

July 10, 2020

**A proposal to extend Reuters’s investigation of state judges,
which found “hardwired judicial corruption”,
to federal judges,
who have institutionalized their abuse of power as their modus operandi,
running the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise,
whose exposure can so outrage a public intolerant of abuse of power
that the public forces the issue into the 2020 campaign,
leading to transformative change
in the administration of justice and the current form of government[‡]**

Reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman,
and editor Blake Morrison
Reuters
and all other reporters, editors, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

Dear Messrs. Morrison, Shiffman, and Berens, reporters, editors, and Advocates,

I read with interest your investigative report “The Teflon Robe”, published by Reuters on June 30, 2020, which “exposes hardwired judicial corruption” whereby “[t]housands of U.S. judges who broke laws or oaths remained on the bench” even though they “have made racist statements, lied to state officials and forced defendants to languish in jail without a lawyer – and then returned to the bench...sometimes with little more than a rebuke from the state agencies overseeing their conduct”.

In fact, your “findings reveal an “excessively” forgiving judicial disciplinary system”. Despite judicial conduct review commissions, “state and local judges have repeatedly escaped public accountability for misdeeds that have victimized thousands...the system tends to err on the side of protecting the rights and reputations of judges while overlooking the impact courtroom wrongdoing has on those most affected by it”.

Commissions may take years to start investigating a judge; have “special rules for judges” because “[m]ost states afford judges accused of misconduct a gentle kind of justice” while other “rules can leave lawyers and litigants fearing retaliation” so that they “intimidate anyone with a legitimate complaint”; drop a complaint after having “raised questions about whether proper procedures had been followed”; and allow judges to ‘return to the bench virtually unscathed’, while ‘victims of judicial misconduct are left uncompensated’, “really losing sight of what a justice system should be all about”.

No charge sticks to judges. Their Teflon robes cloaks them in impunity...while the public is exposed to misconduct by the complained-against judge and all the other members of the judicial system. Judges are unaccountable. The public is at their mercy and their victims are uncompensated. And state judges are not the only ones to wear Teflon robes.

A. Proposal for a joint investigation of federal judges and its justification

1. This is a proposal for a joint investigation extending yours of state judges to federal judges, the only ones with national jurisdiction so that their decisions and orders are apt to affect and even harm everybody in our country.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:394 OL2:1125

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf

2. The target of the investigation is not misconduct that can be explained away as limited to individual rogue judges, whose removal and punishment would suffice to deal with the problem.
3. Rather, its target is forms of abuse of power so pervasive and necessarily coordinated among federal judges as to constitute their modus operandi: abuse that has been institutionalized in the Federal Judiciary. Their motive is grabbing illegal gain and convenience. Given that their abuse is so organized and influences all aspects of their activity, federal judges run the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.⁷
 - a. In fact, no lesser a politician than Senator Elizabeth Warren dare denounce in her “I have a plan for the Judiciary too” the systematic failure of federal judges to recuse themselves from cases in which they hold shares in the company of one of the parties before them and resolving the ensuing conflict of interests by favoring that party so as to maintain or increase the value of their shares. Sen. Warren has identified the circumstance enabling federal judges to commit such abuse to be their unaccountability. She has named it abusive self-enrichment.
 - b. Federal judges’ self-enrichment by abusing their power necessarily includes the crimes of:
 - 1) concealment of assets
 - 2) tax evasion
 - 3) money laundering
 - 4) fraud on the parties through intentional frustration of judicial process predicated on fairness and impartiality
 - 5) breach of contract for judicial services entered into with no intention to perform it and thus, in bad faith
 - 6) breach of their oath and of public trust causing injury in fact.
 - c. Federal judges have the means of committing those crimes:
 - 1) The Federal Judiciary has a nationwide computer network run by expert personnel. It maintains a database that stores hundreds of millions of briefs, records, motions, applications, letters, decisions, orders, etc., and carries out electronic filings, retrievals, docket entries, daily schedule updating, database searches, etc.
 - 2) It has leverage over the intelligence agencies, which run more extensive and sophisticated networks and whose secret requests for secret orders authorizing secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act must be approved by its judges.
 - d. Would you and the rest of the public trust federal judges to care about administering to you “Equal Justice Under Law” although they have no qualms about breaking the law to ensure their abusive self-enrichment?
4. The exposure of institutionalized abuse of power in the Federal Judiciary that has turned it into a racketeering enterprise will have a much substantial financial and journalistic impact. “Scandal sells”, and it can sell for years. Thus, it can render the investigation more cost-effective. Also, it can lead to a permanent increase in a media outlet’s audience and reputation.
5. Moreover, the exposure can provoke such public outrage as to insert the issue of judges’ abuse into the presidential campaign. By journalism having such impact, it can set in motion transformative change in both the administration of justice and the rest of government, as discussed below.

B. Proposal for publishing one or a series of my articles

6. An investigation takes time and Election Day is fast approaching. The nominating conventions offer a national digital or physical venue to force some politicians to address in their own defense the issue of judges' abuse of power or to enable others to address it voluntarily to position themselves as leaders of those who demand that such abuse be detected, punished, and prevented and that the victims be compensated.
7. Therefore, since time is of the essence, I propose that you publish upon payment to me one or a series of my articles exposing institutionalized abuse of power in the Federal Judiciary. They are already written and available through the links hereunder for you to review.
8. The foundation of the proposed articles as well as of the proposed joint investigation is my two-volume professional study* † of judges and their judiciaries. Its originality lies on its pioneering analysis of the courts' official statistics and reports annually submitted by law to Congress, whose politicians have a vested interest in disregarding them, the detriment to the public notwithstanding. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

9. Those statistics show that the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.Code §§351-364, (the Act) entrusts federal judges with the exclusive authority to self-discipline. This means that any complaint against a federal judge must be filed, not with any alleged 'independent' judicial conduct review commission, but rather with the respective chief circuit judge, whose decision is reviewable only by his or her peers and colleagues in the circuit's judicial council. All of them have abused their authority year after year by dismissing 100% of complaints and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. They have turned their robes of Teflon into the wings of birds of prey to fly high above their bench and perch themselves as Judges Above the Law well above the people in the courtroom and everywhere outside it(*>jur:10-14; †>OL2:548, 748); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
10. Other forms of judges' abuse of power provide the subject for proposed articles, hereunder reduced to blurbs(more details at †>OL2:1097§G; and with articles downloadable through their respective links); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
 - a. Senator Elizabeth Warren's denunciation of judges' abusive self-enrichment(†>OL2: 1003); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
 - b. The plan for the investigation of federal judges, which contains many leads for a focused and cost-effective investigation(*>jur:194§E); http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
 - 1) Cf. program of activities(†>OL2:978§E) for exposing judges' abuse by the proposed multidisciplinary team(*>jur:128§4).
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf
 - c. Judges' bankruptcy fraud scheme(*>jur:9; †>OL2:614); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
 - d. How Covid has ushered in a new legal market(†>OL2:1066); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf
 - e. How Covid-caused bankruptcies will allow judges to take advantage of, and aggravate

people's financial and emotional distress([†]>OL2:984)

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf

- f. "The math of abuse" demonstrates judges' failure to read most briefs, each of which costs a party \$Ks and even \$10Ks to produce and becomes a compensable waste([†]>OL2:760)
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
- g. The sham hearings in the Federal Judiciary and Congress on judicial accountability, involving judges/politicians' connivance and their fraud on witnesses and the public ([†]>OL2:1056); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf
- h. The Chief Justice's presiding over Trump's removal trial with disregard for "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice" can on grounds of equal protection of the law and privileged communications be invoked by anybody as precedent for refusing discovery ([†]>OL2:1040); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
- i. The abuse of power committed or tolerated by Supreme Court justices provides the grounds for petitioning President Trump to authorize the release of the FBI vetting reports on them ([†]>OL2:1095§D). The petition will open avenues of investigation, not to mention any report released; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
- j. Judges' interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics This is the form of abuse likely to outrage *the People* the most because it affects directly the largest number of persons and deprives them of their most cherished rights, to wit, those guaranteed by the 1st Amendment of "freedom of speech, the press, and to assemble [on the Internet or by letter] to petition the government [of which judges constitute the 3rd branch] for a redress of grievances"(OL2:1081, 781); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
- k. Other proposed articles are listed at [†]>OL2:719. Cf. program of activities([†]>OL2:978§E) for exposing judges' abuse by the proposed multidisciplinary team(^{*}>jur:128§4).
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf

11. I have posted some of my articles to my Judicial Discipline Reform website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have elicited such a positive reaction in its many visitors that as of this writing 32,574 and counting have become subscribers([†]>OL2:Appendix 3). That website can be enhanced to attract a much larger segment of the public as proposed in my business plan([†]>OL2:1022), which is guided by the principle, "Making money while doing justice".
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf

C. Proposal for the media and academia to hold unprecedented citizen hearings on judges' abuse of power

12. I also propose the holding of unprecedented citizen hearings([†]>OL2:1053§E; 1078§F). They are to be: http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizen_hearings-Professors.pdf
- a. conducted jointly by journalists, professors, and other experts rather than the politicians who have connivingly elevated their judicial candidates to judgeships and justiceships and since then protect 'our men and women on the bench' despite the harm that they cause parties, the rest of the public, and the integrity of judicial process;
 - b. held at universities and media outlets;

- c. intended for people to testify to the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed;
- d. broadcast nationwide through interactive multimedia so that witnesses and the public can participate inexpensively and in the largest number wherever they are; and
- e. summited at the first-ever and nationwide conference on judges' abuse of power, where the findings will be presented. The conference can lead to events that bring about transformative change([†]>OL2:1069§E) in the administration of justice and the rest of government here and abroad; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf:
 - 1) the grassroots formation of a constitutional convention, such as the one called by 34 states since April 2, 2014, thus satisfying Article V of the Constitution, but that the leaders in Congress have no intention of ever convening, lest they lose their position of power and privilege. In fact, the convention can run away from the given agenda as did the one called in 1787 only to amend the Articles of Confederation, but which ended up tearing them up and drafting the current Constitution of 1789. The convention can end up reasserting *the People's* status as the sovereign source of all political power and the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, whom *the People* are entitled to hold accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse(*>jur:158:§§6-8);
 - 2) the formation of local chapters -similar to those that eventually coalesced into the national Tea Party, which in less than 10 years came to be unified enough to dominate American presidential politics- where parties will collectively demand from courts and their judges the refund of court filing fees for services not rendered and compensation for wasteful briefs required but not read, and other abuse inflicted on them; ([†]>OL2:1074§C). http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
 - 3) a *MeToo!*-like worldwide movement can be launched that terminates the millennial impossible of holding judges accountable by eliminating their immunity as representatives of their appointers, “the king[, who] can do no wrong”, and subjecting them to the current reality that all other officers and everybody else are accountable for their acts and liable to compensation for even the accidents that they cause unintentionally, never mind their abuse, as are police and their departments, doctors and their hospitals, lawyers and their law firms, priests and their churches, journalists and their media outlets, pharmaceutical officers and their companies, etc.

D. Inserting the issue in the 2020 campaign and sparking transformative change

- 13. The objective of the proposal is to inform the national public of abuse of power by federal judges due to their unaccountability and so to outrage the public as to stir it up to demand of every politician, whether incumbent or running for election, to take a stand on the issue at every digital or physical rally, townhall meeting, interview, and press conference.
- 14. An outraged *People* can insert that issue in the 2020 campaign as a decisive one and thereby set in motion transformative change: what emerges from the change is substantially different from what was initially subjected to it.
 - a. Until the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' abuse has not been fully exposed, no discussion of what to change and into what to change it is opportune. The outrage provoked by the exposure will turn change inconceivable today into necessary and unavoidable

measures to ensure transparency, accountability, and liability.

15. The objective of inserting the judicial abuse issue into the campaign has reliable precedents:
 - a. The publication by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, of their exposés of Harvey Weinstein’s sexual abuse gave rise in a matter of days to the emergence worldwide of the *MeToo!* movement.
 - b. The news about the killing of George Floyd by white police officers provoked that very night protest demonstrations nationwide. Since then an outraged public has forced politicians at the municipal, state, and federal levels to take a stand on police brutality and even debate the adoption of the federal Justice in Policing bill and its state counterparts.
 - c. *The People*, outraged at lack of progress in racial equality, are now taking down the statues representing racial abusers.
 - d. *The People* will be all the more outraged upon being informed that even if a Justice in Policing bill were enacted it would be nothing but a sprinkle of black ink on white paper until it was enforced in accordance with its letter and spirit by the police, the prosecutors, and the judges. However, these are interdependent actors that need each other for their electoral survival, physical protection, and judicial exoneration, such as that enjoyed in effect by General Flynn, Michael Cohen, and Paul Manafort.
16. These precedents show that the present time is the most opportune to expose federal judges’ abuse of power: There is no better time to publish than when the audience is expecting avidly one’s publications. The public mood is receptive to the exposure of abusers. *The People* are shouting self-assertively the rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won’t take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
17. Nobody has more power to abuse than judges, for they are the ones who issue the ultimate orders disposing of our property, our liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame our lives and shape our identities. Among them, federal judges have the most ordering and staying power:
 - a. Federal judges are the only officers in the country to have a life-appointment. Historically, it has ensured them of irremovability in practice regardless of their abuse>(*>jur>21§a).
 - b. The Constitution, Article III, Section 1, forbids the diminution of their salary while in office.
 - c. Their power of retaliation is devastating: While in theory Congress could take back the self-disciplining authority that it granted federal judges and replace it with a mechanism that effectively held them accountable, it would never dare do so:
 - 1) A single district judge suspended *nationwide* President Trump’s Muslim travel ban, and a three-judge appellate panel upheld that suspension *nationwide*, although two panel members would have sufficed to achieve the same result.
 - 2) Federal judges can hold any law passed by Congress –and even any state legislature– unconstitutional. One at a time, judges can void each of the legislative pieces of the political agenda of a party who allows any of its members to do what is anathema to judges: “*Don’t you ever try to hold us accountable!...If you ever want orders to force your political opponents to produce documents or appear to testify, don’t even think of coming to us! They are already denied! denied! denied!*”
 - d. The corollary is that federal judges wield power of self-immunization: In fact, the Supreme Court has held, “A judge will not be deprived of immunity because the action he took was in error, was done maliciously, or was in excess of his authority”(*>jur:26§d). They can hold

unconstitutional any act of Congress that tries to curb their power. By so immunizing themselves against the authority of Congress to apply checks and balances on judges a constitutional crisis could be set off, whose outcome is uncertain.

- 1) Only one entity has stronger power than federal judges: an informed and outraged *We the People*, especially when voting at a presidential election and all the more so if assembled in a constitutional convention...unless the Federal Judiciary loses the board of the racketeering enterprise: the justices of the Supreme Court.

E. Beginning at the top: exposing the justices' commission and cover-up of abuse

18. The joint investigation(OL:194§E), publication of my articles(supra ¶10), and the citizen hearings (¶12) will enable initially one courageous and nimble media outlet, e.g., Reuters, and subsequently ever more outlets to do what not even Congress dare consider: Expose the abuse of power that the Supreme Court justices have committed since they were in the lower courts and continue to commit as justices and to cover up reciprocally as peers and as circuit justices allotted to the several circuits under 28 U.S.C. §42. Here applies the aphorism: "The cover-up is worse than the original crime". http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
 - a. Those who 'only' have kept silent have become accessories after the abuse committed by the principal and which they knew or could have known about but for their indifference and willful ignorance or blindness(*>jur:90§§b, c), for they had a legal and ethical duty to report it -18 U.S.C. §3057; Code of Conduct for judges, Canon 3B(6)-.
 - b. Likewise, they have become accessories before the abuse that the same principal or others will be encouraged to commit by the implicit or explicit assurance that they will not be reported by the co-conspirator by silence.
 - c. In either case, the silent judges contributed to the principal going unpunished while keeping the benefit of the abuse; the victim going uncompensated while suffering more abuse or its consequences; and the system of justice deteriorating through the erosion of public trust because "unchecked abuse festers".
19. There are precedents supporting the expectation that an informed and outraged public can make holding on to office untenable, forcing resignations:
 - a. Public outrage at the conduct of Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas forced him first to withdraw his name as a nominee to the chief justiceship; and because the outrage would not subside, he had no choice but to resign from the Court on May 14,1969 (*>jur:92§d).
 - b. After the *MeToo!* movement erupted overnight and Chief Justice Roberts had received almost 700 letters from current and former law clerks informing him of the abuse that they had suffered or witnessed in their respective court, the Chief Justice was forced to take action by referring for investigation by the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit a judge who had been known for decades to be an abuser: Former 9th Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski. He chose to resign on December 18, 2017(OL2:645§A) rather than be investigated.
 - c. One report of abuse of power, namely, that filed by the White House officer who blew the whistle on President Trump's Ukrainian quid pro quo affair provoked public outrage that led the House of Representatives to impeach him and the Senate to hold a destitution trial.
 - d. *The Washington Post* was the first to report on the break-in on June 17, 1972, at the National Democratic Convention located in the Watergate building complex in Washington, DC.

Initially, it was derided as “a garden variety burglary by five plumbers”. But reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein, supported by editor Benjamin Bradlee and publisher Katharine Graham, kept digging in. Their findings pointed to political espionage and a cover up plotted and executed by top Republican officers working for the reelection of President Nixon. Soon every other media outlet was jumping on their investigative bandwagon. Then the unthinkable happened: For their participation in those crimes, P. Nixon resigned on August 8, 1974, and *all* his White House aides were convicted and sentenced to jail. (*>jur:4 ¶¶10-14); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf

- 1) A question asked consistently of every witness by Senator Howard Baker, co-chair of the Senate Watergate Committee, became a guiding and productive investigative tool and can be rephrased here thus: ‘What did the justices know about justices’ and judges’ abuse of power and when did they know it’?
 - e. The Catholic Church is an institution rooted in society and protected by the First Amendment state-church separation clause. Yet, due to its institutionalized condonation of abuse by pedophilic priests has had to pay its victims well over \$2.2 billion in compensation. Outraged victims that had been left uncompensated by the running of the statute of limitations forced legislators in at least 15 states to enact lookback laws that allow the filing of sexual abuse claims stretching back decades. Some 5,000 new cases could force the Church to compensate the victims by paying them more than \$4 billion. The Church runs the risk of going bankrupt.
20. A national public already outraged by abuse of power in the police can become so exacerbated by information about institutionalized abuse of power in the Federal Judiciary as to force the resignation of justices and even the Supreme Court as a whole. The Federal Judiciary, exposed as a racketeering enterprise run by a board of complicit abusers, can go morally bankrupt and face crushing financial claims. The justices may find it unavailing to attempt to brush away the outrage by simply invoking the doctrine of judicial immunity that they have self-servingly conjured up(*>OL:158). http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
 21. A whole branch operated by judges abusing their personal and institutional unaccountability can be found so inherently defective as to warrant its dissolution. The form of government of which it is part can likewise be so defective as to need its replacement by a new form yet to be devised by *We the People*, the ones entitled to do so in “government of, by, and for the people”(*>jur:82¹⁷²).
 22. Informed of, and outraged at, the abuse heaped on them, *the People* can bring about transformative change. They can ensure that justice is administered and government is operated by public servants who remain accountable and liable to them. They will be grateful to the journalists and the others who were instrumental in such transformation, honoring them with, among other things(*>OL:3§F), a Pulitzer prize, a bestseller, a blockbuster movie, the study of their feat at every journalism school, and the most valuable and lasting reward: historic recognition as Champions of Justice... not only of *the People* of this country, but of every country in the world(*supra* ¶12e) where they can spark a civic movement for judges’ abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.

F. My offer to present this proposal to you and your colleagues and publisher

23. I offer to make a presentation on this proposal via video conference or in person. To decide whether to accept, see http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4. and http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf(†>OL2:958). To arrange for it, please contact me using the information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!(†>OL2:1125)†...and you may enter it.

**G. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Judicial Discipline Reform
conducts law research and writing, and strategic thinking,
which have produced
articles like this one and the study* † of judges and their judiciaries

Visit its website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

and join its 32,587+ subscribers to its articles thus:

go to the site, <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

24. That website offers content for free and has proven its appeal to the public here and abroad. It can be further developed by a larger company or venture capitalists investing in it to provide advanced services for a fee and sell goods to visitors and all the more so to subscribers. As provided in the business plan(†>OL2:1022), such development can turn the website into:

- a. **a clearinghouse** for complaints(†>OL2:918) about judges by victims of, and statements of witnesses to, their abuse. Today they are held by judges secretly. However, they can be uploaded for free by the victims and the witness exercising their 1st Amendment right to freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [by email and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including the payment of compensation]”(OL2:792¶1);
- b. **a research center** that upon payment of a one-time or subscription fees enables users to audit(*>OL:274-280, 304-307) many complaints in search of(*>jur:131§b, *>OL:255) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns(†>OL2:792§A), trends(OL2:455§§B, D), and schemes(OL2:614, 929) of abuse of power, including judges’ annual mandatory filing of financial disclosure reports(*>jur:102§a and ^{213b}), which are misleading;
- c. **an investigative center** that coordinates and conducts here and abroad research on judges’ abuse of power, e.g., containing an abundance of leads(*>OL:194§E); organizes unprecedented citizen hearings(†>OL2:1113§E); and carries on a tour(OL:197§G) to disseminate findings and form a civic apolitical movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative change that is international and based realistically on the reliable precedents of the Tea Party, the *MeToo!* movement, and the worldwide eruption against police brutality and unaccountability(OL2:1108).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate

through *Paypal*,

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ;

or at the *GoFundMe* campaign at

<https://www.gofundme.com/expose-unaccountable-judges-abuse>

Dare trigger history!(†>OL2:1125)‡...and you may enter it.

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf

©2020 Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. All rights reserved.

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1133

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

Dr%C3%9Cordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume I

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Volume II

**Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing
Pioneering
the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting**

A study of coordinated wrongdoing as judges' institutionalized modus operandi and its out-of-court exposure through a multidisciplinary academic and business venture based on strategic thinking centered on dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

or

<http://1drv.ms/1kvhB8>

or

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/jur/DrRCordero_jud_unaccountability_reporting.pdf

or

<https://independent.academia.edu/DrRichardCorderoEsq>


Users [Add New](#)

SSL activated!

Take the time to review these things

- Don't forget to change your settings in Google Analytics and Webmaster tools. [More info](#)
- Improve your security [with security headers](#)

[Really Simple SSL Pro](#)









Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate your questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)

All (32,578) | Administrator (1) | **Subscriber (32,577)**

[Bulk Actions](#) [Apply](#) [Change role to...](#) [Change](#) 32,578 items

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1970mdegcf	—	einarcruckshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1Barrettcuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1ofthemany	—	terree@comcast.net	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 365betv31h	—	yana.negrebetskaya.95@mail.ru	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 3aplust63von	—	sam@3aplust63.ru	Subscriber
<input type="checkbox"/>	 791064087357326	—	daniellacarroll@mailcatch.com	Subscriber