

January 10, 2020

**Application to Capital Investors
for capital to develop Judicial Discipline Reform as a for-profit business
guided by the principle:
Making money while doing justice**

Dear Capital Investors[†],

1. This is an application for capital investment, not a loan. The capital will develop my law research and writing, and strategic thinking business. The latter has devised and seeks to implement its out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for exposing unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power. To that end, it aims to form a national civic movement, just as its precedent did: The Tea Party initially held tax reduction as its single issue and pursued it through grassroots local chapters.
2. The business proposes to abused parties to lawsuits to pursue jointly an inherently attractive demand for compensation. For proof, my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> has attracted so many visitors that 39,270 (as of 9aug21)(Appendix 3) have subscribed to it. Can you imagine how many people must have only visited it, i.e., the ratio of 1 subscriber to X number of visitors? They are all potential customers if the site is developed as a services/goods providing-business guided by the principle of its for-profit business plan: Making money while doing justice.
3. Currently, the site offers only tightly argued long articles, based on statistics, with(*[†]>footnote-like references), and no pictures. Its attraction in spite thereof supports the presumption that subscribers are the more educated and wealthy visitors. Also attracted is the public at large, who has a *MeToo!* attitude that is intolerant of any form of abuse. Thus, the business development will begin by applying the economic model of the Internet to monetize its site's attraction(infra ¶22).
4. My business is grounded in my two-volume professional study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]**

and its video, which shows my presentation skills, at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4; and slides illustrating my capacity to succinctly list key subjects, at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf.

5. The business also relies on the validation of its claim, i.e., judges abuse their power, that it has received from an unwitting presidential candidate, Sen. E. Warren(infra §A). She has denounced judges' self-enrichment through their abuse of power and "plans" to adopt judicial unaccountability legislation. Their ever more outrageous abuse has given rise to the business's target market: the huge untapped leaderless voting bloc of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System(§B). The vying of 2020 candidates for their leadership will publicize and drive people to the movement.
6. Parties abused by judges do not offer any business and are not competitors. By contrast, I have a program of activities(§C). Undertaking them to cultivate customers requires capital, business connections and experience, publicity, and a professional team(§D), including professors at the forefront of their fields, and idealistic students(*>jur:128§4). Hence the effort to establish the business as a multidisciplinary research(*>OL:115, 60, 255) and public interest institute(jur:130§5) associated with a top university. How you can make money from this business is discussed below and in the presentation(§E) via video conference or in person that I offer to make to you and investors. So I look forward to hearing from you to schedule it.

Dare trigger history!(OL2:1003)...and you may enter it. Sincerely, s/Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

A. Sen. Warren's denunciation of judges' self-enrichment and unaccountability

7. None other than presidential campaign frontrunner Sen. Elizabeth Warren has unwittingly validated the charge of judges' unaccountability and abuse of power: In her "plan for that too", she has denounced the failure of federal judges –of whom there were 2,255 on 30Sep18(†>OL2:999 ¶12)– to recuse themselves when they have conflicts of interests due to their holding shares in one of the parties before them; instead, they resolve the conflicts in that party's and their own favor.
8. Such self-enrichment through abuse of power is criminal, unlike abuse of discretion. It requires the coordinated filing and approval by judges of mandatory annual financial disclosure reports (*>jur:102§a and ^{213b}) under the Ethics in Government Act(jur:65^{107d}). Fraudulent, their reports intend to conceal assets unlawfully obtained, evade taxes, and launder money so that its origin and ownership can be accounted for and used openly in lawful activities. Coordination to engage in a pattern of fraud points to conduct as a criminal enterprise, e.g., under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), Title 18 of the U.S. Code [of federal laws] §1961.(OL2:953)
9. Sen. Warren attributes judges' abuse to their being held unaccountable by Congress and the Judicial Conference of the U.S.(†>OL2:998§A). If elected, she 'plans' to adopt judicial accountability legislation. Ignoring vested interests, her plan is unrealistic(id.) and incomplete(OL2:918).

B. The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System

10. The business aims to take advantage of Sen. Warren's denunciation of judges' abuse and unaccountability by pitting all presidential and other 2020 candidates against each other on that issue so that they insert it in the primaries, the nominating conventions, and the general campaign:
11. Indeed, every year more than 50 million new suits are filed in the state and federal courts(jur:8^{4,5}). To them must be added the scores of millions of suits pending or deemed to have been wrongly or wrongfully decided. Given that it is in the nature of suits that 50% of the parties to them lose, and most winners are not granted all the "Relief requested" in their briefs, it is understandable that there is a huge untapped voting bloc: The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System.
12. The Dissatisfied are leaderless. The business endeavors to make candidates(OL2:1027) aware that they can joust for their leadership, their volunteer work, votes, and donations. The latter are indispensable to fund their campaigns and meet the more demanding requirements to qualify for the next nationally televised debate. Non-qualification may toll the death knell for their campaigns.
13. While pro ses constitute 52% of the parties to appeals to the federal circuit courts(†>OL2:455§B), almost all of them and the immense majority of bankrupts are among The Dissatisfied: Unable to afford a lawyer and overwhelmed by the complexities of the law and the rules of procedure(id. §C), they all become easy prey of judges, their cronies(*>jur:32§2, 81¹⁶⁹), and opposing counsel.
14. Among The Dissatisfied are also the wealthiest individuals and companies, who can afford the lawyers of even top law firms, as opposed to having to self-represent as pro ses. According to the statistics of the Federal Judiciary's Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(jur:21¹⁰), 93% of appeals to the federal circuit courts are disposed of ["perfunctorily"(jur:44⁶⁸)] in orders based "on procedural grounds [e.g., the catchall pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation", called summary orders(OL2:457§D). They are unresearched, unreasoned, arbitrary, fiat-like orders with no discussion of the facts or applicable law of the corresponding motion or appeal brief. These orders are contained in forms that judges use to lighten their caseload by dumping out the largest portion of it("the math of abuse"; OL2:608§A).
15. Judges are not authorized to delegate their adjudicative power; yet, they have the dumping forms

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1023

filled out by their clerks. The latter need not be lawyers and are not vetted for competent understanding of the law and application of it, or honesty. Anyway, their task is not to ‘administer justice pursuant to law’; it is merely to dump categories of cases in which the judges are not interested.

16. Clerks expediently preserve the status quo by filling in the form blank mostly with only two operative words: “affirmed”, if the brief is for an appeal, or “denied”, if it is for a substantive rather than a routine procedural motion. To perform this mechanical act clerks need not read beyond the brief’s title, never mind reach the only section important to a party: “Relief requested” from the judges.
17. Thus, 93% of the parties would not have been worse off in legal terms if they had taken no appeal or filed no motion. However, in financial terms they are much worse off, for a brief costs \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to produce(OL2:760§A) only for it to be dumped out of court with a 5¢ dumping form bearing the clerk of court’s rubberstamped signature. The remaining 7% unequally get reasoned and published opinions signed by judges. But equal court fees apply to 100% of them.
18. This is outrageous! No doubt, The Dissatisfied is the business’s target market, the best possible: Having experienced abuse by judges when appearing separately as individual parties before them, they are already gained to its message of the need to join forces in a national civic movement.

C. A business plan with a program of concrete, realistic, and feasible activities

19. Nothing provokes hotter passion than abuse; nothing generates more sustained commitment than the opportunity to be compensated for it. This justifies the business’s strategy: Begin its development by boosting its current emailing and mailing campaign to inform the national public of, and outrage it at, judges’ abuse so intensely as to stir up the public to form a national movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform. The movement will be driven by local chapters that enable parties before the same judge or in the same court or judicial system to join forces to demand the refund of court filing fees, compensation for unread briefs, and punitive damages. The business will earn a commission for organizing, representing, and lobbying for, these parties.
20. There is current precedent for the proposition that abuse by the government can be turned into an opportunity to seek compensation: Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson(*>OL:215) noticed suspicious behaviors in her office and home computers. She and CBS hired three independent Information Technology (IT) experts to examine them. They found digital dust that allowed of the conclusion that the computers had been hacked by the U.S. Department of Justice. The latter wanted to eavesdrop on the two stories by Reporter Attkisson that were embarrassing it and the rest of the Obama administration(OL2:980§F). Rep. Attkisson is suing DoJ for \$35 million.
21. The website(supra ¶2) will be developed from a free informational platform into a profit center that offers consulting, education, publications, etc.(jur:153§§c-g). To begin with, it will become:
 - a. **a clearinghouse** for judicial complaints(jur:10-14; OL2:548, 748) uploaded by anybody; and
 - b. **a research center** for fee-paying people to audit(OL:274-280, 304-307) many complaints, orders, decisions, and other writings of or about one judge, the judges of a court, and those of a judiciary in search of the most persuasive type of evidence: patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse(OL2:614); using a search engine based on artificial intelligence and natural language, e.g., Google’s, to perform statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis(jur:131§b; OL:42, 60).
22. In keeping with the Internet economic model, the website will lease advertisement space, e.g., to:
 - a. law book publishers, e.g., WestLaw, Lexis Nexis, Thomson Reuters;
 - b. law schools, which are in dire need of new students to counter their steadily dwindling

enrollment and can offer online and on campus educational services(*>dcc:3) tailored to pro se and advocates of honest judiciaries, which can lead to site/schools joint ventures;

- c. law firms; web-builders for lawyers; research, brief writing, and trial service providers;
 - d. child protection, probate, bankruptcy, and family law entities that decry abuse by judges and their cronies(*>jur:32§2, 81¹⁶⁹); investigators who search for abuse and case evidence;
 - e. convention organizers; hotels and airlines; financial institutions; car rental companies; etc.
23. The business will pursue its program of activities for a fee or for building its reputation and publicizing the formation of the national civic movement. To that end, it will, among other things:
- a. place one(†>OL2:998, 760, 781) or a series of articles(OL2:719§C) in print or digital outlets.
 - 1) Those articles can have a transformational impact on the judicial and legal system similar to that had on society by the exposés of Harvey Weinstein’s sexual predation published by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively. Within days, they gave rise to the eruption of the *MeToo!* movement here and abroad. Since then, sexual abusees that used to suffer their abuse in silence, shame, and isolation have gathered and self-assertively shout the rallying cry that victims of judges can also shout in our country and be echoed throughout the rest of the world:

Enough is enough! We won’t take any abuse by anybody anymore.

- b. hold a tour(*>OL:197§G) of presentations(†>OL2:821-824) on informing and outraging the public, and forming local chapters of victims of judges’ abuse; held at journalism, business, law, and IT schools to address their still idealistic students; bar associations; press clubs and media outlets; think tanks; public defender, civic and public integrity organizations; etc.;
- c. hold half or one day seminars for teaching how a judicial and legal system works by participants role-playing(OL:359§F; *>dcc:23) its members and applying dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests and strategic thinking(dcc:8¶11, 17¶1; *>Lsch:14§§2-3);
- d. promote unprecedented citizen hearings(†>OL2:812§E) on judges’ abuse of power, to be held at universities and media outlets in the public interest of fostering civic education; conducted by journalists, journalism professors, fraud and forensic analysts, and IT experts; and be multimedia and interactive so as to enable from any location and at the lowest cost to victims of, and witnesses(OL2:787§D) to, judges’ abuse to describe its nature, extent, and gravity;
- e. promote a franchise of law clinics, internships, departments, and firms; staffed by idealistic students(OL2:571¶24a), newly graduated lawyers, and the glut of unemployed ones, to represent the flood of parties who upon being informed that the judges breached the contract for judicial services in exchange for filing fees, treated them unequally compared to parties who got reasoned decisions rather than dumping forms, violated their due process rights, etc. (OL2:729, 792§A), will want to file motions to vacate summary and other abusive orders and remand for new trial or appellate process; and join nationally to demand the refund of filing fees, compensation for unread briefs, and punitive damages as well as attorney’s fees;
- f. hold a press conference with other politicians, e.g., the newly elected House representatives, many of whom are anti-Establishment and members of minorities, whose pro se parties are systematically abused by judges(OL2:455§B, 932), to induce them to emulate Sen. Warren by denouncing abuse as unaccountable judges’ institutionalized modus operandi(jur:49§4);
- g. spark the journalistic investigation of judges’ interception of emails and mail as their means

of detecting and suppressing those critical of them, maintaining their pretense of honesty, and warding off constitutional checks and balances by the other branches(†>OL2:901). This is one of judges’ most outrageous abuses, depriving *We the People* of their most cherished rights: those under the 1st Amendment guaranteeing “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances”(OL2:792¶1). This abuse can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from E. Snowden leaking documents of the NSA, which did not prevent any phone calls(995§B);

- h. interest journalism students and professional and citizen journalists in a unique national *Follow the money!* story(jur:65§§1-3; OL:194§E): a bankruptcy fraud scheme(OL2:614) involving \$100s of billions(jur:27§2) and harming millions of bankrupts as well as their friends and family, creditors, the stores that they patronize, employees, customers, shareholders, etc.;
- i. promote(jur:119§1) the creation of the multidisciplinary academic and business Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy(jur:131§5) attached to a top university, collaborating with investigative journalists, and charged with forming the movement;
- j. organize(*>dcc:11) the first-ever and national, multimedia, interactive, and for-profit conference(jur:97§1) on judges’ abuse to promote abuse exposure, compensation, and reform;
- k. advocate the petition to Congress by 34 states –thus satisfying the requirement of Art. V of the Constitution– since April 2, 2014, for a constitutional convention(OL2:878¶15), which can transform the American governance system into one where for the first time in history *the People*, the source of all public power in “government of, by, and for the people”(jur:82¹⁷²), hold the judges entrusted with that power accountable and liable to compensation; etc.

D. Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are

- 24. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money. The latter is needed for Judicial Discipline Reform to continue its law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The business plan describes the logistics of setting up and running the business office, including the equipment and third-party services necessary and proper to achieve the objectives of its program of activities. It also describes the tasks of the initial team: the chief executive officer; the chief officer for research and technology; for services/goods development, marketing, and operations; for public relations and formation of the national movement’s local chapters; for finance, fundraising, and accounting; and the general counsel.
- 25. While this application for capital investment is discussed, you may donate through *PayPal* or at the *GoFundMe* campaign at <https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>.

E. Offer to present to you and others willing to become Champions of Justice

- 26. This is the most opportune moment to expose unaccountable judges’ abuse of power and form the national civic Tea Party-like single issue movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform: Judges’ criminal self-enrichment through abuse of power has been denounced by a presidential frontrunner; and the *MeToo!* attitude and the 2020 campaign have put the public, including The Dissatisfied, in the strongest position to make demands on politicians.
- 27. The presentation on this application that I offer to make will show not only how you can make money, but also how you can become one of *the People*’s nationally recognized Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!(OL2:1003)...and you may enter it.

July 21, 2021

**Using your story of abuse of power by judges
to encourage university students and journalists
to expose the refusal of
the Biden Commission on the reform of the Supreme Court to hear abusees on
the practice of abuse by justices and judges
while hearing only professors on the theory of constitutional law; and
to hold the proposed
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS
through which the national public will be
informed of, and outraged at, unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power
and given the opportunity to collectively demand compensation**

Dear Dr. P. McCullough, SCOTUSblog publisher T. Goldstein, editor J. Romoser, columnist for law students S. Wermiel, petitions intern M. Jagodinski, Journalists, Academics, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

mcculloughperry44@gmail.com, tgoldstein@scotusblog.com, jromoser@scotusblog.com,
swermiel@scotusblog.com, mjagodinski@scotusblog.com,

1. I thank all those Advocates who replied to my [article](#) on the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of the abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed, for instance, as a party or while clerking for judges or reporting on their courts and decisions.
2. This article describes concrete, reasonable, and feasible steps that you can take toward the objective of enabling people to tell the national public their stories at a public meeting of the Biden Commission for the reform of the Supreme Court, and at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) to be organized by university students, professors, and journalists and held all over the country at their university auditoriums, media stations, and via video conference.
3. This is also a story pitch: I am pitching this story as well as a series of analytical pieces on judges and their judiciaries, which are already written and available for your evaluation([Appendix 6](#)). I can write articles on commission. All are supported by my three-volume study on this subject based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#) * † ♣

- a. Many of my articles are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **39,130+** have become subscribers to the site as of July 21, 2021([App. 3](#)).

- 1) How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
- 2) You can join the subscribers thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or
+ New or Users >Add New.

A. Using your story to make a presentation and form a chapter of Advocates

4. Your poignant story, Dr. McCullough, is particularly well-written and supported by relevant citations. Your high academic degrees afford you special access to your former law schools and current deans and professors as well as class presidents and other officers, and student associations. Likewise, the first-hand knowledge of judicial abuse that you gained during your dramatic experience gives you 'standing' to approach associations of public defenders, innocent program officers, and the unjustly incarcerated.
5. You and other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries willing to take action, as opposed to merely complain, can join forces to do what is so necessary to cause the greatest number of stories to be submitted to the Biden Commission (see the commissioners' email addresses in ¶55) and promote the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#): form Tea Party-like local and video chapters.
6. Many of such chapters spread across the U.S. can become the composite engine through which committed people advance the common cause of forming a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial [abuse of power](#) exposure; [compensation](#) of abusees; and reform through transformative change –the judiciary that goes into change *only after* full exposure of the nature, extent, and gravity of its abuse will come out transformed into a new system of justice because reforms now inconceivable will be made irresistible by an [informed and outraged](#) national public–.
7. You can be instrumental in forming a chapter constituted of committed Advocates and the members of the entities mentioned in paragraph 1 above.
8. It is true that due to the [conflict of interests](#) described in the article(OL3:1308§D) on the Biden Commission, law deans and professors are compromised and will likely be reluctant to expose judges' abuse of power. By contrast, university students, e.g., those at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools, are still imbued with a sense of justice and the idealistic determination of using the rule of law, 'the Power of the News' and of numbers, and the force of the fourth industrial (the digital) revolution, to make the world a more just and fair place.
9. The presentation can be offered also at other venues reasonably expected to be interested in enabling their members to tell their stories of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
10. By contacting their class president and other officers -e.g., through the dean of students, their school and association website, and social media-, you can offer to make a presentation on:
 - a. the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power for their gain and convenience(OL2:1125¶4); and
 - b. how the students can appeal to the Biden Commission to expose unaccountable abusive judges. By so doing, they would be following the example of the students at Harvard, Yale, and other Ivy League law schools who contacted the Senate and journalists to manifest their opposition to the confirmation of Then-Judge Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court(OL2:773; OL2:971).
11. The presentation will be the appropriate event in which to emphasize how students can set in motion the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) to:
 - a. earn academic credit for a semester-long public interest and/or judiciary [auditing](#) and evaluation course; a course on team journalism; or a degree thesis that applies statistics and fraud and forensic accounting (FFA) to expose the concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering involved in judges' [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) and their misleading mandatory annual financial disclosure reports(OL:102§a), which they file pro forma with

fellow 'revising' judges, who are subject to the same reporting duty so that their interest lies in rubberstamping their approval;

- b. afford people the opportunity to tell their story of judicial abuse;
 - 1) This constitutes a public service given that complaints against federal judges are dead on arrival: They are received, processed, and kept in secrecy after 100% of them are dismissed and 100% of petitions to review such dismissals are denied. In fact, not even the names of the complained-against judges are made public. By abusing the self-disciplining power entrusted to judges by Congress in the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act(28 USC §§351-364), they ensure for themselves 'unequal protection *from* the law' compared to malpractising lawyers and doctors, pedophilic priests, abusive police officers, election-rigging politicians, etc., whose names and the complaints against them are made public when they are sued(OL3:1305§1).
 - 2) Students' public service would also pursue judicial transparency, accountability, and integrity. As a test case that can attract public attention, they can expose the abuse as principal and the cover up of abuse by fellow judges committed by former Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and current attorney general Merrick Garland.
- c. make the national public the audience of the citizens hearings by broadcasting them on the Internet live and making them available on demand by recording them as podcasts;
- d. invite investigative journalists, court reporters (those who cover the courts and judges' decisions), media outlets, and journalism professors and students to form part of the panels that hear people's stories at the citizens hearings, just as lawyers and judges are invited to play the role of moot court judges; produce jointly a report on the hearings; and present it at the first-ever conference on judicial unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, to be held simultaneously at their schools and media outlets and made accessible to the national public through interactive multimedia broadcast
- e. publish as a sequel to that citizens hearings report a joint students/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power(jur:126§3), just as law students publish law reviews and journals, as do so many other student associations in their respective disciplines. Thereby students and journalists can become in effect the supervising entity whose creation by Congress judges have strenuously and consistently opposed: an inspector general for the Federal Judiciary;
- f. promote the creation of the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability and Reform Advocacy, attached to a top university or news network or a consortium of them, just as [The Associated Press](#) "was founded as an independent news cooperative, whose members are U.S. newspapers and broadcasters"; and universities host centers specializing in particular areas of research and investigation for the advancement of public and commercial interests and top secret government projects. Among the Institute's functions are that of a clearinghouse for past and current complaints against judges filed for free by anybody; and a [research](#) center for fee-paying clients to audit judges' and lawyers' writings, transcripts, and news by performing computer-assisted statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis in search of patterns (OL2:792§A), trends(OL2:455§§B, D), and schemes(OL2:614, 929) of abuse of power;
- g. participate in launching a generalized journalistic investigation of judges' abuse, just as scandals force media outlets to jump on the investigative bandwagon on competitive grounds;

- h. enhance the resumes that students will submit to potential employers when applying for summer or permanent jobs with the description of the public service that the unprecedented citizens hearings launched by them have rendered and the personal initiative and commitment to “Equal Justice Under Law” and an informed citizenry that they reveal;

12. I offer to make the presentation if you cause the students to organize it. I can make it via video conference, and if they are willing to pay all my expenses, in person. To ascertain my capacity to present, watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).

B. Approaching university students at the start of the new academic year

13. This is the most opportune time to approach students because the new academic year will begin soon. During the orientation week for first year students, which is likely to begin on August 30, upper class students will hold the fair of the many associations through which they pursue their varied interests. The upper class students will try to persuade their new school mates to join their respective associations and run to become officers of the class. Accordingly, they will highlight what their associations have to offer.

14. A potentially most attractive offering is participation in trend-setting citizens hearings in what is reasonably expected to dominate the national debate in the coming months:

- a. the Biden Commission’s “public meetings”, where [only law professors](#) are heard discussing the theory of constitutional law relating to the Supreme Court, but not members of the public willing to tell their stories of the unaccountability and abusive conduct in practice of justices and lower court judges;
- b. the Commission’s report setting forth its non-binding recommendations for reforming the Supreme Court, written by [commissioners](#) compromised by their conflict of interests; and
- c. what President Biden is expected by everybody to propose doing together with his party regardless of the report, thus revealing the Commission as a political farce: “pack the Court” by increasing the number of justices from 9 to 15 and reducing their term in office from a life-appointment to a term of years.

- 1) Packing the Court can affect the balance of judicial and political power in our country for generations. But it will not even address, let alone reduce, judicial abuse: The new justices will continue relying on their unaccountability to abuse their power risklessly and cover up the abuse of their fellow justices and judges.
- 2) Only the national public, [informed through the citizens hearings about, and outraged at](#), judges’ riskless abuse of power can exert enough pressure as *We the People*, the sovereign source of all political power in a democracy, to force transformative change that recognizes *the People*’s right as Masters of all public servants to hold even their judicial public servants accountable for their exercise of the public power entrusted to them, and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

C. My offer to make a presentation to you and your group

15. I offer to make a presentation to you and your group of guests on how to implement the above proposals. It can take place via video conference and, if in New York City, in person.

16. To schedule it and agree on its terms, use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Appendixes

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support

Judicial Discipline Reform

to

1. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produce a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ‡;**

2. turn the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which has attracted with its articles([Appendix 6](#)) countless webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that 39,270 ([App.3](#)) have become subscribers as of August 10, 2021, from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through computer-assisted statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and schemes of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people' email and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the precursor to the creation as an entity attached to a top university of the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy;
3. organize and embark on a tour of presentations on the above article[‡], to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, and business [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person; to assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
4. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed UNPRECEDENTED [CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where [people](#) will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
5. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in connivance with the [politicians](#) who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
6. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformational change; etc.(¶57).

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

DONATE

by making a deposit into, or a transfer using the Bill Pay feature of your online bank account to,
Citi Bank account 4977 59 2001, routing number 021 000 089;

through Zelle;

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ ;

or

by mailing a check to the address in the above letterhead.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:


http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

- My Site
- Reader
- Dashboard
- Jetpack
- Posts
- Media
- Pages
- Portfolio
- Comments 43
- Feedback
- WooCommerce
- Products
- Analytics
- Marketing
- Appearance
- Plugins 7
- Users**
 - All Users
 - Add New
 - Profile
 - Tools
 - Settings 2
 - Collapse menu


Users [Add New](#)

 Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

 **Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?** [Leave a Review](#)








You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?

No thanks / I already have

All (39,271) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (39,270)**

Bulk actions Change role to...

39,271 items 1 of 1,964

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email ▲	Role	Posts
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1ofthemany	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber	0
<input type="checkbox"/>	 AaaBEvick	—	a21@play.quakeclub.com	Subscriber	0

August 9, 2021

Links to individual files, each containing one of the articles in the three-volume study* † * of judges and their judiciaries:‡

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † *

- * Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
>all prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393
- † Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf
>from page OL2:394-1143
- * Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf
>from OL3:1144

Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome; it may happen that Internet Explorer only downloads a blank page.

Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † * >blue footnote-like references) very easy.

Many of the articles have also been posted to the website of

Judicial Discipline Reform

at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

Visit the website and join its 39,267+ subscribers to its articles thus: homepage <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

1. *>jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_jur1-8.pdf
2. *>jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
3. *>jur:21; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_A_jur21-63.pdf
4. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_B_jur65-80.pdf
5. *>jur:85; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_C_jur85-97.pdf
6. *>jur:97; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_D_jur97-111.pdf
7. *>jur:119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_jur119-130.pdf
8. *>jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Sec_E_5-8_jur130-169.pdf

A. Articles available for review, downloadable as individual files

9. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf

Cf.a.jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

- b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
 - c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
10. *>jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
 11. >jur:72fn144d; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
 12. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
 13. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
 14. *>Lsch 5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
 15. *>Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
 16. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
 17. *>DeLano Case Course; dcc; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf
 18. *>Creative writings, cw; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
 19. *>OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
 20. *>OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
 21. *>OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_iformants.pdf
 22. *>OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
 23. jur:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers
 24. *>OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf

25. *>OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
26. *>OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf
27. *>OL:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
28. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
29. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
30. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
31. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
32. † >OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
 † >OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
33. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
34. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
35. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
36. OL2:760; see OL2:608
37. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
38. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
39. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
40. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
41. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
42. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
43. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
44. *>OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
45. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
46. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>

47. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
48. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>
49. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
50. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
51. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
52. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
53. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
54. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
55. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
56. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
57. <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
58. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
59. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
60. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
61. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
62. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
63. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
64. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
65. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
66. *>OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
67. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
68. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
[sent to LexisNexis]
69. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
70. *>OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
71. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
72. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
73. *>OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf

74. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
75. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
76. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
77. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
78. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
79. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
80. *>OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
81. OL2:1144; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
82. OL2:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
83. *>OL2:1164; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
84. *>OL2:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
85. *>OL2:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
86. *>OL2:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
87. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
88. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
89. *>OL2:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
90. *>OL2:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
91. OL2:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
92. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
93. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
94. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
95. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
96. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
97. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
98. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
99. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
100. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
101. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf

102. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf
103. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_SCT_reform_Commission.pdf
104. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf
105. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_SCT_Commission.pdf
106. OL3:1329; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
107. OL3:1338; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf
108. OL3:1342; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf

B. Subjects of a series of articles based on the study* † * of judges and their judiciaries

109. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
110. statistical analysis for the public(† >OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);
111. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions "on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment", which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
112. to receive 'justice services'(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
113. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us": judges' gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
114. fair criticism of judges who fail to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety"(jur:68^{123a});
115. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
116. law clerks' vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter's glowing letter of recommendation(OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
117. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
118. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
119. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
120. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of 'Me Too! Abusers'(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges' abuse: thinking

and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges' abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;

121. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of their critics' communications(OL2:582§C);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharryl Attkisson's \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) the exposure of such interception can provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);
 - 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
122. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
123. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
124. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
 - a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608 ¶ 5);
 - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to

deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and

- e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. [OL2:571¶24a](#));
125. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points ([OL:274-280; 304-307](#)) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
 126. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of August 9, 2021, had **39,267+** subscribers, into:
 - a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties([OL2:575](#)) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture ([jur:119§§1-4](#)). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions([jur:130§5](#));
 127. a tour of presentations([OL:197§G](#)) by me sponsored by you on:
 - a. judges' abuse([jur:5§3](#); [OL:154 ¶ 3](#));
 - b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting([OL:42, 60](#)); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings([jur:131§b](#)) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
 - c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation([OL:115](#)) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement([jur:164§9](#)) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*([OL:201§J](#));
 - d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest([*>ddc:1](#)), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;
 128. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference([jur:97§1](#); [*>dcc:13§C](#)) on judges' abuses held at a top university([OL2:452](#)) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;
 129. the call of the constitutional convention([OL:136§3](#)) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the Constitution, Article V.

C. Useful external links and quotations

1. U.S. Constitution, Code, and rules (federal, as opposed to state, laws)

130. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: “*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
131. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
132. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml> (with procedural rules in the appendix “a” files)
133. Cf. **Legal Information Institute** (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
134. The Ethics in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
135. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc.pdf>
136. Cf. 18 U.S.C.; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18>
137. **18 USC 3057** on duty to report abuse; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>
138. The Judicial Code; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
139. Federal Rules of Civil and Appellate Procedure and Evidence, USC 28a; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
140. Circuit justices, **28 USC §42**
141. Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#))
142. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, entitled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))
143. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))
144. **Rules for Processing** Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>
145. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of those who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)
146. Ethics in Government Act of 1978; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_Gov_14apr9.pdf

2. U.S. Supreme Court

147. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
148. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
149. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf> of the Chief Justice

3. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal , as opposed to state, courts)

150. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts(AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>

151. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
152. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
153. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
154. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>
155. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>
156. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf

Table 1

Number of federal judicial officers https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9
circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges id.	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

157. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and
158. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice; <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>

Table 2

Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020		
Supreme Court		69
Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	
Federal circuit	1,568	
94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

- 159. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
- 160. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
- 161. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints against federal judges in Table S-22; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
- 162. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>
- 163. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>
- 164. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>
- 165. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#d>

4. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing and Public Access to Case Electronic Records

- 166. <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmefc>
- 167. <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>

5. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

- 168. Federal Judicial Center on impeachments; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

6. United States Postal Service

- 169. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

7. State laws and court statistics

- 170. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf
- 171. National Center for State Courts; www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics
- 172. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
- 173. Conference of State Court Administrators (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
- 174. National Association for Court Management (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>
- 175. National Conference of Appellate Court Clerks (NCACC); www.appellatecourtclerks.org

8. Rules and codes of conduct

- 176. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>
- 177. American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct;

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 App.6:11

https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/

178. American Bar Association Model Code of Judicial Conduct;
https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/
179. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

9. Reports by media that have investigated judges

180. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:
- a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
 - b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
 - c. Part 3, 14juy21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
 - d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
 - e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>
 - f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
181. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>

10. Entities accrediting educational institutions (serving as portals to them)

182. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
183. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/
184. (business schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
185. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

11. Law book publishers

186. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
187. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
188. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drrcordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014O000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNYTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
189. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

12. Other federal entities and people

190. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**”; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>
191. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>
192. Judges’ annual financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
193. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
194. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>

13. Journalists

195. CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
196. *Biden’s court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
197. The Associated Press; <https://www.ap.org/about/> <https://www.ap.org/about/>

14. Other private entities and people

198. American Association of University Professors, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>
199. <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>

End Page

Blank

Blank