

March 2, 2021

**Taking timely and reasonable action
to advance the common cause of honest judiciaries
by exposing attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland
and his fellow judges' abuse of power and
its cover-up by President Biden's
commission for the reform of the system of justice[‡]**

Dear Mrs. K and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

A. The substantial interception of my email account

1. Since you were kind enough to interview me for your program in 2009, I have kept sending you my emails.
2. Alas, I have similarly communicated with advocates of honest judiciaries like you, but then without any apparent reason I have heard from them no more.
3. This inexplicable lack of communication became substantially worse after I began emailing my [article](#) concerning attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland and judges' interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those critical of their abuse of power:
4. My emails bounce back from even the CLE providers to whom I am pitching my series of webinars and articles on exposing judges' abuse of power.
 - a. For proof, I have sent repeatedly my [pitch](#) to the New York City Bar Association, the largest bar association in NY City and perhaps only second in our state to the New York State Bar Association, but they bounce back with this message, which Information Technology (IT) experts may be able to fathom:

“550: permanent failure for one or more recipients (X:blocked)”, where X =
lkelly@nycbar.org, CustomerRelations@nycbar.org, ndawkins@nycbar.org,
lgreen@nycbar.org, citybarcle@nycbar.org, citybarcenterforcle@nycbar.org
 - b. I have learned that some of my emails arrived garbled. Everybody who receives a garbled email has an interest in finding out how it came to be so. Recipients of an intentionally garbled email as well as those who do not receive it at all have a cause of action as individuals and as members of a class against the garbling person or entity for interception of an electronic communication, [illegal](#) under [Title 18](#) of the U.S. Code section 2511 (18 U.S.C. §2511) and the First Amendment to the [Constitution](#), which guarantees ‘freedom of speech, of the press, and of assembly [on the Internet and social media too] to petition the government for a redress of grievances [which includes compensation].’

B. The need to change our approach and do so with due haste

5. Since our radio interview over a decade ago, we have written many articles and participated in many interviews. Yet, the progress that we have achieved in holding judges accountable and liable to compensate their victims is zero.
6. Here applies Einstein's aphorism: “Doing the same thing while expecting a different result is the hallmark of irrationality”. That is so because it disregards or ignores a fundamental law of both the natural and human worlds: cause and effect.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1253
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf

7. Your prompt reply within hours of my email is most encouraging.
8. Time is of the essence to seize the opportunity to bring to the attention of the media and the national public why it is in their interest to investigate Judge Garland before he is in yet a stronger position to cover up his and his fellow judges' abuse of power, described in the [article](#), once he:
 - a. is confirmed by the Senate as attorney general; and
 - b. becomes directly or indirectly a member of the commission to reform the system of justice that Then-presidential candidate Biden announced his intention to form in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020.

C. Proposal of concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions

9. Therefore, I am hereby proposing that we join forces to expose judicial abuse of power, collective demand for compensation, and reform of the system of justice through transformative change, i.e., the system that goes into the change comes out substantially transformed into a new one.
10. Concretely, I propose that you use your contacts to undertake the following actions:

1. Publishing, sharing, and posting of my article and pitch

- a. bring my [article](#) to the attention of the media and academia, particularly students and professors at schools of journalism, law, IT, and business.
 - b. promote their holding of unprecedented citizens hearings, where people can tell panels of journalists and academics via video conference accessible nationwide their stories of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed; and
 - c. recommend my [pitch](#) to CLE providers, bar associations, and public interest organizations of my series of webinars and articles exposing judges' abuse of power and developing the collective claim for compensation as a niche practice that can serve scores of millions of claimants.
11. The clear objective of my [article](#) and [pitch](#) is to cause you and your publisher as well as the rest of their target audience to proceed in your own professional and commercial interest –“Scandal sells”– to investigate Judge Garland, in particular, and his fellow judges and their judiciaries, in general. Both pieces contain many leads for such investigation.
 12. By you, others, and me initiating the investigation, we all can set in motion a generalized media investigation into, first, the Federal Judiciary and, subsequently, its state counterparts.
 13. The long form of these pieces of writing is appropriate, for nobody who is knowledgeable and cautious is going to take on life-tenured federal judges based on a scribble on the back of a napkin. The pieces must be well researched, written, and argued. They are.
 14. There is recent, reliable, and repeatable precedent for the potential impact of one or a [series of articles](#); I can edit mine as required by a publisher committed to its of their publication.
 - a. Such precedent is found in the exposés of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse published by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively. Within a week, the *MeToo!* movement had erupted globally. Since then society here and abroad has changed transformationally...it may force NY State Governor Andrew Cuomo to resign due to the allegations by ever more women that he harassed them sexually.
 15. My [article](#), if published in a reputable publication with national circulation, can reasonably be

expected to cause the media, always in quest of a Pulitzer Prize, to investigate judges and their judiciaries as ever more people become informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse. We want to influence the agenda of President Biden's commission to reform the system of justice, which he announced in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020. We want that commission to demand that the President release the FBI's secret vetting reports on judicial candidates, which can show which politicians have known what about judges' wrongdoing in and out of court but have connivingly covered it up to protect 'our men and women on the bench'. The public outrage thus provoked can snowball toward transformative change in the judiciary.

2. Coordination with fellow hosts to form the Coalition of Talkshow Hosts for Justice

- a. organize a presentation via video conference by me to you and your guests, including your fellow talkshow hosts. This can lay the ground for a press conference held by you, me, and like-minded advocates of honest judiciaries. Therefore, to invite potential guests you may share and post this email.
16. I have come to realize that the audience of talkshows, just as pro ses, will never WORK to change the system of justice. They are only interested in winning their cases and be done with it.
17. However, a handful of courageous talkshow hosts can coordinate their activities to hold a weekly show that gives their audience the opportunity to TELL their [story](#) of abuse.
18. Those stories can provoke outrage that spreads to ever more talkshows. That is how the outraged audience can become a national *MeToo*!/BLM/socio-economic equality-like movement of self-assertion and uncompromising denunciation of judges. They can shout a cry with a potent rallying effect because judges, unaccountable as they are, risklessly prey on everybody regardless of race, gender, wealth, prestige, or access to legal representation:

The judge had all the power!... and I was nothing.

3. Development of a website with proven appeal as a rallying, for-profit center

- a. post articles to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. Though long and demanding of careful reading, they have attracted so many webvisitors and so many have appreciated them that 37,343+ have become subscribers.
19. These subscribers are likely to be educated and well-off, as are those who read *The New York Times* and its Sunday Magazine, *The New Yorker*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Washington Post*, *The Atlantic*, *TIME*, etc.
20. The posted articles are based on my three-volume study*[†][♣] of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†][♣]

21. The website can be developed according to my [business plan](#), whose guiding motto is likely to appeal to capital investors: Making Money While Doing Justice.
22. The development can turn the website from an informational platform into:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL3:1255

- b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients **auditing** judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources to apply to them **computer-assisted** statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis. All those writings can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of "bad Behaviour" (U.S. **Constitution**, Article III, Section 1), which constitutes one of the grounds for removing judges from office; and
- c. the digital portal of the proposed multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture. It can be the precursor to the **institute** of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy, which can be either attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets.

D. Debunking conspiracy and freak theories by showing that they are absurd

- 23. The "Esq." title at the end of a name is the abbreviation for 'Esquire', which identifies the bearer of the name as a lawyer. In my case, it also fulfills a clarifying function: It indicates that while I am a "Dr.", that is, a Doctor of Law because I earned a Ph.D. in law, I am not a medical doctor (MD).
- 24. In addition, I do not work for any Queen or her government, whether of England or anywhere else. I do not even work for the government of the State of New York, of whose bar I am a member. A very large majority of lawyers do not work for any government, even if they must obtain a government-issued license to practice law, just as the practitioners of other professions, such as dentists, engineers, and pharmacists, certified public accountants do under their respective state law.
- 25. Nor have I ever met a lawyer who works for any Queen. In fact, it is not reasonable to think that all the roughly half a million lawyers in the U.S. are united in working for a foreign head of state. Lawyers have among themselves many different interests, which very often are diverse and even in conflict with each other. This is to be expected, for lawyers range from solo practitioners all the way to name partners of international law firms.
- 26. Moreover, the profile of lawyers is that they challenge authority; to do so is in their professional and personal character. That is why they take an opposing party to court. They even challenge the rulings and decisions of judges when they go up on appeal. Thus, it is incongruous with such profile to affirm that all lawyers sheepishly agree to recognize and obey the authority of one and the same queen, whoever and wherever that queen may be, or for that matter, any other foreign or even domestic authority. Failure to detect that incongruity only betrays lack of critical judgement that allows one to accept what is devoid of any basis in fact and on its face absurd.
- 27. I do not, and believe that lawyers do not, collect money or anything else for the Queen, the Pope, or the mayor of London; nor do we work in admiralty courts or under admiralty jurisdiction. People who uncritically parrot this kind of baseless and absurd theories harm all advocates of honest judiciary, who must endeavor to earn the respect of everybody for their common sense and knowledge.

E. Time is of the essence for taking action

- 28. I invite you to join forces to take the proposed action that seizes the opportunity arising from current events and allows us to cause a different result: We can start making progress toward forming a national apolitical nondenominational single issue civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform through transformative change. So, I respectfully encourage you to proceed as an investigative journalist: look into this proposal with an open and critical mind. You will find in it a lot for you as well as for the rest of *We the People*. For them you too can become a nationally recognized Champion of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

**F. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Judicial Discipline Reform

has produced
the study*†♣ of judges and their judiciaries

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*†♣

this article, and a website

Join its 37,379+ subscribers thus: go to

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

<left panel ↓Register

or

+ New or Users >Add New.

Support its professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001
or

by mailing a check to the address below.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[Judicial Discipline Reform](#)

2165 Bruckner Blvd.

Bronx, NY 10472-6506 tel. (718)827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

NOTE: Given the interference with Dr. Cordero's email and e-cloud storage accounts described at *>ggl:1 et seq. and †>OL2:1114\$G, when emailing him, copy the above bloc of his email addresses and paste it in the To: line of your email so as to enhance the chances of your email reaching him at least at one of those addresses.

Blank

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
**Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as **judges'** institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

PART I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
or

PART II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

PART III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

March 5, 2021

Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries:‡

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 37,353+ subscribers to its articles thus:
homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

1. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
Cf. a. [id.](#) on the Second Circuit and Then-judge Sonia Sotomayor
b. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
c. OL2:748; Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 476 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/retrieve/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf
d. OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. Garland; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
2. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
3. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
4. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
5. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
6. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
7. *>Creative writings, cw; http://judicialdiscipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
8. *>OL:42; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

9. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
10. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
11. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
12. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
13. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
14. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
15. †>OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
16. OL2:567; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
17. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “**the math of abuse**”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
18. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
19. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
20. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
21. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
22. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
23. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
24. OL2:901; <http://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
25. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
26. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
27. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
28. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
29. OL2:951; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
30. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
31. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
32. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf

33. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
34. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
35. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
36. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
37. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
38. OL2:1022; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
39. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
40. OL2:1032; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
41. OL2:1037; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
42. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
43. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
44. *>OL2:1051; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
45. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
46. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf [sent to LexisNexis]
47. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
48. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf = <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
49. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
50. OL2:1090; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
51. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
52. OL2:1101; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
53. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
54. OL2:1108; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
55. OL2:1116; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
56. OL2:1119; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
57. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
58. *>OL2:1134; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf

59. OL2:1144; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
60. OL2:1154; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
61. *>OL2:1164; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
62. *>OL2:1168; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
63. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
64. *>OL2:1; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_coalition_to_expose_judges.pdf
65. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
66. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
67. *>OL2:1213; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
68. OL2:1219; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
69. OL3:1226; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
70. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
71. OL3:1237; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
72. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
73. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
74. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYBar.pdf>
75. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf
76. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries

77. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
78. statistical analysis for the public(†>OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
79. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
80. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
81. Justiceship Nominee N. Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe

- is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
82. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
 83. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
 84. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
 85. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
 86. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
 87. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
 88. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘MeToo! Abusers’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
 89. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics’ communications(OL2:582§C);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges’ interception;
 90. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges’ abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
 91. ***Black Robed Predators***(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges’ victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges’ abuse of power;

92. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
 - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
93. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
94. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of March 4, 2021, had 37,353 subscribers, into:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture (jur:119§§1-4). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions(jur:130§5);
95. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:
 - a. judges' abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154 ¶ 3);
 - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary

analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;

- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));

- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

96. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;

97. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful external links

98. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice"; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

99. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

100. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>

101. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx

102. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

103. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>

104. Cf. Legal Information Institute (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>

105. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>

106. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>

107. 18 USC 3057 on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>

108. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>

109. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (28 USC §§601-613); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

110. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

111. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>

112. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>

113. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>

114. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>

115. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>

116. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (28 USC §§351-364); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
117. the Rules for Processing Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
118. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
119. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf
120. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
121. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>
122. Federal Judicial Center on impeachments; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>
123. See(jur:159²⁸⁰):
 - a. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf
 - b The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), [http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf\(jur:75¹⁴⁸\)](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf(jur:75¹⁴⁸)).
 - c The Conduct and Disability part of the bill as adopted is at ¶116 supra(jur:24^{18a})
124. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
- 125.

Blank

Blank

Blank