders into informants([OL2:468](#)); and judges into criers of ‘*MeToo! Abusers*’([OL2:682¶¶7,8](#)) that issue an *I accuse!*([jur:98§2](#)) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically([OL2:635, 593¶15](#)) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice([OL2:687](#)), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
84. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab([OL2:614](#)), conceal([jur:65^{107a,c}](#)), and launder([105²¹³](#)) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications([OL2:582§C](#));
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting([OL2:612§b](#));
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata([OL2:583§3](#));
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million([OL2:528](#));
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges’ interception;
85. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like([jur:4¶¶10-14](#)) generalized media investigation into judges’ abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads([OL:194§E](#)) that I have gathered;
86. ***Black Robed Predators***([OL:85](#)) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges’ victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges’ abuse of power;
87. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges’ abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People*’s loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings([OL2:675§2, 580§2](#)) on judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges

intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);

- c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for ‘justice services’(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out “dumping forms”(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
- d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys’ fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for ‘justice services’; and
- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day’s work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);

88. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;

89. the development of my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of February 23, 2021, had 37,300 subscribers, into:

- a. a clearinghouse for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
- b. a research center for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
- c. the showroom and working platform of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture(jur:119§§1-4) intended to develop into the institute of judicial accountability reporting and reform advocacy(jur:130§5);

90. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges’ abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154 ¶ 3);
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges’ decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges’ abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People’s Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

91. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
92. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful external links

93. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
94. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
95. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
96. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
97. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
98. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
99. Cf. Legal Information Institute (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
100. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
101. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
102. 18 USC 3057 on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
103. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
104. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (28 USC §§601-613); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
105. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
106. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
107. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
108. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
109. AO's 2019-1997 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
110. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>
111. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (28 USC §§351-364); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
112. the Rules for Processing Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
113. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
114. Number of cases filed in state courts annually: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf
115. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

116. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>
117. Federal Judicial Center on impeachments; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>
118. See(jur:159²⁸⁰):
- a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf
 - b The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf\(jur:75¹⁴⁸\)](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf(jur:75¹⁴⁸)).
 - c The Conduct and Disability part of the bill as adopted is at ¶111 supra(jur:24^{18a})
119. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
- 120.

Blank

Blank