

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

as of April 15, 2016

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting

1. This study analyses official statistics, reports, and statements of the Federal Judiciary showing that its judges are unaccountable and their operation is pervaded by secrecy; consequently, they risklessly do wrong in self-interest and to people's detriment, which calls for reform.
2. In the last 225 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, only 8 of its judges have been removed from the bench¹⁴. They hold all their adjudicative, policy-making, administrative, and disciplinary meetings behind closed doors and never appear before a press conference(Lsch:2§A). They act with impunity. The evidence reveals their motive, means, and opportunity(jur:21§§1-3) to engage in financial and non-financial wrongdoing(jur:5§3) by abusing power to deny due process, disregard the law, and decide by reasonless summary orders⁶⁶. They have hatched a system of wrongdoing so routine, widespread, and coordinated(88§a-c) among themselves²¹³ and between them and insiders¹⁶⁹, e.g., running a bankruptcy fraud scheme(65§§1-3), as to have turned wrongdoing into their Judiciary's institutionalized modus operandi(49§4).
3. The presentation(97§1) of this evidence and of the findings of its further investigation (100§§3-4) can outrage(83§§2-3) the national public and set off a Watergate-like(49¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation(ol:55). Its findings can cause the public to demand official investigations of the judges and the top politicians(77§§5-6) conniving with them. The official investigators, exercising their subpoena, search & seizure, contempt, and penal powers and holding public hearings, will be able to make even more outrageous findings. A more deeply outraged public will force politicians to undertake reform that will treat judges as what they are: public servants hired to perform a service and accountable for their performance to their masters, *We the People*.
4. Public support for the investigation of the Federal Judiciary will embolden journalists and officials to investigate state judiciaries and hold their judges accountable. Public demand for judicial reform(158§§6-7) can include the establishment of citizen boards of judicial accountability and discipline(160§8). Such boards can constitute the first mechanism through which the people conduct 'reverse surveillance'(Lsch:2) on their government. The ensuing new *People-government* relation can foster the formation of a Tea Party-like national civic movement(164§9) that turns government effectively ever more of, by, and for the people: the *People's* Sunrise.
5. Journalists, politicians, and advocates of honest judiciaries thinking strategically by applying dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests(Lsch:14§§2-3) can be rewarded by disseminating and further investigating the evidence presented here. They can:
 - a) cause one or more justices to resign, as they did J. Fortas in 1969(92§d), and win a Pulitzer Prize;
 - b) run on a winning platform that promises to hold all public servants accountable; and
 - c) be recognized as *the People's* Champions of Justice who brought down Judges Above the Law.
6. Dr. Cordero offers(Lsch:1; ol:54) to present(Lsch:9) the evidence of judges' wrongdoing and show how you and your colleagues can join his professional team(ol:119) to further investigate(ol:115) it; and how to develop the novel news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting through a multidisciplinary(jur:131§b) academic(128§4) and business(119§§1-3) venture. The latter can begin with two unique stories(ol:55) involving top officers(63), an investigative plan(66), and the potential to dominate the mid-term election campaign(70) and beyond.

Dare trigger history!(jur:7§5)...and you may enter it!

ABSTRACT OF THE STUDY

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting

1. This study* analyzes official statistics of the Federal Judiciary, legal provisions, and other publicly filed documents. It discusses how federal judges' life-appointment; de facto unimpeachability and irremovability; self-immunization from discipline through abuse of the Judiciary's statutory self-policing authority; abuse of its vast Information Technology resources to interfere with their complainants' communications; the secrecy in which they cover their adjudicative, administrative, disciplinary, and policy-making acts; and third parties' fear of their individual and close rank retaliation render judges unaccountable. Their unaccountability makes their abuse of power riskless; the enormous amount of the most insidious corruptor over which they rule, *money!*, as well as other social and professional benefits make doing wrong to grab them tempting; and millions of in practice unreviewable cases make the temptation ever-present. These are the means, motive, and opportunity for judges to do wrong and for their wrongdoing to be inevitable.
2. Judges do wrong in such regular, widespread, and coordinated fashion as to have turned wrongdoing into their institutionalized modus operandi and the Judiciary into the safe haven for judicial wrongdoers. Their abuse of power entrusted to them by *We the People* is a betrayal of trust. Engaging in it and giving priority to covering it up to protect themselves and their peers injure in fact people's rights, property, liberty, and life; and deprive *the People* of their fundamental human, civil, and due process right of access to fair and impartial courts. Exposing the existence, scope, and gravity of their wrongdoing to the national public will cause such outrage as to enable the media and voters to force legislated, rather than voluntary, judicial reform, lest politicians be voted out of, or not into, office; this is realistic, as the Tea Party precedent shows.
3. The exposure is started by the study, whose publication will pioneer the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting. It can be continued at a presentation by the author held at a law school attended by its members and those of business, journalism, and IT schools, civil rights advocates, and the media. The evidence of judges' wrongdoing will introduce the call for 'reverse surveillance' over them by *We the People*, as opposed to the mass surveillance over *the People* by the NSA with judges' rubberstamping approval revealed by Edward Snowden. The presentation can give rise to the formation of a multidisciplinary team of students, professors, journalists, and civil rights advocates to conduct reverse surveillance through a *Follow the money!* and *IT Follow the wire!* investigation. The team can organize the first of a series of multimedia conferences to report to the national public its findings and expose judges' pattern of disregard of the law. It will announce the formation of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture to promote **1.** the establishment of local chapters to surveil, report, and advocate reform **a)** based on transparency, accountability, discipline, and judges' and the Judiciary's liability to their victims, and **b)** implemented with the aid of citizen boards; **2.** the creation of a for-profit institute to conduct IT research, educate, publish, etc.; and **3.** the submission of articles on judges' abuse of power and secrecy for publication in a volume that can lead to a periodical.
4. Such reform will be of historic proportions although it will only implement foundational principles of our republic: *We the People* are the only source of sovereign power, who entrust a portion of it to each public servant and to whom each is accountable, for none is beyond our control or above the law. The reform can begin in the Federal Judiciary and extend to Congress, the Executive Branch, the states, and the rest of the world. A new *We the People*-government paradigm can emerge: *the People's Sunrise*. Those who are instrumental in its emergence can become recognized here and abroad as *the People's Champions of Justice*. *Dare trigger history!*

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Section A(jur:21) discusses the means, motive, and opportunity enabling federal judges to do wrong. They wield their decision-making power with no constraints by abusing their self-disciplining authority to systematically dismiss 99.82% of the complaints filed against them. This allows them to pursue the corruptive motive of money: In CY10 they ruled on \$373 billion at stake in personal bankruptcies alone. While all bankruptcy cases constitute 80% of the cases filed every year, only .23% are reviewed by district courts and fewer than .08% by circuit courts. Such de facto unreviewability affords judges the opportunity to engage in wrongdoing, for it is riskless and all the more beneficial in professional, social, and financial terms. Yet Congress and journalists abstain from investigating their wrongdoing for fear of making enemies of life-tenured judges. Hence, federal judges enjoy unaccountability. It has rendered their wrongdoing irresistible. They engage in it so routinely and in such coordinated fashion among themselves and with others as to have turned it into the Federal Judiciary's institutionalized modus operandi.

Section B(jur:65) describes *DeLano*, a case that can expose one of the gravest and most pervasive forms of wrongdoing: a judge-run bankruptcy fraud scheme. The *DeLano* bankruptcy judge was appointed and removable by his circuit judges. The appeal was presided over by Then-Circuit Judge Sotomayor. She and her peers protected their appointee by approving his unlawful denial of, and denying in turn, *every single document* requested by the creditor from the debtor, a 39-year veteran bankruptcy officer, an insider who knew too much not to be allowed to avoid accounting for over \$²/₃ of a million. The case is so egregious that she withheld it from the Senate Committee reviewing her justiceship nomination. Now a justice, she must keep covering up the scheme and all her and her peers' wrongdoing, just as she must cover for the other justices and they for her.

Section C(jur:81) explains how judges cover up their wrongdoing through knowing indifference and willful ignorance and blindness; and how their standard "avoid even the appearance of impropriety" can support a strategy: *DeLano* exposed, an outraged public will cause a justice to resign, as it did J. Fortas, and the authorities to investigate judges and undertake judicial reform.

Section D(jur:97) deals with exposing judges' unaccountability and wrongdoing through the use of *DeLano* at a multimedia presentation targeted on opinion multipliers, broadcast to the public, and intended to launch a Watergate-like generalized media investigation of wrongdoing in the Judiciary guided by the query, "What did the President and judges know about Then-Judge Sotomayor's concealment of assets and other judges' wrongdoing, and when did they know it?" and aimed at demanding that the President release the FBI vetting report on her. The presentation will be an Emile Zola *I accuse!*-like denunciation to pioneer judicial unaccountability reporting.

Section D4(jur:102) proposes a *Follow the money and the wire!* investigation of the *DeLano*-J. Sotomayor story. It implements the strategy of judicial unaccountability and wrongdoing exposure, not in court before reciprocally protecting judges, but journalistically. It can be cost-effective thanks to the leads extracted from over 5,000 pages of the record of *DeLano*, which went from bankruptcy court to the Supreme Court. It can be confined to, or expanded beyond, the Internet, D.C., NY City, Rochester, and Albany; and search for Deep Throats in the Judiciary.

Section E(jur:119) Proposes a multidisciplinary academic and business venture to promote judicial unaccountability reporting and reform. From informing the public and assisting victims of judicial abuse tell their stories, it should lead to the creation of an institute to conduct IT research; train reformers; advocate a legislative agenda; call for citizen boards of judicial accountability and an IG for the Judiciary; and become a champion of Equal Justice Under Law.

Section F(jur:171) Offers to present at law, journalism, business, and IT schools, media outlets, and civil rights entities the evidence of judges' unaccountability and wrongdoing; call for the formation of a multidisciplinary team of professionals to conduct further investigation and develop the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting; and *dare trigger history!*

July 9, 2020, as of 21 October

Reuters, a major news organization, investigated state judges, found “hardwired judicial corruption”, and asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges

To write a story of outrageous abuse that may be further investigated and reported, apply the two-phase method for writing it provided below.

Ask that Reuters together with universities and other media outlets, such as Boston Globe and LexisNexis, hold **unprecedented citizens hearings** via video conferences and interactive multimedia where you too may have the opportunity to tell the national public your story of abuse by judges and cause the public to feel in its bones the total impotence and vulnerability of your piercing cry: "The judges had all the power...and I was nothing!" ‡

Abstract

Reuters is a major U.S. news organization with some 2,500 journalists and some 600 photojournalists. In “The Teflon Robe” report, whose [first of three parts](#) was published on June 30, 2020, it reported “hardwired judicial corruption”: corruption that is an integral element of state judiciaries and that intertwines their judges and the conniving entities duty-bound to supervise them but in practice covering up their abuse of power by not investigating, let alone punishing, them, not even disclosing the names of complained-about judges. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges. That is what victims of, and witnesses to, judges’ abuse of power have always wanted: to tell journalists their stories.

Boston Globe published on September 30, 2018, its [investigative report](#) “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.

[LexisNexis](#) is Reuters’s main competitor in computer-assisted law research. It and other publishers, such as *The Washington Post* and *Above the Law*, may be presumed not to want to cede to either Reuters or Boston Globe the “[pioneering of the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)”.

In this article, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., provides his creative/editing two-phase method for you to write your story for these media outlets: ask yourself the journalists’ W-questions to answer with informational ‘dots’; ask ‘Then what?’ of the ‘dots’; and connect them into a story that consists of the relevant and verifiable facts most capable of outraging the reader and the rest of the national public at judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

Dr. Cordero proposes that these and all other media outlets jointly investigate federal judges, who are life-tenured, in practice irremovable regardless of what they do, and the models for their state counterparts. They can publish one or a series of articles on judges and their judiciaries that Dr. Cordero has written and made downloadable for them to review. They together with universities can hold unprecedented citizens hearings. Conducted via video conferences before a national public with access to them through interactive multimedia, the hearings will enable people to testify to the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.

Thanks to your stories, the investigation, the articles, and the citizens hearings, the issue of judges’ abuse of power can be inserted into the 2020 campaign and the confirmation of a Supreme Court nominee, which will focus the attention of the national public on all things judicial. These sources of information will make it possible to inform that public about, and outrage it at, how

federal judges risklessly grab gains and convenience by coordinating their individual and collective abuse as their modus operandi to run the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.

Until and on Election Day and thereafter, an informed and outraged public can hold accountable the politicians who recommended, endorsed, nominated, and confirmed judicial candidates and since then connivingly protect them as '*our* men and women on the bench' regardless of the harm that they inflict upon parties in court and the rest of the public nationwide.

That is how transformative change in the judicial and legal system can be set in motion by you, the media, and universities. It can lead to a new form of "government of, by, and for" *We the People* in the U. S. and the rest of the World. In that government, *the People* will for the first time in history assert their status as the sovereign source of all political power, entitled and empowered as masters of all *their* public servants, including *their* judicial public servants, to hold them accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

Table of Contents

- [¶¶1-3] Introduction
- A. [¶¶4-6] The failure to read dooms pro ses to being disregarded and abused
- B. [¶¶7-8] On being yet another lawyer or a historic Champion of Justice
- C. [¶¶9-11] References to the paragraphs¶¶ that address readers' frequent concerns
- D. [¶¶12-13] Reuters has been "inundated" with stories; make yours light to float to the top story
- E. [¶¶14-17] What you and all Advocates stand to gain by reading on and writing your
- F. [¶¶18-22] Free aids to researching and writing your story
- G. [¶¶23-65] Instructions for writing your story of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power
 - 1. [¶¶25-31] Length of your story for Reuters: 500-words
 - 2. [¶¶32-36] The risk of opening an attachment and how to deal with its content
 - 3. [¶¶37-40] Subject, addressees, email addresses, and introductory paragraphs
 - 4. [¶¶41-50] The contents of your story: kind and quality of its information
 - 5. [¶¶51-56] The two-phase method for writing your story of up to 500 words
 - 6. [¶57] Title and subtitle of a story of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power
 - 7. [¶¶58-64] Additional information in links embedded in text and as endnotes
 - 8. [¶¶65-67] Sign and date your story
- H. [¶¶68-71] Advocates' reciprocal revision of their stories, checklist, and chapter
- I. [¶¶72-77] Proposals and their benefits to media outlets
- J. [¶¶78-80] Unique opportunity arising from the concurrence of circumstances
- K. [¶¶81-86] Offer of a presentation that can lead to forming a national movement
- L. [¶87] Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Introduction

1. For decades, parties to lawsuits, whether represented by lawyers or without legal representation, i.e., pro ses, and other people have complained that journalists do not pay attention to their stories of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed. But presently you and each of them have the opportunity to provide your respective story to a major news organization: Reuters. Last June 30, it published the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe” on its massive investigation of state judges. It found “hardwired judicial corruption”. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges.
2. You endured judges’ abuse and fought back alone. That was a losing battle. Now you can fight back with Reuters on your side. That can be a winning battle. Endure the effort to read on, learn how to write your story, and send it to Reuters.
3. If you find it unreasonable to be asked to read an article written by a lawyer to help victims of, and witnesses to, judges, who are unaccountable and risklessly abuse their power, you did not suffer or see any abuse; the abuse was less painful than having to read; or you do not want to be compensated. Or maybe it is that you do not care that when you have to go to court, you will not be administered Equal Justice Under Law, but rather will be abused by “hardwired judicial corruption”.

*Seize this opportunity to tell your story to Reuters and
through it perhaps to the rest of the world!*

A. The failure to read dooms pro ses to being disregarded and abused

4. The questions that some pro ses have asked, the concerns that they have expressed, and the way they wrote their story and sent it to me rather than to Reuters, make me wonder whether they read the Subject of my email or the title of the article, let alone the article itself.
5. Failure to read invites abuse. Most pro ses go to court, Congress, or state legislatures without having read even the brief of the opposing party, never mind the record of the case, court decisions, not even those on appeal!, treatises, law journals, the law that they want to apply or have amended, its legislative reports, history of enforcement, etc. Judges, politicians, and opposing counsel pick up in a second that they have no idea what they are talking about and take advantage of their ignorance to disregard and abuse them. Their abuse of pro ses as well as of represented parties and their lawyers is totally wrong. But pro ses went to court ignorant of the law and came out ignorant of their case. Theirs was willful ignorance...and laziness too, for one need not be college-educated to realize that if one is sent papers as part of a process in which one is a key participant, one needs to read them. What they got in court, *they had it coming!* If a lawyer goes in so unprepared, he or she is in addition liable to a malpractice suit.
6. There is self-contradiction in expecting many pro ses to read this article since it criticizes their failure to read. My criticism is born of tough love and practical considerations. So I share the article with everybody else. At least I do not waste all my effort and time writing it and encourage others to read and get the benefit of reading: KNOWLEDGE IS POWER; ignorance perpetuates abuse.

B. On being yet another lawyer or a historic Champion of Justice

7. If you are a lawyer, you too are abused by judges, who risklessly abuse their power for their gain and convenience, as shown by the [official statistics](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf)[‡] of the federal courts submitted annually to Congress as a public document.[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf >OL2:455§§B, D

8. You can continue to go to court as one of the scores of thousands of lawyers in our country to argue yet another case. Or you can out of court expose the judges for the public to see their abuse of power. If you choose the latter, you will set in motion transformative change in the judicial and legal system. The public will recognize you here and abroad as a historic Champion of Justice. It is your choice.

C. References to the paragraphs ¶¶ that address readers' frequent concerns

9. You need not be proficient at writing. Simply follow the instructions in “The two-phase method for writing your story”, ¶¶50-55.
10. Include in, or omit from, your story the kind of information stated in ¶¶41-49 to make it relevant, verifiable, and illustrative of judges' outrageous conduct.
11. Send your story to the reporters of Reuters and Boston Globe, and the officers of LexisNexis whose addresses are provided in ¶37, using the subject set forth there for your email.

D. Reuters has been "inundated" with stories; make yours light to float to the top

12. When Reuters published its “Teflon Robe” report on state judges, its reporters asked that people send them their stories of abuse by judges. Since scores of millions have been abused, many must have written to them. In fact, those reporters have stated that they have been “inundated” with their readers' stories.
13. Thus, if you want your story read, you have to send it to Reuters written in only 500 words. The effort is more than worth it, considering the significant impact that your story and those of other people can have by limiting your story to 500 words.

E. What you and all Advocates stand to gain by reading on and writing your story

14. Underlying the sharing of this article and the asking of others to do likewise is *enlightened self-interest*: When we continue on our stubborn, self-centered way by “doing the same thing while expecting a different result”, which Einstein said “is the hallmark of irrationality”, and do so by going it alone in court, judges pick off each of us one at a time and *wipe us out!*
15. But thanks to the light that shines upon us when we inform ourselves by reading and think strategically, we recognize that only *We the People*, informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse of power, can compel politicians to take a stand on the issue at their every public appearance. Thereby the issue can be inserted into the 2020 campaign so that it becomes a decisive one on Election Day.
16. Such insertion will advance your, our, and *the People's* interest in asserting our status as the masters of all our public servants, including judicial ones, entitled to hold all of them accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
17. It is in our *enlightened self-interest* to make this email go viral. It can thus cause many victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse to send their stories to the Reuters reporters. Those stories can convince Reuters and the reporters that they can advance their commercial and reputational interests by investigating federal judges, as proposed briefly infra §I and in detail at: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf and http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Boston_Globe_judges_investigation.pdf

F. Free aids to researching and writing your story

18. I offer various aids for you to write your story. The main one is my two-volume study* † of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †**

19. I also offer access to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. The articles posted there have so positively impressed its countless webvisitors that they have turned 49,083+ into subscribers as of 31 Oct. 2023. You too can subscribe for free thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>
<left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

20. Moreover, I offer advice herein on how to make your stories relevant, verifiable, and newsworthy because they inform the Reuters reporters –and through them possibly the national public too– of judges’ conduct that is outrageous. To benefit from it, you must, to begin with, read this article.

21. Actually, to EMPOWER YOURSELF WITH KNOWLEDGE read and reread and read again what follows until you understand it and can apply it to write your most relevant and verifiable story of judges’ outrageous abuse of power. This is your chance to tell your story to a major news organization, Reuters, that wants to hear it. Do your best job!

22. Any additional assistance from me can be obtained at the rate of my attorney’s fee of \$350 per hour to be deducted together with all necessary and incidental expenses from a retainer paid in advance, whose amount is determined in light of the assistance that I am asked to provide.

G. Instructions for writing your story of unaccountable judges’ abuse of power

23. You are not expected to write a story of publishable quality as if you were a professional journalist. But if you do your homework of research, writing, and editing to provide a relevant, verifiable, and outrageous story of unaccountable judges’ riskless abuse of power, you will appear honest and your story will sound credible. It may lead the Reuters "Teflon Robe" reporters to investigate and report it.

- a. Apply this strategic thinking principle: “People never listen more carefully than when they listen to avoid harm to themselves”. Make your story personal...to your readers. Let it be moving enough to cause them to take action to protect themselves; protest judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power; and join forces with you in a national movement to hold them accountable for their performance and liable to compensate their victims.

24. The "Teflon Robe" reporters are your most knowledgeable, demanding, and important readers: the ones who decide whether your story is representative of the suffering of millions of people abused by unaccountable judges so that it is worth investigating, editing, and publishing it. You are writing for them. They are your audience.

1. Length of your story for Reuters: 500 words

25. The "Teflon Robe" reporters have stated that they have been “inundated” with the stories of abuse by judges sent them by readers of their report. Therefore, do not expect them to read scores, let alone hundreds, much less thousands, of pages of documents and other papers relating to your one single story.

26. “Less is more” effective in causing those reporters to read, understand, and be outraged by the

abuse committed by the judges in your story. Hence, limit your story to 500 words.

27. Make each of the 500 words count. If you cannot outrage readers with the most outrageous elements of your story told in 500 words, you will not outrage them by adding less outrageous details in the next 500+ words.
28. You know your story better than anyone else. Do not shift onto the Reuters reporters the task of figuring out what happened during the years of your struggle in court. Be the one to choose what is most relevant, verifiable, and outrageous.
29. Consider rewriting your story to reduce it to 500 words following these instructions and resubmit it to the Reuters reporters. If they need more information than what you provided in your word-limited story, they will contact you to ask for it.
30. A 500-word story that outrages readers at judges' conduct takes more effort to write than page after page of rambling blather; but causes a more undiluted, concentrated, and memorable impact. Similarly, slapping a whiner's tale onto the back of a napkin and without a second look sending it is not the sign of a great writer that states his message fast and concisely: It is what lazy people do.
 - a. If a victim of, or a witness to, a judge's abuse takes the easy way out in writing her story, she is bound to make all sorts of grammatical, contents, and format mistakes. She will come across as a careless person, driven by the impulses of the moment, and unreflective. Her character will increase the plausibility that the way judges treated her was because 'The paper that she filed in this court wasn't a brief of legal arguments, but rather a personal, incoherent anecdote that she cobbled together, with all sorts of inconsistencies, absurd charges, and trivia that nobody could make heads or tail. Now she's acting up as another disgruntled loser!'
31. "A genius"; said Thomas Alba Edison, the inventor, among many things, of the incandescent bulb that sheds light, "is 5% talent and 95% sweat". Hard work is what turns the scribblings of the first draft into a piece of writing so significant that readers pay attention to it...and even act on it.

2. The risk of opening an attachment and how to deal with its content

32. An attachment is a security risk. By clicking on it, you may release into your computer malware that is hidden in the attachment as a Trojan horse, which can roam through your computer, steal private information, and delete files.
33. This explains why people who know anything about the Internet and the dangers lurking in it do not open attachments. Some email servers are configured not to accept and to bounce back emails with attachments. Do not open attachments, especially if they come from people or entities that you do not know and trust.
34. It follows that you cannot tell your story by taking the easy way out of attaching a bunch of files to an email and sending it. "Lazy doesn't work."
35. Do not send attachments. Instead, copy its content and paste it to the body of a regular email.
36. In any event, today people receive an enormous amount of reading materials. Hence, it is much more effective for you to provide only the attachment's title and summarize its content. If your addressees want more information, they can ask you for it. But you can do so much better for your story and yourself if you write it out in up to 500 words.

3. Subject, addressees, email addresses, and introductory paragraphs

37. In the **Subject: line** of your email, use the subject used by all other victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse. This will help the addressees realize that many people have not only appreciated the investigation of state judges, but also are requesting that it be extended to federal judges. You hinder the consideration of your story when you appear as the self-centered, odd man out, seeking only your personal benefit without any regard for the interests of the media outlets –which would bear the cost of any investigation–, their audience, and the rest of the national public.

Subject: Reuters investigated state judges' abuse of power and requested victims to share with it their stories. How you can write a newsworthy story for Reuters and ask that it investigate federal judges

To: michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com

cc: todd.wallack@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com, mark.morrow@globe.com, comments@globe.com, newsletters@email.bostonglobe.com, newstip@globe.com, tyler.duke@lexisnexis.com, austin.dunn@lexisnexis.com, Lane.Okney@lexisnexis.com, john.caminiti@lexisnexis.com, communication@lexisnexis.com, NTotenberg@npr.org, MCoyle@alm.com, pam.spector@Law360.com, expertanalysis@law360.com, jathomsen@alm.com,

38. Provide the information normally found in the **letterhead** of a business letter:

Your full name
address,
phone number, email address, and, if any, the link to your website.

39. Identify your **addressees** thus:

Reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman, and editor Blake Morrison,
Reuters
victims of, and witnesses to, judges abuse of power,
lawyers, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

Dear editor Morrison, Messrs. Shiffman and Berens, victims, witnesses, lawyers,
and Advocates,

40. Establish the **connection between your story and the Reuters reporters**. These introductory paragraphs do not count toward the 500 word of your story:

I read with interest your investigative report "The Teflon Robe", published by Reuters on June 30, 2020.

You reported having found "hardwired judicial corruption" among state judges. You invited your readers to submit a brief statement of their stories of abuse by judges. Kindly find mine hereunder.

My story took place first in state court and has now moved, or is likely to move, to federal court.

The federal rules of procedure and evidence have been adopted by all the states.

What federal judges allow themselves to do, the state judges feel confident to do likewise. 'As the federal judges, so their state counterparts'.

Frequently, state judges are elevated to the federal bench. Once there, they are not going to incriminate their former state peers, colleagues, and friends, whereby they would run the risk of incriminating themselves. It follows that removing a case to federal court does not provide a victim of an abusive state judge with any effective recourse.

Federal judges are the only ones with national jurisdiction. What they do or not do affects everybody in our country. Accordingly, it interests your current and potential audience.

Their abuse of power is even more outrageous than that of state judges because they are the only officers in the U.S. with a life appointment. In the 231 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of them impeached and removed is 8! Their irremovability in practice explains why they abuse their power: It is riskless and profitable. Such abuse is what awaits those who file in federal court by removal or originally.

Moreover, federal judges [dismiss 100% of complaints](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf) filed against any one of them, which by law must be filed with the respective chief circuit judge; and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. They ensure their own unaccountability! http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf

Thus, I respectfully request that you extend your investigation to federal judges and expose their abuse of power. In this vein, I suggest that you examine the proposals for such extension made by Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., in [his article addressed to you](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf), which is downloadable through this link: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf.

4. The contents of your story: kind and quality of its information

41. What is outrageous is not what goes outside the realm of reality or seems implausible or contrived. It is what oversteps the bounds of moral and ethical standards and reasonable expectations of care, sense of duty, and decency. Outrageous is what a person does who has no shame. Your story must be based on relevant and verifiable information that outrages readers and stirs them up to protest.
42. **Write a sober story:** Avoid epithets, exaggerations, and self-serving ratings like 'this is the most corrupt judge ever and done the most terrible abuse you can imagine in your dreams'. You do not have the breadth of knowledge needed to justify such baseless and pompous claim, most likely never having read anything other than your story. You are an abuser; the experts are the Reuters reporters, who have read thousands of cases. 'Just give 'em the facts' and let them assess your story's outrageousness from the judges' conduct. They can draw comparative conclusions, if warranted.
43. **What to omit.** Abstain from emotional outbursts intended to elicit pity and appeal for commiseration. Do not appear emotionally fragile, unstable, or hypersensitive. You should not come across as a basket case.
44. Do not dilute your story's relevance with every conceivable insignificant detail and petty

grievance. A barrage of charges betrays incapacity to identify what is legally relevant. Do not diminish the credibility of your story with unfounded accusations, speculation, and extravagant claims. The account of a nightmare does not make for a serious story; it belongs in a horror movie.

45. Do not impair the verifiability of your story by making unprovable claims. Fantasy allegations turn your story into a fairy tale. Let professional investigators reveal what coming from a party, and as such biased toward her side of the story, sounds preposterous. Turn ‘reality that is stranger than fiction’ into a question:
 - a. Did he put his kids on food stamps although he earns a judges’ salary?!
 - b. Did the judge have the winning party hire her nephew to have him pay her gambling debts?!
 - c. Does he tell his law clerks that if at the end of their clerkship when they search for a job they want him to write them a glowing letter of recommendation, which can earn them a substantial signing-up bonus from the hiring employer, they have to decide the cases assigned to him and write the decisions? Does this explain why the style of the decisions signed by him is so oddly different every year after the start of the new clerkship?
46. Also leave out anything on which honest people can reasonably hold different opinions. It falls within the judges’ wide margin of discretion. Your opinion is not entitled to more credibility than the judges’, especially since you are not a lawyer, but rather a biased party.
47. **What to include.** Endeavor to produce a relevant, verifiable, and chronologically organized 500-word story. Let the outrage arise from judges’ denial of due process and equal protection of the law; conflict of interests; abuse of public office for self-enrichment; breach of confidential information; bribery; concealment of assets; tax evasion; money laundering; other violations of criminal laws; disregard of professional and ethical rules; cronyism; cover-up; ethnic, racial, socio-economic, gender, or religious bias; physical or sexual abuse; arbitrariness; and what offends the sense of decency and propriety of the man or woman in the street.
48. Provide pieces of information that can be treated as data: They can be scanned into a database to find the most convincing type of evidence: patterns of abuse by judges and their cronies, formed by the recurrence of the same information in the stories separately provided by different people.
49. You can be accurate, appear knowledgeable, and build your credibility if you methodically apply this principle: Always provide the source of your data and statements. Your methodical citation to reliable sources in footnotes do not count toward your 500-word limit, but count significantly toward building the reader’s trust in what you say in your story.
 - a. Do not pretend to know the law: Google it until you find it and give its citation.
 - b. Do not repeat uncritically ‘folklore’ transmitted orally by other victims of judges.
 - c. Do not try to support what you say by references to notions of what sounds right because it belongs to ‘natural justice’...whatever that is: It is not even written, thus offending against the fundamental principle of due process, that is, notice of what people must or must not do. Consequently, ‘natural justice’ is unjust. It does not bind anybody to anything. It is arbitrarily whatever one claims it is.
50. You were an actor when almost everything happened and know almost everybody else who was involved. Do not expect the Reuters reporters to scramble for those pieces of information. Do the necessary research to find them. State them accurately. Indicate the source of the information whenever possible. What matters is, not the amount, but rather the quality of the information:

relevant, verifiable, outraging, and useful as leads for journalists to conduct a professional investigation. So include the following:

- a. the names of judges, parties, prosecutors, companies, government officers and offices, etc.
- b. any meaningful, suspicious, or bias-inducing relation to each other:
 - 1) X and Y were former partners at Jay and Associates until May 2002, when X went to work for the DA's office of D County in my state
 - 2) A and B had the opportunity to know each other when they attended W Law School in 1996-1999 or in 1998, when they were members of Law Review, according to their profiles in Facebook, W Alumni Association website, and W University quarterly magazine. [NOTE: In a law school there can be dozens of student clubs or societies for different types of law or social or political issues; check them!]
 - 3) S is identified as the sister in law of J in a photo, which I found by using face recognition software, in the 2019 annual report of Downtown Developing Company, which was in charge of the renovation of the W University Library and acknowledged all donors at a gala dinner in their honor
 - 4) court documents available through PACER –Public Access to Court Electronic Records; <https://pacer.uscourts.gov> – and the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records>, show that Judge P habitually orders a forensic accounting of cases before him by the accounting firm of CGV Accountants, whose bills are assigned as court costs payable by the party suing, or being sued by, a landlord or an insurance company
 - 5) annual financial disclosure reports required by the Ethics in Government Act are filed as public documents; those of judges are collected at <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>. The reports of Judge G show that the mortgage on his home is held by Bank E, the defendant in my case. This points to a gross conflict of interests along the lines, 'if you make me win, I let you refinance your mortgage at no cost'.
- c. the title, i.e., Plaintiff v. Defendant, docket number, and date of any case mentioned in your story; the names of the courts where it was filed and, if any, to which it was removed, city, state, and telephone number; the names of judges and court/law clerks that signed any decision, order, or letter; etc.
- d. the dates of events and court filings and appearances, trying as hard as possible to include the day and the month, not only the year. A lazy reference to 'some time ago' or 'several years back', is unacceptable. Thanks to your effort, keen attention to detail, and analytical capacity to realize that 'this is not normal', 'something like this doesn't happen by coincidence', you may be able to tell the Reuters reporters:
- e. Strangely enough, on the day before every long weekend and travel to judicial conferences a lot of motions before Judge Q were entered 'denied', according to the docket available on the court's website. With the stroke of a pen, he enhanced a care-free 'holiday', the harm to the rights of the parties and the waste of their motion filing fees notwithstanding. What other judicial duty does he treat with the same contempt and disregard for the consequences on other people?

5. The two-phase method for writing your story of up to 500 words

51. **In phase one, use your creative spirit to draft your story:** Sit at your computer and write on a word processing page whatever word, term, or phrase identifies a person, event, place, document, thing, idea, concept, etc., associated with your story. They are your story's informational *dots*.
- You are not yet trying to write grammatically correct and complete sentences. You only want to get started telling your story.
 - Let your stream of consciousness bubble up unrestrained by your thinking mind so that it sprinkles *dots* of your story all over the page. As related words, terms, and phrases flow up, keep adding them to the other dots on the page or between them.
 - To pull up dots from the well of your memory, ask yourself about your story **the journalists' W-questions**: *What!?* Who? Where? When? How? Why? What now?
 - Keep asking of every word, term, phrase, and sentence concerning an event: “**and then what** happened?...and **then what** did they say?...and **then what** did I do?...and **then...**?” They are alive in your mind. They can hear you; they can answer you; they will engage you in conversation.
 - If related questions emerge to the surface, but you cannot answer them, jot them down: This is not the time to tax your memory anymore; pass judgment on their relevance; or interrupt the free flow of ideas. Search for answers in phase two.
 - 1) What was the name of the opposing party's attorney?...and her law firm?
 - 2) Did the judge issue an oral order from the bench or did he read one that he had written? Did he cite any law or rule?
 - 3) Why did he order me to pay rent because the landlord had fixed the plumbing? I never told him, the landlord never filed an answer and till this day hasn't fixed anything! How many times has the landlord appeared before this judge?
52. When you have about ten of those *dots*, move them up and down in a rough chronological order of appearance in your story. As you do so, add to them any other words, terms, and phrases that enlarge their meaning, identify them more narrowly, or should be inserted between them to bridge gaps in the story.
- Keep reading the *dots*, even aloud. Put them in a jingle, make them rhyme even if they make no sense at all...and they will come alive!, dancing in your mind and calling other words, terms, and phrases that are *dots*.
 - Something like sentences will begin to appear. Keep ordering them chronologically and inserting more *dots* between them or enlarging them with details.
 - Painting by numbers, using stars to draw a constellation, you are *connecting the dots* into the sketch of a figure. It seems to be telling a story...your story! You can do this. You did it! *You are telling your story!*
53. Use a 'balancing test' to compare the dots' weight of outrageousness for the story to make sense and be relevant. Remove to another page dots that feel 'lighter'. You are starting to recognize a hierarchy among the dots. This will help you stay within the 500-word limit. Complete the dots into rough sentences. Hours later you will feel that you have told your story from beginning to end. Let it sit for a day. You are not done, not even close: You only wrote your first draft. *But you did!*

54. **In phase two, use your critical judgment to edit your story.** Move around and connect the sentences in a way that will make sense to a person who does not know anything about you or your story. You are writing for the jury, which includes as jurors the reporters of Reuters and other media outlets. Tell them your story. It must persuade them of the outrageousness of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power and lead them to investigate it and refer to it in their next report.
55. Self-editing will take longer than drafting your story: Dots were connected into a sketch. Now you are painting the sketch into the colored picture of a relevant, verifiable, and outrageous story of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power.
- a. Revise your story and rewrite it to correct your grammar and ensure that it flows logically and chronologically.
 - b. Research it to provide accurate facts and relevant information that reporters can verify. Search for the answers to your jotted down questions. Ensure that your dates are accurate, for they determine whether deadlines were or were not missed.
 - c. Avoid confusing your reader: Consistently use the same word to refer to the same person, idea, event, etc.
 - d. Put yourself in the situation of a juror and of the opposing party: What questions would they ask you to understand your conduct or trip you up:
 - 1) Why did you do -or fail to do- *that!*
 - 2) You claim that you took his threat seriously. But you didn't tell anybody and you certainly did not report him to the police. That makes no sense!
 - 3) Do not leave loose ends or give grounds to doubt your story:
 - a) You said earlier that you always left your office at 6:00 p.m. at the latest in order not to miss the last train home. But your monthly ticket shows that you did not take the train that night. Had you agreed with your former employer, Femir, to stay late so that you could let him in after office hours thus enabling him to illegally inspect his competitor without breaking into his office because you knew that Femir would take you home? When you realized that the silent alarm must have been triggered and the night guards had arrived, you killed Femir to prevent him from telling on you and then you claimed that he had attacked you and you had to defend yourself. *You were in on it!* You were rightly convicted despite the errors in procedure, minor by comparison.
56. Let your story sit. Come back later for another session of phase-two. You are writing your story of being abused by judges and preparing your claim for **compensation**. Do the work that it takes to get it right. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf

6. Title, subtitle of a story of unaccountable judges' abuse of power

57. After you have written your story, you will recognize a theme running through it. Turn it into the title that expresses the nature of your story and highlights its most outrageous features.

[The title of your story: its summary in a sentence, e.g.]

How a judge failed to recuse himself from a case
where he approved the foreclosure on an apartment building,

the eviction of all the tenants, and its conversion into an office building
by a development company in which he is a shareholder

How a judge once more declared another wealthy senior citizen incompetent and
appointed as her guardian a person to whom he regularly entrusts guardianships,
who squeezed every penny from her, and
then dumped her onto the state welfare system as an indigent

How a bankruptcy judge allowed the same bankruptcy trustee
to hold yet another unannounced auction
where only one and the same bidder showed up,
bought the debtor's assets for pennies on the dollar, flipped them, and
made a killing...leaving me as the financial corpse

Bonfire of integrity at the penthouse:
Judges attending a judicial conference boasted about
how they cut corners on the law,
use parties' information to enrich themselves and their partners, and
have clerks fudge documents; and
were overheard by the apparently invisible waiters and waitresses serving them,
who reported them to their chief circuit judge; and
although the chief deemed their reports complaints,
she dismissed them without the waiters and waitresses ever being called,
never mind heard, as part of any investigation

[subtitle of every story]

A reply to Reuters's request for readers' stories
of having been abused by judges

7. Additional information in links embedded in text and as endnotes

58. As stated in §G2¶32 above, attachments are risky. Do not send them. Instead, turn a reference to a person, event, place, document, etc., into a [linking blue text](#), which holds embedded in it a 'hidden', not visible, link. But it so happens at times that a reference loses its connection to the embedded link, whereby it becomes merely a non-linking blue text. So it is safer to provide a visible link right below the corresponding paragraph where the reference appears. Add those links after making sure that your story is within the 500-word limit.
59. Use superscripts if a paragraph contains one reference to X¹ here and another reference to Y² there, so as to identify the corresponding link.
 - ¹ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
 - ² <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
60. Be reasonable: do not clutter your story with dozens of links. Use your good judgment to identify the key ones. If the Reuters reporters need secondary documents, they will ask you for them.
61. Store the linked documents either on your website, DropBox, Google Plus, Academia, or any other cloud storage facility. Be aware that links are so much more useful if they identify the corresponding document rather than consist of a meaningless string of alphanumeric characters.
62. If need be, you may also provide **a list of links at the end of your story**. Add a brief description

of what the corresponding document deals with:

See these supporting documents:

1. For an explanation of how a bankruptcy trustee and a bankruptcy judge run a bankruptcy fraud scheme and involve in it debtors and creditors, see http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
 2. The exposure of how judges intercept the emails and mail of people in order to detect and suppress those of their critics can provoke national outrage more intense than did the revelation by Edward Snowden in 2013 of the collection of metadata of scores of millions of phone calls by the National Security Agency (NSA), which did not eavesdrop on, much less suppress, any call; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
 3. On bringing radio, TV, and podcast talkshow hosts together to form a coalition that becomes a powerhouse of American politics just as the national TV networks are, see http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
63. Be fair. Let them ‘talk’: Include in the list the documents of the opposing party and the decisions of the judges in your case.
- a. Do not give the impression that you are hiding the other side of the story or that you are so self-centered and small-minded that you think your story only has one side: yours.
64. Be helpful: spare the Reuters reporters the need to search for those documents, which should be at your fingertips because you received them and obviously should have read them.

8. Sign and date your story

65. If your address, telephone number, and email address were not stated at the top of your story, state that information at the end of it. Show that you take responsibility for your story. Provide the date when you submit your story, which is a piece of information useful, in general, to order documents and, in particular, to establish the story’s currency, i.e., its ‘as of date’.
66. Moreover, your contact information will facilitate getting in touch with you to ask for any needed clarification or additional information.
67. Provide the date when you submit your story. That piece of information is useful, in general, to order documents chronologically and, in particular, to establish your story’s currency: its ‘as of date’.

H. Advocates’ reciprocal revision of their stories, checklist, and chapter

68. Before submitting your story, share it with the Advocates of Honest Judiciaries to whom I send my articles –see the To: and cc: lines of my emails and [†][OL2:1140¶28](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/1140/28)–; ask that they provide feedback on it just as you offer to do the same if they share with you theirs.
- a. A competition for the title of “Protagonist of the Worst Abuse by Judges Ever” or the at-titude “My story is more important than yours cuz it effects all people” does not improve any story. They are egocentric and wasteful of everybody’s effort, goodwill, and time.
 - b. Cooperate to identify and rephrase, eliminate, or correct what is irrelevant; unverifiable;

ambiguous; inconsistent; contradictory; digressive; repetitive; pretentious; self-aggrandizing; defamatory; a poor word choice; trite; in bad taste; foul language, which is absolutely impermissible; misspelled; unidiomatic; wrong syntax (word order); ungrammatical; etc.

69. All of you can draw up a ‘**Checklist and Evaluation Form for Stories of Abuse of Power by Judges**’. It can be used when composing the Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Abuse of Power in America, as proposed at [*>jur:126§3. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf)
70. Reciprocal revision will afford you the opportunity to know each other. You can give rise to a **chapter of Advocates** who promote the formation of a national, civic, single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform.
71. It will also give you an opportunity to show your willingness to work for free in the interest of *We the People*. By so doing, you will be working in your own enlightened interest([supra ¶¶14-17](#)).

I. Proposals and their benefits to media outlets

72. After writing your 500-word story, point out to the media outlets whose email addresses are listed ([supra ¶37](#)) that it is in their commercial and reputational interest to read and implement my proposals to them([†>OL2:1125](#)).
73. In brief, it is proposed that they:
 - a. Agree to a joint investigation with me that capitalizes on their experience investigating a state judiciary and my numerous leads([*>OL:194§E](#)) by applying them to expose federal judges' coordinated abuse of power as their modus operandi to run the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf >OL:194§E
 - b. Publish one or a series of my articles exposing federal judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
 - 1) One of those articles can expose Supreme Court nominee Judge Amy Coney Barrett's condonation of, and participation in, the abusive 100% self-exoneration of federal judges from complaints filed against them in the Seventh Circuit, and/or their underlying misconduct complained-about, similar to the articles collected in http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - c. Hold unprecedented citizens hearings on judges' abuse of power. They will be conducted at media outlets and universities by professors, journalists, and experts in Information Technology, forensic and fraud accounting, bankruptcy, money laundering, etc., to take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse as well as other experts wherever they are since they will be heard via video conferences, made accessible to the national public through interactive multimedia, thus inexpensively and without involving travel
 - d. Develop the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, as proposed in my [business plan](#)([†>OL2:1022](#))[‡], to turn it from an informational platform into:
 - 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints uploaded by anybody; and

- 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients searching many writings from many sources that can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power.

* http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf

74. "Scandal sells", every media outlet and journalist know it. Can you imagine a more outrageous scandal than the exposure of federal judges' abusing their unaccountability to coordinate their power in order to risklessly run their Federal Judiciary for their gain and convenience as a racketeering enterprise?...and that at a time when the national public is shouting self-assertively the rallying cry:

Enough is enough!

We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.

75. The media outlets can pioneer exposing federal judges and thereby win a Pulitzer Prize.
76. They can become recognized for prompting a generalized media investigation of federal judges' individual and collective abuse of power.
77. That can set off transformative change in the judicial and legal system.
- a. From that change can emerge a different "government of, by, and for"(*>jur:182⁷²) *We the People...*and those of the rest *of the World*. Indeed, the demand for such change can become an international civic movement, as did *MeToo!* and the protest against police brutality and for racial and economic equality. For the first time in history, *the People*, as the masters of all public servants, would hold their judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power.

J. Unique opportunity arising from the concurrence of circumstances

78. Reuters and Boston Globe dare investigate and expose state judges. The national public has grown intolerant of any form of abuse and is wielding its strongest political power: voting at a presidential election, which is among the most polarizing and antagonistic ones in our history. The confirmation of a Supreme Court nominee will focus national attention on everything judicial
79. These circumstances have given rise to a unique opportunity to persuade those and other media outlets to investigate federal judges for the stated reasons([supra ¶41](#)) and publish this and my other articles exposing judges' abuse of power. Thereby the issue of judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power can be inserted into the 2020 campaign and thereafter into the national discourse. Informed and outraged, the national public may turn that issue into a decisive one on Election Day and from then on. That Day is approaching very fast.
80. As a result, time is of the essence. Let's get to work.
- a. write your story of abuse by judges and send it together with this article to the media[‡] members whose emails are listed in [¶37 supra](#); encouraging them to accept the proposals in [¶71](#);
[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-BostonGlobe_judges_investigation.pdf
- b. share this article with all your friends, relatives, and acquaintances; and
- c. post it to social media, such as:

LinkedIn

Facebook

Youtube

Google Plus

Instagram

Pinterest

Twitter: Share with Reuters your story of abuse of power by judges and ask that it also investigate federal judges, who are unaccountable and risklessly run their Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

K. Offer of a presentation to you, your colleagues, and guests

81. I offer to make via video conference to you and your colleagues and guests a presentation on this article leading to a Questions & Answers session.
82. This presentation can be helpful in starting to do what is essential for a group of people to organize and develop into a national civic single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform: agree on division of labor. People agree to do what they are best at or what they recognize must be done to advance the interests of the group.
83. Any organization has staff and skills requirements. Organizing Advocates, victims, witnesses, and experts to expose unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power calls for:
 - a. lawyers, journalists, and business people to pioneer the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting
 - b. community leaders and public relations officers to connect to people and organizations; develop local chapters, and coalesce them into a national movement
 - c. law researchers and online researchers who know how to use software for recognizing faces, voices, places, etc.; locating documents in public and private databases; etc.
 - d. computer forensic and Internet Technology experts to protect our digital network from interceptors and hackers, and find out whether the emails and mail of people have been intercepted to detect and suppress those critical of judges;
 - e. lawyers to provide the novel niche legal service of forming and representing the class of victims demanding compensation from judges and their judiciaries
 - f. organizers of online and field tour presentations; and half and one-day seminars on a variety of subjects dealt with in the study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries
 - g. monitors in charge of the technical aspect of online presentations, e.g., getting people connected, recording them, sharing documents during the presentation, etc.
 - h. experts in fraud and forensic accounting
 - i. strategists and lobbyists of Congress and state legislatures
 - j. advertisers of activities, e.g., by mass emailing and placing press releases
 - k. developers of Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>
 - l. developers of software for conducting statistical, linguistic, and literary audits of judicial

writings to ascertain authorship; detect behavioral patterns and biases; and impugn past and predict future judicial behavior

- m. sellers of advertisement spots on that website to providers of goods and services
- n. fundraisers
- o. accountants
- p. office managers
- q. secretaries
- r. handyman

84. A presentation can help a group develop into a chapter of the national civic movement for judicial abuse of power, compensation of abusees, and reform. There is precedent for this:

- a. People who deemed themselves *Taxed Enough Already* got together at homes and backyards to discuss how to spread the word. They did it so effectively that they formed groups nationwide. Those groups became chapters. In turn, the chapters coalesced into the Tea Party. In less than 10 years, the Party grew so strong that it dominated presidential politics!

85. To decide whether to accept my presentation offer, you may wish to watch my [video](#) and follow it with its [slides](#); and share the [link to this article*](#) with your colleagues and potential guests:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

86. Thereafter you can get in touch with me using the contact information below to discuss the terms of the presentation and schedule it.

**L. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

87. The study* † of judges and their judiciaries([supra ¶18](#)) and this article were produced by the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of:

Judicial Discipline Reform

Subscribe for free to its articles similar to this one:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or
+ New or Users >Add New.

**Put your money where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

DONATE

by making a deposit into, or an online transfer to –as by using Zelle–,
Citi Bank account 4977 59 2001, routing number 021 000 089,

through *Paypal*, https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or by mailing a check into the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

August 13, 2020

**An amended or new constitution does not force abusive judges
to apply it properly any more than the current one does
The need to expose first the nature, extent, and gravity of their abuse**

A. Judges who disregard the current constitution will not respect an amended one

1. A poignant question has been asked: “What wording we need in an amended or new constitution that would guarantee us the judiciary we deserve, and leave no loopholes?”
2. No form of words will guarantee anything: They are only scattered blotches of black ink on white paper until somebody invokes them in a court of law and the judge applies them according to their letter and spirit. You cannot, on the one hand, allege that judges disregard the law and, on the other hand, expect judges to apply your amending constitutional provisions faithfully.
3. A judiciary composed of judges who today are unaccountable and consequently engage in riskless abuse of power cannot be entrusted tomorrow with the application of the provisions of a constitution or laws born of a reform of the judicial system. The judiciary will find the way to keep circumventing the new provisions as they do those that they are currently duty-bound to apply.

B. A movement needs unifying issues

4. Moreover, the idea that a handful of people gather in somebody’s home and decide to take their province out of their country is preposterous: You do not have a constituency. What you have is the precedent of Quebec: It tried to become an independent state from Canada, but got nowhere. Today, the issue is no longer discussed.
5. In the same vein, you try to make a case based on what Coronavirus and Covid-19 are or are not. That is an issue so hotly debated and divisive that you would not for the foreseeable future attract anything approaching a majority of the people.
6. If you allow the key issues of your group to cause people to label you ‘a freak bunch’, you will hardly attract enough people to stand out of the fringe of society and become a movement.
7. It is obvious that you have ideas, but you sorely lack strategic realism.
8. If you want to attract the attention of ever more people, you need an issue that unites, not several that divide. Issues on which people can hold different and divergent opinions without indicting their morality and ethical character are of little use to start of movement.
9. The opposite is true: An issue is useful to that end if nobody would have anything but an approbatory opinion, e.g., *taxes are enough high already*, which became the foundation of the Tea Party.
10. Likewise, an issue is useful if nobody would dare approve the underlying conduct. That is the reaction elicited by the issue that charges judges with abusing their power for their personal and judicial class gain and convenience.
11. That is the issue underlying my work: It deals with judges’ illegal conduct, not opinions.
12. As a result, my website, to wit, Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, has elicited such a positive reaction in its many visitors that as of 31 October 2023, 49,083 have become subscribers(†>OL2: Appendix 3).
13. Nobody will stand up and say that judges have a right to be abusive. It is not an issue of opinion.

Everybody is united in condemning abuse and abusers. It is an issue involving self-preservation, and the due process and equal rights elements of government by the rule of law.

C. The inform and outrage strategy to form a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure and compensation

14. Therefore, the priority now is to implement the inform and outrage strategy for forming a national movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and eventually, at the right time, reform through transformative change:
 - a. This strategy aims to inform the public about judges' abuse of power and so to outrage it at judges that ever more people join forces to demand that judges be held accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. The articles hereunder set forth concrete, realistic, and feasible actions for implementing this strategy.
 - b. Until the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' abuse of power has not been fully exposed, no discussion of what to change and into what to change it is opportune. The outrage provoked by the information about judges' abuse will turn change inconceivable today into necessary and unavoidable measures to ensure judicial transparency, integrity, accountability, and liability.
15. Everything in due course, as required by strategic thinking. To begin with, read the articles on sending your story of abuse to Reuters([†][OL2:1125, 1144](#)) because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.

D. Joining forces to make the articles exposing judges' abuse go viral

16. Life is a give and take. I have given. Now you can give back...and your giving will be in your own interest and that of all other Advocates and the rest of *We the People of the World*. Start by helping make the articles hereunder([†][OL2:1125, 1144](#)) go viral under this subject line:

Re: Reuters investigated state judges' abuse of power and requested victims to share with it their stories. How you can write a newsworthy story for Reuters

17. You may share the articles below with all your friends, relatives, and colleagues; encourage them to do likewise; and post them to websites and social media, such as:

LinkedIn Facebook Youtube Google Plus Instagram Pinterest

Twitter: Share with Reuters your story of abuse of power by judges and ask that it also investigate federal judges, who are unaccountable and risklessly run their Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise;

[http://judicial-discipline-](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf)

[reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf](http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf)

18. Email your stories of having been abused by judges as well as this article to the Reuters investigators of state judges identified in the articles, including:

michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com

19. Ask that they extend their investigation to federal judges for the financial and reputational benefits stated at http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf.

Dare trigger history!([†][OL2:1144](#))...and you may enter it.

August 24, 2020

**How you can reach a national public intolerant of any form of abuse
by exposing the most unaccountable officers anywhere: federal judges,
who coordinate their abuse of power and wield it as their modus operandi**

The national public has become intolerant of abuse of power and demands an end to what engenders it, unaccountability, as shown by the *MeToo!* movement, the police brutality demonstrations, the criticism of the President's Covid-19 response, and of course, of his performance in office. That public will receive avidly the individual and collective articles that the American Thinker authors and readers are hereunder called upon to write to expose the most unaccountable class of officers: federal judges, who are life-tenured, in practice irremovable, and have risklessly institutionalized their abuse of power to run the Federal Judiciary as their racketeering enterprise.

A. Reuters's report on state judges' unaccountability and abuse of power

1. Last June 30, Reuters published "[The Teflon Robe](#)", a report on its massive investigation of state judges. It found "hardwired judicial corruption". Indeed, state judges are subject to 'independent' state commissions charged with overseeing their conduct and investigating complaints against them. However, the relation between the judges and the commissions is so intertwined that the judges are routinely exonerated or, worse yet, not even investigated. As a result, state judges are unaccountable. This allows them to engage in corruption in reliance on its condonation by its 'independent' overseers.

B. The enabling circumstances of federal judges' abuse of power

2. The corruption among federal judges is much more profound precisely because they are their own overseers: Every complaint against a federal judge must be filed with their respective chief circuit judge, as provided for under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, [28 U.S.Code §351](#). The [official statistics](#) of the federal courts are contained in the [annual report](#) submitted to Congress as a public document by the [Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts](#). They show that the chief judges dismiss **100%** of those complaints. When petitions for review are filed with the respective circuit council, 100% of them are denied...in a reasonless rubberstamped 'dumping' form ([OL2:608§A](#)). Federal judges have institutionalized their unaccountability by coordinating their reciprocal exoneration throughout their Judiciary.
3. Their self-exoneration is reinforced by the failure of the politicians who nominated and confirmed them to office and the others who receive their annual report to hold them accountable and subject to constitutional checks and balances. Instead, the politicians connivingly protect them as 'our men and women on the bench'.
4. Their unaccountability is further strengthened by the exceptional fact that federal judges are the only officers in our country who hold a life appointment. In the last 231 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of them [impeached and removed](#) is **8!** Once a nominee to the federal bench is confirmed, they can do whatever they want in reliance on that historic record.
5. Their exoneration by themselves and politicians, and their irremovability in practice explain why federal judges abuse their enormous power over people's property, liberty, and all their rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identities: Their abuse is riskless. But it leaves complainants uncompensated and the rest of the people at their mercy.
6. Moreover, federal judges hold all their adjudicative, policy-making, administrative, and

disciplinary meetings behind closed doors and do not hold press conferences.

7. Nor do they provide reasons for all their decisions, which allows for arbitrary, ad hoc, conclusory fiats of star-chamber power that denies due process. Those who receive a reasonless dumping form when compared to those who receive a reasoned and published decision are deprived of equal protection of the law.(OL2:453§§B, D)
8. Federal judges' abuse of power cannot be explained away as limited to individual rogue judges, whose removal and punishment would suffice to deal with the problem. Rather, their practice of abuse is so pervasive and interwoven with their way of doing business that it is necessarily coordinated. Their individual and collective abuse has been institutionalized as the modus operandi of the Federal Judiciary. Their motive is grabbing illegal gains and convenience. Given that through coordination their abuse influences all aspects of their activity, federal judges run the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.
9. Unaccountability, risklessness, secrecy, and coordination are the circumstances enabling federal judges' abuse of power. Excluding any concern about adverse consequences, not even investigation, due to self-exoneration, let alone punishment, those circumstances enable abuse to fester.
10. Their abuse of power is treated in detail in my two-volume study* † of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †**

C. Sen. E. Warren's denunciation of federal judges' abusive self-enrichment

11. This is confirmed by no lesser a politician than Senator Elizabeth Warren. In her “[I have a plan for the Judiciary too](#)”, she **dare** denounce, among other things, the systematic failure of federal judges to recuse themselves from cases in which they hold an interest in the company of one of the parties before them. They resolve the ensuing conflict of interests by favoring that party so as to maintain or increase the value of their interest. Sen. Warren has identified the circumstance enabling federal judges to commit such abusive self-enrichment to be their **unaccountability**.
12. Such self-enrichment necessarily entails the crimes of:
 - a. concealment of assets
 - b. tax evasion
 - c. money laundering
 - d. fraud on the parties through intentional frustration of judicial process predicated on fairness and impartiality
 - e. breach of contract for judicial services entered into with no intention to perform it and thus, in bad faith
 - f. breach of their oath and of public trust causing injury in fact, e.g., brief production, court filing fees and costs, and attorney's fees.
13. Federal judges have the means of committing those crimes:
 - a. The Federal Judiciary has a nationwide computer network run by expert personnel. It maintains a database that stores hundreds of millions of briefs, records, motions, applications, letters, decisions, orders, etc., and carries out electronic filings, retrievals, docket entries, daily schedule updating, database searches, etc.
 - b. It has leverage over the intelligence agencies, which run more extensive and sophisticated networks and whose secret requests for secret orders authorizing secret surveillance under

the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act must be approved by its judges.

14. Showing that the Supreme Court justices have engaged, still engage, and cover their peers' and lower court judges' engagement in abusive self-enrichment can lead to the resignation of one, several, or all the justices and judges. The precedents for this are the resignation of Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969; and of Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski on December 18, 2017.

D. Interception of emails and mail to suppress those of their critics

15. Another of their [forms of abuse](#) of power involves the Judiciary's sophisticated national computer network and expertise to [intercept](#) the emails and mail of people in order to detect and suppress those of their critics. This is likely the abuse that will most outrage the national public, for it affects most people and deprives them of their most cherished rights, namely, those guaranteed under the First Amendment to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [by email and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including their payment of compensation]".

E. Judges' bankruptcy fraud scheme

16. People who go bankrupt by definition do not have enough money to meet their needs. The vast majority of them cannot afford a lawyer and must appear without one (*pro se*) in court. They are overwhelmed by the mindboggling complexities of bankruptcy law and procedure. As a result, they fall prey to judges' [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#). The spread to [Covid-caused bankruptcies](#) will allow judges and their cronies in the bankruptcy industry to take advantage of people's financial and emotional distress, thereby aggravating it.

F. Judges do not read most briefs, whose high cost goes to waste

17. "[The math of abuse](#)" demonstrates judges' failure to read most briefs, which they require parties to prepare, serve on all parties, and file in support of every case and motion. Yet, each brief costs a party \$Ks and even \$10Ks to produce. Instead, they have their clerks dispose of the corresponding cases and motions by rubberstamping a 5¢ dumping form. The call for parties to jointly demand that they be compensated for such waste and fraud will attract a large segment of the national public.

G. Parties invoking the Chief Justice's performance at the impeachment trial

18. [Chief Justice Roberts](#) presided over P. Trump's impeachment trial with disregard for "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice". His disregard can be invoked by anybody as precedent for refusing discovery on equal protection grounds and privileged communications. The issue may be accepted for review by the Supreme Court on the vote of only four justices seeking to embarrass the Chief Justice for having or not having sided with them to form a majority in landmark cases.

H. Sham hearings on judicial accountability show bad faith and connivance

19. The [sham hearings](#) held in the Federal Judiciary and Congress on judicial accountability reveal politicians/judges' connivance and their fraud on witnesses and the public. Held only for show and thus, in bad faith, the hearings have brought no improvement in judges' accountability.

I. Call for unprecedented citizens hearings held by the media and universities

20. Unprecedented citizens hearings can expose judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power. These hearings are intended to be held at media outlets and universities; conducted by professors,

journalists, and experts in Information Technology, fraud and forensic accounting, bankruptcy, etc.; and use interactive multimedia to take the testimony inexpensively without involving travel of the largest number of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse. You can be a **pioneer** of those historic hearings or simply sit back and be a listener. They can become the means by which the fact-finding power of a grand jury is taken and exercised by *We the People* as we self-assertively shout across the nation: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.*

J. Trump v. judges: The enemy of my enemy is my friend

21. There is a top politician who will deem it in his interest to fuel the public outrage at judges' abuse: President Trump. He will benefit from discrediting the very federal judges who are currently deciding whether to order the release of his tax returns.
22. He will only have to grant the exposers' request to make public the FBI's reports on its vetting of candidates to judgeships and justiceships. The **evidence available** points to information contained in those reports so incriminating that it will provoke profound public outrage at not only judges, but also politicians. Such outrage will have two dramatic consequences:
 - a. The issue of judges' abuse of power and racketeering will be inserted into the 2020 campaign and become a decisive one on Election Day.
 - b. Unable to remove judges, Trump may demand that Congress call the constitutional convention that 34 states have petitioned since April 2, 2014. This will throw national politics into turmoil and even stir up a deep institutional crisis. An outraged *We the People* can force Congress to give in and call the convention.
23. Failing that, Trump could resort to what he pretends enables him to do anything that Congress shows itself incapable of doing: an executive order. Obviously, he forgot that a single federal judge, District J. James Robart of Seattle, suspended *nationwide* his ban on Muslim immigration travel and that a panel of three circuit judges sustained the ban *nationwide*. But their preventing him from achieving the greatest accomplishment in the history of the Republic, i.e., "Trump's Constitution", would cause him to lash out against judges and aggravate the institutional crisis.

K. Presentation on your and your colleagues' benefit from exposing judges' abuse

24. I offer to make a presentation via **video** conference on how it will be professionally and commercially beneficial for you and your colleagues to expose judges' abuse of power. If we join forces to **investigate** their forms of abuse mentioned above and publish one or a series of my referred-to articles, we can set rolling an investigative bandwagon on which every media must climb. Thereby we can so **inform and outrage** *the People* that the latter demand that every politician take a stand on that issue, thus inserting it in the 2020 campaign and making it a decisive one on Election Day.
25. This is realistic: It only took a few weeks for the public outrage at the USPS delivery slowdown to become so intense as to cause both chambers of Congress to hold hearings for Postmaster General Louis DeJoy to testify thereon and for the House to be recalled from a recess, hold a rare Saturday session, and vote \$25 billion to shore up the postal service.
26. The exposure of judges' abuse of power can have an impact as swift and profound as that of the *MeToo!* movement and the protests about George Floyd's killing: It can launch transformative change in the administration of justice and the rest of government: Judicial and all other public servants will emerge accountable to, and liable to **compensate**, their masters in "government of, by, and for the people": *We the People*.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 18, 2020

Joining forces with Reuters, Sen. E. Warren, and a Hollywood company to extend Reuters's investigation of state judges to the Federal Judiciary and insert its finding of "hardwired judicial corruption" and unaccountability; and Sen. Warren's denunciation of "unaccountable federal judges' abusive self-enrichment" into the 2020 campaign to enable an outraged national public with a *MeToo!* and George Floyd intolerance of any form of abuse to hold politicians and judges accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power

Dear Mr. Mc, Hollywood producer, Thomson Reuters A. Piness, M. Berens, J. Shiffman & other media,

I would like to congratulate you, Mr. Mc, on the fact that "a Hollywood production company bought the rights to [your] story" and for the prospect of having a book and a major motion picture produced thereon. Few people manage to have a Hollywood producer pay attention to their stories, never mind buy anything from them. I also appreciate your invitation to appear on your podcast.

A. Turning Reuters, Sen. E. Warren, and an outraged public into your allies

1. I would like to make a proposal that will advance your interests. In the process, it will also advance the interest of all victims of abuse of power by public officers, be they enforcement law or judicial officers. Those victims are of critical importance to you, for they are your main audience, whether as viewers of the movie, readers of your book, or listeners to your podcast.

1. Reuters's report on "hardwired judicial corruption"

2. The proposal is for you and the Hollywood company to join forces with none other than a major news organization: Reuters. Indeed, last June 30, Reuters published its report "The Teflon Robe" on its massive investigation of state judges. It found that those judges and the public officers charged with supervising their performance engage in "hardwired judicial corruption".
3. You, the Hollywood company, and Reuters can join forces to extend the investigation from state to federal judges. Such investigation will interest and outrage the national public because federal judges are the only judges whose decisions affect everybody nationwide, even the President himself! Thus, it can be reasonably expected to insert the issue of judges' abuse of power into what remains of the 2020 campaign and make it a decisive issue on Election Day.
4. Nothing will outrage the national public –and increase your audience– more than to be informed that the very federal judges who are supposed to protect its constitutional rights from violation by state officers covering for abusive police have coordinated their abuse of power into their modus operandi for grabbing gains and convenience. Their abuse is riskless since they are unaccountable.
5. As you point out in your blog, the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (Title 28 U.S. Code §§351-364) is no deterrent whatsoever to federal judges' abuse of power. In fact, that Act requires that all complaints against federal judges be filed in the respective circuit. Their chief circuit judges dismiss 100% of complaints against federal judges; and circuit councils deny 100% of petitions for review of those dismissals.
6. Federal judges inform politicians of those statistics through their annual report to Congress, which is filed as a public document under 28 U.S.C. §§604(a)(3-4); (h)(2). Politicians disregard those statistics, for they are the ones who recommended, endorsed, nominated, and confirmed judicial candidates to the Federal Judiciary and thereafter protect them as 'our men and women on the bench'.

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf

7. That is how federal judges ensure their unaccountability and how politicians ensure their good relations with judges, who appointed to office for life can hold grudges for a long time and wield devastating power of retaliation. So arises the connivance that festers between politicians and federal judges, the harm to parties before judges, the rest of the public, and the rule of law notwithstanding.

2. Sen. E. Warren's denunciation of judges' abusive self-enrichment

8. One concrete form of this harm has been denounced by a federal politician and expert in financial matters as well-known as Sen. Elizabeth Warren. In her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", she denounces federal judges for refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest in one of the parties before them and steering the case so as to protect or even increase the value of such interest. Sen. Warren refers to it as abusive self-enrichment.
9. Self-enrichment incriminates federal judges in a conflict of interests hidden from parties and the rest of the public and resolved in their own favor; concealment of assets; tax evasion; money laundering; fraud; and breach of trust. Those are crimes. They are committed by judges who earn more than four times the average U.S. household income. This information will outrage a public in the throes of financial hardship due to Covid-19 layoffs, foreclosures, evictions, and bankruptcies.
10. By you, the Hollywood company, Reuters, and Sen. Warren joining forces to give this information to the national public, you will provoke its outrage. That will redound to your and public's benefit because in order to advance your common interest in exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power an outraged public is indispensable.

3. Your strongest ally: an outraged public voting on a presidential election

11. This element of the proposal is in line with your own statements on your qualified immunity blogpage:

In the wake of the murder of George Floyd seen by millions of people, there has been a renewed interest in culpability, accountability and liability of a law enforcement officer acting in his official capacity....Now the shoe is on the other foot and it is time for organizations to press legislators to waive protections of state and federal employees, especially law enforcement.
12. The mood of the national public is against any form of abuse. This is shown by the *MeToo!* movement, the protests against police brutality, and the demands for racial and economic equality.
13. Politicians never show as much sincere or opportunistic sensitivity to the concerns and demands of the national public as when they need the public the most: at a presidential election. That is the occasion when the public is strongest. The more hotly contested the election, the stronger the public in getting politicians to act in its behalf.
14. The national public can reasonably be expected to listen to the information about judges' abuse of power and be outraged into shouting even more loudly its rallying cry so expressive of its current mood:

Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.
15. The national public is your indispensable ally because it is the only entity that can force politicians to hold judges accountable, lest politicians be voted out of, or not into, office.

16. You, the Hollywood company, Reuters, Sen. Warren, and the national public have a common interest that makes you natural allies: exposing judges' unaccountability and abuse of power. The presidential election offers the most opportune moment to advance it jointly. This opportunity must not be missed. Your effort and time must not be squandered in a futile exercise.

4. Neither your blog nor your podcast can advance your interest

17. If when you were pitching derivative products and asset management services to financial institutions and well-heeled people you had centered their attention only on how much money you would earn, for how long would they have paid any attention to you, never mind how much interest would they have shown in buying anything from you?
18. Your blog and your podcast offer nothing to your audience. On the contrary, the blog asks people to give you their sympathy, commiseration, and pity because you, a member of the wealthy, greedy, and hated Wall Street class, were caught doing something wrong –so wrong that you do not dare say what it was, thus heightening contemptible suspicion about you–, found guilty by a jury of your peers, and sent to prison, where you were abused. What is in it for your audience?
19. Worse yet, your podcast will be merely yet another one among thousands of such podcasts: Anything is a podcast if regularly somebody simply talks to himself or chats with somebody else, records it, and makes the recorded file available on the cloud in the hope that somebody in the fog of boredom will stumble upon, and want to listen to, it. No advertiser is sought because none would pay to advertise to no audience. A podcast is an egocentric file in search of an audience with excess tears and a lot of time for others. If yours were a financial product, could you sell it? Would it even flash through your mind trying to sell an ad slot on it? Your enthusiasm clouded the business judgment that once made you so successful.

B. Concrete actions to advance your and your allies' interest

1. The interests of the parties

20. The proposal set forth here is reasonably calculated to bring about positive results because it takes into account the interests of the parties involved.
21. To begin with, I respectfully propose that you contact the Hollywood company to ask that it take advantage of its contacts and reputation to approach Reuters and Sen. Warren with the request that all of you together with me jointly extend Reuters's investigation of state judges to federal ones in time to set off a generalized media investigation of them.
22. The investigation will interest and outrage the public. That will generate public interest in the subject and make the public receptive to your book and movie whenever they are ready for re-lease. This is a reasonable expectation: Can you imagine a more outrageous scandal than the exposure of federal judges' abusing their unaccountability to coordinate their power as their means of risklessly running their Federal Judiciary for their gain and convenience as a racketeering enterprise? That is why the investigation will advance the commercial interest of the Hollywood company and Reuters: because "Scandal sells".
23. Moreover, Reuters and its journalists, such as the authors of "The Teflon Robe" report if they[†] also investigate federal judges, can win an always coveted Pulitzer Prize.[‡] Reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman, and editor Blake Morrison, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com
24. These Reuters authors asked their readers to send them their stories of abuse by judges and the

officers with whom they were involved in “hardwired judicial corruption”. You too can send them yours following the instructions therefor.

http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf.

25. Likewise, the investigation will confirm Sen. Warren’s denunciation of federal judges’ abusive self-enrichment and even provide additional support for it by exposing other forms of their abuse. http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
26. The investigation of federal judges will earn the Senator public recognition as the only politician who has dare denounce their unaccountability and abuse of power. This is her opportunity to become a national Champion of Justice...and perhaps attorney general in a Biden administration. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
27. This proposal finds a solid foundation in the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking that have produced my study* † of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †**

2. Concrete actions that can be taken in short time

28. Time is of the essence: Election Day is fewer than 47 days away. So I have proposed concrete actions: http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
29. In brief, they are:
 - §A. Agree to a joint investigation with me that extends Reuters’s from state to federal judges
 - §B. Publish one or a series of my articles exposing federal judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power
 - §C. Hold unprecedented citizen hearings on judges’ abuse of power
 - §D. Further develop my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which has attracted so many visitors that 49,083 have become subscribers as of 31 Oct. 23. Its proposed development is described in my [business plan](#)(†>OL2:1022). http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf

C. My offer of a presentation

30. After reading this email you can share it with the Hollywood company and discuss it with its officers and other people. So informed of my proposals you can accept my offer of a presentation by me to you both and your guests on this proposal via Skype or Zoom.
31. To help you all decide whether to hold the presentation you may watch my video together with its slides: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Donate through **Paypal**, https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ; by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001; or by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 24, 2020

**Extending Reuters's "The Teflon Robe" investigation of state judges to federal judges so that their coordinated abuse of power as their modus operandi is exposed at the most opportune time:
when the appointment of a Supreme Court justice will focus national attention on all things judicial and the 2020 campaign and Election Day will enable an informed and outraged national public to hold judges and their appointing politicians accountable and liable for their conniving toleration of abuse of *We the People*, the masters of all public servants**

Mr. Andy Piness
Inside Sales Executive
Reuters News Agency
Mobile: +1-973-951-9352
andy.piness@thomsonreuters.com
and Reuters Editor and Business Development Officers

Dear Mr. Piness and Reuters Officers,

Thank you, Mr. Piness, for your phone call and your prompt follow-up email.

A. My proposals to Reuters and their basis on a study and a website

1. I want to propose that Reuters extend to federal judges its massive investigation of state judges, which last June 30 produced the first of its three-part report "The Teflon Robe" by reporters Michael Berens and John Shiffman, and editor Blake Morrison.
2. My proposal finds its solid foundation in my study* † of judges and their judiciaries, based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking, which is titled and downloadable thus:
**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †**
3. Some of my articles have been posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
4. They have elicited such positive reaction that out of its many webvisitors 49,083 have become subscribers as of 31 October 2023. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers and can show their names and email addresses?
5. I bring to the negotiating table something of value that has proven its appeal to potential customers.
6. Indeed, my concrete proposals aim to report on federal judges on the up to now ignored yet incontrovertible basis of the official statistics of the courts, e.g., those submitted to Congress as a public document by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts as required by law under 28 U.S.C. §604(a)(3-4) and (h)(2).
7. In the same vein, my proposals are the sole to hold out a prospect of indisputable appeal to a national public in a *MeToo!* mood that has developed a visceral intolerance of any form of abuse: holding judges and their judiciaries accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse, just as they so hold police and their departments, priests and their

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL2:393 OL2:1169

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf

churches, doctors and their hospitals, lawyers and their law firms, and everybody else, including officers of the other two branches.

8. My proposals to Reuters are set forth at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf. They discuss what Reuters stands to gain if it agrees to:

§A. Undertake a joint investigation with me to extend its Teflon Robe report from state to federal judges

§B. Publish one or a series of my articles exposing federal judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power

§C. Hold unprecedented citizens hearings on judges' abuse of power

§D. Further develop the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, as proposed in my business plan([†]>[OL2:1022](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2:1022))^{*}, to turn it from an informational platform into:

- 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by anybody; and
- 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients searching many writings from many sources that can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power.

^{*} http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf

B. The most opportune time to expose federal judges: a Supreme Court appointment and a presidential election

9. This is the most opportune time to investigate federal judges for abuse of power that they have institutionalized as their modus operandi: the nomination and confirmation of a justice to the Supreme Court will focus national attention on all things judicial.

10. In addition, the 2020 campaign and the fast approaching Election Day make the national public be at its strongest point: when as voters it can hold politicians and judges accountable for their conniving abuse of power to the detriment of *We the People*.

11. The proposed investigation of federal judges can begin precisely with sitting Supreme Court justices[‡] and the evidence of their abuse of power, including criminal conduct, e.g., concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering. But since time is of the essence, the investigation can be introduced by the publication of one or a series of my articles, which are already written and available to you for review. These articles can insert into the 2020 campaign the issue of federal judges' unaccountability that with the connivance of politicians allows their running of the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.

[‡] http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf

C. Some of my articles to inform and outrage the national public

12. Federal judges cover for each other by dismissing 100% of complaints against them, which must be submitted to their respective circuit, and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. Complainants are left uncompensated and the rest of the public is condemned to remain at the mercy of judges emboldened by their self-assured unaccountability.

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf

13. My analysis will allow the assessment of a candidate, not on the basis of the 7% of decisions with written opinions by federal circuit judges, but rather on the basis of the 93% of cases that their clerks dispose of by rubberstamping a 5¢ dumping form! Many if not all the parties in that 93%

will be outraged upon learning that they were denied both equal protection and due process of law and caused to waste an enormous amount of effort, time, and money in a sham judicial process. They will demand compensation of actual and punitive damages. They will be able to do so collectively thanks to their representation by lawyers –so many of them now unemployed, as are many law students- who will practice in the niche specialty of boutique law firms set up under our franchise. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf>OL2:455§§B, D.

14. Nothing will so outrage the national public as the evidence that judges intercept the mail and emails of people in order to detect and suppress those critical of them. Thereby judges offend against the rights most cherished by the American public, to wit, those guaranteed by the First Amendment of “freedom of speech, or of the press [including citizen journalists], or the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the Internet too], and to petition the Government [of which the judiciary is a branch] for a redress of grievances [as by payment of damages]”.
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
15. My charges against federal judges have been unwittingly validated by none other than Sen. Elizabeth Warren, who dare denounce federal judges in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”. There Sen. Warren stated that due to their unaccountability, federal judges engage in ‘abusive self-enrichment’ by failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest and steering the cases so as to protect or even enhance the value of their interest. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf

D. Thinking strategically about the reporting field to be pioneered

16. Through the publication of my articles as soon as possible before the confirmation of the justice-ship candidate and Election Day, Reuters can set off a generalized media investigation of federal judges’ abuse of power. The exposure of the nature, extent, and gravity of their institutionalized abuse of power can become a scandal. “Scandal sells”.
17. Such a scandal can render all the more opportune the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings. There people will testify to the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed. Reuters can join forces with universities and other media to hold those hearings. Thereby the media will burnish their image in the eyes of the public to appear as *The People’s* Loudspeaker.
18. The articles, the scandal, and the hearings can open the way to a constitutional crisis: An informed and outraged national public can put intense pressure on Congress and the Executive to expose, detect, and curb abuse, and punish, not one rogue judge, but rather a Federal Judiciary that as a branch of government has gone rogue...with the connivance of other two branches. How do judges avoid “even the appearance of [the] impropriety” of partiality when presiding over suits brought against their own branch by the other two branches or even the class of victims of their abuse?
19. That constitutional crisis can be aggravated:
 - a. A president that fears losing or actually loses the election may call for the constitutional convention that 34 states since April 2, 2014, have asked Congress to hold in accordance with Article V of the Constitution. It can become yet another runaway convention as it takes into account the world as it has evolved in the last 230 years to fashion a novel form of government.
 - b. A party that wins the presidency and both chambers of Congress may vote to have a constitutional convention so that under a new constitution the whole of the Supreme Court

is terminated or bypassed and a new similar institution is created and staffed pursuant to new criteria but under the influence of that party.

20. Therefore, our “**Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting**” can reasonably be expected to set in motion transformative change in the judicial and legal system and the rest of government: For the first time in history, *We the People*, as masters of all public servants, may hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power. That will constitute one way in which *the People* will assert their status as the sovereign source of all political power.

E. How Reuters can benefit even more by adopting my proposals

21. My articles have already benefited Reuters: Since last July 8, I have informed of its “Teflon Robe” report my website subscribers as well as the addressees of a series of articles that I have sent directly in more than 100,000 emails and indirectly through the more than 200 yahoo- and google-groups, and groups.io to which I am subscribed.
22. What is more, I have encouraged all of them to pay heed to the request of the Reuters reporters, Mr. Berens and Mr. Shiffman, that readers send them their stories of abuse by judges. They have stated that they have been “inundated” with those stories. I know that some of those stories were written after people asked me for guidance on writing their stories and I provided it in a series of articles, the latest version of which is at:
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

F. Offer of a presentation to you and your colleagues

23. I offer you and all Reuters officers a presentation on this proposal leading to a Q&A session via video conference or in person. To set its terms and scheduling you may get in touch with me using my contact information below.
24. To decide whether to accept my offer, see my [video](#) and follow it with its slides([†]>OL2:956).
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf

G. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

25. This proposal and the study* [†] of judges and their judiciaries(*supra* ¶2) on which it is based are the product of the professional law research and writing and strategic thinking conducted by:

Judicial Discipline Reform.

26. To subscribe for free to its articles: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate

through **Paypal**, https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001 or by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

October 23, 2020

Judge Amy Coney Barrett sits on the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals, whose tables of complaints against federal judges show that she has condoned the systematic dismissal of 100% of complaints, thus protecting herself and her fellow judges, who remain unaccountable through abusive self-exemption from any discipline; harming complainants and the rest of the public, who are left unheard, uncompensated, and exposed to judges ever more emboldened to abuse; and impairing her and the other judges' integrity, which is bound by her oath to "administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich [in relations to judges]"¹, and to "avoid impropriety and even the appearance of impropriety"²†

A. Judges' power to hold themselves and be held unaccountable

1. You may be affiliated with one or the other party or be an independent or even hold no political views at all and still recognize the factual accuracy of the aphorism: "Power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely" (*>jur:27²⁸). The enabling circumstance of absolute power is unaccountability. The latter is the faculty of exercising one's power however and for whatever purpose one wants with the certainty that one will suffer no adverse consequences from anyone: one can get away with anything. Unaccountability is substantially different from independence in exercising one's power without being directed by anybody to do so one way or the other. Judges are not independent from the fundamental requirement of the rule of law: its fair and impartial application, even to themselves. That requirement is expressed in the inscription on the frieze of the Supreme Court building thus: Equal Justice Under Law³.
2. Nobody has as much power as a single federal judge: One of them, District J. James Robart of Seattle, Washington State, suspended *nationwide* the Muslim travel ban ordered by President Trump, who had campaigned on issuing it and was elected by more than 62.5 million voters; three circuit judges on a three-judge federal appellate panel upheld the suspension, although only two would have sufficed to uphold it nationwide. Now imagine how much power all the federal judges wield.
3. Republican and Democratic politicians in Washington and everywhere else are equally to blame for having allowed judges to become so powerful. Politicians recommend, endorse, nominate, and confirm candidates for federal judgeships and justiceships and, after their confirmation, protect them as 'our men and women on the bench': The judges appointed by one party are the ones expected to declare the constitutionality of the respective party's laws and subpoenas, and the winning of its electoral candidate; and hold those of other party unconstitutional and its candidate the loser. Judges' counter-expected declarations constitute the key source of their power of devastating retaliation against politicians and parties that try to limit their unaccountability. This is how judges give practical effect to the gang mentality that Then-Judge Neil Gorsuch manifested when he said: "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us." (†>OL2:546)
4. This explains how in the last 231 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789 the number of federal judges impeached and removed from office is 8!⁴ To gauge that number's implications compare it against the 2,340 federal judicial officers on the bench on September 30, 2019.⁵ Politicians have heard loudly and clearly judges' menacing cry: «Don't you ever mess with us!»
5. Another source of judges' power is the Constitution. In Article III, Section 1⁶, it authorizes judges to hold office for life. Actually, they are the only officers in our country with life-tenure, whether through appointment or election. However, their holding of office is "during good Behaviour" only. This constraint is a source⁷ of politicians' constitutional right to exercise checks and balances

on judges by investigating and removing them for ‘bad Behaviour’. But exercising that right makes a politician, all his or her supporters, and their party as a whole run the risk of antagonizing judges and provoking their retaliation. So, in the interest of their own preservation, politicians have abdicated their duty of interbranch supervision by entrusting judges with a unique power: to administer self-discipline. This has been in defiance of common sense and knowledge of human nature, expressed in the axiom: “Nobody can be an impartial judge of himself, his friends, or his peers”.

6. Judges have strong motives for protecting their unaccountability: self-interest and example. By so doing they ensure a benefit to them: the approval by their fellow judges. That protects them from judges’ retaliation against judges who dare denounce their abuse of power, who can be deemed traitors and ostracized as pariahs. To ensure continued social acceptance by fellow judges, judges keep silent. Progressively, the abuse that they condone becomes normal. Their integrity is impaired by the example of abusive judges. It becomes ineffectual at keeping them as only silent abettors of the principals’ abuse. They commit the abuse that they allow others to commit. From ‘live and let live’, for ‘it is what *they* do’, they transition to «*I too grab all I can!*», for ‘that’s what *we* do’. Far from only looking away in silence, they join the others in bragging about how smart they are at grabbing. Integrity is corrupted by watching in silence the abuse of one’s friends and peers.
7. This is shown in both the table[‡] and the two-volume study* [†] of judges and their judiciaries that support this article, both based on original law research and writing, and strategic thinking:

**Exposing Judges’ Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* [†]**

B. Judges self-exonerate from all complaints to ensure their unaccountability

8. Any complaint against a federal circuit, district, bankruptcy, or magistrate judge must be filed with the clerk of the court of appeals for the circuit where the judge sits⁸, as provided for under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (the Act; 28 U.S.C. §§351-364)⁹. The complaint is processed, in the first instance, by the circuit chief judge. Any petition for review of his or her decision is determined by the circuit judicial council¹⁰, composed of circuit and district judges, including the chief. Each circuit court must prepare its statistics on the handling of complaints against judges in the circuit; and send them to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO)¹¹, as provided for in §604(h)(2). AO compiles and reports them in the Annual Report¹² of its Director, who is appointed by the chief justice of the Supreme Court. The chief justice is the presiding member of the Judicial Conference¹³ of the U.S., §331, which is the highest policy making body of the Federal Judiciary and includes all the circuit chief judges and one district judge per circuit. The Director must submit his Report to the Conference and Congress, §604(a)(3, 4); it is a public document.
9. The complaint statistics appear on Table S-22 of the Report. Since 1996 they are available online. I have collected all of them and made them available in one running file with links to the originals in AO¹⁴. In addition, I have made tables that aggregate their values for all the circuits for all the years and for some circuits for some years¹⁵. The table¹⁶ supporting and accompanying this article[‡] collects all the statistics on the complaints that were processed between May 11, 2008 and September 30, 2019, in Judge Barrett’s Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit, with links to the originals¹⁷.
10. Covering decades, these statistics show that even in consecutive years judges have dismissed 100% of complaints and denied 100% of dismissal review petitions; this justifies rounding up the mathematical average of 99.83%. Such consistency in 13 circuits and two national courts across the country cannot be achieved but for an institutionalized policy of the Federal Judiciary. Its adoption is facilitated by the secrecy that pervades the Judiciary: It holds all its policy-making, adminis-

trative, disciplinary, and adjudicative meetings behind closed doors and holds no press conference. Although "Justice should not only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done"¹⁸, judges ensure that what they do is not to be seen. The Judicial Conference meets secretly, thus setting the example for the rest of the Judiciary and its judges. Justice Brandeis said "Sunshine is the best disinfectant" precisely because secrecy breeds the mold of conspiratorial corruption.

11. So, circumstantial evidence gives probable cause to believe that the policy institutionalizes judges' implicit or explicit complicit agreement for reciprocal exoneration from all complaints: 'Today I exempt you from the complaint against you, and tomorrow you exempt me and my friends from any complaint against us, no matter the nature, extent, and gravity of the abuse complained-about'; cf. "Allegations" listed in the official Tables (and at table infra, Lines A21-40=A21-40).

C. J. Barrett has condoned judges' self-exoneration & compromised her integrity

12. In the 7th Circuit during the more than 11 years covered by the table, 984 complaints were filed (O3), but only 3 judges were censured or reprimanded (O89). Its chief judges dismissed 902 complaints in whole or in part (O48); 4 (N1) were pending on September 30, 2019. Only 5 Special Investigative Committees were appointed (O63), but only 1 report was submitted to the circuit judicial council (O70). Of the 476 dismissal review petitions (O71), 475 were denied (O75). "Denied" is the only operative word, with no reasoning, that appears in the 5¢ preprinted, pro forma notification of denial: *a dumping form!*¹⁹, issued as a kneejerk reaction to review petitions. The 7th Circuit judicial council was a dead end, for it did not return any complaint to the chief judge for appointment of an Investigative Committee (O77). Dismissal without investigation was systematic.
13. The systematic complaint-dismissal by the chiefs and petition denial by the council were a cover-up operation to protect their fellow judges. They arrogated to themselves the power to abrogate in effect the Act of Congress. Complainants never had a chance of establishing their complaints, let alone getting compensation. The judges ran a deceptive complaint mechanism. It was a sham²⁰.
14. One cannot know whether Judge Barrett has been complained-about because complaints are kept secret, not even the names²¹ of the complained-against judges are disclosed. This facilitates covering up their abuse²², be it an illegal or unethical act or an impropriety. But she has imputed knowledge of judges' complicit reciprocal exoneration agreement and of the sham. Indeed, she began her legal career as an insider of the courts, clerking in 1997-1998 for Circuit Judge Laurence H. Silberman at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit²³, and in 1998-1999 for Justice Antonin Scalia at the Supreme Court. She worked as a lawyer at a top law firm and was a law professor for almost 17 years. She took the bench on November 2, 2017²⁴, as a circuit judge of the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals, where the circuit complaint statistics are prepared.
15. Judge Barrett has participated in the judges' secret meetings. She has met with judges in the lounge, their chambers, and the corridors, where they formally and informally have discussed, among other things, the complaint filed against any of them and where they have reassured each other: 'Don't sweat it. The chief and the council will get rid of it, as they always do.' Willful ignorance or blindness (*>jur:90§§b, c) are of no avail to her. It was impossible for her not to know how complainants had been abused and would be further abused by their complaints and review petitions getting '*dumped by form*'. Knowingly, she failed her duty to report²⁵ the judges and joined the dumping as an accessory: By looking the other way in silence after the complained-about abuse, she facilitated the judges' keeping and enjoying the gain or convenience that they had grabbed, thus becoming an accessory after the fact. Her silence informed them or others that she would not report them if they committed another abuse, encouraging them as an accessory before the fact. Through both dumpings, she harmed abusees. She also harmed the Judiciary's and her own integrity (supra ¶6).

16. Nothing protects integrity: Politicians' self-preservation interest leaves judges unrestrained to give free rein to their pursuit of the motive of gain and convenience at every opportunity by abusing their means: their enormous power over people's property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identities. Hence, judges start chipping away at their duties. Gradually, discharging them becomes optional²⁶; grabbing takes precedence. Instead of working as public servants in "government of, by, and for the people"(*>jur:82¹⁷²), they work as free agents for life for their own account. They maximize the return on their investment of abuse of power.
17. In fact, a politician as knowledgeable about financial matters as Sen. Elizabeth Warren dare denounce in her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too"²⁷ how federal judges fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the companies that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor by protecting or increasing their stock's value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as judges' abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to their unaccountability. Such self-enrichment necessarily entails their commission of the crimes of concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, fraud, and breach of contract for judicial services, of public trust, and of the oath of office. But it is riskless for judges. So they become predators, always prowling for the next prey.
18. In addition to abusing for gain, judges also abuse for convenience: Circuit judges defraud appellants of their filing fees by disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions that are "procedural [mostly the catchall pretext of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation"²⁸. Unreasoned, they are unprecedential, ad hoc, arbitrary fiats. They cause injury in fact to the people whose money they grab and the participants in judicial process, whose effort, time, and money spent on discovery, briefs, court and attorney's fees, etc., they render wasteful.
19. Judge Barrett has compromised her integrity by in self-interest failing to denounce her fellow judges' unaccountability and abuse of power. If she is confirmed as a Supreme Court justice and you filed a petition for certiorari challenging judges' unaccountability and abuse of power, would it be reasonable to expect her to vote against even taking up your petition for review? For the rest of her life-appointment, she must avoid by all means the risk of incriminating herself by allowing the investigation of current and even new judges, each of whom knows or can find out from other judges about her own abuse and shout at her menacingly: "If you let them take me down, I'll bring you with me!" She is extortionable. To preserve herself, she will not supervise the abuse of the judges of the circuit to which she will be allotted as circuit justice²⁹. She will have to resist any attempts of Congress, law enforcement authorities, and the media and academia conducting unprecedented citizens hearings³⁰, to investigate her, any of her fellow judges, and the Judiciary itself. She must strive to preserve by law and by fact the independence and secrecy of the Judiciary so that she and her fellow judges continue to be an unaccountably grabbing State within *the state*.
20. At her confirmation hearings, Judge Barrett answered senators' questions by affirming that her integrity would not have allowed her to be nominated to carry out the mission of declaring *Roe v. Wade* [allowing abortions] and the Affordable [Health] Care Act/Obamacare unconstitutional, and P. Trump the winner of a suit over the election outcome. Her self-serving affirmation is doubtful because the facts show that her integrity is apt to compromise: Instead of abiding by her oath to administer "Equal Justice under Law", she has joined her fellow judges in providing themselves *Unequal Protection from the Law* to keep grabbing. This begs the questions whether if confirmed, Justice Barrett can be impeached and removed for materially deceiving the Senate about her integrity; and whether answering it can start now by investigating judges' unaccountability and abuse, including 100% dismissal of complaints and denial of review petitions, as proposed³¹.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

October 21, 2020

Table collecting the official statistics of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit, where Judge Amy Coney Barrett sits, on its handling of complaints against federal judges in the Circuit between May 11, 2008, and September 30, 2019, for presentation to Congress in the Annual Reports of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, showing the systematic dismissal of 100% of those complaints and denial of 100% of petition for review of dismissals‡

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
Line	Data of the Judicial Council, 7th Cir., filed with AO ¹ [from previous Oct. 1 to Sep. 30 of year stated here] ³²	'09A 33	'09B	'10 ³⁴	'11 ³⁵	'12 ³⁶	'13 ³⁷	'14 ³⁸	'15 ³⁹	'16 ⁴⁰	17 ⁴¹	18 ⁴²	19 ⁴³	totals
1.	Complaints Pending on Sep. 30 of previous fiscal year ^{44*}	0	36	10	10 [†]	9	14	12	15	9	11	8	4	
2.	Complaints Concluded/Terminated by final action	0	136	105	77	102	92	105	83	98	46	80	66	990
3.	Complaints Filed ⁴⁵	46	111	110	71	93	103	114	81	101	50	77	73	984
4.	Complaint Type/Source ⁴⁷													
5.	Written/Filed by Complainants		110	110	71	93	103	114	81	100	50	76	73	
6.	On Order of/Identified by Circuit Chief Judges		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		
7.	Complainants**													
8.	Prison inmates		49	19	20	31	32	63	38	39	16	24	19	
9.	Litigants		60	85	50	55	67	44	42	60	32	71	49	
10.	Attorneys		1	5	1	4	2	0	0	1	1	3	4	
11.	Public Officials		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
12.	Other		4	0	0	3	5	8	1	1	1	2	5	
13.	Judges Complained About **													
14.	Circuit Judges		44	30	15	30	16	31	14	26	5	18	15	
15.	District Judges		59	54	45	53	72	63	53	69	37	49	39	
16.	Court of International Trade Judges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
17.	Court of Federal Claims Judges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
18.	Bankruptcy Judges		3	11	6	2	3	6	2	2	5	3	9	
19.	Magistrate Judges		5	15	5	8	12	14	12	4	3	7	10	
20.	Tax Court Judges		n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i		0	0		
21.	Nature of Allegations													
22.	Data of the Judicial Council, 7th Cir., filed with AO	'09A	'09B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	'17	'18	'19	totals
23.	Delayed Decision		18	0	2	5	9	17	8	1	1	1	2	
24.	Failure to Give Reasons for Decision		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
25.	Improper Discussions With Party or Counsel		2	2	0	2	1	4	1	0	0	1	1	
26.	Hostility Toward Litigant or Attorney		3	2	4	3	5	0	1	0	0	2	1	
27.	Racial, Religious, or Ethnic Bias		2	2	0	1	1		0	2	1	0	0	
28.	Personal Bias Against Litigant or Attorney		32	2	7	7	9	4	1	1	1	2	1	
29.	Conflict of Interest (Including Refusal to Recuse)		1	2	2	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	
30.	Failure to Meet Financial Disclosure Requirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
31.	Improper Outside Income		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
32.	Partisan Political Activity or Statement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
33.	Acceptance of a Bribe		3	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
34.	Effort to Obtain Favor for Friend or Relative		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
35.	Data of the Judicial Council, 7th Cir., filed with AO	'09A	'09B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	'17	'18	'19	totals
36.	Solicitation of Funds for Organization		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
37.	Violation of Other Standards		2	5	1	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	
38.	Retaliation against complainant, witness, or others involved in the process		n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	0	0	0	
39.	Other Misconduct ⁴⁸		74	105	66	72	7	5	8	7	3	0	0	
40.	Disability		2	1	0	1	1	0	0		0	0	0	
41.	ACTIONS REGARDING THE COMPLAINTS													
42.	Withdrawn		n/i		n/i	n/i	1	0		0		0	0	
43.	Concluded/Terminated by Complainant or Subject Judge/Withdrawn		0	0	0	0					0			
44.	Complaint Withdrawn with Consent of Circuit Chief Judge		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
45.	Withdrawal of Petition for Review		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
46.	Actions by Chief Circuit Judge													
47.	Matters Returned from Circuit Judicial Council/or Judicial Conference Committee		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
48.	Complaint Dismissed ♦ in Whole or in Part		113	100	73	87	86	98	72	92	35	77	69	902
49.	Not in Conformity With Statute/Not Misconduct or Disability	0	5	4	3	9	1	10	4	6	6	7	16	71
50.	Directly Related to Decision or Procedural Ruling/ Merits Related	0	89	94	68	66	80	63	45	53	23	58	57	696
51.	Frivolous	0	28	35	2	1	9	9	16	22	0	5	2	129
52.	Lacked Factual Foundation/Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence	0	9	2	1	10	6	15	10	14	8	9	4	88
53.	Allegations Incapable of Being Established	n/i	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	
54.	Filed in Wrong Circuit	n/i	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55.	Otherwise Not Appropriate	n/i	2	0	4	3	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	16
56.	Complaints Concluded in Whole or in Part	n/i	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
57.	Informal Resolution Before Complaint Filed ⁴⁹	n/i	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
58.	Voluntary Corrective Action Taken	n/i	1	0		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
59.	Action No Longer Necessary Because of Intervening Event	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60.	Appropriate Action Already Taken	0		n/i		n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i					
61.	Complaint Withdrawn	0	n/i	n/i		n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i					
62.	Subtotal		n/i											
63.	Special Investigative Committee Appointed/Complaint Referred to Special Committee	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	5
64.	Actions by Special Committees													
65.	Matter Returned from Circuit Judicial Council		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
66.	New Matter Referred to Circuit Chief Judge		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
67.	Circuit Judicial Council Proceedings													
68.	Matter Returned from Judicial Conference		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
69.	Complaint Transferred to/from Another Circuit		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
70.	Special Committee Reports Submitted to Circuit Judicial Council		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
71.	Received Petition for Review ⁵⁰		53	54	45	42	73	60	27	49	20	32	21	476
72.	Withdrawn	0	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i				

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
73.	Data of the Judicial Council, 7th Cir., filed with AO	'09A	'09B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	'17	'18	'19	totals
74.	Action on Petition for Review													
75.	Dismissed Complaint [†] /Petition Denied		57	58	39	43	55	60	34	49	25	35	20	475
76.	Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
77.	Matter Returned to Circuit Chief Judge for Appointment of Special Committee		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
78.	Ordered Other Appropriate Action/Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
79.	Received Special Committee Report/Special Committee Reports Submitted to Judicial Council		0	0	0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	
80.	Remedial Action Taken/Action on Special Committee Report			0	0				0	1	0		2	
81.	Complaint Dismissed	0	0	n/i	0	0	0	1	0	0		0	0	
82.	Not Misconduct or Disability		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
83.	Merits Related		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
84.	Allegations Lack Sufficient Evidence		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
85.	Otherwise Not Appropriate		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
86.	Corrective Action Taken or Intervening Events		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
87.	Referred Complaint to Judicial Conference	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
88.	Remedial Action Taken		0						0				0	
89.	Censure or Reprimand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
90.	Privately Censured	0	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i			0		
91.	Publicly Censured	0	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i	n/i			0		
92.	Suspension of Assignments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
93.	Directed Chief District Judge to Take Action (Magistrates only)/Action Against Magistrate Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
94.	Removal of Bankruptcy Judge		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
95.	Request of Voluntary Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
96.	Certification of Disability of Circuit or District Judge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
97.	Additional Investigation Warranted		0											
98.	Returned to Special Committee		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
99.	Retained by Circuit Judicial Council		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
100.	Actions by Chief Justice						1	0						
101.	Transferred to Judicial Council		0	0		0	0	n/i	0	0				
102.	Received from Circuit Judicial Council		0	0		0	1	n/i	0	0	0	0	0	
103.	Complaints Concluded/Terminated by Final Action													
104.	During 12-month Period Ending Sep. 30 of reported year	0	136	105	77	102	92	105	83	98	46	80	66	
105.	Complaints Pending on Sep. 30 [end of reported year]	0	11	15	4	0	25	21	13	12	15	4	9	
106.	Data of the Judicial Council, 7th Cir., filed with AO	'09A	'09B	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	'16	'17	'18	'19	totals
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O

[These notes are in the official Tables.]

♦ Each complaint may involve multiple reasons for dismissal.

♦♦ Number of complainants may not equal total number of filings because each complaint may have multiple complainants.

♦*Revised

Note: Excludes complaints not accepted by the circuits because they duplicated previous filings or were otherwise invalid filings.

* Each complaint may involve multiple allegations against numerous judicial officers. Nature of allegations is counted when a complaint is concluded.

Each complaint may involve multiple allegations. Each complaint may have multiple reasons for dismissal.

ENDNOTES

-
- ¹ 28 U.S.C. §453. Oaths of justices and judges; this is title 28 of the code of federal laws, section 453. This title is known as the Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml;jsessionid=527DE001938E7042255B83AAF055949A;> http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf.
- ² Code of Conduct of United States Judges, Canon 2; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>; and [*>jur:68](http://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges)^{123a}.
- ³ See the photo of the frieze at [†>OL2:1040](https://www.supremecourt.gov/); <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>.
- ⁴ Federal Judicial Center, the research and education agency of the judicial branch of the U.S. government; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>
- ⁵ Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Judicial Business 2019, official statistics on circuit, district, bankruptcy, and magistrate judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/number_jud_officers.pdf.
- ⁶ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
- ⁷ Id., see also U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section 4; and http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf.
- ⁸ Each of the 11 numbered regional federal judicial circuits, the District of Columbia Circuit, the Federal Circuit, and the two national courts, i.e., the U.S. Court of International Trade and the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, must file its statistics on complaints against its judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/federal-courts-public/court-website-links#appeals>.
- ⁹ Under the Act([supra fn. 1](#)), any person, whether a party to a case or a non-party, even a judge, can file a complaint against the conduct or disability of a federal judge. The complaint is not a means of avoiding an appeal on the merits from a judge's decision. In fact, the complaint need not be related to any lawsuit at all; e.g., it may concern the attendance of a judge at a seminar where she became drunk and disorderly or at a fund raising meeting in favor of a political candidate or against a given issue where the judge appeared to breach her impartiality or place the prestige of judicial office in favor or against thereof. But it is obvious that the most frequent occasion when a person comes in contact with a judge and complaints against her arise is a lawsuit, whether at the trial or the appeal level.
- ¹⁰ On judicial councils see [*>jur:57](#)⁹⁶ and [supra endnote 1 >28usc§332](#). Judicial councils of circuits.
- ¹¹ On AO, see [*>jur:21](#)¹⁰ and <https://www.uscourts.gov/>.
- ¹² <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
- ¹³ https://judicial-discipline-reform.org/Follow_money/JConf_systematic_dismissals.pdf
- ¹⁴ The tables for the fiscal years 1oct96-30sep2019 have been collected in the file at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf. In that file, Table S-22 for each year also has the link to the original held at AO (Administrative Office). Readers can conveniently download that file to verify the data presented in this table and to prepare similar tables for each of the other circuits and courts and any period of years. To that end, that file contains a table template that readers can fill out.

-
- ¹⁵ See this table collected to similar tables for all and other individual circuits at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf.
- ¹⁶ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
- ¹⁷ The table for the 7th Circuit is representative of the other circuits' systematic dismissal of complaints against their respective judges and their judicial councils' systematic denial of petitions for review of those dismissals.
- ¹⁸ *Ex parte McCarthy*, [1924] 1 K. B. 256, 259 (1923). Cf. "Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Aetna Life Ins. v. Lavoie et al.*, 475 U.S. 813; 106 S. Ct. 1580; 89 L. Ed. 2d 823 (1986).
- ¹⁹ Cf. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf >OL2:608¶5
- ²⁰ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf
- ²¹ By contrast, neither the law nor judges raise any objections to the disclosure of the names of, and the complaints themselves concerning, those accused of malpractice or abuse, whether they are doctors and their hospitals; lawyers and their law firms; police officers and their departments; pedophilic priests and their churches; greedy Wall Street financiers and their firms; corner-cutting pharmaceutical and polluting oil companies and their officers; and everybody else, including you ...that is, if you are not a member of judges' class. Its privilege of unaccountability, arrogated to themselves through the threat of retaliation and the abuse of self-discipline, provides. Benefiting from, and condoning, it impairs the integrity of every judge.
- ²² Nevertheless, complainants can make their complaints against judges public on grounds of equal protection of the law and through the exercise of their 1st Amendment right of "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the Internet and social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including their request for compensation from judges and their judiciaries]"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
- ²³ Cf. Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
- ²⁴ <http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov/judges-biographies/biographies7.htm> and <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/barrett-amy-coney>
- ²⁵ 18 U.S.C. §3057; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml;jsessionid=527DE001938E7042255B83AAF055949A>; and supra endnote 2, Code of Conduct for Judges, Canon 3B(6).
- ²⁶ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf >OL2:455§§B, D
- ²⁷ <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
- ²⁸ Table B-12 of AO's Annual Report, reproduced at †>OL2:462 and commented on at 457§D.
- ²⁹ 28 U.S.C. §42. Allotment of Supreme Court justices to circuits
- ³⁰ http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf >¶73c

-
- ³¹ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
- ³² AO (Administrative Office) modifies Table S-22, mostly by adding line entries or rewording their description. As a result, if an entry had not yet been included in the Table used in a reported year, the corresponding cell in this table for that year shows the value “n/i” for “not included”.
- ³³ <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2009>. While the 2009 Judicial Business Report covers only the fiscal year that started on October 1, 2008, its table on complaints against judges includes the complaints filed under the new rules during May 11 through September 30, 2008. This period alone is reported in Table S-22B of 2008.
- ³⁴ <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2010>
- ³⁵ <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2011>
- ³⁶ <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2012> >Complaints against judges, Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2010-2012 >Table S-22, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2012/09/30>
- ³⁷ <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2013> >Complaints against judges, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2013> >Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2011-2013 >Table S-22, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2013/09/30>
- ³⁸ <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2014> >Complaints against judges, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2014> >Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2012–2014 >Table S-22, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2014/09/30>
- ³⁹ <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2015> >Complaints against judges, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2015> >Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2013-2015 >Table S-22, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2015/09/30>
- ⁴⁰ <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2016> >Complaints against judges, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/complaints-against-judges-judicial-business-2016> >Table 10 Judicial Complaints Commenced, Terminated, and Pending Fiscal Years 2015-2016 >Table S-22, <http://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2016/09/30>
- ⁴¹ <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2017/09/30>
- ⁴² <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2018/09/30>
- ⁴³ <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics/table/s-22/judicial-business/2019/09/30>
- ⁴⁴ There are several instances where the last line of Table S-22 for a given year states that the number of complaints pending on September 30 of that year is X. Yet, the first line of the Table for the following year states a different number of complaints pending on that same date. No explanation has been found for these repeated discrepancies.
- ⁴⁵ Over the years, the judges have added some headings and removed others to and from the table for reporting the statistics on complaints against judges. This is a composite table that aggregates all headings and entries and place them in the most logical position in the series of headings and
- * http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL:1185

entries. The most significant addition and removal came when the new rules for processing these complaints were adopted in 2008. The use of the new rules became mandatory on May 11, 2008. Since then a new reporting table with more numerous and detailed headings and entries has been used to report the statistics on complaints filed under the new rules.

Although the new rules for filing complaints against federal judges provided more numerous and detailed causes for complaint, the systematic dismissal of them and denial of petitions for review of such dismissals by judges protecting their own as well as themselves –‘I protect you today, and if tomorrow I’m or any of my friends is the one complained against, you protect me or them’– continued unabated. The new rules was a ruse by the judges to dissade Congress from taking action to correct the fact that the judges had applied for over 20 years the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 in such a way as to render it useless so that judicial discipline was as inexistent as it had been since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789. During that period there was no formal mechanism for complaining against judges. See the history of, and comment on, the new rules. at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/judicial_complaints/8-4-3DrRCordero_new_rules_no_change.pdf.

⁴⁶Table S-22A(stat:28) for the fiscal year 1oct08-30sep09 deals only with the action taken on the complaints filed under the old rules up to and including May 10, 2008. By definition, none of those complaints could have been filed during that fiscal year. Consequently, that table does not report any complaint filed.

⁴⁷ In the original Tables S-22, some headings above a set of related line entries present in their cells the sum of the corresponding columns under them while other headings have their cells blank. This amounts to format inconsistency. This may be intentional but unexplained, or unintentional and careless. In either case it is troubling, for it begs the question: how many other inconsistencies are there in the way of composing each table as well as the several tables over the years?

⁴⁸ In several years, the number of “Other Misconduct” is many times larger than the total of all the other entries under “Nature of Allegations”. Throwing together so many complaints of misconduct under such a nondescript entry betrays laziness or the cover-up of entries too embarrassing to identify. In any event, if the other circuits are capable of sorting their complaints under the other descriptive entries, there appears to be no reason why the 7th Circuit cannot do likewise.

⁴⁹ If a complaint was not filed because before that happened it underwent “informal resolution”, how did it make it to Table S-22? Actually, how did it become considered a “complaint” in the first place?

⁵⁰The table(cf. stat:24) used to report complaints about judges filed under the old rules did not report the number of complainants’ petitions to the judicial circuit to review the unfavorable disposition of their complaints, which consisted in their systematic dismissal without any investigation. Accordingly, it did not report on the disposition by judicial councils of such petitions. The table(cf. stat:26) used for reporting under the new rules began reporting both the number of petitions for review and their disposition. This explains why the number of “Received Petitions for Review” is 176(L65), yet the number of “Petitions Denied” is 242(L68). This illustrates that the circuit and district judges on the judicial council of the respective circuit overwhelmingly disposed of those petitions through their systematic denial. Thereby they attained the same objective: their self-exemption from discipline to ensure their unaccountability as Judges Above the Law.

⁵¹ Cf. stat:28. The entry “Action on Petition for Review: Petition Denied” under the heading Judicial Council Proceedings” first appear in Table S-22B of 2009(stat:30).

November 1, 2020

**Proposal of a strategy to defend American democracy
from politicians in connivance with unaccountable abusive judges,
by the media and universities holding
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS
through which victims of abuse and an outraged national public
can lead to the constitutional convention petitioned by 34 states
and a new constitution of *We the People*.**

A reply to

Lawyers in Defense of American Democracy (LDAD) and
the 2,000+ signatories of its open letter

“A Primer on the Damage Done to Our Democracy and Repairs Needed” ‡

Dear LDAD, the 2,000+ signatories of your letter, the media, universities, and people with abuse stories to tell at citizens hearings,

1. I read with interest your above-mentioned open letter of Wednesday, October 28. Therein you state, “it is important for all lawyers...to consider the impact of what the President [Trump] has done on the future of our democracy [and] think about what you can do, and what you can urge others to do,...to stop what in the last analysis has all of the earmarks of a coup in slow motion”.

A. The damage that P. Trump will cause by appealing to *his* justices

2. What follows is what I urge LDAD, the signatories of its open letter, the media, universities, and others to do. I urge our joining of forces to adopt and implement a reasonably calculated strategy to counter starting now what President Trump has stated for months he will do if he loses the election next November 3:
3. He will claim that his loss is the outcome of “the most corrupt election in the history of our country” as a result of Democrats’ electoral fraud through mail-in ballots. Hence, he will mount legal challenges that should lead him all the way to the Supreme Court. There he expects his newly confirmed Justice Barrett and her other conservative peers to declare him the winner or invalidate the election.
4. His manipulation of the court system will throw the country into a protracted period of political and social chaos. It will be followed by an even longer period of popular distrust of the Supreme Court, the rest of the Federal Judiciary, and democracy itself, thus shown to be, not in the hands of *We the People*, but rather at the mercy of 5 or 6 justices.
5. More ominous than “a coup in slow motion”, P. Trump will stage ‘a coup with *his* justices’. They are members of his private army: many of the more than 200 district and circuit judges with whom Trump has ‘packed’ the Federal Judiciary during the past four years with the assistance of Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell, who has limited the hearing on confirming each of them to a life-appointment to two hours. Ten of the nominees, a record high number, were found by the American Bar Association not to be qualified for the judgeship for which the President had nominated them. The damage already done to the Judiciary and democracy will be aggravated.

B. The damage caused by judges dismissing 100% of complaints against them

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1187

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf

6. The repair can start now. The open letter is a clear and loud informative cry about the damage done.
7. This email and [the article below*](#) lay out a strategy for repairing the damage. It begins by using the official statistics, in particular, of the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, where Then-Judge Barrett sat, and, in general, of the rest of the Federal Judiciary, on the 100% dismissal by federal judges of complaints against them.
8. What that statistic implies is a threat to democracy: Judges abuse their self-discipline authority entrusted to them by Congress to self-exonerate from the underlying complained-about abuse of power.
9. Judges have turned the Federal Judiciary from the last bastion of defense of democracy based on the rule of law into a fiefdom of their own where ‘judges can do no wrong’. The fiefdom castle has been packed with the justices that P. Trump has appointed to the Supreme Court.
10. However, all the other lords have been nominated by Republican and Democratic politicians, all of whom have abdicated their duty to exercise checks and balances on judges in order to avoid their retaliation. A life-appointment gives judges a very long memory during which to hold grudges against those trying to hold them accountable.
11. Thus, politicians of both parties are the enablers of judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.
12. In fact, a politician as knowledgeable about financial matters and their regulation as Senator Elizabeth Warren dare denounce in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too” how federal judges fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the companies that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor by protecting or increasing their stock’s value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout that Judiciary as federal judges’ abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to their unaccountability.
13. Their abusive self-enrichment necessarily entails their commission of the crimes of concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, fraud, and breach of contract for judicial services, of public trust, and of the oath of office.
14. As shown by the official statistics and such denunciation, *We the People* have become the prey of judges’ hunting parties everywhere all the time, for it is open season anytime *the People* come within the jurisdiction of their fiefdom.

C. A study that ascertains “repairs needed” by a democracy damaged by judges and their Judiciary

15. Your defense of American democracy must begin by exposing the full nature, extent, and gravity of judges’ abuse of it. Such exposure must precede any discussion of reformative “repairs needed”.
16. A solid basis for the exposure is the original analysis of official court statistics, reports, and statements found in my two-volume study* † of judges and their judiciaries, which is the product of the professional law research and writing and strategic thinking of **Judicial Discipline Reform:**
Exposing Judges’ Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †
17. The exposure can continue with the information that lawyers can move for in court and that *the People* can provide at unprecedented citizens hearings. The latter are different from congressional

hearings, which the members of Congress who have connived with abusive judges will turn into sham hearings, as they did previous ones.

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf.

D. Motions for recusal, release of FBI vetting reports, and an independent investigation through citizens hearings

18. Herein there is no impugning of judges' competence, which is a matter subject to discretionary judgment and personal and partisan bias.
19. Rather, it is judges' integrity that is being impeached based on their own actions officially reported to Congress in the statistics contained in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, as shown in the article below.
20. If 'the integrity of attorneys general and his law enforcement officers should not be in doubt', as asserted in your letter, a fortiori neither should be that of judges. The integrity of judges "is paramount" in defending democracy.
21. The statistics showing justices' and judges' compromised integrity can be used as an objective and indisputable basis for lawyers with cases before the Supreme Court to move for: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - a. Justice Barrett to recuse herself;
 - b. the rest of the justices to recuse her;
 - c. the Court to order, not another exercise in deception of the public similar to the internally produced and self-exonerating Breyer Report, ordered by Chief Justice Rehnquist in 2004 and submitted to Chief Justice Roberts in 2006, but rather an *independent* investigation of the Federal Judiciary. It should begin with the Court ordering:
 - 1) the FBI, the Department of Justice (DoJ), and the Senate to release the reports by the FBI/DoJ on their vetting of the justices and the lower court judges while they were being considered for judgeships and justiceships and after being nominated therefor (if P. Trump prohibited the FBI/DoJ from complying with such release order, he would risk devastating retaliation from the Court);
 - 2) those reports to be examined and reported on publicly by a seven-member pool of both the anchors of national newscasts and reputable and politically unaffiliated former presidents of universities unconnected to the Court, for instance, because neither the justices nor any of their current clerks or those in the past five years, or super-lawyers, i.e., those who argue most cases in the Court, have graduated from those universities' law schools;
 - 3) the release for auditing of the judges' annual financial disclosure reports mandated by the Ethics in Government Act and the justices' equivalent reports to the pool and the aides that it has chosen for their superior reputation as experts in fraud and forensic accounting, money laundering, Information Technology, tax evasion, etc.;
 - 4) the release to the pool of all the complaints that complainants have filed with the clerks of the respective circuit court of appeals as well as those written –referred to as "identified"– by the circuit chief judges;
 - 5) the submission to the pool by actual or potential complainants of copies of the

complaints against federal judges that they have filed or would like to file;

- 6) the pool to cause investigative media outlets and universities all over the country to hold the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS. The latter are to be conducted by journalists, professors, and the above-mentioned experts to take via video conference the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, abuse of power committed and/or covered up by the judges and the justices, whether the latter did so as lower court judges, justices, or circuit justices, including the abuse underlying the complaints filed with the appeals court clerks or identified by the chief judges; and to be transmitted to a national audience live, multimedia, and interactively so as to allow the receipt of audience feedback in real time; and to be made available on the pool's website for later viewing and through podcasts.
- 7) Cf. the program for a focused, cost-effective investigation of judges and their judiciaries that takes off from an abundance of leads already gathered; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf.

22. The Supreme Court ordered busing in *Brown v. Board of Education* in 1954 as an unprecedented means of desegregation. It can appoint the pool and order it to organize the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings.
23. Of course, the Supreme Court is not going to order any independent investigation of federal judges whatsoever, for it is bound to expose riskless abuse of power institutionalized as the modus operandi for grabbing gains and convenience by judges held unaccountable by conniving politicians and themselves: They run the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise.

E. Citizens hearings for fact-finding before repairing

24. The investigation of the Supreme Court is not dependent on its ordering it. Lawyers with cases before the Court, LDAD, the open letter signatories –many of whom are university professors and/or officers– as well as the media and universities, can take the initiative in organizing and conducting the proposed citizens hearings.
25. Urging these citizens hearings is realistic: LDAD and the signatories profess to be motivated by their condemnation of the President's trampling on core principles of democracy; and guided by a principled commitment to saving democracy on behalf of *We the People*. If so, they should take action to expose the abuse of power by those who trample on the rule of law, which is the core principle of democracy, namely, federal judges.
26. Only after full exposure of the damage to the integrity of judges, justices, and judicial process can the "repairs needed" be determined and undertaken.

F. Citizens hearings to enable *the People* to exercise direct democracy and enforce accountability to them

27. In a democracy, *We the People* are the sovereign source of all political power. As the ones who run "government of, by, and for the people", *the People* are the masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants as well as legislative ones in Congress and those in the Executive. *The People* hire and pay for all of them to provide services needed by *the People*.
28. Judges are hired hands too. They are supposed to provide the service of applying the rule of law to determine controversies between parties.

29. Nevertheless, due to their unaccountability, judges have become free agents. Together they have formed a State so powerful that it lords it over *the people's* democracy.
30. The citizens hearings are meant to enable *the People* to exercise the foundational rights of democracy and their birthrights: those under the First Amendment guaranteeing their "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances".
31. The citizens hearings will concern judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. They will be but the first ones, setting the precedence for hearings on all sorts of subjects of concern to "the government of the people".
 - a. To learn and share with others a method for writing a story of abuse by judges in up to 500 words only, see http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf.
32. Therefore, the citizens hearings will enable *the People* to exercise a measure of direct democracy. They will open the way to the next natural stage: ensuring that they do not become mere talking shows, but rather lead to mechanisms implementing what *the People* have stated is their grievances and their demands to repair them. Those mechanisms must put *the People* in a position to hold their servants accountable for their exercise of the power entrusted to them to render services to *the People*, and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power.
33. All this is as realistic as:
 - a. women celebrating this year the centenary of their right to vote;
 - b. Black people gaining the right to equal education and even to freedom from slavery;
 - c. the poor asserting their right for not only their boys, but also their daughters, to go to school and for all of them to have access to health care;
 - d. employees asserting their right to go on strike, on vacation, have safe working conditions, and be protected against wrongful termination;
 - e. tenants asserting their right to safe housing conditions and against arbitrary evictions;
 - f. same sex people gaining the right to civil unions and then to marriage; etc.
34. These are millennial impossibles turned into hard-fought realities. They are accomplishments of assertive, stubborn, inspiring people who did not know when to quit.
35. *We the People* can defend democracy and repair the damage done to it by doing what has never been done in history: The Masters of Government holding their servants in the judiciary accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
36. This can begin by organizing and holding the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings as digital agora/marketplace/town square meetings. There *the People* will find the facts of judges' abuse of power, ascertain what damage has been done to the rule of law, and agree on the repairs needed.
37. This is how we lawyers, so profoundly distrusted and held in contempt by the public at large, can lead *the People* in using video conferences, with which Covid has so dramatically familiarized the whole of the country, to gain access to a measure of direct democracy and go beyond...

G. From citizens hearings to a constitutional convention and a new constitution

38. A constitutional convention is what since April 2, 2014, 34 states, constituting the two thirds of states required by the amending provisions of Article V of the Constitution, have petitioned Congress to convene.
39. However, the congressional leaders will never call such convention because it is likely to upset the status quo and diminish the power and privilege that they have accumulated over the 231 years since the adoption of the current Constitution in 1789.
40. In the same vein, the attack by P. Trump on the constitutional separation of power by his systemic use of acting appointments; refusal to comply with congressional subpoenas; abuse of executive orders; etc., is only possible because congressional leaders and other members expect to benefit from not opposing him, just as they expect to avoid retaliation by not holding judges accountable.
41. The attack on democracy will continue whether P. Trump or VP Biden becomes the next president: if the former, because he attacked it and got away with it; if the latter, because *'it's payback time!'*, the continued damaging of democracy notwithstanding.
42. Therefore, to expect Congress or a different president to defend democracy, never mind repair it, at the expense of their own partisan and personal interests is self-contradictory and betrays lack of understanding of the dynamics of current interbranch and party politics.
43. To persist in that course of action calls into application Einstein's aphorism: "Doing the same thing while expecting a different result is the hallmark of irrationality". This is so because it is irrational to ignore or disregard the fundamental law of both the natural and human worlds, to wit, cause and effect.
44. By contrast, the proposed citizens hearings are unprecedented. Instead of the media telling the national public how things are, it will be people telling *the People* through the media and universities how the most powerful and only life-tenured officers in our country have abused them to grab gain and convenience.
45. The national outrage that the hearings will provoke will provide the media a professional and commercial incentive to further investigate judges' abuse; their findings will exacerbate the outrage. A self-reinforcing cycle will ensue.
46. *The People*, who already have a *MeToo!* intolerance of any form of abuse, will be energized to shout even more assertively their rallying cry:

Enough is enough!

We won't tolerate any abuse by anybody anymore.

47. The citizens hearings can be an opportunity for their conductors, witnesses, and members of the national audience to form Internet groups in the guise of Tea Party local chapters to demand the calling of, or even coalesce into, a constitutional convention.
48. This is how by implementing the strategy of inform and outrage, the citizens hearings can take on a life of their own that develops into a runaway national civic movement for a constitutional convention. The latter can produce a new concept of *People*-government relation that is defined in a new constitution. That is how a new form of governance emerges.
49. This process brings about transformative change. Through it, what comes out repaired is qualitatively and significantly different from what went in damaged.

50. We lawyers can set in motion transformative change in defense of democracy. Filing the motions for recusal and investigation is a formal step. However, we can join forces among ourselves and then with media outlets and universities to bypass the presidency, Congress, and the STATE OF JUDGES ABOVE BOTH OF THEM.
51. Outside of them while together among ourselves, we can pioneer the citizens hearings. Thereby we can set rolling a series of event that defend our democracy, repair the damage already done to it, and lead to a more direct, self-defending Democracy: *the People's Sunrise*.

H. My offer of a presentation to you

52. I offer to present this proposal via video conference to LDAD, the signatories of the open letter, the media, universities, and their guests.
53. Your decision whether to accept my offer will be facilitated by your watching my [video](#) and following its [slides](#):
- http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
54. . To set the presentation's terms and scheduling, please use my contact information below.

I. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

55. This propositive email, the explanatory article below, and their supporting study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries(*supra* ¶16) are the product of the professional law research and writing and strategic thinking conducted by:

Judicial Discipline Reform:

Visit its website at

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

to strengthen yourself by reading its articles because
KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.

So have done its many webvisitors,
which explains why 35,629+ have become subscribers.

Join them thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or
+ New or Users >Add New.

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001; or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL2:1193

November 9, 2020

When the national public is focused on how judges will determine the integrity of the elections and half of the public will end up holding judges in contempt and calling for their integrity to be investigated, Advocates of ADA, Wounded Warriors, and Honest Judiciaries, can cause journalists and universities to hold UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS where people will tell a national public their stories of judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power so that an outraged public will force judges to resign and a commission to be formed to investigate the court system, e.g.,

- how judges engage in 'abusive self-enrichment';
- ensure their unaccountability by dismissing 100% of complaints against them;
 - intercept people's emails and mail to detect and suppress their critics';
- run a bankruptcy fraud scheme with their 'cronies' in the bankruptcy industry;
 - require briefs and filing fees but fail to read most of them, which is a compensable breach of a service contract, fraud, and harmful waste, etc.

Think strategically to steer chaos into transformative change from which *We the People* emerge as Masters of all our public servants, entitled to hold them accountable for the power entrusted to them and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power.‡

A. Public mood propitious for a media investigation of judges

1. This is the most opportune time for requesting the media and their reporters to investigate federal judges because national attention is focused on judges as they are called upon to determine the integrity of the November 3 elections.
2. Close to half of the public will hold judges in contempt for the way they do so. That public will want, in turn, judges' integrity and abuse of power to be investigated and the findings to lead to their forced resignation for failing their duty stated in the Code of Conduct for Judges to "avoid even the appearance of impropriety". http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
3. We, Advocates of Honest Judiciaries, want to encourage the media and reporters to launch a generalized media investigation of judges' abuse of power. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
4. Such investigation is part of the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to expose to the national public judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power. The strategy abstains from wastefully investing more effort, time, and money in preparing and filing in court yet more cases. That is the turf of judges, where they disregard the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law in order to administer to themselves 'Unequal Protection *From the Law*' as they individually and through coordination grab gains and convenience at the expense of parties litigating in court.
5. These are some of the most outrageous forms of abuse by judges, who:
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf (†>OL2:1119).
 - a. run a bankruptcy fraud scheme together with their "cronies" in the bankruptcy industry;

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

OL3:1197

*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf (†>OL2:614)

- b. engage in 'abusive self-enrichment' by failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest and resolving the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor to protect and/or increase the value of their interest; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf (†>OL2:1003)
 - c. count a case involving a pro se –a person not represented by a lawyer– as one third of a case, thus giving the case one third of the care and time, which denies the pro se “Equal Justice Under Law”, while requiring the pro se to pay 100% of the cost of preparing a brief, of filing fees, and of presenting the case in court; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf >OL2:455§B
 - d. require briefs and filing fees but fail to read most of them, as shown by “the math of abuse”, which is breach of a service contract, fraud, and a compensable waste, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf (†>OL2:760)
 - e. dump 93% of appeals to the circuit courts through orders that are “on procedural grounds [mostly the one-fit-all pretext of 'lack of jurisdiction']”, unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation”; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf (†>OL2:457§D)
 - f. intercept people's emails and mail to detect and suppress their critics', depriving *We the People* of their most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment to “free-dom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are a branch] for a redress of grievances [including compensation for abuse]”; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf (†>OL2:781)
 - g. abuse their self-disciplining authority to ensure their unaccountability by dismissing 100% of complaints against them and denying 100% of petitions to review dismissals; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
6. Judges’ abuse has harmed the parties that have appeared and that are currently appearing in their courts. Their abuse provides the basis for those who have appeared before the same judge or in the same court to form local chapters to jointly demand to be compensated by judges and their judiciaries; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf (†>OL2:1073).
7. Today, any suit for such compensation will be dismissed summarily. Hence the importance of first implementing the out-of-court strategy for exposing judges’ abuse of power. This strategy is supported by my two-volume study* † of judges and their judiciaries based on my original analysis of official court statistics, reports, and statements. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges’ Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †**

B. Unprecedented citizens hearings, where people tell their stories

8. In addition to the generalized media investigation of judges’ abuse of power, the out-of-court strategy to expose their abuse includes unprecedented citizens hearings.
9. They are unprecedented because they will not be held in Congress or by another government entity.

Politicians are the very ones who after recommending, endorsing, nominating, and confirming judicial candidates to judgeships and justiceships, have connivingly protected them as ‘*our* men and women on the bench’ regardless of their abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf

10. Moreover, instead of the media telling the national public how judges abuse their power, it will be people who will at the hearings tell the rest of the public how the most powerful officers in our country risklessly abuse their power to grab gain and convenience.
11. To that end, the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings will be:
 - a. held at media outlets and universities;
 - b. conducted by journalists, professors, and other experts;
 - c. made possible via video conference so that they do not involve expensive travel and room and board away from home;
 - d. focused on taking the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges’ abuse of power, including current and former court/law clerks;
 - e. broad enough to expose the abuse committed and/or covered up by judges as well as the Supreme Court justices, whether the latter did so as lower court judges and/or are doing so as justices and circuit justices allotted to the several circuits for supervisory purposes;
 - f. transmitted to the national public live, through multimedia, and interactively so as to allow the receipt of the public’s feedback in real time; and to be made available on the citizens hearings website for later viewing and through podcasts;
 - g. announced by the professors steering committee at a press cum meeting-of-the-minds conference that can be set up with the assistance of the respective university press offices.
12. The citizens hearings can expose abuse of power coordinated among judges to serve as their institutionalized modus operandi to run their judiciary as a racketeering enterprise. The national outrage thus provoked will provide the media a professional and commercial incentive to further investigate judges’ abuse; their findings will exacerbate the outrage. A self-reinforcing cycle will ensue.
13. Those hearings can shake public trust in the judiciary so profoundly as to stir up the public to:
 - a. demand and force the resignation of judges and justices, who depend on public trust to have their decisions respected and obeyed. Reliable precedent therefor is the resignation of Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969, for ‘improprieties’ in taking outside source money and benefiting from relations with a former client; Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski on December 18, 2017, to avoid an investigation of sexual harassment; and Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, on February 11, 2019, upon learning that she was being investigated for her participation in her father’s distribution of his assets to his children through a fraud scheme to evade inheritance tax;
 - b. form local chapters to jointly demand compensation from judges and their judiciaries for the abuse committed as principals or enabled as accessories and as complicit and conniving supervisors; and
 - c. demand the formation of, and popular representation in, a grand jury-like commission to investigate, with subpoena, search & seizure, contempt, and indictment power, judges and the court system; which was announced on October 22, 2020, by now President Elect Joe Biden.

C. Citizens hearings >constitutional convention >new form of government

14. The citizens hearings can be an opportunity for their conductors, witnesses, and the national public to form Internet groups in the guise of Tea Party local chapters to demand a constitutional convention. That is the kind of convention that since April 2, 2014, 34 states, constituting the two thirds of states required by the amending provisions of Article V of the Constitution, have petitioned Congress to convene. However, the congressional leaders will never do so because the convention is all but certain to upset the status quo and diminish the power and privilege that they have accumulated over the 231 years since the adoption of the current Constitution in 1789.
15. Actually, the citizens hearings can take on a life of their own whereby people and local chapters coalesce into a runaway national civic movement for a new *People*-government relation. It can transform itself a constitutional convention that drafts a new constitution.
16. That is how the chaos of the presidential campaign, the elections, and the challenges in court to their outcome can lead to transformative change: What emerges from chaotic circumstances is qualitatively and functionally different from what went in.
17. The transformative change can consist in a new form of government where *We the People* assert our status as the sovereign source of all political power. As Masters of all our public servants, including judicial public servants, *the People* can hold them accountable for the power entrusted to them and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power.
18. The citizens hearings can expose judges' abuse of power of such unimaginable nature, extent, and gravity as to provoke public outrage that transforms reformatory measures that today appear inconceivable into ones whose adoption becomes unavoidable. First the diagnosis, then treatment.

D. How you can promote the holding of the citizens unprecedented hearings

19. This proposal for holding citizens hearings is timely. It shows strategic thinking. It can have a practical impact on exposing judges' abuse of power...but only if the email or mail containing it reaches people as opposed to it or a positive reply to it being intercepted and suppressed([supra 5f](#)).
20. Your emails and mail to your addressees or theirs to you can likewise be intercepted. Hence, it is in your own interest to share this proposal with all your friends, relatives, and colleagues, and post it to social media so widely and repeatedly that it has a chance of overwhelming any interception mechanism, going viral, and reaching the largest number of addressees and member of the national public. To that end, "Forward" this proposal in separate emails to each of the following blocs of email addresses by copying one bloc and pasting it in the "To:" box of its respective email:

Subject line: Citizens hearings on judges' integrity & abuse of power: Judges will determine the integrity of the elections; people will be mad at them and support the investigation of their integrity; you, journalists, professors, & abusees seize the opportunity to expose them

To: john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, todd.wallack@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, evan.dewitt@lexisnexis.com, info@casneredwards.com, John.Montgomery@ropesgray.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov, info@elizabethwarren.com, hello@muckrack.com, hello@lawyersdefendingdemocracy.org, info@lawyerscommittee.org, raustin@hwglaw.com, Eric.M.Freedman@hofstra.edu, pam.spector@Law360.com, expertanalysis@law360.com, cbarber@alm.com, tmauro@alm.com, letters@theatlantic.com, amber.phillips@washpost.com,

dailybrief@huffpost.com, thehill@email.thehill.com, voicers@nydailynews.com, Derek.Willis@propublica.org, tips@propublica.org, ssmithrichardson@publicintegrity.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, tips@publicintegrity.org, staci@abovethelaw.com, feedback@altnet.org, iderysh@salon.com, “Paul E Valley MG US Army Ret” <standupamericausa1@gmail.com>, “Lieutenant Colonel Margaret Sue Bozgoz” <margaret.bozgoz@comcast.net>, “Ron Fisher Captain US Navy Ret” <Fisher@PeopleNow.org>, dfpa@aol.com, andrew.mercier@muckrack.com,

Share this email with all your friends and relatives; and post it to social media, such as:

Facebook Youtube LinkedIn Instagram Google Plus Pinterest
Twitter: Promote a media investigation of judges’ integrity & the holding of unprecedented citizens hearings where you may have a chance of telling the public your story of abuse by judges & ask for compensation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf

E. My offer of a presentation to you and your group

21. I offer to present this article and related proposals via video conference to you and your group of colleagues and guests. Your decision whether to accept my offer will be facilitated by your watching my video and following its slides: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf.
22. To set the presentation’s terms and scheduling, please use my contact information below.

F. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

23. This article and its proposals, and their supporting study* † of judges and their judiciaries(*supra* ¶ 7) are the product of the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking conducted at **Judicial Discipline Reform**. The articles posted to its website have elicited such a positive reaction in its countless visitors that 35,715+ have become subscribers. Join them because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. To do so, go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org><left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.
24. To learn how you can participate in turning those subscribers into the initial customer base of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture see the business plan at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf (†>OL2:1022).

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

Donate

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee, to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

by mailing a check to
Judicial Discipline Reform, 2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

November 10, 2020

**The only course of action that is productive is
that which strategic thinking points out:**

Be on the lookout for every opportunity to advance your interests, and when you detect one, take advantage of it to the fullest extent possible.‡

It is more productive to ‘keep a tracker of journalists and academics’ who, far from showing indifference to judges’ abuse of power, have dare expose it. Among them are the following:

A. Tracker of exposers of judges’ abuse of power

1. Reuters, a major U.S. news organization with some 2,500 reporters and some 600 photojournalists, published “The Teflon Robe” on June 30, 2020, a report where it found “hardwired judicial corruption” in state judiciaries: Such corruption is an integral element of those judiciaries and intertwines their judges and the conniving state entities duty-bound to supervise them but in practice covering up their abuse of power by not investigating, let alone punishing, them, not even disclosing the names of complained-against judges. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges. That is what victims of, and witnesses to, judges’ abuse of power have always wanted: to tell journalists their stories.
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
2. Boston Globe, the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree], who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”. *Id.*
3. A politician as knowledgeable about financial matters and their regulation as Senator Elizabeth Warren dare denounce in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”, how federal judges fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the companies that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor by protecting or increasing their stock’s value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as its judges’ abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to federal judges’ unaccountability. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf († > OL2:1003)
 - a. Judges’ abusive self-enrichment necessarily entails their commission of the crimes of concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, fraud, and breach of contract for judicial services, of the oath of office, and of public trust.
4. Lawyers Defending American Democracy (LDAD) published an open letter on October 29, 2020, which was signed by more than 2,000 lawyers, denouncing the enablers of abuse of power. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
5. The findings of Reuters and Boston Globe and the denunciation of Sen. Warren and LDAD independently corroborate my two-volume study* † of judges and their judiciaries based on my original analysis of official court statistics, reports, and statements. Such analysis has led to strategic thinking proposals for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial and legal system through transformative change. The study is titled and downloadable thus:
6. Articles based on that study have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. There they have elicited such positive reaction in its

countless webvisitors that 35,701+ have become subscribers. On the strength of your daily professional experience, how many websites consisting only of legal articles, with neither photos nor videos, do you know that have attracted anywhere as many subscribers? The latter are likely to be educated and well-off people who recognize that KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Visit the website and empower yourself by reading its articles. While there, you too can subscribe to it this way:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register
or + New or Users >Add New

B. Writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power

7. As millions of people do, you too may have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse of power. That is your story. It may be a constant source of pain because you feel betrayed and threatened by the very people who are there to protect you with "Equal Justice Under Law". Instead, they individually and collectively administer to themselves "Unequal Protection From the Law" as they risklessly grab at your and everybody else's expense any gains and convenience that they want.
8. The national public is focused on how judges will determine the challenges to the integrity of the November 3 elections; half of the public will end up holding judges in contempt and calling for their integrity to be investigated. It follows that the current political and journalistic circumstances have converged to generate the most promising opportunity for you to have your story investigated and reported by journalists and read by the national public:
9. The [article](#) referred to in ¶1 provides valuable information on how you can write your story of the abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed. In fact, in paragraphs 50-55 it sets forth a method for you to write your story in up to 500 words. It also tells you where to send it.
10. Please note that however important your story is, so is that of everybody else. Hence, by submitting a longer story, you will not increase the chances of its having a greater impact on your audience. On the contrary, by making your story go beyond the 500-word limit to a daunting or rambling length you will only dissuade recipients from reading it at all.
11. Allowing yourself to write a longer story will only diminish the discipline of mind that you need to write a quality, highly focused story, which can only be achieved through revisions, rewrites, repeated editings, and more rewriting. Throwing everything in is the opposite of carefully selecting data that the investigative reporters can use for their next investigation and report. The frame of mind «anything goes» makes your story wander right into the trash can. Therefore, do read the [article](#). It is *your story* that you are talking about!

C. Send your story to the reporters who asked for readers' stories

12. After writing your 500-word story, you paste it in the body of your email. Do NOT send it in an attachment because opening it is risky as thereby a virus, malware, a keylogger, or a Trojan horse can be downloaded to one's computer. If you have no clue what those things are, do not send any attachment!
13. So that your email is recognized as one containing a story about judges' abuse of power and opened rather than deleted, copy and paste this on your email...

Subject line: Reuters and Boston Globe reported on state judges' abuse of power and requested readers to share with them their stories. Here is one such story with a request that those media outlets and all others investigate federal judges too.

14. Send your story to the Reuters and Boston Globe investigative reporters and those of LexisNexis, Reuters's main competitor, which also runs a huge database for legal research, as well as other top media outlets. None of them wants to miss the opportunity to pursue a timely story that can earn them a scoop, a Pulitzer prize, and money: "Scandal sells". Thinking strategically, you play them against each other based on their motives of professional and commercial success:

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, todd.wallack@globe.com,
spotlight@globe.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, "Brian McGrory Editor"
<brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, evan.dewitt@lexisnexis.com,
Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov, info@elizabethwarren.com,
info@casneredwards.com, John.Montgomery@ropesgray.com,
hello@lawyersdefendingdemocracy.org, info@lawyerscommittee.org, raustin@hwglaw.com,
Eric.M.Freedman@hofstra.edu, pam.spector@Law360.com, expertanalysis@law360.com,
cbarber@alm.com, tmauro@alm.com, letters@theatlantic.com,
amber.phillips@washpost.com, dailybrief@huffpost.com, thehill@email.thehill.com,
voicers@nydailynews.com, Derek.Willis@propublica.org, tips@propublica.org,
ssmithrichardson@publicintegrity.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.org,
mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, tips@publicintegrity.org, staci@abovethelaw.com,
feedback@altnet.org, hello@muckrack.com, andrew.mercier@muckrack.com,
iderysh@salon.com

D. Distributing the article widely to make it go viral

15. We want as many stories of judges' abuse of power to be sent to media outlets and reporters so as to convince them that there is enough public interest in the subject to justify the investment of the considerable amounts of effort, time, and money needed to further investigate those stories.
16. So, share with all your friends and relatives the [article](#) –see its link below– on writing one's story of abuse by judges.
17. Post the article to social media, such as:

Facebook Youtube LinkedIn Instagram Google Plus Pinterest
Twitter: Ask Reuters to extend its investigation of state judges, which found hardwired judicial corruption, to federal judges, who coordinate their abuse of power to operate as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate

by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee, to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or

by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

November 22, 2020

**Thomson Reuters's invitation to join its Law Firm Council
and my proposals in line with its report "The Teflon Robe"
on its investigation of judges, which found "hardwired judicial corruption"
Law firms cannot serve their clients and clients waste their money and lose
their rights if judges are corrupt and abuse their power to serve themselves.
A call for the media, lawyers, clients, and the rest of the public
to join forces to tell their stories of judges' abuse at
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS[‡]**

NOTE: To prevent spam filters from rejecting this article because of its many links, a workaround is necessary, [whereby a constant generic part of a link](#) is to be united by the reader with **a specific file name in bold blue characters. pdf.**

To that end, simply delete all blank spaces inside any link to a file or email address, and click at the end of it and on it. The link should look like these:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf

[CorderoRic @ yahoo. com](mailto:CorderoRic@yahoo.com) should be CorderoRic@yahoo.com

A. What Reuters is and its importance and that of the media and lawyers

1. Many people hate and distrust big media as well as lawyers. But they are indispensable to expose "hardwired judicial corruption". That is what Thomson Reuters (hereinafter referred to also as Reuters) did in its report "The Teflon Robe" by reporters John Shiffman and Michael Berens and editor Blake Morrison, published on June 30, 2020. They were able to investigate state judges all over the U.S. (federal judges were not investigated) because Reuters is a worldwide news organization with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photojournalists.
2. Moreover, Thomson Reuters is the largest publisher of law books in the U.S. It runs the most widely used database for computer assisted legal research, to wit, Westlaw. Every lawyer relies on its books and has used its database.
3. Lawyers are just as abused by judges as their clients, not to mention parties without legal representation, that is, pro se. They too have stories of abuse by judges. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf >OL2:455§§B, D
4. Hence, it is of immense importance to have Reuters and similarly situated companies as well as lawyers support any movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial and legal system. On the strength of their professional, financial, and reputational resources, they can take on the most powerful officers in our country, namely, judges, who have dare deny or dismiss, and cause the settlement or withdrawal of, case after case filed by the president seeking to overturn the results of the November 3 election. Reuters can take the lead in organizing the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings where victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse may tell their story to the national public. This analysis flows from strategic thinking.

Thomson Reuters Law Firm Council Team and
Head of Market Research [Thomsonreuterslaw @ icanmakeitbetter.com](mailto:Thomsonreuterslaw@icanmakeitbetter.com)
Thomson Reuters Holdings Inc. [marketresearch.thomsonreuters @ thomsonreuters.com](mailto:marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com)
10 Opperman Drive, Eagan, MN 55123

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1205
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf

Dear Thomson Reuters Law Firm Council Team and the Head of Market Research,

Thank you for your invitation to join your Law Firm Council. I am interested in joining it.

B. Articles for you to assess my capacity to contribute to your Council

5. You can gauge my capacity to make a meaningful contribution to your Law Firm Council by reviewing my articles that analyze the legal market.

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf

6. You can review other articles where I have made concrete, realistic, and feasible proposals for action in response to articles and statements by:

a. Thomson Reuters;

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf

b. Boston Globe, which published the scathing report of state judges «Inside our secret courts» of Massachusetts by Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca and Todd Wallack, on September 30, 2018; **id.**

c. LexisNexis, your main competitor; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>;

d. other media outlets presumed to be aware that “Scandal sells” and can earn reporters a Pulitzer prize, such as *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, The Wall Street Journal, TIME Magazine, ProPublica, ALM, NPR Nina Totenberg, ALM Marcia Coyle; and

e. Senator Elizabeth Warren, who in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too” dare denounce judges’ unaccountability and their abuse of it by refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the parties before them in order to steer the cases so as to protect and increase the value of their stock. Sen. Warren refers to their grabbing as ‘abusive self-enrichment’; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf

7. My articles are based on my three volume professional study* † * of judges and their judiciaries. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges’ Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

* Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

- i. Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

C. I have already contributed to Reuters's activities in the legal market

8. When Reuters reporters John Shiffman and Michael Berens and editor Blake Morrison published their report "The Teflon Robe" last June 30, they requested readers to send them their stories of abuse by judges.
9. By July 9, I had written an article encouraging readers to send Reuters their stories of the abuse of power by judges that they had suffered or witnessed. I sent it to my more than 20,000 email addressees, and the more than 205 yahoo- and google- groups of which I am a member. Groups automatically distribute any email that they receive from a member to all their members. Some of them have more than 5,000 members. The groups are multipliers of one's emailing effort.
10. I kept revising and resending that article. In fact, at my readers' request for advice on how to write their story, I developed a method for doing so *in up to 500 words*. I advised them against sending Reuters either a rambling account of what had happened or scores of pdf's in the expectation that your reporters would wade through them to figure it out.
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
11. You can also check that method on my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. It carries some of my articles, which have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited such a positive reaction in them as to cause many to become subscribers. On July 9, it had 32,567. Today, November 22, it has 35,898 and counting, an increase of 3,331 subscribers in less than four and a half months. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf >Appendix3
12. My website and my article on writing one's story of judges' abuse and sending it to Reuters provide probable cause to believe that I contributed to your "Teflon Robe" reporters' being able to state that they have been «inundated with stories» from their readers.

1. Commercial justification for you to cultivate the public's interest in stories of judges' abuse of power

13. Such 'inundation' shows that the public interest in the subject of judges' abuse of power is of the best quality for a publisher: Their interest is not only passively intense in that many people want to read about it, but also actively intense in that it motivates many people to put themselves through the mental and emotional toil of writing their story, which often stretches for years and has distressed them with the feeling of having been abused. Those people are likely to avidly read and share with friends and relatives the publisher's follow-up reports on the subject. "Scandal sells" even more when it deals with the distress that the buyers are feeling.
14. Their intense interest in their own and your judicial abuse stories makes it commercially savvy for you –and your competitors– to further investigate judges' abuse and publish more reports on it.
15. Indeed, such interest warrants your extending your investigation from state judges, the only ones covered by your "Teflon Robe" report although their conduct and decisions only affect the people of their respective state, to federal judges, who affect the national public.
16. I have requested that you extend to federal judges your investigation, for their conduct establishes the standard: What they let each other do, state judges feel confident they can do too. In addition, their decisions can overrule state judges' and affect everybody in our country, from the U.S. president and the suspension nationwide of his Muslim travel ban all the way down to an endangered frog and the issue of whether to allow its losing its habitat on account of logging.

2. My website-related advice to law firms

17. My website has no pictures, videos, or the partial titles of many articles and links to their text. Rather, it has on its homepage one article with a long pithy title summarizing its content; below it there are other recent articles; and the menu panel shows monthly folders containing previous articles.
18. In the Covid era, every law firm must not only have a website, but it also must provide articles, not just a blog paragraph, that reveal professional law research and writing and strategic thinking. The articles' information and advice must be both valuable to actual and potential clients, and capable of enhancing their trust in firm lawyers' knowledge of the law and competent application of it. The articles must tie current events to clients' likely concerns: They are looking for practical advice to solve their problems, not legal scholarship from somebody waxing erudite. Only lawyers, rather than website marketing people, can write articles that meet those requirements. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
19. Every article takes an enormous amount of research and analytical effort and time to write, revise, self-edit, rewrite, review, and tweak here and there. Yet, the result is worth it. How many law firms do you know that have anywhere as many subscribers to their website as mine does?

D. My proposals to Reuters on servicing law firms and their clients

20. Reuters must have made a high level corporate decision when it committed massive amounts of resources to investigating state judges and dare publish its three part report "The Teflon Robe" exposing their "hardwired judicial corruption". Taking on judges, even state ones, was a bold and risky decision. Its reporters' request for their readers' stories of judges' abuse of power raises the reasonable expectation that Reuters will investigate some of them and report on the patterns, trends, and schemes that all of them allow to detect.
21. I have made proposals to Reuters that are in line with that corporate decision. They offer a structured way of capitalizing on its investment, for they aim at **Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power**.
22. My proposals are supported by my unique, original analysis of official statistics, reports, and statements of the courts and their judges themselves. If Reuters implement those proposals, it can increase its business and enhance its service to law firms and their clients by thereby "**Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting**".
23. There follows a recapitulation in brief of the main proposals that I have made to Reuters, in particular, and to others, in general, to:
 - a. publish in your print and/or digital properties addressed to the public at large as well as those addressed to law professionals and students upon payment to me one or a series of my articles on exposing judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power. My already written articles are available for you to review; I can write others on assignment. All will found to meet the professional law research and writing standards that I applied as a member of the research and writing staff of the serial publication ALR Fed on federal law of Lawyers Cooperative Publishing, which is part of Reuters. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
 - b. investigate jointly with me federal judges, thus extending to them your investigation of state judges covered in your "Teflon Robe" report. This investigation can include the bold request that President Trump release the FBI vetting reports on judicial candidates and nominees,

which he could do if for no other reason than to retaliate against them for not having overturned in his favor the result of the November 3 elections: ‘You let me down and now / bring you with me!’ He can only do that before leaving office in little over two months...if not earlier by application of the 25th Amendment to the Constitution, which provides for the vice president and other government officers to remove the president due to his disability to perform the duties of his office. It follows that we must proceed with due haste.

- 1) This investigation can begin with the abuse committed and/or covered up by Supreme Court justices, whether they did so as lower court judges and/or are doing so as justices and circuit justices allotted to the several circuits for supervisory purposes; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
- c. form local chapters of pro se and represented parties that have appeared before the same judge or in the same court to collectively demand compensation for the abuse that judges and their courts have inflicted on them; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
- d. explain to law firms how they can benefit their clients by invoking on their behalf the way Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., presided over the removal trial of President Trump in the Senate with disregard for “traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice”, and thereby ground in equal protection of the law and privileged communications their clients’ refusal to comply with subpoenas for the production of documents and witnesses during discovery; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
- e. make a venture capital investment in developing my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://ww.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. Its 35,898+ subscribers can become the initial customer base of the multidisciplinary academic and business venture described in my business plan. It is guided by the principle “Making money while doing justice”. Its objective is to turn the site from an informational platform into a profit center that offers, among other services:
 - 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients searching many writings from many sources with advanced software capable of performing statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis to detect the most persuasive type of evidence: judges’ patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power;
 - 3) an **advertisement portal** for companies selling books, providing discovery, document review, witness location, brief writing, jury selection, courtroom presentation services, etc.; video and in-person conference organization; transportation and hotel accommodation; banking and investment opportunities; car rental and sale; etc. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
- f. hold unprecedented citizens hearings: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
 - 1) organized by media outlets, newscast anchors, reporters, academic entities, deans and professors;

- 2) held at their stations and auditoriums, if need be, although made possible via video conference so as to avoid expensive travel and room and board away from home;
 - 3) conducted by panels of reporters, professors, and multidisciplinary experts;
 - 4) intended to take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse of power, including current and former court/law clerks;
 - 5) transmitted to the national public live, through multimedia, and interactively to allow the receipt of the public's feedback in real time; and to be made available on the citizens hearings website for later viewing and through podcasts;
 - 6) announced by one or a group of media outlets and/or the professors steering committee at a press cum meeting-of-the-minds conference that can be set up with the assistance of the respective news departments and university press offices;
 - 7) leading up to the presentation of the hearings report at the first-ever conference on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, to be multidisciplinary, and nationally and internationally broadcast;
 - 8) both the hearings and the conference offering the opportunity to call for the constitutional convention that since April 2, 2014, 34 states, constituting the two thirds of states required by the amending provisions of Article V of the Constitution, have petitioned Congress to convene;
 - 9) enabling the adoption of a new constitution by people living today as opposed to those who lived in a different era 233 years ago in 1787 when the Constitution was drafted by only landed white men. In the new constitution, *We the People* can assert *the People's* status as Masters of all public servants, entitled to hold even our judicial public servants accountable for the power entrusted to them to serve *the People* and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power, just as judges hold liable malpracticing lawyers and their law firms; doctors and their hospitals; pedophilic priests and their churches; abusive police officers and their departments; and everybody else, except their "brothers and sisters of the robe"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf >OL2:546;
 - 10) offering Reuters and its officers a unique opportunity to set in motion a series of events through which they can serve not only law firms by opening for them a niche specialty, i.e., advocacy and representation of abusees, but also *the People* by transforming the current form of government so that *the People* emerge as the source of all political power, entitled, and in an actual position to exercise it for their benefit.
- g. appeal publicly, repeatedly, by itself, and as organizer of a coalition of media outlets and academic entities to Now-President Elect Joe Biden to form the bipartisan commission on the reform of the court system that he announced on October 22 in an interview with CBS news anchor Norah O'Donnell, to which I am sure Reuters would like to be named, as I do;
- h. promote the formation of a national and international civic single issue movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative change, whose reliable and repeatable precedents are the Tea Party, the *MeToo!* movement, and the Black Lives Matter demonstrations against police brutality and for socio-economic equality; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_International_Team.pdf

E. Offer of a presentation of this article and its proposals

24. I offer to present this article and its proposals to the Thomson Reuters Law Firm Council Team, the Head of the Market Research, and their colleagues and guests via video conference or, if here in New York City, in person.
25. To assist you in deciding whether to accept my offer, you may watch my video and follow it with its slides at:
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
26. To discuss the presentation's terms and scheduling, you may get in touch with me by using my contact information provided below.

F. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

27. This article and its proposals, and their supporting study* † of judges and their judiciaries(^{supra} ¶ 7) are the product of the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking conducted at **Judicial Discipline Reform**.
28. The articles posted there have elicited such a positive reaction in its countless visitors that 35,909+ have become subscribers. To join them because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER go to its website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

Donate

by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee, to Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or

by mailing a check to the address below.

29. I thank you again for your invitation to join the Thomson Reuters Law Firm Council and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. tel. +1(718)827-9521 [Corderoric @ yahoo. com](mailto:Corderoric@yahoo.com)
Judicial Discipline Reform [http://www. Judicial-Discipline-Reform. org](http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)
2165 Bruckner Blvd. [Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq @ verizon.net](mailto:Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net)
Bronx, NY City 10472-6506 [DrRCordero @ Judicial-Discipline-Reform. org](http://DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org)

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

December 6, 2020

**Preparing a video conference
for organizing a movement for
judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and
reform of the judicial and legal system.**

An agenda to prepare for, and guide, it[‡]

Mr. Kenneth McClenton
The Exceptional Conservative Network®
1307 44th Place SE
Washington, DC 20019
Phone: (202) 660-1329
belltwo@gmail.com

Black on Purpose TV Network
blackonpurposetv@gmail.com

Dear BOP officer, Mr. McClenton, fellow talkshow hosts, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your inquiry about a time for us to have a zoom call. I am very interested in talking to you. I trust you had time to read my previous reply to your email.

A. The importance of a conference with an agenda

1. I am organizing a video conference with people willing to join forces, able to think strategically, and capable of contributing means of attaining concrete, realistic, and feasible intermediate objectives for involving the media, universities, and the national public in the pursuit of the ultimate objective of judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial and legal system.
2. The conference must have an agenda so that participants can prepare for it and its discussion can be moved along efficiently toward conclusions that are the signs of tangible progress. That way the conference will not degenerate into a social media chat for swapping spur-of-the-moment, half-baked ideas that lead to nothing except to disappointment and frustration.

B. The three points of the agenda

3. Three intermediate objectives provide the video conference with an agenda consisting of clearly defined and manageable points:

Agenda Point I. Holding unprecedented citizens hearings:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf

- a. organized by media outlets, newscast anchors, reporters, academic entities, deans and professors, and the proposed [coalition of talkshow hosts](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
- b. held at their stations and auditoriums, if need be, although mainly held via video conference so as to avoid expensive travel and room and board away from home, and reach the largest segment of the public;

- c. conducted by panels of reporters, professors, and multidisciplinary experts;
- d. intended to take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse of power, including current and former court/law clerks. Hence, the citizens hearings will give the national public the opportunity not only to be passively informed about judges' **abuse**, which includes criminal acts, but also actively express their outrage at it. http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
 - 1) Their testimony can so outrage the audience as to incite it to participate in turn in the hearings. Outrage can become the citizens hearings' self-reinforcing mechanism. It can provoke a public shout of self-assertion, guiding-objective, and commitment that becomes *the People's* rallying cry:

Enough is enough!
We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.
 - 2) The hearings can incrementally generate a critical mass of outrage that neither politicians nor judges can disregard, as they do the public reaction elicited by the **sham congressional hearings** that they mount only for show. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf
 - 3) Public outrage that so intensifies can generate for the media a commercial and reputational motive to **investigate** judges' abuse of power in order to satisfy the avid public interest in the story. That is substantively different from the media merely discussing judicial nominees' past decisions and speculating about their future ones, as happens during congressional hearings on judicial nominations. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
 - 4) The hearings can be pioneered by **talkshow hosts** holding coordinated weekly or monthly shows where the audience call in to share their stories of judges' abuse of power;
- e. transmitted to the national public live, through multimedia, and interactively to allow the receipt of the public's feedback in real time; and made available for later viewing through streaming on the citizens hearings **website** (see Point III infra) and podcasts;
- f. announced by one attention-commanding national media outlet, such as **Reuters**, or a group of outlets –e.g., TV and radio stations and networks and talkshow hosts–, and/or the citizens hearings steering committee formed of journalism, law, Information Technology, and business professors at a press cum meeting-of-the-minds conference that can be set up with the assistance of the respective news departments and university press offices; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
- g. leading up to the presentation of the citizens hearings report at the first-ever and subsequently annual, multidisciplinary, and nationally and internationally broadcast conference on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.
 - 1) The citizens hearings and their report-presentation conference can give rise to a single issue civic movement that follows the precedents set by the fast nationally and internationally spreading Tea party –which originated in local chapters that coalesced into a national party–; the *MeToo!* and the Black Lives Matter movements; and the demonstrations against police brutality and for socio-

economic equality;

- h. both the citizens hearings and its report-presenting conference affording the opportunity to call for the constitutional convention that since April 2, 2014, 34 states, constituting the two thirds of states required by the amending provisions of Article V of the Constitution, have petitioned Congress to convene;
- i. linked in a mutually reinforcing relation to the proposed documentary [Black Robed Predators: when the judges are the abusers](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf). http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
 - 1) The documentary can both be a promotional tool for the hearings and its conference, and receive powerful advertisement through them.
 - 2) It can be produced to the standards of, and apply similar marketing means as did, Michael Moore's *Fahrenheit 9/11* and *Penguins*, which became the largest grossing documentaries of their respective time.

Agenda Point II. Causing President-elect Biden to follow through on his announcement on October 22 in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell of his intent to form a bipartisan commission to reform the judicial system.

4. We will endeavor to be named to the commission on the strength of our superior professional conduct and [original research](#) on judges and their judiciaries, knowledge, and proposals, contained in the study titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page OL2:394-1143

* Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

- a. Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

5. Our objective is to influence the commission to undertake what constitutes the indispensable first step of any good faith pursuit of reforms: an [independent investigation](#) of the nature, full extent, and gravity of judges' abuse of power. Only such investigation can expose abuse that has become the institutionalized modus operandi of judges and their courts. The outrage that the exposed abuse will provoke will turn reform measures that today appear inconceivable into an unavoidable transformation of the judicial system.

- a. The investigators must not include any judge, judicial staff, or current or former clerk or lawyer who regularly argues before any court. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
- b. Moreover, the investigators must be endowed with their own subpoena, search and seizure, and contempt power not subject to judges' approval or review. Article III, Section 1, of the Constitution provides the grounds for excluding from the courts' jurisdiction any matter, e.g., lawsuits, motions, petitions, and applications, concerning the commission's investigation of them and their judges. Judges must not be in a position to limit, hinder, delay, or defeat the investigation of themselves.

Agenda Point III. Developing Judicial Discipline Reform, which conducts professional research on judges and their judiciaries, and its website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

6. The articles posted to its site have attracted so many visitors that 36,121 and counting have become subscribers. You may subscribe for free to those articles thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>
 <left panel ↓Register
 or + New or Users >Add New.

7. Watch the [video](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4) that presents the work of Judicial Discipline Reform and follow it using its slides. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
8. Investing in the development of Judicial Discipline Reform and its website is described in a [business plan](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf) that is guided by the principle "Making Money While Doing Justice". It envisages turning the site from an informational platform into: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
 - a. the official website of the citizens hearings and report-presenting conference. It will be tasked with facilitating their coordination and production; allowing live, streaming, and podcast access to them; and serving as depository of people's [stories of abuse](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf) and documents, and the carrier of an analytical blog relating to them; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
 - b. a **clearinghouse** for [complaints against judges](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf) uploaded by anybody; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - c. a **research center** for clients that pay a single session or subscription fee to use advanced software that performs statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis to [audit](http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf) many writings of judges and many sources in search of the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf

C. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

9. Our cause is a meaningful one: To expose judges who exonerate themselves from any complaint against them and in connivance with the politicians who put them on the bench risklessly abuse

their power for their gain and convenience, to the detriment of litigants and the rest of the national public. We want to be instrumental in causing the current judicial system to be reformed so profoundly that it undergoes transformative change:

- a. The exposure of judges' abuse through unprecedented citizens hearings will so inform and outrage the public as to stir it up to force changes from which the public will emerge transformed into *We the People* who effectively assert our status as Masters of all our public servants in "government of, by, and for the people". For the first time in history, *the People* will be empowered to hold their judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

10. We, the participants in the video conference being prepared, can pioneer such transformative change by joining forces to implement this agenda. If we do so, we can reasonably expect to become widely recognized by a grateful *People* as their Champions of Justice.

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

Donate

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

by mailing a check to the address below.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Judicial Discipline Reform

2165 Bruckner Blvd

Bronx, New York City 10472

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

tel. +1(718)827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,

Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

NOTE: Given the interference with Dr. Cordero's email and e-cloud storage accounts described at ^{*}>ggl:1 et seq. and [†]>OL2:1114\$G, when emailing him, copy the above bloc of his email addresses and paste it in the To: line of your email so as to increase the chances of your email reaching him at least at one of those addresses.

^{*} http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

December 8, 2020

Thinking strategically while preparing a video conference for organizing a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial and legal system.

A three-point agenda to prepare for, and guide, it[‡]

A. Encouraged by individuals while committed to them and the rest of the public collectively

1. It is likely that you contacted me after reading one or more of my articles or visiting my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. It has attracted so many webvisitors that 36,196 have become subscribers.
2. The article that has attracted the most enthusiastic response is that setting forth a method for people to write in up to 500 words their stories of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed. In addition, it provides the email addresses for people to send their stories to Reuters, a major news organization that investigated state judges and in its report "The Teflon Robe" of last June 30 stated that it had found "hardwired judicial corruption"; see some of those addresses in paragraph 12 below. Reuters asked that people send it their stories of judges' abuse. It and other similar news organizations may investigate, and give people the opportunity to tell, their stories to the national public, for instance, at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#). Hence, [download the article](#), apply its method for writing your story, and send it to Reuters and the other news organizations as soon as possible.
3. I trust you realize that many of those visitors to my website as well as recipients of my emails have also come up with the same idea of contacting me for a consultation about their legal problem. Indeed, many people contact me by email, mail, and phone. Do you think that it would be reasonable to expect that I drop whatever I am doing, even if time sensitive, in order to help them and, in addition, do so for free? Of course not.
4. The article on preparing a video conference shows what I have been doing recently: I have been developing a strategy for exposing judges abuse of power in the context of current events: Judges are called upon to determine charges of fraudulent voting in the presidential election and President Trump and his supporters are very dissatisfied with their determinations.
 - a. Can we cause them to retaliate against judges by exposing their abuse?
 - b. Can the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) be the event where they can do so?
 - c. Can the naming by President-elect Biden of his commission to reform the judicial system - which he announced in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22- induce President Trump to use judicial reform as a justification for his judicial exposure?

B. Thinking strategically to advance our interest by gaining allies of result

5. Taking advantage of the exposure of judges' abuse by Reuters, Boston Globe, and Sen. E. Warren is an application of the strategic thinking principle "The enemy of my enemy is my friend".
6. Our objective is not to cause them to become our supporters. Rather, it is to heighten their own commercial and reputational interest in continuing the exposure of judges that they have already dare do and doing it to a greater extent in spite of the greater risk of incurring judges' retaliation,

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1217
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf

e.g., by extending their [investigation](#) of state judges to their federal counterparts.

7. We must be willing to step outside the box in our comfort zone, so cluttered with the suffering, resentment, and outrage caused by judges' abuse, and think strategically in terms of the interests that motivate other people to take or not to take action or do so differently. We must try to steer their interest in a direction that is harmonious with our own interest in exposing judges' abuse, obtaining [compensation](#), and reforming the system.
8. It follows that our and their interests need not coincide. We need not be ideological allies. Our interests only need be harmonious. We need be only allies of result: We want to achieve the same result even if driven to it by different motives and taking different routes. However, the separate advancement of the respective interest may prove reciprocally beneficial.
9. Becoming allies of result calls for strategic thinking. It also calls for realizing how time sensitive the implementation of this strategy is. A key element of it aims to induce President Trump to release to the public the FBI secret reports on its vetting of judicial candidates and nominees; for him to do that, he must still be in office, where he will be only until next January 20.
 - a. Those FBI vetting reports can reveal criminal and unethical conduct of unaccountable and consequently risklessly abusive judges. The outrage that such revelation can provoke can make judges' resignation unavoidable given that their own Code of Conduct, Canon 2, requires that judges "avoid impropriety and even the appearance of impropriety".
 - b. Trump, who together with his children faces charges in court alleging personal and organizational financial wrongdoing, would like nothing more than to disqualify any and all judges and throw federal and state judiciaries into chaos.
 - c. Chaos can act as an expository force, causing judges to plea bargain by incriminating their peers and "bigger fish", which with domino effect can cause one to bring another down and so on.
10. If we implement this strategy for exposing judges' unaccountability and abuse of power, each of us, all of us, and the rest of the national public will benefit.
 - a. Strategic thinking runs through my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, which is the product of professional law research and writing. It is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣](#)

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

- i. Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.
- ii. In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* †>[blue references](#)) very easy.

C. Helping one person warrants payment of a consulting fee

11. Therefore, turning my attention, effort, and time to the case of one party's personal and local legal problem can only be done as a gainful activity: a consulting fee must be earned. This fee is \$350 per hour to which is added the cost of access to any necessary legal databases or books as well as any other incidental expense. That fee is a retainer: You decide how many hours of consulting you want and pay for them in advance by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee using your bank's Billpay feature, to:

Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

D. Joining forces to distribute the article and promote the video conference

12. In your own interest you can help implement the strategy laid out herein for exposing judges' abuse of power by sharing this article with all your friends and relatives; forwarding it to the addresses in the TO: box of this email; and sending it to the following email addresses, which you can copy as one bloc, paste it in the TO: box of this email, and click Send:

marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, bthompson@legalnews.com,
john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, todd.wallack@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com,
patricia.wen@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com, newstip@globe.com,
evan.dewitt@lexisnexis.com, austin.dunn@lexisnexis.com, Lane.Okney@lexisnexis.com,
info@elizabethwarren.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
john.caminiti@lexisnexis.com, communication@lexisnexis.com, mcnulaj@nytimes.com,
eric.sylvers@wsj.com, Alice.Crites@washpost.com, Amy.Brittain@washpost.com,
amber.phillips@washpost.com, jathomsen@alm.com, Derek.Willis@propublica.org,
Eric.Umansky@ProPublica.org, amiller@newshour.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.org,
ssmithrichardson@publicintegrity.org, andrea@americanthinker.com,

13. You can also post it to social media, such as:

Facebook
Youtube
LinkedIn
Instagram
Google Plus
Pinterest

Twitter: Preparing a video conference on organizing a civic, single issue, apolitical movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial and legal system; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf

14. In the Subject: box or equivalent you can write this:

Re: Preparing a video conference for organizing a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial system

15. By way of a preview of the video conference and its agenda, watch the presentation [video](#) of Judicial Discipline Reform and follow it with its [slides](#).

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

16. Distributing this email is essential to implementing the strategy of taking advantage of current events in order to expose judges' abuse of power. But it is not enough. We all need to recognize that resources are needed. So:

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

Donate to

Judicial Discipline Reform

by making a deposit or an online transfer, which normally carries no transfer fee, to

Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or

by mailing a check to the address below.

To join for free its subscribers:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register
or + New or Users >Add New.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Judicial Discipline Reform

2165 Bruckner Blvd

Bronx, New York City 10472-6506

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

tel. +1(718)827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

NOTE: Given the interference with Dr. Cordero's email and e-cloud storage accounts described at [*>gg!1 et seq.](#) and [†>OL2:1114\\$G](#), when emailing him, copy the above bloc of his email addresses and paste it in the To: line of your email so as to enhance the chances of your email reaching him at least at one of those addresses.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

December 19, 2020

Providing Public Radio news directors, talkshow hosts, journalists, lawyers, and others with information about judges' abuse of power, and their exposure at unprecedented citizens hearings and sequel actions[‡]

Mr. Lev Tsitrin
Coalition against Judicial Fraud
cajfr.org; levt2002@yahoo.com

Dear Mr. Tsitrin and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

I would like to congratulate you, Mr. Tsitrin, on your tireless and resourceful effort to expose judicial fraud.

A. Obtaining information from Thomson Reuters, Boston Globe, Sen. Warren, President-elect Biden, LexisNexis, LDAD, and JDR

1. You contacted the News Director at Alabama Public Radio; and induced her to reply to you, which is an achievement in itself. She let you know that she is, as you put it, “interested in instances of judicial fraud that are specific to Alabama”.
 - a. In this article, judicial fraud and judicial corruption are considered aspects of the broader concept of judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, which is referred to in brief as judges’ abuse of power or abuse.
2. To produce a revealing and insightful report or show on Alabama judicial fraud, or rather Alabama judges’ abuse of power, the Alabama Public Radio News Director can apply the principle KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. So she can:
 - a. find specific stories and select those that are representative of the several [forms of abuse](#) through which judges grab gains and convenience; and
 - b. learn general information that explains the [enabling circumstances](#) ^{>¶¶1-7} of abuse, to wit, unaccountability, risklessness, secrecy, and coordination among judges and between them and their supervisors, i.e., those in judicial performance commissions and those who process complaints against judges.
3. Learning both specific stories and general information is the precondition for the News Director to produce a report or show that so outrages Alabamians as to cause them to take action. Such a practical impact on her state audience would warrant as cost-effective her investment of effort, time, and journalistic resources, which affects her budget as well as her reputation. As journalists say, “the story had legs” ...and she can make it take her with it.
4. To learn Alabama-specific stories and general information:
 - a. Contact the journalists and editor of Thomson Reuters –a major news organization with more than 2,500 journalists and over 600 photojournalists– who directed the team that conducted a NATIONAL investigation into judicial corruption, abuse of power, and judicial performance review commissions. They reported their findings in the three-part report “The Teflon Robe”, the first of which was published on June 30, 2020.

1) Their key finding was “hardwired judicial corruption” in state judiciaries. Upon reading

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf OL3:1221
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf

their report, one can define that concept as corruption that is an integral element of those judiciaries and intertwines their judges and the conniving state entities that are duty-bound to supervise them but in practice cover up their abuse of power by not investigating, let alone punishing, them, not even disclosing the names of complained-against judges.

- 2) Complaining abusees remain uncompensated; and new parties appear in court unsuspecting that they are going to be, not before administrators of equal justice, but rather at the mercy of risklessly indiscriminate abusers.
- 3) No wonder, when the Thomson Reuters reporters asked readers to send them their stories of judges' corruption and abuse of power, so many did that the reporters subsequently stated that they had been "inundated with stories". The floodgates opened and pent-up outrage and resentment rushed toward journalists willing to read their stories.
- 4) This is precedent for what can happen if the Alabama Public Radio News Director asks her audience to send her their stories of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed. She can encouragingly make it easier for them to do so by pointing to the [method for writing](#) in up to 500 words their stories, which they can send to her, Reuters, and other journalists. She can build on their response, as proposed below.
- 5) It is in the interest of the Reuters reporters to forward their Alabama-related stories to the News Director in exchange for her giving them credit and making a reference to their report. Thereby their report's legs take them farther.
- 6) Likewise, it is in the reporters' and Reuters's interest to maximize their vast experience gained during their national investigation and their investment of journalistic resources and money by joining forces with the News Director to investigate her state judiciary. This is a win-win, symbiotic relation.
- 7) Reuters's and the Director's business mentality and capacity to seize a promising opportunity can lead them to export their joint experience to other venues and thereby develop a profitable niche activity....and a franchise too? Here applies my well-balanced realistic-idealistic guiding principle "Making Money While Doing Justice".
- 8) These are the names and email addresses of The Teflon Robe team:

"Reporter" <john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com>, "reporter"
<michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com>, "editor"
<blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com>,

Team members

matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com, Brad.Heath@tr.com,
craig.hettich@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
Caroline.Monahan@thomsonreuters.com, Corinne.Perkins@thomsonreuters.com,
"Today Managing Editor Jim W. Dean" <jimwdean@aol.com>,"VT Senior Editor
Gordon Duff" <gpdf@aol.com>, Troy.Dunkley@thomsonreuters.com,
Pete.Hausler@thomsonreuters.com,

Corporate managers

marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, bthompson@legalnews.com,

9) Before contacting these Reuter’s journalists and officers, the News Director should read their report to educate herself on the blatant as well as subtle [forms of judges’ abuse](#). She will come away, not only more knowledgeable about such abuse, but also outraged at the callous and reckless way in which judges and their conniving supervisors devastate the lives of parties and their families and friends. Outrage is a driving force. It will reinforce her determination to expose Alabama-specific abuse and join the effort to expose its general occurrence nationwide.

10) These are the links to The Teflon Robe report:

<https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>

<https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>

<https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>

b. contact Boston Globe, the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, which published on September 30, 2018, its report “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree], who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.

1) “Boston Globe Inside Our Secret Courts reporter” <todd.wallack@globe.com>, “editor” <patricia.wen@globe.com>, spotlight@globe.com, “Brian McGrory Editor” <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, “Mark Morrow Senior Deputy Managing Editor” <mark.morrow@globe.com>, “Mark Morrow Senior Deputy Managing Editor” <mark.morrow@globe.com>, newstip@globe.com, support@bostonglobe.zendesk.com, comments@globe.com, newsletters@email.bostonglobe.com, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net “David Dahl Deputy Managing Editor Print and Operations” <david.dahl@globe.com>, “Jennifer Peter Managing Editor” <jennifer.peter@globe.com>, “Jason Tuohy Managing Editor Digital” <jason.tuohey@globe.com>, “Anika Butler Deputy Managing Editor for local news” anika.butler@globe.com, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

2) <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>

c. contact Senator Elizabeth Warren, who [dare denounce](#) in her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", how federal judges fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the companies that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor so as to protect or increase their stock’s value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as its judges’ abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to federal judges’ unaccountability.

1) Judges’ abusive self-enrichment necessarily entails their commission of the crimes of fraud on parties; intetional interference in commercial relations; concealment of assets; tax evasion; money laundering; fraud on, or collusion with, banks through misrepresentation of funds’ provenance; and breach of contract for judicial services, of the oath of office, and of public trust.

2) info@elizabethwarren.com , Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov, info+canned.response@elizabethwarren.com, reply@workingfamilies.org, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

- 3) Sen. Warren cannot press her progressive agenda without harming President-elect Biden, who needs the support of her base but must follow a moderate course in order to avoid being labeled 'a socialist'. The fact is that she has not been even mentioned for any position in his administration. Thinking strategically, the News Director can present to Sen. Warren the further exposure of judges' abusive self-enrichment as [her hallmark](#) campaign, which the Senator can pursue jointly with the Director, Reuters, and others, including the President-elect himself! Indeed,
- d. Contact President-elect Biden to express her interest in his naming the bipartisan commission for the reform of the judicial system that he announced in an interview with CBS news anchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22.
- e. contact [LexisNexis](#), the [main competitor](#) of Thomson Reuters as publisher of law books and producer of a huge law and business database for computer-assisted research. LexisNexis is likely to want to join forces with news directors and others to investigate a story that can lead to a scoop, a Pulitzer Prize, and significant commercial and reputational rewards.
 - 1) evan.dewitt@lexisnexis.com, tyler.duke@lexisnexis.com, Lane.Okney@lexisnexis.com, john.caminiti@lexisnexis.com, communication@lexisnexis.com, corderoric@yahoo.com, austin.dunn@lexisnexis.com, austin.dunn@mail.lexisnexis.com,
- f. contact [Lawyers Defending American Democracy](#) (LDAD), an entity that was able to attract the support of more than 2,400 lawyers and law professors as signatories –some of whom are bound to be in Alabama– of its statement in defense of generally accepted legal and judicial values. It is reasonable to expect that LDAD will put the Alabama News Director in touch with them.
 - 1) press@lawyersdefendingdemocracy.org, info@lawyerscommittee.org, hello@lawyersdefendingdemocracy.org, John.Montgomery@ropesgray.com, info@casneredwards.com, cbarber@alm.com, jon.bouker@arentfox.com, stanley.mcdermott@dlapiper.com, contactus@ropesgray.com, Robert.dell@lw.com, Emanuel.Rouvelas@klgates.com, efidell@ftlf.com, jblake@cov.com, nfels@cov.com, kathy.weinman@hoganlovells.com, satwardy@daypitney.com, Robert.Skinner@ropesgray.com, DStern@affiliatedmonitors.com, info@affiliatedmonitors.com, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,
- g. read my professional study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, which is based on original analysis of [official judicial statistics](#), reports, and statements. Published by Judicial Discipline Report, the study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)* †

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes: page# up to prefix OL: page393

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page OL2:394-1143

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

I. Open the downloaded files using Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available for

free at <https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.

h. Visit the [website](#) of **Judicial Discipline Reform**, where articles based on the study have been posted. This site attests to the appeal of those articles and their proposals by attracting so many webvisitors that 36,277 have become subscribers; see the [Appendixes](#) to this article.

1) To subscribe for free to those articles:

Go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register
or + New or Users >Add New.

2) See the article that requests that Thomson Reuters extend its investigation from state to federal judges, and to that end provides an abundance of [investigative leads](#).

3) See the analysis of judges' abuse of power that is likely to provoke the most outrage, namely, their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those critical judicial officers and performance.

4. Study the analysis of the official statistics showing how federal judges cover for each other by [dismissing 100%](#) of complaints filed against any of them and denying 100% of petitions to review those complaints. They ensure their own unaccountability, the harm to complainants, and the rest of the public notwithstanding.

B. The Alabama Public Radio News Director can have national impact

5. The Alabama News Director can turn her production of a report or a show into an **example for news directors** across the country. Thereby she can set in motion the formation of a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial and legal system; and make a name for herself. Those are reasonable expectations arising from taking the concrete, realistic, and feasible actions discussed below.

6. To that end, she can contact fellow news directors, talkshow hosts, and journalists in- and out-of-state to cause them to join forces to coordinate the weekly or monthly publishing of a report on judges' abuse of power or holding of a show where the audience share their stories of abuse. This can lead to the formation of the proposed [Coalition of Talkshow Hosts for Justice](#). It can become a powerhouse of American politics and rival the national TV network newscasts.

7. The effort to coalesce media people in that coalition can be leveraged to lead together with university deans and professors to the joint holding of [unprecedented citizen's hearings](#).

a. The citizen's hearings will be conducted by journalists and multidisciplinary professors and experts. They will take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

b. The hearings will be held via video conference to make it inexpensively accessible to witnesses as well as the largest number of members of the national public as well as the international public, for whom they will set an example to emulate in their countries. To the extent technically possible, they will be interactive so that a live public can provide feedback in real time. They will also be available for streaming and through podcasts.

8. The findings of the citizens hearings will be presented in a report at the **first-ever conference** on judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power. It can be conducted in part or in whole via video conference, and made available nationally and internationally.

9. The citizens hearings and the conference can launch nationally and internationally:

a. A civic, apolitical, single issue **movement for judicial abuse of power exposure**, compensation of abusees, and reform of the judicial and legal system. Its reliable and repeatable precedents are:

- 1) The Tea Party (*Taxed Enough Already*), which began with neighborhood groups focused on tax reduction that subsequently coalesced into a national party strong enough to dominate presidential politics;
- 2) The *MeToo!* And Black Lives Matter movements, whose common intolerance of abuse of power is self-assertively shouted in their common cry:

Enough is enough! We won't take abuse by anybody anymore.

- 3) The demonstrations against police brutality and for socio-economic equality, which internationally have found expression of solidarity and have been emulated by demonstrations in the several countries. See http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf.

b. **Local chapters** composed of parties who have appeared before the same abusive judge or court and assert collectively their demand to be **compensated** by judges and their judiciaries. The chapters can form both by the parties applying the **method** for them to find each other and as the result of the organizing work of lawyers who are building up a niche practice and law students who are learning by doing under the supervision of a professor teaching a public interest course or directing a law school law clinic.

c. Judges and their judiciaries have held themselves and been held by their supervisors as **Judges above the Law**. However, on grounds of Equal Justice Under Law and its two underlying constitutional provisions of due process and equal protection of the law, they can be held as liable to compensation just as they hold liable malpractising doctors and their hospitals, and lawyers and their law firms; brutality-guilty police officers and their departments; pedophilic priests and their churches; and everybody else...except fellow judges.

10. The citizens hearings and its report-presenting conference can so inform the national public about, and outrage it at, judges' abuse of power as to stir up the public to demand that politicians -lest they be voted out of, or not into, office- name an **independent commission** endowed with subpoena, search & seizure, contempt, and indictment power to hold official public hearings on judges' unaccountability and abuse of power; and **investigate** and **audit** individual judges and courts.

a. The exposure of abuse can provoke such public outrage as to force judges and justices to **resign** >¶13a individually or collectively for having offended against Canon 2 of their **Code of Conduct**, which requires judges to "avoid impropriety and even the appearance of impropriety".

11. Abuse exposed to be so 'hardwired' among the judges as to constitute their institutionalized modus operandi can so deprive their judiciary of the public trust indispensable for people to respect their decisions as to bring their judiciary down.

12. An informed and outraged national public and/or a runaway independent commission can force the call of the **constitutional convention** that since April 2, 2014, Congress has been petitioned to call by 34 states, a number that satisfies the amending provisions of Article V of the **Constitution**.

13. For the first time in history, *we the People*, the Masters of all our public servants, can establish a judiciary where in practice, not merely in theory, *the People* hold their judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. Those who contribute to this historic event, which will set off an international political trend, will be

recognized by the grateful *People of the World* as their Champions of Justice.

C. My offer to present this article and its proposals

14. I offer to present this article and its proposals to the Alabama News Director and her guests and to similar groups of news directors, talkshow hosts, journalists, lawyers, Advocates of Honest Judiciaries, etc.
15. The presentation can take place via video conference or, if in New York City, in person. It can be held on short notice. In fact, there is already an [agenda](#), to which can be added the elements particular to this article.
16. To decide whether to organize the presentation you may watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).
17. To consult with others on this article and/or interest people in the presentation you may widely share this article and post it to social media, such as:

Facebook Youtube LinkedIn Instagram Google plus Pinterest
Twitter: Providing news directors, talkshow hosts, journalists, lawyers and others with information about judges' abuse of power and their exposure at unprecedented citizens hearings and sequel actions http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf

18. Meantime, I pitch the publication by you or your affiliates or supporters in exchange of payment to me of one or a series of articles on judges' abuse of power, whether [written already](#), thus available for you to review, or commissioned in reliance on the quality of this and the written articles.

D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate to Judicial Discipline Reform

Through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

By making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

By mailing a check to the address below.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history! And you may enter it.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
Corderoric@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

NOTE: Given the interference with Dr. Cordero's email and e-cloud storage accounts described at [*>ggl:1 et seq.](#) and [†>OL2:1114§G](#), when emailing him, copy the above bloc of his email addresses and paste it in the To: box of your email so as to increase the chances of your email reaching him at least at one of those addresses.

January 11, 2021

**Exposing judges' interception of our emails and mail
by causing the article below to go viral so that
U.S. Judge Pratt, Associated Press reporter Foley, and others expose
unaccountable judges' crimes and their covering them up by abusing their power;
and promote the holding of unprecedented citizens hearings
where you can tell your story of judges' crimes and abuse[‡]**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

A. The implications of the scant number of replies to my numerous and repeated emails: judges' interception

1. As I stated in my email of Saturday, December 26, 2020, sent under the Subject line “[Providing Public Radio](#) news directors, talkshow hosts,...”, I appreciate your repeated statement, Mrs. R., that you were distributing my article as I had asked readers to do.
2. Since then, I have both resent you and others that email and a new one addressed to [U.S. Judge Robert Pratt](#) and Associated Press reporter Ryan Foley, reproduced hereunder, more than a dozen times, but I have not heard from you or almost anybody else.
3. The same has happened to my email repeatedly sent to you, Judge Riezinger, and many others, accepting your offer to share my articles with the millions of readers to whom you have access.
4. In fact, I have sent my latest two emails several times to some 20,000 email addressees in my email list, but have received only a handful of replies.
5. No reply has come from the group to whom I have sent my emails with even greater frequency, that is, news directors, talkshow hosts, journalists, lawyers, and university deans and professors, except for a few replies where the sender only acknowledged receipt of my email without otherwise showing that they had read the respective article at all.
6. What is more, I have emailed repeatedly some 900 people, such as you, who have in the past replied to my emails, including some who even took the initiative to write to me. Yet, even from that group I have received only some five replies.
7. None of the addressees has done what would be reasonable to expect if they had received the same article from me so many times: they would have *complained angrily!* This lack of complaints shows the high probability that they did not receive my emails.

1. Statistical basis for expecting addressees, in general, and abusees, in particular, to reply

8. Moreover, in a country like ours, not only divided, but also ever more polarized, this is not normal statistically: One is bound to find people on opposite sides of any subject, including people who feel so strongly about the subject as to reply to any email on it, whether to praise it or denigrate it.
9. This is particularly the case concerning those who have been abused by unaccountable judges. They feel so hurt by the abuse and are so passionate in their quest for justice that it is reasonable to expect them to reply to articles like mine, which I have been written with abusees in mind.
10. Thanks to my engaging in professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), my articles offer a plan of action for abusees to tell their story to the national public at the proposed

unprecedented [citizens hearings](#), and to be [compensated](#) for the abuse that they have suffered. Had they received my articles, they would have replied because it was in their interest to do so.

11. These abusees are or have been parties to the more than 50 million cases filed in the federal and state courts annually, to which must be added those cases that are pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. Abusees together with other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries are part of the huge group of The Dissatisfied with the Judicial and Legal System.

2. Readers “inundated with stories” of judges’ abuse the Reuters reporters who wrote “The Teflon Robe” report

12. The abusees’ interest in replying is supported by evidence: “The Teflon Robe” is a report by Thomson Reuters, a major news agency, whose first of three parts was published on June 30, 2020. Based on its nationwide investigation of state judges, it found “hardwired judicial corruption”, i.e., corruption that is intrinsic to the judges’ modus operandi and to their relation with the commissions supposed to supervise their performance.
13. The Reuters reporters heading the investigative team, namely, John Shiffman and Michael Berens, asked readers to send them [their stories](#). So many readers did, that the reporters subsequently stated that they had been “inundated with stories”.
14. In the same vein, since I began to send my email “[Providing Public Radio](#) news directors,...”, countless people have continued visiting my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, so much so that hundreds of those webvisitors have become subscribers. As of this writing, 36,754 and counting have subscribed to my website ([Appendix 3](#)).

a. The articles posted to the site form part of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)* †

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

‡ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

i. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#), which is available for free.

15. These facts give rise to probable cause to believe that there is [interception](#) of:
 - a. my emails;
 - b. those of the people who in the past have replied to my emails;
 - c. those of the people who found my posted articles so appealing as to subscribe to my website; and
 - d. the emails of reporters and media outlets, for they have an interest, as shown next, in exposing judicial unaccountability, compensation of victims, and reform.
16. The interceptors are those with the most intense personal and collective motive, means, and opportunity to do so: judges.

3. “Scandal sells” and can attract the media to investigate unaccountable judges’ abuse of power, including crimes

17. Imagine the scandal that would erupt if journalist after journalist in a swiftly generalizing [media investigation](#) reported that judges are intercepting the emails of people in order to detect and suppress those of their critics. It would be a nationwide scandal because the interception affects the largest segment, and their most cherished rights, of *We the People*, to wit, those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment of “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the Internet and social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges constitute the 3rd Branch] for a redress of grievances [including compensation paid to abusees]”.
18. The exposure of judges’ interception of emails and mail will provoke a visceral reaction in the national public. In addition, such interception is a crime, one intrinsic to the way judges ensure their unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, as shown in the article below.
19. The media have a commercial interest in investigating such interception by judges because “Scandal sells”. Likewise, reporters have an interest in investigating it because their report on such scandal can constitute a scoop and earn them a coveted, career-advancing Pulitzer Prize.

B. Making the article go viral and launching a generalized media investigation

20. The exposure by a judge or a reputed reporter of judges’ interception of people’s emails and mail; their dismissal of 100% of complaints against them; and the other crimes discussed in the article below can set off a generalized media investigation that can expose judges’ criminality and their covering it up by abusing their power.
21. It can also influence the composition and agenda set by President elect Joe Biden of the commission to reform the judicial system that he announced in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O’Donnell on October 22, 2020.
22. It follows that it is in the interest of each of us to cause the article below to go viral. It can generate enough pressure on Judge Pratt, Associate Press reporter Foley, and their fellow judges and reporters to denounce and investigate judges’ crimes and abuse of power. To that end, I respectfully encourage you all to read the [article below](#) and share it as widely as possible with all your friends, family, and colleagues, even forwarding it to the addressees in the To: box of this email. You can also post it to social media, such as:

Facebook Youtube LinkedIn Instagram Google plus Pinterest
Twitter: An appeal to US Judge Robert Pratt & Associated Press Ryan Foley to dare expose judges’ criminality, not only P. Trump’s; and hold unprecedented citizens hearings for victims of their crimes to tell their story; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf>

C. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

23. Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are. **DONATE**
 - a. through [Paypal](#)
 - b. by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or
 - c. by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 5, 2021

An appeal to US Judge Robert Pratt & Associated Press reporter Ryan Foley to dare expose judges' criminality, not only President Trump's; and hold unprecedented citizens hearings on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless crimes, abuse of power, and disregard of ethical standards[‡]

U.S. Senior District Judge Robert W. Pratt
U.S. District for the Southern District of Iowa
<https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

Mr. Michael Messina
Judicial Assistant; tel. (515)284-6254

Mr. Ryan Foley, reporter; and Mr. Ron Nixon, international investigations editor
Associated Press; tel. +1(202) 281-8604; +1(202) 641-9000
<https://www.ap.org/contact-us/contact-newsroom>

Dear Judge Pratt, Mr. Foley, Mr. Messina, Mr. Nixon, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. You, Judge Pratt, made your views on the pardons granted by President Trump in December 2020 known to Associated Press (AP) reporter Ryan J. Foley, who wrote the [article](#) referring to you and titled "Federal judge in Iowa ridicules Trump's pardons", published on December 30.
2. AP reporter Foley explained that "Pratt made the remarks when asked for comment on pardons granted to two former top aides for Ron Paul's 2012 presidential campaign, who were convicted in a corruption scheme related to the Iowa caucuses". He quoted you as saying, "It's not surprising that a criminal like Trump pardons other criminals".
3. This is an appeal for you to be consistent and honest by applying to yourself and your fellow judges that very same principle to expose judges' pardons of each other. Doing that requires more integrity and therefore is riskier than being flippant in 'ridiculing Trump's pardons'. However, you can do that on the solid basis of the facts discussed hereunder, which are known to you given that you have dealt as an insider of the judicial class for the more than your 20 years on the bench.
4. By exposing judges' reciprocal pardons, you can set off in the administration of justice, not only by the Federal Judiciary, but also by its state counterparts, transformative change: what goes into the process of change comes out transformed into a different system of justice where judges are held accountable for their conduct and liable to compensate their victims.
5. If you can muster the necessary consistency, honesty, and integrity, you can exit the judiciary into retirement, not as yet another judge among thousands. Rather, you can bring down, not merely one president and all his White House men, as happened in the Watergate scandal, but a whole branch of government that judges, rendered unaccountable through reciprocal pardons, risklessly run, as shown below, as a [criminal enterprise](#).
6. That is how instead of ridicule as a hypocrite, you can earn praise as the main character of the bestseller and protagonist of the blockbuster [movie/documentary](#) 'All the judges' exposé'.

A. Judges pardon each other by dismissing 100% of complaints against them

7. The [Annual Report](#) of the Director of the [Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts](#) (AO; 28 USCode §§601-613; [here with bookmarks added to facilitate navigation](#)) is submitted to Congress

and made available to the public (§604(a)(3, 4)), e.g., on [AO's website](#). The Director is appointed by the [Chief Justice](#) of the Supreme Court and can be removed by him and the other members of the Judicial Conference of the U.S., which includes, among others, all the chief judges of the 13 federal circuits and two national courts (§331). They are imputed with knowledge and approval of the Annual Report.

8. The 2019 Report is the latest version available, covering the fiscal year October 1, 2018-September 30, 2019. In keeping with the norm, the 2020 Report is expected to be published in March 2021.
9. The Report contains the [official statistics](#) of the U.S. courts, titled Judicial Business [year]; e.g., [Judicial Business 2019](#).
10. Some of AO's official statistics (§604(h)(2)) deal with the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, (the Act; §§351-364).
11. The Act entrusts federal judges with the exclusive authority to self-discipline. This means that any complaint against a federal judge must be filed with the respective chief judge, whose decision is reviewable only by the circuit's judicial council, composed of the chief and circuit and district judges. They are not independent and unbiased. By definition, the chief judges and the judges on the judicial councils are the peers, colleagues, and friends of the complained-against judges.
12. In fact, their own official statistics contained in the Annual Report shows that federal judges abuse their self-disciplining authority year after year by [dismissing 100% of complaints](#) against their fellow judges and [denying 100% of petitions](#) to review those dismissals.
13. These are the pardons that federal judges grant each other. They are not only the product of unprincipled friendship or of the [gang mentality](#)(>OL2:569¶¶13-16) that causes judges to conceive of themselves as 'we against, and regardless of, the rest of the world'. These pardons are the means by which judges bribe and extort each other: 'Today I pardon you and tomorrow you do likewise by dismissing any complaint against me or my friends...*or else!*'

B. Judges pardon each other preemptively, sparing each other any conviction

14. When judges pardon their fellow judges by dismissing 100% of the complaints against them, their effect is as that when "a criminal like Trump pardons other criminals". But the nature of their pardons is more harmful to the administration of justice, for it entails evading the administration of it:
15. When Trump pardons anybody, there has already been a conviction. The pardonee already underwent an adversarial confrontation with *The People*, represented by the prosecutor, in open court before, in most cases, a jury acceptable to the prosecutor too. This in turn occurs only after discovery of evidence, whose production the prosecutor has power to compel. And this takes place after the defendant receives a complaint to which he must answer by filing an answer that is available to the public and that he must serve on the prosecutor.
16. That is essentially the same procedure followed in a civil case, which is started by the plaintiff filing her complaint as a public document and serving it on the defendant, who must answer her through a brief, which is filed as a public document. She has the right to obtain discovery by compelling the production of evidence.
17. By contrast, judges pardon each other before there was ever a conviction because they simply dismiss the complaint and do not allow the complainant any discovery. Worse yet, the complaint is not made public by the chief judge who receives it, who need not transmit it to the complained-against judge at all. This is what the Act provides:

§352. Review of complaint by chief judge

(a) EXPEDITIOUS REVIEW; LIMITED INQUIRY.—The chief judge shall expeditiously review any complaint received under section 351(a) or identified under section 351(b). In determining what action to take, the chief judge may conduct a limited inquiry for the purpose of determining—

- (1) whether appropriate corrective action has been or can be taken without the necessity for a formal investigation; and
- (2) whether the facts stated in the complaint are either plainly untrue or are incapable of being established through investigation.

(a) For this purpose, the chief judge may request the judge whose conduct is complained of to file a written response to the complaint. Such response shall not be made available to the complainant unless authorized by the judge filing the response. [Imagine Trump's pardonees filing a response that they do not authorize the court to make available to the prosecutor. Would you trust it to be truthful and complete?] The chief judge or his or her designee may also communicate orally or in writing with the complainant, the judge whose conduct is complained of, and any other person who may have knowledge of the matter, and may review any transcripts or other relevant documents. The chief judge shall not undertake to make findings of fact about any matter that is reasonably in dispute.

(b) ACTION BY CHIEF JUDGE FOLLOWING REVIEW.—After expeditiously reviewing a complaint under subsection (a), the chief judge, by written order stating his or her reasons, may—

- (1) dismiss the complaint—

18. If the chief judge does not dismiss the complaint, §352(a)(1) provides that the “chief judge shall promptly (1) appoint himself or herself and equal numbers of circuit and district judges of the circuit to a special committee to investigate the facts and allegations contained in the complaint”.

19. The committee must file a report with the circuit’s judicial council; but has no authority to send the complainant a copy. The council can dismiss that report without serving a copy of it on the complainant. It may do anything and nothing else without giving notice to the complainant.

20. Actually, the complainant can only have a review of the chief judge’s order disposing of the complaint. To that end, the complainant must file a petition with the judicial council. Section 352(c) provides that “The denial of a petition for review of the chief judge’s order shall be final and conclusive and shall not be judicially reviewable on appeal or otherwise”.

21. So why would chief judges bother to transmit complaints to the complained-against judges, appoint special committees, or pay any attention to the reports produced by those committees, given that they know that the complained-against judges need not even respond to the complaint? If they do, they may tell ‘a bunch of lies and nonsense’ because their response will not be transmitted to the complainant, who will consequently not have the opportunity that any plaintiff has, namely, to scrutinize and challenge a defendant’s response, whether in the plaintiff brief known as the reply or in the courtroom.

22. In fact, years go by without a single special committee being appointed to investigate any complaint. It is the norm for judicial council members not to read petitions to review chief judges’ complaint dismissals. The councils deny 100% of review petitions by the clerk of court rubber-stamping a 5¢ dumping form that provides no explanation and whose only operative word is “denied”. Criminals’ gang mentality is never to incriminate one of their own, for a violation of their conspiracy of silence is deemed treason and punished with treatment as a pariah or worse.

23. Complainants are limited to filing a complaint that sets in motion from the outside the secret procedure of a star chamber which they cannot enter. They are not allowed to compel the production of evidence to prove that a judge committed any crime or any violation of civil law or ethical standard, never mind call and cross-examine witnesses.
24. It follows that complainants are deprived of what all other plaintiffs and prosecutors are entitled to: the administration of justice in an adversarial proceeding that takes place in public because "Justice should not only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done" (*Ex parte McCarthy*, [1924] 1 K. B. 256, 259 (1923). Cf. "Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Aetna Life Ins. v. Lavoie et al.*, 475 U.S. 813; 106 S. Ct. 1580; 89 L. Ed. 2d 823 (1986)).
25. Complainants are denied due process of law so that judges can arrogate to themselves unequal (28 USC §358(a)) protection from the law. Judges thus elevate themselves to Judges Above the Law.
26. Unlike Trump's pardonees, complained-about judges remain with their reputation unblemished. There is no register of judges who have ever been complained-against, the equivalent of the sex offender register, the equivalent of the sex offender register. Their names are as unknown as were those of the pedophilic priests that the Catholic Church transferred from diocese to diocese without ever warning churchgoers and the rest of the public that there were brought into their midst predators that would again abuse their power and trust and harm them too.
27. Judges have had no qualms about finding pedophilic priests and their complicit Church liable to compensate their victims. What an outrageous double standard applied in flagrant self-interest by hypocrites! By so doing, judges have breached their oath of office (28 USC §453) to "do equal right to the poor [in ties to them] and to the rich [in power to exonerate them in turn].
28. If "a criminal like Trump [and the] other criminals" had the sole authority to process complaints against any of them, would they dispose of those complaints in any way different from that in which judges dismiss 100% of complaints against themselves and deny 100% of review petitions?

C. Sources of evidence and examples of judges' criminality

29. Through their preemptive reciprocal pardoning, judges ensure that they wear "The Teflon Robe". That is the title of an [informative and outrage-provoking 3-part report](#) that beginning on June 30, 2020, was published by Thomson Reuters, a major news agency, with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photo journalists. On the strength of its manpower and concomitant financial resources, it conducted a nationwide investigation of judges. It found "hardwired judicial corruption".
30. "Hardwired" are also judges' pardons, for they are so systematic that they have become integral to their way of running the courts, part of their [institutionalized modus operandi](#). In reliance on pardons that render judges unaccountable, they risklessly commit crimes.
31. In the same vein, [Boston Globe](#), the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report "Inside our secret courts", in whose "private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree], who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong".
32. Evidence of federal judges' crimes is also discussed briefly in the blurbs hereunder; in more detail in [a general article thereon](#); and in even greater detail in the specific articles that form part of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries.
33. Based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking, the study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

34. Some of those articles have been posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited from them such a positive reaction that 36,528 and counting (>[Appendix 3](#)) have become subscribers. You can subscribe for free to its articles, such as this one, thus: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

1. Abusive self-enrichment

35. Under their 'Teflon Robe', federal judges hide their own criminality. The latter is illustrated in a daring denunciation by a person as knowledgeable about financial matters as former presidential frontrunner Sen. Elizabeth Warren, who is still a member of the Senate.
36. In her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", [she stated](#) that federal judges fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the companies that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor so as to protect or increase the value of their stock. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as its judges' abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to federal judges' unaccountability.
37. To engage in such self-enrichment, federal judges necessarily commit the crimes of fraud on parties; concealment of assets; tax evasion; money laundering; fraud on, or collusion with, banks through misrepresentation of funds' provenance; and breach of contract for judicial services, of the oath of office, and of public trust.

2. Fraudulent filing and approval of financial disclosure reports

38. To conceal their illegally obtained assets and evade taxes on even those legally obtained, federal judges file fraudulent [annual financial disclosure reports](#) required under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 (5 U.S. Code, [Appendix](#)). While they are public documents, they are filed pro forma (*>[jur:65fn107c, d; jur:65§§1-3](#)) with, and approved as a matter of course by, not an independent reviewing body, but rather other judges, who are their peers, colleagues, and friends; subject to the same filing duty; and dependent for their survival on reciprocal approval since they too commit and cover up crimes as principals and accessories: They keep silent about the preemptive reciprocal dismissal of complaints against judges even if they, just as [Then-Judge Amy Coney Barrett](#), have never dismissed one as chief judge or member of a judicial council. The resulting unaccountability removes the moral reins on greed and allows it to run amok throughout the Federal Judiciary.

3. Judges' bankruptcy fraud scheme

39. People who go bankrupt by definition do not have enough money to meet their needs. The vast majority of them cannot afford a lawyer and must appear without one (pro se) in court. They are overwhelmed by the mindboggling complexities of bankruptcy law and procedure. As a result, they fall prey to judges' [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#). Its spread to [Covid-caused bankruptcies](#) will allow judges and their cronies in the bankruptcy industry to take advantage of people's financial and emotional distress, thereby harming them [even more grievously](#).

4. Interception of emails and mail

40. Judges [intercept](#) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. This is a crime under 18 U.S.C. [Federal Criminal Code] §2511. Their interception is enabled by the

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:394 OL3:1233

Federal Judiciary's nationwide computer network, vast expertise, and devastating power to retaliate against even the largest recalcitrant mass communication entities.

41. This judges' crime is likely to set off the most intense national outrage because it affects the largest number of *We the People* and deprives us of our most cherished rights, to wit, those guaranteed by the [1st Amendment](#) of 'freedom of speech, the press, and assembly [on the Internet or by letter] to petition the government [of which judges constitute the third branch] for a redress of grievances [which includes compensation, similar to the more than \$2.5 billion that the Catholic Church has had to pay to the victims of its pedophilic priests and its covering up of their crimes]'. The exposure of their interception can provoke the gravest institutional and national crisis, for which of the other branches will dare exercise constitutional checks and balances to hold the Federal Judiciary and its judges accountable? Only an [informed and outraged People](#) can so disregard their rulings and shame them as to deprive them of any moral standing and force them to resign.

5. Failure to read the overwhelming majority of briefs

42. The official statistics of the federal courts show that federal judges [dump 93%](#) (>OL2:457§D) of appeals to the circuit courts through orders that are "on procedural grounds [mostly the one-fit-all pretext of 'lack of jurisdiction'], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation".
43. "[The math of abuse](#)" demonstrates judges' failure to read most briefs. Yet, they advertise that upon a party filing a brief, which costs \$Ks and even \$10Ks to produce, and paying the [filing fee](#) of \$505, they will provide the service of determining the appeal by applying the law to the facts of the case. Instead, they have their clerks dispose of the corresponding case or motion by rubber-stamping a 5¢ dumping form that neither discusses the facts nor applies to them the law. It consists of an unresearched, unreasoned, arbitrary, and fiat-like order. This constitutes fraud in the advertising inducement and in the performance; breach of a service contract; intentional causation of emotional distress; and compensable intentional waste. The call for parties to jointly demand that they be compensated for such waste and fraud will attract a large segment of the national public.

6. Sham public hearings on the Rules for Processing Complaints

44. To implement the Act, the judges adopted the [Rules](#) for Processing Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints. Initially, they adopted rules in each circuit; thereafter, they adopted and amended nationally applicable ones in 1986, 2000, 2008, 2015, and 2018. The Rules have changed nothing, for the judges have kept dismissing 100% of complaints against each other. On each occasion, they have held a public hearing on the proposed new rules to pretend compliance with that requirement ([28 USC §358\(b\)](#)), but they held it in bad faith, for they had no intention of applying the new rules to hold each other accountable. The judges' public hearings on the rules have been [a sham](#).
45. Their sham constitutes fraud on the public that has caused foreseeable and thus intentional injury in fact: The judges have made witnesses write and submit comments; prepare to deliver them orally; and spend, just as the audience have had to, on travel to a single place in the nation and on room and board to attend the hearing. They have frustrated the reliance interest that they created in witnesses, the audience, and subsequent complainants, all of whom reasonably expected that the judges would apply the new rules fairly and impartially. They have caused compensable injury.

D. Plan of concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions for exposing judges' crimes

46. You, Judge Pratt and reporter Foley, can take the lead in exposing judges' "bad Behaviour", to which the [Constitution](#) refers in Article III, Section 1, as the basis for terminating judges' holding

office. Their “bad Behaviour” includes their crimes as well as abuses of power, unethical behavior, and their failure to abide by the injunction of Canon 2 of their [Code of Conduct](#), which requires judges to “avoid impropriety and even the appearance of impropriety”.

47. You should do that to be consistent with your views and values, as reported by Mr. Foley: “[Pratt] said those who abuse positions of public trust for personal gain must face severe consequences, in order to deter misconduct and promote public confidence. Otherwise, he warned, “political corruption will slowly corrode the foundations of our democracy until it collapses under its own weight”.
48. For the sake of your integrity and that of our democracy, you can proceed alone or together, with fellow judges, journalists, or me; whether openly and notoriously or as a discreet informant, to:
 - a. i. publish in a national newspaper or magazine the equivalent of the famous open letter *I accuse!* of French writer Emile Zola to the President of the French Republic to expose the military’s anti-Semitic conspiracy against Jewish Lt. Alfred Dreyfus, except that yours would be addressed to President elect Joe Biden as he prepares to establish the commission for the reform of the judicial system that he announced in an interview with CBS newsanchor Nora O’Donnell on October 22, 2020.
 - ii. Your letter can be the first step in transformative change, just as the exposés by reporters Jodi Kantor and Megan Twohey of *The New York Times* and journalist Ronan Farrow writing for *The New Yorker* informed the public on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, about Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse, and thereby set off within a week here and abroad the *MeToo!* movement, which has transformed society. This constitutes a reliable and repeatable precedent for the reasonable expectation that your *I accuse!* letter can launch a national and international movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform through transformative change;
- b. present your letter at a press conference;
- c. ask that President Trump and President elect Biden release the secret FBI vetting reports on judicial candidates and nominees, which are apt to contain incriminating information about them and others, obtained in part by the FBI exercising powers that the media lack, e.g., of subpoena, search and seizure, contempt;
- d. approach national media to request that they publish one or a series of my [articles](#) exposing unaccountable judges risklessly running the Federal Judiciary as a criminal enterprise;
- e. ask that AP, Reuters, Boston Globe, and [other media](#) join forces [to investigate](#) with me judges’ “bad Behaviour”, which they can start and conduct cost-effectively by using the abundance of leads that I have gathered ([*>OL:194§E](#));
- f. endeavor to hold [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) on judges’ “bad Behaviour”, to be conducted by multidisciplinary panels of journalists, professors, and experts; at media stations and university auditoriums; where the victims of, and witnesses to, judges’ “bad Behaviour”, can tell their [story](#) to the national public; and do so mostly through interactive video conference to reduce travel expenses; reach the largest life audience possible; and receive their feedback in real time;
- g. encourage the formation of local chapters of parties who have appeared before the same ‘badly behaving’ judge or in the same court that covers for them, to demand collectively for compensation for the abuse and waste that they have suffered;
- h. promote the holding of the first-ever, and national conference on judges’ criminality and

abuse of power, where the report of the citizens hearings will be presented;

- i. advocate the calling of the **constitutional convention** that since April 2, 2014, Congress has been petitioned to convene by 34 states, a number that satisfies the amending provisions of Article V of the Constitution. A runaway convention may fashion a new constitution that enables *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold our judicial public servants accountable and liable to compensate their victims.
- j. foster the development of the website of Judicial Discipline Reform, as proposed in my [business plan](#), to turn it from an informational platform into:
 - 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by anybody; and
 - 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of "bad Behaviour";
 - 3) the digital portal of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture, which should lead the way to the creation within a top university or think tank of the [institute](#) of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy.

E. My offer to present this article and its proposals

49. I offer to make a presentation of this article and its proposals to you and your guests followed by Q&A session. To set its terms and scheduling you may get in touch with me using my contact information below. The presentation can take place via video conference on short notice. In fact, there is already an [agenda](#), to which can be added the elements particular to this article. To decide whether to organize the presentation you may watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).
50. To consult with others on this article and/or interest people in attending the presentation you may widely share this article and post it to social media, such as:

Facebook Youtube LinkedIn Instagram Google plus Pinterest

Twitter: An appeal to US Judge Robert Pratt & Associated Press Ryan Foley to dare expose judges' criminality, not only P. Trump's; and hold unprecedented citizens hearings for victims of their crime to tell their story; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf>

F. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

Donate to Judicial Discipline Reform

Through **Paypal**: https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

By making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

By mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 15, 2021

Pitching a scoop
on exposing AG nominee Judge Merrick Garland's cover-up of
judges' interception of emails and mail, financial fraud, etc.; and
demanding the release of the secret FBI's judicial vetting reports
before P. Biden forms his commission to reform the judicial system
Setting in motion a generalized media investigation into the judiciary[‡]

Senior editor Joe Patrice
Above the Law
Co-host of Thinking Like a Lawyer
joeatrice@abovethelaw.com

Dear Mr. Patrice; editors, journalists, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

I read with interest your article [Favorite Stories Of 2020: Corruption, Courts,...](#),
published by Above the Law on December 28, 2020.

A. Charting a future for yourself and your publication with a scoop on judges' abuse of power and crimes

1. Your number 10 story “discusses the role the Chief Justice could have played (and might still play) in charting the future of the Supreme Court”.
2. Your story opens the way to discussing the role that a legal news editor like you and a publication like Above the Law “might still have” by scooping the [proposed exposure](#) by [U.S. Judge Robert Pratt](#) of the Southern District of Iowa and Associated Press reporter Ryan Foley of federal judges' crimes as opposed to Trump's, to which Judge Pratt referred.
3. It can reasonably be expected that the scoop would ‘play a role in charting the future’ of not merely the Supreme Court, but rather of the system of justice: It can significantly influence the composition and agenda of the commission for the reform of the system of justice that Then-presidential candidate Joe Biden, while interviewing with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020, announced that he would form if he became president.
4. The articles discussed and mentioned herein deal with federal judges. However, those judges are the models of their state counterparts. So, what the former allow themselves to do, the latter copy gleefully and add to it shamelessly. On state judges' abuse of power and crimes, see:
 - a. [Thomson Reuters](#), a major news organization with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photojournalists, published the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe”, which found “hardwired judicial corruption”, on June 30, 2020;
 - b. [Boston Globe](#), the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree], who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL3:1237
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf

1. Judges' interception of people's emails and mail

5. In this vein, consider the publication of an [initial article](#) on the interception by federal judges of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics and thereby cover up their crimes and other forms of abuse of power and disregard for ethical standards.
6. The exposure of such interception by judges will cause national outrage because it affects the national public and deprives Americans of their most cherished rights, namely, those guaranteed by the 1st Amendment to the Constitution to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including [compensation](#) of abusees by judges and their judiciaries]”.

2. Judges' dismissal of 100% of complaints against them

7. This is the subject of the [article outlined below](#), whose point of departure is the statement by Judge Pratt made in an interview with Associated Press reporter Foley, who quoted him in his article “Federal judge in Iowa ridicules Trump's pardons”, published on December 30, 2020.
8. My article asks both Judge Pratt and reporter Foley to denounce federal judges' preemptive reciprocal ‘pardons’: They [dismiss](#) 100% of complaints filed against them under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act (the Act; 28 U.S. Code §§351-364), and deny 100% of petitions to review those dismissals.
9. Judges grant their pardons before there has been any investigation of the complained-about judge, never mind a trial and a criminal conviction or even a finding of civil liability. That is how judges self-ensure their unaccountability, thus opening the way to their riskless commission of “bad Behaviour” ([Constitution](#), Article III, Section 1), i.e., crimes, abuses of power, unethical conduct, and other “improprieties and even the appearance of impropriety” prohibited by Canon 2 of the [Code of Conduct](#) for U.S. Judges.
10. By comparison with Trump's pardons of his cronies and family, federal judges' preemptive reciprocal pardons are so much more harmful to the integrity of the judicial system and the national public subject to their national jurisdiction. Their denunciation by Judge Pratt and reporter Foley –and yours too- can be similar to Emile Zola's famous “*I accuse!*” open letter to the President of the French Republic to denounce an anti-Semitic conspiracy of the military against Lt. Alfred Dreyfus.

3. The vetting and disqualifying of attorney general nominee Judge Merrick Garland

11. [Another article](#) can deal with the nomination by President-elect Biden of Judge Merrick Garland to be the next attorney general:
12. The [official statistics](#) of [Judge Garland](#)'s court, to wit, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC), show that he participated in the dismissal of 100% of the 478 complaints about him and his peers, colleagues, and friends in DCC, and the denial of 100% of the petitions to review of such dismissals during at least the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period.
13. By so doing, he too arrogated to himself -and during his tenure as chief circuit judge even led his peers and colleagues in arrogating to themselves- the power to abrogate in effect the Act with reckless disregard for the detriment to complainants and the rest of the public left uncompensated and at the mercy of unaccountable judges risklessly committing “bad Behaviour”.

14. It follows that Judge Garland has a conflict of interests that prevents him from being an impartial and fair attorney general, much less an effective and honest overseer or even member of the yet-to-be-formed Biden commission to reform the judicial system:
15. If as attorney general Judge Garland allowed the investigation of complaints against judges filed with the Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility or brought to the attention of the Biden commission, he would end up incriminated for both his abrogation in effect of the Act and his cover-up of the “bad Behaviour” underlying the complaints and committed for his own and the other judges’ gain and convenience.
16. To survive, Judge Garland must ‘pardon’ himself and his peers and colleagues, thus making all of them yet another time Judges Above the Law, the harm to the system of justice and *We the People* notwithstanding. Preventing Judge Garland's self-pardon calls for a generalized media investigation into his and his fellow judge' “bad Behaviour”.

4. The demand for the release of the FBI judicial vetting reports

17. The above article can set in motion a generalized media investigation of both Judge Garland and federal judges because “scandal sells”.
18. Moreover, it can provide the basis for you and the rest of the media to make a bold demand for President-elect Biden to prove that his professed interest in judicial reform is expressed in good faith that has no fear of, and is pursued through, transparency and Equal exposure to investigation Under Law:
19. Demand that Then-President Biden and even President Trump release all the secret FBI vetting reports on judicial candidates and nominees, which contain information obtained by the FBI exercising its power of subpoena, search and seizure, and contempt, which the media lack.
20. The release of those secret reports will show the unfitness for office of many [justices](#) and judges due to their “bad Behaviour” before they began their service; and the connivance between the politicians and the judges whom they recommend, endorse, nominate, and confirm for judgeships and justiceships, and thereafter protect as ‘our men and women on the bench’ no matter what they do, are complained about doing, or the harm that they cause the public.
21. Judges who break the law for their personal and collective gain and convenience have no qualms about breaking it for their friends or for their biases. “Power corrupts and” when it can be exercised risklessly for any reason and no reason it becomes “absolute power[, which] corrupts absolutely”.
22. Let President-elect Biden show that he honestly believes in the tenet inscribed on the outside wall of the building housing the [Office of Public Responsibility](#):

Justice in the life and conduct of the state is possible only
as first it resides in the hearts and souls of the citizens.
23. Does justice reside in President-elect Biden’s heart and soul? If so, he must show it by the concrete and visible act of opening the secret FBI reports on judicial candidates and nominees so that transparency brings forth truth, which is indispensable for doing justice.
24. If not, let him publicly admit that in his heart and soul there is a secret place for protecting his own interest, not in ‘the Blessings secured for *We the People* through Freedom from Injustice’ (Constitution, Preamble), but rather in implementing his agenda by not antagonizing the judges. The latter can breach their oath of office ([28 U.S.C. §453](#)) ‘to uphold the Constitution and the laws thereunder’ and disregard the will of voters to retaliate in their self-interest against him by holding

the laws implementing his agenda unconstitutional or reading them so narrowly and hindering them with so many restrictions that they are rendered ineffective.

5. Thinking strategically to cause judicial resignations, pack the Judiciary, and secure the agenda, judicial reform, and legacy

25. Then-President Biden can release the secret FBI reports and encourage the official and media investigation of judges. The findings of unaccountable judges risklessly committing crimes and abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi will so intensely outrage the national public and deprive judges of so much public trust as to force them to resign individually and even collectively.
26. There is precedent for this in:
 - a. Justice Abe Fortas, who resigned from the U.S. Supreme Court on May 14, 1969, for ‘improprieties’ in taking outside source money and benefiting from relations with a former client;
 - b. Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski, who resigned on December 18, 2017, to avoid an investigation of sexual harassment referred to the Second Circuit by Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.; and
 - c. Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, who resigned on February 11, 2019, upon learning 10 days earlier that she was being investigated for her participation in her father’s distribution of his assets to his children through a fraud scheme involving shell companies to evade inheritance tax.
27. Hence, the resignations can begin with one justice, [several of them](#), or even the whole Supreme Court for the crimes and abuse that they committed as lower court judges and are committing now as principals; and the crimes and abuse of current lower court judges and of former peers that they are covering up as circuit justices (28 U.S.C. §42) allotted as supervisors to the several circuits. This will shake trust in the Federal Judiciary so profoundly that the public could demand its replacement.
28. As a result, the unimaginable could happen: President Biden could not only “pack the Court”, but rather pack the whole Judiciary, thereby not only ensuring that his agenda is upheld by the judges that he nominates, but more importantly, also establishing a long-standing basis for his legacy.
29. President Biden could even push through constitutional amendments that recognize the role that *We the People*, the masters of all public servants, must have in holding even judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse so that they can protect themselves from politicians and their appointed judges conniving to grab gain and convenience at the expense of the system of justice and *the People*.

B. The foundation of the articles in a study and a website

30. The articles pitched above are available for review by you, and I can shorten, split, update, and otherwise edit them as required.
31. But time is of the essence, for they should be published before President-elect Biden forms the commission and Judge Garland is vetted and confirmed by the Senate.
32. There is ample justification for considering the above-mentioned articles and others that I have written, which exemplify what I can write on commission. They form part of my three-volume

study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

33. I have posted some of my articles to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. Countless webvisitors have read and appreciated them so much that as of this writing 36,814 and counting have subscribed to my website(Appendix 3). How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?

34. You can subscribe for free to its articles, such as this one, thus:

go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or
+ New or Users >Add New.

C. Proposed action

35. Therefore, I respectfully propose that you, with a view to making yourself this generation's equivalent of *Washington Post* editor Benjamin Bradlee of Watergate fame:

a. publish upon payment to me one or a **series** of my articles on judges' crimes and abuse of power; compensation of abusees; and reform of the judicial system, including those described in the **article below**, section C. Sources of evidence and examples of federal judges' criminality; e.g.:

- 1) their abusive enrichment denounced by Sen. Elizabeth **Warren**
- 2) their fraudulent filing and approval of **financial disclosure** reports
- 3) their bankruptcy fraud **scheme**
- 4) their **failure to read** the overwhelming majority of briefs

b. embark on a **joint investigation** with me and others of judges' crimes and abuse, which will be focused and cost-effective thanks to the abundance of leads that I have gathered;

c. i. make with me a joint *I accuse!* presentation, via video conference and/or in person, whose **agenda** includes judicial abuse of power, **compensation** of abusees, and reform, at:

- 1) a press conference; and
- 2) a tour of law (**OL:197§G**), journalism, business, and Information Technology schools and think tanks upon contacting the student officers of the class, the deans, and the professors whose courses are germane to the subject of our presentation;

c. ii. to assess my capacity to make such presentation, watch my **video** and follow it on its **slides**;

d. promote the holding of **unprecedented citizens hearings** on judges' "bad Behaviour", to be conducted by multidisciplinary panels of journalists, professors, and experts; at media stations and university auditoriums; where the victims of, and witnesses to, judges' "bad Behaviour" can tell their **story** to the national public; and do so mostly through interactive video conference to reduce travel expenses; reach the largest life audience possible; and receive their feedback in real time;

e. encourage the formation of local chapters of parties who have appeared before the same

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL3:1241

‘badly behaving’ judge or in the same court that covers up for them, to demand collectively [compensation](#) for the abuse and waste that they have suffered;

- f. organize together with other media outlets, academics, and me the first-ever, and national conference on judges’ “bad Behaviour”, where the report of the citizens hearings will be presented;
- g. participate in a multidisciplinary academic and business venture, described in my business plan, that will turn the website of Judicial Discipline Reform from an informational platform into:
 - 1) a **clearinghouse** for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges’ decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges’ patterns, trends, and schemes of “bad Behaviour”; and
 - 3) the digital portal of the venture and the precursor to the creation attached to a top university or think tank of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy.
- h. See paragraph 57 in the [below article](#) for other proposed actions.

D. My offer to present this article and related proposals

- 36. I offer to make a presentation of this article and related proposals to you and your guests followed by a Q&A session. The presentation can take place via video conference on short notice. To set its terms and scheduling you may use my contact information below.
- 37. To decide whether to organize the presentation you may watch my video and follow it on its slides.
- 38. To consult with others on this article and/or interest potential guests in attending this presentation you may widely share this article and post it to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google plus, Pinterest

Twitter: Pitching a scoop on exposing AG nominee Judge Merrick Garland’s cover-up of judges’ interception of emails and mail, financial fraud, etc.; and the release of the secret FBI’s judicial vetting reports; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE to Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 26, 2021

**Forming the Coalition of Talkshow Hosts for Justice,
which will enable people to
tell their stories of judges' abuse of power that they have suffered or witnessed;
persuade Thomson Reuters to extend its investigation from state to federal judges;
and lead the way for media outlets and universities to hold
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS[‡]**

Dear Mr. Kincaid, BOP officer, Mr. McClenton, Rev. Pinkney, Mr. Brigman, producer Russell, Talkshow Hosts, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your emails expressing interest in interviewing me on your show to discuss judges' abuse of power; my proposal to join forces for their exposure; and abusees' collective demand to be compensated by judges and their judiciaries.

A. Taking advantage of the current optimal circumstances to expose judges' abuse of power

1. This is the optimal time to expose judges given that:

- a. the U.S. Senate is getting ready to conduct confirmation hearings on Attorney General nominee [Judge Merrick Garland](#);
- b. President Biden can at any time form the commission to reform the judicial system that he announced during an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020;
- c. I have requested that U.S. Judge Robert Pratt of the Southern District of Iowa and Associated Press reporter Ryan Foley:
 - 1) dare to expose judges' criminality, not only President Trump's, to which the Judge referred in their interview, as reported by Mr. Foley in his article "Federal judge in Iowa ridicules Trump's pardons", published on December 30, 2020; and
 - 2) promote as a means of attaining such exposure the holding of [unprecedented citizens hearings](#), to be conducted at media stations and universities where journalists, academics, and multidisciplinary experts will take the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' crimes as it is broadcast via video conference to the national public; and
- d. Among the some 155 million people who voted in the November 3 elections, there are scores of millions who are disappointed in judges because they did not uphold any of President Trump's more than 55 lawsuits challenging the electoral results. President Trump railed against the Supreme Court because it ruled that he lacked standing to file an appeal from the lawsuits that he had lost in the lower courts. The Court even declared moot all pending applications to it, thus barring his effort to enlist the courts in overturning the results.

B. Forming the Coalition of Talkshow Hosts for Justice

2. Therefore, in response to your interest in interviewing me on your talkshow, I would like to counterpropose that before I appear thereon, we and other peers of yours hold a preparatory

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

OL3:1243

*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf

discussion via video conference on this the proposal for forming a coalition of talkshow hosts.

3. One of the coalition's foundational elements is the agreement to hold coordinated weekly or monthly shows where people are given the opportunity to tell your audience their stories of judges' abuse of power that they have suffered or witnessed. These coordinated shows can pave the way for the unprecedented citizens hearings on judicial abuse and compensation of abusees broadcast to a national audience.
4. The shows will appeal to your audience because the latter may be, expect to become, or know, parties to the more than 50 million cases that are filed every year in the state and federal courts, to which must be added the scores of millions of cases that are pending or deemed to have been wrongly or wrongfully decided.
5. By the very nature of a case in court, 50% of those parties are disappointed because they lost, and the other 50% may also be to some degree disappointed because they were not granted all the relief that they had requested from the court.
6. The point here is not whether a talkshow host or his or her audience is Republican or Democrat, but rather whether in order to advance the common interest in exposing judges' abuse of power one can think strategically to apply the aphorism "The enemy of my enemy is my friend". This means that all those who want to expose judges' abuse share an interest that they can advance together regardless of, and by putting aside, the other particular and even conflicting interests that they may have.
7. Hence, the proposed coalition of talkshow hosts can generate the momentum necessary to move the public to demand that media outlets and universities in their own commercial and reputational interest hold the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings on judges' abuse of power and compensation of abusees.
8. There is evidence that media outlets may want to do so:
 - a. Thomson Reuters, a worldwide news organization with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photojournalists, investigated judges of the state judiciaries. In its report "The Teflon Robe", it described its finding of "hardwired judicial corruption", that is, corruption that is so intertwined among judges and between them and the commissions that are supposed to oversee their performance as to constitute part of their institutionalized modus operandi. I have **proposed** that Reuters extend its investigation to unaccountable federal judges and their consequent riskless abuse of power.
 - b. **Boston Globe** , the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report " Inside our secret courts ", in whose " private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree] , who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong".
9. Many people hate and distrust big media as well as lawyers. Yet, a news organization like Thomson Reuters has the resources necessary to conduct any investigation and produce, advertise, and distribute any show. Those who have been, or are afraid of being, abused by judges, as well as those who are outraged at their abuse need the media together with the people who understand the functioning of judges, their judiciaries, and the law, to wit, lawyers.
 - a. In addition, Reuters is the largest publisher of law books in the U.S. and runs the most widely used database for computer assisted legal research, that is, Westlaw. Every lawyer relies on its books and has used its database.

- b. Lawyers are as abused by judges as are their clients, not to mention parties without legal representation, known as pro ses. Lawyers too have stories of abuse by judges.
 - c. Hence, we must seek and welcome the support of both lawyers and the media, particularly those with resources similar to Reuters's. Their contribution to exposing judges' abuse and organizing abusees for their collective demand of compensation is indispensable to take on the most powerful officers in our country, namely, federal judges: They have a life-appointment and are in practice irremovable; their orders apply nationwide; and can suspend even the executive orders, e.g., the Muslim travel ban, of the president of the United States, who was elected in 2016 by more than 62.5 million voters.
 - d. Likewise, strategic thinking points to the need for the support of everybody who can contribute to forming the coalition, in general, and putting on any show, in particular. This highlights the importance for every talkshow host to reach out to his or her peers to persuade them to consider joining the coalition and participating in the preparatory discussion.
10. Therefore, I respectfully propose that you read the articles mentioned above, especially those in paragraph 1 supra; discuss them with fellow talkshow hosts; and call me to set up the preparatory discussion via video conference.

C. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Judicial Discipline Reform

engages in professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking, and has produced:

- a. this proposal;
- b. the three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

- c. the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which has attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively to my articles posted there that 37,053 and counting have become subscribers to it(Appendix 3).

You too can subscribe to the articles by going to its [homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

- d. my presentation to you and your group of guests, which is followed by a Q&A session, whose [agenda](#) you can read, and which you can preview by watching my [video](#) and following it using its [slides](#) in [pdf](#).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are. **DONATE** to:

Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL3:1245

February 9, 2021

**Pitching a series of webinars and articles on
exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power;
representing abusees' collective demand for compensation;
promoting unprecedented citizens hearings held by the media and universities;
and making history through transformational change that
enables the holding of judicial public servants accountable and liable by
the Masters of all public servants: *We the People***

The foundation for a multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture[‡]

Dear CLE officers and members, lawyers, the media, academics, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. This is a pitch for a series of webinars and articles that can benefit you materially and reputationally.

A. Contents and purpose of the webinars and articles

2. The webinars and articles aim to:
 - a. expose to the national public judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. They do not deal with the exercise of judicial power within a wide margin of discretion, which judges invoke as an easy excuse for their complained-about conduct. Rather, their originality lies in their focus on judges' criminal and unethical conduct for their personal and judicial class gain and convenience. Such conduct must be as punishable when judges engage in it as when anybody else does. That is required by the tenet of "Equal Justice Under Law" and their oath of office to "do equal right to the poor [in ties to them] and to the rich [in power to reciprocate their holding a fellow judge unaccountable]" (28 USC §453 = Title 28 of the U.S. Code of federal law, section 453);
 - b. help lawyers [organize](#) abusees in local chapters and represent them in their collective demand for [compensation](#) from the same abusive judge before whom, or the same abuse-condoning court in which, abusees have appeared. This can become a profitable niche practice, for many people would sign up to such a demand if well publicized and guided by lawyers;
 - c. promote unprecedented [citizens hearings](#) organized by the media and universities where victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse will testify before journalists, academics, and multidisciplinary experts via video conference accessible to a national and international audience. These hearings will pioneer others on other subjects by self-assertive citizens;
 - d. set off transformative change: the system of justice that comes out of the change is different from the one that went in. Beginning here and extending abroad, it can for the first time in history cause judicial public servants to be held accountable and liable to their abusees by the Masters of all public servants in "government of, by, and for the people": *We the People*.
3. The webinars and articles will lay the foundation for a joint multidisciplinary academic and business venture. Its basis is the successful website of Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. It has attracted with my articles posted there so many webvisitors that 37,319+ have become subscribers([Appendix 3](#)). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know have a website with so many subscribers?
4. The joint venture will develop the site from a free informational platform into:

- a. a **clearinghouse** for **complaints** against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients **auditing** judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources to apply to them **computer-assisted** statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis. All those writings can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of "bad Behaviour" (U.S. **Constitution**, Article III, Section 1), which constitutes one of the grounds for removing judges from office; and
 - c. the digital portal of the joint venture and the precursor to the **institute** of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy, to be attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets. Both the venture and the institute are described in my **business plan**, which is guided by the motto: Making Money While Doing Justice.
5. The audience for the webinars and the articles is large just as there is a large market for the joint venture: We live in a litigious society. More than 50 million cases are filed annually in the **state** and **federal** courts, to which must be added the scores of millions of cases that are pending or deemed to have been decided wrongly or wrongfully. Indeed, judges' power is enormous: One district judge suspended *nationwide* an executive order, e.g., the Muslim travel ban, issued by a president elected by 62.5+ million voters, and three circuit judges upheld the suspension *nationwide*. What can judges not do to you, a lawyer, never mind a pro se? Judges' opportunity for abuse has increased as the Covid pandemic has enlarged the number of cases of bankruptcy; insurance coverage; landlord-tenant disputes and evictions; socio-economic and health access inequality; etc.
 6. By the very nature of a case in court, 50% of the parties to those cases are disappointed because they lost and the other 50% may also be to some degree disappointed because they were not granted all the relief that they had requested from the court. Hence the huge size of **The Dissatisfied** with The Judicial and Legal System. They are determined to pursue their quest for justice, for nothing outrages people and inflames their passions more than the feeling that they have been abused and had their rights trampled upon. The Dissatisfied constitute the broader audience of the webinars and articles, and the target market of the multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture.
 7. The size and growth potential of that audience and market will help people drop the objection that 'we only sell X goods and services 'cause we ain't do no legal activism stuff'. If Jeff Bezos of Amazon had taken that attitude, he would never have stepped out of selling books from a garage to sell books in a different way; invent a new business model to sell everything through an imaginative way of using what at the time was the new communications vehicle of the Internet; and become a global economic and political powerhouse that can challenge any actual or potential competitor and even governments. Mr. Bezos and Amazon detected a business opportunity and seized it.
 8. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. The webinars and articles will provide the knowledge that will enable you and your entity to seize the opportunity to become your own version of Bezos and Amazon.

B. Exhibits of my qualifications for holding webinars & having articles published

9. To ascertain my qualifications for holding the webinars and writing articles for publication:
 - a. watch my **video** and follow it on its **slides**;
 - b. read in my three-volume study* † ✨ of judges and their judiciaries, which is the product of professional law research and writing, and **strategic thinking**. It is uniquely based on the analysis of the official **statistics and reports** of the U.S. courts, collected by the **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO), and submitted to Congress in the **Annual Report** of the AO Director, who is **appointed by** the Chief Justice (**28 USC §601**). The study is titled:

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL3:1247

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ‡

c. visit the website of Judicial Discipline Reform:

- 1) You can subscribe for free to its articles, such as [this one](#), by going to its [homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.
- 2) Many articles are downloadable as individual files through their links on a [list](#). The latter includes a sample of subjects on which I can write articles on commission.
- 3) The study and the articles are formatted as if they were briefs. The articles are written in the long form published by law journals or the likes of *The New York Times* Sunday magazine supplement, *The New Yorker*, *The Atlantic*, TIME, etc. These characteristics support the reasonable conclusion that my website subscribers are above-average educated and affluent. They constitute the initial market base of the proposed multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture.

C. Optimal time for exposing judges' unaccountability and abuse of power

10. President Joe Biden can anytime form the commission to reform the judicial system that he announced in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020.
11. He nominated as attorney general Judge Merrick Garland of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (CADCC). The Senate will begin its confirmation hearings on February 22.
 - a. J. Garland was CADCC chief judge and member of the circuit council. As such, he dismissed 100% of the [476 complaints](#) filed there against his peers and colleagues, and denied 100% of the [petitions](#) for review of such dismissals during the 11-year 1oct06-30sep17 period. Since he began to serve on that Court in 1997, he has [kept silent](#) about such abuse of people's right under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act (the Act) ([28 USC §§351-364](#)) to complain against judges despite his legal and ethical duty to report it to safeguard the integrity of the judiciary ([18 USC §3057](#); Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges, [Canon 3\(B\)\(6\)](#)).
 - b. Thus, if Judge Garland is confirmed as attorney general, never mind if he becomes directly or indirectly a member of President Biden's commission to reform the judicial system, he will cover up that abusive dismissal of complaints and denial of review petitions.
 - c. Worse yet, he will hinder any investigation into judges' conduct underlying the complaints. Such conduct may include "Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors" ([U.S. Constitution](#), Article II, Section 4), which can lead to judges' impeachment and removal from office. He must cover up the abusive handling of complaints and hinder their investigation by the commission; another official entity, such as the Department of Justice, the FBI, or the Office of Professional Responsibility; and the media, lest he be [incriminated](#) as principal or accessory to abuse, not only by the investigators, but also by a more threatening authority, to wit, his own fellow judges, all of whom stridently scream at him, 'If you let them bring us down, *we'll take you with us!*'
12. Federal judges have abrogated in effect the Act, showing contemptuous disregard for Congress and the Executive. They have continued their self-insured unaccountability as it existed before the passage of the Act in 1980. In the 232 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of federal judicial officers impeached and removed is [8!](#) Those officers include Article III judges, i.e., justices and circuit and district judges, and their appointees, that is, bankruptcy

judges and magistrates. All of them -2,340 as of September 30, 2019- are in practice unimpeachable and irremovable: Judges Above the Law of a State within a state.

13. Can you reasonably expect them to have any regard for what you write in your briefs or argue in court, let alone when people appear unrepresented, that is, pro se, and are treated by judges as “trash”? Your words are as dead as the Act is toothless, for politicians lack the courage to hold ‘our men and women on the bench’ accountable. They have shown reckless disregard for the harm to the integrity of judicial process, democratic government by the rule of law, and *We the People*.
14. Compare reality with Sen. DeConcini’s lip service statement: “As part of a vigorous oversight responsibility, I plan to monitor implementation of the [] Act. I particularly expect to examine the way in which specific sanctions are imposed, as well as the method of appellate review of judicial council decisions, in order to see if statutory or other perfecting changes are necessary in the future”; [Congressional Record](#) – Senate, September 30, 1980, p. 28090. Sen. DeConcini and the rest of Congress have perfected nothing and condoned the absence of any appellate review.
15. In fact, the statistics of the federal courts of appeals show that 93% of appeals (>OL2:457§§D, B) to the circuit courts are disposed of in decisions that are “procedural [mostly the catchall pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation”. This means that in 93% of appeals what you receive is an unresearched, unreasoned, unprecedented, ad hoc, arbitrary fiat of a star chamber, contained in a 5¢ dumping form rubberstamped by a clerk. Just check those posted to their websites. Statistically, it is wishful thinking for you to expect to be in the unequally treated 7% of parties whose appeals are decided in opinions signed by judges and included in a [reporter](#) (a serial publication of a set of books containing judicial decisions).
16. All this points to the implications of the [comments](#) that U.S. Judge Robert Pratt of the Southern District of Iowa made in an interview with Associated Press reporter Ryan Foley, who published his article “U.S. judge ridicules Trump's pardons”, published on December 30, 2020.
17. Judges’ dismissal of 100% of complaints against them and denial of 100% of review petitions are preemptive reciprocal pardons. The latter are more harmful to the judicial system than the president’s pardons, for their dismissals and denials take place at the outset and out of hand, without the benefit of any investigation, disclosure and discovery of evidence, presentation in open court, and public debate. They are pardons issued with contempt for judicial process, with a self-interest motive, and as means of bribing and extorting: ‘Today I pardon you and tomorrow you pardon me or my friends if we are the target of a complaint...or else!’ Pardoned judges are granted a retroactive license to keep abusing their power. So are their peers and colleagues. Complainants and the rest of the public are left uncompensated and at the mercy of reciprocally-protecting judges.

D. A sample of subjects of the series of webinars and articles

18. Judges cause injury in fact to the people whose money they grab and the participants in judicial process, whose effort, time, and money spent on discovery, briefs, court and attorney’s fees, etc., they render wasteful, and whose reasonable expectation of justice they foreseeably, and thus intentionally frustrate. This is the basis for holding them liable to [compensate](#) your clients and you. This is possible even if you have never filed a complaint against any of them. Their abuse is so pervasive, grave, and coordinated that it has become their [institutionalized](#) modus operandi. It corrupts judicial process and harms even those who do not know it. The following [forms of abuse](#) are discussed in articles available for review before publication and presentable in webinars:
 - a. judges’ abusive enrichment denounced by Sen. Elizabeth [Warren](#)

- b. judges' bankruptcy fraud [scheme](#)
- c. judges' fraudulent filing and approval of mandatory annual [financial disclosure](#) reports
- d. judges' [interception](#) of people's email and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics
- e. judges' [failure to read](#) the overwhelming majority of briefs
- f. judges' and conniving congressional politicians' [sham hearings](#) on judicial conduct
- g. debunking the self-serving doctrine of [judicial immunity](#) concocted by judges
- h. setting in motion a generalized [media investigation](#) of judges' abuse
- i. investigating [justices](#) and demanding the release of the FBI's secret judicial vetting reports
- j. a method for people to write in [up to 500 words](#) their story of abuse by judges
- k. organizing and holding unprecedented [citizens hearings](#) on judges' abuse
- l. forming the coalition of [talkshow hosts](#) for justice
- m. adapting to the [new legal market](#) resulting from Covid 19
- n. making the documentary [Black Robed Predators](#): when judges are the abusers
- o. [research proposals](#) for the joint venture and the [institute](#) of judicial unaccountability reporting

E. Thinking strategically to discreetly expose judges' abuse of power

19. It can be assumed that CLE members and all other lawyers are reluctant to engage in any activity that can provoke judges to exercise their devastating power of retaliation against them. Mindful thereof, I have identified actions that they can discreetly take to advance their own interest, their clients', and the rest of society in exposing judges' abuse of power. by.
20. To begin with, they can resort to their affiliations and contacts to cause a reputable media outlet with a national audience publish in a newspaper, magazine, or TV show articles. There is precedent for the enormous impact that such publication can have: *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* published their exposés of Harvey Weinstein on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, led to the eruption within a week of the global phenomenon of the *MeToo!* movement. As a result, the millenarian indifference to, tolerance, and cover-up of, sexual abuse came to an abrupt end.
 - a. The publication of my article on the nomination of Judge Merrick Garland as attorney general can focus the attention of the media on [his abusive dismissal](#) of 100% of complaints against his fellow judges and denial of 100% of petitions to review those dismissals, thus ensuring their *Unequal protection from the Law*, the harm to complainants notwithstanding. This is what all other federal chief judges have done for decades and still do, some of whom are [justices](#) of the Supreme Court, as shown by the [official statistics](#) discussed in ¶11 supra.
 - b. My article can lead to the public demand that Judge Garland's nomination be withdrawn or his confirmation denied; and to the [investigation](#)(>OL:194§E) by professional, student, and citizens journalists of similar conduct throughout the Federal Judiciary and of the crimes and unethical conduct underlying the dismissed complaints.
 - c. What is more, the article can open the door to the public demand that President Biden release the FBI's secret vetting reports on judicial candidates.
 - d. That is how a generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power can be put in

motion. It can set the agenda of President Biden's commission to reform the judicial system: to begin with, expose to the fullest the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. The principle underlying the formation of that commission has received a boost by the proposal by House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and other political leaders for the establishment, even after the acquittal of former President Trump at his impeachment trial, of a 9/11-like commission to study the events that led to, and the handling of, the storming of the Capitol on January 6, 2021.

e. My articles and their publication could hardly be traced back to you and turn you into the target of judges' retaliation.

f. But time is of the essence: Judge Garland's confirmation hearings will start on February 22.

21. Another way of protecting exposers of judges' abuse is by joining forces with others in the proposed multidisciplinary academic and business venture to develop my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. It has attracted so many webvisitors with my articles posted there that it currently has 37,259 subscribers and counting.

22. Yet another way is by using your contacts to bring court clerks and even judges as confidential **informants** into the joint venture. They may have signed up to be Workers of Justice, but have become disillusioned with the system and disgusted with themselves for having allowed peer pressure and personal interest to reduce them to enforcers of abuse.

a. Court clerks and judges may be attracted by the prospect of becoming this generation's Deep Throat, who turned out to be Mark Felt, the associate director of the FBI during the Watergate scandal and investigation. His insider information helped *Washington Post* reporter Bob Woodward expose how President Nixon was abusing his power to cover up his and his White House aides' planning and financing of the burglary on June 17, 1972, into the Democratic National Committee at the Watergate building complex in Washington, D.C., to steal documents in an act of political espionage aimed to ensure Nixon's reelection.

23. You can help finance a **tour of presentations**(>197§G) at law, journalism, business, and IT schools.

F. My offer to present this proposal; and your sharing and posting it

24. I offer to make a presentation on this proposal for a series of webinars and articles to you and your guests followed by a Q&A session. The presentation can take place on short notice via video conference or, if here in New York City, in person.

25. To decide whether to organize the presentation you may watch my **video** and follow it on its **slides**.

26. To consult with others on this proposal; interest your potential guests in the presentation; and lead journalists to investigate Judge Garland, you may share this article and post it to social media, e.g.:

Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, WhatsApp, Instagram, Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat

Twitter: Pitching a series of webinars & articles on exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power & a multidisciplinary academic-business joint venture to make money while doing justice http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf

G. Requested action

27. Individually, you and I are nothing but a petit nuisance to judges and their conniving protectors. But if we join forces and **think strategically**, we can start that for which there is repeatable pre-

cedent: a Tea Party/*MeToo*!/BLM-like global civic apolitical movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, collective compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative change.

28. People informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse can impose their demand for change as they join the precedential movements in shouting the rallying cry so expressive of their common mood: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
29. They can force the holding of the constitutional convention that since April 2, 2014, 34 states have petitioned Congress to call, which satisfies the amending provisions of Article V of the [Constitution](#). Just as the delegates convened to amend the Articles of Confederation set them aside and wrote a totally new constitution, that of 1789, a runaway convention can do what is anathema to political leaders, for it threatens their privileges and powers: write a new constitution enabling *We the People* to hold all public servants, including judicial ones, accountable and liable.
30. By agreeing to the proposed webinars and articles you can make yourself this generation's *Washington Post* publisher Katharine Graham and editor Benjamin Bradlee of Watergate fame. They supported the exposure of President Nixon and his aides as "a criminal enterprise", as described by their reporters Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward. They were instrumental in bringing them down, which sent "*All the President's Men*" to jail and forced Nixon to resign on August 8, 1974.
31. By contrast, you can bring down a whole branch of government that operates as a [racketeering enterprise](#). You can do so profitably because "Scandal sells". It can lead to a flood of specialized consulting and lobbying work; compensation claims; motions to vacate and remand for a new trial; a bestseller and a blockbuster movie (cf. the link in the above paragraph); and a Pulitzer Prize for "[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting](#)".
32. Therefore, I respectfully request that you get in touch with me to discuss this proposal, using my contact information in the letterhead or below. We must proceed with due haste to take advantage of this optimal time for exposing judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

**H. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE to Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to the address below.

I look forward to hearing from you. Send your comments by pasting in the To: box of your email to me this bloc of my email addresses:

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dr-richard-cordero-esq-0508ba4b>

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 2, 2021

**Taking timely and reasonable action
to advance the common cause of honest judiciaries
by exposing attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland
and his fellow judges' abuse of power and
its cover-up by President Biden's
commission for the reform of the system of justice[‡]**

Dear Mrs. K and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

A. The substantial interception of my email account

1. Since you were kind enough to interview me for your program in 2009, I have kept sending you my emails.
2. Alas, I have similarly communicated with advocates of honest judiciaries like you, but then without any apparent reason I have heard from them no more.
3. This inexplicable lack of communication became substantially worse after I began emailing my [article](#) concerning attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland and judges' interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those critical of their abuse of power:
4. My emails bounce back from even the CLE providers to whom I am pitching my series of webinars and articles on exposing judges' abuse of power.
 - a. For proof, I have sent repeatedly my [pitch](#) to the New York City Bar Association, the largest bar association in NY City and perhaps only second in our state to the New York State Bar Association, but they bounce back with this message, which Information Technology (IT) experts may be able to fathom:

“550: permanent failure for one or more recipients (X:blocked)”, where X =
lkelly@nycbar.org, CustomerRelations@nycbar.org, ndawkins@nycbar.org,
lgreen@nycbar.org, citybarcle@nycbar.org, citybarcenterforclc@nycbar.org

- b. I have learned that some of my emails arrived garbled. Everybody who receives a garbled email has an interest in finding out how it came to be so. Recipients of an intentionally garbled email as well as those who do not receive it at all have a cause of action as individuals and as members of a class against the garbling person or entity for interception of an electronic communication, [illegal](#) under [Title 18](#) of the U.S. Code section 2511 (18 U.S.C. §2511) and the First Amendment to the [Constitution](#), which guarantees ‘freedom of speech, of the press, and of assembly [on the Internet and social media too] to petition the government for a redress of grievances [which includes compensation].’

B. The need to change our approach and do so with due haste

5. Since our radio interview over a decade ago, we have written many articles and participated in many interviews. Yet, the progress that we have achieved in holding judges accountable and liable to compensate their victims is zero.
6. Here applies Einstein's aphorism: “Doing the same thing while expecting a different result is the hallmark of irrationality”. That is so because it disregards or ignores a fundamental law of both the natural and human worlds: cause and effect.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1253
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf

7. Your prompt reply within hours of my email is most encouraging.
8. Time is of the essence to seize the opportunity to bring to the attention of the media and the national public why it is in their interest to investigate Judge Garland before he is in yet a stronger position to cover up his and his fellow judges' abuse of power, described in the [article](#), once he:
 - a. is confirmed by the Senate as attorney general; and
 - b. becomes directly or indirectly a member of the commission to reform the system of justice that Then-presidential candidate Biden announced his intention to form in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020.

C. Proposal of concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions

9. I am proposing that we join forces to expose judicial abuse of power, make a collective demand for compensation, and advocate reform of the system of justice through transformative change, i.e., the system that goes into the change comes out substantially transformed into a new one.
10. Concretely, I propose that you use your contacts to undertake the following actions:

1. Publishing, sharing, and posting of my article and pitch

- a. bring my [article](#) to the attention of the media and academia, particularly students and professors at schools of journalism, law, IT, and business.
 - b. promote their holding of unprecedented citizens hearings, where people can tell panels of journalists and academics via video conference accessible nationwide their stories of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed; and
 - c. recommend my [pitch](#) to CLE providers, bar associations, and public interest organizations of my series of webinars and articles exposing judges' abuse of power and developing the collective claim for compensation as a niche practice that can serve scores of millions of claimants.
11. The clear objective of my [article](#) and [pitch](#) is to cause you and your publisher as well as the rest of their target audience to proceed in your own professional and commercial interest –“Scandal sells”– to investigate Judge Garland, in particular, and his fellow judges and their judiciaries, in general. Both pieces contain many leads for such investigation.
 12. By you, others, and me initiating the investigation, we all can set in motion a generalized media investigation into, first, the Federal Judiciary and, subsequently, its state counterparts.
 13. The long form of these pieces of writing is appropriate, for nobody who is knowledgeable and cautious is going to take on life-tenured federal judges based on a scribble on the back of a napkin. The pieces must be well researched, written, and argued. They are.
 14. There is recent, reliable, and repeatable precedent for the potential impact of one or a [series of articles](#); I can edit mine as required by a publisher committed to its of their publication.
 - a. Such precedent is found in the exposés of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse published by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively. Within a week, the *MeToo!* movement had erupted globally. Since then society here and abroad has changed transformationally...it may force NY State Governor Andrew Cuomo to resign due to the allegations by ever more women that he harassed them sexually.
 15. My [article](#), if published in a reputable publication with national circulation, can reasonably be

expected to cause the media, always in quest of a Pulitzer Prize, to investigate judges and their judiciaries as ever more people become informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse. We want to influence the agenda of President Biden's commission to reform the system of justice, which he announced in an interview with CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell on October 22, 2020. We want that commission to demand that the President release the FBI's secret vetting reports on judicial candidates, which can show which politicians have known what about judges' wrongdoing in and out of court but have connivingly covered it up to protect 'our men and women on the bench'. The public outrage thus provoked can snowball toward transformative change in the judiciary.

2. Coordination with fellow hosts to form the Coalition of Talkshow Hosts for Justice

- a. organize a presentation via video conference by me to you and your guests, including your fellow talkshow hosts. This can lay the ground for a press conference held by you, me, and like-minded advocates of honest judiciaries. Therefore, to invite potential guests you may share and post this email.
16. I have come to realize that the audience of talkshows, just as pro ses, will never WORK to change the system of justice. They are only interested in winning their cases and be done with it.
 17. However, a handful of courageous talkshow hosts can coordinate their activities to hold a weekly show that gives their audience the opportunity to TELL their [story](#) of abuse.
 18. Those stories can provoke outrage that spreads to ever more talkshows. That is how the outraged audience can become a national *MeToo*!/BLM/socio-economic equality-like movement of self-assertion and uncompromising denunciation of judges. They can shout a cry with a potent rallying effect because judges, unaccountable as they are, risklessly prey on everybody regardless of race, gender, wealth, prestige, or access to legal representation:

The judge had all the power!... and I was nothing.

3. Development of a website with proven appeal as a rallying, for-profit center

- a. post articles to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. Though long and demanding of careful reading, they have attracted so many webvisitors and so many have appreciated them that 37,343+ have become subscribers.
19. These subscribers are likely to be educated and well-off, as are those who read *The New York Times* and its Sunday Magazine, *The New Yorker*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Washington Post*, *The Atlantic*, *TIME*, etc.
 20. The posted articles are based on my three-volume study*[†][♣] of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†][♣]

21. The website can be developed according to my [business plan](#), whose guiding motto is likely to appeal to capital investors: Making Money While Doing Justice.
22. The development can turn the website from an informational platform into:

- a. a **clearinghouse** for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 OL3:1255

- b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients **auditing** judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources to apply to them **computer-assisted** statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis. All those writings can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' patterns, trends, and schemes of "bad Behaviour" (U.S. **Constitution**, Article III, Section 1), which constitutes one of the grounds for removing judges from office; and
- c. the digital portal of the proposed multidisciplinary academic and business joint venture. It can be the precursor to the **institute** of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy, which can be either attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets.

D. Debunking conspiracy and freak theories by showing that they are absurd

- 23. The "Esq." title at the end of a name is the abbreviation for 'Esquire', which identifies the bearer of the name as a lawyer. In my case, it also fulfills a clarifying function: It indicates that while I am a "Dr.", that is, a Doctor of Law because I earned a Ph.D. in law, I am not a medical doctor (MD).
- 24. In addition, I do not work for any Queen or her government, whether of England or anywhere else. I do not even work for the government of the State of New York, of whose bar I am a member. A very large majority of lawyers do not work for any government, even if they must obtain a government-issued license to practice law, just as the practitioners of other professions, such as dentists, engineers, and pharmacists, certified public accountants do under their respective state law.
- 25. Nor have I ever met a lawyer who works for any Queen. In fact, it is not reasonable to think that all the roughly half a million lawyers in the U.S. are united in working for a foreign head of state. Lawyers have among themselves many different interests, which very often are diverse and even in conflict with each other. This is to be expected, for lawyers range from solo practitioners all the way to name partners of international law firms.
- 26. Moreover, the profile of lawyers is that they challenge authority; to do so is in their professional and personal character. That is why they take an opposing party to court. They even challenge the rulings and decisions of judges when they go up on appeal. Thus, it is incongruous with such profile to affirm that all lawyers sheepishly agree to recognize and obey the authority of one and the same queen, whoever and wherever that queen may be, or for that matter, any other foreign or even domestic authority. Failure to detect that incongruity only betrays lack of critical judgement that allows one to accept what is devoid of any basis in fact and on its face absurd.
- 27. I do not, and believe that lawyers do not, collect money or anything else for the Queen, the Pope, or the mayor of London; nor do we work in admiralty courts or under admiralty jurisdiction. People who uncritically parrot this kind of baseless and absurd theories harm all advocates of honest judiciaries, who must endeavor to earn the respect of everybody for their common sense and knowledge.

E. Time is of the essence for taking action

- 28. I invite you to join forces to take the proposed action that seizes the opportunity arising from current events and allows us to cause a different result: We can start making progress toward forming a national apolitical nondenominational single issue civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform through transformative change. So, I respectfully encourage you to proceed as an investigative journalist: look into this proposal with an open and critical mind. You will find in it a lot for you as well as for the rest of *We the People*. For them you too can become a nationally recognized Champion of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

February 27, 2021 as of March 13

**The Symposium on Guardianship Abuse
an opportunity for organizers, presenters, and the audience to join forces
to expose the underlying cause:
judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power[†]**

Dear Symposium organizer Dr. Sam Sugar, presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you, Dr. Sugar [ssugarmd@msn.com], for sharing with me your call for a presentation at your Guardianship Abuse Symposium on April 11-12 via Zoom.

I filled out the form and submitted it. To ensure that you receive its salient points, I have reproduced them below together with my call for joining forces for our mutual benefit.

A. Title of presentation

A most opportune time for exposing guardianship abuse:
when President Biden is about to
name his bipartisan commission for the reform of the system of justice, and
the confirmation of Judge Merrick Garland, his attorney general designate,
will ensure that Judge Garland will protect himself and
his fellow federal and state judges,
thus preserving the system of connivance between
judges and the politicians who put them on the bench and
hold them there unaccountable and able to abuse their power risklessly.
Thinking strategically
to cause the media and academia to investigate Judge Garland and hold
unprecedented citizens hearings,
where victims of judges' abuse will testify nationally, bringing to bear the only entity
capable of reforming the system and guardianship abuse:
an informed and outraged *We the People*

B. Description of my presentation for promotional purposes

1. Causing the media and academia to investigate attorney general Judge Merrick Garland and hold **citizens hearings** where victims of judges' abuse will testify nationally, bringing to bear the only entity capable of reforming guardianship abuse my: an informed and outraged *We the People*.
2. The presentation is based on my three-volume study^{* † ‡} of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{* † ‡}

C. Three objectives of the presentation

3. The audience and the rest of the public, even if not affected by guardianship abuse, will be informed about, and outraged at, how they are abused by judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power for their gain and convenience

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1257
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

4. The media will realize that they can be instrumental in bringing down, not just a president and all his White House aides, as they did through their investigation of the Watergate scandal, but rather a whole branch of government and thereby become this generation's equivalent of Washington Post publisher Katharine Graham, editor Benjamin Bradlee, and reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein, of Watergate fame; win a Pulitzer Prize; write a best-seller; be portrayed in a blockbuster movie; and be studied for decades at journalism, government, and law schools. Moreover, "Scandal sells". See http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
5. Lawyers and law students will realize that it will suffice for judges and their judiciaries to give "the appearance of impropriety" for having turned abuse into their institutionalized modus operandi, for the gates to open to a flood of motions for vacating decisions and orders and remanding for new trials; recusing judges or having them disqualified; representing millions of people abused by judges in their collective demand for compensation; advising witnesses at unprecedented citizens hearings held by the media and academia; etc., thus developing a new niche of legal practice with a vast lucrative potential and the likelihood of national prominence as Champions of Justice.

D. From a talking heads symposium to a strategic thinking meeting

6. If we keep working in isolation instead of joining forces to expose judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power by them and their appointees, such as guardians, we will make only as much progress as we have up to now: *None!*
7. In order to climb out of the pit in which we, advocates of honest judiciaries and victims of judges' abuse, are, we need to show an indispensable capacity: multitasking. It must be complemented by a foundational procedure of any successful organization: division of labor and delegation of tasks.
8. In addition, each one must contribute something of value greater than lip service. My website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, has attracted so many webvisitors that 37,354+ have become subscribers; see [Appendix 3](#). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know have a website with so many subscribers?
9. The subscribers have been attracted by my articles posted there, which demand attentive and long reading. So they are likely to be educated and well-off, as are the readers of *The New York Times* and its Sunday Magazine, *The New Yorker*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Washington Post*, *The Atlantic*, TIME, etc.
10. They can make a difference if we bring them and people similarly situated on board. So can the reporters of those publications if we manage to persuade them to expose judges' abuse, in general, and guardianship abuse, in particular, because thereby **they can benefit** commercially –"Scandal sell"- and reputationally.
11. The website's principal objective is to implement the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for forming a civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, collective demand for compensation by judges and their judiciaries, and reform of the judicial system through transformative change, first here, then abroad. This objective is realistic, feasible, and supported by repeatable precedent: the Tea Party, and the *MeToo!*, BLM, and socio-economic equality movements.
12. Hence it is in the interest of the Symposium organizers to help develop my website as proposed in its [business plan](#). It is guided by a motto that will appeal to capital investors: Making Money While Doing Justice.

E. Contacting former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson & her Judicial Watch lawyers

13. To increase the journalistic coverage of the Symposium the following information can prove useful because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.
14. Former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson did the Marie Long story about guardians that charged outrageously high fees for ‘services’ that they provided to their appointed ward, Marie Long. In a very short period of time, they sucked from her more than \$1 million and then spit her penniless at the feet of the state welfare system as an indigent.
 - a. See my letter to reporter Attkisson dated February 9, 2015; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf>OL:215.
 - b. The address that I used at the time: 22697 Hillside Circle, Leesburg, VA 20175
 - c. The phone number that I have for her is (703)327-0349
 - d. For every useful purpose, the name of her husband is James Howard Attkisson.
 - e. See also my letter to her CBS producer, Christopher Sholl, of May 18, 2011; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>.
15. This means that both reporter Attkisson and producer Sholl are aware of the gravity of guardianship abuse. If you want her to do more of the same, you have to find out what she is doing presently in order to identify a new angle that enables you to argue why pursuing the story again holds something in it for her. Telling her that you need her will not get you anywhere.
16. You may contact reporter Attkisson through her lawyers at Judicial Watch in Washington, DC. They represent her in her lawsuit against the Department of Justice. The latter intruded into her home and CBS office computers and roamed through them in its effort to spy on the state of her investigation into DoJ’s disastrous gunrunning tracking operation conducted by its Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Bureau and known as Fast and Furious: It sold guns to criminals in an attempt to follow the guns’ journey into the hands of Mexican druglords. One of the guns was used to kill an American border patrol.
17. As a result of her disturbing reports, Congress endeavored to find out how the Fast and Furious operation had been planned and implemented. It asked then-Attorney General Eric Holder to come in to be heard and bring pertinent documents. AG Holder would show up at the hearings with documents that had whole pages blacked-out!
18. Congress was so offended by his lack of candor, impaired credibility, and disrespect for its request for document that it took an exceptional disapproving measure: for the first time in its history, it held a sitting member of the President’s cabinet in contempt. In light of such vote of no-confidence, AG Holder resigned.
19. When DoJ roamed her computers, it also wanted to find out the state of her embarrassing investigation into the killing of the American ambassador and his aides at Benghazi, Libya, by Islamic militants.
20. Reporter Attkisson sued DoJ through her Judicial Watch lawyers for \$35 million. They have done to her what she described in the title of her book: “*Stonewalled*”
21. Another way of contacting reporter Attkisson is by approaching her lawyers at Judicial Watch:
 - a. inline email form: <https://www.judicialwatch.org/contact/>
 - b. phone: 1-888-JW-Ethic (1-888-593-8442)

c. email: info@judicialwatch.org

d. mail address:

Judicial Watch
425 Third Street SW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024

Attention of:

Thomas Fitton – President, Board Member, Officer
Paul Orfanedes – Director of Litigation, Board Member, Officer
Chris Farrell – Director of Investigations & Research, Board Member

F. The federal government cannot be the solution to guardianship abuse

22. There is no way in which the federal government can even attempt, let alone act effectively, to prevent the exploitation of seniors and others by guardians:
- a. Probate is a subject matter strictly under state jurisdiction, as are domestic relations, including marriage and child upbringing, and inheritance rights. They are matters of local interest, which are better dealt with by local authorities. Therefore, it is almost certain that congressional Republicans would not agree to the exclusive transfer and exercise of probate power from the states to the federal government. They defend the rights of the states and call for a smaller federal government, whereas Democrats take the opposite view. On political considerations, such federal probate law has no chance of being adopted.
 - b. Even if the federal government adopted a law regulating probate matters and the appointment and supervision of guardians applicable nationwide, it could not claim exclusive jurisdiction to deprive state authorities, such as judges, of any role in its application: The U.S. Constitution does not provide for the transfer of probate matters from the states to the federal government, as it does concerning bankruptcy, patents, and the adoption of international treaties. Therefore, such federal law would be applied under the concurrent jurisdiction of federal and state authorities. Indeed, most federal laws can be invoked in state courts as the basis for the claims and defenses asserted.
 - c. Moreover, even if there were such federal probate law, it would always remain subject to challenges to it mounted by state authorities. For proof, there is the Federal Voting Act of 1965. Yet, right now the laws restricting voting rights adopted in Arizona and Georgia are before the U.S. Supreme Court, which this week heard arguments on those of Georgia. There is even a greater interest in challenging any federal probate law: *Money!* Too much money is at stake in appointing and supervising guardians. That stake will only grow in importance as the population grows older. Advanced age is not the only factor invoked as justification for the appointment of guardians: physical and mental infirmity caused by Covid-19 is another factor that will be invoked ever more often.
23. Probate matters and the attendant guardianship abuse must be dealt with out of court and legislative chambers. It must be brought out to the attention of the national public. The abuse must be exposed so as to cause national *outrage!* It must be put on a par with the Tea Party concern about taxes; the *MeToo!* denunciation of sexual abuse and harassment; the BLM protest against police brutality; and the struggle for socio-economic equality. There must be full exposure of the connivance

between politicians, who put judges on the bench; judges, who appoint and benefit from abusive guardians; and prosecutors, who condone the abuse because they do not want to antagonize judges capable of scuttling all prosecutions.

24. Thus, I reiterate my previous statement: If we, the Symposium presenters and similarly situated people, continue to work independently, never mind in the courts, we will achieve nothing. The Symposium will be nothing more than talking heads in an abandoned warehouse of Zoom mirrors.
25. We must join forces. We must do so together with those who have the means of nationally investigating and exposing guardianship abuse and the politician-judge-prosecutor connivers running a racketeering enterprise in pursuit of material and professional gains and convenience. Those are the media and academics, both knowledgeable professors and idealistic students.

G. Unprecedented citizens hearings for abusees to tell their stories and outrage the national public, the only entity strong enough to compel change

26. The national public must be outraged at the abuse of judges and their appointed guardians. We must provide the victims of these abusers the opportunity to tell their stories to the national public. That is the purpose of the proposed **unprecedented citizens hearings** held by the media and academics and conducted via video conference, which is the most inexpensive and the means of communication accepted by most people and capable of reaching most of the public...nationally and internationally.
27. People do not become experts qualified to advocate a cause simply because they are victims of the ill that it combats. Qualification is the result of knowledge, experience, and oral and writing skills to present and argue the cause. The overwhelming majority of victims only know their side of their own story and have never bothered to learn the other side of their story, never mind any other story, for each of them is convinced that his or her story reveals the most egregious manifestation of the ill...and that is more than sufficient to them. Yet, many of them can be compelling witnesses as they tell their story of victimization.
28. Victims' stories can provoke national outrage that stirs people up to demand change only if their stories reach the national public.
29. This impact will not be obtained by swapping their stories among the handful of Symposium presenters, who are lawyers, professors, and directors of organizations; and an audience of people who are not turned off by the word "Symposium".
30. Judges are much more likely to abuse Joe Schmock and Jane Widget, who never read a full email and would never listen to Symposium presentations. As many Joes and Janes as possible must be given the opportunity to do what they are able and willing to do: tell their stories. And they must tell them to the national public.
31. That opportunity can be afforded them by the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings. These must be held by media stations and universities across the country, even if only via video conference. The hearings must take place continuously over a long period of time; cf. the BLM marches in Seattle every night for weeks on end.
32. In this vein, it is worth considering Academia, a consortium of universities that states the following about itself: "Used by academics at 16,937 universities"; https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm.
33. Do you instinctively think strategically to detect the potential of that statement? We must reach

out to Academia so that it may serve as a conduit to as many of those universities as possible in order to persuade them to hold the unprecedented citizens hearings *in their own interest*, namely, as an innovative way of becoming agents of socio-political change by acting as “*We the People’s* loudspeaker” and a crafter of domestic policy.

34. That is how universities can become a powerhouse in American politics and in the process do something that they desperate need in the times of Covid-19: contain the dropping out of students and attract a sufficient number of them to be able to run effectively and, as the case may be, profitably too. As far as law schools goes and due to dwindling enrollment, some have closed down; two have merged; and others have reduced their curriculum to save themselves the salary of adjunct instructors.
35. This is an application of the strategic thinking principle of enlightened self-interest: We argue how our proposals advance their interests, not ours, in the reasonable expectation that their advancement will eventually advance our interests.

H. Symposium presenters as the group that guides the outrage and causes the national public to compel transformative change in the system of justice

36. The media/academics citizens hearings and the public outrage are mutually reinforcing forces. They can generate the commercial and reputational interest needed to spark what we need but lack the means of doing: a generalized media investigation of judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, which includes abuse by guardians appointed and protected by judges. The media, acting *in their own interest*, can investigate and expose to the full the nature, extent, and gravity of judges’ abuse as the indispensable prerequisite to any reform of the system of justice.
37. The Symposium provides an excellent opportunity for a group of committed and highly educated people to think and proceed strategically and thereby become the core group that guides the national public to compel politicians to usher in transformative change in the system of justice: the system that goes into change comes out transformed into a new one where *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, wield the power to hold all of them, including judicial public servants, accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
38. There is precedent for this: The Tea Party so outraged the public at ever higher taxes that it became a real threat to politicians that did not support it, for they could be voted out of, or not into, office. There is a current manifestation of this threat in the Republican party: opposing Trump. These examples make realistic and feasible this out of court inform and outrage strategy for forming a single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse exposure, reform, and compensation.
39. Indeed, the strategy has an irresistibly appealing element: forming local chapters of victims of judges’ abuse to demand collectively compensation by judges and their judiciaries.
40. Therefore, I encourage you, Dr. Sugar, to call and hold a meeting of presenters before the Symposium. I offer to make therein a presentation on turning the Symposium from a one off event into a programmatic meeting.

I. The interception of emails

41. How do you explain that my reply to your email was returned as undeliverable with this error notice:

A message that you sent could not be delivered to one or more of its recipients. This is a permanent error. The following address(es) failed:

ssugarmd@msn.com

(ultimately generated from info@aaapg.net)

host msn-com.olc.protection.outlook.com [104.47.70.33]

SMTP error from remote mail server after pipelined MAIL

FROM:<dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net> SIZE=246795:

550 5.7.1 Unfortunately, messages from [23.254.252.197] weren't sent. Please contact your Internet service provider since part of their network is on our block list (S3140). You can also refer your provider to <http://mail.live.com/mail/troubleshooting.aspx#errors>. [BN7NAM10FT063.eop-nam10.prod.protection.outlook.com]

42. Are messages to you and among presenters being intercepted?; if so, by whom?
43. If you do not receive within a day my reply to your emails, my reply has been intercepted. In that event, call me at 1(718)827-9521.

J. Requested action

44. Therefore, I respectfully propose that you, Dr. Sugar, call a digital meeting of the Symposium presenters to be held as soon as possible to discuss how we can:
 - a. join forces for the long run;
 - b. bring in the media; and
 - c. inform and outrage the national public, the only entity strong enough to hold guardians and judges accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
45. To call that meeting and otherwise advance our common cause, you may share and post this email.
46. I offer to make a presentation at that digital meeting of presenters on joining forces for effective action as opposed to simply making a one-off presentation at the Symposium. If we work together, we can turn it from a wake of the converted to commiserate as they swap stories of dead efforts to make progress and dying chances of making any in future into [the first event](#) for forming a movement for exposing judges and their appointees; compensating their victims; and reforming the system of justice.

K. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE

to

Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 6, 2021

**The Symposium on Guardianship Abuse
an opportunity for you to take action in your own interest by joining
forces with lawyers and students to expose the underlying cause:
judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power[‡]**

Dear Ms. Turley, Symposium presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your emails.

L. A video and a study to review to take action now in your own interest

47. I am pleased to let you know that you need not wait to hear more from me until the Guardianship Symposium on April 11-12, which is being organized by Dr. Sam Sugar, Director of Americans Against Abusive Probate Guardianship (AAAPG); ssugarmd@msn.com.
48. Thanks to Dr. Sugar's technical knowledge and generous support, I was able to [video](#) record a presentation –which can be followed on its [slides](#)– on my three-volume study* [†] [‡] of judges and their judiciaries, which is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * [†] [‡]](#)

49. What is more, even now you can take action in your own interest. Among academics and lawyers, you can become a pioneer in:
- holding accountable attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland and his fellow judges, who [dismissed a 100%](#) of the 476 complaints filed in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (CADCC) and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals, the underlying causes for complaint and resulting harm notwithstanding, when he was the chief judge and a member of the circuit council, as shown by his Court's [official statistics](#) submitted by law to Congress, which is documented in the [article](#) thereon; and
 - preventing that President Biden's commission for the reform of the system of justice is not a whitewash that likewise dismisses any demand for it to investigate judges, the key agents of the system's injustice, for they are unaccountable and engage in consequent riskless [abuse of power](#) for their individual and collective gain and convenience.
50. To that end, you can watch the [video](#) with your current colleagues and your former ones at George Washington University and its law school.
- Then you can be a leader in taking action as students at [Harvard and Yale](#) did in opposing the confirmation of Then-judge Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court.
 - Now-Justice Kavanaugh will dismiss and otherwise hinder –as the other justices will too– any investigation of his peers and colleagues when he sat on that very same CADCC [together with](#) Judge Garland. Judges cover up for each other, for they can see the realistic threat written on their foreheads: “If you let them take me down, *I'll bring you with me!*”

M. You can help inform people and create a niche practice to help them

51. By helping to expose unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power and reciprocal exoneration

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1264
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 [†].../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143
[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

from all complaints, you can attract the attention of millions of litigants and their friends and families, workmates, customers, shareholders, etc., with something whose appeal is irresistible: the opportunity for collective demand to judges and their judiciaries for [compensation](#).

52. The exposure of abuse as judges' institutionalized modus operandi will open the gates for a flood of motions to vacate and remand; recuse; disqualify; resign individually and *en banc* as a court; etc.
53. You can help create for your current and former employers and fellow GWU members, including professors and deans, a niche practice of consultancy, litigation, and advocacy.
 - a. That has a promising prospect, particularly for the glut of unemployed lawyers and new graduates as well as law students, who will enter a jobless legal market. All will continue to experience for the foreseeable future the devastating impact of Covid-19 on the [legal profession](#): people suffering food insecurity and struggling to make rent or mortgage and car payments neither pay nor hire lawyers.
54. As a result, you can set in motion transformative change: the system of justice that goes into change comes out transformed into a new one...and you have a hand in writing its rules.
55. This is an opportunity for you to let all of them know what you are: one of *the best and the brightest*. That is how you can become a nationally recognized Champion of Justice.
56. To reach out to the national public, you can widely share this email and post it to social media such as:

Facebook,
WhatsApp,
Pinterest,Twitter:

Youtube,
Instagram,
Reddit,Twitter:

LinkedIn,
Google plus,
Snapchat,Twitter:

Joining forces to expose attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland's and fellow judges' abuse of power and prevent the commission for judicial reform from sparing them from investigation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

N. My offer of a presentation

57. I offer you and your guests a presentation via video conference on this prospect for action in your own interest. To schedule it get in touch with me using the contact information in the letterhead.

O. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE

to

Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead supra.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 10, 2021 as of March 13

**The Symposium on Guardianship Abuse:
how organizers and presenters can join forces to advertise it widely
enough to turn it into the first event for forming
a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure,
compensation of abusees, and reform[‡]**

Dear Symposium organizer Dr. Sam Sugar, presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. I have suggested that you, Dr. Sugar [ssugarmd@msn.com], call a meeting of presenters as soon as possible so that we can consider and implement ideas for:
 - §A. advertising the Symposium and the unprecedented citizens hearings;
 - §B. increasing the number of people willing and able to attend; and
 - §C. prolonging the impact of the Symposium beyond April 11-12 into the secular system of judges' abuse in connivance with politicians
2. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Not all emails provide the same amount and quality of it. Based on experience, one must learn to distinguish which are likely to provide the most so that they warrant the effort and time needed to read them.
 - a. Moreover, if one states “[I am available to any presenter to assist with their presentation at any time](#)”, then one should read their comments, especially those clearly aimed to contribute to the greater and longer-term success of the Symposium. Search in the email inbox for the name of the commenter and bypass the junk there.
 - b. To be an effective leader one must make the effort to learn about what those whom one wants to lead think and say...who will react to the effort with gratitude and loyalty.
 - c. To achieve the stated objective of closing the Symposium with a consensus position paper, the presenters must start working from now on proposing and negotiating such consensus.

A. Advertising the Symposium and the unprecedented citizens hearings

1. Advertising through organizations: e.g., the consortium Academia

3. My presentation is contained in an article that is a work in progress; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf.
4. I just added to it the following pertinent paragraphs:

“32. In this vein, it is worth considering Academia, a consortium of universities that states the following about itself: "Used by academics at [16,937](#) universities"; [Academia.edu](#) .

“33. Do you instinctively think strategically to detect the potential of that statement? We must reach out to Academia so that it may serve as a conduit to as many of those universities as possible. We want to persuade them to hold the **unprecedented citizens hearings in their own interest**, namely, as an innovative way of becoming agents of socio-political change by acting as “*We the People*’s loudspeaker” and a crafter of domestic policy.”

2. From sham hearings in Congress & the Judiciary to citizens hearings

5. Congress holds hearings on judicial reform that are a sham, for politicians do not investigate the

same people that they put on the bench: Appointers do not discredit their appointees as incompetent or dishonest, lest they discredit themselves as “birds of the same feather, which fly together”.

6. Federal judges have held hearings on the [rules](#) for processing complaints against fellow judges filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980. Yet, the judges continue to [dismiss](#) 100% of complaints against them and deny 100% of the petitions to review those dismissals. Theirs are also [sham hearings](#).
7. It follows that trusting politicians who have signed up to reform the system of judge-appointed abusive guardians is an exercise in ignorance of where the interests of politicians lie; and how judges [cover for each other](#), for if one falls, he can bring down another with domino effect. As Then-Judge Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch put it: “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”.
8. That statement expresses judges’ gang mentality: It disregards any assessment of right or wrong; and unreflectively, as a primal instinct reaction, closes ranks against any perceived attacker of a gang member or interest. So, no politician dare denounce, let alone investigate, judges’ abuse of power, not even limit their unaccountability. Politicians have surrendered to the judicial gang’s rule of fear by declaring an executive order, a law, and even a party’s agenda unconstitutional; or upholding charges of election fraud brought against a candidate in the midst of a campaign.
 - a. The one extraordinary exception is Senator Elizabeth [Warren](#). In her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”, she denounces federal judges for refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest in one of the parties before them and steering the case so as to protect or even increase the value of such interest. Sen. Warren refers to it as abusive self-enrichment.
 - b. Despite an analysis [critical](#) of her plan, she should be asked to address the audience in support of the Symposium and the citizens hearings. To that end, her Washington, D.C., office should be contacted by phone to speak with her chief of staff, if at all possible, to cause the chief to agree to both retrieve a Symposium email containing a formal invitation from among the tens of thousands of emails sent there routinely and put it to Sen. Warren’s consideration. Merely sending her yet another email will accomplish nothing. See:
 - 1) info@elizabethwarren.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov
 - 2) <https://www.warren.senate.gov/contact/office-locations>, number of phone in her Washington, DC, office: (202)224-4543
 - 3) <https://www.warren.senate.gov/services/meeting-requests>: “To request a meeting with Elizabeth or one of her staffers, please send an email to scheduling@warren.senate.gov.”

3. Citizens hearings held by the media and academics in their interest

9. Hence the proposed unprecedented [citizens hearings](#). They will be organized and held by the media and universities in their own commercial and reputational interest. Panels of journalists, professors, and experts will take via video conference the testimony of the victims of judges’ abuse that they have suffered or witnessed. The outrage that the hearings will provoke will cause ever more media and universities to hold their own. A generalized investigation of judges and its outrageous findings will force politicians to adopt judicial reforms that today appear impossible but will then become unavoidable for politicians who want to remain in, or be voted into, office.

10. This explains the importance of reaching out to as many universities as possible. To that end, we want Academia to convey our proposal to all its 16,937 university members.
11. We also want the professors who will be presenting to reach out to their respective university press office. Such offices have access not only to other universities, but also to journalists and their media outlets. They can put on press conferences where we announce the Symposium and the proposal for the unprecedented citizens hearings *in their own interest*:
 - a. The citizens hearings are an innovative way for universities to become agents of socio-political change by acting as influencers and crafters of domestic policy.
 - b. Universities can become a powerhouse in American politics. In the process, they can do something that they desperately need in the times of Covid: contain the dropping out of students and attract a sufficient number of them to be able to run effectively and, as the case may be, profitably too. As far as law schools goes, dwindling enrollment has led some to close down; two have merged; and others have reduced their curriculum to save themselves the salary of adjunct instructors. The new role and regained prestige of universities can attract more students; and make it acceptable for professors to work for a lower salary.
 - c. The media can use these hearings to begin to remove the stain cast on them as ‘the enemy of the people that peddles fake news’ and make themselves a name as “*the People’s* loudspeaker”.
12. The above applies enlightened self-interest: first advancing the interest of others in the reasonably calculated expectation that their advancement will eventually advance our own interest.

4. Email addresses of law school professors and students

13. It is reasonable to assume that the presenting professors have easier access to fellow professors in other universities. They could share with them their own [invitation](#) to the Symposium; forward to them mine; or make a comment of their own to introduce mine.
14. I have many addresses of professors at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools. We can invite them to the Symposium just as we can offer to make a presentation to the students and professors of their schools. Indeed, we can embark on a digital tour of schools accessed via video conference...unless the schools agree to pay for our trip and related expenses.
15. These are some of the email addresses of professors and former students. The latter can reach out to their former classmates and professors, and the current class officers:

lessig@law.harvard.edu, vdeportu@law.harvard.edu, dersh@law.harvard.edu,
jg1861@law.georgetown.edu, katyaln@law.georgetown.edu, mtoday@umich.edu,
mjh335@law.georgetown.edu, jturley@law.gwu.edu, gucomm@georgetown.edu,
cgeyh@indiana.edu, debra.kroszner@yale.edu, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
doyle.mcmanus@georgetown.edu, jsnyder@gov.harvard.edu,
judith.resnik@yale.edu, jrz8@caa.columbia.edu, jonathanzell@caa.columbia.edu,
susan.rose-ackerman@yale.edu, rposner@uchicago.edu, genung@usc.edu,
hicadmin@bu.edu, hussman@unc.edu, legalclinic@law.northwestern.edu,
susan.dittmer@drake.edu, RWHEELER@brookings.edu, amarton@umd.edu,
abrahamchettissery@gmail.com, abbe.gluck@yale.edu, alisonsiegler@uchicago.edu,
a0600@georgetown.edu, areid@unc.edu,

5. Email addresses of journalists and media outlets

16. Some of the most important media outlets that we should try to contact to invite to cover the Symposium and hold citizens hearings are precisely those that already investigated state judges and published scathing reports on them:

- a. [Thomson Reuters](#) is a major U.S. news organization with some 2,500 journalists and some 600 photojournalists. In “The Teflon Robe” report, whose first of three parts was published on June 30, 2020, it decried “hardwired judicial corruption”:

marketresearch@thomsonreuters.com,
john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
andy.piness@thomsonreuters.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com,
contact@go.reuters.com, bthompson@legalnews.com, “Today Managing Editor Jim W. Dean” <jimwdean@aol.com>, “VT Senior Editor Gordon Duff” <gpdf@aol.com>, matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com, Brad.Heath@tr.com,
craig.hettich@thomsonreuters.com, Caroline.Monahan@thomsonreuters.com,
Corinne.Perkins@thomsonreuters.com, Troy.Dunkley@thomsonreuters.com,
Pete.Hausler@thomsonreuters.com, Erin.Sullivan@thomsonreuters.com,
Cara.Kent@thomsonreuters.com, michael.eppenbach@thomsonreuters.com,
Ron.Madden@thomsonreuters.com, info@ask.legalsolutions.thomsonreuters.info,
connor.mcGovern@thomsonreuters.com, jeanette.wells@thomsonreuters.com,
Kayla.Jordan@ThomsonReuters.com, Frank.DeVito@thomsonreuters.com,

- b. Boston Globe published on September 30, 2018, “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.

spotlight@globe.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, “Todd Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, “Brian McGrory Editor” <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, newstip@globe.com, “Mark Morrow Senior Deputy Managing Editor” <mark.morrow@globe.com>, support@bostonglobe.zendesk.com, comments@globe.com, “Jennifer Peter Managing Editor” <jennifer.peter@globe.com>, newsletters@email.bostonglobe.com, “David Dahl Deputy Managing Editor Print and Operations” <david.dahl@globe.com>, “Jason Tuohey Managing Editor Digital” <jason.tuohey@globe.com>, “Anica Butler Deputy Managing Editor for local news” <anica.butler@globe.com>, “Veterans Today Managing Editor Jim W Dean” <jimwdean@aol.com>,

- c. LexisNexis is the main competitor of Thomson Reuters. It should be contacted to argue that it is in its competitive interest to cover the Symposium and hold citizens hearings:

communication@lexisnexis.com, evan.dewitt@lexisnexis.com,
tyler.duke@lexisnexis.com, austin.dunn@lexisnexis.com,
Lane.Okney@lexisnexis.com, john.caminiti@lexisnexis.com,
austin.dunn@mail.lexisnexis.com,

- d. For the same purpose as the Academia consortium of universities mentioned in paragraph 4 supra, the following entities can be contacted:

- 1) the Accrediting Council on Education in Journalism and Mass Communications; it also provides the names and contact information of its [117 accredited schools](#)
- 2) the American Bar Association has a list of its [199 approved law schools](#)

- 3) “the Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs (ACBSP) is a global business education accrediting body [with] 1,200 programs in 60 countries”; it can be [contacted](#) in connection with an event.
- e. All the above as well as those below can be [contacted](#) with a pitch on, among other things, why it is in [their interest to investigate](#) attorney general Judge Merrick Garland and his fellow judges, and President Biden’s commission for the reform of the system of justice, and why guardianship abuse should be part of their investigation:

NTotenberg@npr.org, MCoyle@alm.com, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
aglantz@stanford.edu, info@AP.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com,
morningwire@apnews.com, Brianne.sabino@buzzfeed.com, li@newsday.com,
opinion.video@nytimes.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com,
editor@americanthinker.com, editor@newsday.com, aturturro@alm.com,
Opencourt@cnn.com, jathomsen@alm.com, investigate@kirotv.com,
oped@nytimes.com, letters@nytimes.com, inytletters@nytimes.com,

6. Advertising by sharing and posting this email

17. You can share this email with your colleagues, friends, and family as widely as possible. Hence; you can post it to social media such as:

Facebook,	Youtube,	LinkedIn,
WhatsApp,	Instagram,	Google plus,
Pinterest,	Reddit,	Snapchat,

Twitter: Joining forces to expose attorney general designate Judge Merrick Garland’s and fellow judges’ abuse of power and prevent the commission for judicial reform from sparing them from investigation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf

B. Increasing the number of attendees: an economic model & the right timing

18. The Symposium is going to be virtual, occurring only via video conference. It should apply the economic model of the radio, TV, and the Internet:
- the basic service is offered free of charge, i.e. appealing programs are offered that attract an audience;
 - advertisers, which include named sponsors, are the source of revenue that pays for the basic service;
 - premium services are offered on a fee-paying basis; e.g., streaming access to whole seasons of a series.

1. Judicial Discipline Reform **illustrates the economic model**

19. The website off Judicial Discipline Reform is at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. My articles posted there are the service of providing information and analysis that I offer for free. They have attracted so many webvisitors that 37,379+ have become subscribers; see [Appendix 3](#). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know have a website with so many subscribers?
20. I have a list of 20,000 email addresses. To them I constantly email my articles for free.
21. My website and articles are based on my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, the

product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study* † ♣ is titled:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

22. Yet, literally only a handful of people have ever made a donation to Judicial Discipline Reform.
23. However, the high number of subscribers to my website, namely, 37,379+, has economic value: It proves to capital investors that my site appeals to the well-off and educated segment of the public that not only reads, but also wants to read long and intellectually demanding articles. This is evidence in support of investing in developing it as described in my [business plan](#), which is guided by the motto: "Making Money While Doing Justice".

2. Covid-increased acceptance & expectation of free video conferences

24. The stay-at-home mandate as a Covid-19 social-distancing measure has led an astonishingly large and ever growing number of people to accept communicating via video conference. The communication service offered by Zoom is free.
25. People who have become accustomed to meeting for free on the Internet via Zoom and other similar video conference platforms are most likely not willing to pay to attend a symposium. How many presenters would pay a \$15 fee to attend any symposium, never mind this Symposium?
26. That word 'symposium' 'indicts' the meeting as one addressed only to the highly educated. It drives away the majority of victims of judges' abuse of power, including guardians' abuse. They are not emotionally attracted by listening to other people tell *their* story, which intellectually is hard work.
27. A symposium that only attracts the highly educated, such as those who move in the circles of the presenters, will end up being nothing but a one-off event that merely enhances the reputation of the presenters: climbers on a soap box at the back of the park.
28. The intellectual discourse of yet another group of educated people talking among themselves on the Internet will not cause judges and their appointees to give up the gains and convenience that they grab through their abuse of power.
29. Neither will the cries of pain and anger of a group of victims swapping their stories as they vie for the recognition as the most horribly abused victim in history...despite lacking any basis for comparison because they do not pay attention to other victims' stories, and *forget about their reading other victims' stories!*
30. Only a national public informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse of power can generate enough pressure on politicians to investigate 'their men and women on the bench', whom they protect regardless of their abuse so as not to incur devastating retaliation from the whole judicial class.

3. A free Symposium preparing abusees' storytelling at citizens hearings

31. To begin to inform and outrage the national public, the organizers and presenters must attract to the Symposium as many victims of abuse by judges -not only by guardians or guardian-appointing judges- as possible by offering them for free the only service that interests them: the opportunity to tell their story of abuse.
32. Each victim of abuse deems his or her story the one with the most egregious example of abuse. If they could tell it to the world, the world would be shocked, come to their aid, and force the judge to do them justice. Wishful thinking trumps knowledge, preventing the acquisition of facts and

their integration into comforting fantasies, which would force their reconfiguration and transformation into new conceptions of reality. Yet, their story burns them inside. They need to tell it.

33. The Symposium should be billed as the free service preparing the unprecedented citizens hearings as the venue where the victims will have the opportunity to tell their story to the whole world.
 - a. Reuters asked its readers to send it their stories of judicial abuse of power. The advertising of the Symposium and the citizens hearings should include an invitation to the public to write their stories by applying [the two-step method](#) (>OL3:1148§G) for doing so in up to 500 words and send it to Reuters and to all and any other media outlets using, to begin with, the email addresses in paragraph [15 supra](#).
34. Earning revenue will have to wait until there is a premium service that victims and educated people want to pay for; e.g., access on a fee-paying basis to a database and [research](#) and [auditing](#) software on judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform. Joining the demand for [compensation](#) for the abuse suffered is what will attract the largest number of fee-payers. Now is the time only for increasing the number of attendees so that it points to the public acceptance of the message.

C. Prolonging the impact of the Symposium

35. The organizers and presenters must decide whether they conceive of the Symposium as an opportunity to hear each other talk or as the first event for informing the national public about, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power. The former requires nothing more than appearing before your computer at the time of your Symposium presentation and delivering it.
36. The latter requires both commitment to making a difference in the system that allows judges' and their appointees' unaccountability and riskless abuse, and engagement in strategic thinking.
 - a. Presenting-professors must decide whether they want to 'live or die' in their universities by having yet another article published in a professional journal or by being recognized nationally as a member of the steering committee for forming a Tea-Party/*MeToo*!/BLM/socio-economic equality-like movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform.
 - b. Picture yourself in that photo of us at a press conference where we make an Emile Zola's *I accuse!*-like denunciation of judges' abuse of power in connivance with politicians, including the abuse [committed and covered up](#) by attorney general Judge Merrick Garland and President Biden's commission to reform the judicial system.

D. Requested action and offer to present this proposal

37. To discuss these proposals I reiterate my request that Dr. Sugar call a meeting of presenters via video conference as soon as possible.
38. I offer to make a presentation at that meeting on:
 - a. advertising the Symposium and causing the media and universities to hold the unprecedented citizens hearings; and the need to practice the division of labor, specialization, and delegation indispensable for a group of people to become an organization
 - b. increasing the audience and financing the Symposium; and
 - c. prolonging its impact by making it set in motion a movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Turning

The Guardianship Abuse Symposium

**into the event that launches the formation of
a civic apolitical nondenominational single issue
movement for judicial abuse of power exposure,
compensation of abusees, and transformational reform[‡]**

By

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England

M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School

D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, CorderoRic@yahoo.com

tel. 1(718)827-9521

© 2021 Richard Cordero

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., is a presenter at, and interested in the broadest and long-term success of,

The Guardianship Abuse Symposium

April 11-12, 2021, via Zoom

organized by **Dr. Sam Sugar, MD**, Director of

Americans Against Abusive Probate Guardianship (AAAPG)

ssugarmd@msn.com , drsam@aaapg.net , info@aaapg.net

“Whatever the future of our movement turns out to be, it must evolve, it must unify, it must act with purpose, structure, and planning.” Dr. Sugar in his email under the subject line “Guardianship Terrorism” of December 9, 2019, to Dr. Cordero.

What follows is a proposal harmonious with those requirements for forming our movement. It is based on Dr. Cordero’s study of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

Articles based thereon are posted to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>
It has attracted so many webvisitors that 37,416+ have become subscribers. Join them.

Overview of the Presentation

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf

A. Yet another symposium

v.

the beginning of a movement

B. Advertising the Symposium and
the unprecedented citizens hearings

C. Increasing audience; financing Symposium

D. Prolonging the impact of the Symposium

E. Requested action by each presenter

F. Every meaningful cause needs donations

A. Yet another symposium

v.

the beginning of a movement

1. Zero progress in holding judges accountable
2. Einstein: Doing the same thing while expecting a different is the hallmark of irrationality
3. Congressional & judiciary **sham hearings**
4. Rep. J. **Nadler** contrasted with Sen. E. **Warren**
5. Movement precedents: Tea Party; *MeToo!*; BLM; police brutality protests; socio-economic equality
6. Out-of-court inform & **outrage** *We the People*

B. Advertising the Symposium and the unprecedented **citizens hearings**

1. held by media & universities to hear abusees
2. **Journalists**: scandal sells; Pulitzer; clean name
 - a. AG **Garland** dismissed **100%** of **complaints**
 - b. Biden's judicial system reform **commission**
3. Abusees: write, send, and tell **your story**
 - a. join a collective demand for **compensation**
4. Universities: policy influencer & powerhouse
 - a. **attract** deans, professors, and students

C. Increasing audience; financing Symposium

1. using entities as gateways to their members
 - a. university press office; [student](#) class officers and organizations; [peers](#) at other universities
 - b. the consortium [Academia](#)
 - c. press clubs; [tips@media](#); press releases
 - d. professional schools [accrediting](#) entities
 - e. [AARP](#); public defenders; [bar](#) associations
2. Strength in numbers: word of mouth; going viral
3. [Developing Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#)

D. Prolonging the impact of the Symposium

1. Continued advertising after the Symposium
2. 2,400+ law professors & 2,000+ mothers in the legal profession v. Kavanaugh in *NYT*
3. Lawyers Defending American Democracy; CLEs
4. Harvard & Yale students v. Kavanaugh now to research, write theses, call for articles on judges'
 - a. interception of emails and mail
 - b. failure to read most briefs
 - c. filing fraudulent financial disclosure reports
5. Promoting virtual/physical tour of presentations that

identify what is in it **for** sponsors, media, audience

6. Making allies: enemy of my enemy is my friend
 - a. the **dissatisfied** 50%: **parties who lost** in court
 - b. offering issue to **politicians** searching for one
 - c. forming local chapters of abusees to demand **compensation**, assisted and reported on by:
 - d. unemployed lawyers and law students
 - e. journalism & business **students: team course** and Judiciary as **racketeering enterprise**
 - f. a **consortium** of Information Technology **experts** to examine the Judiciary's network

E. Requested action by each presenter

1. Statement of level of commitment
2. Division of labor; specialization; delegation
3. Organize our presentation to your students and faculty; and colleagues and guests
4. Set the example: hold citizens hearings
5. Concerted effort to have Emile Zola's *I accuse!*- & *Me Too!*-like article and press conference to spark a generalized media **investigation** of judges
6. Search for **Deep Throat** judges & **clerks**
7. Monthly meeting of presenters and supporters

F. Every meaningful cause needs resources

none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE
to
Judicial Discipline Reform

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing no. 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

or by mailing a check to
Judicial Discipline Reform, 2165 Bruckner Blvd, Bronx, NY 10472

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 29, 2021

**You can make the facts and strategic thinking prevail
upon the tried and failed practice of
filing in court to ask judges to incriminate and remove their fellow judges
at the risk of the latter retaliating against them:
Prepare your opportunity
to tell your story to the national public through
The Guardianship Abuse Symposium
and
the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings[‡]**

A. Judges protect each other by dismissing complaints or steering cases to failure

1. Many have asked me whether we can join forces to file a class action against judges or whether I can help them in prosecuting their case in court.
2. Kindly notice that Judge Alex Kozinski was on the federal bench for 35 years, 32 of them on the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; and was even the chief judge of that Court from November 2007 to December 2014. For all that time, he was known to sexually harass court clerks and externs. Yet, he was protected by his peers and colleagues.
3. But then *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* published on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, their exposés of Harvey Weinstein’s sexual abuse for over 30 years. Within a week the *MeToo!* movement erupted worldwide.
4. Supreme Court [Chief Justice John Roberts, Jr.](#), received around 700 letters from former and current clerks and externs complaining about sexual harassment by judges, including Judge Kozinski. Only to avoid the risk of being swept by the *MeToo!* movement of intolerance of enablers of sexual harassers did Chief Justice Roberts issue an order on or around December 15, 2017, referring Judge Kozinski to the Judicial Council of the Second Circuit for investigation. The Chief Justice realized that an investigation by Judge Kozinski’s own peers and colleagues in the Ninth Circuit would have detracted from “confidence in the impartiality of the proceedings”; in other words, it would have been suspected of being a whitewash resulting from judges judging judges.
5. Rather than face an investigation by the Second Circuit, Judge Kozinski resigned barely three days later on December 18, 2017, with immediate effect. The investigation stopped. Judge Kozinski did not have to compensate any of his victims. Instead, he began collecting his full pension from the Federal Judiciary.
6. Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, on February 11, 2019, resigned on February 11, 2019, upon learning 10 days earlier that she was being investigated for her participation in her father’s distribution of his assets to his children through a fraud scheme involving shell companies to evade inheritance tax. The investigation stopped. She is simply collecting her pension.
7. In fact, all federal chief circuit judges [dismiss 100% of complaints](#) filed against their peers and colleagues; and [deny 100% of petitions](#) to review those dismissals. This is a fact established by the judges themselves in their [officials statistics](#) submitted to Congress in the [Annual Report](#) of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.
8. Now judges have a protector at the highest place: The attorney general nominated by President

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

OL3:1283

*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf

Biden and confirmed by the Senate is none other than [Judge Merrick Garland](#), who was chief judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit until his seven-year term ended on February 11, 2020. He dismissed 100% of complaints against his peers in the Court and colleagues in the District and participated in the denial of 100% of review petitions concerning the 478 complaints filed during 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period.

9. It follows that the judges in your cases will be protected by their peers and colleagues. Attorney General Judge Garland will not have the Department of Justice investigate any of them, for the investigation could get out of control and investigate him too, and investigated judges could incriminate him in exchange for leniency by the investigating authorities.
10. The most that can happen is that your judges opt to resign and collect their pension. You will be left burdened with the enormous amount of effort, time, and expenses that you have already invested up to now.
11. Moreover, you will have to invest even more if new judges are appointed to your cases and you decide to continue your battle. The new judges will doom it to end up with the same result so that you and everybody else receive a message that is painfully clear even now: "*Don't you ever mess with us! We are Judges Above All Critics.*"

B. Filing suits against judges ‘reinvents the wheel’ that rolls into dismissal

12. Those are facts. Nevertheless, one Advocate wrote, “To avoid wasting time with you all trying to “reinvent the Wheel,” I attach for your information and use my recent SCOTUS filings”. As it is, the Supreme Court takes up only 1 out of 93 petitions for review, i.e., petitions for certiorari.
13. It is certainly not a petition that asks the justices to investigate, incriminate, and remove fellow judges that will persuade them to grant it.
14. Therefore, you are in fact ‘wasting your time and everybody else’s and reinventing the wheel’ when you expect judges to stop covering for each other and themselves as they have done in hundreds of thousands of previous cases...or did you think that your petition is the first one ever invented to roll into a court filing room or the one invented with the most knowledge of the law and experience of court procedure?
15. To think that you will cause judges to ‘throw fellow judges under the bus’ and order them to compensate you is a fantasy concocted by wishful thinking. That is the antithesis of strategic thinking based on facts learned through law research and reading.

C. An out-of-court strategy for you to tell your story at citizens hearings

16. Instead of ‘reinventing the wheel’, do something that will make good use of your effort, time, and money: Think out of the box...in light of the facts!

Read the article below;

Attend the Guardianship Abuse Symposium;

Promote the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings; and

Contribute to launching a *MeToo!*-like generalized media investigation of judges’ abuse of power: Share my articles widely and post them to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram,
Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp,

Twitter: Guardianship Abuse Symposium April 11-12 via Zoom opportunity to prepare to tell at first-ever citizens hearings your story of abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed & be compensated http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf

17. Learn the two-phase method to tell in only up to **500 words**(>Section G) your story of abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed; and send it to a major news company, Thomson Reuters, which conducted a nationwide investigation of judges, found “hardwired judicial corruption”, and asked its readers to send it their stories.

D. My offer to present these articles

18. I offer to make a presentation on these articles via Zoom to you and your group of guests.
19. To ascertain the quality of my presentation, watch my video and follow it on its slides.
20. To schedule it and agree on its terms, use my contact information in the [letterhead](#).
21. The articles and my presentation are based on my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and the judiciaries. The study is the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣

22. Open and operate the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#), which is available for free.

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Lip service advances nothing, but it continues to enable the abusers.

To continue and advance your common cause with

Judicial Discipline Reform

-which has attracted 37,498 subscribers

with its professionally researched and written, long form articles that describe an out-of-court strategy for informing the national public about, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power in order to form a civic apolitical non-denominational single issue movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform-

**put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.**

DONATE

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

by making a deposit or an online transfer to

Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001 or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

April 7, 2021

**Dr. Sugar has excluded Dr. Cordero from the Guardianship Abuse Symposium:
is that how a national civic movement is built?‡**

Dear Dr. Sugar, Symposium presenters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. You, Dr. Sugar, took the initiative to send me an invitation to present at the Symposium. I accepted it and timely sent in the requested information, which is contained in the file whose link is below‡.
2. On Sunday, March 7, you asked me by email the following question of federal law,

[I need to ask you a simple question](#)

[Is there any way to activate the federal government apparatus to EFFECTIVELY enjoin the state probate courts to prevent them from exploiting seniors and others through guardianship?](#)

[If there is, please tell me how](#)

3. I answered your question the same day by email. It is now at †>OL3:1260§F.
4. On March 9, you emailed me only this in the Subject: line. “Re: [when can the 2 of us speak or zoom?](#)” That same day I replied, “I am always available to speak with you.” We Zoomed that day. Upon my mentioning that my emailing list has some 20,000 email addresses, you asked me whether I was willing to advertise your Symposium to them. I said that I was and have kept my word: I have repeatedly emailed my articles advertising it; some are contained in my file‡.
5. You showed interest in my proposal that you contact deans of law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools as well as their deans of students so that through them their professors and students could be interested in both the Symposium and the underlying issue of judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.
6. So I researched and provided you with my findings: entities that could facilitate access to schools whose professors and students could be interested in attending the Symposium. So I wrote:

It is worth considering [Academia.edu](#), a consortium of universities that states the following about itself: "Used by academics at 16,937 universities"...

- 1) the Accrediting Council on Education in Journalism and Mass Communications; it also provides the names and contact information of its [117 accredited schools](#)
 - 2) the American Bar Association has a list of its [199 approved law schools](#)
 - 3) “the Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs (ACBSP) is a global business education accrediting body [with] 1,200 programs in 60 countries”; it can ‘be [contacted](#) in connection with an event’.
7. In the Zoom meeting on March 17, in the presence of the other presenters who attended it, you stated that ‘you wanted to call me in the next few days’.
 8. You called me on March 23 and requested my advice on advertising the Symposium.
 9. After our March 23 conversation, I sent you that same day and the following day dozens of physical addresses of deans, whom I had contacted by letter. I also stated why and how to contact Sen. Elizabeth Warren; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson; the news agency Thomson Reuters; its

competitor and provider of research databases LexisNexis; the newspaper Boston Globe; etc.

10. I proposed that you and your Symposium sponsors hire me to contact the above-mentioned entities and people to advertise the Symposium and interest them in researching guardianship abuse, which I cannot do for free and which I had [done before](#). You never replied to that proposal. Yet, I had been sending to you and my emailing list an advertising email under the Subject: line: “Turning the Guardianship Abuse Symposium into the event that launches the formation of a movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and transformational reform”.
11. Instead, you sent me an email under the subject line “[I hired a student to find emails this is what she found](#)”. In the text of your email you sent me only this:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1B6lqWvfRRXXz1tp77k5fl6p70zptzNCmzGAhzvBBJvU/edit?usp=sharing>

[Law School Contact Information Sheet](#)

Sheet1 School Name,Position,Contact Email NEW YORK LAW SCHOOLS St. John's University School of Law,The Dean of the Law School,simonsm@stjohns.edu The Dean of Students The Student President and Officers of the Law School Class Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University, The Dean of the Law School,<...

docs.google.com

12. I expressed my astonishment, for that student found only five email addresses! My warning to you was borne out: “You get what you pay for.”
13. I took your email for a cry for help in advertising the Symposium in the few remaining days before its start. I restated my proposal that instead you hire me to do the necessary work. [You have reacted](#) by sending me the following email:

[Sir](#)

[I have done all i am willing to do to cater to your wishes, far more than for any of the other speakers. I do not have the resources or time or patience to deal with you and your exceedingly long emails and I certainly have no intentions of hiring you.](#)

- a. What wishes have I expressed and which have you ever catered to?
- b. I have expressed the reasonable expectation that you would read the emails of presenters, to which expectation you gave rise when you wrote in your emails of March 3 and 9, as follows:

[I will update information on a regular basis and I am available to any presenter to assist with their presentation at any time.](#)

- c. It was you who years ago took the initiative to reply to one of my regularly emailed 2-5 page-long articles and invite me to join forces. You have known the length and tenor of my articles and emails for years.
- d. It is those articles and emails that have attracted so many visitors to my website at

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> and they have reacted so positively that 37,602+ have become subscribers.

Your articles ask for donations to your organization--that is not permissible in this event.

- e. In your email of March 3, you wrote as follows, which I specifically brought to your attention and you ratified by implication:

Feel free to promote your organization if that's appropriate, and even to solicit donations to it, if you desire.

Given the uniformly negative comments from other presenters about your proposed talk I feel I have no choice but to disengage.

- f. On March 26, you sent to 31 people, including the presenters, an email under the subject line “I would like your opinion n [sic] this document from Dr. Cordero within the next couple of days”
- g. The text of your email was simply a link: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf.
- h. The file reached through that link contains most of my Symposium articles, including the slides that I prepared for my presentation.
- i. Only four people responded to your request for an opinion, all on March 26. Had they read my articles, they would have cited to at least one of them, never mind the heading or paragraph that they were commenting on, or quote me!, as lawyers, judges, and professional do when commenting on somebody’s statements. Also on March 26, this is all you wrote:

third reply all negative so far
and they DID read everything

- j. Thereby you vouched emphatically for their having read “everything”. The only way you could be in a position to ascertain independently that they had read “everything” was if you too had read “everything” and found that their comments were consistent with your reading of “everything”.
- k. Since they emailed their opinion to all the 31 people from whom you had requested one, it is easy to verify that the following is their opinion:

Calling the judges “criminals” or other such dispersions [read ‘aspersions’] will not get us the audience we want.

Calling out any individual gives the “system” an excuse not to investigate. This is a “whack a mole” approach.

These systems – the various probate, guardianship and equity courts, are failing because of the lack of training, supervision and accountability of EVERYONE who is participating in these proceedings, and most especially the judicial officers.

I know that to the victims, and I am a victim too, it feels like you have been struck by a well-orchestrated criminal element. It feels that way.

But, the simple truth is that these proceedings are being undermined

by incompetence and the failure to even know, much less abide by, THE RULE OF LAW.

The Los Angeles Times just ran an article about the #FreeBritney movement. They got it exactly right. **Lisa MacCarley**, <lisamaccarley@gmail.com>

I think it's way to [sic] long and I agree with Lisa. Shouldn't call judges criminals. Point out that the system has a lot of loop holes and flawed causing a lot of mismanagement, no transparency or accountability. **Luanne Fleming**, <faceusradio@hotmail.com>

I think we are most effective when our words are succinct and measured - neither overkill nor understatement. We can show passion without derogation. **Beverly Newman**, helpelders@hotmail.com

I am not for name calling or derogatory speech.

I think like in any system there are flaws.

The recent movie, "I care a lot" is a fiction that plays right into fears of many and the belief that a person has been victimized.

I see many a case were there are predators that take advantage of elderly people and the "System" rescues them.

The system has been decimated by budget cuts and the lack of manpower to investigate abuses.

Yes, I operate within the system, and sometimes I don't agree with the outcomes, but many times I do.

All extremes are bad. **Luis Barreto** <lbarreto@miamiprobate.com>

L. In what conceivable way does their opinion address the substance of my articles, never mind impugn it? Instead, they beg the question:

What name did Dr. Cordero call whom;
and where did he call it?

- m. You all may know that the original of that question was asked from 1973 on by Sen. Howard Baker, co-chairman of the Senate Watergate Committee. It had a devastating "negative impact", leading to the resignation of President Nixon on August 8, 1974; and to the imprisonment of all his aides. This is described by *Washington Post* reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein in their bestseller *All the President's Men* and the homonymous blockbuster movie.
- n. Given that you and the three responders allegedly read my file, it should be very easy for you to answer the above question.
- o. Not even you, Dr. Sugar, were able to answer that question, for neither you nor they had

read my Symposium articles. Instead, Ms. MacCarley wrote her ‘opinion’ and the other people only read it, took it for accurate, and gave their opinion on it. A classic example of *pyramid commenting!*

- p. On what substantive basis in their ‘opinions’ did you, Dr. Sugar, rely to contradict your email of March 10, where you highlighted precisely the “[wide variety of viewpoints and perspectives \[of\] the impressive array of speakers who will be discussing the guardianship crisis](#)” at the Symposium.
- q. The 31 people to whom they emailed their opinion need not wait for their answer to my question, which the opinion-givers are unlikely ever to send, for that would require them to actually read what I wrote.
- r. All of them and the Symposium will benefit from performing a balancing test between:
 - the substantive content
 - of the opinion-givers’ “[uniformly negative responses](#)”
 - and
 - 1) my presentation [slides](#)(OL3:1273);
 - 2) my file at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; and the articles that I have researched, written, and
 - 3) emailed to advertise the Symposium repeatedly to the circa 20,000 email addresses in my emailing list.

14. Since I began emailing articles that I had written, they have had a substantially positive impact on readers, attracting many of them to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> and causing 37,548+ to become subscribers.

15. My presentation [slides](#)(OL3:1273), submitted before the deadline, and my articles are based on my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries. The study is the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting](#)* † ♣

[I am therefore retracting my invitation for you to speak at this event and cancelling your appearance at my discretion. I wish you luck in your future endeavors.](#)

16. You, Dr. Sugar, have shown inconsistent and erratic behavior as well as lack of appreciation for the assistance that you have asked and received from me, and lack of proportionality. This is not how a person develops in others respect, trust, and loyalty so necessary to turn one symposium into a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.

17. I have tried to develop that movement, intended to benefit all the victims of unaccountable judges’ abuse of power. A widely advertised and broadly attended Symposium is an excellent means of doing that. For the sake of that movement, I earnestly wish that you and all the presenters reach the largest audience possible and your message from a “[wide variety of viewpoints and perspectives](#)” resonates with people of many different walks of life.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
[Judicial Discipline Reform](#)

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

April 14, 2021

**Candidate Biden had announced the nomination of
a commission to reform the court system;
President Biden has formed a commission
only to enlarge the Supreme Court and limit justices' terms.**

**Has Attorney General Judge Merrick Garland prevailed to reduce the
commission's scope so as to prevent any investigation into judges'
conduct, which would have exposed
his unlawful 100% dismissal of complaints against fellow judges and
the consequent cover-up of his and their underlying abuse of power?**

**Exposing the connivance between the President and the Federal Judiciary
can bring down, not just a president, but rather a branch:
an unaccountable Judiciary risklessly running a racketeering enterprise.**

PITCHING A STORY WITH PULITZER PRIZE POTENTIAL[‡]

Mr. Charles Ornstein, Managing Editor
Ms. Tracy Weber, Deputy Managing Editor
ProPublica

tel. (917)512-0222; charles.ornstein@propublica.org
tracy.weber@propublica.org; <https://www.propublica.org/people>

Dear Mr. Ornstein, Ms. Weber, all other members of the media, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

This is a story pitch.

Your experience, as described in your bionote, has drawn me to pitch the story to both of you in particular: You have investigated national entities, namely, the health care and the pharmaceutical industries. You, Mr. Ornstein, won the Pulitzer Prize for Public Service; and you, Ms. Weber, won the Pulitzer for National Reporting. Combined, you have won an impressive array of other major journalism awards.

You are a team of journalists capable of investigating the national story summarized in the above title. In the process, you can make a name for yourselves and ProPublica, and bring so much needed relief to those who individually can do nothing but continue to be the victims in the story: *We the People*.

A. An investigation by you that launches a generalized media investigation

1. You “produce accountability journalism on issues of importance to the community”. The issue of accountability is at the top of the public debate here and abroad. That is shown by the movements *MeToo!*, BLM, against police brutality, for socio-economic equality, and to protect the Asian/Pacific Islander communities.
2. Your investigation can set in motion a generalized media investigation to hold the most powerful public officers accountable, namely, federal judges. A single federal judge can declare any law unconstitutional, although debated, passed, and enacted by 535 members of Congress and a president elected by scores of millions of voters.
3. By declaring laws, and progressively the whole agenda of a party, unconstitutional, federal judges

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1291
*.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf

can prevent politicians, even a whole party, from delivering on their campaign promises, dooming them to appear inefficient and incompetent when running for reelection.

- a. In fact, federal District Judge James Robart of Seattle, Washington State, suspended *nationwide* President Trump's ban on Muslim travel and a panel of three circuit judges – although two would have sufficed– sustained the ban *nationwide*. Yet, candidate Trump had campaigned in 2016 on issuing that ban and received the votes of more than 62.5 million voters.
4. In addition, federal judges are the only officers to have a life-appointment and the concomitant long memory for holding grudges.
5. As a result, the politicians who recommend, endorse, nominate, and confirm them thereafter fear their devastating power of retaliation: To avoid becoming their retaliatory target, politicians dare not even investigate 'their men and women on the bench' regardless of how illegal or unethical their conduct may appear to be. This explains how federal judges are in practice irremovable: In the last 232 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of federal judges impeached and removed is 8!
6. Protected from any investigation and held unaccountable by politicians -and by themselves, as shown below-, federal judges grab gains and convenience(OL2:455§§B, D) individually and as a judicial class by risklessly abusing their enormous power over people's property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identity.
7. Federal judges –who set the example of allowable abuse for their state counterparts– confirm Lord Acton's statement in his letter to Bishop Mandell Creighton of April 3, 1887: "Power corrupts, and absolute power [whose essential element is unaccountability] corrupts absolutely".
8. You, Mr. Ornstein and Ms. Weber, have the experience to start the investigation into federal judges' riskless abuse of power and thereby set off a generalized media investigation that starts holding them accountable on behalf of *the People*.

B. From a reform of the system of justice to a commission only to enlarge the Supreme Court and limit its justices' terms

9. Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia died on February 13, 2016. President Obama nominated his successor, to wit, Then-Chief Judge Merrick Garland of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.
10. The Republicans argued that the general election in November 2016 was so close that it should be left to the American voters to elect the president who would nominate a justice to a life-appointment office. On that basis, they denied Judge Garland even a hearing.
11. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg died on September 18, 2020. This posed the question whether the Republicans would be consistent in applying the same principle, and all the more so since the general election of November 3, 2020, was much closer. The Republicans were not. Instead, they nominated and confirmed Then-Judge Amy Coney Barrett to the Supreme Court.
12. This caused the Supreme Court to tilt to the right with a decisive 6-3 Republican-leaning majority after the successful nomination thereto by President Trump of Then-Judges Neil Gorsuch and Brett Kavanaugh.
13. The debate ensued whether if Candidate Biden won the election, he would increase the number of Supreme Court justices –popularly known as 'packing the Court'– so as to nominate more

candidates that would ensure a Democratic-leaning majority.

14. When Candidate Biden was interviewed by [CBS newsanchor Norah O'Donnell](#) on October 22, 2020, he was asked whether he would increase the number of justices. Instead of answering that question, he emphatically announced that if he became president, he would nominate a bipartisan commission to study for 180 days, 'not the number of justices, but rather the reform of the court system' and report its recommendations.

C. AG Judge Garland's conflict of interest was resolved to protect his interest in avoiding any investigation into judges

15. After Candidate Biden won the presidential election, he nominated as his attorney general precisely Judge Merrick Garland, whose 7-year term as chief judge had ended on February 11, 2020.
16. Judge Garland's status as judge and now attorney general has given rise to an insurmountable conflict of interests. This is how it has arisen.
17. The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (the Act; [28 USC §§351-364](#)) allows any person to file a complaint against a federal judge in the court of appeals of the circuit, or the national court, where the judge sits.
18. The [official statistics](#) on complaints against federal judges are collected and submitted to Congress (§604(a)(3-4)) as a public document in the [Annual Report](#) of the Director of the [Administrative Office](#) of the U.S. Courts. The director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court (§601).
19. The complaint is first reviewed by the chief judge, who must not investigate it. But the chief judge can dismiss it by alleging, for example, that the complaint is not within the scope of the Act; or is "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling" or "frivolous" (§352).
20. To protect their fellow judges, chief judges [systematically](#) dismiss 100% of complaints and deny 100% of the petitions to review dismissals.
21. The significance of those statistics becomes apparent upon learning that the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (known as RICO; [18 USC §§1961-1968](#)) provides that two acts of racketeering committed within 10 years constitute "a pattern of racketeering activity" (§1961(5)). A defendant convicted of having engaged in such a pattern can be imprisoned for 20 years and, depending on the offense, for life.
22. The 100% complaint dismissal and petition denial is a pattern and far much more: It is a policy. As such, it can reasonably be presumed to have been explicitly coordinated among federal judges, including the Supreme Court justices. It is their institutionalized modus operandi.
23. Judges implement that policy by abusing their power to ensure their unaccountability. They do it at the expense of complainants, whom they knowingly deprive of any relief from, or compensation for, the abusive conduct complained about. Federal judges conspire to deprive *We the People* of the due process right to "equal protection of the law" (U.S. [Constitution](#), 14th and 5th Amendments). They arrogate to themselves the status of "Judges Can Do No Wrong Under Any Law".
24. So, the official statistics show that P. Trump SCt nominee Judge Brett Kavanaugh, P. Obama SCt nominee Chief Judge Garland, and their peers in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit received during the 10oct06/30sep17 11-year period, [478 complaints](#) against federal judges in their Circuit. [Chief Judge Garland](#) and his predecessor dismissed 100% of them.

25. In addition, these chief judges and their peers and colleagues in their Circuit's judicial council (28 USC §332) denied 100% of the petitions to review those dismissals. They did so -as all other judges do- in the most perfunctory way possible: by having the clerk of court dump review petitions out of court by issuing a form whose only operative word is "denied", with no discussion of the law or any statement of reasons or facts whatsoever. A denial as arbitrary and contemptuous as a fiat, for 'kings need not explain; they only order'.
26. By so doing, Chief Judge Garland and his peers and colleagues arrogated to themselves the power to render that Act of Congress useless as a means of complaining against federal judges.
27. He and they have shown bias and partiality toward their fellow judges and their riskless abuse of power for their gain and convenience. Conversely and necessarily, they have shown reckless indifference to the plight of the complainants and the fate of the rest of *the People*, left at the mercy of unaccountable judges regardless of the nature, extent, and gravity of their abuse.
28. It is obvious that if Attorney General Judge Garland allowed the investigation of complaints against judges by the commission for the reform of the court system that Candidate Biden had announced, never mind a complaint filed with the FBI or the Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility, he would end up investigated and incriminated for both his abuse of power in dismissing 100% of complaints against his fellow judges and denying 100% of dismissal review petitions; and covering up the abuse of power underlying the complaints.
29. Such cover-up has made Judge Garland an accessory after the abuse that he learned about but explicitly or implicitly agreed to turn a blind eye to; as well as an accessory before the abuse that the same abuser or other people committed in reliance on the expectation arising from his previous conduct that he would likewise turn a blind eye to it. Of course, he may also be covering up his own abuse as a principal, i.e. the person who actually committed the abuse or ordered its commission.
30. Moreover, his abuse of power as a principal and/or an accessory has made him vulnerable to fellow judges' "trading up" in plea bargaining, whereby in exchange for leniency they would agree to testify to the abuse of 'a bigger fish' than them, that is, AG Judge Garland, or even 'the biggest fish', his boss, President Biden. Of this grave risk he is reminded by the menacing warning that all judges have carved on their foreheads: 'I know about your own abuse. If you let anybody bring me down, I'll take you with me!'
31. These facts set the foundation for the investigative question prompted by the White House press release of April 9, 2021, "**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**"
 - a. Did AG Judge Garland in connivance with President Biden scale down the commission from one to reform the court system to one dealing with only the enlargement of the Supreme Court and the limitation of justices' terms, not because that was in the interest of justice, let alone of *We the People*, but rather because they wanted to protect their own interest in not being investigated and ending up at the center of a national scandal exposing federal judges as riskless grabbers of gains and convenience and the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise?

D. Public outrage's role in energizing a generalized media investigation into judges and their judiciaries

32. Due to Covid-19, millions of people have lost their jobs or only have precarious ones and suffer

every day from lack of food or food insecurity. How outraged would they become if they learned that judges, who individually earn some four times the average national *household* income, abuse their power to grab yet more gains and convenience?

33. Public outrage can be so intense as to lead to the resignation of one, several, or all the justices. They participated in the abuse as lower court judges and currently cover it up as circuit justices (28 USC §42) allotted with supervisory duties to the several circuits. Many chief circuit judges and fellow judges would also find the call for their resignation by an outraged *People* too widespread and profound to remain in office.
34. You, Mr. Ornstein and Ms. Weber, can set off such [public outrage](#) by conducting a pin-pointed and cost-efficient investigation that in turn sets in motion a generalized media investigation.

E. Leads to investigate abusive judges and their racketeering Judiciary

35. Sen. Elizabeth Warren, a politician knowledgeable about financial matters, [dare denounce](#) in her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too" how federal judges fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in a company that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor by protecting or increasing their stock's value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as judges' abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to their unaccountability.
 - a. Such self-enrichment necessarily entails their commission of the crimes of concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, fraud, and breach of contract for judicial services, of public trust, and of the oath of office. But it is riskless for judges. So they become predators, always prowling for the next prey.
36. Thomson Reuters conducted a nationwide [investigation](#) into state judges and published the first of its three-part report "[The Teflon Robe](#)", which found "hardwired judicial corruption", on June 30, 2020.
37. Boston Globe, the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report "Inside our secret courts", in whose "private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree], who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong".
38. The FBI has vetted thousands of judicial candidates and produced reports on them kept secret up to now. To vet them it exercised its power of subpoena, search and seizure, and contempt, which the media lack. Its reports are bound to contain embarrassing and incriminating information about the unethical and illegal conduct in which judicial candidates engaged before taking the bench and even thereafter given that they have felt protected by their peers and colleagues, who abuse their power to cover up their fellow judges' abuse. After all, people were acceptable as judicial candidates because they had shown that they understood how the power game is played and were playing it.
 - a. You can call into question President Biden's honesty, good faith, and commitment to transparency by demanding that he release the FBI's secret vetting reports on judicial candidates.
 - b. It is reasonable to expect that progressively many other journalists and media outlets will join you in such demand as they realize that they must not fail to jump on the investigative bandwagon that you have set rolling.
39. I have collected an abundance of leads to start the investigation into, generally, judges and their

judiciaries(OL:194§E) and, particularly, AG Judge Garland, and Supreme Court justices.

- a. I am willing and able to participate in the investigation. For proof, there is my three-volume study* † ♣ based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking, thus titled:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

- b. Supported by that study are the articles that I have written and posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that 37,759+ have become subscribers to it (as of 18apr21; Appendix 3). How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?

- 1) You can join the subscribers thus: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

- c. You can publish one or a series of my articles(infra Appendix 6) bound to outrage parties to cases as well as the rest of *the People*, such as:

- 1) the **mathematical demonstration** that judges do not read the overwhelming majority of briefs. The outrage that this will provoke can lead to the formation of **local chapters** of parties to collectively demand that the same judge before whom they have appeared or those of the same court in which they filed their cases compensate them for the waste of money in producing their briefs –which can cost a party \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to produce– and for the fraud inflicted on them.
- 2) judges' **interception of the emails and mail** of people to detect and suppress those of their critics. This can constitute one of the most outrageous abuses because it infringes on Americans' most cherished rights, namely, those under the U.S. **Constitution**, First Amendment, guaranteeing their "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including compensation for waste and fraud]";
- 3) judges' **bankruptcy fraud scheme**. The gains that they grab through this scheme they must necessarily cover up. To that end, they pretend to comply with their duty to file annual financial disclosure reports under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 (**5 USC, Appendix**). They do so by including false and misleading data in their reports. The latter are filed with a reviewing committee composed of other fellow judges, who are also subject to the same filing duty. Hence, the reviewers have every interest in being as indulgent with the filers as they want the filers and their friends to be eventually with them. Judges' reports have been collected by, and are downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org.

**F. Unprecedented citizens hearings
for *the People* to reform the system of justice**

40. We can join forces in promoting **unprecedented citizens hearings** on unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power. For the first time ever, hearings on a public issue will be organized by media stations and universities throughout the country.

- a. These citizens hearings will afford the opportunity for victims of, and witnesses to, judges'

abuse of power to tell their [story](#) to the national public; and do so mostly through interactive video conference to reduce travel expenses; reach the largest life audience possible; and receive their feedback in real time.

- b. They will have their stories taken down by, and answer the questions of, multidisciplinary panels of journalists, professors, and experts.
- c. The leading panelists will draw up a report to be presented at the first-ever conference on judges' unaccountability and abuse of power, which will be broadcast nationally and internationally.
- d. The citizens hearings are intended to be the unbiased and uncompromising means of exposing judicial abuse of power; spark the formation of local chapters of victims; and impart the unstoppable momentum for *We the People* to reform, not only the court system, but rather the system of justice here and abroad.

G. My offer of a presentation to you and your group of colleagues

- 41. I offer to pitch this story to you and a group of your colleagues at a presentation via video conference or, if here in New York City, in person. You may assess my capacity to make such presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#). To set its terms and schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead above.
- 42. To consult with others on this pitch and/or interest potential guests in attending my presentation you may widely share this article and post it to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, WhatsApp,
LinkedIn, Instagram, Google plus,
Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat,

Twitter: Did P Biden drop his announced commission to reform the court system, limiting it to the Supreme Court, at the urging of AG Judge Garland trying to prevent any investigation into himself & fellow judges; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf

H. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.

DONATE

to

Judicial Discipline Reform

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank,
routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

April 20, 2021

Mr. David Lat
Lateral Link
<https://davidlat.com/>
tel. (917)397-2751
dlat@laterallink.com, dlat@abovethelaw.com, tips@abovethelaw.com

Dear Mr. Lat, all other media members, law recruiters, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,[‡]

I sent you an email and received an automatic reply where you described yourself thus: “I’m now a legal recruiter, a managing director in the New York office of [Lateral Link](#) (although I’ll still write a [semimonthly column](#) for Above the Law and work on selected projects)”.

A. To you as a columnist and project worker

1. I am hereunder pitching to you a ‘select project’ pithily summarized in the following title and described in greater detail in my story pitched to ProPublica below:

Candidate Biden had announced the nomination of
a commission to reform the court system;
President Biden has formed a commission
only to enlarge the Supreme Court and limit justices’ terms.

Has Attorney General Judge Merrick Garland prevailed
to reduce the commission’s scope
so as to prevent any investigation into judges’ conduct,
which would have exposed
his unlawful 100% dismissal of complaints against fellow judges and
the consequent cover-up of his and their underlying abuse of power?

Exposing the connivance between
the President and the Federal Judiciary
can bring down, not just a president, but rather a branch:
an unaccountable Judiciary
risklessly running a racketeering enterprise.
Pitching a story with Pulitzer Prize potential

2. I am interested in joining forces with you and Above the Law to pursue this project through journalistic investigation.

B. To you as recruiter and producer of opportunities

3. You ended your automatic reply by stating, “Finally, if you’re a lawyer interested in new opportunities or at a law firm looking to hire, please don’t hesitate to contact me at Lateral Link”.

1. Recruiting me for a law firm

4. I am interested in being employed by a law firm or doing work for law firms on assignment, e.g.,

consulting on specific issues; writing motions and briefs; and devising litigation strategy.

5. You and your clients can evaluate the quality of my law research and writing, and strategic thinking by reading the article below and its foundation, that is, my three-volume study* †♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* †♣

2. 'Recruiting' my articles for placement with publishers

6. In the same vein, I am interested in having one or a series of [my articles](#)(Appendix 6) published.
7. My articles are written in long form and demand concentration to understand them. So are those that appear in *The New York Times Sunday Magazine*, *The New Yorker*, *The Atlantic*, TIME, *The Washington Post*, etc., which cater to a highly educated and well-off readership. That is the kind of readership that my articles address. There is proof of their appeal.
8. Indeed, I have posted some of my articles to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that 37,774+ have become subscribers to my site(Appendix 3).
9. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
10. The articles first to be published should be those whose abstracts are set forth [infra ¶40.a](#). The facts stated therein are sufficient to raise the reasonable expectation that they could provoke national outrage. The latter can launch a generalized media investigation into judges and their judiciaries because “Scandal sells”. In turn, the investigation can influence the agenda of the Biden commission to reform the Supreme Court and the tenor of the public hearings that it will hold.
11. Those articles can provide the commercial and reputational incentive for journalists and academics to hold the proposed *unprecedented citizens hearings* on judicial unaccountability and abuse of power(infra Section F); compensation of abusees; and transformative reform of not only the Supreme Court, but also the administration of justice.
12. There is precedent for the expectation that an article can bring about transformative reform: *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* published their exposés of the abuse by Harvey Weinstein on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively. Within a week the *MeToo!* movement erupted worldwide. The world has not been the same since. It has become intolerant of any form of abuse.
13. The exposure of abuse by judges in connivance with politicians can transform our form of government: *We the People* can emerge as the entity that in practice performs the role assigned to it by the theory of democracy, to wit, *the People* as the masters who hold all their public servants, including judicial public servants, accountable for their exercise of the public power entrusted to them and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of it.
14. You have the opportunity to set that transformation in motion.

3. Producing my website as an engine of transformative reform

15. The subscribers to my website constitute my market base.
16. They give rise to the commercial opportunity to attract capital investors to develop my website as

set forth in my [business plan](#) –which is guided by the motto “Making Money While Doing Justice”– from an informational platform into:

- a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients seeking to **audit** judges’ decisions and search many other writings from many sources that through **computer-assisted** statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence, namely, judges’ patterns, trends, and schemes of abusive conduct underlying the need to reform the Supreme Court as well as the rest of the court system; and
 - c. the digital portal –think Amazon– of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture. The latter should be the precursor to the sponsorship by, and attachment to, a top university or think tank of the **institute** of judicial unaccountability reporting and reform advocacy.
17. This is the most opportune time for capital investors to undertake this ‘select project’ of site development: when the president and his attorney general, Judge Merrick Garland, have brought into the public debate the need for judicial reform because the Supreme Court has become a politicized institution and the Federal Judiciary is unaccountably run as a racketeering enterprise.
18. Accordingly, this is your ‘select opportunity’ to manage yourself directly into a nationally recognized Producer of Justice as *the People* shout ever more self-assertively the rallying cry:

Enough is enough!
We won’t take any abuse by anybody anymore.

C. My offer to pitch this ‘select project’ to you and your guests

19. I offer to make a presentation of this ‘select project’ to you, your colleagues, and capital investors via video conference or, if here in New York City, in person.
20. You may assess my capacity to make such presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#).

D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.

DONATE

to

Judicial Discipline Reform

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank,
routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

through **Paypal**

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webser?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or by mailing a check to the address in [the letterhead above](#).

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

May 15, 2021

**Why no credibility can be assigned to
either Attorney General Judge Merrick Garland,
who pretends that in his DoJ's police department investigations
"We will follow the facts and the law wherever they lead",
for he violated the law when
he dismissed 100% of complaints against fellow judges,
thus covering up their abuse complained about;
or the Biden Commission on reforming the Supreme Court,
whose overwhelming majority of members are
former law clerks to justices and judges,
bound by the confidentiality agreement that they signed with them; and
law professors,
whose schools compete for the prestige of having justices and judges
accept their students as clerks, teach as adjunct professors, and
serve on their boards and moot courts**

Commissioners compromised by a conflict of interests
will produce an inherently biased, unreliable, and theoretical report.

Hence the proposal for students and journalists to hold

UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

**Meantime, learn how to ask the Commission to
let the national public hear your story of abuse by unaccountable judges
and how to write it in up to 500 words[‡]**

Professor Michael Ramsey

Member of the Biden Commission on reforming the Supreme Court,
the other members of the Commission, Info@PCSCOTUS.gov; tel. (202)501-1777;
professors, students, journalists, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

Dear Prof. Ramsey, Commission members, professors, students, journalists, and Advocates,

Thank you, Prof. Ramsey, for your reply to [the article](#) that I emailed to each commissioner
and the public at large, which bears the following summarizing title:

Candidate Biden had announced
the nomination of a commission to reform the court system;
President Biden has formed
a commission only to enlarge the Supreme Court and limit justices' terms.

Has Attorney General Judge Merrick Garland prevailed
to reduce the commission's scope so as to prevent any investigation into judges' conduct,
which would have exposed his unlawful 100% dismissal of complaints against fellow judges and
the consequent cover-up of his and their underlying abuse of power?

Exposing the connivance between the President and the Federal Judiciary
can bring down, not just a president, but rather a branch:
an unaccountable Judiciary risklessly running as a racketeering enterprise.

[Pitching a story](#) with Pulitzer Prize potential

In your reply, you pointed out the issues of resending the article and its credibility thus:

Dear Dr. Cordero, thanks for submitting your comments to me as part of the Commission on the Supreme Court. However, this is the third time you have sent me the essentially identical email. If you persist in this approach, I will have to regard your communications as spam. I might add, also, that this approach is not one that enhances your credibility.

Best regards
Michael Ramsey

My credibility is determined, not by the number of times that I email an article, but rather by the quality of my law research and writing, and strategic thinking, on which depend the reliability, understandability, and insight of its contents. I respectfully invite you to assess them by reading the new article hereunder.

A. Introduction: a Commission that runs away to write a new constitution

1. You, the commissioners, can follow the precedent for ‘a Commission that runs away’ from its mandate: The Articles of Confederation adopted in 1777 by the former 13 colonies and by then independent states entered into effect in 1781. They proved to be unworkable. So in 1787, the states chose delegates to convene to propose amendments. The delegates, including George Washington, presiding over the amending convention, and James Madison and Alexander Hamilton, tried unsuccessfully. Instead of becoming stuck with a doomed mandate, the delegates took a courageous and historic decision: They cast the Articles of Confederation aside and wrote a totally new constitution. It came into force in 1789 and has been in effect ever since.
2. That was 232 years ago! That Constitution was written by only free white landed men. They had a mentality completely different from ours, for they lived in a world completely different from the present one: a pre-industrial one that had no running water, electricity, electronic devices, cars, trains, never mind airplanes; no universal suffrage, education, health care, employee or tenant rights, etc. Since then, that Constitution has been distorted to make it fit by force an evolving world.
3. It is an anachronistic document. It represents ‘the dead man’s hand’ governing us from a world that passed away a long time ago. A dead man, not just a dummy, his lips have been manipulated by ventriloquists on the bench and lawyers before them to say things so repugnant to him and his contemporaries born centuries ago that none of them would have said even under torture that what they said in writing was ever intended to mean it: There is a right to abortion, same-sex marriage, freedom from slavery, privacy...Do you hear them screaming from their graves?:

The horror of it! May the Lord punish you in everlasting hell. Its fire sheds light so bright that it allows of no ‘penumbra’ where those rights could have been hidden. Only in the darkness of ignorance and dishonesty could disingenuously ‘enlightened’ men pretend that our Constitution contains those rights anywhere.
4. The Commission can end this ventriloquist farce. It can make us, *We the People*, the Masters of our Words by drafting a new constitution. It will allow us to speak for ourselves and to our needs.
5. The commissioners should muster the courage to run away from their mandate, to wit, to study ways of reforming the Supreme Court. If they do, they will not run alone. Rather, they will take the lead of the 34 states that since April 2, 2014, have petitioned Congress to call a constitutional convention, as provided for by the amending provisions of Article V of the [Constitution](#).
6. The commissioners would be wise to run away from their mandate because they cannot fulfill it

honestly: An attempt to fulfill it is an exercise in providing cover to a political party's predetermined decision to 'pack the Court' by increasing the number of justices and reducing their term in office in order to reestablish the balance of power in the Court. It is fanciful to think that the commissioners could demonstrate such wisdom in their study as to persuade that party to desist from its decision. The commissioners will end up being that party's dummy.

7. What is more, the commissioners cannot fulfill their mandate because the overwhelming majority of them were law clerks to judges, even to Supreme Court justices, and are law professors. As discussed below, to be allowed to clerk for them, they had to sign the judges' confidentiality agreements; and to obtain from them the glowing letters of recommendation that would make or break their careers they did anything and everything that the judges and justices asked them to do.
8. As law professors they are under pressure from their schools never ever to speak ill of federal judges, who have a life-appointment; the long memory to hold grudges that goes along with it; and crushing power of retaliation as individuals and as a class; e.g.:
 - a. Federal District Judge Gonzalo Curiel was presiding over the Trump University case. In June 2016, Candidate Trump did not like one of his decisions and referred to him disparagingly as "the so-called judge of Mexican heritage", who could not be impartial because Trump wanted to build a wall between the U.S. and Mexico. He kept campaigning on issuing a ban on Muslim immigration travel. Upon receiving more than 62.5 million votes and becoming president, he issued that ban as one of his first executive orders in 2017.
 - b. Yet, Federal District Judge James Robart of Seattle, Washington State, suspended P. Trump's Muslim travel ban *nationwide* and a panel of three circuit judges –although two would have sufficed– sustained the suspension *nationwide*. As then-Judge, now-Justice Neil Gorsuch put it: "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us". What an unambiguous, unabashed expression of judges' **gang mentality!**
 - c. Subsequently, federal judges and even justices together with their state counterparts ruled against or dismissed at least 86 cases filed by Trump and his allies challenging the 2020 presidential election results.
9. It follows that the commissioners have a conflict of interests: To propose ways of reforming the Supreme Court, they would have to apply the practical model used by Congress: It investigates the issue claimed to need legislation, e.g., by holding public hearings thereon; makes findings of facts; and relies on them as the justification for writing a bill of law.
10. The commissioners cannot expose the conduct in practice, rather than in theory, of judges without revealing that the judges for whom they clerked committed illegal and unethical acts and improprieties (Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges, Canon 2, which enjoins judges 'to avoid impropriety and even the appearance of impropriety'). The judges engaged in such conduct under cover of their confidentiality agreement with the clerks and their dismissal of 100% of complaints against them, discussed below. Judges' abuse of power is riskless. By contrast, for the commissioners to expose it is fraught with risk. They are compromised.
11. The commissioners can honestly resolve their conflict of interests by 'running away' from a mandate that is a dishonest attempt at political manipulation rather than an honest means of justice reform. They can write a new constitution. Therein they can enable *the People* to strip their judicial public servants of self-ensured unaccountability and hold them to the same equal treatment of compensation for being abusive or incompetent as judges do police officers, priests, lawyers, doctors, and everybody else...except themselves.

12. If you, the commissioners, do so, you will not continue to be former clerks and professors among thousands of them, but will become the ‘parents’ of a historic constitution that brings about transformative change in the system of justice: It goes in as it was framed in 1789, but comes out a different one appropriate for those living today. That will earn you recognition here and abroad as the *Peoples’* Champions of Justice.

B. Resending an email to overcome the interception by judges of people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those that are critical of judges

13. We all suffer from information overload. Nobody can read all the emails that they receive. So senders, including me, resend their emails: to increase the odds that recipients will read them. Publishers too ‘resend’ their articles when they publish them, not in dailies, but in weeklies, monthlies, or periodicals on display in the stands for two, three, or four months, as professional journals are. TV operators ‘resend’ reruns to distribute and lower their cost per broadcast. Similarly, I resend my emails to distribute the enormous investment of time and effort needed to research and write them.
14. The very first words of my articles make up a note encouraging recipients to acknowledge receipt of the article thereunder. If I do not receive such acknowledgment, I resend them the article.
15. Most importantly, I resend them because of the factual and statistical probable cause to believe that federal judges [intercept the emails and mail](#) of people to detect and suppress those of their critics.
16. The judges’ motive is to prevent criticism of their [abuse of power](#) from so [outraging](#) the public as to force both law enforcement authorities, e.g., the FBI and its state counterparts, to investigate judges; and Congress to conduct hearings that can lead to legislation holding judges accountable.
17. The judges’ means to intercept is their vast, nationwide computer network and expertise, which handle daily hundreds of thousands of filings and retrievals of pleadings, motions, records, petitions, etc.; updating of dockets; issuance of orders and decisions; etc., whether entered or requested by court clerks, lawyers, or parties with access to their Case Management/Electronic Case Filing ([CM/ECF](#)) or Public Access to Case Electronic Records ([PACER](#)) systems.
18. The judges’ opportunity to intercept presents itself, for instance, when under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (Title 50 of the [U.S. Code](#) of federal laws, sections 1801 et seq. ([50 USC §§1801 et seq.](#)), the National Security Agency (NSA) and other intelligence agencies secretly request judges to issue secret orders of secret surveillance. Judges grant up to [100% of those requests](#) (>Ln: 212, 263, 269). Judges and those agencies do what since the first impeachment of President Trump officers at the highest level of government are known to do or suspected of doing: enter into quid pro quos.
19. Their willingness to break the law if they think that they can get away with it was indisputably illustrated by the NSA: It secretly and illegally recorded the metadata of scores of millions of phone calls, as revealed by the documents leaked by Edward Snowden in 2013.
20. In this context, note that the [United States Postal Service](#) handles [429.9 million letters and packages every day](#) and serves [161.4 million addresses](#) in the country. Yet, it has the means of offering the [Informed Delivery](#) service, whereby it sends each of its 33 million registered customers every day an email with the photo of the address side of every letter and package to be delivered to their address that day. [High resolution X-ray scanners](#) and multiline Optical Character Recognition (OCR) readers enable the reading of letters without opening their envelopes.
21. Federal judges have a strong motive to take advantage of their means and opportunity to break the law because thereby they can increase their gain and convenience. Indeed, a public officer as

knowledgeable about financial matters as Sen. Elizabeth Warren has **dare denounce** in her "I have **a plan** for the Federal Judiciary too" how federal judges fail to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in a company that is a party to the case before them in order to resolve the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor to protect or increase their stock's value. Sen. Warren refers to such practice throughout the Federal Judiciary as judges' abusive self-enrichment. She attributes it to their **unaccountability**.

22. The evidence of judges' unaccountability is presented and discussed in:

- a. my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, which is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣**

* Vol. 1, >all prefixes:# up to OL:393; † Vol. 2, >from OL2:394-1143; ♣ Vol. 3, >from OL3:1144

- i. Open the downloaded files using **Adobe Acrobat Reader**, which is available for free.
- b. the articles that I have written and posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **38,775+** have become subscribers to it as of June 9, 2021 (**Appendix 3**).
 - 1) How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - 2) You can join the subscribers thus: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or +New or Users >Add New.
 - 3) To invest in the commercial development of the site, see its **business plan**, which is guided by the motto "Making Money While Doing Justice".

1. Judges intercept and self-enrich as they ensure their unaccountability

23. Federal judges break the law because it is riskless for them to abuse their power for their protection and self-enrichment. Indeed, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (**28 USC §§351-364**), any person can file a complaint against a federal judge in the court of appeals of the circuit, or the national court, where the judge sits.
24. The **official statistics** on complaints against federal judges are collected and submitted to Congress (§604(a)(3-4)) as a public document in the **Annual Report** of the Director of the **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts. The director is appointed by the Supreme Court Chief Justice (§601).
25. Each complaint is first reviewed by the chief circuit judge. To protect their fellow judges, chief judges **systematically** dismiss 100% of complaints and deny, together with the other judges on the judicial council (**28 USC §§332**) of their respective circuit, 100% of petitions to review dismissals.
 - a. For the judges, the **Code of Conduct** for U.S. Judges has no bearing on whether a complaint should be investigated. They proceed in self-interest to disregard the Code as a matter of institutionalized policy. It follows that extending its field of application to the justices would be an exercise in either inexcusable ignorance or intentionally misleading pretense.
26. This is what President Biden's Attorney General, Judge Merrick Garland, did during his 2013-2020 7-year term as chief judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in

Washington, DC. Thereby [Chief Judge Garland](#) covered up his fellow judges' abuse of power complained about and collected IOUs to ensure that whenever he was the target of a complaint, he too would be protected.

27. By so doing, he knowingly advanced his personal and judicial class interest while leaving complainants [uncompensated](#) and the rest of litigants and the public at the mercy of judges held unaccountable and free to risklessly continue abusing their power.
28. He did so while Then-Judge, Now-[Justice Brett Kavanaugh](#), who served on that Court for the 2006-2017 11-year period kept silent about such illegal abrogation in practice of that Act of Congress. So did Then-Judge, Now [Justice Sonia Sotomayor](#) while on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; Then-Judge, Now-[Justice Neil Gorsuch](#) while on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit; and Then-Judge, Now-[Justice Amy Coney Barrett](#) while on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. [Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.](#), has known about judges' [institutionalized policy](#) of complaint dismissal and review petition denial, and has covered it up.
29. Now as Attorney General, Judge Garland cannot expose his fellow judges and justices as abusers of power without risking exposure for his abuse of power. His credibility is compromised. That is why he will not investigate judges' interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics.
30. Critics can only keep resending their emails in an attempt to overcome such interception. They must also denounce this most outrageous abuse of power, for it infringes on Americans' most cherished rights, namely, those under the U.S. [Constitution](#), First Amendment, guaranteeing their "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including compensation for waste and fraud]".

C. Attorney General Judge Garland has no credibility to investigate police departments

31. Attorney General Judge Merrick Garland gave his first and exclusive interview since taking office as AG to ABC News Chief Justice Correspondent Pierre Thomas on Monday, April 19, 2021. In his report on it on the PBS [Washington Week](#) episode of Friday, April 23, 2021, he included a clip where AG Judge Garland says, "Racism is an American problem. We do not yet have equal justice under law and as I said, I think this is an important part of the role of the Justice Department" (DoJ). Accordingly, he has opened a wide-ranging DoJ investigation of police departments.
32. On Monday, April 26, [CBS Evening News](#) anchor Norah O'Donnell introduced a report by correspondent Jeff Pegues containing a clip where AG Judge Garland says that 'the Department of Justice's "investigation will include a comprehensive review of the Louisville [, Kentucky] police department's policies and training" in the wake of its police officers' botched execution of a warrant, which resulted in the killing of Breonna Taylor in her own bed. "We will follow the facts and the law wherever they lead", he added.
33. It is reasonable to assume that among the thousands of complaints filed with chief circuit judges, such as AG Judge Garland himself was at the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit until February 11, 2020, there have been, are, and will be complaints against judges whom complainants have charged and will charge with bias toward police officers and departments accused of abusing their power.

cbsnews.com is now full screen [Exit Full Screen \(Esc\)](#)

INVESTIGATION

WHETHER LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT:

- ENGAGED IN UNCONSTITUTIONAL SEARCHES AND SEIZURES
- UNLAWFULLY EXECUTED SEARCH WARRANTS
- USED UNREASONABLE FORCE



ATTORNEY GENERAL
MERRICK GARLAND

Navigation bar with back, forward, refresh, and home icons. Address bar: <https://www.cbsnews.com/video/042621-cbs-evening-ne>. Bookmarks: Most Visited, Getting Started, AOL Mail (134), Fix HP Laptop and PC..., Nightly News with Les..., The Hill Contributor A...



Stream CBS Live | Free CBS News App | Sign Up For Newsletters | Biden's First 100 Days | Affirmative Action | Coronavirus Pandemic | Derek Chauvin Verdict

CBS NEWS NEWS SHOWS LIVE

LOUISVILLE POLICE PROBE

BREAKING NEWS
DOJ PROBES LOUISVILLE POLICE AFTER BREONNA TAYLOR SHOOTING

CBS EVENING NEWS WITH NORAH O'DONNELL

CBS Evening News, April 26, 2021
North Carolina city in state of emergency after deadly police shooting; Volunteers making
Watch CBSN Live
59H AGO

34. It is reasonable to expect that just as Judge Garland dismissed 100% of complaints against fellow

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

judges without referring them for investigation to the special committees provided for under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act(28 USC §353), he will now as Attorney General continue to protect his own, whether it be himself, his fellow judges, the Federal Judiciary, his Department of Justice, or his boss, i.e., President Biden, by covering up those complaints through their exclusion from his DoJ investigation of police departments.

35. AG Judge Garland has shown that it is in his character to show reckless disregard for “the facts and the law” by systematically steering all complaints into dismissal from 'wherever they would have led' in order to ensure for his fellow judges ‘unequal justice under law’ to the detriment of abusees. It follows that he has no credibility to lead fairly and impartially any “important part of the role of the Justice Department”, especially any investigative part intended to ensure “equal justice under law”.



D. A Commission composed of former law clerks and current law professors has no credibility to study how to reform the Supreme Court

36. For the Commission to be credible it must not only discuss the theory of constitutional law, but also investigate the running in practice of the Supreme Court and the conduct of its justices as well as those of the lower courts and their judges, whom they supervise. Otherwise, the Commission

will only be a thinly disguised device for one political party to “pack the Court” by increasing the number of justices from 9 to 15 in the expectation that by nominating and confirming the new justices, the party will give itself a majority in the Court for one or two generations.

37. However, an investigation of the Court and its justices cannot be fair and impartial if it is undertaken by commissioners who were law clerks to judges and justices so that they have an interest in not exposing the abuse of power of these judicial officers, including their peers and colleagues, because by so doing the commissioners would implicate themselves in the enforcement of these officers’ abuse or its cover-up.
38. The clerks became accessories before and after the illegal and unethical conduct and improprieties in which judges and justices engaged as principals or as their masterminds.
39. Nor can a fair and impartial investigation be conducted by law professors who have an interest in not compromising their standing in their schools by exposing the abuse by judges, who would in retaliation diminish the schools’ prestige by not teaching there as adjunct professors, not serving on their boards and moot courts, and neither referring nor accepting their students for law clerkships. When those schools and their members appeared in their courts, it would be payback time for judges, who would unmistakably scream through their rulings ‘*Don’t you ever mess with us!*’

1. Commissioners are compromised by the confidentiality agreement with, and the letter of recommendation from, judges

40. Judges have two effective means of compelling law clerks to act as enforcers of their abuse, whether by committing it upon their order or covering it up, which includes keeping quiet about it: the confidentiality agreement that clerks must sign before they begin their clerkship; and the letter of recommendation that they need from the judge at the end of it in support of their application for their next job.
41. Law clerks are recently graduated law students -unless they are summer clerks after their first or second law school year-, most are young, and practically all are saddled with a crushing student loan. They clerk for a judge for one year before getting their first regular law job. So they are professionally and financially vulnerable.
42. It is prestigious to clerk for a judge because judges can choose the best candidate –a Supreme Court justice hires three– among the many who apply.
43. So, prospective clerks are not in a position to bargain with judges over the contents of the confidentiality agreement that judges require them to sign. It is an adhesion contract: take it or leave it.
44. While the contents of such agreements are not standard, they require basically the same: ‘whatever you learn during your clerkship that if disclosed can harm the judge or his peers, colleagues, or third parties, you keep it to yourself; and if you disclose it, you consent to paying the judge a liquidated amount of X; three times the value of any benefit that you receive for disclosing it; and whatever is necessary to compensate the judge for any harm, including loss of reputation’.
45. Fellow judges have similar confidentiality agreements with their own clerks and the same interest in having them upheld for their benefit. If a judge goes against another judge sued by his clerks, e.g., by invalidating their agreement, she becomes branded as treasonous and unreliable. The other judges do not want to take the risk of being ‘betrayed’ by her, whatever the matter may be. So she becomes a pariah among them. It is socially, professionally, and emotionally wearing to be so branded among peers and colleagues, especially if they have a life-appointment.

46. It follows that clerks stand no chance of winning against a judge if they challenge the agreement. So they keep quiet. But that does not mean that judges allow them to become passive bystanders.
47. On the contrary, the clerks must do what judges tell them to do: The clerks become the enforcers of judges' abuse. They become compromised by their confidentiality agreement and their own crass personal interest.
48. In fact, clerks are paid a modest salary because a complement comes in the form of a glowing letter of recommendation at the end of the clerkship. It can earn a clerk a sign-up bonus from her new employer worth scores of \$1,000s -a clerk to a justice commands a bonus worth around \$250,000-.
49. The bonus is paid to acquire something valuable from the clerk: precious knowledge of the workings of, and sources of information in, a court, where decision-making judges interact with each other, lawyers, and third parties. The salary that a clerk earns in her first job after her clerkship establishes the floor for future salaries.
50. A lackluster letter of recommendation has a profound and long-term injurious impact on the career of the clerk, branding him a persona non grata in that court, or any other court for that matter, or incompetent as a lawyer. That is what a clerk gets if she dare complain about any abuse by the hiring judge or even any other judge. Judges do not reward 'whiners', never mind whistleblowers.
51. If a clerk complains in a way that the hiring judge alleges to be in breach of the confidentiality agreement, the judge can bring suit, most likely under seal, before his fellow judges. They decide any motion by the clerk for their own recusal...and then find for the hiring judge without even reading his brief. The clerk loses as a result of the judges' implicit or explicit mutual protection agreement.
52. It took some 700 hundred letters sent to Chief Justice Roberts by former and current law clerks complaining about judges' abuse and their use of confidentiality agreements and letters of recommendation as means of forcing clerks into submission for the Chief to set up a commission to study the matter.
53. The [commission](#) went through the motions; the [judges have kept dismissing](#) 100% of complaints and denying 100% of dismissal review petitions.
54. It does not take a suit for judges' to have their peremptory warning bounce between their clerks' ears and render them totally submissive:

We are judges; **we have *all the power!***...

you are nothing.

2. Commissioners that are law professors have an interest in not exposing judges' abuse

55. Law [professors](#) have every interest in not telling their students about their illegal or unethical conduct and improprieties as law clerks: They run the risk of breaching their confidentiality agreement with judges (arguably void or voidable for lack of meeting of the minds since they could not reasonably have expected to be required to conceal or engage in illegal and unethical conduct; and judges' breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing).
56. They also risk incriminating themselves and rendering themselves liable to third parties. They need not confess what they did as enforcers of judges' abuse. If they exposed what happens in judges' chambers and among judges, third parties could put together what might have happened to them while those professors were clerking for judges. The third parties would subpoena the professors

as witnesses or even name them as defendants.

57. But the professors need not be former law clerks to realize that it is not in their professional interest to expose judges' abuse. Law professors are employees and even officers of their law schools. So the latter and the former are bound by a principal-agent relation.
58. Professors also stand in a position of power over their students and are a source of trusted advice because of their superior knowledge, experience, and connections. So they are bound by a fiduciary duty to their students.
59. Professors' failure to warn students about how judges would compel them upon becoming their clerks to act as enforcers of their abuse provides current and former [students](#) with the predicate for suing both the professors and the schools.
60. This gives schools a motive to pressure their professors into not exposing abuse by judges or any abusive judge. The schools could fire them for concealing material information about their clerkship, that is, information that would have led the schools, acting as reasonable persons in the best interest of their institution and their students, to reach a different or adverse decision on employing them.
61. Accordingly, by law professors keeping silent, they derive the benefit of avoiding the adverse consequences of embarrassing their schools and making them the target of judges' devastating power of retaliation.
62. By speaking up to place students as clerks in prestigious judgeships and justiceships they benefit from becoming more valuable to their schools by enhancing the latter's reputation. Professors do so to the knowing detriment of students.
63. This satisfies the general notion of fraud: The making of a known misrepresentation to obtain a benefit to the known detriment of the target of the misrepresentation. This gives students causes of action against professors for fraud and breach of their fiduciary duty; and supports a claim for compensation for the harm thus suffered. Schools could sue professors for fraud in the inducement to being hired.

3. The Biden Commission will be a prop for political manipulation with no substantive reform

64. The public is at the mercy of abusive judges and those who cover for them. The latter include, as shown above, law clerks and professors. They are compromised. Thus, the public should know whether they are nevertheless the very ones who make up the overwhelming majority of the Biden Commission. If so, the public is justified in asking:
 - a. In what way can the public reasonably expect to benefit from the Commission if it is composed of people who have an interest adverse to the public's, namely, to protect abusive judges and conceal the fact that they are their protectors?

Source: [Announcement of P. Biden Commission on the U.S. Supreme Court](#); April 9, 2021

1.	Name of Commissioner	Current status	Former law clerk to:
2.	Bob Bauer, co-chair	Professor of Practice, New York University (NYU) School of Law	n/a (information not available in the Announcement)

3.	Cristina Rodriguez, co-chair	Professor of Law, Yale Law School	Judge David S. Tatel, Court of Appeals D.C. Circuit; Justice Sandra Day O'Connor
4.	Michelle Adams	Professor of Law, Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law	Magistrate Judge James C. Francis IV in the Southern District of NY
5.	Kate Andrias	Professor of Law, University of Michigan	Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg; J. Stephen Reinhardt, Court of Appeals 9 th Cir.
6.	Jack M. Balkin	Professor of Law, Yale Law School	n/a
7.	William Baude	Professor of Law, University of Chicago Law School	Judge Michael McConnell and Chief Justice John Roberts
8.	Elise Boddie	Professor of Law, Rutgers University	Judge Robert L. Carter, Southern District of NY
9.	Guy-Uriel E. Charles	Professor of Law, Duke Law School	Judge Damon J. Keith, 6 th Circuit
10.	Andrew Manuel Crespo	Professor of Law, Harvard University	Judge Stephen Reinhardt, Court of Appeals, 9 th Cir.; Justice Stephen Breyer and Justice Elena Kagan
11.	Walter Dellinger	Emeritus Professor of Law, Duke University	Justice Hugo Black; has argued 25 cases before the Supreme Court
12.	Justin Driver	Professor of Law, Yale Law School	Judge Merrick Garland, Justice Sandra Day O'Connor (Ret.), and Justice Stephen Breyer
13.	Richard H. Fallon, Jr.	Professor of Law, Harvard Law School	Judge J. Skelly Wright; Justice Lewis F. Powell
14.	Caroline Fredrickson	Professor of Law, Georgetown Law	J. James L. Oakes, Ct. of Appeals 2 nd Cir.
15.	Heather Gerken	Dean and Professor, Yale Law School	n/a
16.	Nancy Gertner	Professor of Law, Harvard Law School	Former US District Court Judge (D. Mass.); Justice Luther Swygert, Chief Judge, 7 th Circuit
17.	Jack Goldsmith	Professor of Law, Harvard Law School	
18.	Thomas B. Griffith	Lecturer on Law, Harvard Law School	Former Judge at the Court of Appeals for the D. C. Circuit; served on the Code of Conduct Committee of the Judicial Conference
19.	Tara Leigh Grove	Professor of Law, Alabama School of Law	Judge Emilio Garza of the Court of Appeals 5 th Cir.
20.	Bert I. Huang	Professor of Law, Columbia University	Justice David H. Souter; Judge Michael Boudin, Court of Appeals 1 st Circuit
21.	Sherrilyn Ifill	Former Professor of Law, U. of Maryland School of Law; President & Director-Counsel of the NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund, Inc. (LDF)	n/a
22.	Michael S. Kang	Research Professor, Northwestern School of Law	Judge Kanne, Court of Appeals 7 th Circuit
23.	Olatunde Johnson	Professor of Law, Columbia Law School	Judge David Tatel, Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit; Justice John Paul Stevens

24.	Alison L. LaCroix	Professor of Law, Chicago Law School	n/a
25.	Maggie Lemos	Professor of Law, Senior Associate Dean for Faculty and Research, and faculty co-advisor for the Bolch Judicial Institute at Duke Law School	Judge Kermit V. Lipez, Court of Appeals 1 st Circuit; Justice John Paul Stevens
26.	David F. Levi	Professor of Law and Judicial Studies, and Director of the Bolch Judicial Institute, Duke Law School; President of the American Law Institute	Judge Ben C. Duniway, Court of Appeals 9 th Cir; Justice Lewis F. Powell, Jr.; former Chief U.S. District Judge, Eastern District of California
27.	Trevor Morrison	Dean and Professor of Law, NYU School of Law	Judge Betty Fletcher, Court of Appeals 9 th Circuit; Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg
28.	Caleb Nelson	Professor of Law, University of Virginia School of Law	Judge Stephen F. Williams, Court of Appeals D.C. Circuit; Justice Clarence Thomas
29.	Richard H. Pildes	Professor of Law, New York University School of Law	Justice Thurgood Marshall; Judge Abner J. Mikva, Court of Appeals D.C. Circuit; has represented numerous clients before the Supreme Court
30.	Michael D. Ramsey	Professor of Law, University of San Diego School of Law	Judge J. Clifford Wallace, Court of Appeals 9 th Cir.; Justice Antonin Scalia
31.	Kermit Roosevelt	Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School	Judge Stephen F. Williams, Court of Appeals D.C. Circuit; Justice David H. Souter
32.	Bertrall Ross	Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley School of Law	Judge Dorothy Nelson, Court of Appeals 9 th Ninth Cir.; Judge Myron Thompson, District Court Middle District, Alabama
33.	David Strauss	Professor of Law and Faculty Director of the Supreme Court and Appellate Clinic, University of Chicago	argued 19 cases before the U.S. Supreme Court
34.	Laurence Tribe	Professor of Law Emeritus, Harvard University	clerked for the California and U.S. Supreme Courts; argued 35 cases in the U.S. Supreme Court
35.	Adam White	Assistant professor of law, George Mason University's Law School	clerked for the Court of Appeals D.C. Circuit
36.	Keith E. Whittington	Professor of Politics, Princeton University	n/a
37.	Michael Waldman	President of the Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law	n/a

65. Thanks to their experience as law clerks and their superior knowledge as professors, the commissioners have actual and imputed knowledge of judges' abuse.
66. For instance, law clerks know –and all the more so those who were members of the justices' 'pool of clerks' that recommend the grant of petitions to review on appeal lower court decisions–, that the justices grant on average only 1 out of every 93 petitions given that their jurisdiction is discretionary: In their discretion, the justices grant certiorari...while in their exercise of unaccountable power they grant themselves an annual three months' vacation, unheard of whether in the public or the private sector.

67. As a result, circuit judges know that the chances of their appealed decisions being taken up for review by the justices are minimal. But even if review were granted, the justices did the same when they were circuit judges so that they are not going to implicitly criticize themselves by sustaining the charges in the review petition.
68. This allows circuit judges to have their clerks use 5¢ **dumping forms** to dispose, according to the statistics, of **93% of appeals**(>OL2:457§D) in decisions “on procedural grounds [mostly the catch-all pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”.
69. They are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders. They are unappealable in practice, for there is barely anything to appeal other than their only operative words: "affirmed", if it is a decision appealed from, or "denied", if it is a substantive motion, as opposed to a procedural one, e.g., to extend a filing date. That is how judges have their clerks, who have no judicial authority and cannot receive it by delegation, maintain the status quo without the judges themselves having to do anything.
70. The \$1Ks and even \$10Ks that each party to an appeal to a court of appeals must spend to research, write, print, bind, serve, file, and argue its case **go to waste**. Only the remaining 7% get a written opinion from circuit judges with a semblance of disposition on the merits.
71. The merits are of no concern when it comes to cases filed by parties without the assistance of lawyers, that is, pro se. The Annual Report to Congress of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts states that they are weighed as **a third of a case**(>OL2:455§B). This means that judges are not only authorized to give it only one third of the attention and time that they give a regular case, but also are expected not to waste any more than that on a pro se case regardless of the nature, extent, and gravity of the controversy that it deals with. Yet, a pro se party must pay the same filing fee as a rich party that can afford the most expensive law firm.
72. These are concrete examples of how judges with the **help of clerks** commit *unequal* treatment under law. The justices know about this inequality and abuse, not only because most were lower court judges, but also because under **28 U.S.C. §42** they have been allotted as circuit justices with supervisory duties to one or more circuits. In fact, “The circuit justice...shall have precedence over all the circuit judges and shall preside at any session which he attends”(§45(b)). Duty-bound to supervise the judges in their circuits, circuit justices have imputed knowledge of judges’ abuse; and would have actual knowledge thereof if they had proceeded with due diligence to do so.
73. This shows that neither justices nor judges care about the theoretical constraints that due process, equal protection, and the First Amendment are supposed to impose on them. ‘Possession of riskless power is 95% of judges’ conduct’.
74. Their former law clerks and now law professors know and should know that pro ses and 93% of all parties in the courts of appeals will get, not justice, but rather dumped out of court by the judge-ordered and clerk-enforced perfunctory process and unequal treatment. However, they keep quiet about it and pretend when teaching students, dealing with their clients, and addressing the public that it makes sense to go to court because the judges, supervised by the Supreme Court, will administer to the parties justice in accordance with due process of law.
75. The commissioners cannot reveal that the judges' official statistics and reports themselves show that this is not so. If they did, they would implicate themselves in having enforced the judges' and justices' abuse and misrepresented them as honest public servants and private persons. One can assume that they remember with fear what judges have tattooed on their foreheads to give each other and their clerks a constant warning of their complicity: ‘If you let anybody take me down, ///

bring you with me!

76. This generates a conflict of interests that deprives the commissioners of the capacity to meaningfully contribute to reforming the Supreme Court by investigating justices' conduct in practice, rather than merely discussing it in theory. Compromised, the commissioners will neither directly nor indirectly investigate judges' abuse of power. If they hold public hearings at all, they will demand that prospective witnesses submit in advance a statement of their intended testimony and use it to exclude from the hearings –and from the documents supporting their report- those who would tell a negative story of judges' conduct, not in theory, but in practice.
77. So, the commissioners' activities will be pro forma and their report a whitewash of the Court and themselves. Through them the commissioners will commit fraud on the public: benefiting from being praised for their work while knowingly harming the public by keeping it subject to judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. The credibility at stake is their own.

E. Unprecedented citizens hearings to do what the commissioners will not do: expose judges, force their resignation, and bring down their judiciaries

78. [Law students](#) together with students of journalism are among the best and the brightest. They can join forces among themselves and with journalists and [media](#) outlets to expose judges' abuse of power by holding unprecedented citizens hearings (described in greater detail [here](#) & [OL3:1318](#)).
79. [Students and journalists](#) can hold citizens hearings via video conference, a means with which Covid and Zoom have familiarized the whole world. Thereby they can afford everybody an inexpensive opportunity to tell their stories of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed until something emerges: patterns of judges' abuse and abuse articulated in complex forms, that is, [schemes](#).
80. They can reveal judges' abuse to be so systematic as to be their modus operandi; and so coordinated as to be their means of running their judiciaries for their abusive self-enrichment and convenience as [racketeering enterprises](#).
81. So revealing abuse will have fateful consequences: It will launch a generalized investigation of judges abuse. On professional and commercial grounds, other students and journalists, including citizens journalists as well as other members of academic communities, will want to jump on the investigative bandwagon. Its direction can be guided by an incisive question:

What did you know about judges' abuse and when did you know it?

82. That question is an adaptation of the one asked from 1973 on by Sen. Howard Baker, co-chairman of the Senate Watergate Committee. It had a damning effect, forcing the resignation of President Nixon on August 8, 1974; and leading to the imprisonment of all his White House aides. This is described by *Washington Post* reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein in their bestseller *All the President's Men* and the homonymous blockbuster movie.
83. Today, that question can bring down, not just men, but rather a branch of government: the unaccountable and abusive Federal Judiciary. It is the model for its state counterparts. They would follow suit.

F. Actions requested from the commissioners and the other addressees

84. Therefore, I respectfully request that:

- a. you, the commissioners, publicly acknowledge that your confidentiality agreements, the letters of recommendation, and your current status in your schools have given rise to a conflict of interests that impairs your credibility as fair and impartial examiners of the Supreme Court acting in good faith to attain the intended purpose of reforming it;
- b. each of you resign from the Commission;
- c. if you stay on the Commission, you muster the personal and civic courage to publish jointly and severally an Emile Zola's *I accuse!*-like denunciation of judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power, just as Sen. Elizabeth Warren did (supra ¶21);
- d. you provide public access on your website to all submissions, including those critical of judges, the Commission, and its members, just as the official statistics showing judges [dismissing 100%](#) of complaints, and documents filed in civil and criminal court, including indictments, and with the Senate Subcommittee on [Judicial Nominations](#), are public;
- e. you hold public hearings where everybody has the opportunity to tell the national public their story of the abuse of power by judges that they have suffered or witnessed;
- f. you share this article with all students in your schools and the rest of your universities and encourage them to hold the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#);
- g. you use your good offices to cause the publication of this article by a national publisher, bringing to their attention the precedent for the transformative change that an article can bring about: *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* published their exposés of the abuse by Harvey Weinstein on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively. Within a week the *MeToo!* movement erupted worldwide. Public accountability in practice began to change substantially everywhere and in every aspect of society.
 - 1) An article exposing the conduct in practice of judges, before whom police brutality cases are brought, and given the widespread Black Lives Matter mood, can provoke [public outrage](#). It can set off a generalized academic and media investigation into judges. It can start a trend in our country and the rest of the world.
 - 2) The findings of the investigation can lead to transformative reform, causing one or all justices to resign, as Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas had to on May 14, 1969; former 9th Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski on December 18, 2017; and 3rd Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, on February 11, 2019.
 - 3) Hence, the article can be instrumental in toppling the Federal Judiciary, exposed as a [racketeering enterprise](#). The whole system of justice could be reformed in its substance here and abroad.
- h. you and all other addressees make public your '1st Amendment grievance redress petition': Let everybody with a story of abuse by judges suffered or witnessed be heard and [compensated](#).
- i. to that end, you all share both your petition and this article with your friends and relatives, and post them to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, WhatsApp, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, and Twitter: Join the petition that the Biden Commission on Supreme Court reform hear publicly all stories of abuse by judges and report on how to hold them &

judiciaries accountable & liable to compensation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_Sct_reform_Commission.pdf

- j addressees take advantage of [the two-phase method](#)(>§G) for writing their story in up to 500 words; and submit it together with their petition and this article to the commissioners by pasting in the To: box of their email this bloc of their email addresses (to which mine is added for reference):

cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@umich.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu,
madams@yu.edu, charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu,
wdellinger@omm.com, ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu,
rfallon@law.harvard.edu, heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu,
jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu, tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, michael.waldman@nyu.edu, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu, krooseve@law.upenn.edu,
bross@law.berkeley.edu, d-strauss@uchicago.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu,
development@naacpldf.org, awhite36@gmu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu levi@law.duke.edu, Info@PCSCOTUS.gov
tgrove@law.ua.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

- k. after having heard us, *the Masters of our Living World*, you, the commissioners, run away from your mandate and draft a new constitution that sets forth our current notions of rights and duties, and power to hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

G. My offer to present this article to you and your guests

85. I offer to make a presentation of this article to the commissioners, professors, students, journalists, and Advocates via video conference or, if here in New York City, in person. You may assess my capacity to make such presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#).

H. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE to
Judicial Discipline Reform

to support its professional research and writing, strategic thinking, and sending and resending its articles; see also its [business plan](#) guided by the motto “Making Money While Doing Justice”,

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank,
routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

through **Paypal**, https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

May 24, 2021

A call for journalists, media outlets, universities, and the rest of the public to join forces to tell their stories of judges' abuse of power at UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

A. How commissioners compromised by conflict of interests render necessary unprecedented citizens hearings

1. The formation by President Biden of his Commission to study ways of reforming the Supreme Court was announced on April 9, 2021 (discussed in an article hereabove and also downloadable). The biographical note on each of the 36 commissioners shows that they are former law clerks to judges and justices (herein "judges" includes "justices", unless the context indicates otherwise), and/or current law professors.
2. As former law clerks, the commissioners are bound by the confidentiality agreements that they signed with the judges in order to be allowed to clerk for them. While clerking, they did whatever the judges asked them to do because that was the only way of obtaining the one thing that mattered to them more than anything else: a glowing letter of recommendation that would determine whether they could get any of the jobs for which they would apply at the end of their clerkship.
3. As current law professors, who are employees or officers of their respective law school, they cannot afford to expose by themselves or through the witness of third parties any illegal or unethical acts or improprieties (hereinafter referred to as abuse of power) committed by judges individually or as a class. Doing so would make the professors and their schools run the risk of becoming the target of judges' power of retaliation. Wielded by judges with a life-appointment, it is devastating, for it arises from both a very long memory for holding grudges and [their position to judge each other](#), which allows them to execute their implicit or explicit mutual exoneration agreement.
4. It follows that the commissioners are compromised by a conflict of interests. It prevents them from doing what is indispensable for any study intended to provide the basis for reforming the Supreme Court: the findings of fact of how the justices conduct themselves in the Court and in dealing with lower court judges, as opposed to the theory of constitutional law that describes their job. So, it is all but certain that the commissioners will not hold public hearings to allow the national public to bear witness to the abuse of power by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
5. The commissioners' interest in protecting themselves and their law schools justifies the proposal for holding **unprecedented citizens hearings**.
 - a. They are supported by findings and arguments presented in my three-volume study* †♣ of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †♣

B. Salient features of the unprecedented citizens hearings

6. The proposed citizens hearings are unprecedented because they will not be the traditional public hearings held in Congress or by another government entity, such as the Biden Commission.
7. Politicians are the very ones who after recommending, endorsing, nominating, and confirming judicial candidates to judgeships and justiceships, have connivingly protected them as 'our men and women on the bench' regardless of their abuse of power. Their [sham hearings](#) are pre-

determined not to expose judges' abuse and provoke their retaliation.

- a. President Biden and the Democrats in the Senate nominated and confirmed, respectively, Judge Merrick Garland of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, a former chief judge thereof, to become Attorney General. This fact provides probable cause to believe that they are committed to preventing any exposure of abuse of power by him and his fellow judges that could impair his authority and even lead to his resignation, e.g.:
 - b. Judge Garland abusively dismissed 100% of complaints filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (28 USC §§351-364) by anybody against any judge in his Circuit, as shown by the official statistics of his own Court submitted as a public document to Congress, as required under 28 USC §604(h)(2), in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (§604(a)(3-4)), who is an appointee of the Supreme Court chief justice (§601).
 - c. Through such systematic dismissal of complaints and abusive abrogation in practice of that Act of Congress, Judge Garland covered up the abuse by his fellow judges complained about. He left complainants without any relief or compensation, and subjected litigants and the rest of the public to the riskless abuse of judges, thus assured of their unaccountability.
 - d. The chief judges of the other circuits do likewise; their abuse is condoned by Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. They grab gain and convenience for themselves risklessly in reliance on their tacit or implicit mutual exoneration agreement. By so doing, they intentionally inflict injury in fact on the public, for a principle of the law of torts states that "a person is deemed to intend the reasonably expected consequences of their acts and omissions". They 'take with notice' the liability resulting from their conduct...which the class of self-exonerating judges take off their shoulders.
8. The citizens hearings will also be unprecedented because it will not be the media that will tell the national public how judges abuse their power in fact. Instead, it will be citizens who will at the hearings tell the rest of the public how the most powerful officers in our nation have abused their power at the expense of its citizens.
9. To that end, the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings will be:
- a. organized by media outlets and universities;
 - b. conducted by panels of journalists and multidisciplinary professors and experts in Information Technology; electronic transfer of money; asset concealment; bribery involving credit and debit cards; tax evasion; off-shore tax heavens; money laundering; banking, securities, and bankruptcy fraud; white collar crimes; breach of the oath of office and the implied contractual covenant and official duty of good faith and "traditional notions of fair dealing and substantial justice"; etc.;
 - c. held onsite but mostly via video conference so that they do not involve expensive travel and room and board away from home;
 - d. transmitted to the national public live, through multimedia, and interactively so as to allow the receipt of the public's feedback in real time; and made available on the citizens hearings website for later viewing and through podcasts;
 - e. focused on taking the testimony of victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse of power, including current and former court/law clerks;

- f. broad enough to expose the abuse committed and/or covered up by judges and as the Supreme Court justices, whether the latter did so as lower court judges and/or are doing so as justices and circuit justices(28 USC §42) allotted to the several circuits for supervisory purposes;
- g. affording the opportunity to advertise the formation of local chapters of abusees to jointly demand compensation from judges and their judiciaries for the abuse that they have committed as principals or enabled as accessories and as complicit supervisors; and
- h. so outrage-provoking that the public will demand the formation of, and popular representation in, a grand jury-like commission to investigate, with subpoena, contempt, and indictment power, unaccountable judges and what they have turned into 'their court system': the State within a state.

C. Outrageous forms of abuse by judges that the citizens hearings will reveal

- 10. The stories told by citizens at their hearings will reveal abuse of power of such nature, extent, frequency, and gravity that it can only be the product of coordination among judges for use as their institutionalized modus operandi to run their judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
- 11. Some [forms of abuse](#) will reveal that judges:
 - a. run a [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) together with their “cronies”(*>jur:32§2) in the bankruptcy system;
 - b. according to none other than [Sen. Elizabeth Warren](#), who dare reveal this form of abuse in her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", its judges engage in '[abusive self-enrichment](#)' by failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest and resolving the ensuing conflict of interests in their favor to protect and/or increase the value of their interest. Sen. Warren attributes this abuse to judges' unaccountability;
 - c. count a case involving a pro se –a person not represented by a lawyer– as [one third of a case](#)(>OL2:455§B) thus giving the case one third of the attention, research, and time that they normally give a case. Thereby judges deny pro ses “Equal Justice Under Law”. Yet, they require pro ses to pay 100% of the cost of gathering facts through discovery, such as by deposing witnesses and consulting experts, researching the law, writing a brief, printing, binding and filing it in court, serving it on the parties, presenting their case in court, etc.;
 - d. require parties to file case and motion briefs but fail to read most of them, as shown by “[the math of abuse](#)”, which entails the breach of contracts for adjudicatory services; fraud; and compensable waste;
 - e. dump [93% of appeals](#)(>OL2:457§D) out of the circuit courts through orders in forms filled out by their clerks that are “on procedural grounds [mostly the one-fit-all pretext of ‘lack of jurisdiction’], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation;
 - f. [intercept](#) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress their critics’, thus depriving *We the People* of our most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment to:
 - 1) “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including compensation for abuse]”

- g. abuse their congressionally granted self-disciplining authority to ensure their own unaccountability by dismissing 100% of complaints against them and **denying** 100% of petitions to review their dismissals.

D. Some economic and institutional consequences of the citizens hearings

12. Judges' abuse has harmed the parties that have appeared and that are appearing in their courts. Their abuse provides the basis for those who have appeared before the same judge or in the same court to form local chapters to jointly demand to be **compensated** by judges and their judiciaries.
13. Today, any suit for such compensation will be dismissed summarily by application of the doctrine of **judicial immunity** conjured up by judges in abusive self-interest, while holding accountable and liable priests, doctors, lawyers, politicians, police officers, their institutions, and everybody else
14. However, the national outrage provoked by the testimony given at the citizens hearings will provide journalists and media outlets a professional and commercial incentive to further investigate judges' abuse; their findings will exacerbate the outrage. A self-reinforcing cycle will ensue. The issue of compensation will become one at the center of the national debate. Ever more abusees will keep pressing for a resolution favorable to them.
15. The citizens hearings can become an annual event for *the People* to monitor the performance of judges, to whom they have entrusted public power; and for journalists and universities to publish The Annual Report on on Judicial Unaccountability and Abuse of Power in America(*>jur:126§3).
16. Those hearings can shake public trust in the judiciary so profoundly as to stir up the public to demand and force the resignation of judges and justices, who depend on public trust to have their decisions respected and obeyed. Reliable precedent therefor is the resignation of:
 - a. Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969, for 'improprieties' in taking income from an outside source in addition to its judicial salary and benefiting from relations with former clients;
 - b. Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski on December 18, 2017, to avoid an investigation of sexual harassment assigned to the Second Circuit Court of Appeals by Chief Justice Roberts under pressure from the *MeToo!* outrage provoked by the publication by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, of their exposés on Harvey Weinstein's sexual predation; and
 - c. Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, on February 11, 2019, upon learning that she and other family members were being investigated for tax evasion in connection with a scheme to avoid inheritance tax through the use of a complex system of shell companies.

E. Citizens hearings leading to a constitutional convention, thus setting in motion transformative change that results in a new form of government

17. The citizens hearings can be an opportunity for their organizers, witnesses, and the national public to form physical and virtual (on the Internet) groups in the guise of Tea Party local chapters to demand the calling of a constitutional convention. That is the kind of convention that since April 2, 2014, 34 states, constituting the two thirds of states required by the amending provisions of Article V of the **Constitution**, have petitioned Congress to convene.
18. However, the congressional leaders will never convene it because the convention is all but certain to upset the status quo and diminish the power and privilege that they have accumulated over the

232 years since the adoption of the current Constitution in 1789.

19. The citizens hearings can take on a life of their own: People and local chapters may coalesce into a runaway national civic movement for a new *People*-government relation. It can transform itself into a constitutional convention that drafts a new constitution...as can the [Biden Commission](#)(§A).
20. Outrage and compensation are the forces that can provide the citizens hearings transformative capacity: They can turn the system of justice that went in into one that comes out as a qualitatively and functionally different system of governance. The tandem of those forces was or is lacking in the chaos of the presidential campaign; the challenges to the electoral results; and the conflict of interests pervading the Biden Commission and predetermining its final report.
21. The citizens hearings can set in motion the transformation of the *People*/government relation that has been in place for centuries. They can have transformative capacity because the *MeToo!*, Black Lives Matter, LBTG, and Asian/Pacific Islander movements, and the protests against police brutality and for socio/economic equality have made the mood of *the People* ripe for it. That popular mood is expressed in the common self-assertive rallying cry:

Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.

22. The transformation can consist in a new form of government where *the People* assert their status as the sovereign source of all political power. As Masters of all their public servants, including their judicial public servants, *the People* can hold them accountable for the power entrusted to them and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of it.
23. The citizens hearings can expose abuse of power to have become such an integral part of judges' and their judiciaries' way of doing business that the outrage and demand for compensation can turn reformatory measures that today appear inconceivable into ones whose adoption becomes unavoidable. But everything begins with informing *the People* thereof.

F. How you can promote the holding of the citizens hearings

24. This proposal for holding unprecedented citizens hearings is timely. It shows strategic thinking. It can have a practical impact on exposing judges' abuse of power...but only if it reaches people as opposed to being intercepted on its way to them or if their positive replies to it are intercepted.
25. Hence, it is in your own interest to distribute this article so widely and repeatedly that it has a chance of overwhelming any interception and going viral.
 - a. Share it with all your friends, relatives, and colleagues and post it to social media, such as: Facebook, Youtube, WhatsApp, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, and Twitter: *Tell your story of judges' abuse & ask for compensation at unprecedented citizens hearings; the Biden Commission on SCt reform will not let you do it; invite your audience, the People; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf*
 - b. Organize a presentation on this article followed by a Q&A session by me to you, your colleagues, students, and other guests. It can be held via video conference and, if it is here in New York City, in person.
26. To assess my capacity to make that presentation you may watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).
27. To set its terms and scheduling you may get in touch with me using my contact information in the letterhead supra or the address bloc below.

June 10, 2021

**Exposing the Biden Commission on reforming the Supreme Court
by applying the strategic thinking principle
“The enemy of my enemy is my friend”,
whereby you can become a key influencer of your party
and a nationally recognized reluctant hero for Justice‡**

Dear Ato Aby, politicians, journalists, academics, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you so much for your kind words about, in general, my work.

A. I and my work are apolitical and aimed at exposing judges’ abuse of power

1. Because you read, in particular, [my article](#) on exposing [the Biden Commission](#) on reforming the Supreme Court, you were able to affirm correctly, “Your work is actually not related to any political party or any particular political beliefs.”
2. In fact, my work aims to form an apolitical, single issue, national civic movement for:
 - a. exposing judges’ unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power;
 - b. holding judges accountable and liable to [compensate](#) their victims; and
 - c. reforming the system of justice through transformative change:
 - 1) The system that goes into change emerges different as part of a new relation between *We the People* and our public servants, including judicial ones, under the rules of a new constitution(id. [Section A](#)) that we, the living today, adopt ourselves.
3. The apolitical, non-partisan nature of my work is evidenced in my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries. The study is based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣**

4. Many articles that I have written are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **38,775+** have become subscribers to it as of June 9, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
 - a. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - b. You can invest in the commercial development of the site according to its [business plan](#), which is guided by the motto “Making Money While Doing Justice”. It would turn the site from an informational platform into:
 - 1) **a clearinghouse** for complaints([OL2:918](#)) about judges that anybody can upload;
 - 2) **a research center** for fee-paying people auditing([OL:274-280, 304-307](#)) many complaints, decisions, and other writings in search of([jur:131§b, OL:255](#)) the most persuasive type of evidence, i.e., patterns([OL2:792§A](#)), trends([OL2:455§§B, D](#)), and schemes([OL2:614, OL2:929](#)) of abuse of power, including:
 - a) judges’ interception of people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those

of their critics(OL2:781);

- b) judges' having clerks dump most cases due to judges' failure to read briefs(OL2:608);
- c) judges' filing misleading mandatory annual financial disclosure reports (jur:102§a and fn. 213b);
- d) judges' abusive self-enrichment by failing to recuse and steering in their favor cases before them in which they have a financial interest that Sen. Elizabeth Warren dare denounce(OL2:1003); etc.

B. Your qualifications and my proposal to you based thereon

- 5. Your qualifications as a government officer lays the foundation for the concrete, realistic, and feasible proposal made herein to you: The proposal is for you to influence your political friends to expose in their own interest how the Biden Commission is composed of former law clerks to justices and judges, and current law professors(Table under ¶65), who are compromised by their conflict of interests(Section D¶¶37-40).
- 6. Their conflict is between, on the one hand, their duty to study and report on how the Supreme Court operates in practice, as opposed to the theory of constitutional law, that justifies reforming it and, on the other hand, their self-interest in not allowing any discussion of its operation in practice, which would implicate them in the commission and cover-up of judges' abuse of power.
- 7. In brief, each commissioner needs to:
 - a. maintain concealed his (or her) individual role during his law clerkship as enforcer of the justices' and judges' abuse of power as their modus operandi so that at the end of his clerkship his respective justice or judge would give him a glowing recommendation in support of his job application. Such a recommendation would earn the clerk a sign-up bonus worth even \$250,000 or more and a job with a prestigious law firm(Section 1¶¶41-55);
 - b. avoid giving any reason to the justice or judge for whom he clerked for holding him in breach of the confidentiality agreement that he had to sign to be allowed to work as his clerk. The agreement may require the former clerk to disgorge benefits that he received thanks to his clerk status and even pay the equivalent of punitive damages(id.); and
 - c. prevent any retaliation from the law school where she (or he) teaches for exposing a federal judge, who has a life-appointment and the long memory for holding grudges that goes along with it; and belongs to a judicial class whose members would close ranks to send that school and all others an unambiguous and threatening warning: "*Don't you ever let any of your hire hands mess with anyone of us!*"(Section 2¶¶56-62).

C. Your and your political friends' interest in exposing the Biden Commission

- 8. Exposing the Biden Commission because its commissioners are compromised by their conflict of interests requires strategic thinking. One of its principles is applicable here: "The enemy of my enemy is my friend".
- 9. The exposure needs friends. Among them are those who in their own political interest may consider the Biden Commission their enemy. The enmity is provoked by the fact that President Biden is using the Commission as part of his scheme to implement his foregone decision to "pack the Supreme Court" by increasing the number of justices from nine to 15; and to reduce their

appointment to a term of years. This would give him the opportunity to nominate six new justices.

10. If confirmed, ‘the packed Court’ would be in a position to uphold the constitutionality of every piece of legislation favorable to his party and declare the unconstitutionality of every one unfavorable to it. The party that has the Court as its “friend” holds a grip on power.
11. The top officers of your party have made it clear that their first priority is to defeat all the initiatives of the Biden Administration. No initiative can provoke more intense enmity than “packing the Court”. It follows that nothing is more important to them than to discredit the Commission and thereby defeat the scheme for “packing the Court”. If the Commission is discredited, especially on the factual grounds summarized above, it will appear as the President’s clumsy, embarrassing effort to have it give him non-binding, pro forma recommendations for Supreme Court reform. They will be embedded in a report that neither he nor most Americans will ever read but that he will use as the pretext for “packing the Court”.

D. Exposing the Biden Commission without becoming the judges’ enemies

12. There are discreet ways for you and your political friends to defeat the Biden Commission by exposing its members as compromised by their conflict of interests. To that end, you and/or your political friends may approach your contacts in the media and universities to convince them that it is in their own commercial and reputational interest to expose the commissioners because “Scandal sells” and “Those who want a Pulitzer pull out all the stops to a great story”.
13. You may remain in the background to avoid the risk of becoming the enemy of judges. In any event, of what value is it to reject this proposal to avoid retaliation from judges appointed by your party if when cases on appeal reach a packed Supreme Court the justices newly appointed by the other party make you lose all appeals? You can persuade your media and university contacts to:
 - a. publish [my article](#) on the Biden Commission and follow it with a series of articles by me on judges’ abuse of power, which they can choose from [the list](#) of those that I have written, especially those mentioned in paragraph 4.c.2. above or commission me to write for them.
 - 1) There is precedent for the transformative change that an article can bring about: *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* published their exposés of the sexual abuse by Harvey Weinstein on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively. Within a week the *MeToo!* movement erupted worldwide. Public accountability in practice began to change substantially everywhere and in every aspect of society.
 - b. investigate judges’ abuse, which journalists and the media can do in a focused and cost-effective way by taking advantage of the abundance of leads that I have gathered([OL:194§E](#)).
 - 1) There are leads to expose Then-Vice President [Biden’s participation](#) in the cover-up of the abuse of power, including concealment of assets and tax evasion, by Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia Sotomayor after President Obama nominated her to the Supreme Court and Senator Chuck Schumer and Senator Kirsten Gillibrand shepherded her through the confirmation process in the Senate. This investigation can be guided by a question that can pierce their pretense to being honest public servants: What did Then-Vice President Biden know and when did he know it?
 - 2) The investigation can be pursued by publicly and repeatedly making an innovative demand: Let President Biden release the secret FBI vetting reports on Then-Judge Sotomayor as well as all those on the other justices and judges. The President cannot claim a commitment to transparency while simultaneously hiding informa-

tion that implicates him in the connivance between him and the Supreme Court that he pretends to want to reform; cf. the [connivance](#) between him and his Attorney General Merrick Garland, who until becoming AG was a judge and a former chief judge at the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

c. hold the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings

- 1) The Biden Commission is [mandated](#) to “hold public meetings to hear the views of other experts, and groups and interested individuals with varied perspectives on the issues it will be examining”. Those “issues” will most likely be limited to the theory of constitutional law on reforming the Supreme Court as op-posed to unaccountable justices’ and judges’ practice of riskless abuse as their institutionalized modus operandi to run the Federal Judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
 - a) The commissioners will need to sift those “experts, and groups and interested individuals” to exclude those with testimony incriminating them in executing the justices’ and judges’ abuse when they were their law clerks as well as in covering it up while they have been law professors. That sifting will be carried out by requiring people to submit in advance an offer of proof-like written preview of their testimony.
 - b) If the commissioners were interested in not giving even “the appearance of impropriety” due to their concealed conflict of interests([NY Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1.11\(b\)\(2\)](#); [Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges, Canon 2](#)), they would be the first to testify to what they did and witnessed during their clerkships, thus setting the example for all other law clerks and people similarly situated.
 - c) The ensuing cover-up through manipulation of witnesses warrant the holding of [unprecedented citizens hearings](#)([Section E](#)). They are intended to be organized by journalists, media outlets, and universities to give people the opportunity to tell the national public their story of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
- 3) The panels taking in testimony at the citizens hearings will be composed of journalists and professors and experts in multidisciplinary fields, such as journalism; forensic fraud and accounting (FFA); forensic statistics; Information Technology; concealment of assets-tax evasion-money laundering; bankruptcy fraud; etc.
- 4) The citizens hearings can be held at university auditoriums and media stations. Attuned to our times, they will also be held via video conference so as to make it inexpensive for people to testify and make their testimony universally available to the public life, streaming, and through podcasts.
- 5) The citizens hearings organizers will make it a point to:
 - a) invite every current and former law clerk –including the commissioners and judges themselves!- to testify orally and/or in writing;
 - b) request that Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., release the close to 700 hundred letters from current and former law clerks that he received around the time that the *MeToo!* movement exploded in October 2017, where they complained about judges’ abuse([OL2:645](#)); and

- c) ask that all those who ever filed a complaint against a judge exercise their right under the First Amendment of the Constitution to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including compensation]”(OL2:792¶1) by sending them a copy of their complaint and/or testifying at the citizens hearings.
- d. contact those who produce their campaign videos to reach out to those who can produce in time for an effective impact on the 2022 mid-term elections the documentary *Black Robed Predators!*: when judges are the abusers;
- e. persuade a top university to establish the *Institute* of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy.

E. Citizens hearings can steal the thunder of Commission “public meetings”

- 14. The citizens hearings are bound to produce a flow of additional leads useful for the investigation into judges’ abuse of power, which will likely be underway by the time they are held. The investigative bandwagon can become ever more attractive, forcing ever more journalists and media outlets to jump on it, lest they be left behind by their competitors and abandoned by an outraged audience in search of the latest news from the investigators.
- 15. The citizens hearings can lead to the composition of a report, to be publicly available on the citizens hearings site together with all the submitted written testimony and supporting documents.
- 16. The report may be presented at the first-ever and national conference on judicial unaccountability and abuse of power. This conference can be sponsored by media outlets and universities and held at physical venues. It will also be available via video conference as a national event that grips the attention of the public here and abroad.
- 17. As a recurrent event, the citizens hearings can provide the material for journalists and universities to publish the Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Abuse of Power. Hence, the citizens hearings and the Report can become key means for *We the People* to hold our judicial public servants accountable for their exercise of the public power entrusted to them for *the People’s* benefit; and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of it.
- 18. The President will find it substantially more difficult to “pack the Court” if those who have suffered or witnessed abuse by judges appointed by either party are telling the national public at citizens hearings how judges abuse their power risklessly for their own gain and convenience because they are loyal to the only people –never mind the law– that matter to them: their fellow judges. As then-Judge, now-Justice Neil Gorsuch put it: "An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us". What an unambiguous, unabashed expression of judges' *gang mentality!*
- 19. As gang members do, judges have entered into an implicit or explicit mutual survival agreement. Under it they hold each other unaccountable, dismissing 100% of complaints against federal judges and denying 100% of the petitions to review dismissals(Section C).
- 20. After being informed by the above-described events, politicians, particularly newcomers, may decide either opportunistically or out of genuine outrage to run in the next mid-term elections as a posse in defense of the hood that take on the bullies on the bench and become reluctant heroes.

F. The events can magnify your and your political friends' efforts and standing

21. My articles; the findings of the media investigation into judges and their judiciaries; the citizens hearings, the Report, and the conference for its presentation; the documentary; and the campaigning of reluctant heroes can so inform the national public about, and outrage it at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, and the [politicians/judges](#) [connivance](#) as to stir it up to join forces with the 34 states that since April 2, 2014, have petitioned Congress to call a constitutional convention pursuant to the amending provisions of Article 5 of the [Constitution](#).
22. In the same vein, congressional leaders are most unlikely to put at risk their privileges and power by convening such convention. Nevertheless, their reluctance cannot prevent *the People* and the states to stage a peaceful but intransigently self-assertive version of the Boston Tea Party. At that event or period of popular upheaval what would be thrown into the archives of history would be a Constitution written by and for people of another era and enacted in 1789, 232 years ago! ([Sec. A](#))
23. Then *the People* could usher into the harbor of our lives and modern world a new relation between the governed and government. It will recognize that *the People* are the sovereign source of all public power and as such, they are the Masters of all public servants. *The People* are entitled to hold all their public servants, including judicial public servants, accountable for their exercise of entrusted public power and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
24. The *MeToo!* and BLM movements, and the demonstrations against police brutality and for socio-economic equality have spread all over the world. So can each and all of the above-described events of this proposal. They can be promoted in a way that neither you nor your political friends need appear taking the lead in organizing or conducting them. Your initial effort in contacting your friends can set in motion this reasonably expected chain of events.

G. My offer to present this proposal to you and your political friends

25. When on April 9, 2021, the [White House announced](#) the formation by President Biden of the Commission on reforming the Supreme Court, it stated that "The Executive Order directs that the Commission complete its report within 180 days of its first public meeting". Accordingly, it is imperative to proceed with due haste to expose the commissioners as compromised by their conflict of interests, if possible before they hold their first "public meeting", and in any case before they tender their pro forma report.
26. Similarly, the jockeying for position in the next mid-term elections has already started. Soon thereafter the 2024 presidential campaign will begin. Each of the many candidates that will run needs to advocate an issue that catches the attention and participation of as many voters and volunteers as possible. That issue can be the exposure of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power, which requires the compensation of abusees and a new constitution that recognizes that all public servants, including judicial ones, are accountable and liable to *We the People*.
27. In the interest of expediting your and your political friends' action on this proposal, I respectfully offer to make a presentation on it to all of you. It can take place via video conference, and if all my expenses are paid, in person too.
28. You may assess my capacity to make such presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#).
29. I look forward to hearing from you.

Do you have the stuff of a reluctant hero? If so,
Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

June 17, 2021

**Writing your story of abuse by judges in up to 500 words
by applying the two-phase method; and using it for
demanding that the Biden Commission on Supreme Court reform
hear your testimony at its “public meetings”; and
asking universities and the media,
such as the news agencies Reuters and The Boston Globe,
to let the national public hear you by holding the proposed
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**

A. Telling your story at the most opportune time: when people want to hear it

1. This article lays out a two-phase method for you to write in up to 500 words the story of the abuse of power by judges that you have suffered or witnessed.
2. Your effort in writing your story will pay off, for you will be doing so at the most opportune time: when the public, journalists, universities, and even many politicians want to hear about those stories in the context of what will soon dominate the national debate: the Commission [nominated](#) by President Biden on April 9, 2021, to study [ways of reforming](#) the Supreme Court; and the desire attributed to him and his party “to pack the Supreme Court”, that is, to increase the number of justices from 9 to 15 and reduce their life-appointment to a term of years.

B. Composing an informative and brief story to be read, heard, and investigated

3. You want to tell the national public your story of judges’ abuse of power and make the public share your outrage at it. You also want your story to be investigated by journalists.
4. But nobody is going to read the scores, never mind hundreds, of pages generated by your case in court to figure out what your story is all about.
5. Moreover, at a hearing you will have only 5 minutes to tell your story...a rambling account will not hold the attention of the audience even that long.
6. In addition, journalists will not investigate a story that is confusing and missing key pieces of information so that it fails to pique their curiosity and makes them feel that it would not interest their own audience.
7. Therefore, you will benefit from applying the method set forth below for writing an informative and brief story. You will use it to rehearse your oral delivery of it at a hearing.

C. Not a professionally written story, but written after doing one’s homework

8. Research your own documents and cite them so that your story is accurate and verifiable.
9. Write a story that is significant to the audience: You are not writing a diary for your private reading. You are writing a story to be read by others, your audience. Organize it chronologically so that it can be easily followed by people who are totally unfamiliar with you and it.
10. Highlight the most outrageous events and avoid getting bogged down in details unimportant to the story even if they are important to you. After reading it, your audience should be able to exclaim: ‘The judge in this story did A, B, and C. How outrageous!’
11. Edit your writing to make it as grammatically correct as you can so that the audience’s attention is

concentrated on your story without grammatical mistakes distracting it and reflecting poorly on your degree of education and attention to detail.

12. Your objectives are clear: Your accurate and verifiable story earns you the respect and trust of your audience. Its significance to them earns you their gratitude. All this may make you attain your most important objective: your audience's action in support of your cause.
13. Your audience's support will be more likely and stronger if you apply to the writing of your story a principle of strategic thinking: "People never listen so attentively and react so positively as when they listen to avoid harm to themselves and their loved ones."
14. Make your audience feel that the abuse by judges that you suffered or witnessed can happen to them too. They can fall prey to the abusers. "No! That is unacceptable. That is outrageous! I must support this victim to end this abuse before it gets me!"

D. You need intermediaries to bring your story to the national public

15. That must be the reaction of your ultimate audience: the national public. Only that public, informed about, **and outraged** at, judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power, can force the reform not only of the Supreme Court, but also the lower federal court and even the state courts. Your story alone will not attain that objective, but it must contribute to attaining it.
16. To tell your story to the national public you need the Biden Commission as well as journalists and universities to become interested in it and let you use their means for publicizing it.
17. So, it is shortsighted and counterproductive to disparage the media. They are not your enemies. They are your loudspeakers. They do not form a monolithic entity. There are thousands of media outlets and tens of thousands of journalists. Not all of them have the same point of view, means, or standing: *The New York Times* and *The Washington Post* do not behave the same way as a new outfit with a handful of journalists trying to breakthrough in the world of digital investigations.
18. Yet, they share a common interest: their commercial and reputational advancement. In addition, they can pick and choose among the scores of millions of people who have been abused by judges. You need journalists more than they need you. Treat all of them with respect. That is required by ethical considerations, professional standards, and strategic thinking.

E. Advice on story writing tested and applied successfully

19. I have applied the advice given here to produce my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. The study rests on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣

20. This article is also posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. It and similar ones have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **38,833+** have become subscribers to it as of June 25, 2021 (Appendix 3).
 - a. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - b. You can join the subscribers thus: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left

panel ↓Register or +New or Users >Add New.

F. The two-phase writing method

1. In phase one, use your unrestrained creative spirit to draft your story

21. In the end you want to produce a story that flows smoothly into your audience's mind and that is accurate, significant, and verifiable. But at the beginning, there is the big bang of story creation: The story bursts out of your mind chaotically onto a computer page (or paper). Anything makes its way out. Nothing is subjected to critical thought controls. If it pops up, jot it down.
22. Sit at your computer and write on a word processing page whatever word, term, or phrase identifies a person, event, place, document, thing, idea, concept, etc., apparently associated with your story. They are your story's informational *dots*. Each opens a crack in your mind and lets other escape.
 - a. You are not yet trying to write grammatically correct and complete sentences. You only want to get started telling your story. Blur out anything and everything onto the page.
 - b. Let your stream of consciousness bubble out unrestrained by your thinking mind so that it sprinkles *dots* of your story all over the page. As related words, terms, and phrases flow out of your mind, keep adding them to or between the other dots on the page.
 - c. Widen and multiply the cracks in your mind by asking yourself about your story **the journalists' W-questions**: *What!?* Who? Where? When? How? Why? What now?
 - d. Keep asking of every word, term, phrase, and sentence concerning an event: "**then what** happened?...and **then what** did they say?...and **then what** did I do?...and **then...**?" They are alive in your mind. They can hear and answer you. They can even ask you questions.
 - e. If informational *dots* or you ask questions that you cannot answer right away, only jot them down. Do not interrupt the fireworks of dots. Let it rip! Enjoy. Search for answers and evaluate their significance in phase two. Gradually questions will become more complex:
 - 1) What was the name of the opposing party's attorney?...and her law firm?
 - 2) Did the judge issue an oral order from the bench or did he read one that he had written? Did he cite any law or rule?
 - 3) Why did the judge order me to pay rent because the landlord had fixed the plumbing? I never told him; and the landlord never filed an answer! So how did the judge know? Did she confuse me with another tenant? How many times has this landlord or his lawyer appeared before this judge?...Mmm. I'll have to look into this later on.
23. When you have about ten informational *dots*, move them up and down in a rough chronological order of appearance in your story. As you do so, add to them any other words, terms, and phrases that enlarge their meaning, identify them more narrowly, or should be inserted between them.
 - a. Keep reading the *dots*, even aloud. Put them in a jingle, make them rhyme even if they make no sense...and they will come alive!, dancing in your mind and inviting to dance other words, terms, and phrases that are also dots. Let them jump onto the stage of your page.
 - b. Something like sentences will begin to appear. Keep ordering them chronologically and inserting more *dots* between them or enlarging them with details.
 - c. Painting by numbers, using stars to draw a constellation, you are *connecting the dots* into

the sketch of a figure. It seems to be telling a story...your story! You can do this. You did it! *You are telling your story!*

24. Use a 'balancing test' to compare the *dots*' weight of outrageousness for the story to make sense and be significant to them: the ones who do not know you or your story. Remove to another page dots that feel of 'lighter' significance. You are starting to recognize a hierarchy among the dots. That will help you stay within the 500-word limit. Combine the dots into rough sentences.
25. HOURS later you will feel that you have told your story from beginning to end. Let it sit for a day. You are not done, not even close: You only wrote your first draft. *But you did!*

2. In phase two, use your critical judgment to edit your story

26. Come back to your draft and read it through. Only thereafter start moving around and connecting the sentences in a way that will make sense to a person who does not know anything about it.
27. Avoid confusing your audience: Use the same word to refer to the same person, idea, event, object, etc. Double check your dates; the names of places, people, and their titles. Make sure who said what to whom. Do not trust your memory. Case and other documents. Research the law to provide citations. Journalists will check them and you must ensure that they can verify them. Be accurate.
28. Right now you are writing for an audience of journalists. They are knowledgeable, critical, and demanding. But they do not know anything about your story. Do not assume that they can fill in the details that you left out that are necessary for your story to make sense to them.
29. Try to the best of your ability to tell them a story that persuades them of the outrageousness of unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power. But do not be melodramatic; do not exaggerate.
30. Never make up details. Always make a clear distinction between facts, opinion, and impressions. Admit that you do not know what you do not know. You may be able to tell a lie as to a dot here or there. But journalists look at the whole picture and realize how false dots do not fit in. Lie-ridden mouths are not invited to tell their story. Even if you did not intend to provide false details, as when lying, but your details are incorrect for failure to check them against documents and other sources, you become an unreliable storyteller. You lose credibility. Never compromise it.
31. Self-editing means revising and rewriting your draft story; and correcting your grammar and the position of paragraphs, sentences, and clauses. It will take longer than drafting it: Dots were connected into a sketch. Now you are painting the sketch with the colors of accuracy, verifiability, and significance that reveal the outrageousness of the abuse of power of the judges in your story.

a. What to omit

32. Abstain from outbursts intended to elicit pity and appeal for commiseration. Do not appear emotionally fragile, unstable, or hypersensitive. Do not come across as a basket case.
33. Do not dilute your story's significance with trivial details and petty grievances. A barrage of charges betrays incapacity to identify what is legally relevant. Do not diminish the credibility of your story with unfounded accusations, speculation, and extravagant claims. Trying to turn your experience into a nightmare does not make for a serious story; you are not scripting a horror movie.
34. Do not impair your story's verifiability by making unprovable claims. Fantasy allegations make your story a fairy tale. Let independent investigators reveal what coming from a party –and as such biased toward her side of the story– sounds preposterous. Turn 'reality that is stranger than fiction' into a question that becomes a lead for investigative journalists:

- a. Did the judge put his kids on food stamps although he earns a judges' salary?!
 - b. Did he have his niece hired by the winning party to have her pay his gambling debts?
 - c. Does he tell his law clerks that if at the end of their clerkship when they search for a job they want him to write them a glowing letter of recommendation, which can earn them a substantial sign-up bonus from the hiring employer, they have to decide the cases assigned to him and write the decisions, which explains why the style of the decisions signed by him is so oddly different every year after the start of the new clerkship?
35. Also leave out anything on which honest people can reasonably hold different opinions. It falls within the judges' wide margin of discretion. Your opinion is not entitled to more credibility than the judges', especially since you are not a lawyer, but rather a biased party, as all parties are.

b. What to include

36. Focus on the judges' violation of criminal law, which their fellow judges will not want to appear defending, lest they dirty their own image: e.g., denial of due process and equal protection of the law; conflict of interests; abuse of public office and confidential information for **self-enrichment**; bribery; **bankruptcy** fraud, **concealment** of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering; **interception** of people's mail and emails to detect and suppress those critical of judges; disregard of rules of **conduct**; cronyism; cover-up; ethnic, racial, socio-economic, gender, or religious bias; physical or sexual abuse; arbitrariness; and what offends the common sense of decency and propriety.
37. Provide pieces of information, e.g., names and dates, that can be treated as data: They can be scanned into a database to find the most convincing type of evidence: patterns of abuse by judges and their cronies, formed by their recurrence in the stories separately provided by different people.
38. Let your story sit for a day or two. Come back to it for another phase-two session. You are writing your story to tell it first to journalists; and if it passes muster, they will bring it to the national public. Eventually it will be the basis for your claim for **compensation**. What you say now binds you later on. Do what it takes to get your story right. It must be accurate, verifiable, and significant.

G. Title, subtitle, and theme of the story

39. After writing your story, you will recognize a theme running through it. Turn it into the title that expresses the nature of your story and its main takeaway.
40. In general, the theme of your story and that of the other witnesses is "judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power". In particular, emphasize, whether in the title and certainly throughout the story, the judges' three most outrageous acts. "If the most cannot do it, the lesser need not try." There follow sample titles that summarize their respective story in a sentence:

How a judge failed to recuse himself from a case where he approved the foreclosure on an apartment building, the eviction of all the tenants, and its conversion into an office building by a development company in which he is a shareholder

How a judge once more declared another wealthy senior citizen incompetent and appointed as her guardian a person to whom he regularly entrusts guardianships, who squeezed every penny from her, and then dumped her onto the state welfare system as an indigent

How a bankruptcy judge allowed the same bankruptcy trustee to hold yet another unannounced auction where only one and the same bidder showed up, bought the debtor's assets for pennies on the dollar, flipped them, and made a killing...
leaving me as the financial corpse

Bonfire of integrity at the penthouse: Judges attending a judicial conference boasted about how they cut corners on the law, use parties' information to enrich themselves and their partners, and have clerks fudge documents; and were overheard by the apparently invisible waiters and waitresses serving them, who reported them to their chief circuit judge; and although the chief deemed their reports complaints, she dismissed them without the waiters and waitresses ever being interviewed as part of any investigation

H. Additional information in links embedded in text and as endnotes

41. It is assumed that you will email your story. Attachments to them are risky because when opened they can release a virus into the recipient's computer. As a result, some email computers (servers) do not accept for delivery emails with attachments. Do not send them.
42. Instead, turn a reference to a person, event, place, document, etc., into a [linking blue keyword](#), which holds embedded in it a 'hidden', not visible, link to a supporting document: Click on the keyword >in the dropdown menu click on the word Hyperlink >in the box type in the hyperlink >click enter. The keyword should turn [blue](#) indicating that it has an embedded link.
43. Be reasonable: do not mar your story with dozens of [blue words](#). Use your good judgment to identify the documents whose links should be embedded. If readers need more supporting documents, they can ask you for them. Store the linked documents either on your website, DropBox, Google Plus, Academia, or any other cloud storage facility.
44. If need be, you may provide at the end of your story a "List of links to supporting documents". Add a brief description of what the corresponding document deals with.
45. Include in the list the documents of the opposing party and the decisions of the judges in your case. Be fair. Let them 'talk' too. Be helpful: spare journalists and other readers the need to search for those documents, which should be at your fingertips because you received them and should have read them. Do not give the impression that you are hiding the other side of the story or that you are so self-centered and small-minded that you think your story only has one side: yours.

I. Sign and date your story

46. If your address, telephone number, and email address were not stated at the top of your story, state that information at the end of it. Show that you take responsibility for your story.
47. Moreover, your contact information will facilitate getting in touch with you to ask for any needed clarification or additional information.
48. Provide the date when you submit your story. That information is useful, in general, to order documents chronologically and, in particular, to establish your story's currency, i.e., its 'as of date'.

J. Advocates' sessions for article-reading and reciprocal revision of their stories; and checklist and chapters making

49. To make it easier for the members of your organization, friends, relatives, and other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries to read this article I suggest that you get together with them via video conference. Regardless of whether they are in your neighborhood or anywhere else in our country, on that occasion one person at a time can read aloud a section. Then anybody can comment briefly on how it helps to write an accurate, significant, and verifiable story. Thereafter, another person can read the next section and so on.

- a. The emails of other Advocates to whom I send my articles can be found in the To: and cc:

boxes of my emails and [OL2:1140¶28](#).

50. Before submitting your story, share it with all of them.
 - a. A week after the article-reading session, you can hold the reciprocal revision session, where each person can read aloud his or her story in up to 500 words. Thereafter anybody can comment critically on how it was written and its most important contents: what the writer identified as the most outrageous abuse by judges and their cronies.
 - b. All of you will realize that if the story is well written, its most outrageous abuse can be stated in up to 500 words, which will grip everybody's attention; and if it is poorly written, nobody will pay attention to the next 500 words.
 - c. This will be an opportunity for everybody to use group feedback to revise their story and rehearse telling it either at a Commission "public meeting" or an [unprecedented citizens hearing](#). You will only have 500 words and five minutes to tell your story and outrage the national public. Revisions and rehearsals make perfect!
51. A competition for the title of "Protagonist of the Worst Abuse by Judges Ever" or the attitude "My story is more important than you cuz it affects all people" does not improve any story. They are egocentric and wasteful of everybody's effort, goodwill, and time.
52. Cooperate to identify and rephrase, eliminate, or correct what is inaccurate, insignificant, or unverifiable; ambiguous; inconsistent; contradictory; digressive; repetitive; pretentious; self-aggrandizing; defamatory; a poor word choice; trite; in bad taste; foul language, which is impermissible; misspelled; unidiomatic; wrong syntax (word order); ungrammatical; etc.
 - a. To describe the conduct of a judge and then characterize it as abusive, illegal, or unethical is a statement of fact.
 - b. By contrast, to call the judge "a rotten, filthy, bag of..." is disrespectful and gratuitously offensive. It does not inform the audience of anything useful to understand the story except of the caller's lack of objectivity, restraint, and education necessary to distinguish between venting personal anger and frustration, and telling a story in a realistic, vivid, and enlightening way that outrages the audience at the judge rather than at the teller. It is the kind of language that must be avoided or edited out without exception. It plays right into the hands of those who denigrate complainants as "disgruntled losers", uneducated pro se's, and verbal bullies. We, Advocates of Honest Judiciaries, do not want to be lumped together with them, blemished, and dismissed by association.
53. All of you can draw up a "Checklist and Evaluation Form for Stories of Abuse of Power by Judges". It can be used when composing the proposed [Annual Report](#) on Judicial Unaccountability and Abuse of Power in America.
54. Reciprocal revisions will afford you the opportunity to know each other. You and others can form a *chapter of Advocates* who promote in turn the formation of a national apolitical single issue civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of victims, and reform.

K. Blocs of email addresses where to send your story

55. When you are ready to send your story, copy the bloc of email addresses below and paste it in the corresponding box of your email:

To [for the commissioners of the Biden Commission]:

cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@umich.edu,
 jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu,
 madams@yu.edu, charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu,
 wdellinger@omm.com, ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu,
 rfallon@law.harvard.edu, heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu,
 jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu, tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu,
 bhuang@law.columbia.edu, mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu,
 lacroix@uchicago.edu, lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu,
 trevor.morrison@nyu.edu, cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu,
 mramsey@SanDiego.edu, krooseve@law.upenn.edu, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
 bross@law.berkeley.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu,
 kewhitt@princeton.edu, development@naacpldf.org, michael.waldman@nyu.edu,
 caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,

cc [for journalists]:

“Veterans Today Senior Editor Gordon Duff” <gpduf@aol.com>, “Veterans Today
 Managing Editor Jim W Dean” <jimwdean@aol.com>, ajaffe@thehill.com,
 john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
 blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, “Todd Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, “Brian
 McGrory Editor” <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, contact@go.reuters.com,
 charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, spotlight@globe.com,
 tips@thomsonreuters.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com,
 marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, ijerr@spectacularjournals.org,
 newstip@globe.com, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, drew@americanthinker.com,
 tips@publicintegrity.org, emily.holden@theguardian.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
 ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com,
 inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com, Evan.Allen@globe.com,
 info@AP.org, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov, ginger.thompson@propublica.org,
 menulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com, communication@lexisnexis.com,
 aglantz@stanford.edu, watchdog@publicintegrity.com, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
 joepatrice@abovethelaw.com, info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com,
 tips@latimes.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com, letters@nytimes.com,
 contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com, oped@nytimes.com,
 jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com, hello@propublica.org,
 Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com, tips@propublica.org, Vernal.Coleman@globe.com,
 Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,
 Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,

56. Post the article to social media, such as: Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp

Twitter: Request that the Biden Commission on Supreme Court reform hold public meetings & journalists and universities hold citizens hearings where people can tell their story of judges’ abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf

57. Precede your story with this professional letterhead and introduction (which have 483 words and should give you an idea of the length of your story):

Your name and address,
 phone number; email address

The Biden Commission on Supreme Court reform;
Investigative journalists; and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

Dear Commissioners, Journalists, and Advocates,

Kindly find below my story of the abuse of power by judges that I have suffered and/or witnessed.

I am sending it to support my request that you hear me and similarly situated abusees at the “public meetings” that the Commission is [mandated](#) to hold. You should allow your “meetings” and your report to inform the national public of how justices and judges behave in practice, abusing their power for their gain and convenience because they are unaccountable and their abuse is riskless.

By contrast, if you limit yourself to a mere discussion of the theory of constitutional law on the Supreme Court, you will have allowed yourselves to be manipulated as a pretext for implementing the foregone political decision to “pack the Court”.

I also request that you journalists join forces with journalism, Information Technology, and business academics to expose judicial abuse of power at the [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) proposed by Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. At those hearings, multidisciplinary panels of journalists and academics can take the testimony of abusees. They can do so live at media stations and university auditoriums across the country as well as via video conference to make it inexpensive and convenient for them and the public to attend. This can launch a *MeToo!*-like trend of public accountability here and abroad.

It is overdue: In the 232 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of federal judges impeached and removed is [only 8!](#) For comparison, the number of federal officers on the bench on September 30, 2020, was [2,341](#). Federal judges need not fear losing their jobs. In practice, they have turned public power entrusted to them into the power of a State above the state.

The “meetings” and the citizens hearings can expose the nature, extent, and gravity of judges’ abuse. On that factual basis, the reform can be undertaken of not only the Supreme Court, where in the October 2019-September 2020 fiscal year only “73 cases were argued and [69 were disposed of](#) in 53 signed opinions”, but also the lower federal courts, which terminated 1,103,337([page 10](#)) in the year to [September 30, 2020](#).

The citizens hearings can be expanded to take the testimony of victims of state judges, who are just as outrageous in their abuse of power. The hearings can thus lead to a reform that takes from judges the unaccountability that they have arrogated to themselves and gives back to *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, what is our birthright: government by the rule of law where *the People* exercise their right to hold also their judicial public servants accountable for entrusted power and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

Therefore, I request the opportunity to be heard also at the citizens hearings.

Date:

Name:

L. My offer to present this articles

58. I offer to make a presentation on this article to you and your group of guests followed by a Q&A session. It can take place via video conference and, if in New York City, in person. To form an idea of the quality of presentation that you can expect of me, watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To schedule it and agree on its terms, use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

July 12, 2021

**Joining forces to make
the two-phase method for writing
your story of the abuse by judges and guardians
that you have suffered or witnessed go viral
so as to increase the chances that
you will tell your story at the proposed
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS[‡]**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your encouraging reply to my article on the two-phase method for writing your story of abuse by judges and guardians that you have suffered or witnessed ([OL3:1329](#)). Some have asked me to set forth how we can work together to advocate judicial and guardianship reform.

A. Steps for working together

1. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. We share a common objective: to attain judicial [abuse of power](#) exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform of the system of justice through transformative change. The latter means that what goes into change comes out as a different entity. In this context, it means that the change will enable *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to exercise our right to hold them, including judicial public servants, accountable for the public power entrusted to them and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
2. The first step for you, your group, and me to take jointly toward attaining that objective is to read this [article](#) because:
 - a. That is the only way of learning a different strategy, namely, the [out-of-court strategy](#) for informing the national public about, and outraging it at, power abusive judges, including their cronies, such as [guardians](#).
 - 1) This strategy recognizes the futility of complaining about judges in their courts, which are their turf, where they disregard the law, interpret it however they want, and make rules on the go in order to ensure reciprocally their unaccountability.
 - 2) Rather than blindly or ignorantly expecting judges to subject their fellow judges, peers, and friends to ‘equal justice under law’, the out-of-court strategy relies realistically on an informed and outraged national public, the only entity strong enough to force exposure, compensation, and reform in spite of the power and intertwined interests of judges and the [legislators](#) who put them on the bench.
 - b. The system of justice, in general, and probate court, in particular, cannot be reformed from the inside: The judges and their cronies will not turn against each other or hold each other accountable, let alone liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
 - c. Even if a just system were devised on paper, the same judges would be the ones called upon to implement it. Whether they have committed abuse as principals or become accessories before or after the fact, their implementation would be in the self-interest of covering for themselves and each other. Nothing would change, for ‘the law says whatever the judge wants it to say’.
 - d. To gain knowledge about how judges and their judiciaries work in practice rather than in theory on paper, read as much as you can of my three-volume study of them, which is based on

professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣

- e. Many of my articles are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **39,086+** of them have become subscribers to the site as of July 12, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
 - 1) How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - 2) You can join the subscribers thus: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

B. Writing your story to be accurate, significant, and verifiable

3. By reading the [article](#) on the two-phase writing method, you will learn how to write your story in up to 500 words and make it contain the most accurate, significant, and verifiable data capable of best informing and most outraging the public.
 - a. You need to provide accurate data of the kind listed in the article so that your story is based on facts rather than fading memories and lazy approximations.
 - b. A significant story is not one that renders tribute to the accomplishments and noble nature of your abused loved one. Rather it is one that incriminates the abusers and outrages the audience. It must describe the conduct of judges that have institutionalized abuse as their way of doing business in order to run their judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
 - c. Verifiable information will lend credence to your story and instill in journalists and academics enough confidence to research it further([OL:194§E](#)).

C. If the article goes viral, it can lead to many stories and the citizens hearings

4. We want the article to go viral so that the largest number of people learn how to write their story so that it is accurate, significant, and verifiable, stated in up to 500 words, and addressed to the people in a position to make it available to the national public.
 - a. By reading the article, you will learn that the “public meetings” that the [Biden Commission](#) on the reform of the Supreme Court is mandated to hold have not yet been announced. So it is not known whether the commissioners will meet to discuss among themselves but in public or they will meet with the public to hear its complaints, whether the latter will be about not only justices of the Supreme Court, but also judges in the lower courts; and proposals for reform of individual courts and the whole system of justice.
 - b. By inundating([OL3:1147§D](#)) the Commission with stories of abuse committed by judges throughout the judiciary with the complicity of the justices, we want to force the Commission to discuss at its “meetings”, not the theory of constitutional law relating to the Court, but rather the practice of abuse of justices, judges, and [congressional](#) and state politicians who put them in office and thereafter have in their self-interest held them there unaccountable.
 - c. The stories should be sent as soon as possible before the Commission fixes the nature of its “public meetings”.

- d. The email address of each member of the Commission is provided below. Send your story to the commissioners repeatedly until you receive an acknowledgment of receipt...and thereafter keep asking them when you will be able to tell your story to the national public.
- e. The commissioners will not let you to do so: For the reasons explained below, they are compromised by their conflict of interests(OL3:1308§D).
- f. Accordingly, you should send your story to the journalists and academics whose email addresses appear below. They can let you tell your story to the national public by holding the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS.
- g. Share the article below with friends and relatives, and post it to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Reddit,

Google Plus, Pinterest, Snapchat, WhatsApp,

Twitter: Request that the Biden Commission on Supreme Court reform hold public meetings & journalists and universities hold citizens hearings where people can tell their story of judges' abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf

D. Your reading and feedback group

5. To make it easier for the members of your organization, friends, and relatives to read the article I suggest that you get together with them via video conference. Regardless of whether they are in your neighborhood or anywhere else in our country, on that occasion one person at a time can read aloud a section. Then anybody can comment briefly on how it helps to write an accurate, significant, and verifiable story. Thereafter, another person can read the next section and so on.
6. At your next video conference a week later, each person can read aloud his or her story in up to 500 words. Thereafter anybody can comment critically on how it was written and its most important contents: what the writer identified as the most outrageous abuse by judges and their cronies.
 - a. All of you will realize that if the story is well written, all its most outrageous abuse can be stated in up to 500 words, which will grip everybody's attention; and if it is poorly written, nobody will pay attention to the next 500 words.
 - b. This will be an opportunity for everybody to use group feedback to revise their story and rehearse telling it either at a Commission "public meeting" or an unprecedented citizens hearing. You will only have 500 words and five minutes to tell your story and outrage the national public. Revisions and rehearsals make perfect!

E. My offer to make a presentation to your group

7. I offer to make a presentation on the article hereunder to you and your group followed by a Q&A session. It can take place via video conference and, if in New York City, in person.
8. To ascertain the quality of my presentation, watch my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).
9. To schedule it and agree on its terms, use my contact information below.

F. Sharing and posting the article so that it goes viral and many stories are written and submitted

10. You may wish to share the article hereunder with the people that you have caused to follow your

relative's case in order to invite them to apply the two-phase method of writing their story of abuse by judges and guardians, and send it to the members of the Biden Commission on the reform of the Supreme Court and journalists, whose email addresses are provided hereunder.

11. Our objective is to make the article go viral. The greater the number of stories sent in:
 - a. the more pressure will be exerted on the commissioners to hold their mandated "public meetings" as public hearings where they and the rest of the national public hear the stories of abusees; and
 - b. the more persuasive our proposal will become that journalists together with academics hold unprecedented citizens hearings. There you, your followers, and so many other abusees will be able to tell your stories to the national public, thus informing it about, and outraging it at, the abuse and stirring it up to compel effective reform of the judiciary and the guardianship system.
12. Nothing will help you and your relative's case as turning the national public into your ally in your fight with abusive judges and guardians. That is how you can emerge from being merely one among millions of abusees into the role of a nationally recognized Champion of Justice.
13. Time is of the essence. The Commission only has six months to turn in its report.
14. Moreover, we want to turn the issue of judges' and guardians' abuse into:
 - a. a dominant issue of the national debate that will follow the presentation of the report; and
 - b. the distinguishing campaign issue of candidates in the primaries of the 2022 mid-term elections.
15. We need to think strategically and act with dispatch.

**G. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Lip service advances nothing;
but it continues to enable the abusers.

16. To continue and advance our common cause:

**Put your money
where your outrage at abuse and
passion for justice are.**

Donate

to support the professional law research and writing, and
strategic thinking of

Judicial Discipline Reform

by making a deposit or an online transfer to Citi Bank,
routing # 021 000 089, account # 6870 82 4342;

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ;

or

by mailing a check to the address in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

July 21, 2021

**Using your story of abuse of power by judges
to encourage university students and journalists
to expose the refusal of
the Biden Commission on the reform of the Supreme Court
to hear abusees on the practice of abuse by justices and judges
while hearing only professors on the theory of constitutional law; and
to hold the proposed
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS
through which the national public will be informed of, and outraged at,
unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power
and given the opportunity to collectively demand compensation[‡]**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

I thank all the Advocates who replied to my article on [the two-phase method](#) for writing in up to 500 words their respective story of judges' [abuse of power](#) that they have suffered or witnessed, for instance, as a party or while clerking for judges or reporting on their courts and decisions.

Abstract: This article describes concrete, reasonable, and feasible steps that you can take to tell your story of judges' abuse and enable people to tell theirs to the national public at a public meeting of the [Biden Commission](#) for the reform of the Supreme Court; and at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) to be held by university students, professors, and journalists all over the country at their university auditoriums, media stations, and via video conference.

Story pitch and its foundation: Also, I am pitching to publishers and journalists this article as well as a series of articles on law research findings and analysis regarding judges and their judiciaries, which are already written and available for review([Appendix 6§A](#)). I can write articles on commission too. All are supported by my three-volume study on this subject based on professional research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣**

- a. Many of my articles are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **39,636+** have become subscribers to the site as of September 25, 2021([App. 3](#)).
 - 1) How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - 2) You can join the subscribers thus: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.

A. Using your story of judicial abuse as the basis of a presentation

1. One story of abuse of power can be discounted as an inadvertent act of bad judgment or the conduct of a rogue judge. But many of them reveal a pattern of activity: a judiciary that due to its unaccountability, pervasive secrecy, and through coordination has turned judges' riskless abuse into their modus operandi for their gain and convenience, and become a [racketeering enterprise](#). Many

abusees can inform thereof the national public and outrage it at their abuse. That public, informed and outraged, can with its voting power compel [politicians](#) to hold *their* judges, whom they put on the bench, accountable for their exercise of public power and liable to the victims of their abuse.

2. Nevertheless, your poignant story, Dr. McCullough, is particularly well-written and supported by relevant citations. Your high academic degrees afford you special access to your former law schools and current deans and professors as well as class presidents and other officers, and student associations. The first-hand knowledge of judicial abuse that you gained during your dramatic experience gives you 'standing' to approach associations of public defenders, innocent program officers, advocates of the unjustly incarcerated, and justice system reformers.
3. You can join forces with other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries who are willing to take action, as opposed to merely complain. Together, you can do what is so necessary to advance our common cause, i.e., motivate the largest number of people to submit their stories to the members of the Biden Commission; and induce journalists, students, and their professors to hold the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#)(see their email addresses in [paragraph 19](#)).

1. Students in local chapters to advocate national citizens hearings

4. All of the members of the Biden Commission are law professors who clerked for justices and judges under a confidentiality agreement. That renders them reluctant to expose judges' abuse of power. As a result, they are compromised by that conflict of interests([OL3:1308§D](#)).
5. By contrast, university students, e.g., those at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology schools, are still imbued with a sense of justice. They are motivated by the ideal of using the rule of law, 'the Power of the News', the [math of abuse](#), and the force of the fourth industrial (the digital) revolution, to make the world a more just and fair place. Likewise, people at other venues can reasonably be expected to have an interest in enabling their members to tell their stories of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
6. By contacting the students' class president and other officers -e.g., through the dean of students, their school and association websites, and social media- you can offer to make a presentation on:
 - a. judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power([OL2:1125¶4](#)); and
 - b. how the students can appeal to the Biden Commission to expose judges' abuse. By so doing, they would be following the example of the students at Harvard, Yale, and other Ivy League law schools who contacted the Senate and journalists to express their opposition to the confirmation of Then-Judge Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court([OL2:773](#); [OL2:971](#)).
7. The presentation will be the appropriate event for emphasizing how students –and other constituencies, mutatis mutandis- can set in motion the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings to:
 - a. earn academic credit for a semester-long course on team journalism or public interest course in pursuit of judicial transparency, accountability, and integrity; or fulfill a thesis requirement for a masters or doctoral degree. To that end, students can [audit](#) judges' decisions and other writings by applying statistical, linguistic, and literary [analysis](#), and fraud and forensic accounting (FFA) to expose judges':
 - 1 [abusive enrichment](#) and [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#), which involve concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, breach of trust; fraud; etc.;
 - 2) misleading mandatory annual financial disclosure reports([OL:102§a](#)), which they file pro forma with fellow 'revising' judges, who are subject to the same reporting

duty so that their interest lies in rubberstamping their approval;

- 3) abusive exercise of their self-disciplining authority entrusted to them by Congress in the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980(28 USC §§351-364): They dismiss 100% of the complaints against them, which must be filed with their respective chief circuit judge and are received, processed, and kept in secrecy; and deny 100% of petitions to review such dismissals. In fact, not even the names of the complained-against judges are made public. By abusing this authority, they ensure for themselves ‘unequal protection *from* the law’ compared to malpractising lawyers and doctors, pedophilic priests, brutal police officers, election-rigging politicians, etc., whose names and the complaints against them are made public when they are sued(OL3:1305§1).

8. Students and other constituencies can:

- a. attract public attention by prosecuting as a test case the abuse as principal and the cover up of abuse by fellow judges committed by former Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and current attorney general Merrick Garland;
- b. invite investigative journalists, court reporters (those who cover the courts and judges’ decisions), media outlets, and journalism professors and students to form part of the panels that hear people’s stories at the citizens hearings, just as lawyers and judges are invited to play the role of moot court judges;
- c. make the national public the audience of the citizens hearings by broadcasting them on the Internet live and making them available on demand by recording them as podcasts;
- d. produce together with journalists a report on the citizens hearings;
- e. present that report at the first-ever conference on judicial unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power, to be held simultaneously at their schools and media outlets and made accessible to the national public through interactive multimedia broadcast;
- f. publish as a sequel to that citizens hearings report a joint students/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power, just as law students publish law reviews and journals, as do many student associations in their respective disciplines. Thereby students and journalists can become in effect the supervising entity, albeit without subpoena and contempt power, whose creation by Congress judges have strenuously and consistently opposed: an inspector general for the Federal Judiciary. This would set an example to be followed with respect the state judiciaries;
- g. promote the creation of the **Institute** of Judicial Unaccountability and Reform Advocacy, attached to a top university or national news network or a consortium of them, just as The Associated Press “was founded as “an independent news cooperative, whose members are U.S. newspapers and broadcasters”; and universities hosts a wide variety of centers that specialize in particular areas of library and laboratory research and field investigation for the advancement of public and commercial interests and top secret government projects. The Institute will have among its functions those of:
 - 1) **a clearinghouse** for past and current complaints against judges filed for free by anybody; and
 - 2) **a research center** for fee-paying clients to audit judges’ and lawyers’ writings, transcripts, and news by performing computers-assisted analysis in search

of patterns(OL2:792§A), trends(OL2:455§§B, D), and schemes(OL2:614, 929) of abuse of power;

- h. participate in launching a generalized journalistic investigation of judges' abuse, just as scandals force media outlets to jump on the investigative bandwagon on competitive and reputational grounds; and
 - i. enhance the resumes that students will submit to potential employers when applying for summer or permanent jobs with the description of the public service that the unprecedented citizens hearings launched by them have rendered and the personal initiative and commitment to "Equal Justice Under Law" and an informed citizenry that they reveal.
9. Presentations on the above subjects can give rise to the formation of Tea Party-like local and video chapters. Many such chapters spread across the U.S. –and even abroad– can become the composite engine through which committed people advance toward the objective of forming a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial **abuse of power** exposure; **compensation** of abusees; and reform through transformative change –the judiciary that goes into change *only after* full exposure to the national public of the nature, extent, and gravity of its abuse will come out transformed into a new system of justice because reforms inconceivable today will be made irresistible by an **informed and outraged** national public–.
10. You can be instrumental in forming a chapter constituted of the members of the entities mentioned in paragraph 1 above.

B. Approaching university students at the start of the new academic year

11. This is the most opportune time to approach students because the new academic year will begin soon. During the orientation week for first year students, which is likely to begin on August 30, upper class students will hold the fair of the many associations through which they pursue their varied interests. The upper class students will try to persuade their new school mates to join their respective associations and run to become officers of the class. So, they will highlight what their associations have to offer.
12. A potentially most attractive offering is participation in trend-setting unprecedented citizens hearings concerning what is reasonably expected to dominate the national debate in the coming months:
 - a. the Biden Commission's "public meetings", where only law professors are heard discussing the theory of constitutional law relating to the Supreme Court, but not members of the public willing to write and tell their stories of the unaccountability and abusive conduct in practice of justices and lower court judges;
 - b. the Commission's report setting forth its non-binding recommendations for reforming the Supreme Court, written by commissioners compromised by their conflict of interests; and
 - c. what President Biden is expected by everybody to propose doing together with his party regardless of the report, thus revealing the Commission as a political farce: "pack the Court" by increasing the number of justices from 9 to 15 and reducing their term in office from a life-appointment to a term of years.
 - 1) Packing the Court can affect the balance of judicial and political power in our country for generations. But it will not even address, let alone reduce, judicial abuse: The new justices will continue relying on their unaccountability to abuse

their power risklessly and cover up the abuse of their fellow justices and judges.

- 2) Only the national public, informed through the citizens hearings about, and outraged at, judges' riskless abuse of power can exert enough pressure as *We the People*, the sovereign source of all political power in a democracy, to force transformative change that recognizes *the People's* right as Masters of all public servants to hold even their judicial public servants accountable for their exercise of the public power entrusted to them, and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

C. My offer to make a presentation to you and your group

13. I offer to present this article to students and to the members of your group or entity if you organize it. I can make it via video conference, and if my expenses and fee are paid, in person. To ascertain my capacity to present, view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To schedule my presentation and agree on its terms, use my contact information hereunder.
14. To invite to my presentation share this article with students, professors, and all your friends, relatives, colleagues, etc. Help the article go viral by posting it to social media, such as:

Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus,
Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp,

Twitter: Request that the Biden Commission on Supreme Court reform, students & journalists hold citizens hearings where people tell the national public their story of judges' abuse of power; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf

D. Sending your story to the Biden Commission, and journalists and students

15. I respectfully encourage all Advocates of Honest Judiciaries and those abused by judges to read the [two-phase method](#) so that you learn how to write in up to 500 words your experience of abuse by judges as an accurate, significant, and verifiable story that can be shared with the Biden Commission as well as journalists, students, and their professors capable of holding the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings. Thereby all of you can join in collectively demanding compensation for the abuse and contribute to implementing the strategy for informing and outraging the national public.
16. If an article based on professional law research and writing and strategic thinking is read by neither educated people because they are too busy improvising themselves as pro se lawyers nor uneducated people because they lack the habit of reading before dashing to write their anecdotes mixed with preconceived ideas of 'natural justice', what kind of Advocates and abusees make the effort to read and learn about the law to show that they know what they are talking about and should be taken seriously by judges and the public rather than dismissed as "disgruntled losers"?
17. A brief and to the point story is more likely to be read than a rambling rant or a jigsaw puzzle of tens of files sent in the unrealistic expectation that the recipient will spent countless hours trying to figure out what happened to and by whom, when, where, and what the legal issues are. A story that is actually read can contribute to causing the members of the Biden Commission, who are law professors [compromised by their conflict of interests](#), leave aside their theoretical discussion about the Supreme Court and hear at their remaining public meetings the many stories of how justices and judges wield their power in practice. That foundation in facts can provide a solid foundation for a meaningful reform of the justice system, including the compensation of abusees.

18. In addition, you can contact journalists and their media outlets to encourage them to [join forces](#) with students and other academics to let the national public hear your and other abusees' stories by organizing and holding the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#).
19. This is a two-pronged approach. After you have read the [two-phase method](#) and written your story, you must share it. Paste it to the body of your email. Then place the following blocs of email addresses of the commissioners and journalists/academics in its **To:** and **cc:** boxes, respectively:

To: [commissioners]

cristina.rodriquez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@umich.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu,
krooseve@law.upenn.edu, bross@law.berkeley.edu, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu,
development@naacpldf.org, staff@pscotus.gov, CorderoRic@yahoo.com,
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

cc: [journalists and academics]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
MCoyle@alm.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com, “Todd
 Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com, “Brian McGrory Editor”
 <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, tips@propublica.org, newstip@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, spotlight@globe.com,
 “Veterans Today Senior Editor Gordon Duff” <gpduff@aol.com>, “Veterans Today Managing
 Editor Jim W Dean” <jimwdean@aol.com>, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, drew@americanthinker.com,
tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.com,
emily.holden@theguardian.com, NTotenberg@npr.org, ryan.grim@theintercept.com,
andrea@americanthinker.com, Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com,
info@elizabethwarren.com, Evan.Allen@globe.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, communication@lexisnexis.com,
aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com, info@mail.huffpost.com,
tips@thedailybeast.com, tips@latimes.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cmn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com,
oped@nytimes.com, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, info@AP.org, Vernal.Coleman@globe.com,
hello@propublica.org, Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com, Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com,
Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,

20. Make your story count: Read, Write, and Share it.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

August 31, 2021

**Politicians-judges connivance enables judges' riskless abuse of power;
critical analysis is needed to expose it; and
the most opportune time to do so is now[‡]**

Dear Mr. Vallejo, fellow members of AXJ and AAF, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,
Thank you for your emails and your kind words about my work.

A. Your problem and what your “proposed solution” reveals about you

1. You stated that “We have located many conflicts of interest [concerning] unlawful foreclosures and evictions in U.S. courts”. Your “proposed solution” is for “a Federal Law to be passed to deal with the above issues immediately”.
2. Your statement gives you away as a pro se. It will be used by judges and opposing counsel to abuse you too, for it makes applicable the corollary to the aphorism “KNOWLEDGE IS POWER”: Ignorance is open season for being abused.
3. My comment on your statement may make you and others angry at me. But such anger does not help anyone in any way whatsoever.
4. Rather, my comment is constructive. It points to defective analysis of which you must be made aware so that you can correct it in your own interest and that of everybody else.
5. Which lawyer do you think cares more about you than about his pocket, the one who tells you what you need to hear at the risk of losing you as a client or the one who to secure his attorney's fees from you tells you what he thinks you want to hear, even if misleading or false, at the risk of your losing yet more effort, time, money, and esteem among your fellow members? Are you looking for an honest lawyer or a self-serving sycophant?

B. Self-contradictory: your solution to judges' disregard for the law is another law

6. On the one hand, you complain that “courts are not following the rules of evidence”; fail to “address properly jurisdiction and standing when a case is filed”; and ‘judges and bankruptcy trustees have a conflict of interests [between their official duties, which require their impartiality,] and their own interest in one of the creditors or other parties’. Your solution is to lobby Congress for a new federal law that addresses these issues.
7. The conflict lies in your reasoning: Since you complain about judges’ and trustees’ disregard of the law, what form of reasoning allows you to expect that they would not likewise disregard the federal law that you want to address these issues? Your statement is self-contradictory. It shows lack of critical analysis. It follows from your own statement that a solution to “unlawful foreclosures and evictions” cannot possibly be passing yet another law.

C. No new law is passed “immediately” in Congress

8. You insist three times that such federal law must be passed “immediately”. Your insistence betrays your lack of knowledge of judicial and legislative procedure and current circumstances
9. Congress passes fewer and fewer laws because it is not only divided, but also polarized. Neither Democrats nor Republicans want to be seen reaching a compromise, never mind giving a legislative win to the others, lest they be accused by their followers of having caved in to the other party.

10. Congress is embroiled in a tug of war over a handful of issues, namely, infrastructure; voting rights; immigration; the pullout from Afghanistan; and Covid vaccination, mandates, and going back to school or the office. Congress has hardly any time or attention for a new law.
11. In fact, Congress already failed to extend the moratorium on evictions issued originally by the Center for Disease Control (CDC). At present, there is not even discussion on extending the moratorium again, let alone a bill with the text that can make for acrimony and protracted debate among members of Congress and even within each party, for "the devil is in the detail". Congress has also failed to adopt provisions regulating how Covid-related foreclosures can take place.
12. On April 9, 2021, the formation of the Biden Commission for the reform of the Supreme Court was [announced](#). The Commission is required to submit its report within 180 days. Once its report is out and regardless of its non-binding recommendations, one issue will explode and dominate the attention of politicians and the public at large: the battle over increasing the number of justices from 9 to 15. Its overwhelming importance is obvious: The party that nominates and confirms the higher number of justices has a grip on power.
13. The start of 2022 will set off the primaries in earnest. Politicians and their parties will be jockeying for position because in the mid-term elections the stakes will be very high: the control of Congress and, thus, the agenda that will be pursued during the second half of the Biden presidency. Campaigning in the primaries will make it even more unlikely for politicians to want to be seen compromising.
14. Politicians will not write a bill of law, hold hearings thereon, debate it in Congress, vote on it, and sign it into law if it even hints that they suspect judges of engaging in unlawful activity. Politicians who dare express their suspicion would become mortal enemies of judges and provoke the latter's devastating power of retaliation (but see Sen. Elizabeth [Warren's daring denunciation](#)). A single federal judge can:
 - a. declare a law central to a party's agenda unconstitutional;
 - b. suspend nationwide the executive order of a president; and
 - c. refuse to dismiss a complaint alleging that a politician violated campaign contributions and election laws, and use rulings and jury instructions to steer a trial toward finding the politician liable or guilty.
15. Politicians recommend as judicial candidates, endorse, nominate, and confirm people who have demonstrated that they know how the power game is played. After that, politicians protect them no matter what as "*our* men and women on the bench". Thereby they avoid judges' retaliation.
16. A law, by itself, solves no problem: It is nothing but blotches of black ink on white paper. It needs judges to apply it. Judges will interpret and apply any "federal law on foreclosures and evictions" however restrictively or expansively they feel it necessary to protect and maintain the gains and convenience that they have secured for themselves and their cronies([jur:32§§2-4](#)) by disregarding current law. Judges do so because they are held by politicians unaccountable and allowed to apply any law however they want. See the [sham congressional hearings](#) on judges' abuse; and Chief Justice John G. [Roberts, Jr.'s subservient performance](#) at the impeachment trial in the Senate.
17. Consequently, to assume that the "solution" to the problem of unlawful foreclosures and evictions is for "a federal law to be passed immediately" betrays an astonishing degree of ignorance of how Congress and the Judiciary work and the connivance between their members. Such a "solution" warrants the application of Einstein's aphorism: "Doing the same thing while expecting a different

result is the hallmark of irrationality”. This is so because it reveals ignorance of a fundamental law of the physical and the human worlds: cause and effect. The same cause produces the same effect.

18. You can learn below the different things –i.e., concrete, realistic, and feasible actions– that you can do and reasonably expect a result that is different but in harmony with your objectives, if you...

D. Read, write, and share with a view to telling the national public your story

19. Far from the ‘solution’ being for you to be angry at me, you and your fellow members should use the above analysis to determine yourselves to ‘knowledge that is empowering’.
20. That calls for you to **READ** my article hereunder and those referred to there and here(cf. [Appendix 6](#)). They are based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking; and intended to assist those who like you have fallen prey to judges’ **abuse of power**. These articles are based on my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus* † ♣:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* † ♣

- a. Many of my articles are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **39,475+** have become subscribers to the site as of September 1, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
- 1) How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - 2) You can join the subscribers thus: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or +New or Users >Add New.
21. The article([OL3:1346](#)) points out that by applying the **two-phase method** you can **WRITE** in up to 500 words your story of judges’ abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed. It aims to teach how to include information that makes your story accurate, significant, and verifiable so that it persuades journalists and other investigators to follow it leads in their own commercial and reputational interest of selling copy and winning a Pulitzer Prize.
22. The article also shows how you, your fellow members, and all other abusees can take advantage of this most opportune time to **SHARE** your stories with the **Biden Commission** as well as the journalists, students, and professors who are called upon to hold the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS** where all of you may have the opportunity to tell your stories to the national public. Sharing is facilitated by the provided two blocs of their email addresses and a tweet that can be posted to Twitter, and other social media where you can post the article so that it may go viral.

E. Professional assistance reasonably requested in exchange for compensation

23. I can assist you and your fellow members. But I cannot assist either you or everybody else who contacts me by email, mail, and phone asking me to do so pro bono. To expect that I should give my expertise, effort, and time in return for nothing is neither reasonable nor fair.
24. Kindly be advised that my attorney’s fee is \$350 per hour plus all necessary and incidental expenses, including, but not limited to, access to specialized databases, books, transportation, room and board, communication, etc. A flat fee arrangement can be agreed upon for a specific piece of work. See below available methods of payment and above in the letterhead my contact information.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 5, 2021

**The folly of improvising yourself as a lawyer
to appear in court pro se
lets abusers take advantage of their power and superior knowledge to
*wipe you out!***

Your failure to read
lets your ignorance and laziness make you
a whiner of your own doing:
what you got...*you had it coming!*

**Securing legal assistance is not cheap,
but if the assistance is honest,
it includes tough love as a bonus of great value.**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your reply.

A. What work you already did as pro se; what work you are requesting from me

1. You wrote in your reply, "Can't wait to work together".
2. What kind of work are you requesting me to do for you?
3. Did you actually read my email to you and the accompanying article below all the way down where I stated the following?:

If you do not read the article though written for your benefit by a lawyer, how are you in a position to criticize judges for not reading your briefs and applications, though written by pro ses only to make things harder for the judges by requiring that they learn the facts of your cases and apply the law to them while respecting its due process and equal protection requirements?

4. Is it possible that you never read the papers submitted to you and the court, or the rulings and decisions of the judges so that you are not in a position to allege that they violated the law or any agreement with you?

B. Researching the law to know your rights and duties and determine whether you were abused

5. As for the law, did you read the applicable law? To identify the applicable law and learn how it has been interpreted and applied by the courts, did you engage in law research in the following representative sources? (Cf. [Appendix 6§C](#), which is frequently updated; hence, numbers of headings and paragraphs are approximate.) (Cf. [Appendix 6§C](#))

1. Treatises

125. Start your law research here to gain an overview of the subject:
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law

2. Law reviews and journals

130. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

131. [U.S. Constitution](#), Preamble: “*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf
132. [U.S. Constitution](#), Article III, The Judicial Power. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

133. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
134. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), **Bankruptcy Code**; *id.*
135. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), **Criminal Code**, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*

...

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

139. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The **Judicial Code**; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

...

6. Federal rules of procedure applicable in all federal courts

141. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (USC 11a) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy Procedure**; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
142. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (USC 18a) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal Procedure**; *id.*
143. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (USC 28a) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate Procedure and Evidence**; *id.*
144. Cf., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2021-revised-ed/p/106721176?trkcode=recsrpl&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

145. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit; https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

153. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law; <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

...

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

...

12. Cases in the lower federal courts

...

13. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (provides only administrative services to the federal courts. e.g., the collection of federal courts statistics)

173. **Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO)**; <https://www.uscourts.gov/>

174. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document; the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court; <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>

...

17. Sources of state legal authority

...

a. State constitution and laws

...

b. Uniform laws (among the states)

...

c. Restatement of laws

...

d. Treatises and law reviews and journals

...

e. Rules of procedure applicable in all the courts of the state

...

f. Rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed

...

g. Rules of the state chief administrative judge

...

h. Regulations of the state administrative departments and agencies

...

i. State cases

j. Cases from the Federal Judiciary and from other states

...

6. It should be apparent that if you have proceeded pro se and have not read the law, opposing counsel briefs, and court writings, your case may not only need intensive legal care to repair the damage caused by gross mistakes of ignorance...it may already need an autopsy and a resurrection.

C. The standard of reasonableness and what I request from you

7. Researching the appropriate legal authorities is the kind of professional, demanding, and time-

consuming work that justifies my fees.

8. To expect that I be available for free consultation –never mind writing briefs– on your behalf is not reasonable.
9. People stand in court in a weak position if their dealings with the opposing parties and the judges have been rendered defective and liable to be used against them by their failure to meet the standard that the law, in general, and the law of torts, in particular, expect of every party, juror, and witness, namely, ‘a reasonable man and woman applying common sense’.
10. Often, pro ses realize only too late that it was a folly to pretend that they could improvise themselves as lawyers...without even researching the law!, let alone applying it to their dealings and their written and oral presentations of their case.
11. Hence the justification for my attorney’s fee. It is \$350 per hour plus all necessary and incidental expenses, including, but not limited to, access to specialized databases, books, transportation, room and board, communication, etc. A minimum retainer of \$7,500 –which may fluctuate upwards depending on the work requested- is paid in advance, from which my attorney’s fee and expenses are deducted.
12. A flat fee arrangement is available upon agreeing on a specific piece of work.

D. Facts and evidence do not win cases alone: you need to apply the law to them

13. As you do your legal research, you have to “think like a lawyer”. So thinking is not acquired by merely reading an article. It is borne through training and practice during years in law school. It allows you to analyze your case in legal terms and “craft legal arguments”. A model for doing so is expressed thus: Facts, Issues, Law, Application, Rationale, Decision. To reach a decision, you must ‘show the math’, i.e., the operations to get the result. It is intellectually demanding, methodical, and time-consuming work. It makes the difference between a lawyer and a pro se.

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

DONATE

to support the professional law research and writing,
and strategic thinking of

Judicial Discipline Reform

You may make a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

TD Bank routing number 260 13 673; account 43 92 62 52 45

through Zelle

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJby

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 27, 2021

Students, lawyers, pro ses, inmates, victims of unlawful foreclosures, evictions, guardianships, bankruptcies, and similar classes of people, realizing that they cannot force unaccountable judges to follow the law in court, can think strategically to expose their disregard for the law by becoming teachers of story writing and leaders promoting out of court UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS where all can tell their stories of judges' riskless abuse of power, thus informing and outraging the national public into forcing politicians to investigate judges and their judiciaries, compensate abusees, and reform the system of justice to make judges as accountable as any other person[‡]

A. Nobody can force judges to apply the law: unaccountable, they risklessly disregard it

1. You may appear in court pro se, that is, unaccompanied by a lawyer, or you may be represented by one. Either you or your lawyer may write the best brief, motion, and application ever. But it will be added to the overwhelming majority of such writings that judges, including justices, do not even read, as shown by the [math of pleadings/judges](#), and the [official statistics\(OL2:457§D\)](#) of the Federal Judiciary. Those statistics are found in the [Annual Report](#) of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts [federal, as opposed to state, courts]. The Report is filed with Congress as a public document(Title 28 of the U.S. Code of federal laws, sections 603-604([28usc§§603-604](#))). The Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court([§601](#)).
2. Moreover, an attempt to match your legal knowledge and argumentative skills with those of judges and their cronies(cf. [jur:32§§2-3](#)) is hopeless because judges have something that you cannot possibly have: the power to say “This is the decision of the court...and that's *it!*”.
3. That puts an end to most cases since most parties lack the means to appeal; and those who appeal have the decisions appealed from rubberstamped ‘affirmed’ without discussion of the facts or the law. Actually, the official policy of the federal courts is to weigh pro se cases as a third of a case. Hence, judges are not only authorized, but also expected not to waste any more than a third of their effort and time on pro se cases([OL2:455§§B-C](#)).
4. Appeals by lawyers do not fare better: 93% of all appeals to the 13 federal courts of appeals are disposed of in decisions “[on] procedural [grounds, mostly the catchall pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation” Only 7% are disposed of in decisions explained in opinions([OL2:457§D](#)).
5. In court, judges can disregard the rule of law and make new rules as they go. The courts are their turf. An attempt to match your legal knowledge and argumentative skills with judges’ is unrealistic. It betrays ignorance of the relative positions of power.
6. You can never argue your case so convincingly as to leave judges no choice but to incriminate themselves and their peers, colleagues, and cronies. They have an [interdependent interest in mutually assured survival\(OL2:997§2\)](#). Federal judges [dismiss 100%](#) of complaints against them, which must be filed with them, and [deny 100%](#) of petitions to review those dismissals. Judges will always protect each other and [thereby themselves](#): ‘Today I help you and tomorrow you help me.’

B. Applying strategic thinking to outsmart judges’ abuse of power

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1367
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf

7. Judges' do whatever they want because they are recommended, endorsed, nominated, confirmed or appointed by politicians, who thereafter protect them as 'our men and women on the bench'. Judges **connivance** with politicians assures them that their **abuse of power** is riskless since they will be held unaccountable. So it is in court.
8. Recognizing this, strategic thinking aims to outmaneuver judges by taking action out of court. It seeks to deprive them of politicians' protection by creating circumstances out of court where politicians have to switch their priority to protecting their own careers, lest they be voted out of, or not into, office by a national public, in general, and voters, in particular, that have been informed about, and have become outraged at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. Creating those circumstances is the function of the **out-of-court inform and outrage strategy**.
9. A national public so informed and outraged can be stirred up to compel politicians to investigate judges and their judiciaries, compensate abusees, and reform the system of justice through transformative change, i.e., the system that goes into change comes out as a qualitatively and quantitatively different entity. Reformative measures that today seem inconceivable, after the outrageous findings will become unavoidable.
10. *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, will be enabled to exercise our right to hold judges accountable for the their wielding of the public power entrusted to them and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

C. Your and many readers' stories of abuse will reveal patterns of abuse

11. This is your opportunity to turn your enormous effort in filing pro se or becoming a lawyer into the foundation on which you build yourself as a teacher and a leader. The first step is for you to write your own story of abuse by judges. Since there are millions of abusees who want to tell their stories too, you must limit your story to 500 words. Even if you have never done so, relax, you are not being asked to write a masterpiece. Rather, you are being give a **two-phase method** for writing a story consisting of accurate, significant, and verifiable facts.
12. Your story should contribute to informing and outraging the national public. But more importantly, it and the stories of many other abusees should allow **journalists and media outlets** and **students and professors** to detect what is most persuasive than any single story: patterns of judges' abuse of power. Only those patterns can demonstrate that the abuse that was inflicted on any one abusee was not an isolated instance of an otherwise fair and competent judge or even a single rogue judge.
13. Instead, patterns of abuse show that the judiciary itself is a rogue institution: It has turned abuse into its judges' means of doing business through the complicit coordination of judges' among themselves and between them and their cronies. The judiciary has become a **racketeering enterprise**. Informing the national public of those patterns can cause it to be *outraged!* and force politicians to investigate judges, compensate abusees, and undertake transformative reform.

1. Writing a fact-providing story, not a law-arguing brief

14. Abstain from making legal arguments in your story. Any claim that the judge(s) in your case acted unconstitutionally and violated due process is meaningless, for that is merely a conclusion. It can easily be dismissed as 'the whining of a disgruntled loser'.
15. To arrive at a conclusion in a lawyerly fashion you would have to present its legal basis with citations to cases:
 - a. establishing the applicable constitutional and due process requirements;

- b. holding conduct similar to that of your judges to be a violation of those requirements;
 - c. showing that there is a causal link between such violation and the injury in fact that you suffered, which injury must be unlawful –the adverse consequences that you suffer for not paying your bills are not in themselves unlawful simply because they injure you–; and
 - d. stating that such unlawful injury entitles you to relief that the court can grant and that you requested.
16. Such legal argument and supporting citations take far more than 500 words to set forth.
17. A conclusion, e.g., ‘Thiss wrong! cause its totally unconstitutional and a violation of due process thats completely rico’, without a legal basis, even if voiced by a lawyer, never mind a pro se, is nothing more than a personal opinion, a ‘cry of the heart’. It is entitled to no credibility. It is pretentious to demand that it be accepted because ‘I say so’ and relied upon for granting one party relief and imposing on the other a burden. It betrays ignorance of how to ‘craft a legal argument’.
18. In addition, a legal argument can always be challenged by a counter argument. A judge and opposing counsel, by training and experience, can provide more numerous and pertinent citations to cases as ‘authority for the proposition that X is Y’. The judge’s power will turn such proposition into the law of the case: ‘That’s the end of it...and if you don’t like it, appeal!’
19. The alternative is shown in the [two-phase method](#) for writing your story of judges’ abuse. It teaches you how to concentrate your up to 500 words on providing facts. The latter must consist of accurate names of people, entities, places, events, dates and times, other amounts and metrics, titles and/or docket numbers of relevant documents, etc. That kind of information is significant in that it can establish that your story occurred as you say it did and what its nature is. It is verifiable information. It can be searched in many other stories. When it appears in several stories written independently by separate writers, it allows for the detection of patterns of abuse.
20. By working hard to apply [the two-phase method](#) to write your own story you will gain valuable knowledge and experience regarding its handling in practice. They will prepare you to teach the method to others so that they too write accurate, significant, and verifiable stories.
21. Each of you should send your respective story, not to any judge or court, but rather to people in a position to let you tell it to the national public infra, [Appendix 7](#). This will create the circumstances where the public, informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse of power, will pressure politicians into protecting themselves by appearing to care about the abused, the public, by investigating its abusers, the judges. People eminently in that position are the following
- a. the members of the Biden Commission for reforming the Supreme Court; and
 - b. journalists, media outlets, and journalism, law, business, and Information Technology students and professors, who can [join](#) in holding the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).

D. My one-hour presentation on the two-phase method for writing your story

22. I realize that many people cannot afford attorney’s fees. But you can appreciate that by earning those fees is how attorneys earn money to pay their student loans, office and residential rent or mortgage, research materials, Internet and phone connections, food, transportation, utilities, etc.
23. In my case, paying those bills is only rendered more difficult by the enormous amount of effort, time, and money that I invest in the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking needed to produce my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting * † ♣**

24. In addition, I have posted many of my articles(cf. click [Appendix 6§A](#) and scroll down to it) to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which is accessible for free. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **40,150+** have become subscribers to the site as of November 5, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
 - a. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - b. You can for free join the subscribers thus: go to my [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.
25. I do offer substantial public service free of charge for the benefit of the public at large. Nevertheless, from individuals and for their private benefit, I receive requests by email, mail, and phone for pro bono legal assistance as well as documents that I am supposed to read pro bono in order to be prepared to knowledgeably discuss them bono with the requester whenever he or she chooses to contact me again. That is so unreasonable, selfish, and unbusiness-like!
26. Far from it, you can take the lead in forming a group of up to 15 people from whom you collect my fee of \$550 payable in advance for a one-hour presentation on how to use [the two-phase method](#) to write their personal story, made via video conference, e.g., Zoom, at 11:00 a.m. EST on a Sunday that you propose. Use my contact and bank information below to let me know and pay.
27. Requiring people to pay ensures that ‘they have skin in the game’. Otherwise, the saying applies: “What is received for free and can be dropped at no cost is not appreciated”...and I am unceremoniously left standing alone out there on the sidewalk holding like a fool the bag of uncompensated bills for my preparation, expenses, and time. It is not reasonable to expect that I run that risk.
28. By contrast, for your benefit and that of others you can use your experience as one who has suffered or witnessed judges’ abuse. For instance, there are well over 2 million people incarcerated at any one time, to whom must be added all those under parole and those discharged. You can submit this article for publication in a prison paper. The same holds substantially true in the context of victims of unlawful foreclosures, evictions, guardianships, bankruptcies, and similar classes of people.
29. To form a presentation group you can share this article with all your friends, relatives, peers, etc. You can also post it to social media such as the following so that it may go viral:
Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus,
Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp, Twitter
30. Send this tweet: Tell your story of judges’ abuse of power & ask for compensation at UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS; demand that the Biden Commission on SCt reform hear you; invite your audience, *the People*; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
31. Seize this opportunity to become a leader. Teach people how to make their stories count as sources of patterns of judges' abuse of power. Thereby contribute to the formation of a national movement of people who do likewise. Lead them to inform and outrage the national public, the only entity strong enough to hold judges accountable and liable to compensation, and transform the system of justice. If you use your experience and hard work to become a teacher and a leader, you can be nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as a Champion of Justice. Time is of [the essence!](#)

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

October 10, 2021

Proposal to top media outlets and a VIP that have exposed judges' abuse of power, their self-enrichment, and tax havens, –The Wall Street Journal, Thomson Reuters, The Boston Globe, Sen. Elizabeth Warren, and the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists– to combine their expertise and means to investigate judges' financial criminality and to that end hold UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS where abusees provide investigative leads as they tell the national public their stories, and together with lawyers and their clients harmed by judges who failed to recuse themselves due to their conflict of interests collectively demand compensation from judges and their judiciaries by turning against them their own Catholic Church pedophilic jurisprudence[‡]

A. Proposal for a joint investigation into judges' conduct and its potential for transformative change

1. This is a proposal for top media outlets and a VIP that have dare expose tax havens and judges' abuse of power and self-enrichment – the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), The Wall Street Journal (WSJ), Thomson Reuters, Boston Globe, and U.S. Senator Elizabeth Warren– to combine their expertise and means to:
 - a. investigate judges' financial criminality consisting, among other things, in their failure to recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest, conceal assets, evade taxes, and launder money;
 - b. ask abusees for copies of the complaints that they have filed and may file against judges, which abusees can freely share and publish as an exercise of their and the rest of *We the People's* most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment to the [Constitution](#) of “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [in person, by email, mail, and on social media], and to petition the Government [of which judges constitute the Third Branch] for a redress of grievances [as by paying abusees compensation]”
 - c. hold unprecedented [citizens hearings](#) together with students([OL:115](#)) and professors at journalism, law, business, and Information Technology schools, so that abusees may tell their stories of [judges' abuse of power](#) to the national public at media stations, university auditoriums, and everywhere else via video conference, thereby providing investigative leads; making it possible to analyze their independent stories for [pattern evidence](#); and [informing and outraging the People](#), the only entity strong enough thanks to its voting power to compel politicians in, or running for, office to officially investigate, or promise to investigate, judges;
 - d. facilitate the joining of abusees and lawyers and their clients harmed by judges who hid their financial interest in their cases in collectively demanding compensation from judges and their judiciaries by invoking, among other grounds, their own Catholic Church pedophilic jurisprudence: ‘too big to fail to know’, too many pedophilic priests and acts for the Church not to know them.
 - 1) A principal is liable for the acts of its agent, whether it had actual knowledge of the agent's malfeasance or it has imputed knowledge because if it had proceeded with due diligence to discharge its duty to control its agent it would have known;

- 2) The judges' decisions have caused the Church to pay more than \$2 billion to the victims of priests and of its cover-up of their crimes. As a result of "lookback" laws adopted in at least 15 states to lift or extend the statute of limitations for victims to sue, some 5,000 new cases could force the Church to compensate the victims with more than \$4 billion.
 - 3) As the Catholic Church so the Federal Judiciary: A corollary of the equal protection clause is "Nobody is above the law". So everybody is subject to the same punishment for committing acts that require others to be protected.
- e. appeal to the members of the Biden Commission for reforming the Supreme Court (see their bloc of email addresses below) and politicians likely to participate in the primaries for the mid-term elections to demand the reform of all the courts of the Federal Judiciary, the model for its state counterparts.
- 1) Current judges and former judges who now are Supreme Court justices abusively self-ensure their unaccountability –they **dismiss 100% of complaints** filed against, and by law(28 U.S.C. §351) necessarily with, them; and **deny 100% of petitions to review** their dismissals–; and foster it through pervasive secrecy –they hold all their adjudicative, policy-making, administrative, and disciplinary meetings behind closed doors–.
 - 2) Thanks to this self and 'unequal protection *from* the law', judges risklessly coordinate their abuse of power and criminal acts to the point of turning them into their **institutionalized** modus operandi, and their Judiciary into a safe-haven for abusers.
 - 3) I have **proposed** that the commissioners and politicians make the exposure of judges' abuse the key issue of their report and electoral campaign, respectively.
- f. promote what 34 states have called for since April 2, 2014, thus meeting the requirements of Article V for amending the **Constitution**: a constitutional convention. That call the congressional and party leadership will never heed because it threatens its privileges and power. However, such convention, especially a runaway one overtaken by *the People*, will make it possible to cast aside a 232-year old Constitution written by the long since dead hand of only landed, educated, white men. They will replace it with a new constitution addressing the needs of today's *People* of both genders and all races, ethnicity, educational levels, sexual orientation, wealth, and power.
2. Hence, this is a proposal for the top media outlets and the VIP that have dare expose judges and tax havens to rehabilitate themselves by shaking off the label "the enemy of the people" pasted on them and the rest of the media. They can set in motion a process that while benefiting them commercially because "scandal sells", turns them into the engine that drives transformative change in American politics and society. That will be the most practically meaningful, long-term, and historic accomplishment of theirs and the other outlets of the media. For their feat, they will become nationally recognized as the Champions of *the People*.

B. A proposed plan of investigation

3. During my research and writing on judges and their judiciaries, I have gathered many investigative leads. I have arranged them in a concrete, feasible, two-pronged plan of investigation: *Follow the money!* and *Follow the wire!*(OL:194§E) I propose that we jointly implement it on the strength of the expertise gained by the media that have investigated judges and tax heavens.

1. Financial disclosure report

4. Federal judges are required under the [Ethics in Government Act](#) of 1978(jur:65^{107d}), to file mandatory annual [financial disclosure reports](#)(id. jur:102§a and 105^{213b}).
5. They need not worry about the accuracy and completeness of their reports, for they do not file them with an independent body of accounting and investing professionals. Rather, they are filed with other fellow judges who are members for three years of the Committee for Financial Disclosure of the [Judicial Conference](#) of the U.S. The latter is presided over by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who appoints all committee members, and includes all the chief circuit judges, and representative district, bankruptcy, and magistrate judges.
6. The Committee meets, of course, behind closed doors. Its members are also subject to the same duty to file their own financial disclosure reports. Hence, they have every interest in being as indulgent with the filers as they want the filers and their friends to be eventually with them when they become Committee members. As a result, these reports are risklessly drawn up and filed pro forma, containing incomplete and misleading material information.
7. They are easy to come by now that [JudicialWatch.org](#) downloads them in bulk from the [Administrative Office](#) of the U.S. Courts and makes them available for free. Their analysis can provide significant investigative leads.

2. The FBI reports on vetting judicial candidates

8. Candidates for judicial office are vetted by the FBI. Wielding its subpoena, contempt, and indictment powers, it can obtain a candidate's bank account statements, property reports, and other financial information that is not public. The media lack all those powers.
9. The FBI judicial vetting reports are for the eyes of the president as he reviews candidates and chooses whom to nominate; and his staff and senators who are "shepherding" them through the confirmation process.
10. Nothing would put to the test President Biden's claim that he runs a transparent administration, wants in good faith to reform the Supreme Court, and condemns tax heavens than for the media to publicly demand that he authorize the release of all FBI vetting reports on all judges and justices.

3. A constitutional crisis resulting from investigating judges

11. In the wake of the Pandora Papers, being in favor of releasing those reports, never mind doing so, will amount to the presidency and Congress investigating judges and their Judiciary for financial crimes, e.g., running a [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#) as a principal source of illegal funds.
12. The Attorney General leading the Department of Justice and its FBI is [former Chief Judge Merrick Garland](#) of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Will he dare investigate his [former peers](#) at the risk of being incriminated by the investigation and even by them as they trade up in plea bargaining: 'I'll give you a bigger fish, the AG, if you show leniency to me'? Will he be forced to recuse himself because he cannot be loyal to DoJ and those peers at the same time?
13. A constitutional crisis can ensue that reveals the [connivance](#) between appointing-politicians and 'their appointed men and women on the bench' as well as the devastating power of retaliation that judges can wield to force politicians to hold them unaccountable; e.g., declaring unconstitutional one law after another until their whole political agenda on which they were elected is doomed.

4. Federal judges' means of engaging in financial crimes

14. Federal judges have the expertise and control needed to run one of the largest digital networks in the country. It handles the filing, retrieval, and storage of hundreds of millions of briefs, records, applications, dockets, docket entries, calendars, orders, decisions, etc. Do judges 'embezzle' the service of their network to transfer illicit funds between their origin, tax havens, and money laundering investments?
15. Also, they wield the power to approve or deny the intelligence agencies' secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA; [50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c](#)). They approve 100% of those requests([OL:5fn7](#))...as part of a quid pro quo?
16. The *Follow the money!* and *Follow the wire!* investigations should answer these questions. Their findings can lead to not only the imprisonment of "All the President's Men" and the resignation of the President, that is, of P. R. Nixon on August 8, 1974, as a result of the generalized media investigation into the political espionage that motivated the break-in into the Democratic National Committee and provoked the Watergate Scandal. Rather, it can lead to resignation of one, several, and all the justices and judges of a judiciary upon being exposed as principals or accessories in the commission and cover up of financial crimes in the coordinated way of a [racketeering enterprise](#).

C. A proposal for the publication of articles

17. I have posted many of my articles([click](#) and scroll down to [Appendix 6§A](#)) to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and the latter have reacted to them so positively that **39,874+** have become subscribers to the site as of October 12, 2021([Appendix 3](#)).
 - a. How many law firms, never mind lawyers, have a website with so many subscribers?
 - b. You can for free join the subscribers thus: go to my [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or + [New](#) or [Users](#) >[Add New](#).
18. These articles are based on my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. They are all the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable for free thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting* †*

* Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-1360+

 - 1) To open and navigate through those volumes, download [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#).
19. Given the proven readership attraction of my articles and the solid study that provides their basis, I propose the publication of one or a series of the articles that I have already written, so they are available for review, as well as others that I can write on commission(cf. see a list of possible subjects at [Appendix 6§B](#)). This article is an example of their tenor, format, and quality.
20. I draw particular attention to two articles because they would outrage a substantial segment of the national public at judges' abuse of power and accomplish what is indispensable for becoming a memorable publication: They would cause people to take action in their own interest as they self-assertively shout the rallying cry so expressive of their *MeToo!*, *BLM*, and anti-police brutality mood and their demand for socio-economic equality: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse*

by anybody anymore...*not even from judges!*

1. Judges' failure to read most briefs

- a. The [math of abuse](#) analyzes the ratio of the number of cases, motions, and applications per panel of a court and shows that judges do not read the overwhelming majority of briefs; this math is implicitly verified by the [official statistics\(OL2:457§D\)](#) of the Federal Judiciary.
 - 1) Yet, judges keep requiring them although they know that they will have their clerks dispose of them by rubberstamping a 5¢ out-of-court dumping form where the only operative word is “denied”, if it concerns a substantive as opposed to a clerical motion, or “affirmed”, if it concerns an appeal.
 - 2) That is how clerks, who have no judicial power and cannot receive it by delegation, are used to maintain the status quo.
 - 3) Upon parties learning that the judges made them waste \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to research, write, print, bind, serve, and file briefs that the judges knew they would not read, the parties will be outraged! They will want to be informed by us how they can join in collectively demanding compensation.

2. Judges' interception of people's communications

- a. My statistical analysis shows that judges [intercept](#) people’s email and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. What an outrageous deprivation of their First Amendment rights! Such interception is illegal under [Title 18](#) of the U.S. Code section 2511 ([18 U.S.C. §2511](#)). Victims can likewise demand compensatory and punitive damages as well as an official investigation of judges’ means of interception.

D. A proposal for commercially developing a website with 39,874+ subscribers

21. The readers of my articles and the subscribers to my website, whose number is approaching 40,000, can be treated as the initial client base of a website developed from a free informational platform into a for-profit service center. These subscribers are highly educated and well-off people willing to read the kind of intellectually demanding long form articles published by *The Wall Street Journal*, Reuters, The Boston Globe, ICIJ, and the likes of *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, etc.
22. These readers and subscribers can be reasonably expected to be willing and able to pay for a host of services such as:
 - a. obtaining legal advice on being compensated for the harm that judges and their Judiciary have caused them;
 - b. forming, or joining others in, a local chapter of victims of the same judge or the same court so that they can collectively demand such compensation;
 - c. applying the [two-phase method](#) for writing in up to 500 words their story so that it contains the kind of facts and data that can be used as leads for further investigation by journalists and for auditing many independently submitted stories in search of patterns of judges' coordinated abuse of power as opposed to the conduct of separate rogue judges.
23. Many other services that can be offered to visitors to, and clients of, the website are described in my [business plan](#); e.g., a [clearinghouse](#) for complaints against judges; and a [research](#) center for

auditing judges' writings using [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis.

24. As an example of the kind of valuable information that visitors and clients can receive at a further developed website, there follows the blocs of the email address of the members of the Biden Commission and of journalists to whom those who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse of power and/or financial criminality can send their stories by placing those blocs of email in the **To:** and the **cc:** boxes, respectively, of their email containing their story:

To: [commissioners]

cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu,
madams@yu.edu, charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu,
wdellinger@omm.com, ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu,
rfallon@law.harvard.edu, heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu,
jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu, tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu,
bhuang@law.columbia.edu, mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu,
lacroix@uchicago.edu, lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pcscotus.gov,
trevor.morrison@nyu.edu, cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu,
mramsey@SanDiego.edu, krooseve@law.upenn.edu, bross@law.virginia.edu, d-strauss@uchicago.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu,
kewhitt@princeton.edu, michael.waldman@nyu.edu,
caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

cc: [journalists and academics]

James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com,
john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, aturturro@alm.com,
contact@go.reuters.com, marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, “Todd
Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, patricia.wen@globe.com, “Brian McGrory
Editor” <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, “Veterans Today Senior
Editor Gordon Duff” <gpduff@aol.com>, “Veterans Today Managing Editor Jim W Dean”
<jimwdean@aol.com>, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
drew@americanthinker.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com,
ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org,
Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com,
Evan.Allen@globe.com, info@AP.org, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu,
Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com, oped@nytimes.com, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com,

E. Articles of the top media outlets and the VIP supporting the proposal

25. The following articles are the most recent ones on which this proposal is founded:
26. *The Wall Street Journal* published on September 28, 2021, its article “[131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest](#)”; by James V. [Grimaldi](mailto:James.Grimaldi@wsj.com), James.Grimaldi@wsj.com; Joe [Palazzolo](mailto:Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com), Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter [Jones](mailto:Coulter.Jones@wsj.com), Coulter.Jones@wsj.com; Mr. Jones can be reached at 212-416-3778.
27. Thomson Reuters, a worldwide news organization with more than 2,500 reporters and over 600 photojournalists, investigated judges of the state judiciaries. In its three-part report “[The Teflon Robe](#)”, the first of which appeared on June 30, 2020, it described its finding of “hardwired judicial corruption”: corruption that is so intertwined among judges and between them and the commissions for overseeing their performance as to constitute part of their institutionalized modus operandi. This is a most opportune time for Reuters to heed the [proposal](#) that I made to it to extend its investigation to unaccountable *federal* judges and their consequent riskless abuse of power.
28. *The Boston Globe*, the main newspaper in Massachusetts and a reputable one, published on September 30, 2018, its report “[Inside our secret courts](#)”, in whose “private criminal hearings [conducted even by clerks with no law degree] , who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.
29. Senator Elizabeth Warren [dare](#) denounce during her presidential campaign in her “[I have a plan for the Judiciary too](#)”, the systematic failure of federal judges to recuse themselves from cases in which they hold a financial interest in the company of one of the parties before them. They resolve the ensuing conflict of interests by favoring that party so as to maintain or increase the value of their interest. Sen. Warren identified the circumstance enabling federal judges to commit such abusive self-enrichment to be their unaccountability. I proposed to her a [plan of investigation](#).
30. The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), in Washington, D.C., published on October 3, 2021, the Pandora Papers, that is, close to 12 million financial documents leaked to it. “MORE THAN 600 REPORTERS AROUND THE GLOBE WORK WITH ICIJ ON THE MOST EXPANSIVE LEAK OF TAX HAVEN FILES IN HISTORY”. These documents are connected to “the 14 offshore firms at the heart of the Pandora Papers investigation”. ICIJ describes its work thus:
 - a. “The largest investigation in journalism history exposes a shadow financial system that benefits the world’s most rich and powerful. Offshore havens and hidden riches of world leaders and billionaires exposed in unprecedented leak. The [Pandora Papers](#) reveal the inner workings of a shadow economy that benefits the wealthy and well-connected at the expense of everyone else”.
 - b. In April 2013, ICIJ published its “Offshore Leaks” report involving over 130,000 accounts held in offshore tax havens. I made a [proposal](#) to it for a joint investigation to determine whether judges were concealing ill-gotten assets in such type of offshore accounts.
31. Some of the above articles are collected in the [financially conflicted judges](#) file.

F. My offer to present this proposal

32. I offer to make a presentation of this proposal via video conference and, if it is in New York City, in person. To schedule it and discuss its terms, use my contact information in the letterhead above.
33. You may preview my presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#) in pdf.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

October 14, 2021

**Exposing the ramifications of the Federal Judiciary's system of
abuse of power and financial criminality that
has turned it into a racketeering enterprise[‡]**

Gerard Ryle, Director and
Fergus Shiel, Managing Editor
International Consortium of Investigative Journalists
gryle@icij.org, fshiel@icij.org, investigations@icij.org, insiders@icij.org;

James V. Grimaldi, Coulter Jones, and Joe Palazzolo, Reporters
The Wall Street Journal
James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com;

Sen. Elizabeth Warren
info@elizabethwarren.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov;

and other top media outlets

Dear Messrs. Ryle and Shiel,

1. In your article "A look behind the scenes of Pandora Papers" you, Mr. Shiel, highlighted that you wanted to share "what it is like to create **powerful investigative journalism with global ramifications...and expose the entire system and confront it head-on**" (emphasis by you).
2. In the article below, I am proposing that you investigate the "ramifications" that the system of tax havens has developed into, generally, our "entire system" of justice, and particularly, the Federal Judiciary, where judges are appointed for life, are in effect unimpeachable and irremovable (see *infra*), and consequently engage risklessly in **abuse of power** and financial criminality.
3. Indeed, *Money!* is the force that drives federal judges to breach their oath of office and disregard their duty under law. That is what *The Wall Street Journal* exposed in its article "**131 Federal Judges Broke the Law** by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest", first published on September 28, 2021, only 5 days before you published your Pandora Papers.
4. That had been done much earlier by Senator Elizabeth Warren when she was a presidential candidate. In her "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too", she was the first and still remains the only politician who **dare denounce** federal judges' widespread practice of not recusing themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest in one of the parties so as to ensure what she called their "self-enrichment". Sen. Warren has explained that federal judges engage in such conduct in reliance on their unaccountability.
5. The proposed investigation will be set in motion by ICIJ, WSJ, Sen. Warren, and for the reasons shown below **Reuters** and **The Boston Globe**, and me. It will apply this pithily expressed principle: Too big to fail to know. The abuse and criminality is so 'big', for it **pervades** the Federal Judiciary, that **Chief Justice** John G. Roberts, Jr., could not fail to know. This suffices to impute knowledge to him.

6. By contrast, Attorney General [Merrick Garland](#) had actual knowledge: While he was for seven years the chief judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, he dismissed 100% of complaints against his peers and colleagues, which under law had to be filed with the respective circuit chief judge. In addition, he participated in the reasonless, rubberstamped denial of 100% of petitions to review such dismissals. So did two other members of that Court, namely, Then-Judges Roberts and [Brett Kavanaugh](#).
7. It follows that the joint investigation will "confront [federal judges] head-on" with the evidence of their abuse and criminality that is not the inadvertent mistake of individual honest judges or even the intentional malfeasance of individual rogue judges. Rather, it is wrongful conduct so coordinated among judges, whether acting as principals or as accessories who cover up for them through their acts and passive complicity, that it has become the modus operandi of their institutional system. The investigation will expose how federal judges rely on their unaccountability to run their Judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
8. The "ramifications" of this investigation will not only grip the attention of your readers and the rest of the national public. It will also operate a historic stranglehold that will squeeze out of office something much more substantial than did the generalized media investigation that caused President Nixon to resign and sent 'All his Men' to prison as a result of the Watergate scandal. It will lead to cascading resignations of [justices](#), judges, and courts until a whole branch of government, "**confronted head-on**" with its institutionalized abuse of power and financial criminality, is brought down.
9. Just as I offer hereunder other articles for publication and my plan of investigation based on the abundance of leads that I have gathered, I offer to write an article that describes in detail the "ramifications" of the Pandora Papers and WSJ article for lawyers and their clients. It will be centered on two issues that never fail to draw intense interest: increased legal business and compensation! This will provide the basis for the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).

A. My offer to present this proposal

10. I offer to make a presentation of this proposal via video conference and, if it is in New York City, in person. To schedule it and discuss its terms, use my contact information in the letterhead above.
11. You may preview my presentation by watching my [video](#) and following it on its [slides](#) in pdf.

B. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

12. **DONATE** to support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of

Judicial Discipline Reform

You may make a deposit or an online transfer to
Citi Bank, routing number 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001

through Zelle

through *Paypal*

https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_s-xclick&hosted_button_id=HBFP5252TB5YJ

or by mailing a check to the address below.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

October 23, 2021

Whining as a pro se
v.
writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power and
sending it in for a chance to tell it to the national public at
unprecedented citizens hearings[‡]

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your emails.

A. Gross mistakes that give you away as a pro se, i.e., a party without a lawyer in court

1. Where have you ever seen a case in court in which something is so "evident" that no opposing counsel can make a persuasive argument to the contrary and the judge "must" accept the proponent party's conclusion, which that party has not even bothered to argue by presenting factual and legal reasons for it?
2. In the same vein, if you write a motion but do not include the only section that matters, namely, "Relief Requested", the court is not requested to do anything. Much worse yet, the opposing party need not do anything either, not even answer your motion, for you have not given notice of what is at stake in the motion and what it risks by failing to file an answer. Your motion does not require judicial action. There is no decision that can be taken by default. You have merely wasted your effort, time, and money. In addition, you have deluded yourself by thinking that you are a good party who asks "nicely". You are nothing but another pro se who has filed yet another whining anecdote!
3. Pro ses hardly ever read anything: seldom do they cite or quote any source of law to support their claims. Thus, they lack the breadth and depth of knowledge of the law and the courts to compare their case to anything anywhere near a representative sample of the scores of millions of cases already decided or still pending before unaccountable judges. In reliance on their unaccountability, judges abuse their power risklessly and systematically for their gain and convenience: Abuse is their means of doing business. That is why there are so many abuse cases; too many for a pro se to arrogate to him/herself the hollow title and wear it as a badge of honor 'I'm the victim of the most abusive case ever!'

B. When you go to court, you always pay a price, one way or another

4. You cannot improvise yourself as a lawyer. You can certainly not do so without reading, and rereading, and reading again many materials until you understand how the law works as a complex system of interconnected rules. You cannot slap the label "unconstitutional" on anything that harms you.
5. When people go to court self-represented, they get the quality of representation that they paid for with their \$0.00. Their whining anecdotes are cheap and easy. But they get them nowhere. *Tough love!*
6. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER...and it costs a lot to gain it and to put it to work for clients. To do so it takes a lot of TIME which IS MONEY. Hence, if you want a *chance*, however slim, of winning your case at least to some extent, then you either make the enormous effort that it took people to read a very large amount of materials for three years at law school so as to gain the knowledge necessary to become lawyers or you pay lawyers their fees and expenses so that they may represent you in court and in negotiations with the opposing party.
7. My attorney's fee is \$350 per hour, which is deducted together with all necessary and incidental expenses from a retainer paid in advance and whose amount is fixed based on the work agreed upon. Dis-

cussing with me your personal, local case benefits only you, not the national public. Therefore, it is consulting and attracts my attorney's fee. It is not realistic or reasonable to expect that I should provide consultation and representation for free to everybody who contacts me by email, mail, and phone.

C. Turning your anecdote into a story useful to you and others, including investigators

8. You can turn your anecdote into a story that is accurate, significant, and verifiable by applying the [two-phase method](#). You will learn how to write in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed. Yet, you will be able to include among those words the data necessary for investigators to [audit](#) your story together with many other stories to detect what is the most convincing type of incriminating evidence: [patterns of abuse of power](#) and [schemes of financial criminality](#).
9. The [article](#) describing that method provides two blocs of very pertinent email addresses to which you can send your story for a chance to tell it to the national public. They are the addresses of:
 - a. the members of the [Biden Commission](#) for the reform of the Supreme Court; and
 - b. top media outlets and VIP people that have investigated federal and state judges already or that can follow the daring pioneers in [publishing their findings](#) of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality; e.g.:
 - 1) *The Wall Street Journal*; 2) The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists; 3) *Thomson Reuters*; 4) *The Boston Globe*; 5) Sen. Elizabeth Warren
10. After you have written your story, you can send it together with this article to them by placing the following blocs of email addresses in the **To:** and the **cc:** boxes, respectively, of your email:

To: [commissioners of the Biden Commission]

cristina.rodriquez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu,
madams@yu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, charles@law.duke.edu,
acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com, ecb95@law.rutgers.edu,
justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu, heather.k.gerken@yale.edu,
ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu, tgriffith@law.harvard.edu,
tgrove@law.ua.edu, michael.waldman@nyu.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, bross@law.virginia.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
levi@law.duke.edu, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu, cnelson@law.virginia.edu,
rick.pildes@nyu.edu, krooseve@law.upenn.edu, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
mramsey@SanDiego.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu,
kewhitt@princeton.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com,

cc: [top media outlets and journalists]

James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com,
contact@icij.org, gryle@icij.org, fshiel@icij.org, investigations@icij.org,
insiders@icij.org, info@elizabethwarren.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, oped@nytimes.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com,

contact@go.reuters.com, marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, “Todd Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com, “Brian McGrory editor” <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, “Veterans Today senior editor Gordon Duff” <gpduf@aol.com>, “Veterans Today managing editor Jim W Dean” <jimwdean@aol.com>, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, MCoyle@alm.com, watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com, ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org, info@elizabethwarren.com, Evan.Allen@globe.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov, ginger.thompson@propublica.org, inytletters@nytimes.com, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, communication@lexisnexis.com, info@mail.huffpost.com, aturturro@alm.com, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com, Opencourt@cnn.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com, info@AP.org, NTotenberg@npr.org, Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, letters@nytimes.com,

D. A website with 40,018+ subscribers, its articles, and a study that promote the telling of your stories at unprecedented citizens hearing

11. You may also find the article describing the [two-phase method](#) for writing your story on the website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That and similar articles have attracted so many webvisitors that 40,018 have become subscribers as of October 28, 2021. You too can subscribe for free to its articles. Go to that [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or +New or Users >Add New.
12. The articles are based on my three-volume [study](#) of judges and their judiciaries. It is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

[Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ‡](#)

13. To afford people a chance to tell the national public their stories of abuse by judges, the articles, the website, and the study promote the holding of [unprecedented citizens hearing](#).
14. These hearings are to be organized by media outlets and students and professors; and held at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conference so that the largest number of people can tell their stories inexpensively wherever they are. Their many stories will provide investigators and everybody else enough information to detect patterns of abuse and schemes of financial criminality.
15. Your stories will inform and outrage the national public. So much so that the public will [join forces](#) to [expose](#) judges; and demand that politicians in office as well as those running for it in the next primaries and mid-term elections officially investigate and expose judges.
16. The outraged public will also demand effective results: that *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, be able to exercise our right to hold judicial public servants accountable for the public power entrusted to them, and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. Abusees' [collective demand for compensation](#) by judges and their judiciaries will seek to bring Judges Above the Law and the judiciaries that they run as [racketeering enterprises](#) down to where they, like doctors and hospitals, police and their departments, priests and their churches, etc., are subjected to Equal Justice Under Law.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

October 28, 2021

**Proposal for a webinar
on the implications for lawyers, their clients, and pro ses
of recent exposure by top media of
judges' abuse of power and concealment of financial interests:
collective demand for compensation by applying
judges' own decisions on Catholic Church pedophilia liability and
the principle "[dishonesty] Too big [for the judiciaries] to fail to know";
and
the expansion by Continued Legal Education companies of
their business by promoting the holding of
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS[‡]**

A. Proposal for a webinar on compensation for judges' harmful conduct

1. The first part of this webinar deals with the exposure by top media outlets and a VIP of judges' **abuse of power** and concealed financial interests in cases before them and how lawyers, their clients, and pro ses can collectively demand compensation by applying the judges' own decisions on Catholic Church pedophilia liability; and a principle with a very familiar ring to it: "[dishonesty] **Too big** [for the judiciaries] **to fail to know**"; while Continued Legal Education companies (hereinafter CLEs) can expand their business by facilitating such demand.
2. Indeed, *The Wall Street Journal*, in its article "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest", published initially on September 28, 2021, and subsequently updated with judges' reactions to it, wrote thus:

[Federal] judges failed to recuse themselves from 685 lawsuits from 2010 to 2018 involving firms in which they or their family held shares, a *Wall Street Journal* investigation found...Alerted to the violations by the *Journal*, 56 of the judges have directed court clerks to notify parties in 329 lawsuits that they should have recused themselves. That means new judges might be assigned, potentially upending rulings.
3. One need not be a lawyer to be immediately assaulted by questions like these:
 - a. Who is going to pay for the new trial?
 - b. Who is going to bear the enormous cost during an uncharted process of disentangling the contractual relations based on decisions now tainted with judges' bias toward their own financial interests regardless of whether the decisions are formally voided?
 - c.i. The judges submitted their mandatory annual financial disclosure reports under the Ethics in Government Act(5 U.S.C. §101(f)(11) et seq.) to the Committee on Financial Disclosure of the Judicial Conference of the U.S. That Conference is presided over by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who appoints the Committee members, all of whom are also federal judges. It includes all the chief judges of the 13 circuits as well as representative district, bankruptcy, and magistrate judges.(28 U.S.C. §331-332)
 - c.ii. Hence, the Federal Judiciary has been in a position and has had the duty of due diligence to ascertain that there was no conflict of financial interests requiring judges' recusal from cases as to which the Judiciary had all public documents and even confidential ones, as well as total control over its procedure.

- c.iii. It follows that the Judiciary has had actual and imputed knowledge of the judges' illegal conduct. The practice of concealing financial interests is so widespread and involves such pitcher-catcher coordination between judges and a complicit Committee of peers, colleagues, and friends as to render applicable the principle "[dishonesty] **Too big** [for the Judiciary] **to fail to know**". Thereby liability has become institutionalized, attaching to the Federal Judiciary itself, which covered up for its judges, just as it was institutionalized when judges held the Catholic Church liable for the crimes of pedophilic priests and its cover-up thereof.
- c.iv. How can harmed lawyers, clients, and pro se hold the Federal Judiciary liable for compensatory and even punitive damages when the Judiciary is the judge in every case against it? The Judiciary is incriminated by its record of self-discipline dishonesty: Chief circuit judges abuse their power to **dismiss 100% of complaints** filed against judges in their respective circuit, and as do the circuits' judicial councils, which **deny 100% of the petitions to review** those dismissals.
- c.v. The prosecution of collective demands for compensation can give rise to a niche practice. Lawyers will soon invoke the equal protection clause to require that any applicable statute of limitations be pushed back or lifted just as "lookback" laws have done with those statutes that would have barred claims against pedophilic priests and the Catholic Church.
- c.vi. The consequences of such demands need not be pecuniary only. Discovery and further journalistic exposures can lead to such public outrage and loss of trust as to make inevitable the resignation of judges, justices, whole courts, and even bring down, not only the head of a branch and "*All the President's Men*", but rather a government branch itself.
- c.vii. Such imaginative and courageous prosecution requires lawyers and students made of the 'stuff' of which nationally recognized and historic Champions of Justice are made. It can be set in motion by CLEs, unless they want to cede the opportunity to media outlets

1. Webinar's foundation provided by top media outlets and a study

- 4. This webinar has a solid foundation in the recent articles and reports that, as discussed in [my article related hereto](#), have been published by:
 1. *The Wall Street Journal*
 2. Thomson Reuters
 3. *The Boston Globe*
 4. International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, headquartered in D.C, with the participation of *The Washington Post*
 5. Senator Elizabeth Warren
- 5. In addition, the webinar is supported by my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, all the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability and abuse reporting * † *

* Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-1386+

B. Proposal for CLEs to expand their business and transformatively change the system of justice

1. Expanding to the 40,014+ subscribers to a site, and students and schools

6. I have posted my related article and many others to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors that 40,014+ have become subscribers as of October 28, 2021(see the article's [Appendix 3](#)).
7. These subscribers are highly educated and well-off people, the kind that read articles in long form appearing in preeminent publications such as *The New York Times Sunday Edition*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, *The Atlantic*, TIME, etc. They have the potential for becoming the CLEs' new non-lawyer client base.
8. CLEs can also expand their client base to another constituency that if attracted early on in their careers can remain with the CLEs for a very long time, namely, [students](#). They are driven by idealism and need to prove themselves to prospective employers. They will be presented with a proposal for learning and providing –while in school and thereafter– the legal, journalistic, business, and Information Technology services that so many parties, including lawyers, will need to become part of, and pursue, the collective demand for compensation for the harm caused by unaccountable judges' and their judiciaries' abuse of power and concealed financial interests.
9. Law students in particular, who currently are confronted with diminishing chances of finding a job in the legal field, will be interested in this proposal for acquiring the knowledge and expertise necessary to develop their own niche market
10. Consequently, law schools are facing dwindling enrollment. They will be interested in the proposal for offering courses, clinics, and internships dealing with the exposure of judges' abuse of power and concealed financial interests. These educational offerings are apt to restore the luster of the schools and lawyers in the eyes of scores of millions of current and former parties by assisting them in obtaining compensation for judges' abuse.
11. They can also provide the means for law schools, in general, and its students, in particular, to become the citizens inspectors general of the Federal Judiciary, the model for its state counterparts, the creation of which that Judiciary has so adamantly and effectively opposed.

2. A tour of schools to pioneer UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

12. The above justifies a physical and virtual tour of presentations at law schools as well as journalism, business, and Information Technology schools, for they teach subjects vitally necessary to expose judges' financial [criminality](#). The latter includes not only the concealment of financial interests that required recusal, but also [other forms](#) of illegal acquisition of assets, evasion of taxes, and the electronic transfer of money to be hidden, laundered, and invested.
13. The tour of schools can lay the foundation for the most promising proposal from a publicity and commercial point of view: [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).
14. They will enable many lawyers, their clients, pro ses, and others to tell the national public their stories of the abuse of power by judges that they have suffered. Those who have witnessed abuse, for instance, court or law clerks and even judges, will also be enabled to whistle-blow their stories...as have done employees of Facebook disgusted by their company's abuse of their client base and its betrayal of what they felt to be their idealistic mission as its employees.

15. The citizens hearings will be organized by students, professors, top media outlets, and VIPs, including principled and opportunistic politicians running in the mid-term elections. They are to be held at university auditoriums, media stations, and via video conference so that people may give and hear testimony wherever they are, sparing themselves travel and room and board expenses.

3. A CLE that leads the way to a new system of justice

16. The unprecedented citizens hearings can occur as the debate is taking place nationally over how to implement the report of the Biden Commission for the reform of the Supreme Court. The testimony of so many victims of, and witnesses to, judges' abuse of power and financial criminality can allow the detection of patterns. The latter can show abuse and criminality so pervasive and coordinated as to function as unaccountable judges' institutionalized modus operandi through which they run their judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).

17. As a result, the hearings can reveal the need to reform, not merely the Supreme Court, but rather all the courts and the system of justice itself.

18. By setting in motion the unprecedented citizens hearings, a CLE can also become a pioneer in attaining what Ms. Carolyn Caccese, the president of the NYS Academy of Trial Lawyers, states in her comments at the beginning of the Academy's webinars: "the objective of ATL is to protect and defend the civil justice system".

19. That is the objective of my webinar too. Through it, a CLE can make that system enter a process of change from which it comes out transformed into a different entity. Therein, *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, will be able to exercise our right to hold judicial public servants accountable for the public power entrusted to them and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse and criminality.

C. My offer to present this proposal

20. Therefore, I respectfully offer to make a presentation to you and your colleagues and guests on how it is in our common commercial and reputational interest to offer my webinar...and in joining forces to implement my plan for the journalistic investigation of judges([OL:194§§E, G](#)).

21. To assess my capacity to make a presentation you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#).

22. The presentation can take place via video conference and, if it is here in NY City, in person.

23. To set the presentation's terms and scheduling use my contact information below

24. To contact your potential guests you may share this proposal with all your friends, relatives, and neighbors.

25. You can also post it to social media such as the following so that it may go viral:

Facebook,	Youtube,	LinkedIn,	Instagram,	Google Plus,
Pinterest,	Reddit,	Snapchat,	WhatsApp,	Twitter

a. Send this tweet:

Tell your story of judges' abuse of power & ask for compensation at UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS; demand that the Biden Commission on SCt reform hear you; invite your audience, *the People*; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris
Judicial Discipline Reform
New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net , DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org , CorderoRic@yahoo.com

Webinar

on exposing judges' unaccountability and
consequent riskless abuse of power and financial criminality
and

Workshop

on writing in up to 500 words your story of abuse by judges
and prepare to tell it to the national public at
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

a video conference on November 7, 14, 21, and 28, 2021

Sponsored by
American Property Owners Network
apropertyownersnetwork@gmail.com

Webinar

1. Abuse of power by judges on their turf: their courts
 - a. Government officials, who appoint judges, will not expose their appointees
 - b. Attorney General Judge Merrick Garland will not expose other judges
 - c. Wall Street Journal, Reuters, Boston Globe, Consortium Invest. **Journalists**
2. Out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for exposing judges' abuse
 - a. Using your stories to persuade journalists and students and professors
 - 1) they are numerous and have expertise, means, and sources
 - 2) can audit many stories and detect patterns of coordinated abuse
 - 3) can inform the national public of their findings and outrage it
3. Outraging the national public before the primaries of the mid-term elections
 - a. hold UNPRECEDENTED **CITIZENS HEARINGS** at media stations & universities
 - b. help organize local chapters for collectively demanding **compensation**[♦]
 - 1) apply decisions holding Catholic Church liable for pedophilic priests
4. Outraged public turning judges' abuse and criminality into key electoral issue
 - a. principled and opportunistic politicians pay attention to voters' concerns
 - b. politicians can use subpoena and contempt power to investigate judges

Workshop

5. **Two-phase method** for writing your story of abuse by judges
 - a. in up to 500 words
 - b. a story that is accurate, significant, and verifiable
6. **Phase 1:** creative, allows the free flow of *dots* of information, such as:
 - a. *dots* of *What!?* Who? Where? When? How? Why? What now?
 - 1) names of people and entities: titles and relationships
 - 2) events: eviction, belongings removed, auction announced & held
 - 3) property: location; and before and now ownership and value
 - 4) documents: titles, docket numbers, citations to laws and rules
 - 5) terms, concepts, phrases, causes of action, claims
 - 6) dates: deadlines, statute of limitations, payments, filing, trial
 - b. start organizing the dots chronologically; ask “then what?”
 - c. connect the dots by jotting words or phrases describing or explaining
7. **Phase 2:** critical, requires checking *dots*, grammar, logical flow of story
8. Send your story using the two blocs of email addresses of:
 - a. the members of the Biden **Commission** on reforming the Supreme Court

To: [box of your email containing your story] cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu, jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu, charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com, ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu, heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu, tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu, mkang@northwestern.edu,

ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu, lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu, cnelson@law.virginia.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu, krooseve@law.upenn.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, bross@law.virginia.edu, d-strauss@uchicago.edu, kwhitt@princeton.edu, michael.waldman@nyu.edu, development@naacpldf.org, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

b. journalists; students and professors of journalism, law, business, IT

cc: [box of your email] James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, contact@icij.org, gryle@icij.org, fshiel@icij.org, investigations@icij.org, insiders@icij.org, info@elizabethwarren.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov, tips@thomsonreuters.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, “Todd Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, patricia.wen@globe.com, “editor Brian McGrory” <brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, “Veterans Today senior editor Gordon Duff” <gpduf@aol.com>, “Veterans Today managing editor Jim W Dean” <jimwdean@aol.com>, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com, ryan.grim@theintercept.com, tips@propublica.org, Evan.Allen@globe.com, info@AP.org, ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com, communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@mail.huffpost.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com, letters@nytimes.com, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com, oped@nytimes.com, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com, Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, CorderoRic@yahoo.com, NTotenberg@npr.org, Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com

c. ask that they hold UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

d. share and post my email/article on these webinars and this method

Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of **Judicial Discipline Reform**, which has produced this webinar and workshop, and their underlying 3-volume study of judges and their judiciaries:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

Donate by making a deposit or an online transfer to

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account 4977 59 2001; **TD Bank**, routing # 260 13 673, account 43 92 62 52 45

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

‡ **WEBINAR**

on exposing judges' abuse of power and financial criminality and

WORKSHOP

for learning to write in up to 500 words your story
of judges' abuse and criminality that you have suffered or witnessed (see
the article thereon infra and at OL3:1329) and
send it to the Biden Commission on reforming the Supreme Court

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_ScT_reform_Commission.pdf

and to journalists, students, and professors who can hold
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf

where people can tell their stories to the national public and
so inform and outrage the public as to stir it up to take action,
and cause abusers to collectively demand compensation
from judges and their judiciaries

by applying judges' own decisions that have held
the Catholic Church liable for its handling of pedophilic priests

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf

Dates: November 7, 14, and 21, 2021, at 03:00 p.m. EST (US and Canada)

Join the Zoom Meeting sponsored by American Property Owners Network
<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84421593159?pwd=QWJ6bnkyakJzcFpXeWpYcnRLNGh3dz09>

Meeting ID: 844 2159 3159

Passcode: 702275

One tap mobile

+13017158592,,84421593159#,,,,*702275# US (Washington DC)

+13126266799,,84421593159#,,,,*702275# US (Chicago)

Dial by your location

+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+1 929 205 6099 US (New York)

+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 844 2159 3159

Passcode: 702275

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84421593159>

November 9, 2021

**Thinking and proceeding strategically
to attract the largest number of people and entities
with interests harmonious with ours and
turn them into our allies of results,
thus increasing the chances of your telling your story to the national public at
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS[‡]**

A. Thinking strategically to attract those with interests harmonious with ours

1. *The Wall Street Journal* (WSJ) published last September 28, 2021, the article "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest" ([Appendix 6§C.22](#)). The law requiring that they recuse themselves from cases in which they have a financial interest is found in [Title 28](#) of the U.S. Code (of federal law only) section 455. Disqualification of justice, judge, or magistrate judge (28 USC §455). See also 28 USC §144. Bias or prejudice of judge.
2. Financial institutions have an interest in making judges compensate them for all the effort, time, and money that the judges made them waste and that the institutions will still have to spend to relitigate cases where judges failed to recuse themselves due to their interests in one of the parties, and disentangling contracts based on decisions even if only presumed to have been biased.
3. Financial institutions cannot do harm to anybody unless they obtain an order from a judge, who are the ones who wield the real power. If judges did not abuse their power, those institutions would be harmless. But in our country, every dispute ends up before judges...even those involving the President and Congress.
4. Accordingly, we, Advocates of Honest Judiciaries, must think and proceed strategically. Let's expose the judges...with the support of everybody and all entities that have interests harmonious with ours, including financial institutions.
5. Therefore we, Advocates of Honest Judiciaries, need to be pragmatic by using our interests harmonious with those of others as the basis for turning them into our allies of result. We need to make it easy for financial institutions and all other 'enemies' of judges to draw closer to us.

B. The "single issue" of ours and the interest in compensation of others

6. I conduct a workshop on writing in up to 500 words one's story of judges' abuse of power by applying the [two-phase method](#), and sending it to the provided email addresses ([Appendix 7](#)). You can follow the workshop on its [slides](#).
7. Download them and go to the title page of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries:
**[Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)** * † ♣
8. The study pursues an objective and its statement includes the clause "single issue":

This study aims to implement its out-of-court [inform and outrage](#) strategy for forming a national, single issue, apolitical, non-denominational movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation by judges and their judiciaries of abusees, and reform of the system of justice through transformational change (what goes into the process of change comes out transformed into a different entity with its own set of objectives and means).

9. Hence, in my study and articles as well as the webinars and story-writing workshops, we, the ones forming that movement, only mention the issue of judges' abuse of power and the one raised by *The Wall Street Journal*(¶1), that is, judges' criminality, which involves the following crimes:
- 1) conflict of interests resolved in self-interest 2) breach of contract for judicial services
 - 3) embezzlement of public power for personal gain 4) fraud on the parties and the court
 - 5) concealment of assets 6) tax evasion 7) money laundering 8) breach of the oath of office
 - 9) intentional disruption of business relations (contracts foreseeably based on biased decisions)
 - 10) intentional waste and misuse of public property and resources
 - 11) filing misleading and false mandatory annual financial disclosure reports under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978(App. to 5 USC)
 - 12) dereliction of duty requiring the judges on the all-judge-Committee on Financial Disclosures -appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court- of the [Judicial Conference of the U.S., 28 USC §331](#)-which is presided over by the Chief- to review with due diligence financial disclosure reports filed with the Committee
 - 13) breach of the reporting duty under 18 USC §3057 -[Title 18](#) contains the federal Criminal Code- on any judge "having reasonable grounds for believing [which is a standard lower than "probable cause to believe" and much lower than "evidence"] that any violation under chapter 9 [on bankruptcy, the classification of over 70% of all cases filed in the Federal Judiciary] of this title [18] or other laws of the United States relating to insolvent debtors, receiverships or reorganization plans has been committed, or that an investigation should be had in connection therewith [which lowers the standard below, and precedes, "having reasonable grounds for believing"]
 - 14) implicit or explicit conspiracy to cover the crime of a principal by not reporting him, whereby she who so covered him became an accessory after the fact, thus facilitating the principal's commission of another crime in reliance on the assurance that she would likewise not report him, whereby she became accessory before the fact and a party to the complicit mutual protection agreement that he would cover for her if she committed a crime.
10. We must attract people who have even opposite views on all sorts of issues. All are welcome. We need all of them. All must recognize the wisdom of the strategy of the Tea Party (*Taxed Enough Already*). The people who got together in its local chapters, many of which started in somebody's backyard, discussed one single issue: the reduction of taxes and its corollary, to wit, the opposition to any new tax. We want to show the same capacity for strategic thinking and proceeding.
11. Financial institutions as well as other large companies are the ones most likely to suffer the implications of the *The Wall Street Journal's* exposure of judges' concealed bias-causing financial interests in those institutions' stock. Those institutions will have to relitigate cases presided over by financially-interested, not impartial judges: Not only particular decisions that they made may be impugned, but also their integrity in general.
- a. A motion to recuse a judge will point out that the probable cause to believe that he engaged individually in rogue conduct is supported by the evidence of collective, coordinated conduct as a member of a judiciary that lacks institutional integrity: The judiciary itself has gone rogue. The common cause is judges' and their judiciaries' unaccountability, which makes riskless their abuse for their own gain and convenience.

12. Those institutions will be harmed financially by the challenge to contracts based on decisions taken by biased judges: unscrambling those contracts will cost a lot of effort, time, and money.
 - a. Who is going to pay for all that?! Judges made the Catholic Church pay for the harm caused by its pedophilic priests. That will be a precedent invoked to hold the Federal Judiciary liable for its judges' financial crimes.
13. It is reasonable to expect those financial institutions to want to openly join or discreetly support our proposal for all parties already and even yet to be harmed but in need now of an assurance of impartiality to collectively demand compensation from judges for their failure to recuse themselves and from their judiciaries for their failure to supervise them with due diligence. It follows that those financial institutions and we have harmonious interests. Even if driven by different or divergent motives, we can become allies of results: “The enemy of my enemy is my friend.”
14. Thus, we welcome and even invite all sorts of financial institutions to our webinars and story workshops. They are the ones who have the connections to bring along journalists or address their attention to us. In turn, journalists are the ones who have the means of informing the national public of our webinars and workshops, and of outraging it at judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality.

C. A professor and his/her colleagues and students can launch the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

15. A professor can invite his/her former and current colleagues and students to attend the webinar and workshop, for which they only need the [Zoom link](#). That is the most direct way of showing them why and how they, academics and their universities, together with journalists and their media outlets, can be instrumental in setting in motion the proposed UNPRECEDENTED [CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).
16. Thereafter the students and professors -e.g. of journalism, law, business, IT, and social sciences- can organize the video conference aspects and advertising of a webinar for their peers where I can lay out how they can take the lead in their university and among universities –as [students](#) at Harvard and Yale did in opposing the confirmation of then Judge Brett Kavanaugh– in picking up the investigation of judges’ criminality and abuse of power where the findings of *The Wall Street Journal*(¶1 supra), Thomson Reuters, *The Boston Globe*, International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (publishers of Pandora Papers), and Senator Elizabeth Warren [left them off](#).
17. The citizens hearings will give students and professors the opportunity to invite everybody who has a story of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed to tell it to the national public. Thereafter they can analyze the stories brought to them and publish their findings in a report, as the 9/11 Commission did and the Biden Commission on the reform of the Supreme Court will do.
18. But their report will be the first of its class, where academics act as what the Federal Judiciary and its state counterparts have successfully opposed: an independent inspector general. The latter could expose how that Judiciary [protects itself](#) by abusing its self-discipline power to ensure [100% self-exoneration](#) from [complaints](#) filed against its judges and petitions to review their dismissals.
19. The publication of their report can also take a novel form: the first-ever, and national, multidisciplinary, multimedia and on-site conference through which academics and journalists will be [Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#).
20. By launching the citizens hearings, students and professors will lead *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, in holding judicial public servants accountable for their exercise of the public power entrusted to them for *the People*’s benefit and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power and financial criminality.

D. Targeting judges with conflict of interests, not the institutions whose claims they have validated

21. So long as an opposing party, e.g., a financial institution foreclosing on your property, a landlord evicting you, a creditor forcing you into involuntary bankruptcy, can show that the courts validated its claims by granting the relief that it requested, you have no persuasive case against that party: It proceeded legally according to the supposedly independent judgment of those courts.
22. On the contrary, you may be giving them grounds to sue you for defamation. Their first exhibits will be the courts' rulings in their favor.
23. You need to realize that your target is the judges, not the institutions. That is not shown by merely alleging that the judges made a mistake of law, for their margin of discretion is very broad and it is not pro se who can effectively demonstrate that the judges abused their discretion.
24. Instead, you need to present *probable cause to believe* -which is a standard that does not require you to show 'evidence' admissible in court- that the judges committed a crime, not a mistake of law. There must be reasonable grounds -indicia- that they had a personal interest, e.g., a financial interest, in granting the relief that the financial institutions had requested. To that end, you need to join forces with parties who appeared before your judges or in their court to **audit their decisions**.
25. The findings of your joint effort at auditing are not to be used as the basis of yet another lawsuit in court. Judges will protect themselves and their 'cronies' by dismissing any findings that you present or making rulings that disregard them. In court, the judges' turf, you will never win.
26. Hence the **out-of-court strategy to inform and outrage** the national public, the Master of all public servants. As such, that public entrusted public power to judicial public servants and is entitled to demand that judges be held accountable and liable. This is explained in the article below, which I commend to your individual reading followed by your group discussion of it.

1. Highlight in your story title the outrageousness of judges' conduct

27. After you have written, rewritten, and revised your story, you work on its title. The latter should highlight the outrageous conduct of the judge(s) in your story. Study the following titles:

How a judge failed to recuse himself from a case where he approved the foreclosure on an apartment building, the eviction of all the tenants, and its conversion into an office building by a development company in which he is a shareholder

How a judge once more declared another wealthy senior citizen incompetent and appointed as her guardian a person to whom he regularly entrusts guardianships, who squeezed every penny from her, and then dumped her onto the state welfare system as an indigent

How a bankruptcy judge allowed the same bankruptcy trustee to hold yet another unannounced auction where only one and the same bidder showed up, bought the debtor's assets for pennies on the dollar, flipped them, and made a killing...leaving me as the financial corpse

Bonfire of integrity at the penthouse: Judges attending a judicial conference boasted about how they cut corners on the law, use parties' information to enrich themselves and their partners, and have clerks fudge documents; and were overheard by the apparently invisible waiters and waitresses serving them, who reported them to their chief circuit judge; and although the chief deemed their reports complaints, she dismissed them without the waiters and waitresses ever being interviewed as part of any investigation

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 12, 2022

Mr. Jack McGarry*
ISG
jackmcgarry@isgmn.com
800-439-3185, ext. 185
Reference ID# 8416389

Dear Mr. McGarry, Thomson Reuters New Business Team, and all other journalists and news agencies,

Thank you for your invitation of January 10, to participate in Thomson Reuters Research Sessions in New York on January 13. I am interested in participating.

Indeed, I am a professional law researcher/writer and strategic thinker, as shown by the article below and the ones at:

1. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
2. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
3. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf

I can provide useful feedback as well as a concrete, reasonable, and commercially promising research proposal in light of:

- a. the below-mentioned investigative article published by *The Wall Street Journal* and the report by Thomson Reuters "The Teflon Robe", published in three parts beginning on June 30, 2020; and
- b. my website, **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which has attracted with my articles posted there countless webvisitors and turned 41,631+ into subscribers...who constitute the highly-educated and well-off customer base for a joint research and commercial venture.

I offer to make a presentation on this proposal to you and your Thomson Reuters colleagues either via video conference or, if here in New York City, in person.

Thus, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely,

/s/Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net , DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org ,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com

December 18, 2021

After *The Wall Street Journal* published its article on 28Sep21

“131 Federal Judges Broke the Law
by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”,
scores of judges have recused themselves and opened the door for retrials

Outline of the webinar and consulting services on

holding those judges, the peers who covered for them, and their supervisor, i.e., the Federal Judiciary, liable for the cost of retrials and the disentanglement of contracts and actions based on void and voidable decisions; judges’ failure to read the overwhelming majority of briefs; and their interception of people’s mail and emails to detect and suppress those of their critics; and exposing their coordinated abuse of power and financial criminality at

UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS[‡]

A. Top media outlets & a VIP have exposed law-breaking unaccountable judges

1. *The Wall Street Journal*, published on November 2, 2021, “Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn’t violate financial-conflicts law”; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com. (See the articles referred to in this section [here](#) and at [Appendix:6§C.22.](#))
2. Thomson Reuters, with 2,500+ journalists and 600+ photojournalists, published on June 30, 2020, the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe”, John.Shiffman@thomsonreuters.com and Michael.Berens@thomsonreuters.com, on its massive investigation of state judges. It found that “hardwired judicial corruption” intertwines state judges and the state commissions on judicial performance that are duty-bound to supervise and discipline them. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges...and it was “inundated” with them because they want to tell them.
3. *The Boston Globe* published on September 30, 2018, its investigative report “Inside our secret courts”, Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca and “Todd Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com, spotlight@globe.com, in whose “private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”.
4. Senator Elizabeth Warren, in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”, dare denounce judges’ unaccountability and their abuse of it by refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the parties before them in order to steer the cases so as to protect and increase the value of their stock. Sen. Warren refers to their grabbing as ‘abusive self-enrichment’.
5. The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), in Washington, D.C., published on October 3, 2021, the [Pandora Papers](#), that is, close to 12 million financial documents leaked to it. “More Than 600 Reporters Around The Globe Work With ICIJ On The Most Expansive Leak Of Tax Haven Files In History”. The expertise that ICIJ has gained in applying document scanning software and money tracking techniques can be applied to exposing judges’ illegal flow of money.
6. See also my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries based on professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:

Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ‡

- i. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#), which is available for free.

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1399
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf

7. Many of the study articles have been posted to the [website](http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org) of **Judicial Discipline Reform**. They have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that **41,428+** have become subscribers as of 8Jan22([Appendix 3](#)). You too can subscribe to the articles: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >[Add New](#).

B. What the law and their Code of Conduct require from judges; their disregard

8. The federal law that imposes on federal judges the legal duty to recuse themselves from cases in which they or their relatives have a financial interest is found in [Title 28](#) of the U.S. Code (of federal law only) section 455. Disqualification of justice, judge, or magistrate judge(28 USC §455). See also 28 USC §144. Bias or prejudice of judge.
9. [Title 18 USC](#) contains the federal Criminal Code. It unambiguously imposes on everybody in general, and judges in particular, the duty to report crimes under federal law:
- a. 18 USC §4. Misprision of felony
Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.
 - b. Under §3057, federal law imposes a reporting duty on any judge “having reasonable grounds for believing [which is a standard lower than “probable cause to believe” –a cause that makes the belief more likely than not– and much lower than “evidence”] that any violation under chapter 9 [on bankruptcy, the classification of over 70% of all cases filed in the Federal Judiciary] of this title [18] or other laws of the United States relating to insolvent debtors, receiverships or reorganization plans has been committed, or that an investigation should be had in connection therewith [which lowers the “reasonable grounds for believing” standard.
10. Canon 2 of the [Code of Conduct](#) for U.S. Judges imposes on judges an even broader ethical duty by requiring that “Judges avoid impropriety and even the appearance of impropriety”.
11. [Judges protect themselves](#) by abusing the self-disciplining authority granted them by Congress in the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980(28 USC §§351-364): They [dismiss 100% of complaints](#) filed against them and deny [100% of petitions](#) to review their dismissals. This is shown by their official statistics, which by law(28 USC §603(h)(2)) they must file with Congress as a public document in the [Annual Report](#) of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(§604(a)(3) and (4)), who is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court(§601).

C. Causes of action arising from judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality

12. The demand for compensation will be supported by, among others, the following causes of action. They are part of the outline that I can elaborate on if lawyers, their clients, or pro ses retain me to present my webinar, consult, write a statement or a brief, or commission an article for publication. See a list of articles already written and downloadable for review at [Appendix 6§A](#).
- a. conflict of interests resolved in self-interest; b. fraud by misleading the parties into thinking that the judges would conduct a fair and impartial process, resulting in harm to the parties; c. concealment of assets; d. tax evasion; e. money laundering; f. embezzlement of public power for personal gain; g. breach of the oath of office to uphold the Constitution

and the laws thereunder, so that the intended beneficiaries of the oath and the judges' taking it are all actual and potential parties, who can reasonably rely on it, derive a reliance interest by doing so, and are harmed by the frustration of the interest when the oath is breached; **h.** intentional disruption of business relations (contracts foreseeably based on biased, void or voidable decisions) **i.** intentional waste and misuse of public resources

j. filing misleading and false mandatory annual financial disclosure reports under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978(Appendix to [5 USC](#)); **k.** dereliction of the duty requiring the judges on the all-judge-Committee on Financial Disclosures –appointed by the Chief Justice– of the Judicial Conference of the U.S.([28 USC](#) §331) –presided over by the Chief– to review with due diligence reports that all judges must file annually with the Committee;

l. implicit or explicit conspiracy between her, a judge, to cover his crime, that of another judge -the principal offender- by not reporting him, whereby she became an accessory after the fact, and facilitated his commission of another crime by creating his expectation that she would not report him, whereby she became accessory before the fact and a party to the complicit mutual protection agreement that either would cover for the other if need be.

13. Tort liability can be predicated on the duty of a chief judge, the circuit judicial council, and the Judicial Conference to supervise and control the conduct of other judges; their abuse or criminality; and the resulting harm to the victim([28 USC](#) §§331-332; 351-364). This applies respondeat superior principles in a principal-agent relationship and negligent/reckless hiring, supervision, and retention; e.g. the Chief Justice [appoints](#) the all-judge disciplinary committee(§331); and each court of appeals vets, appoints, and removes its bankruptcy judges(§152). Such application is justified on the grounds that judges themselves have developed to hold liable:

a. the Catholic Church and its dioceses for their pedophilic priests' crimes and the cover-up, in which the Church/dioceses engaged either on an individual basis or as a routine practice that was part of an institutionalized policy (91 Am. Jur. Trials 151; 101 A.L.R. 5th 1; *Doe v. Apostolic Assembly of Faith in Christ Jesus*, 452 F. Supp. 3d 503 (W.D. Tex. 2020);

b. USA Gymnastics, the U.S. Olympic and Paralympic Committee, the University of Michigan, and Michigan State University for the sexual abuse of student athletes by their sports officers and doctors, e.g., Dr. Larry Nassar, convicted and sentenced to 40-175 years in prison.

14. A familiar principle can be adapted: '[financial criminality] Too big [for the Judiciary] to fail to know'. That allows the application of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO; [18 USC](#) §1961 et seq.) to the judges' running the Judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#). The coordination between judges and the judges on the Judicial Conference Committee on Financial Disclosure that rubberstamp their reports can be charged as a racketeering activity. All must file an annual report; consequently, all benefit from reports being reviewed only pro forma.

D. IN-COURT filings that in addition discuss causes of action for compensation

15. An individual's demand for compensation from judges and their Judiciary is [summarily](#) dismissed by judges relying on the Supreme Court in *Pierson v. Ray*, 386 U.S. 547 (1967), (judges' "immunity applies even when the judge is accused of acting maliciously and corruptly"; and *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349 (1978), ("A judge will not be deprived of immunity because the action he took was in error, was done maliciously, or was in excess of his authority".) Such assurance by the Court has fostered judges' impunity mindset: 'Once on the bench, forever here no matter what.' Yet, the Constitution contradicts the [judicial immunity doctrine](#) that judges have abusively concocted as a means of anticipatory self-exoneration.

16. A class action, e.g., under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of [Civil Procedure](#) or its state counterparts, is very expensive; the lawyer bringing it may not be appointed class counsel; the proposed settlement may not be approved; and even if won, an appellate court may decertify the class by declaring that ‘the interests of the plaintiffs are not common enough to permit their formation of a class’.

1. Joining with other similarly situated parties; and with journalists

17. However, lawyers, their clients, and pro ses can join forces to collectively demand compensation from judges and their Federal Judiciary. By applying a [method](#), they can find other similarly situated parties, that is, those who have appeared before the same judge or in the same court. If they are disciplined enough to focus only on the single issue of compensation, they can form a local chapter of demanders. Progressively, the chapters can coalesce into a national movement. Its precedent is the Tea Party –*Taxed Enough Already*–, which went from local chapters advocating the single issue of no new taxes to a national party.
- a. Federal judges and their Judiciary are the model of their respective state counterparts; their jurisdiction is national, so they make decisions that affect everybody in our country. Concentrating to begin with on exposing their unaccountability and consequent abuse of power and financial criminality will outrage the national public and create the momentum to expose state judges and their judiciaries.
18. Judges process complaints against judges secretly. Initially, they may also dismiss collective demands for compensation. But they will have to do so publicly, just as they dismiss any other demand in a case. Thereby they will expose the prerogative that they have arrogated to themselves: ‘Judges Can Do No Wrong, hence, we need not compensate anybody’. Making this known to a national public with no tolerance for political and socio-economic inequalities will cause outrage.
19. To give publicity to their demand for compensation, the demanders can hire WSJ, Thomson Reuters, and Boston Globe journalists, those of the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, and others of similar high reputation as investigators of judges in one or many cases and in the same court; and as expert witnesses in judicial abuse and criminality. A new parties-journalists relation can develop.
20. Motions can be filed to void judges’ self-interested decisions and disentangle contractual relations based on them. They can petition the recusal of judges in whom trust has been lost for breaking the law even in other cases, for ‘He who can break the law once can break it more easily twice’. A new niche practice for lawyers can develop.
21. The demanders can claim standing based on their acting in the public interest to attain the common good of an honest judiciary. They can demand that judges disgorge any benefits received from breaking the law, invoking to that end Son of Sam principles and the successful forcing of former Vice President Spiro Agnew to give up the bribes that he had grabbed as Governor of Virginia.

2. Discussion based on the Constitution’s “equal treatment” clause

22. Defendants, parties, and abusees can invoke the 14th Amendment of the U.S. [Constitution](#) to demand “equal treatment” under law:
- a. Defendants can demand to be treated equally to the 131 judges who broke the law by hearing cases where they had a financial interest, none of whom has been investigated. None will be prosecuted([jur:81§C.1](#)), lest judges individually and as a class devastatingly retaliate against the prosecutor and her office([Lsch:17§C](#)). Far from it, once a judge resigns,

all in-vestigation by their Judiciary ends and he collects his full pension. Yet, under Const., [Art. III, §1](#), judges hold office and have a salary guarantee only “during good Behaviour”.

- 1) Former 9th Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski resigned on December 18, 2017, to end a sexual harassment investigation that Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., had directed the 2nd Circuit to conduct. He is even practising law as a lawyer.
 - 2) Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, resigned on February 11, 2019, upon being informed that she was under investigation for participating in her father’s distribution of assets to his children through an inheritance tax-evading fraud scheme.
 - 3) No investigation, let alone a prosecution, of fellow judges will be authorized by [Attorney General Merrick Garland](#), the former chief judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, and as such their peer, colleague, principal and/or accessory, and thus [accomplice](#). Defendants can object to A.G. Judge Garland’s and DoJ’s selective prosecution that gives the class of judges protection *from* the law.
- b. Parties can claim a privilege to equally evade the disclosure and discovery duties and sanctions of [Rules 26-37](#) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as would do judges if sued for compensation by claiming that the judicial immunity doctrine concocted in self-interest protects them with a privilege against disclosure and discovery.
- 1) Judges claim would show their disregard for “traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice”; cf. *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945), which provide, among other things, for trials to produce not only “the truth”, but also “the whole truth” as a requisite for the just and fair application of the law.
 - 2) Chief Justice Roberts, who presided over the impeachment trial in the Senate of President Trump, condoned the defending party’s refusal on a claim of privilege to produce any piece of evidence requested by the prosecuting party. [He set a precedent](#).
 - 3) Such claim by judges upheld by fellow judges would provide the precedent for parties to claim an established privilege, e.g., attorney-client privilege, or even make one up, e.g., ‘private corporation executive officer privilege’, and pretend that it justifies the denial of any disclosure and discovery whatsoever...or even dispense with the need for a privilege and simply self-servingly characterize the suit as “a hoax”, “a witch hunt”, or “abuse of process”.
 - 4) If the precedent is recognized, allowing all parties greater scope to deny disclosure and discovery will gravely disrupt the system of justice. If it is not recognized so that only judges are unequally protected from disclosure and discovery, the outrage will stir up the public to demand transformative reform of the system of justice to ensure that judges are held equally liable to the compensatory sanctions of Rule 37 as well as all other kinds of damages.
- c. Abusees can claim an equal right to claim against judges as the right given abusees to sue pedophilic priests and the church that covered for them by the lookback laws adopted in the several states. The lookback either extends the number of years from a key event that the statute of limitations allows for suing the defendant or suspends the statute completely and allows suing during a certain future period of time.

E. OUT-OF-COURT strategy to inform and outrage the national public

23. More promising is for demanders of compensation from judges and their Judiciary to implement the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy. They can inform the national public of, and outrage it at, judges' abuse, criminality, and cover-up so as to stir the public up to compel politicians, lest they be voted out of, or not into, office, to investigate judges and hold them liable to their victims.

1. Informing the public through politicians and abusees' stories

24. The compensation demanders can seek out allies of result: Principled and opportunistic politicians running in the primaries for the 2022 mid-term elections who may be convinced that they can benefit electorally if at every rally, townhall meeting, and interview they vow and call on all politicians and journalists to:

- a. investigate judges officially and journalistically for:
 - 1) advancing their financial interests by failing to recuse and for other abuses that cause many more victims;
 - 2) [failing to read](#) the majority of briefs, each of which costs \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to produce and generates compensable waste; and
 - 3) [intercepting](#) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics;
- b. petition President Biden to release the FBI's secret vetting reports on judicial candidates;
- c. ask everybody who ever filed a complaint against a judge or has a story of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed to send a copy to the journalists and members of the [Biden Commission](#) for the reform of the Supreme Court below. Let every storyteller apply [the two-phase method](#) for writing in up to 500 words their story.
 - 1) In sharing their complaints and stories about judges, the storytellers will be exercising the rights most cherished by *We the People*, namely, those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment to the [Constitution](#) to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including through the payment of [compensation](#)]".
 - 2) They can copy and paste the following blocs of email addresses in the **To:** and **cc:** boxes, respectively, of the email containing their complaint or story.

To: [the members of the Biden Commission]

cristina.rodriquez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, dana.fowler@pscotus.gov,
info@pscotus.gov, staff@pscotus.gov, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu,
kandrias@law.columbia.edu, jack.balkin@yale.edu, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, wdellinger@omm.com, levi@law.duke.edu, ecb95@law.rutgers.edu,
justin.driver@yale.edu, development@naacpldf.org, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@harvard.edu, tgriffith@law.harvard.edu,
bhuan@law.columbia.edu, mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu,
awhite36@gmu.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu, lemos@law.duke.edu, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu, d-
strauss@uchicago.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, krooseve@law.upenn.edu,
kewhitt@princeton.edu, michael.waldman@nyu.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu,
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

cc: [journalists, students, and professors]

James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com,
contact@icij.org, fshiel@icij.org, investigations@icij.org, newstip@globe.com,
insiders@icij.org, tips@thomsonreuters.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com,
contact@go.reuters.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, gryle@icij.org, ginger.thompson@propublica.org,
andrea@americanthinker.com, marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com,
drew@americanthinker.com, help@washpost.com, patricia.wen@globe.com,
<brian.mcgrory@globe.com>, spotlight@globe.com, charles.ornstein@propublica.org,
tracy.weber@propublica.org, Thehill@email.thehill.com, newsletters@abovethelaw.com,
tips@propublica.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.com,
emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com, ryan.grim@theintercept.com,
info@AP.org, corderoric@yahoo.com, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@mail.huffpost.com,
aturturro@alm.com, support@washposthelp.zendesk.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com, oped@nytimes.com,
Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com, wpmagazine@washpost.com, hello@propublica.org,
Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com, letters@washpost.com, Evan.Allen@globe.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, national@washpost.com,
colorofmoney@washpost.com, email@washingtonpost.com, oped@washpost.com,

- d. cause media outlets and students and their professors to join forces to hold the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**. At media stations and auditoriums of journalism, law, business, Information Technology, and social sciences schools, and via video conference they can hold hearings to give people the opportunity to **tell the national public their story** of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality that they have suffered or witnessed.

2. An investigation to gain information from “little people” and confidential informants; and pioneering its reporting

25. Through a mutually reinforcing process the information provided through politicians and the stories will strengthen journalists' commercial and reputational interest in investigating judges' abuse and criminality: “Scandal sells” and reporting it can win Pulitzer Prizes. Journalists can:
 - a. conduct a focused and cost-effective investigation by starting off from the abundance of leads already gathered(**OL:194§E**);
 - b. interview ‘little people’, such as waiters, waitresses, maids, bartenders, bellboys, drivers, and receptionists at conference centers, hotels, yachts, and private/country clubs, whom the judges deemed too dumb to understand their bragging in the presence of other judges and VIPs about their latest or most daring way of grabbing gain and convenience by disregarding due process requirements and taking advantage of confidential information discussed in chambers by the parties; ex-parte; submitted under seal; and revealed for a bribe;
 - c. search for Deep Throat(**jur:106§c**) in Court: former and current court and law clerks, judges, and lawyers, who driven by idealism signed on to be Workers of Justice only to be disgusted by becoming ‘Three Monkey’ enforcers of abuse and can now redeem themselves by becoming **confidential informants**;
26. Disseminating the information obtained can lead to **Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting**. It can open the way to the investigation and publication of an

F. Developing this outline at a webinar or by consultation; and other services

27. If you would like to have me present this article either via video conference or in person at a webinar with [slides](#) for you and your colleagues and guests, you can let me know by using my contact information in the letterhead above. To ascertain my capacity to make a presentation you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). You can also let me know if you wish to:
- a. consult with me and/or retain me to write a brief or a statement for you;
 - b. publish one or a series of my already written and downloadable articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) on judicial abuse of power and financial criminality exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative change; or commission an article([¶77](#)); etc.([¶48](#));
 - c. hold together with journalists, students and professors, media outlets, and specialized schools the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#)(para. 24.d supra);
 - 1) promote the citizens hearings by sponsoring a tour of presentations at schools and other venues([OL:197§G](#)); and
 - 2) organize the first-ever conference on judges' abuse and criminality in connivance with politicians, who fear their devastating power of retaliation([Lsch:17§C](#)). There the citizens hearings report will be presented interactively here and abroad. This can launch a global *MeToo!*, BLM-like movement where people shout their self-assertive rallying cry *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.*
 - d. invest capital or expertise in the development of the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> to monetize its public appeal, proven by its 42,077+ subscribers, and make the site the center of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture, as described in its [business plan](#), which is guided by the motto "Making Money While Doing Justice". The investment can turn the site from an informational platform into:
 - 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges that anybody can upload;
 - 2) a **research center** for fee-paying clients auditing judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through computer-assisted statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: [judges' patterns, trends, and schemes](#) of abuse of power; and
 - 3) the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture([jur:119§§1-4](#)). It can evolve into the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy, to be attached to a university or a news network;
 - e. help form a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold judicial public servants accountable for the exercise of the public power entrusted to them for *the People's* good and liable to the victims of its abuse.
28. With their informing and reporting recognized as work in the public interest, demanders of collective compensation and journalists can become *the People's* Champions of Justice. So can you:
- a. Share this article with your friends, relatives, and others, and post it to social media widely so that it informs and outrages the national public; rallies ever more demanders; and sets in motion the movement for holding judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensation. Make it go viral.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 14, 2022

**On the value and need to read, strategize, and take action
to prepare to tell the national public your story of
the abuse of power by judges that you have suffered or witnessed**

A. Professional law research and writing and strategic thinking for a fee

1. Researching the appropriate law authorities, writing publishable articles, and thinking strategically are the kind of professional, demanding, and time-consuming work that justifies my consulting fee.
2. To expect that I be available for free consultation –never mind free brief writing– on your behalf is not reasonable.
3. People stand in court in a weak position if their dealings with the opposing parties and the judges have been rendered defective and liable to be used against them by their failure to meet the standard of conduct that the law, in general, and the law of torts, in particular, applies to every party, witness, lawyer, and juror, namely, ‘a man or woman acting reasonably and using common sense’.
4. Often, pro ses realize only too late that it was a folly to pretend that they could improvise themselves as lawyers...without even researching the law or the rules of procedure governing the parts, steps, and format of written and oral presentations of their case to the court and the opposing party.
5. Would you improvise yourself as a heart surgeon, an engineer, an electrician, or the master of ceremony at the Oscars?
6. Hence the justification for my consulting fee: \$350 per hour plus all necessary and incidental expenses, including, but not limited to, access to specialized databases, books, transportation, room and board, communication, etc. An initial retainer of \$7,500 –which may fluctuate depending on the work requested and agreed upon– is paid in advance, from which my fee and expenses are deducted. In the alternative, an agreement can be reached for a flat fee paid in advance to cover a specific piece of work.

B. The need to read, lest we fail to communicate with each other

7. It is also unreasonable to expect to solve the problem of judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality by simply adopting yet another law: The same judges that today break the laws that they must abide by and apply will break any future law. They will do so for the same reason: because they are unaccountable and can break the law risklessly for their convenience and gain.
8. My articles unambiguously state that politicians act in connivance with the judges whom they recommend, endorse, nominate, confirm, or appoint. The judges become ‘our men and women on the bench’.
9. As a result, politicians will never turn against their own appointees by enforcing old and adopting new laws to expose them as incompetent or dishonest...unless the politicians’ survival is at stake, which depends on receiving indispensable donations, volunteer campaign work, positive word of mouth, and votes at the polls.

1. Reading to learn about the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy

10. Thus, I pursue an out-of-court inform and outrage strategy. It does not rely on enforcing existing laws or adopting new ones.

11. Rather, the strategy aims to inform the national public about judges' abuse of power and/or financial criminality that have harmed current and former parties, and will harm future ones. That harm is the basis for requesting compensation from the judges and their judiciaries. The opportunity of being compensated is what will incentivize the public to join forces in furtherance of their own interest. The opportunity of being compensated is what will incentivize the public to join forces in furtherance of their own interest.
- a. The strategy is set forth in detail in my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting** * † ‡
 - b. I have posted some of my articles to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively that as of February 27, 2022, the number of them who had become subscribers was 43,336+.
12. Only an informed and outraged national public is strong enough to compel politicians, whether they are principled or opportunistic, to advocate the holding of judges accountable and liable or risk a backlash during the campaign and on Election Day.

2. Telling your story at unprecedented citizens hearings

13. The setting for informing and outraging the public is not congressional hearings, which have been a sham.
14. Instead, it is the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#). They will be held by journalists, students, and professors at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conference to take the testimony of those who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse and/or criminality.
15. You too have a chance of telling your story to the national public if you apply [the two-phase method](#) for writing it in up to 500 words and email it to the two blocs of email addresses([Appendix 7](#)). Such a concise story will be evidence that you can tell a coherent, significant, and out-raging story in only the five minutes that you will be allocated to tell your story orally at the hearing.

3. Actions that you can take to inform and outrage the national public

16. If you read my articles, you will learn what I am doing for you and all the other victims of judges and, more importantly, what you can do for yourself: You can share my articles and post them to social media as widely and frequently as possible. That is how you can help make them go viral to inform and outrage the national public so as to stir it up to compel politicians to hold judges accountable and liable.
17. In the same vein, if you can contact journalists, such as *Wall Street Journal* reporters James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, or Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, send them the article at [OL3:1399](#) and ask them whether they have received any of the many emails; I have not received any reply from them or any other journalist. Encourage them to call me at (718)827-9521.
18. Time is of the essence. We want politicians to include the issue of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality while they are still crafting their electoral program. An informed and outraged public can compel them to address the issue at their rallies, townhall meetings, and interviews.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 14, 2022

Reporter Sarah Martinson
Law360; acesstojustice@law360.com

Dear reporter Martinson, fellow Law360 and all other reporters and media outlets,

I read with interest your article “[At 1-Year Mark](#), Groups Discontent With Biden Justice Reform”, published by Law360 on January 9, 2022. There you quoted “Miriam Krinsky, a poll participant and executive director of [Fair and Just Prosecution](#)”, as saying:

“that she remains hopeful because of the people that Biden has appointed to be federal prosecutors, sit on the federal judicial bench and lead the DOJ. I think [Biden] is taking seriously the need to reset the legal system, and putting people in place in criminal justice positions that are thinking differently”.

A. Compromised and extortionable officers cannot advance judicial reform

1. President Biden’s commitment to justice reform is belied precisely by the person that he nominated as his Attorney General, none other than Judge Merrick Garland, who for seven years was the chief judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, in DC. He and his peers, including Then-Judge Brett Kavanaugh, [dismissed 100% of complaints](#) against fellow judges in that circuit and [denied 100% of petitions](#) to review those dismissals.
2. AG Judge Garland, his fellow federal judges, and even justices, engage in a cover-up of each other’s complainable conduct, leaving complainants uncompensated and at the mercy of the same unaccountable judges, who thus are assured that they can safely continue such conduct.
3. This follows from the [official statistics](#) on complaints about federal judges (28 U.S.C. §604(h) (2)), filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 (§351), and submitted to Congress as a public document in the [Annual Report](#) (§604(a)(3-4)), of the Director of the [Administrative Office](#) of the U.S. Courts, who is appointed by the chief justice of the Supreme Court (§601).

B. The Wall Street Journal got the scoop on federal judges' financial criminality

4. For proof, there is the article that *The Wall Street Journal* published on 28Sep21: “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”. As shown in the article hereunder, among the laws that those judges broke are those against conceal-ment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering. Breaking them can be punished by 20+ years in prison.
5. However, AG Judge Garland has not investigated, let alone prosecuted, anyone of his former fellow judges. He hears the loud and clear cry that all judges shout at each other based on their knowledge of how every judge has either broken the law or covered up its breach: “If you bring me down, *I’ll take you with me!*”
6. As far as President Biden goes, he cannot afford to urge prosecutors to investigate judges given the devastating retaliatory power([Lsch:17§C](#)) that judges can wield on the cases that his administration has and will have in future pending in the Federal Judiciary and state courts. Do you trust justice reform to officers who are so compromised and liable to being extorted by each other?
7. Those who break the law to preserve their acceptability by the member of their class and advance their financial interests cannot reasonably be expected to apply the law faithfully and impartially on behalf of people who have no means of holding them accountable regardless of the nature, frequency, and gravity of their complainable conduct.

C. Enabling victims of judges to join forces to demand collectively compensation

8. The article at OL3:1399 is a proposal to you, Law360, and all fellow reporters to expose federal judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless **abuse of power** and **financial criminality** by implementing a concrete, feasible, and cost-effective plan of action. It is centered on a feature that never fails to elicit intense interest: the possibility for victims of abuse and criminality of being **compensated**.
9. That is the objective of the call to victims to join forces to demand compensation, not individually, but rather collectively so as to reap the benefit of the saying "There is strength in numbers."

D. Scoops: judges' interception of emails/mail, and not reading most briefs

10. You have the opportunity of exposing how unaccountable judges who risklessly break the law to advance their financial interests likewise break it by:
 - a. using their nationwide digital network and power over Internet-controlling companies to **intercept** people's emails and mail in order to detect and suppress those of their critics; and
 - b. **failing to read** the vast majority of briefs for cases and motions, dumping them from their workload by having their clerks rubberstamp 5¢ dumping forms that do not discuss the facts or the law of the matter at hand and whose only operative words are "affirmed" or "denied".
11. There can hardly be scoops with more substantial practical and institutional consequences than those, for they will:
 - a. provoke the most visceral public outrage and generalized demand for compensation; and
 - b. give rise to an institutional crisis because of the existential risk for the Executive and Congress of even criticizing the Judiciary, let alone investigating and prosecuting its judges, who can declare unconstitutional or unlawful every action that the political branches take or do not take, and in reliance on their self-concocted doctrine of **absolute judicial immunity** dismiss in a mere dumping form any of their cases and motions;
 - c. however, in an election year, the public would demand that candidates for, and those already in, office hold judges accountable for their exercise of public power and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse. This can lead to the holding by journalists and students and their professors of the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**.
12. "Scandal sells" and Pulitzer Prizes are won by scooping those that scandalize the most. The statistics and facts supporting the above statements are discussed in my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

13. I have posted some of my articles to **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively that as of February 27, 2022, the number of them who had become subscribers was 43,336+.

E. Action requested

14. Thus, I respectfully request the opportunity to make to you and your colleagues a presentation on my proposal either via video conference or, if here in New York City, in person.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 16, 2022

Joining forces to implement a plan of out-of-court actions to inform and outrage the national public concerning judges' abuse of power and financial criminality, demand collective compensation of abusees, and advocate reform through transformative change, at the most opportune time: when journalists, politicians, and academics have an interest in joining forces with you[‡]

A. Abuse and criminality forming patterns of coordinated activity

1. This article presents the plan of the out-of-court actions that Advocates of Honest Judiciaries and people who have suffered or witnessed judges' [abuse of power](#) and [financial criminality](#) can take to inform the national public thereof, and so outrage the public as to stir it up to demand that judges be held accountable and liable to compensate abusees, and that the system of justice be reformed through transformative change, i.e., what goes into the process of change comes out transformed into a different entity.
2. The abuse and criminality in question cannot be dismissed as the inadvertent mistake of an otherwise competent judge acting individually or the malfeasance of a judge who went rogue alone. Rather, they form patterns of activity so coordinated among judges and between them and outsiders that they reveal the institutionalized modus operandi through which judges collectively disregard their duties and the law(infra, §B) to run their judiciary for their convenience and gain as a [racketeering enterprise](#). The judiciary itself has gone rogue as an institution.
3. As a result, judges have knowingly and thus intentionally harmed current and former parties, and will harm future ones. Such harm constitutes the foundation for claiming compensation from the judges and their judiciary, and advocating the reform of their status and operation.
 - a. The precedent for this claim is found in judges' own jurisprudence holding the Catholic Church liable for the pedophilic crimes of its priests and its cover-up of them.
4. Only an informed and outraged national public is strong enough to force politicians, particularly those running in the primaries and their supporters in office, whether they are principled or opportunistic, to advocate holding judges accountable and liable or risk a backlash while campaigning and on Election Day.
5. The primaries and the mid-term elections offer the best opportunity to turn judges' abuse and criminality and the cover-up by their judiciary into a decisive electoral issue because the more an issue outrages voters, the more politicians want to appear to be dealing with it.
 - a. Politicians are at their most vulnerable condition and 'receptive' mood when they are running for office and are dependent on people's donations, volunteer campaign work, positive word of mouth, and favorable responses to polls surveys.
 - b. An outraged public can demand that politicians include the issue of judges' abuse and criminality while they are still composing their electoral platform and stump speech.
6. To inform and outrage the national public concerning judges' abuse and criminality it is indispensable to join forces with the media. Top outlets and a VIP have done so([OL3:1436§1](#)).

B. A distinguishing call to action and the motives to heed it

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

OL3:1411

*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...2.pdf>from OL2:394-1143

♦ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf

7. The above shows the distinguishing objective of the plan of actions: Not only to cause an audience to be passively informed and outraged concerning judges' abuse and criminality, but also motivate it to take action in a *movement* for judicial exposure, compensation, and reform.
8. The emphasis is on doing according to a plan of action, as opposed to knowing more while remaining in the same secular state of impotence or ineffectiveness to do something about it... other than 'the same thing while expecting a different result', which Einstein said 'is the hallmark of irrationality'. This is so because it disregards a fundamental law of the physical and human worlds: cause and effect.
 - a. The planned action is not filing yet another suit, not even a class action, in court, the turf of judges, where they abusively disregard the law and the facts and make up convenient ones as they go. Rather, it is out-of-court action, and as such beyond judges' **self-exonerating** and retaliatory(Lsch:17§C) reach.
 - b. Nor is the action intended to adopt yet another law: The same judges that today break the laws that they must abide by and apply will break any future law. They will do so for the same reason: Judges hold themselves and are held by the **conniving** politicians that put them in office unaccountable; so they can risklessly break the law for their convenience and gain.
9. Our audience has several constituencies, e.g., abusees –such as lawyers, clients, and pro ses–, journalists, politicians, students, and professors. Their particular motives for taking action provides us with levers of persuasion. By operating the latter skillfully and frequently, the constituencies can be persuaded to join in the action.
10. The motive for abusees to take action is a potent one because it springs from one of the basic needs that drive human conduct: the need to tell one's story in a cry of pain caused by the abuse and loss that one has been made to suffer, obtain compensation, and spare others similar pain.
11. People who have not yet been abused by judges have a motive take action: They want to avoid falling victim to the abuse. Their outrage upon being informed of the abuse provides the inspiring fuel for participating in a quest for justice. They advocate honest judiciaries as a matter of principle.
12. Politicians have the basic motive of surviving the campaign and the election.
13. Journalists have a commercial and career interest in participating: "Scandal sells" and reporting on it earns Pulitzer Prizes. Their useful and opportune reports can contradict the slur that the media are "the enemy of the people", instead proving that they are Loudspeakers for *We the People*, sounding the alarm of danger and showing the way to fight back.
14. Students are idealistic, attracted to an opportunity to make the world a better place. Also, they want to enhance the résumé that during their first job search they will submit to employee recruiters.
15. Professors know that if by applying their knowledge and skills they make progress in their field, and all the more if they bring about transformative change, they become a source of prestige for their academic institution. That can earn them significant rewards and perks, e.g., higher salary; tenure; a greater chance of having their papers published in professional journals; more invitations to teach elsewhere permanently or as guest professor; the creation of, and top position in, an institute, center, or department for pursuing the academic activity for which they have become renowned.

C. A plan of concrete, realistic, and feasible actions

16. Former, current, and future parties can take action by joining forces among themselves and entering an **alliance of result** with **journalists**, **politicians**, **students**, and **professors**(OL3:1308§D)

to demand compensation, not individually in lawsuits, but rather collectively and out of court.

17. The demanders can take the following actions, among others([OL3:1208¶23](#)):
18. Cause the [article below](#) to attain such critical mass of distribution that it goes viral and inserts the issue of judges' abuse and criminality into the national debate and agenda.
 - a. The precedent is the publication by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, of their own exposé of Harvey Weinstein's sexual predation and the eruption within the following week of the *MeToo!* global movement.
 - b. The article below capitalizes on a remarkable series of events: top media outlets have dare investigate judges and expose their abuse of power and financial criminality.
 - c. The plan for the investigation([OL:194§E](#); *infra*, ¶20) of judges and their judiciary can set in motion an investigative bandwagon on which ever more journalists and media outlets must climb, lest they be left behind by their competitors and their growing audience.
19. [Form](#) local chapters –intended to coalesce into a national movement- where they pursue one single issue, to wit, exposure of judicial abuse to justify their demand for compensation and reform.
 - a. The precedent is the Tea Party, which arose from groups of local people meeting in somebody's home to discuss one single issue: *No new taxes!* and the reduction of existing ones.
20. Promote the holding of [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#). They are far from window-dressing congressional hearings on judicial conduct, which have proved to be a sham. Instead, the citizens hearings are to be held by journalists and students and their professors at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conferences. The hearings will afford people the opportunity to do what drives their passionate quest for justice: tell the national public their story of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed, and demand compensation and reform.
 - a. Right now, people can take action in preparation for the citizens hearings by applying the [two-phase method](#) for writing in up to 500 words their story AND emailing it to the provided two blocs of key email addresses([Appendix 7](#) *infra*).
 - b. The citizens hearing can be promoted through a tour or presentations at law, journalism, business, Information Technology, and social sciences schools, media stations, and other venues([OL:197§G](#)).
21. Invest in developing my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. I have posted some of my articles there and they have attracted so many webvisitors and caused them to react so positively that as of January 16, 2022, the number of them who had become subscribers was 41,706 and counting.
 - a. Such site development is described in my [business plan](#). The motto that guides and inspires the plan is “Making Money While Doing Justice”. Cf. *infra*, ¶30.f.
 - b. The out-of-court actions are discussed in detail in, and many of my articles are collected to, my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:
Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † *
 - c. The subscribers constitute the initial customer base for a multidisciplinary academic and business venture. The latter can lead to the creation of the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy, to be attached to a university or a news network.

22. Organize the first-ever, and national and international multimedia and interactive conference on judicial unaccountability and abuse. There will be presented the report of the unprecedented citizens hearings as well as a plan for transformative reform of the system of justice(OL3:1372¶f).
- a. The conference will provide the opportunity for the local chapters to coalesce and launch here and abroad a *MeToo!*, BLM, Tea Party-like single issue civic movement. There *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, will shout the self-assertive rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.*

D. Opportune action reasonably calculated to be effective

23. Yet another podcast, added to the thousands already available and devoid of a strategy for motivating the national public to take concrete, realistic, and feasible action, cannot reasonably be expected to achieve much progress toward judicial exposure, compensation, and reform.
24. By contrast, nothing will cause more intense outrage, rally more demanders of compensation, and strengthen their determination to take action until they succeed than informing the national public at the beginning of an electoral season that:
- a. judges **fail to read** the majority of briefs. Each costs \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to research, write, print, serve, and file. Their failure constitutes compensable waste; breach of contract and trust; denial of service; false advertisement; fraud in the inducement and the performance; etc.;
 - b. judges **intercept** people's mail and emails to detect and suppress those of their critics, which infringes upon Americans' most cherished rights, to wit, those guaranteed by the First Amendment to the U.S. **Constitution** to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including through the payment of **compensation**]".
 - c. judges have been and are protected by attorneys general, such as the current one, none other than former **Chief Judge Merrick Garland** of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, in DC. Likewise, they have been and are protected by Then-Judges, Now-Justices:
 - 1) Brett **Kavanaugh**, Sonia **Sotomayor**, Neil **Gorsuch**, Amy Coney **Barrett**, and Chief Justice John G. **Roberts**, Jr.;
 - 2) Cf. Antonin Scalia(OL2:646§D); Stephen G. Breyer (**jur:65fn105** and **fn154**); Clarence Thomas and Samuel A. Alito(**jur:149fn275**); and other justices(**jur:71§4**)
 - d. judges have their abuse and criminality kept secret in the secret reports concerning them and produced by the FBI upon its vetting them as potential judicial candidates and nominees. This warrants the demand by journalists and the rest of the public that President Biden make those reports public. That demand will confront him with an existential choice: become either the nemesis of judges or their accessory after the abuse and criminality that they had committed and he learned about but looked the other way, and accessory before those that the judges thereafter committed in reliance on the precedent of his covering for them... just as the judges themselves said the Catholic Church had covered for its pedophilic priests.
25. The objective is to cause such public outrage as to force judges, justices, courts, and even the Supreme Court itself to resign. Their resignation will strengthen the demand for compensation of abusees and reform of the judiciary. Attaining that objective will be facilitated by joining forces to take advantage of the scrutiny of the judiciary brought about by the nomination and confirmation of a new justice(OL3:1445).
- Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.*

January 30, 2022

**Without a strategy to sidestep the in-court process
you and millions of other people abused by judges
remain in the same place of abuse:
courts run by unaccountable judges, whose abuse of you is riskless and beneficial to them.**

This is the most opportune time to join in implementing
the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy[‡]

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. Without a strategy to escape the current situation of being abused by judges who disregard the facts, the law, and their duty of fairness and impartiality, and arrive at a situation in accordance with the procedural and substantive requirements of due process of law, your actions are reduced to a cry of pain and uncritical, wishful thinking.
2. You make Einstein's aphorism applicable to you: "Doing the same thing while expecting a different result is the hallmark of irrationality". This is so because your actions show ignorance or disregard of a fundamental law of the physical and the human worlds: cause and effect.
3. Judges are not going to return or give up their abusively-gotten gains and convenience, let alone incriminate their fellow judges and thereby end up being incriminated themselves, simply because you ask them to do so in yet another brief.
4. Expecting to stop judges' abuse by promoting the adoption of another law is irrational due to its inherent self-contradiction: That law would have to be applied by the same judges that you criticize for disregarding the laws in your and all other abusees' cases. The judges will disregard the new law. You will remain in the same abusive courts where you were. Same cause, same effect.
5. Uncritical, anything-goes thinking is typical of pro ses. But educated people should not indulge in it. Half-baked ideas make for a shrill rant, but they are no substitute for a strategy of concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions. Whining while marching to the judges' procedural drum beat does not get you or the other abusees out of your predicament. Your only-in-court actions are wasteful of your and everybody else's effort, time, and money. Everybody ends up being frustrated, exhausted, hopeless.
6. Hence, give yourself the opportunity to consider the hereunder described out-of-court strategy for informing the national public of, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power. To that end, *read the below article, re-read it, and read it again* until you understand how it articulates current facts, people's interests, and common sense enhanced by the craftiness of the street wise.
 - a. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Acquire both by reading the article and its references to my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. The study is titled and downloadable so:
**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ‡**
 - b. Visit my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. I have posted some of my articles there and they have attracted so many webvisitors and caused them to react so positively that as of January 30, the number of them who had become subscribers was 42,619 and counting.
7. This is the most propitious time to join the implementation of the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy, when, as discussed below:

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf>from OL2:394-1143
‡http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_concrete_actions_by_advocates.pdf

- a. top media outlets have dare expose state judges and even federal judges, who are appointed for life and can wield retaliatory power for a very long time;
 - b. politicians, whether principled or opportunistic, need to appear responsive to the public's outrage because they need its donations, volunteer work, and positive word of mouth to run their primaries and mid-term campaigns; and
 - c. the nomination and confirmation of a justice of the Supreme Court will focus national attention on all things judicial.
8. Be strategic! Oppose brains to judges' power. Join the effort to make the emailed article go viral: Click **"Reply All" and "SEND"**, whereby you can set in motion:
- a. a national, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative reform; and
 - b. a generalized media investigation of judges and their judiciaries, where journalists can:
 - 1) start off from the abundance of leads already gathered([OL:194§E](#));
 - 2) expose the abuse of power and cover-up by the current Attorney General, none other than former [Chief Circuit Judge Merrick Garland](#) of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, in DC;
 - 3) vet the potential successor to retiring Justice Stephen Breyer, namely, Circuit Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson, who sits precisely in that Court, using the vetting model based on [official court statistics](#) and the method for [auditing judges](#);
 - 4) expand the investigation of Judges Garland and Brown to those who like them have protected and continue to protect their fellow judges as Then-Judges and Now-Justices:

Brett [Kavanaugh](#), Sonia [Sotomayor](#), Neil [Gorsuch](#), Amy Coney [Barrett](#), and Chief Justice John G. [Roberts](#), Jr. Cf. Antonin Scalia([OL2:646§D](#)); Stephen G. Breyer([jur:65fn105](#) and [fn154](#)); Clarence Thomas and Samuel A. Alito([jur:149fn275](#)); and other justices([jur:71§4](#)).
 - c. interview 'little people', such as waiters, waitresses, maids, bartenders, bellboys, drivers, and receptionists at conference centers, hotels, yachts, and private/country clubs, whom the judges deemed too dumb to understand their bragging in the presence of other judges and VIPs about their latest or most daring way of grabbing gains and convenience by disregarding due process requirements and taking advantage of confidential information discussed in chambers by the parties; ex-parte; submitted under seal; and revealed for a bribe;
 - c. search for Deep Throat([jur:106§c](#)) in Court: former and current court and law clerks, judges, and lawyers, who driven by idealism signed on to be Workers of Justice only to be disgusted by becoming 'Three Monkey' enforcers of abuse and can now redeem themselves by becoming [confidential informants](#); and
 - d. demand that P. Biden release to the public the secret reports produced by the FBI after it vetted judicial candidates by wielding its powers of subpoena, search and seizure, and contempt -backed by the powers of indictment and prosecution of the Department of Justice (DoJ)-, all of which are powers that journalists and even preeminent media outlets, such as those mentioned in the below article, lack so that their vetting of judicial candidates is never as penetrating and revealing as that of the FBI/DoJ.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

February 6, 2022

**Your demo of Westlaw Edge Advantage; and
my presentation on how a law website with 43,001+ subscribers can
undergo business development at the most propitious time: at the start of
the nomination and confirmation of a new justice and
the primaries of the mid-term elections‡**

Ms. Elena Puig Powell
Business Development Representative
Thomson Reuters, the answer company
610 Opperman Drive, Eagan, MN 55123
Phone: 952-214-6346; elena.puigpowell@thomsonreuters.com

Dear Ms. Puig Powell, Thomson Reuters officers, journalists, lawyers, academics, and
Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your offer to “customize a quick demo for [me] with [y]our Westlaw Edge
experts”. I accept it. I am willing to take your call at 10:00 a.m. any day this coming week. My
phone number is (718)827-9521.

What follows provides pointers on how to ‘customize your demo’ in light of what I do and
how I have dealt with Reuters up to now.

Table of Contents

- A The business development of a law website with 43,001+ subscribers1417**
 - 1. The internal support for the development 1418
 - 2. The external support for the business development of the site..... 1418
 - 3. A free informational site developed into a research-investigative for profit center 1419
- B. The most propitious time for the site's business development: at the start of a
justiceship nomination and the primaries.....1420**
 - 1. A justiceship nomination focuses national attention on the nominator and the
nominees 1420
 - a. Investigating the honesty of nominator Biden..... 1420
 - b. Investigating the honesty of the justiceship candidates and the actual nominee 1422
 - c. Consequences of exposing the dishonesty of nominator Biden and the
justiceship candidates and nominee..... 1423
 - 2. The public is strongest and politicians weakest at the start of primaries, when they
must announce their platform 1424
- C. Concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that Reuters can take now1424**
- D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued,
let alone advanced, without money.....**

A. The business development of a law website with 43,001+ subscribers

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1417
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf>from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf

1. The internal support for the development

1. In turn, I would like to make a presentation to you, as Thomson Reuters Business Development Representative, and similarly situated Reuters officers and competitors, and all others, on the [business plan](#) to develop my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
2. I post there some of my law articles, which are professionally researched and written, as were those that I produced when I worked at ALRFed (American Law Reports -Federal) of Lawyers Cooperative Publishing, a unit of Thomson Reuters -Legal.
3. My articles are enhanced with [strategic thinking](#): They analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest to expose judicial [abuse of power](#) and [financial criminality](#); collectively demand compensation; and set in motion reform through transformative change, i.e., what goes into the process of change comes out transformed into a different entity.
4. The emphasis on what is in it for webvisitors may account for the fact that as of February 7, the number of subscribers to my website was 43,001([Appendix 3](#)).
 - a. How many law firms, let alone individual lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - b. Webvisitors may also appreciate the solid foundation of the posted articles, that is, my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, which contains([the references](#)) made in those articles as well as here. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣

Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#), which is available for free.

- c. That study underlies the articles that I have already addressed to Reuters:
 - 1) [.../OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf](#)
 - 2) [.../OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf](#)
 - 3) [.../OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf](#)

2. The external support for the business development of the site

5. The financial criminality of federal judges has been exposed by none other than *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with the one cited in the [article hereunder](#).
6. Thomson Reuters itself engaged in the exposure of state judges' abuse of power in its three-part report "The Teflon Robe", whose first part it published on June 30, 2020, discussed [here](#).
7. The possibility of obtaining compensation for the abuse suffered attracts potently the attention of all sufferers, who unable to overcome their outrage at their loss and pain must persist in their quest for justice.
8. The need for judicial reform is indisputable, for it underlies the [Biden Commission](#) for the reform of the Supreme Court, which submitted its report to the President on December 8, 2021.
 - a. The Commission is composed of current law professors at top schools who clerked for

justices and judges(article hereunder, paragraph 24.c.2). They either suffered abuse or witnessed it. They may even have been forced to carry it out under pain of having their budding careers damaged by a judge's or justice's lackluster or outright unfavorable letter of recommendation that the clerks need when seeking a job at the end of their clerkship(OL2:645§A). Devastating damage can be subtly coded, such as 'at times, this law clerk has reservations about the instructions concerning the work to be done'. The way to avoid such damage is by submitting unquestionably, even abjectly.

- b. Reuters can offer professors and law clerks, who have valuable inside information, the opportunity to make a clean breast of it, even redeem themselves, either openly or discreetly, whether individually or collectively.

3. A free informational site developed into a research-investigative for profit center

9. The business development of my website should be of interest to you because its subscribers are the type of highly-educated and well-off professionals that read long form and intellectually demanding articles published in the likes of *The New York Times Sunday Edition*, *The New Yorker*, *The Washington Post*, *TIME*, *The Atlantic*, etc....and even ask for more through a subscription.
10. Those subscribers constitute the initial and promising customer base to whom the development of the website would cater. The development entails two intertwined aspects:
 - a. one inside, that is, the enlargement of the site's Information Technology features; and
 - b. the other outside, consisting of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture.
11. The aim is to develop the site from a free informational platform into a for-profit **research-investigative center** intent on advancing the public interest and guided pragmatically by the motto "Making Money While Doing Justice".
12. The development of the site will apply the economic model of the radio, the TV, and almost all websites: basic contents are for free, while premium contents and enhanced functionality are accessible for a fee.
13. So, the site will keep providing free access to information to the public at large. Thereby it will implement the **out-of-court inform and outrage strategy** to form a national, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform.
 - a. In addition, it will be capable of accepting information uploaded by webvisitors, such as their **stories** and **complaints** about the **abuse** by judges that they have suffered or witnessed.
14. Moreover, the site will offer access for a fee to, among other things:
 - a. statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis that is computer-assisted, uses natural language, and runs on a large number of court and non-court writings to **audit** them in search of the most persuasive type of evidence: **patterns** of abuse and **schemes** of financial criminality. This will be the work of the site as a **research center**; and
 - b. the findings of the media, i.e., outlets and journalists, whose investigative work the center coordinates or to which it offers a common outlet, as do AP (Associated Press); the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists in Washington, DC.; and PBS Washington Week.
15. For instance, the developed site will conduct research and investigative work into how:

- a. **the math of abuse** of power demonstrates mathematically that circuit judges do not read the overwhelming majority of briefs. Federal official statistics([OL2:457§D](#)) and the decisions posted on court sites show that federal circuit judges dispose of 93% of appeals in reasonless, ad-hoc, fiat-like orders: They lack any discussion of any facts or law, and their only operative words are "denied" or "affirmed". They are workload-evading, court clerk-rubberstamped *5¢ dumping forms!*
- 1) Thereby judges knowingly and thus intentionally cause the waste of the \$Ks and even \$10Ks that it costs each party to conduct research and discovery and produce, print, bind, serve, and file a brief together with its supporting record and exhibits. That constitutes false advertisement of, and breach of contract for; judicial services; fraud; and compensable waste.
 - 2) Judges who hear oral arguments without having read the corresponding briefs and supporting materials have no idea whatsoever of the issues and claims at stake. Nevertheless, they determine them, doing so perfunctorily and arbitrarily on the fly, the harm to the parties and due process of law notwithstanding.
- b. judges **intercept** people’s mail and emails to detect and suppress those of their critics. By so doing, judges deny *We the People* their right to privacy and deprive them of their most cherished rights, namely, those guaranteed in the 1st Amendment to the **Constitution** to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including through the payment of **compensation**]”;
- c. judges have been and continue to be protected through a cover-up of their abuse of power and financial criminality by Attorney General **Merrick Garland**, the former Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, in Washington, DC;
- d. justices have afforded and continue to afford such protection, e.g., Then-Judges, Now-Justices:

Brett [Kavanaugh](#),
 Sonia [Sotomayor](#),
 Neil [Gorsuch](#),
 Amy Coney [Barrett](#), and
 Chief Justice John G. [Roberts](#), Jr.

Cf. Antonin Scalia([OL2:646§D](#));
 Stephen G. Breyer([jur:65fn105](#) and [fn154](#));
 Clarence Thomas and Samuel A.
 Alito([jur:149fn275](#)); and
 other justices([jur:71§4](#)).

B. The most propitious time for the site's business development: at the start of a justiceship nomination and the primaries

1. A justiceship nomination focuses national attention on the nominator and the nominees

16. The process of nominating the successor to retiring Justice Stephen Breyer has already focused national attention on President Biden because of his pledge to nominate the first Black woman to the Supreme Court.

a. Investigating the honesty of nominator Biden

17. The honesty or lack thereof of President Biden as the nominator of the new justice can be assessed, to begin with, by investigating his work as one of the ‘shepherds’, together with Sen. Chuck Schumer and Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand, through the Senate confirmation process of Then-nominee

Judge Sonia Sotomayor despite the doubts that the media raised about the truthfulness of her financial disclosure reports(jur:65§§a-c). Those doubts pointed to her concealment of assets.

- a. Concealing assets is the crime that precedes the crimes of tax evasion and money laundering; it is preceded in turn by any crime committed to acquire the assets.
 - b. The commission of any of those crimes, in particular, and any crime, in general, betrays contempt for the law and the rights of, and harm to, the victims. A criminal cannot be reasonably expected to apply the law "faithfully and impartially", as required of judges by the oath of office(28 U.S.C. §453). Criminal conduct and even the appearance of it(id., §455) impair trust in the judge's commitment to administering justice according to law. They are automatic disqualifiers for judicial office.
 - c. People who assist a criminal to be nominated and confirmed to judicial office impair their own honesty. They disqualify themselves as nominators.
18. To carry more weight, the media should coordinate their asking of the President the question that Sen. Howard Baker, the co-chair of the Senate Watergate Committee, famously asked of every witness at the 1973-1974 Watergate hearings: "What did the President know and when did he know it?"
19. To lay the baseline of the answer the media should demand that President Biden release the secret reports produced by the FBI upon its vetting J. Sotomayor in connection with her nomination to the district and circuit courts and the Supreme Court.
- a. To conduct its vetting, the FBI can exercise powers that the media lack: power of subpoena, search and seizure, arrest and detain, and contempt, which are strengthened by the powers of grand jury empanelment, indictment, and prosecution of the Department of Justice.
20. There are solid grounds for demanding and obtaining the release of the FBI's vetting reports on judicial candidates and nominees:
- a. The Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, are *We the People* in "government of, by, and for the people", as President Abraham Lincoln said in his Gettysburg Address on November 19, 1863. *The People* have the right to know about the honesty of those who may become or already are their public servants. This is especially the case concerning federal judges, who are appointed for life. What is more, they wield the most power over people's property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identity.
 - 1) Yet, federal judges are in practice unimpeachable and irremovable: In the 233 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of federal judges [impeached and removed is 8!](#) To gauge the significance of that number one can use the number of federal judicial officers on the bench on September 30, 2020: [2,341](#).
 - b. President Biden made the electoral promise to run the most transparent administration.
 - c. His moral capacity to make an honest nomination will be impeached by showing that he knew of any concealment of assets by J. Sotomayor.
 - d. The release of the FBI reports is supported by the jurisprudence that has been developed by the judges themselves when they have handled suits against:
 - 1) pedophilic priests and the cover-up of their crimes by the Catholic Church, whose invocation of the separation of church and state doctrine was unable to exempt it from

the duty to produce documents and comply with other discovery requests of plaintiffs;

- 2) the suits for sexual predation against officers of, and the Boys Scouts of America, which as a result declared bankruptcy; and
- 3) officers and doctors of the USA Gymnastics, the U.S. Olympic and Paralympic Committee, the University of Michigan, and Michigan State University, including Dr. Larry Nassar, who was convicted and sentenced to 40 to 175 years in prison.

e. The “equal protection of the law” clause of the 14th Amendment of the [Constitution](#) is the provision that *the People* as well as the media, plaintiffs, and their lawyers can invoke to force the [conniving politicians of a subservient state](#) to stop ‘protecting *from* the law’ the judges and justices whom they put on the bench and who have carved out for themselves the State of Judges Above the Law.

21. The media can investigate the honesty of nominator Biden and J. Sotomayor by conducting the proposed “*Follow the money!*” investigation([OL:194§E](#)).

- a. A similar investigation led to the imprisonment of 'All the President's Men', that is, all of President Nixon's White House aides. That investigation was initiated by *The Washington Post* and its rookie reporters Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward with the support of Publisher Katharine Graham and Executive Editor Ben Bradlee. They pursued the break-in at the Democratic National Committee at the Watergate building in Washington, DC, on June 17, 1972.
- b. As a result, they were derided for wasting their time on “a garden variety burglary by five plumbers”. Yet, they persisted. Their series of reports set in motion an investigative bandwagon on which journalists and media outlets had to climb under commercial and reputational pressure. That is how a generalized media investigation exposed a political espionage conspiracy, illegal campaign financing, and abuse of power to intimidate people opposing the reelection of Nixon. It led to his resignation on August 8, 1974.
- c. *The Washington Post* won the Pulitzer Prize for Public Service in 1973. Reporters Woodward and Bernstein wrote the bestseller "*All the President's Men*" and were played by Robert Redford and Dustin Hoffman, respectively, in the homonymous blockbuster movie. For their superior journalistic instinct, competence, and courage, they and Publisher Graham and Editor Bradlee entered history.
- d. Those are some of the rewards that await Thomson Reuters, its journalists, and the rest of the media for investigating the honesty of justiceship nominator Biden and...

b. Investigating the honesty of the justiceship candidates and the actual nominee

22. The honesty or lack thereof of the potential and actual nominees to succeed Justice Breyer can be exposed by:

- a. a coordinated demand by the media for the release of their respective FBI secret reports; and
- b. the [analysis](#) of the [official statistics](#) of their respective [court](#)(and [OL2:1176](#)). They will reveal how those judges have either dismissed as chief circuit judges 100% of complaints about fellow judges, as did former Chief Judge and current A.G. Garland, and denied as members of their circuit judicial council([28 USC §332](#)) 100% of petitions to review those

dismissals, as did Then-Judge Sotomayor and her fellow justices who were judges; or condoned such handling of complaints by implicitly or explicitly entering a complicit reciprocal exoneration agreement with fellow judges.

- 1) Congress entrusted judges with self-disciplining authority under the [Judicial Conduct and Disability Act](#) of 1984(28 U.S.C. §§351-364). Judges abuse it in order to grant their fellow judges and themselves self-exoneration. They have made themselves untouchable: *The Unaccountable*.
 - 2) By contrast, they have intentionally disregarded the complainants and the rest of the public, leaving them abused, uncompensated, and at the mercy of judges thus emboldened by such assurance of the risklessness of their abuse.
 - 3) Thereby judges break their oath of office(28 U.S.C §453) "to do equal right to the poor [in fellow judges] and to the rich [in power to reciprocate the exoneration]".
 - 4) That amounts in effect to judges' self-interested, illegal abrogation of that Act of Congress. Yet, they took an oath to "faithfully and impartially [apply] the Constitution and the laws of the United States"(id.)
- c. the demonstration that the justiceship candidates and nominee engage in waste-causing conduct, deceptive advertisement of, and breach of contract for, judicial services, and fraud because they:
- 1) [fail to read](#) most briefs; and
 - 2) have organized or condone judges' [interception](#) of people's mail and emails to detect and suppress those of their critics.

c. Consequences of exposing the dishonesty of nominator Biden and the justiceship candidates and nominee

23. There will hardly remain any judge who is not tainted by abuse of power and financial criminality committed as a principal or an accessory; and participation in a cover-up through willful blindness, willful ignorance, and "three monkey" dereliction of duty([jur:88§§a-d](#)).
24. The sheer pervasiveness of such conduct will reveal abuse and criminality as judges' institutionalized modus operandi. Similar to Bernstein's description of Nixon's White House as "a criminal enterprise", the Federal Judiciary is a rogue institution run by unaccountable, Above the Law judges and justices as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
25. Will you or the national public want any of them to be elevated to the Supreme Court as a life-appointed justice...or even remain in the Federal Judiciary in any capacity?
26. Far from it, informed thereof, the national public will be outraged. It will compel an official investigation and reform of the judicial system through transformative change.
 - a. That change can start with the dissolution of the Federal Judiciary. It was created by the Judiciary Act of 1789. Hence, it is by no means the same as "the judicial Power of the United States" provided for under Article III, Section 1, of the Constitution. That power is "vested in one Supreme Court". However, justices and judges only "hold their Offices during good Behaviour". Committing abuse of power and financial criminality constitutes legally and ethically 'bad Behaviour'. Those who commit them by definition no longer "hold their Offices".

- b. To establish such commission there is no need to resort to the cumbersome procedure of impeachment and removal, which in practice has been rendered **useless by politics**. Regular trials before juries will suffice.
- c. Even before the need for trials judges may resign. A series of resignations may render inevitable the resignation of the Supreme Court justices en banc, that is, all of them simultaneously. Solid precedent makes those resignations a reasonable expectation.
 - 1) Former 9th Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski resigned on December 18, 2017, to end a sexual harassment investigation that Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., had directed the 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals to conduct.
 - 2) Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, resigned from the 3rd Circuit on February 11, 2019, upon being informed that she was under investigation for participating in her father's distribution of assets to his children through an inheritance tax-evading fraud scheme.
 - 3) Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas was nominated by President Lyndon Johnson to become chief justice. The media investigated him. *Life* magazine revealed improprieties on his part that caused such public outrage as to force him to withdraw his name from the nomination. However, *Life* kept investigating him and revealed even more improprieties. The outrage became so intense that it made his holding office untenable. Justice Fortas resigned from the Supreme Court on May 14, 1969(jur:92§d).
- d. Those resignations and an informed and outraged national public may precipitate the dissolution of the Federal Judiciary.
- e. An outraged public in self-assertive voting mood can also demand that Congress convene the constitutional convention that 34 states have requested since April 2, 2014. Thereby they have satisfied the amending requirements of Article V of the **Constitution** of 1789, which replaced the Articles of Confederation of 1781. The Constitution can in turn be replaced by the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, who get rid of "the dead *men's* hand" of 233 years ago and with the hand of men and women living today write their own Constitution: *We the People*.

2. The public is strongest and politicians weakest at the start of primaries, when they must announce their platform

- 27. Politicians running in the primaries and the mid-term elections will feel it necessary to appear to respond to the demands of an informed and outraged public to hold judges and justices accountable for their exercise of judicial power and liable to compensate their abusees. That will include holding a transparent justiceship nomination and confirmation.
 - a. Politicians are most vulnerable and the public is strongest when the former depend on the latter for donations, volunteer campaign work, positive word of mouth, and votes.

C. Concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that Reuters can take now

- 28. Rather than cede the opportunity to *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Washington Post*, and other competitors, Thomson Reuters can seize it to make the scoop of a lifetime.
 - a. A recent precedent for that is the publication by *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker*

on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, of their exposés of Harvey Weinstein's sexual abuse. Within a week the *MeToo!* movement erupted globally. The world has not been the same since.

- b. Reuters can publish one or a series of my articles already written and available for review([Appendix 6§A](#)); and commission new articles, e.g., on the nomination and confirmation of a new justice, of which this article is a preview that I can edit as required.
- c. Such article(s) can launch here and abroad a civic movement of informed and outraged people who demand their right to hold their judicial public servants accountable for the public power entrusted to them for the public good, and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

29. Moreover, Reuters can employ its enormous prestige and resources to promote and organize the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS.

- a. The citizen hearings will be held by media outlets, journalists, professors, and students of law, journalism, business, Information Technology, and social sciences.
- b. Their venue will be media stations, university auditoriums, and video conferences, which will make participation and attendance possible everywhere and inexpensive.
- c. The citizens hearings will afford people a chance to do what is an essential element of their quest for justice: tell their stories to the public and let out that feeling that is burning them inside with pain and humiliation:

The judges had all the power...

and i was nothing!

- d. The national mood is ripe for listening to them, for their stories will resonate with the public as has the self-assertive rallying cry of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and for racial and socio-economic equality:

Enough is enough!

We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.

30. The business development of my website can include a program in the public interest to give rise to a niche practice for practicing lawyers, the glut of unemployed lawyers, and hardly employable law students and recent graduates. They will be able to assist current, former, and even prospective parties to lawsuits in forming local chapters for the collective demand to [local judges and their courts](#) that they pay [compensation](#) for their abuse of power and financial criminality.

- a. That demand is predicated on "the equal protection of the law". Upon it judges themselves have held liable doctors and their hospitals, lawyers and their law firms, police officers and their departments, priests and their churches, sports coaches and their organizations, etc.

31. Indeed, this is the most propitious time for Reuters to undertake the proposed business development of my website and thereby seize the opportunity to lead transformative judicial reform by [Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)...or it can let courageous, principled, and ambitious competitors do so.

I look forward to hearing from you to discuss when you would like me to make to you and your colleagues and guests the presentation on the business development of my website, in person if here in New York City; otherwise, via video conference.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

February 10, 2022

Forming local chapters of people abused by judges and seeking the assistance of law and journalism schools

A. The method for writing your story in up to 500 words and sending it to the email addresses provided

1. In preparation for telling the national public your story of the abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed, you must first write it in up to 500 words. To learn how to do that, read and apply [the two-phase method](#) for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality that you have suffered or witnessed. Among those words there is no room whatsoever for foul language of images that detract from one's degree of education and professionalism.
2. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Whining and ranting is for the weak and those who already gave up. You have to read, re-read, and then read again in order to take concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions capable of advancing your interests and those of people similarly situated.
3. So that you may take such action, there are two blocks of email addresses(below, [Appendix 7](#)) of professors of law and journalists in a position to do something about your story, namely, give you and other people similarly situated the opportunity to [tell the national public their story](#). Those addressees can do so by holding the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#).

B. Seeking the assistance of schools to form a local chapter of abusees

4. You can form a local group of people who have appeared or are appearing before your judge or in the same court as you are or were concerning fraudulent foreclosures and other judges' abuses.
5. Read the article on auditing the orders, decisions, and and other writings of judges. It contains a [method for you to form a group](#) of abusees and combine all your documents in a single pdf file that can be searched for the most persuasive evidence: patterns of abuse in several cases.
6. You and the members of your group can form a local chapter of the national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform. A local chapter can call local law schools -as well as schools of journalism- to make an appointment with the respective dean of students so that the dean can put your chapter in touch with:
 - a. the school clinic where students under the guidance of a professor represent indigent people and other people who can ill afford to retain legal representation but can pay a modest amount of money to prosecute cases deemed in the public interest. If the clinic agrees to represent your local chapter, it can review the cases of its members in search of patterns and schemes of judges' abuse and criminality.
 - 1) The equivalent of a law school clinic in a school of journalism is the Team Investigation course, where some or all students investigate the same story guided by a journalism professor who teaches them how to coordinate an investigation too complex for a single student to investigate. American journalism schools apply the pedagogical principle of "learning by doing", whereby students learn journalism by investigating stories and submitting their reports for review by their professors and classmates.
 - 2) The equivalent of a law case is a journalistic story where several people or entities have experiences or concerns that share a common element, such as judges' abuse of

power or financial criminality;

- b. the professors who teach public interest law or Team Investigation to interest them in pursuing in the public interest the case or story of your chapter;
 - c. the student president and other student officers of the school class to interest them in having the school offer a course, practicum, or an individual project, e.g., for investigating and writing a thesis, on the abuse and criminality illustrated by the case of your chapter;
 - d. the student associations whose respective interest is likely to encompass the case of your chapter. Any law or journalism school may have scores of student associations.
7. When you visit with any of them, tender your story written in up to 500 words.
- a. Absolutely do NOT expect them to pay attention to your oral presentation for more than 3 minutes. The moment they see that you are about to go on and on rambling about your case they will lose interest and terminate the meeting.
8. You may print and hand to them my articles and give them their links:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf

9. If you form a local chapter of at least 10 members, I offer to make a video presentation to all.

C. Pro ses are abused by judges' weighing a pro se case as 1/3 of a case

10. No party, let alone a pro se, has the power to force judges to do anything. Pro ses are additionally abused by federal judges, who weigh a pro se case as a third of a case, according to the official court statistics published in the [Annual Report](#) of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and submitted to Congress as a public document;.
11. This weighing is automatic, occurring the moment a party checks the “pro se” box in the Case Information Sheet. This means that federal judges are not only authorized to give pro ses only a third of their attention, but also expected not to waste on pro se cases more than a third of the effort, time, and court resources that judges give the average case weighed as one “case”. The prejudice against pro ses begins at the in-take office, before a pro se brief has ever made it to chambers and the judge has had the opportunity to read it and evaluate the nature and importance of the facts and the legal issues at stake, and the scope of the impact on the parties and all others.
12. However, pro ses must pay full court fees and comply with all brief and other procedural requirements and deadlines applicable to the largest companies that can afford the biggest law firms. Moreover, the grave consequences of checking that “pro se” box are kept hidden from pro ses and in effect, the public, for even most lawyers do not know of the existence of the Administrative Office, let alone its Annual Report.
13. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. There Is Strength In Numbers. Those who have no power must have a strategy. *Read*(¶8 supra) to learn the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform; and distribute this article widely to cause others to join forces with us.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

D. Offer to present the above article to you and your group of guests

14. I can present it via video conference; if in NY City, in person. Cf. my [video](#) and [slides](#). [Contact me](#).

E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

15. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers. **Put your money where your outrage at abuse and quest for justice are.** Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of **Judicial Discipline Reform**.

16. **DONATE** by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account to Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001; or TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

1. Activities to be financed by donations and capital investment, described in its [business plan](#); e.g., to:

17. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ‡

18. turn the website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted countless webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that 43,236 ([App.3](#)) have become subscribers as of February 18, 2022– from an informational platform, into:

a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges that anybody can upload;

b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and

c. the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;

19. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);

20. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;

21. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;

22. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;

23. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & wins Pulitzers*;

24. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change([¶77](#); [¶48](#));

25. support the petition to Congress by 34 states([Const. Art. V](#)) to call a constitutional convention.

December 25, 2021

Dear Mr. V., your organization, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

You asked, Mr. V. that I let you know what you can do to help make progress toward judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative change.

1. You can let me know whether in an earlier email you made me an employment offer to represent you in Washington, DC, as head of your organization. If so, please describe the responsibilities of the job and state your proposed salary and benefits. For merely a title, I do not work pro bono or on a contingency basis.
2. You and your organization can realize that Congress is not going to pay any attention to any request that it undertake foreclosure reform on top of the issues that absorb its members' attention, namely, the Build Back Better bill that is in a stalemate; voting rights; Covid-19 mandates; abortion; inflation; immigration; and the implementation of the infrastructure bill so that there is something to show for this year's work.
 - a. But even those attention-absorbing issues will be overwhelmed by the one that will be placed front and center of Congress beginning 2022: raising money for the primaries leading up to the mid-term elections.
 - b. That is a crucial issue because the Republicans have a chance of winning both houses and the Democrats run the risk of suffering a defeat that will nullify what they have achieved so far and prevent them from achieving anything in the second half of the Biden presidency.
 - c. Congress did not take action against the financial institution responsible for the 2008 sub-prime mortgage rate debacle. It will not take action against those institutions now when its members and their respective parties need to curry favor with those institutions, which make campaign contributions to both parties in order to stave off legislation against them.
 - d. Hence, do not waste your time and that of your organization trying to cause Congress to take up an issue that is nowhere in the national debate and that can only make them lose an important source of contributions.
3. Instead, concentrate on having your organization and everybody else who has suffered or witnessed judges' abuse of power and financial criminality write their story and submit it to those who have dare expose judges, that is, those discussed in the [previous article](#)(OL3:1399). They are top news and investigative entities and a VIP: *The Wall Street Journal*, Thomson Reuters, *The Boston Globe*, International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, and Sen. Elizabeth Warren.
 - a. The more stories of judges' abuse and criminality those entities receive, the more they will be convinced that there is an audience for their reports on those issues and the more man-power and financial resources they will invest in [investigating them further](#). Their decision is based on commercial considerations and reputational ambitions: "Scandal sells" and reporting on it can win Pulitzer Prizes. Their interests are harmonious with ours.
 - b. Thus, you can help by organizing paid 1) [webinars](#) where I can explain attendees the importance of writing their stories and sending them to the provided [two blocs](#) of key journalists and law professors; and 2) workshops where I can show them [how to write](#) their stories.
 - c. Time is of the essence: We want judicial abuse concerning not only foreclosures, but also [all other forms](#) of abuse, e.g., bankruptcy, guardianship, family court, to be inserted in the platforms and stump speeches of politicians running in, and supporting, the primaries. That is why you all should join the effort of sharing and posting the [article](#) so that it may *go viral*.

February 13, 2022

**How ABC reporter Adam Walser and I-Teams nationwide,
and victims of judges' abuse of power
can investigate one story of abuse further and similar ones
to inform the public and outrage it
into demanding that judges be held accountable and liable
at the most propitious time: at the start of
the nomination and confirmation of a justice, and
the primaries, when politicians need to cater to the public's demands**

Reporter Adam Walser
ABC Action News Plus
Florida
adam@abcactionnews.com

Ms. Lesa Martino
Seffner, Florida
lesa.m.martino@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Walser, Ms. Martino, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

With great interest, I read the article and watched the footage concerning your story:

Price of Protection: Woman loses Seffner home after father's guardian sues her for libel: Former guardian faces felony charges; Adam Walser; ABC Action News Plus; February 10, 2022; https://www.abcactionnews.com/news/local-news/i-team-investigates/the-price-of-protection/price-of-protection-woman-loses-seffner-home-after-fathers-guardian-sues-her-for-libel?fbclid=IwAR0aejMvfcxBAJ4UqHm4xWHXolqRx7fkEX2_NXpmXahHH27L3snV54foPdw

"...If you have a story you think the I-Team should investigate, email us at adam@abcactionnews.com."

I respectfully propose that you both:

- a. further investigate Ms. Martino's story as set forth below; and
- b. extend the opportunity to your fellow reporters across the nation to investigate similar stories.

A. The foundation of the proposed investigation

1. The proposed investigation has concrete and reasonable objectives attainable in the short term. The proposal has as its foundation my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ‡**

Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#), which is available for free.

2. I post some of my articles on my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
3. My articles are the product of professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#). They analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest to:
 - a. expose judicial [abuse of power](#) and [financial criminality](#);
 - b. collectively demand [compensation](#); and
 - c. set in motion [reform](#) through transformative change, i.e., what goes into the process of change comes out transformed into a different entity.
4. Webvisitors have reacted so favorably to my articles that as of February 13, the number of them who have become subscribers is 43,157.

B. The objective of determining whether judges abused Ms. Martino

5. This investigation is guided by a question that can be asked in every individual case:
 - a. Did the judges involved in this case have enough evidence to suspect that other participants in the case, e.g., guardians, financial institutions, health care providers, auctioneers, warehousemen, and lawyers, were abusing their position but chose not to pursue with due diligence their suspicions or to disregard them altogether in order to advance their own financial interests and maintain their acceptance among their fellow judges so that *but for* the abuse of power and dereliction of duty by the judges themselves, the abuse by the other participants would not have taken place?
 - b. If so, Ms. Martino and other similarly situated abusees -who can be located by applying the [method for forming a group](#)- will be able to join forces to demand collectively compensation from the participants, including the judges and their judiciaries. Their demand will invoke:
 - 1) the jurisprudence that the judges themselves have developed to hold accountable and liable pedophilic priests and sports officers and doctors as well as their churches and organizations; and
 - 2) the sources of authority that show the unconstitutionality, unlawfulness, and undemocratic nature of the [doctrine of judicial immunity](#) that judges have concocted abusively in self-interest and to the detriment of everybody else.

C. The objective of exposing judges' patterns of abuse

1. The nature and importance of patterns

6. The proposed further investigation aims to detect and expose the most persuasive type of evidence of abuse, namely, patterns of abuse.
 - a. The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) defines 'pattern of racketeering activity as two acts of racketeering activity committed within 10 years'(18 U.S.Code [of federal law only] §1961(5)).
 - b. Judges hold themselves and are held by the politicians who nominate and confirm them to the bench unaccountable. So they risklessly abuse their power and engage in financial criminality. As a result, they run their judiciaries as [racketeering enterprises](#). Cf. *Washington*

Post reporter Carl Bernstein of Watergate fame called the White House run by President Nixon and 'All his Men' a criminal enterprise.

- c. Judges' abuse cannot be explained away as the inadvertent mistakes of an otherwise competent judge in a single case or the repeated mistakes of an incompetent judge; or even the systematic malfeasance of one rogue judge in many of his/her cases.
 - d. Judges' patterns of abuse point to abuse so coordinated among, and extensively practiced by, judges and other participants as to reveal their abuse as their way of doing business. Through their abuse they advance their individual and judicial class interests to the detriment of parties to the cases filed in their courts and the rest of the public.
 - e. Patterns reveal that judges have internalized their abuse by making it their institutionalized modus operandi; and externalized it by coordinating it with outside participants. Whether as principals, who engage in abuse of power or financial criminality, or as accessories, who cover for them, all of them have gone rogue(18 U.S.C. §§2-3; jur:88§§a-d)...and taken their judiciaries with them.
7. A single party, never mind one dealing with judges without a lawyer, that is, pro se, does not stand a chance of protecting his/her rights successfully, let alone obtaining compensation. They lost their case before even filing it in a court.
 8. This is precisely what has been shown by the investigations into judges and their judiciaries by ABC competitors as reputable as *The Wall Street Journal*, Thomson Reuters, *The Boston Globe*, and a politician as knowledgeable about financial matters as Senator Elizabeth Warren; and what the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, headquartered in Washington, DC, can help show thanks to its vast experience in investigations into hidden financial accounts and its use of advanced technology. All of their investigations are referenced in [the article downloadable here](#) and reproduced below.

2. Elements for the search for patterns of abuse in this case

9. The search for patterns of abuse and financial criminality in Ms. Martino's case can start off by determining in how many other cases the following people and entities have dealt with each other:
 - a. Judge Edward C. LaRose, Judge Patricia J. Kelly, and Judge J. Andrew Atkins
 - b. professional guardian [Traci Hudson](#), formerly known as Traci Samuel
 - c. Gainesville attorney [John Hayter](#)
 - d. the Florida Department of Children and Families
 - e. the Florida Department of Elder Affairs
 - f. the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office
 - g. the health care facility to which Ms. Martino's father, ward Roland Martino, was committed
 - h. the doctors and nurses who drew up, carried out, and supervised the health treatment of ward Martino
 - i. the prosecutors of Traci Hudson and similar cases referred to, investigated, and/or prosecuted by, their district attorney's office
 - j. other participants in this and similar cases.

3. Hiring law and journalism students to search for patterns

10. You, Ms. Martino, and every other abusee can ask ABC reporter Adam Walser at adam@abcactionnews.com and all the other ABC I-Teams to investigate your respective story in search of patterns of judges' abuse and criminality. But thereafter you must not simply sit back and hope that they do it.
11. You can take concrete, reasonable, and feasible steps to investigate your case *in a methodical way and assisted by trained people*. To search for patterns you can apply [the method](#) for seeking the assistance of law and journalism schools.
12. When you contact those schools, ask for the names of students whom even professors hire at an hourly rate to help them with their own academic research. These students are proficient in conducting computer-assisted research on the huge databases of Westlaw, NexisLexis, ProQuest, etc. ([jur:105§b](#)).
13. While you must pay the students, they will save you a considerable amount of effort, money, and frustration. You need not be the only one paying them if you apply the method for [forming a group](#) of similarly situated people abused by the same judges and in the same court as yours.
 - a. You of all can turn your group into the local chapter of the national movement for judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform. The precedent for this is very solid: Local chapters of people meeting in their homes and backyards and disciplined enough to discuss the *single issue* of no new taxes eventually coalesced into the TEA Party. The latter grew strong enough to dominate state and presidential elections.
14. Moreover, you can interest the students, professors as well as deans in contacting their classmates and colleagues to investigate judges' abuse of power and financial criminality as the subject matter of a course, seminar, or ABC internship for academic credit, or an article for submission to a respectable professional journal, newspaper, or magazine.
 - a. An investigation in which students participate that ends up at the top of the newscasts and the front page of prestigious publications will serve to enhance the resume that the students have to tender to the recruiters that come to their schools to interview students for summer jobs or their first job after graduation; and the list of achievements that professors will submit to the school committee on tenure, promotion, and review of compliance with the academic requirement "*Publish or Die!*".
 - b. It follows that students and professors have a vested interest in doing the best research and investigative work possible into a story that can provoke national outrage and galvanize the public into action. Therefore, think strategically: argue their own interest, not yours... precisely at the most propitious time:

D. The objective of turning judges' abuse of power into a decisive issue of the nomination of a justice and the primaries

15. This is the most propitious time to set in motion a state and national investigation into abuse of power and financial criminality committed by judges and their judiciaries because:
 - a. the nomination by President Biden of a justice to succeed retiring Justice Stephen Breyer and the confirmation by the Senate will focus national attention on the background of the nominee. You can help expand that focus to encompass the participation in abuse and criminality by:

- 1) the justiceship candidates, the nominee, their fellow judges, and the other justices (OL3:1420¶1.d); and
 - 2) the judges in your case and the cases of the members of your group cum local chapter;
- b. the beginning of the primaries is a crucial time for politicians because they have to fix the issues on which they will run and expect to be supported by their fellow politicians and their state and national party committees.
 - c. Likewise, you should strive to make those issues become decisive ones in the mid-term elections. You and your local chapter want to inform the state and national public of, and outrage it at, judges' abuse and criminality so that politicians are compelled to include those issues in their electoral platform and address them at every rally, townhall meeting, and interview.
 - d. You want it to become a decisive issue in the mid-term elections. The reason for this is that only the public wielding its voting power can force politicians to investigate judges officially, pay their abusees compensation, and undertake transformative reform of the power of judges and their judiciaries.

E. What you and all other abusees can do to advance your similar interests

1. Writing in up to 500 words your story and emailing it

16. You all can apply the [two-step method](#) for writing in up to 500 words your respective story of the abuse of power and financial criminality by judges that you have suffered and witnessed. The method will help you to write a story that is accurate, significant, and verifiable. A lot rides on it.
17. Indeed, a rambling, incoherent, whining anecdote will put off every reporter, student, professor, and even fellow abusee. If you cannot show in the first 500 words that you have a case of outrageous abuse and criminality by judges, the next 500 will not even be read. If reporters want more information, they will ask you to send it to them.
18. Just as you must not send tens of pages in the body of the email, you must not send any attachment. In fact, people who know about the computer malware that can lurk in attachments do not even open them. This explains why there are email servers that upon receipt of an email with attachments do not forward it to their addressees, but rather send it back to the sender.
19. Nor can you merely send 'a bunch' of links and ask or simply expect the addressee to 'scrounge' for heads or tails in a basket case. You are the one asking for your addressee's attention. You are the one who has to go through your documents and write a brief story in up to 500 words that is informative, highlights only the most outrageous conduct, and provides data enabling verification and the search for patterns.
20. Relax: The [two-step method](#) is intended to make it easy for you to produce such a story. You only need to be so outraged by what judges have done and allowed others to do to you that you are determined to take advantage of this most propitious time to write your story: when even a reporter of a national media network is generously asking you to send it to him.
21. Consequently, do your homework. Compose your best story. Then email it to ABC reporter Adam Walser at adam@abcactionnews.com. Ask that he investigate it or refer it to the appropriate ABC I-Teams and fellow reporters across the country.
22. The more stories Mr. Walser receives, the more he, his assigning editor, and his fellow ABC reporters will realize that they got an issue with broad audience appeal. That is what scoops are

made of. Reporting on it is how Pulitzer Prizes are won.

23. To encourage as many people to write their story and email it to him, help this and my other articles go viral: **Open them**, click **“Reply All”**, and **“Send”**.

2. Promoting unprecedented citizens hearings

24. Make it a point to interest Mr. Walser and his fellow reporters in holding the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS.
- The citizen hearings will be held by media outlets, reporters, professors, and students of law, journalism, business, Information Technology, and social sciences.
 - Their venue will be media stations, university auditoriums, and video conferences, which will make participation and attendance possible everywhere and inexpensive.
 - The citizens hearings will afford you and many other abusees and people who witnessed abuse or even committed it, lest they be punished, a chance to do what is an essential element of the quest for justice of all of you: tell your stories to the public and let out that feeling that is burning you inside with pain and humiliation:

The judges had all the power...

and i was nothing!

- The national public is willing to listen to you, for your story will resonate with it as has the self-assertive rallying cry of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and for racial and socio-economic equality:

Enough is enough!

We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.

25. By promoting the UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS you can do your part in setting in motion a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative reform.

F. My offer of a presentation to you and your group of colleagues and guests

26. I offer to present this article and answer questions via [video](#) conference and, if in NY City, in person, to you and your group.
27. To schedule the presentation you may use my contact information below.

G. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

28. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.
29. Put your money where your outrage at abuse and quest for justice are. Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of **Judicial Discipline Reform**.
30. **DONATE** by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle

from your account to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;
or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

February 21, 2022

Dear CLE companies, associations defending their members' legal interests, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

This is a proposal for you to take advantage of top publications' exposure of abuse of power and financial criminality by judges as the basis for accepting my offer of a webinar on how your members can protect their clients and themselves from those judges and demand from them and their judiciaries compensation for the harm that they have suffered.

This is the most propitious time for this webinar because the nomination of a Supreme Court justice will focus journalists' attention on her conduct and that of her fellow judges; the expression of opposing interests by many constituencies will be vociferous; and the primaries force politicians to appear to care about any public outrage at judges' conduct so as to distinguish them from their opponents and attract the most donors, volunteer campaign workers, and voters.

A. Why it is in your interest to present this webinar

1. Would you find the interception of your emails an outrageous violation of your rights guaranteed under the First Amendment to the [Constitution](#) to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including through the payment of [compensation](#)]"?
2. You are not the only person among the more than 20,000 email addressees in my emailing list who tells me that they sent me emails, which I never received, or that they never received emails that I sent them. Moreover, other people have told me that they, either as senders or addressees, have experienced the same failure to receive emails to and from others.
3. That is why I conducted a [statistical analysis](#) of the facts concerning the failure to receive emails. It showed that there was voluntary and systemic interception of emails.
4. By exposing the interceptor, you can advance your commercial interests, make a name for yourselves, and contribute to reforming the system of the administration of justice. The need for such reform is indisputable given President Biden's Commission for the Reform of the Supreme Court, which establishes the system's substantive principles and supervises their application.
5. The interception of emails and even mail is that issue. No other can affect the national public and provoke more visceral outrage than it.
6. There is precedent for pursuing an issue that explodes into a national scandal; earns the rewards of a Pulitzer Prize, a bestseller, and a blockbuster movie; and leads to the unthinkable: *Washington Post* reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein pursued the break-in at the Democratic National Committee in the Watergate building complex in Washington, DC. At the time it was derided as "a garden variety burglary by five plumbers". But thanks to their determination, courage, and superior analytical capacity they set in motion a bandwagon investigation that led to the resignation of President Nixon on August 8, 1974.

1. Top publications lay the foundation for identifying the interceptor

7. There are independent sources of facts that you may find strongly persuasive of both the existence of such email and mail interception and the most likely identity of the interceptors because they have the strongest motive, means, and opportunity to intercept (email addresses are collected in Appendix 7 below):

- a. *The Wall Street Journal*, published on September 28, 2021, the first of a [series](#) of articles under the initial title “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”. Another article in the series was published on November 2, 2021, titled “Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn’t violate financial-conflicts law”; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Michael.Siconolfi@wsj.com. (See the articles referred to here and at [Appendix:6§C.22](#).)
- b. Thomson Reuters, with 2,500+ journalists and 600+ photojournalists, published on June 30, 2020, the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe”, and on its massive [investigation](#) of state judges led by [John.Shiffman@thomsonreuters.com](#) and [Michael.Berens@thomsonreuters.com](#). It found that “hardwired judicial corruption” intertwines state judges and the state commissions on judicial performance that are duty-bound to supervise and discipline them. Reuters asked readers to send it [their stories](#) of abuse by judges...and it was “inundated” with them. This goes to showing that people who have suffered or witnessed judges’ abuse want to tell their story to the largest public possible. Thereafter, Reuters proposed a [law firm council](#).
- c. *The Boston Globe* published its [investigative](#) report by Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca and “Todd Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, [patricia.wen@globe.com](#), [spotlight@globe.com](#), on September 30, 2018, “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”. Officers making decisions in criminal matters need not be lawyers, although they are supposed to administer Equal Justice Under Law to criminal defendants.
- d. Senator Elizabeth Warren, in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”, [dare](#) denounce judges’ unaccountability and their abuse of it by refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the parties before them in order to steer the cases so as to protect and increase the value of their stock. Sen. Warren refers to their grabbing as ‘abusive self-enrichment’.
- e. The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), in Washington, D.C., published on October 3, 2021, the [Pandora Papers](#), that is, close to 12 million financial documents leaked to it. “More Than 600 Reporters Around The Globe Work With ICIJ On The Most Expansive Leak Of Tax Haven Files In History”. The expertise that ICIJ has gained in applying document scanning software and money tracking techniques can be applied to exposing judges’ [illegal flow](#) of money from the point where they grab it to the point where they spend it after having laundered it([OL:194§E](#)).
- f. NBC News published its report by Erik Ortiz on December 26, 2021, "[Robed in secrecy: How judges accused of misconduct can dodge public scrutiny - Thousands of complaints](#) are filed against judges every year, but very few result in discipline. Ethics experts say the time for states to transform the judiciary is now"; [erik.ortiz@nbcuni.com](#), “Senior Editor News Projects” <[Anna.Brand@nbcuni.com](#)>, “Assistant Managing Editor for News” <[Tim.Perone@nbcuni.com](#)>, “Deputy News Editors” <[Jessica.Simeone@nbcuni.com](#)>, <[Jaquetta.White@nbcuni.com](#)>.
- g. [ABC](#) broadcast its reportage “Price of Protection: Woman loses Seffner home after father's guardian sues her for libel: Former guardian faces felony charges” by reporter Adam Walser of [ABC Action News](#) Plus on February 10, 2022;.

- h. [The Center](#) for Public Integrity published “[Federal judges plead guilty](#)” for hiding their conflict of financial interests, by Reity O’Brien, Kytja Weir, Chris Young on April 28, 2014; <https://publicintegrity.org/politics/federal-judges-plead-guilty/>.
 - i. *The Washington Post* published “[Ethics Lapses by Federal Judges Persist, Review Finds](#)”, by Joe Stephens on April 18, 2006.
 - i. Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., in his “2021 [Year-End Report](#) on the Federal Judiciary” considered the “matter of financial disclosure and recusal obligations” exposed by *The Wall Street Journal* so important that it was the first one that he discussed of the three issues that he said “will receive focused attention from the Judicial Conference and its committees in the coming months...Let me be crystal clear: the Judiciary takes this matter seriously. We expect judges to adhere to the highest standards, and those judges violated an ethics rule”.
8. The above reports by top publications warrant the inference that federal judges who to grab money broke the law and ethical rules by hiding their financial interests in cases before them, have the motive to keep their violation hidden, not just from the parties before them, but all the more so also from the public at large, which they do by intercepting the public’s emails and mail in order to detect and suppress those of people who are exposing or may expose them.
9. Federal judges have the means therefor: They have the vast technical expertise and equipment infrastructure to run one of the largest digital networks in the country, covering the whole of it. Their network allows the filing, storage, and retrieval of hundreds of millions of briefs, motions, records, petitions, applications, orders, decisions, dockets, schedules, reports, statistics, emails, etc., and all other elements of their electronic case filing and management system. The U.S. Postal Service’s “Informed Delivery” service shows that the technology to intercept mail is already at work([OL3:1304¶20](#)).
10. Among those whose criticism of them judges want to keep hidden are the people with whom I communicate and whom I am [organizing](#). For proof, see my three-volume study*[†][♣] of judges and their judiciaries, the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†][♣]**

- a. I post some of my law articles on my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
- b. My articles analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest to:
 - 1) expose the judicial abuse and criminality that they have suffered or witnessed;
 - 2) collectively demand compensation; and
 - 3) set in motion reform through transformative change, i.e., what goes into the process of change comes out transformed into a different entity.
- c. Those articles have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of February 24, 2022 was **43,315+(Appendix 3)**.

- d. How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
- e. You too can subscribe to the articles: go to the [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or [Users](#) >Add New.

B. The first step to you broadening your audience and making a national name

11. I propose that you invite the above journalists and me to a discussion that I can lead either as a regular webinar with a panel or as a preliminary discussion. This is the most propitious time to do so because journalists will bring into the national debate everything judicial and the justice system itself as a justice is to be nominated and politicians are preparing for the primaries.
12. The subject will be how lawyers can demand [compensation](#) for the harm caused their clients or preventing such harm by judges hiding their conflict of financial interests and covering it up by intercepting the emails and mail of people at large to detect and suppress those that may expose them.
13. The following topics are among those for discussion:
 - a. Who will be the first law firm or lawyer to demand compensation from those law-breaking judges and the Federal Judiciary by invoking their own jurisprudence in which they held pedophilic priests, sports officers, and scouts leaders and their churches, organizations, and universities accountable and liable?
 - b. Will Republican politicians challenge President Biden's justiceship nominee on the grounds that she knew about those law-breaking judges but did not do anything, thereby rendering herself punishable, and thus disqualifiable as a judge, never mind as a justice, under 18 U.S.C. §§2-4 as a principal, an accessory, and for misprision of felony, e.g., fraud, conspiracy, concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, and racketeering?
 - c. A tenet of common law is that the courts must fashion a remedy for those wrongfully harmed. Who will pay the compensatory remedy to parties to contracts based on decisions now void or voidable as presumptively self-interested because issued by judges who hid their conflict of financial interests? Will it be the law-breaking judges and those who covered for them or the Federal Judiciary, which means the taxpayer, to bear the cost of contract disentanglement?
 - d. When a prosecutor or forensic technician is shown to have proceeded corruptly in one case, their integrity and commitment to the law become objectively compromised. Therefore defendants that they put in jail file motions to vacate and/or for a new trial. Will parties who appeared before judges who broke the law in other cases but not in theirs do likewise?
 - e. An already abuse-intolerant *MeToo!*-BLM public, self-assertively protesting socio-economic inequality, and increasingly aware of its voting power, if informed of judges' [forms](#) of abuse of power, financial criminality, and cover-up through interception of emails and mail will be outraged. How to channel its outrage to demand the defrocking of Teflon-robed judges and the transformative reform of the justice system?
 - f. Do you appreciate the applicability under these circumstances of the aphorism, "In chaos there is opportunity"?
14. I propose presenting a webinar on the above article for the benefit of you and your members. So I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

February 24, 2022

**Joining forces
at the most propitious time for you to tell your story
of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality:
at the start of a justiceship nomination and the primaries
Preparing your story at a webinar and workshop***

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your emails.

A. The most propitious time for Advocates of Honest Judiciaries to join forces

1. This is the most propitious time for Advocates to join forces to expose judges' **abuse** of power and financial **criminality**, and reform of the justice system, namely, at the beginning of:
 - a. the campaign for the primaries, when politicians need to appear to pay attention to the demands of the public so as to craft their electoral platform in a way that will earn them campaign donations, volunteer work, positive word of mouth and survey answers, and votes at the polls;
 - b. the nomination and confirmation of a justice to the Supreme Court, which will focus the attention of journalists and many constituencies on the nominee, her colleagues, and the functioning of the courts; and
 - c. top media outlets, including *The Wall Street Journal*, ABC, NBC, *The Washington Post*, Thomson Reuters, *The Boston Globe*, The Center for Public Integrity, etc., have conducted extensive investigations into the federal and state judiciaries and dare publish scathing **reports** naming judges who have engaged in abuse of power and financial criminality, and who are protected connivingly by commissions on judicial performance.
2. Consequently, I have developed a plan of concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that Advocates of Honest Judiciaries like you can take. Their common purpose is to provide people who have suffered or witnessed abuse by judges what they want the most: the opportunity to tell their story to the broadest public possible and be compensated.
3. If you take the proposed actions, you will be doing so in your own interest: You are working for yourself.
4. What is more, you will be doing so in the only context in which you can be effective: together with the largest number of other abusees, because only by applying the aphorism "There is strength in numbers" can you all begin to be effective. Each of you alone will be further abused by judges and their cronies.
5. The first action that each of you must take is to write your individual story. It has to be sufficiently short and well written for those above-mentioned top media outlets to want to further investigate it. It has to contain the kind of information that will allow journalists to search for the most persuasive type of evidence: patterns of abuse.
6. Patterns cannot be dismissed as the inadvertent mistake of an otherwise competent judge or even the intentional wrongful action of a rogue judge. Patterns of abuse show how judges are unaccountable and have risklessly turned abuse into their institutionalized way of doing business.

7. That is the kind of information that will outrage the public. Advocates need to generate such intense public outrage that it forces politicians to **dare** expose judges in their electoral programs and at every stump speech, campaign rally, townhall meeting, and interview with journalists.

B. Writing your story so that it interests journalists and outrages the national public: a workshop

8. Your stories are the starting point of your effort to reach journalists and through them the national public. Consequently, I have developed the two-step method for each of you to write in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality that you have suffered or witnessed.
9. You need not be a writer to apply this method. You only need to feel intense humiliation and outrage at all the abuse that judges inflicted upon you and be willing to turn them into the positive force that determines you to do the work necessary to write an accurate, significant, and verifiable story. That work will prepare you to tell your story to the public...and make it feel your outrage!
10. Relax. You will not be alone when writing your story. I offer to present the two-step method at a workshop. We are going to work together so that you learn how to write your best possible story.
11. Time is of the essence. You should have your stories ready and send them to the journalists whose addresses are provided below by the time they start investigating President Biden's nominee to the Supreme Court.
12. Journalists should know what abuse of power and financial criminality the nominee committed and condoned her fellow judges committing because she too wanted to grab what she could and be praised as a loyal member of the class of judges who covered for them. In self-interest, she avoided at all costs being despised as a treasonous pariah for fulfilling her legal and ethical duty to denounce them for the sake of the abusees and the integrity of the judiciary
13. Your stories will place journalists in a position and give them the incentive to ask of the nominee that question that was so significant in forcing President Nixon to resign on August 8, 1974, and sent all his aides to prison for his and their for his participation in the Watergate scandal involving abuse of power to secure his reelection and financial criminality to finance the cover-up:

“What did the nominee know about judges’ abuse and criminality and when did she know it?”

C. The joint actions to inform the public and outrage it into compelling politicians' action: a webinar

14. The concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that you all can take form part of the **out-of-court** inform and outrage strategy to lead the public to compel politicians to:
 - a. expose judges' abuse of power and financial criminality;
 - b. hold judges and their judiciaries liable to compensate their victims, just as they held pedophilic priests and their churches liable; and
 - c. reform the justice system so that judges' abuse is prevented, detected, prosecuted, and punished because there should no longer be *unequalled* protection *from* the law for the judges in the safe haven of their judiciary.
15. I offer to discuss those actions at a webinar, which serves as the introduction to the workshop for

writing your story.

16. To invite as many people as possible to the webinar and the workshop, you can:
 - a. share this article with all the members of your organization, your followers, and the websites associated with yours; and
 - b. ask that they contact ABC reporter Adam Walser to request that he put them in touch with the local ABC journalist and station so that they can report on their story as Mr. Walser did on the story of Lesa Martino in Florida (see below); adam@abcactionnews.com, iteam@abcactionnews.com, assignmentdesk@abc15.com, iteam@abc.com.
17. The following actions are among those to be discussed at the webinar.
18. Form a **local chapter** by applying the **method** for:
 - a. identifying other parties before the same judge or in the same court; and
 - b. seeking the assistance of the local law and journalism schools by contacting:
 - 1) the dean of students;
 - 2) the student president and other officers of the class;
 - 3) the officers of the relevant student organizations, clubs, and journals; and
 - 4) the students and professors teaching or taking the course called 'clinics' for low income people, whose cases are taken for legal representation and advocacy; or "Team Journalism", which may investigate stories too complex for a single student to pursue, such as those running through an industry or a branch of government.
19. Promote the holding of **unprecedented citizens hearings**.
 - a. There you and many other similarly situated people will have the opportunity to **tell the national public their story** of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality that they have suffered or witnessed.
 - b. These citizens hearings are to be organized by journalists, media outlets, and academics, and held at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conference so that people everywhere can attend and tell their stories inexpensively.
20. Promote and sponsor financially a tour of presentations by me at schools and media stations to gather support for:
 - a. the unprecedented citizens hearings;
 - b. the **investigation(OL:194§E)** into **abuse** of power, financial **criminality**, and their cover-up by judges, justices, and the justiceship nominee; intended to inform the public and outrage it so intensely as to cause the resignation of one or several of them or even a whole court;
 - 1) The investigation is intended to inform the public and outrage it so intensely as to cause the voluntary or force the involuntary resignation of one or several of them or even a whole court. They must resign on grounds of having broken particular laws; their contempt for the law in general; and the loss of public trust as a result of their unfairness and partiality in their own and the fellow judges' favor. In fact, their loss of office is automatic, for Article III, Section 1, of the **Constitution** provides that judges can only hold office "during good Behaviour".

- 2) Public outrage forcing resignations should be provoked by the official statistics filed with Congress as a public document showing that former chief judge Merrick Garland of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit **dismissed 100% of complaints** against his fellow judges. Thereby he left complainants uncompensated and exposed to retaliation. Likewise, he left all other actual and potential parties at the mercy of the complained-about judges.
 - 3) As the current attorney general, Judge Garland will keep protecting his fellow judges and himself from any investigation, as he has even after the publication by *The Wall Street Journal* of its series of articles beginning with the one of September 28, 2021, titled, "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest".
- c. the collective demand of abusees for **compensation** by judges and their judiciaries;
 - d. the production of the documentary "**Black Robed Predators**: when the abusers are the judges";
 - f. the organization and holding of the first-ever conference on judicial unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power and financial criminality:
 - 1) hosted at a top university;
 - 2) sponsored by national and international media networks;
 - 3) structured as a multidisciplinary analysis by experts in law, journalism, business, Information Technology, social sciences, and money hideouts and tax heavens;
 - 4) broadcast via video conference nationally and internationally;
 - 5) presenting the report on the **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS** and the documentary; and
 - 6) setting in motion the demand by *We the People* for a constitutional convention where the Constitution of 1789, written 233 years ago by the now dead hand of only wealthy white men will be replaced by men and women of all races and rich in the knowledge of the concerns and needs of people living today and preparing tomorrow's world.
 - a) Since April 2, 2014, the 34 states required by the amending provisions of Article V of the **Constitution** have petitioned Congress to convene a constitutional convention. However, the congressional leaders will never do so willingly, lest a runaway convention strip them and their cronies of their power and privileges. Only *We the People*, with a *MeToo!*-BLM intolerance of any form of abuse, courageously protesting socio-economic inequality, and ready to wield our voting power can compel the calling of such convention, write a new constitution, and declare the old one null and void as no longer capable of ensuring "government of, by, and for the people" living today

21. Support the development of the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

- a. Many of my articles have been posted there. They have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that as of February 27, 2022, the number of those who have become subscribers is **43,336+** (**Appendix 3**).

- b. The articles are supported by my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries. The product of professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), the study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

- i. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#), which is available for free.
 - ii. You too can subscribe to the articles: go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New.
- c. The development of the website is described in its [business plan](#), which is guided by the motto "Making Money While Doing Justice". It aims to turn the site from an informational platform into:
- 1) a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges that anybody can upload. Complainants will be exercising the rights most cherished by *We the People*, namely, those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment to the [Constitution](#) to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including through the payment of [compensation](#)]”;
 - 2) a research center for fee-paying clients auditing judges’ decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through computer-assisted statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges’ patterns, trends, and schemes of abuse of power and financial criminality; and
 - 3) the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture([jur:119§§1-4](#)). It can evolve into the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy, to be attached to a university or a news network. To carry on its mission of [Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#), it will hold citizens hearings and publish an Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Consequent Abuse of Power.

**D. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

22. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and quest for justice are.

23. Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of:

Judicial Discipline Reform.

DONATE

by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673; or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 1, 2022

Joining forces to avoid wasting time fighting judges in court, where they abuse one party at a time and disregard precedent; and use your time to prepare your stories to appeal to the top media that have dare expose judges' abuse and are interested in scooping the confirmation of the justice nominee[‡]

In a recent thread of emails among members of an organization for pro ses to defend their rights, it is written pertinently “The in-fighting here is a waste of time”.

A. The out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for telling your story with the media's help

1. That comment is most appropriate when applied to the effort of pro ses to fight their legal battles in court, the turf of judges, who there disregard their own rules and make as they go new ones for their gain and convenience. **Pro ses**, who do not read the law and even boast that they could not care less for court rules, including those on jurisdiction, waste their time and that of others trying to ‘in-fight’ judges in court. The powerless need an outsmarting strategy and many allies.
2. Judges’ arbitrary manipulation of rules is factually based on the reports by top media outlets and a VIP that have, as shown in §B below, dare expose judges’ **abuse of power** and **financial criminality**.
3. The alternative to court “in-fighting” is for pro ses and all the other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries to join forces to induce further exposure of judges by those top media outlets and VIP as well as politicians searching for a distinguishing issue on which to run in the primaries.
4. This is the most propitious time for such exposure because the media now have commercial and reputational interests in a scoop that exposes justice nominee Ketanji Brown Jackson and one pre-eminent fellow judge: **former chief judge** of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, where she has sat since June 2021, and current Attorney General **Merrick Garland**.
 - a. President Biden knew and is imputed with knowing that they have **dismissed 100% of complaints** against their fellow judges and **denied 100% of petitions** to review those dismissals because that is shown in the official statistics of their circuit compiled by their Court and submitted to Congress by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts in its **annual Report**.
 - b. Chief Judge Garland, Judge Brown, and their colleagues left complainants uncompensated and everybody else at the mercy of emboldened “Judges Above the Law”.
5. The media’s exposure of JJ. Garland and Brown’s exoneration of fellow judges to cover up the abuses and criminality underlying the complaints against them can cause public outrage at them in particular, and at judges, in general, that leads to her withdrawing her name from the justiceship nomination, both resign –as did Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969(**jur:92§d**)–, and thus set the trend for other judges, justices, and even courts to resign under the pressure of a public in voting mood and outraged at their practice of “Judges Can Do No Wrong”. What a scoop!
6. Moreover, an ever growing number of defendants and lawyers can be induced to claim on grounds of equal protection of the law that they too should be protected as law-breaking judge(**¶12**) have been: not one has been prosecuted or even investigated or forced to disgorge their ill-gotten gains.
7. Representing those defendants, complainants, and abusees can be the glut of unemployed lawyers, recently graduated lawyers, and law students guided by their professors. All of them and jour-

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1445
†<http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/...2.pdf> >from OL2:394-1143
‡http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf

nalists investigating how deep and wide judges' abuse and criminality go can apply in their and the public's behalf the aphorism "In chaos there is opportunity" and give rise to a niche practice.

8. When the bullies have all the power, those who have none must not fight them in the bullies' turf. Rather, they must use what they have, i.e., their knowledge and intelligence to [think strategically](#) so as to outsmart them out of their turf, appeal to 'the enemies of our enemies, who are [our friends](#)', and fight them with the weapon to which the bullies are most vulnerable: *public outrage!*
9. Wielding that weapon is the objective of the [out-of-court inform and outrage strategy](#). It aims to persuade journalists and academics to hold [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#). They are to be held at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conference so that the largest number of people everywhere can attend and testify inexpensively. The public will hear so many stories of judges' abuse and criminality that, outraged, it will compel principled and opportunistic politicians to hold judges and their judiciaries accountable and liable to pay [compensation](#) to abusees; and to reform the justice system through transformative change.
10. No case that a party 'in-fights' in court, not even one won, will ever achieve that objective: Law-breaking judges will disregard any precedent and continue abusing millions of cases. Without a strategy, there is nothing but losing whinings. That is why 'in-fighting in court is a waste of time'.

B. Sample of how top media outlets and a VIP have dare expose judges

11. *The Wall Street Journal*, published on September 28, 2021, the first of a [series](#) of articles under the initial title "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". Another article in the series was published on November 2, 2021, titled "Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law"; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Michael.Siconolfi@wsj.com. (See the articles referred to [here](#) and at [Appendix:6§C.22.](#))
12. Thomson Reuters, with 2,500+ journalists and 600+ photojournalists, published on June 30, 2020, the first of its three-part report "The Teflon Robe" on its massive investigation of state judges led by John.Shiffman@thomsonreuters.com and Michael.Berens@thomsonreuters.com. It found that "hardwired judicial corruption" intertwines state judges and the state commissions on judicial performance that are duty-bound to supervise and discipline them. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges...and the reporters subsequently stated that they were "inundated" with them. This goes to showing that people who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse want to tell their story to the largest public possible. Thereafter, Reuters proposed a [law firm council](#).
13. *The Boston Globe* published its [investigative](#) report by Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca and "Todd Wallack" <twallack@gmail.com>, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com, spotlight@globe.com, on September 30, 2018, "Inside our secret courts", in whose "private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong". Officers making decisions in criminal matters need not be lawyers, although they are supposed to administer Equal Justice Under Law to criminal defendants.
14. Senator Elizabeth Warren, in her "I have [a plan](#) for the Federal Judiciary too", [dare](#) denounce judges' unaccountability and their abuse of it by refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the parties before them in order to steer the cases so as to protect and increase the value of their stock. Sen. Warren refers to their grabbing as 'abusive self-enrichment'.
15. The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), headquartered in Washington,

D.C., published on October 3, 2021, the Pandora Papers, that is, close to 12 million financial documents leaked to it. “More Than 600 Reporters Around The Globe Work With ICIJ On The Most Expansive Leak Of Tax Haven Files In History”. The expertise that ICIJ has gained in applying document scanning software and money tracking techniques can be applied(OL:194§E) to exposing judges’ [illegal flow](#) of money from the point where they grab it to where they conceal it to evade taxes until it has been laundered of its illegal origin in order to spend it in the open.

16. NBC News published its report by Erik Ortiz on December 26, 2021, "[Robed in secrecy](#): How judges accused of misconduct can dodge public scrutiny - [Thousands of complaints](#) are filed against judges every year, but very few result in discipline. Ethics experts say the time for states to transform the judiciary is now"; erik.ortiz@nbcuni.com, Anna.Brand@nbcuni.com, Tim.Perone@nbcuni.com, Jessica.Simeone@nbcuni.com, Jaquetta.White@nbcuni.com.
17. ABC broadcast “Price of Protection: Woman loses Seffner home after father's guardian sues her for libel: Former guardian faces felony charges” by reporter Adam Walser of [ABC Action News Plus](#) on 20Feb22. His reportage raised the question where were the judges that allowed the guardian’s felonious conduct to occur. He invited to be contacted at adam@abcactionnews.com.
18. “[\(dis\)Honorable](#): Exposing ‘Astonishing and horrific’ conduct in the courtroom of Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Erin O’Brien Otis; A Maricopa County Superior Court judge and her staff mocked and ridiculed people during hearings and trials by routinely emailing each other cruel and obscene statements, jokes, and memes”; Dave Biscobing, chief investigator; ABC15 Arizona; February 12, 2022. The complaint filed by a whistleblower was dismissed by the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct. After two years of investigation and without publishing its record, the Commission stated that it had “not found clear and convincing evidence” supporting the complaint. So the name of Judge Otis does not appear in the order of dismissal. The judge resigned in the middle of the investigation in 2020 and now works in the capital cases division of the Maricopa County attorney’s office. Chief investigator Biscobing is now investigating “the Commission’s lack of transparency”; dbiscobing@abc15.com, abc15news@wpde.com, wls-tv.iteam@abc.com, wls.planning@abc.com, JDucey@abc15.com, Diane.L.Wilson@abc.com.
 - a. Did Judge Otis tell the Commission: 'I know enough about the abuse of power and appalling conduct of each of the other Maricopa judges. So I will only resign my position as a judge if you dismiss the complaint and manage to give me a top job in the District Attorney's office...or I bring down with me all the judges that you have covered for *together with you!*
19. [The Center](#) for Public Integrity published “[Federal judges plead guilty](#)” for hiding their conflict of financial interests, by Reity O’Brien, Kytja Weir, Chris Young on April 28, 2014; <https://publicintegrity.org/politics/federal-judges-plead-guilty/>.
20. *The Washington Post* published “[Ethics Lapses](#) by Federal Judges Persist, Review Finds”, by Joe Stephens on April 18, 2006.
21. Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., in his “[2021 Year-End Report](#) on the Federal Judiciary” considered the “matter of financial disclosure and recusal obligations” exposed by *The Wall Street Journal* so important that it was the first one that he discussed of the three matters that he said “will receive focused attention from the Judicial Conference and its committees in the coming months...Let me be crystal clear: the Judiciary takes this matter seriously. We expect judges to adhere to the highest standards, and those judges violated an ethics rule”.
22. Other media articles are discussed in my three-volume study* † * of judges and their judiciaries, the product of my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ‡

- a. I post some of my law articles to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest. They are in long form, demand intellectual effort to understand them, and even make reference to official court statistics. They are similar to those that appear in the likes of *The New York Times Sunday Magazine*, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, *TIME*, *The Atlantic*, etc. Hence, my website subscribers are educated, well-off people willing to read what they have in front of them and even ask for what may be written in future.
- c. My articles have attracted so many visitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of 23 Dec. 22, was **45,963+** ([Appendix 3](#)). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know that have a website with so many subscribers? You too can subscribe to the articles: go to the [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or + [New](#) or They can buy your advertisers' products and services. [Users](#) >[Add New](#).

C. Strategic actions for joint exposure by abusees and witnesses of judges' abuse

23. The most important action that those who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse of power and financial criminality can take is to send the media([Appendix 7](#)) their individual story. The media can search for, and expose, the most persuasive type of evidence: patterns of abuse and criminality.
24. The media are not going to read hundreds pages of a case that not even the abusee, most likely a pro se, ever read. That is why the story must be written in only up to 500 words and contain data that is accurate, significant, and verifiable.
25. I have devised a [two-step method](#) that everybody can apply to write their individual story. I offer to present it at a [workshop](#) via video conference –and if in NY City, in person– held by you for your fellow members and guests. To schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead.
26. A written story is what will give every abusee an opportunity to do what they have longed to do during their experience of abuse and quest for justice: tell their story orally to the national public, be compensated, and bring about judicial reform.
27. Abusees may tell their story at the above-mentioned([¶9](#)) [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#). The written story will allow the hearings organizers, that is, the media, top journalists, and professors and students, to choose those abusees who have suffered or witnessed the most representative and outrageous abuse and have set it forth in a sufficiently brief and coherent form to be delivered by them and understood by the public in the 5 minutes that each abusee will have to tell it.
28. To invite as many people as possible to attend the story workshop and promote the CITIZENS HEARINGS, you can as widely as possible share this email with all your relatives, friends, and colleagues, and post it to social media such as Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, and WhatsApp. Tweet this: Telling journalists your story of judges' abuse of power written at a workshop to seek the support of media that have exposed judges and want to scoop the justice nominee confirmation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf.
29. To begin with, you can send this article to the two blocs of email addresses([Appendix 7](#)) of top journalists and law professors. In your own interest of telling your story, *make it go viral!*

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 6, 2022

**The failure to read is easily detected and
the harm to one's story and court case hardly avoidable
until one begins to read because
KNOWLEDGE IS POWER and ignorance is an invitation to abuse**

Dear Abusee X and all other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for your email with an attachment labeled "500 words on Guardianship".

A. Details that betray one's failure to read; the consequences of ignorance

1. You sent your email to only three addressees, including me.
2. If you had only read the article that I had sent, you would have found the more than 95 email addresses of top journalists and law professors to whom readers are encouraged to send their story on guardianship and any other abuse of power and financial criminality by judges.
3. You can still send your story to them, for those email addresses are reproduced below.
4. However, your 500-word story must not be in an attachment, which are potential vehicles for carrying malicious Trojan horses and other types of computer malware. As a result, many email servers do not forward to the addressee any email that has an attachment. In the same vein, people who have read and learned about Internet risks do not open attachments.
5. I explained that in the article setting forth the [two-phase method](#) for people to write their story in up to 500 words and send it to those who can investigate it. Obviously, you did not read it either. You did not benefit and could not apply the sound advice on writing a story that is accurate, significant, and verifiable so that it is more likely that journalists and academics will investigate it.
 - a. Your story is not intended to provide an account of every minute detail that has harmed you since your case began 10 years ago. Rather, it is to identify through your homework and state briefly only the most outrageous events, that is, those likely to give journalists and academics cause to believe that there is a story of general interest worth verifying and, if the data stated check out, investigating. If you cannot do that in the first 500 words, nobody is going to read the next 500, much less the hundreds of pages accumulated for years.
6. Did you also fail to read any contract on guardianship, mortgage, child services, and anything else before signing it? Did you read the rules of procedure of your jurisdiction and of the court in which your case was filed -if you do not know what those two different sets of rules are, you did not read them-, the law, the regulations, the briefs of the opposing party, the orders and decisions of judges, etc., before writing your briefs and going to court to argue your case?
7. It takes a nanosecond for opposing counsel and the judge to realize that you did not read anything ...from then on it is open season on you! The abuse that they inflict on you, *you had it coming*. Without reading, you do not know what you are talking about. In ignorance, you cannot prosecute a case; you can only whine a losing anecdote.

B. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Acquiring it is the first action to take

8. Before signing any document; before writing any brief; before appearing in court, you must acquire KNOWLEDGE by reading. Then you know what you are doing. You will still need help, for you cannot improvise yourself as a [pro se lawyer](#). But as a knowledgeable pro se, you will be in a much

better to explain your legal problem and understand the advice that you should seek by reading the article on asking for [assistance](#) from university students and professors.

9. Read also in my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

- a. I post some of my law articles to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
- b. Those articles analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest. They have attracted so many visitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of March 8, 2022, was **43,435+**([Appendix 3](#)). How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know that have a website with so many subscribers?
- c. You too can subscribe to the articles: go to the [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or [Users](#) >[Add New](#).

C. Join the effort to publish the article to inform and outrage the public

10. There is strength in numbers. That is why those articles should go viral and the largest number of stories be sent to the provided top media outlets and journalists, and law professors([Appendix 7](#)).
11. Join the effort to distribute it so that you and all the other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries, including me, take advantage of the most propitious time to expose judges' abuse of power and financial criminality, demand compensation, and force reform of the justice system. Indeed:
 - a. top media outlets([OL3:1446§B](#)) have exposed judges' abuse and criminality;
 - b. the confirmation of justice nominee Ketanji Brown Jackson affords the opportunity to induce the media to expose how she has covered up the abuse and criminality of her fellow judges, including former chief judge and current attorney general, Merrick Garland;
 - c. politicians running in the primaries need the support of voters and can be compelled by an informed and outraged public to expose abusive judges and those covering for them, and demand that they resign and that Judge Brown withdraw her name from the nomination.
12. To that end, join in the effort to share my articles and emails as widely as possible.
 - a. click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**". Send it also to all your friends, family, and colleagues.
 - b. post it to social media, such as Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp:
Twitter: Telling journalists your story of judges' abuse of power written at a workshop to seek the support of media that have exposed judges and want to scoop the justice nominee confirmation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf
 - c. send my articles and emails to the two blocs of email addresses([Appendix 7](#)).
13. If in your own interest you cannot do the work described above and distribute the articles, the abuse that you suffered was not too bad. It merely gave you cause to whine, which is all you needed. If that is not so and the harm and outrage that you feel are burning you inside, **take action!**

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 8, 2022

Offer of one or a series of articles together with a plan of actions for a promising multidisciplinary academic and business venture for exposing judges' abuse of power, financial criminality, and cover-up; compensating abusees; and demanding justice system reform, at the most propitious time: when the confirmation of a justice nominee has focused national attention on everything judicial, and primarying politicians need to distinguish themselves as the standard-bearers of an informed and outraged national public[‡]

Ms. Pam Spector
Account Executive
pam.spector@law360.com
Mr. Frank G. Runyeon
Reporter
frank.runyeon@law360.com
Law360, a LexisNexis company
111 West 19th Street, 4th FL
New York, NY 10011
tel. (212)309-7800, www.law360.com

Dear Ms. Spector, Mr. Runyeon, Law360 colleagues, and fellow journalists and media outlets,

1. Thank you for your kind email where you inquire into the nature of my interest in Law360. I am interested in requesting an article (§A); offering my articles, a webinar, and a CLE course (§B); and laying out a plan of actions for a joint multidisciplinary academic and business venture (§C) for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform.

A. The requested article

2. I appreciate your willingness to send me the article whose title I read in an email that I received. Its bibliographic description is the following:

NY Judges Cut Public Resignation Deals In Record Numbers: A record number of New York judges under investigation for ethics violations publicly resigned in 2021, with 13 of them hanging up their robes with a promise never to return; Frank G. Runyeon; Law360; March 3, 2022; <https://www.law360.com/pulse/articles/1470074/ny-judges-cut-public-resignation-deals-in-record-numbers>

B. The offer for publication of one or a series of my articles

3. I want to determine whether that article should be included among those referred to in §1 below and in [one of the articles](#) that I want to offer for publication. I am willing to edit my articles as required.
4. That article and §1 below list top media outlets and journalists that have dare investigate federal and state judges, and publish reports exposing their institutionalized [abuse](#) of power, financial

criminality, and cover-up, which includes [connivance](#) between judges and the state commissions on judicial performance.

5. That article and the others offered for serial publication form part of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#). The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

- a. I post some of my law articles to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
- b. My articles analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest. They are in long form, demand intellectual effort to understand them, and even make reference to official court statistics. They are similar to those that appear in the likes of *The New York Times Sunday Magazine*, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, TIME, The Atlantic, etc. Hence, my website subscribers are educated, well-off people willing to read what they have in front of them and even ask for what may be written in future.
- c. My articles have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of March 11, 2022, was **43,463+**([Appendix 3](#)). They can buy your advertisers' products and services.
- d. How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know that have a website with so many subscribers?
- e. You too can subscribe to the articles: go to the [website](#) <left panel ↓Register or + New or Users >Add New..

1. Sample of how top media and a VIP have dare expose judges

6. *The Wall Street Journal*, published on September 28, 2021, the first of a [series](#) of articles under the initial title “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”. Another article in the series was published on November 2, 2021, titled “Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn’t violate financial-conflicts law”; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Michael.Siconolfi@wsj.com. (See the articles referred to here and at [Appendix:6§C.22.](#))
7. Thomson Reuters, with 2,500+ journalists and 600+ photojournalists, published on June 30, 2020, the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe”, and on its massive investigation of state judges led by John.Shiffman@thomsonreuters.com and Michael.Berens@thomsonreuters.com. It found that “hardwired judicial corruption” intertwines state judges and the state commissions on judicial performance that are duty-bound to supervise and discipline them. Reuters asked readers to send it their stories of abuse by judges...and it was “inundated” with them. This goes to showing that people who have suffered or witnessed judges’ abuse want to tell their story to the largest public possible. Thereafter, Reuters proposed a law firm council.
8. *The Boston Globe* published its [investigative](#) report by Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca and “Todd Wallack” <twallack@gmail.com>, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com, spotlight@globe.com, on September 30, 2018, “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings, who you are

—and who you know— may be just as important as right and wrong”. Officers making decisions in criminal matters need not be lawyers, although they are supposed to administer Equal Justice Under Law to criminal defendants.

9. Senator Elizabeth Warren, in her “I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too”, [dare](#) denounce judges’ unaccountability and their abuse of it by refusing to recuse themselves from cases in which they own stock in one of the parties before them in order to steer the cases so as to protect and increase the value of their stock. Sen. Warren refers to their grabbing as ‘abusive self-enrichment’.
10. The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ), in Washington, D.C., published on October 3, 2021, the Pandora Papers, that is, close to 12 million financial documents leaked to it. “More Than 600 Reporters Around The Globe Work With ICIJ On The Most Expansive Leak Of Tax Haven Files In History”. The expertise that ICIJ has gained in applying document scanning software and money tracking techniques can be applied to exposing judges’ [illegal flow](#) of money from the point where they grab it to the point where they spend it after having laundered it([OL:194§E](#)).
11. NBC News published its report by Erik Ortiz on December 26, 2021, "[Robed in secrecy](#): How judges accused of misconduct can dodge public scrutiny - [Thousands of complaints](#) are filed against judges every year, but very few result in discipline. Ethics experts say the time for states to transform the judiciary is now"; erik.ortiz@nbcuni.com, “Senior Editor News Projects” [<Anna.Brand@nbcuni.com>](mailto:Anna.Brand@nbcuni.com), “Assistant Managing Editor for News” [<Tim.Perone@nbcuni.com>](mailto:Tim.Perone@nbcuni.com), “Deputy News Editors” [<Jessica.Simeone@nbcuni.com>](mailto:Jessica.Simeone@nbcuni.com), [<Jaquetta.White@nbcuni.com>](mailto:Jaquetta.White@nbcuni.com).
12. “[\(dis\)Honorable](#): Exposing ‘Astonishing and horrific’ conduct in the courtroom of Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Erin O’Brien Otis; A Maricopa County Superior Court judge and her staff mocked and ridiculed people during hearings and trials by routinely emailing each other cruel and obscene statements, jokes, and memes”; Dave Biscobing, chief investigator; ABC15 Arizona; February 12, 2022. The complaint filed by a whistleblower was dismissed by the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct. After two years of investigation and without publishing its record, the Commission stated that it had “not found clear and convincing evidence” supporting the complaint. So the name of Judge Otis does not appear in the order of dismissal. The judge resigned in the middle of the investigation in 2020 and now works in the capital cases division of the Maricopa County attorney’s office. Chief investigator Biscobing is now investigating “the Commission’s lack of transparency”; dbiscobing@abc15.com, abc15news@wpde.com, wls-tv.iteam@abc.com, wls.planning@abc.com, JDucey@abc15.com, Diane.L.Wilson@abc.com.
 - a. Did Judge Otis tell the Commission: 'I know enough about the abuse of power and appalling conduct of each of the other Maricopa judges. So I will only resign my position as a judge if you dismiss the complaint and manage to give me a top job in the District Attorney's office...or I bring down with me all the judges that you have covered for *together with you!*
13. [ABC](#) broadcast its reportage “Price of Protection: Woman loses Seffner home after father's guardian sues her for libel: Former guardian faces felony charges” by reporter Adam Walser of [ABC Action News Plus](#) on February 10, 2022;.
14. [The Center](#) for Public Integrity published “[Federal judges plead guilty](#)” for hiding their conflict of financial interests, by Reity O’Brien, Kytja Weir, Chris Young on April 28, 2014; <https://publicintegrity.org/politics/federal-judges-plead-guilty/>.
15. [The Washington Post](#) published “[Ethics Lapses](#) by Federal Judges Persist, Review Finds”, by Joe

Stephens on April 18, 2006.

16. Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., in his “2021 [Year-End Report](#) on the Federal Judiciary” considered the “matter of financial disclosure and recusal obligations” exposed by *The Wall Street Journal* so important that it was the first one that he discussed of the three issues that he said “will receive focused attention from the Judicial Conference and its committees in the coming months...Let me be crystal clear: the Judiciary takes this matter seriously. We expect judges to adhere to the highest standards, and those judges violated an ethics rule”.

C. A plan of actions for exposing judges

17. This is the most propitious time to expose judges’ abuse, criminality, and implicitly or explicitly coordinated mutual cover-ups([jur:88§§a-c](#)) because:
 - a. The confirmation of justice nominee Ketanji Brown Jackson has attracted the attention of the media as well as many interest groups and the public at large. They would be outraged to learn how she has supported the [dismissal of 100%](#) of complaints against fellow judges and the [denial of 100%](#) of petitions to review such dismissals by, among others, former chief judge and current attorney general, [Merrick Garland](#). Thereby she too covered up their abuse and criminality underlying those complaints, and left complainants uncompensated and the rest of the public at the mercy of judges emboldened by their colleagues ensuring their unaccountability through ‘*unequal protection from the law*’.
 - b. Politicians running in the primaries and their backers in office need voters’ donations, volunteer campaign work, positive word of mouth, and votes. They can be compelled by an [informed and outraged](#) public to address the issue of judges at every rally, townhall meeting, and interview; call for official investigations; and demand that Judge Brown withdraw her name from the nomination and that she and her fellow judges resign.
 - 1) The precedent therefor is the withdrawal by Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas of his name from the nomination to the chief justiceship by President Lyndon Johnson due to the public outrage provoked by the media investigating his suitability for the justiceship revealing his “improprieties”, which were not even violations of the law. Under public pressure generated by subsequent revelations by Life magazine of additional “improprieties”, Justice Fortas had to resign from the Court on May 14, 1969.[\(jur:92§d\)](#)
 - c. Law360 can win a Pulitzer Prize on account of the outrage that it can provoke in a *MeToo*!-BLM public that is intolerant of any form of abuse by publishing one or a series of my articles and joining in my informing actions in the public interest([Appendix6§A](#)). It can thus set off a generalized media investigation([OL:194§E](#)) into the abuse, criminality, and cover-up by Judge Brown, former Chief Judge Garland, and Supreme Court justices ([OL3:1419¶15](#)).
 - 1) The precedent for this is the Pulitzer that *The Washington Post* won in 1973 for having initiated the investigation of the break-in at the Democratic National Committee headquartered at the Watergate complex in Washington, D.C., on June 17, 1972. At the beginning, the *Post* was derided for covering “a garden variety burglary by five plumbers”. But its articles on political espionage, abuse of power, and the use of a slush fund to support the reelection of President Nixon forced every media outlet to jump on competitive and reputational considerations onto its investigative bandwagon, and the Senate to hold its Watergate hearings, made

historic by the question asked of every witness by cochairman Sen. Howard Baker: “What did the President know and when did he know it?”. The *Post*’s articles played a key role in leading to the imprisonment of ‘All the President’s aides’ and his resignation on August 8, 1974. Thanks to its insightful and daring articles on the Watergate break-in, the *Post* established itself firmly among the top publications in our country.

- d. Law360 can increase its core audience of lawyers and attract ever more unemployed lawyers, hardly employable recent law graduates and students, and even pro ses by taking the lead in developing the novel practice of collectively demanding **compensation** from judges and their judiciaries for the harm to the victims of any of their **forms of abuse**.
 - 1) The precedent for the demand for compensation is the jurisprudence that the judges themselves have developed to hold accountable and liable pedophilic priests and the Church that covered for them at the expense of its parishioners and the rest of the public.
- e. As a result, Law360 can set in motion transformative change in the justice system: what goes into the change process comes out transformed into a different entity. It can do that by leading other outlets and university professors and students to hold **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**.
 - 1) The citizens hearings will afford people the opportunity to tell their story of judges’ abuse and criminality that they have suffered or witnessed. Their testimony will provide the factual basis of the nature, extent, and gravity of judges’ malfeasance from which to identify what needs to be reformed throughout the judiciary, not only the Supreme Court, which was the all too limited target of reform of the **Biden Commission**.
 - 2) The venue of the citizens hearings will be media stations, university auditoriums, and video conferences so that the largest number of people may attend and testify inexpensively wherever they are.
 - 3) By leading the organization and holding of unprecedented citizens hearings, Law360 can make a name for itself across the country and attract new and more diversified segments of the national public, to whom it can tailor its offerings.

1. Offer of a presentation and its contents

18. I am willing to present to you and your colleagues either via video conference or in person this offer of one or a series of my articles for publication and the related plan of actions for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform. My presentation to you will illustrate how I would present to your customers at, and the contents of:
 - a. a **webinar** and a **CLE course**
 - b. the workshop via video conference on the **two-phase method** for writing in up to 500 words one’s story of judicial abuse, in particular, and writing briefs, in general
 - c. a tour of presentations at law, journalism, business, Information Technology, and social sciences schools(**OL:197§G**)
 - d. the promotion of law clinics, team journalism courses, externships, master’s and doctoral theses, public interest seminars(**OL2:571§2**)

- e. the journalistic investigation at judicial conferences and seminars, and hotels, restaurants, and clubs to seek information from little people invisible to judges and their VIP friends and wrongly assumed to behave like “The Three Monkeys”, such as drivers, doormen, front desk staff, bar servers, waiters and waitresses, maids, bellboys,([jur:106§c](#))
 - f. the search for [confidential informants](#) among current and former law and court clerks and judges, lawyers, and others who can become whistleblowers and Deep Throats([jur:106§c](#))
 - g. the investigation by forensic Information Technology experts of judges’ [interception](#) of people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics([OL:394§1](#))
 - h. the [mathematical demonstration](#) that the overwhelming majority of briefs are not read by judges, but rather are disposed of by their clerks rubberstamping 5¢ dumping forms
 - i. the development of advanced statistical, linguistic, and literary [research software](#) to analyze all sorts and vast amounts of writings to detect [patterns and schemes](#) of abuse
 - j. the use of forensic techniques([jur:102§a](#)) for discovering assets grabbed and concealed by judges, and their tax evasion and money laundering([OL:1](#))
 - k. the production of the documentary “*[Black Robed Predators](#)*: when the abusers are the judges”
 - l. the development of the [talkshow hosts coalition](#)
 - m. the creation of the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a top university or a national news network
 - n. the development of the [Judicial Discipline Reform](#) website by implementing a [business plan](#) whose guiding motto is “Making Money While Doing Justice”;
 - o. the formation of [local chapters](#) intended to coalesce eventually in the national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse, criminality, and cover-up exposure, compensation, and reform([jur:164§9](#))
 - p. the unprecedented [citizens hearings](#)
 - q. the first-ever conference on judicial unaccountability and abuse, broadcast multimedia nationally and internationally, and interactive, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented
 - r. the production of the [Annual Report](#) on Judicial Unaccountability and Consequent Abuse of Power
 - s. the advocacy of the constitutional convention that the 34 states required by the amending provisions of Article V of the [Constitution](#) have petitioned Congress to convene
19. The above shows that my offer to Law360 consists of articles to publish and a plan of concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions to be carried out jointly. Its acceptance by Law360 will enable us to enter a multidisciplinary academic and business venture([jur:119§1](#)). It will redound to our competitive and reputational benefit and the professional benefit of its current customers and new ones and the 43,463+ subscribers to my [Judicial Discipline Reform](#) website.
20. It will be to your merit that you recognized the potential of this offer and were willing to discuss it with me and extend it to your colleague through my presentation to you and them.

Thus, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 12, 2022

**Reading to write knowledgeable stories
of judges' abuse of power and financial criminality
that journalists will want to investigate and report, and
enable you and others to tell the national public by holding
UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS[‡]**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

**A. Judges' interception of emails and mail to detect and
suppress those of their critics**

1. The fact that you have not received any reply from somebody does not mean that they have not tried to communicate with you. Their mail or email could have been intercepted, as shown in my [articles](#). You would know that if you had read any of them. Have you ever read any of my articles?

**B. Ignorance due to failure to read enables judges' abuse;
read for KNOWLEDGE, not confirmation**

2. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. It gives you the ladder to climb out of the hole in which you are abused by judges. If you keep doing what you have been doing up to now, you will only achieve one thing: dig a deeper hole. Judges and their cronies realize in a nanosecond that you are talking about things you do not know anything about: You are whining your anecdote from the hole of ignorance([OL3:1449§A](#)). Seek help from law professors and students([OL3:1426](#); [1442¶18](#)).
3. People who keep berating the media for not exposing judges show that they have not read [OL3:1446§B](#) or have a mind closed to new evidence. They prefer their ignorance because it requires no effort to read and analyze. Otherwise, read [this article](#) and the other available ones([¶19](#)).
4. When you read anything, do you only look for what confirms your preconceived ideas or do you try to integrate what you read with those ideas and reach modified conclusions?
5. Do you evaluate the credibility of your information source by making a distinction between what a national media outlet publishes([OL3:1452§B](#)) and what Joe Schmock writes in his blog or email?
6. A national media outlet stands to lose a lot of audience, advertisers and their money, and reputation if its competitors catch it publishing news that are wrong, never mind false.
7. By contrast, Joe Schmock cannot lose anything because he does not have anything to begin with. Far from it, he has an interest in making the most sensational assertions in order to attract the largest number of people that uncritically believe whatever is sensational and in line with their beliefs, some of whom will make a click that can earn Joe some money and notoriety.
8. This explains why books written by a party to a case carry so little credibility: They are propaganda biased toward that party's side of the story. The side of the opposing party is distorted, whether intentionally or unintentionally by the animosity at play. Objectivity, reasonableness, and verifiability play no role in the writing.

C. Gross ignorance v. facts, common sense, and logical reasoning

9. The media are not owned by any single foreign entity. On the contrary, they own The Associated Press, which "was founded in 1846" as "an independent news cooperative, whose members are

U.S. newspapers and broadcasters”.

10. Esq. is the abbreviation of Esquire. It means 'lawyer' just as M.D., means 'Medical Doctor'. It is not a title conferred upon a lawyer by a foreign entity, such as the British Crown.
11. There are roughly some 500,000 people composing the media. If distributed over a continuum, at one extreme stand the likes of *The Wall Street Journal* and *The New York Times*, and at the opposite extreme are new digital outfits with a handful of people and one-person blogs that write about current events. They all compete with each other for public attention and membership, advertisement dollars, and prestige.
 - a. Common sense should make it obvious that they cannot possibly have the same interests concerning any, much less, all, issues.
 - b. Logical reasoning should reach the same conclusion by starting from the premise that our country not only is divided, but rather is polarized so that ever more people flow from the middle ground to one extreme idea or to the diametrically opposite one. People are equally polarized within every industry and field of activity.
 - c. Have you ever known a couple of spouses, siblings, friends, workmates, or partners who share the same interests, power, and resources and to the same extent concerning any, let alone every, issue?
12. Similar preconceived ideas betray gross ignorance. That is the kind of ignorance that walls itself off from facts.
13. For the benefit of the addressees of my articles who are capable of modifying their ideas in light of facts, here is the reference to the compelling facts in “**B. Sample of how top media and a VIP have dare expose judges...(OL3:1452§B)**”.

D. Writing your story in 500 words that get read

14. Read and apply the [two-phase method](#) for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges’ abuse that you have suffered or witnessed. It will help you write a story that is accurate, significant, and verifiable. That is the kind of story that journalists may investigate and report on. Incoherent, rambling, whining anecdotes only repel their attention and even that of all other abusees. Make references to the pages of your book where readers can find additional information.
15. Then post your story. You are better off if journalists and the rest of the public read up to 500 words describing only the most outrageous elements of your story than if they do not read anything. That is how you make the enormous amount of work that you put into writing your book count.

E. The ladder of KNOWLEDGE that allows you to escape the hole of abuse: ignorance

16. Make KNOWLEDGE your POWER-ladder out of your hole so that the national public can hear you read your 500-word story at [unprecedented citizens hearings](#). In that vein, encourage everybody to write their stories and send them to journalists and law professors ([Appendix 7](#)), for "there is strength in numbers".
17. To gain KNOWLEDGE [read](#) my articles, which are based on professional law research and writing and [strategic thinking](#); and check their sources([Appendix 6§C](#)) and official [reports and statistics](#) submitted by the U.S. courts to Congress annually as a public document.

18. Many of my articles are posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. Their professionalism accounts for the fact that out of the countless webvisitors to it, the number of those who had become subscribers as of March 13, 2022, was **43,500**([Appendix 3](#)).

19. The articles are supported by my three-volume study*†♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*†♣**

20. Neither my articles nor my study are meant for the record of a law debating society; they do not intend to wax erudite. Their purpose is practical: They set forth a plan of concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions to implement the [inform and outrage strategy](#) to cause the national public, including abusees, journalists, and academics, to advocate judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.

F. Share and post the articles to join forces strengthened by KNOWLEDGE

21. It is in your own interest to support judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, including you, and reform. To advance that interest share this and other articles([Appendix 6§A](#)), as widely as possible; e.g., **click “Reply All” and send**. Post them to social media, such as:

Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp

Tweet: Telling journalists your story of judges' abuse of power written at a workshop to seek the support of media that have exposed judges and want to scoop the justice nominee confirmation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf

22. Make the articles go viral, for this is the most propitious time to do so: when the confirmation of a justice nominee has drawn national attention to everything judicial and primarying politicians need to appear sensitive to the demands of an informed and outraged public([OL3:1445§A](#)).

**G. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

23. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and
quest for justice are.

24. Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking of

Judicial Discipline Reform.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer

through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle
from your account

to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;

or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

March 19, 2022

Journalists interested in a scoop and a Pulitzer Prize; primarying politicians; and those outraged by *The Wall Street Journal* finding in only a sample of cases that “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”, yet they have been neither investigated by either their Federal Judiciary or former chief judge now Attorney General Merrick Garland, nor required to disgorge the gains that they grabbed, can use the official statistics of his and J. Ketanji Brown Jackson’s District of Columbia Circuit to show their participation in a cover-up concerning their peers’ law-breaking and other forms of abuse of power, and effected by dismissing 100% of complaints against their peers and denying 100% of petitions to review those dismissals, thus revealing their lack of courage to expose their peers’ abuse and interest in not being shunned as traitors but rather in being accepted by their "brothers and sisters of the robe" at the expense of the complainants and the integrity of the system of justice, left to fester with the underlying and untreated cause for complaint: judges emboldened by reciprocally ensuring the risklessness of their abuse[‡]

Dear Journalists, Politicians, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

This is a pitch for the publication of the article hereunder.

The above title is the article's abstract. Its blurb is: Journalists and primarying politicians can ask: What did J. K. Brown Jackson, Justice Thomas, and Attorney General M. Garland know about judges' abuse of power and when did they know it?

For the publication of the article, time is of the essence. So I am willing to edit it as requested.

A. The official statistics on complaints against judges

1. Justice nominee [Ketanji Brown Jackson](#) was confirmed by the Senate on April 7, 2022. However, she will not take her seat on the Supreme Court until the end of this term in the summer. This affords a unique opportunity to journalists, including the media outlets for which they work, who want to make a scoop that can lead to their winning a Pulitzer Prize; principled and opportunistic primarying politicians; and people interested in the integrity of the judiciary:
 - a. They can examine the integrity and character of both J. Brown Jackson and former chief judge now Attorney General Merrick Garland in light of the official reports and statistics of their District of Columbia Circuit. There she sat as a trial judge from 2013 to 2021, and has sat as an appellate judge of the [Court of Appeals](#) for that Circuit(CADCC) since June 2021; and he served as that Court's chief judge from 2013 to 2020.
 - b. It follows that the comments made here referring to J. Brown apply even more forcefully to Now-AG and Then-Judge and even Chief Judge Garland, as they do to Justice Clarence Thomas. Hence, such application is not made explicit in every instance.

2. Those [reports and statistics](#) are submitted by the 13 U.S. courts of appeals, including CADCC, and two national courts to Congress as a public document in the [Annual Report](#) of the [Director](#) of the [Administrative Office](#) of the U.S. Courts. The director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court under Title 28 of the U.S. Code [of federal law only] section 601(28 U.S.C. §601).
3. Complaints against judges of a circuit can be filed by any person, including a judge, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980(the Act; [id.](#) §§351-364). The complaint statistics have appeared for most of those years in Table S-22 of the Annual Report.
4. Indeed, the [introduction](#) to [Table S-22](#) for 2021 states the following concerning complaints filed in the 15 reporting courts:

"The number of complaints filed in 2021 was 1,282, an increase of 29 complaints (up 2 percent) from the number filed in 2020.

Fifty-nine percent of the complaints were made against district judges, 25 percent were against circuit judges,...

Chief judges dismissed 1,402 complaints in whole or in part. This total includes complaints that later were terminated with finality by circuit judicial council orders on petitions for review, as well as complaints for which additional review was still possible.

Chief judges terminated 948 complaints with no further review. Circuit judicial councils terminated 480 complaints, including 2 terminated after reports by special committees were issued."

5. Table S-22 shows the outcome of those complaints.

B. The implications for judges of the statistics on complaints against them

6. It follows indisputably that the outcome of processing complaints against federal judges is predetermined: The chief circuit judge, who by law examines them in the first instance, will dismiss them systematically. The circuit judicial council, composed of district and circuit judges, will deny all petitions for dismissal review out of hand on a 5¢ form bearing the rubberstamped signature of the clerk of court. No reason whatsoever is given. There is no discussion of facts or law. The denial is a fiat.
 - a. The processing occurs in complete secrecy. It guarantees that the complained-against judges will not be disturbed by any complaint, for they need not have to be notified of it...after all, it will be dumped no matter its nature, frequency, and gravity. But if a judge replies, he can make up any story in his defense and to the detriment of the complainant, who will not be able to check it in rebuttal because she will not be given a copy of the reply without the judge's consent.
 - b. Such peremptory dumping of complaints implies that judges have entered in effect into an agreement to reciprocally ensure that "Judges are Untouchable". That emboldens them.
7. It is statistically impossible for thousands of complaints over decades involving hundreds of judges to have led to the same outcome but for the implicit or explicit complicit agreement among judges to exonerate each other by abusing the power to self-discipline granted by Congress: 'Today I exonerate you and tomorrow, when I am or my friends are complained against, you exonerate us'.
8. Judges wield the most power over people's property, liberty, and all the rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identity. This is especially so of federal judges, who are the only officers

to have a lifetime appointment and have the longest time to hold grudges. When judges [dismiss 100% of complaints](#) against their peers and [deny 100% of petitions](#) to review those dismissals, they not only protect themselves by covering up their abuse underlying the complaints against them. They also leave complainants uncompensated and unprotected from the retaliation of all judges.

9. What is more, judges have left all parties and the rest of the public at the mercy of judges emboldened by the assurance that no matter what they do, their "brothers and sisters of the robe" will cover for them. They reciprocally ensure that they are Judges Above Congress by in effect abrogating its Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. Yet, they give the false impression to the public that a complaint under that Act will be processed fairly and impartially. By misleading the public to its detriment for their own gain and convenience, the judges have committed fraud on the public.
10. That is what they have done. For proof, there is the [series](#) of articles published by the highly regarded *The Wall Street Journal* beginning on September 28, 2021, under the initial title:

"131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". "[Federal] judges failed to recuse themselves from 685 lawsuits from 2010 to 2018 involving firms in which they or their family held shares, a Wall Street Journal investigation found...Alerted to the violations by the Journal, 56 of the judges have directed court clerks to notify parties in 329 lawsuits that they should have recused themselves. That means new judges might be assigned, potentially upending rulings."

- a. Another article in the series was published on November 2, 2021, titled "Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law"; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Michael.Siconolfi@wsj.com. (See the articles referred to here and at [Appendix:6§C.22.](#))
- b. Who is going to pay for a new trial or appeal or for disentangling contracts based on void or voidable decisions by law-breaking judges? See para. 26 below and a plan for collectively demanding [compensation](#) to be implemented by journalists, professors, and students.

C. The implications for justice nominee Brown Jackson and former chief judge now Attorney General Merrick Garland

11. The above provides reasonable grounds, and even probable cause, to believe that during her long career in the Federal Judiciary –even longer for Then-Judge and Chief Judge Garland–, Judge Brown acquired actual knowledge of the abuse of power of judges and their complicit agreement on reciprocal exoneration from complaints against them.
 - a. She satisfies the standard that makes jurors suitable peers of a defendant: 'a person with common sense reasonably becomes aware and informs herself of the circumstances affecting her and the people close to her emotionally, physically, or socially and forms an opinion of what is right or wrong'.
12. Judge Brown has breached the reporting duty under [18 U.S.C. §3057](#) -Title 18 contains the federal Criminal Code- on any judge "having reasonable grounds for believing [which is a standard lower than "probable cause to believe" and much lower than "evidence admissible in court "] that any violation under chapter 9 [on bankruptcy, the classification of over 70% of all cases filed in the Federal Judiciary] of this title [18] or other laws of the United States relating to insolvent debtors, receiverships or reorganization plans has been committed, or that an investigation should be had in connection therewith [which lowers the standard below, and precedes, "having reasonable

grounds for believing”].

- a. "Probable cause to believe" that a person has committed the offense with which he has been charged is a standard of proof. It need not be satisfied to warrant investigating a person. Before any investigation, it may be satisfied by the facts known up to then, e.g., those surrounding the person's detention. That explains why it can be applied before conducting discovery. In criminal cases, district attorneys may invoke it to justify the indictment that they present to the arraignment judge. The latter may reject the not guilty plea of the defendant and rely on probable cause to commit him to jail with or without bail. If the defendant cannot post the bail set, he is committed to jail until he can or the case is finally disposed of.
 - b. Neither willful blindness nor willful ignorance([jur:90§§b-c](#)) prevents knowledge of such breach from being imputed to judges or their clerks.
13. Likewise, Judge Brown has breached her ethical reporting duties under the [Code of Conduct](#) for U.S. Judges, Canon 3(B)(6)).
- a. Judges have legal and ethical duties to report other judges' breach of their duties and "improprieties and even the appearance of improprieties"([id.](#), Canon 2).
14. Judges must not perform such reporting pro forma, but rather must pursue it in good faith by exercising due diligence until the reporting achieves its intended purpose of safeguarding their own integrity and that of judicial process, lest the judges end up inured to the commission or cover-up of the breach, condoning it, and becoming chargeable with misprision of felony([18 U.S.C. §4](#)).
15. By Judge Brown not reporting judges' abusive self-exoneration from complaints, she has covered it up. Thereby she has contributed to judges' committing with impunity the abuse underlying the complaints. In fact, she has aggravated their abuse, for people who commit one type of abuse without suffering any adverse consequences are, far from deterred, encouraged by risklessness and the lure of more gains and convenience to grab them by committing ever more types.
- a. For both her, as accessory after the last abuse that she knew about but covered up and as accessory before the next abuse that the principals committed in reliance on that cover-up precedent of hers, applying the law, never mind doing so fairly and impartially, has become only an afterthought...'so long as it does not keep me from grabbing ever more or making me run the risk of being treated as a traitor to "my brothers and sisters in the robe".
 - b. That is how Judge Rodney Gilstrap broke the law by deciding 138 cases in which he had a financial interest and the judges that heard him brag about it covered him by failing to report him([supra](#), paragraph 10).

D. The opportunity for journalists and the politicians

1. Conducting journalistic and calling for congressional investigations

16. Journalists in their investigation and primarying politicians attending rallies, townhall meetings, and press interviews and conferences can ask the historic question asked of every witness by Senator Howard Baker, a cochairman of the Senate committee holding hearings on the break-in at the Democratic National Committee at the Watergate building in Washington, DC, on June 17, 1972, by Republican operatives engaged in political espionage in favor of the reelection campaign of President Nixon: "What did the President know and when did he know it?"
- a. The answers to that question led to the resignation of President Nixon on August 8, 1974,

and the incarceration of all his aides.

17. Journalists and politicians can reformulate that question to determine whether J. Brown and [A.G. Garland](#) have shown willful ignorance and blindness, bias toward her peers, and culpable indifference([jur:88§§a-c](#)) toward parties and the rest of the public in disregard of their oath of office([28 U.S.C. §453](#)) that disqualify them from remaining on the bench or at the Justice Department:
 - a. What did J. Brown and Then-Judge Garland know and when did they know about judges?:
 - 1) implicit or explicit agreement for reciprocal exoneration from complaints against judges, including Then-Judge, Now-Justice [Brett Kavanaugh](#);
 - 2) breaking the law by failing to recuse themselves from cases in which they had a financial interest;
 - 3) bragging in court and out of court, e.g., at the suite of the organizer of a judicial seminar, a country club, restaurants and hotels, about the gains and convenience that they had grabbed by breaking the law that way and any other way;
 - 4) concealing assets, evading taxes, money laundering, and filing misleading and false mandatory annual financial disclosure reports under the Ethics in Government Act of 1978([Appendix to 5 U.S.C.](#)) with the all-judge Financial Disclosure Committee of the Judicial Conference([28 U.S.C. §331](#)) in reliance on the Committee examining those reports only pro forma with the approval or condonation of their appointer, none other than the Supreme Court Chief Justice.
18. Many other questions are suggested throughout my three-volume study* [†] [♣] of judges and their judiciaries, the product of my professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#). The study is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* [†] [♣]**

- a. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#), which is available for free.
 - b. Some of my law articles included in that study are also posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.
 - c. My articles analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest.
 - d. Those articles have attracted so many visitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of April 19, 2022, was 43,815+([Appendix 3](#)).
 - e. How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know who have a website with so many subscribers?
 - f. You too can subscribe: go to the [site](#) <left panel ↓Register or + [New](#) or [Users](#) >[Add New](#).
19. Those questions can be supplemented by one that can have far reaching implications by exposing the politicians-judges' appointer-appointee connivance as well as for the first time breaking open a window into the complicity of the clerks of the U.S.'s most secretive entity: the Federal Judiciary.
 - a. What did President Biden know through the secret FBI report vetting Judge Brown and AG Garland for embarrassing or disqualifying actions and when did he know it?

- b. Cf. Justice Thomas's wife, Virginia "Ginni" Thomas, was exposed by CBS News on March 25, 2022, as working with Trump's chief of staff Mark Meadows, with whom she exchanged 29 emails, and members of Congress to overturn the results of the 2020 presidential election and make Trump the president. Justice Thomas was the only justice who voted to allow Trump to keep secret documents sought from him in the official investigation of the House Committee on the January 6 insurrection. One can think of the situation where Justice Thomas screams at his peers what all judges have etched on their forefronts: 'If you let them take me [or my wife] down, I'll bring you with me!' If so, the domino effect of his retaliatory revelations can cause one or more judges or justices to topple others until the Judiciary crumbles from the inside.
- c.i. Cf. The Judiciary's highest policy-making body, i.e., the Judicial Conference of the U.S., whose presiding officer is the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, adopted rules at its March 2022 meeting for:
- 1) the automatic release of judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports and the screening of conflicts;
 - 2) the certification by judges twice a year of a statement that they do not have conflict of interests, whether financial or otherwise; and
 - 3) the expansion of the livestreaming audio of proceedings.
- c.ii. The wealth of information that may be available for comparison with what judges have reported for the past seven years can prove devastating to the Judiciary, the most secretive branch, the one that holds all its adjudicative, policy-making, administrative, and disciplinary meetings behind closed doors.
- 1) The Judiciary's closed doors can be pried open by another source of invaluable information: the justices' and judges' current and former law clerks as well as court clerks. Some are disgusted, as are even some judges, by the abuse that is committed in the secrecy of chambers and other venues(OL3:1405§2). They signed up to become Workers of Justice but have been degraded to executors of abuse. Approached discreetly, they can be cultivated as confidential informants. They can become historic figures, as is Deep Throat of Watergate fame(jur106§C).

2. Investigative requests concerning the President, Attorney General M. Garland, and the House of Representatives

20. Journalists, politicians, and the rest of the national public should:

- a. demand that President Biden release the FBI report on Judge Brown as well as the reports on all the other judges and justices. That is necessary to establish what presidents and the senators who shepherded judicial nominees through the confirmation process(OL:194§E) knew about them and when they knew it. *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, are entitled to those reports to be able to hold our servants accountable and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of power.

21. They should invoke Article III, Section 1, of the Constitution, which provides that:

"The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behaviour, and shall, at stated Times, receive for their Services, a Compensation, which shall not be diminished during their Continuance in Office."

- a. to argue that the 131 judges who broke the law and others similarly situated have given probable cause to believe that they have not maintained the "good Behaviour" required to "hold their Offices" so that their "Continuance in Office" must be suspended, and they must without "Compensation" be removed from their current cases and not assigned new ones until an investigation, e.g., into their financial reports for false and misleading disclosures, and their relations to other people for any bragging about, or admission of, their grabbing gains and convenience, either clears them or determines that they should permanently not be allowed to "hold their Offices".
22. They should demand that Attorney General judge Garland recuse himself publicly from any participation in the official investigation by the FBI and the Department of Justice of his former fellow judges and their clerks.
 23. They should also petition the House of Representatives to form a committee, fully endowed with discovery powers, such as of subpoena, contempt, and search and seizure, to investigate judges' failure to maintain "good Behaviour" by engaging in many forms of abuse, such as their:
 - a. dismissal of 100% of complaints and denial of 100% of petitions to review those dismissals. That is how they cover-up of the abuse of power underlying the complaints;
 - b. disposition of the majority of cases without ever reading their briefs. Each brief costs \$1Ks and even \$10Ks to produce through discovery, field investigation, witness-locating, transcription of depositions, printing, copying, binding, serving, filing, arguing orally, etc., and entails compensable waste, breach of contract for judicial service, false advertisement, fraud on the party and the public, etc.
 - 1) Such failure can be demonstrated mathematically by dividing the annual number of disposed of cases, motions, and applications, by the number of judges in the court, of judges on each panel, of panels in the court, and of working days. The number of daily dispositions will make it apparent that the judges could not possibly have had time to read the respective briefs, decisions, record, laws, rules, regulations, treatises, journals, field specific and background information, etc., never mind research, draft, deliberate, etc. Note that their time for dispositions was diminished by their non-adjudicative activities, e.g., administrative, policy-making, disciplinary, bar-admitting, guest-receiving activities.
 - 2) Yet, more than 93% of appeals to the federal courts of appeals are disposed of in "procedural [e.g., the catchall, expedient ground of "lack of jurisdiction"], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation [throwing together a bunch of cases for disposition in one fell swoop]" decisions issued by caseload-lightening clerks rubberstamping the signature of the clerk of court on a reasonless, non-precedential, ad-hoc, arbitrary fiats contained in a 5¢ in-the-wastebasket-dumping form!(OL2:457§D); and
 - c. interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. That constitutes a deprivation of the rights most cherished by We the People, namely, those guaranteed under the 1st Amendment to the Constitution to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [by paying compensation].
 24. Informing the national public about how the above questions, investigations, and similar ones are

warranted by judges' abuse of power and their official court statistics can so outrage the public as to force Judge Brown to withdraw her name from the justice nomination and resign. That expectation is reasonable on the strength of its precedent: Public outrage at Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas's 'improprieties', which were not criminal, not even civil offenses, forced him to withdraw his name from the nomination to chief justice and thereafter resign on May 14, 1969(jur:92§d).

25. In fact, an informed public's outrage can be so intense as to stir up the public to demand that politicians running in the primaries and their supporters:
 - a. address the issue of judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of their unequalled power at every interview, rally, townhall meeting, and in their electoral programs;
 - b. call for official investigations by the House and the FBI and DoJ;
 - c. support the abusees' collective demand for compensation; and
 - d. reform the system of justice so that judges and their judiciary are held as accountable and liable as they have held pedophilic clergy and their churches because nobody is entitled to arrogate to themselves unequal protection from the law.

3. Asking for copies of complaints and stories of abuse by judges

26. In the same vein, journalists and politicians can ask people to send them a copy of the complaints that they have filed in court or with judicial performance review commissions as well as their stories of judges' many [forms of abuse](#) of power that they have suffered or witnessed.
 - a. They can invite people to apply the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words stories that are accurate, significant, and verifiable. Their analysis of those complaints and stories will enable them to detect the most probative type of evidence: patterns of abuse and schemes that can only be the product of coordination among wrongdoers, thus acting knowingly and intentionally.
 - b. That analysis will enrich the existing list of abundant leads([OL:194§E](#)) for launching a generalized media investigation into judges' and justices' abuse of power and their cover-up.
27. People can send their story to the following two blocs of email addresses of top journalists -many of whom in their articles and reportage([OL3:1452§1](#)) have already exposed judges' abuse of power- and professors:

To: [journalists]

adeney@alm.com, pam.spector@law360.com, dbiscobing@abc15.com,
adam@abcactionnews.com, iteam@abcactionnews.com, assignmentdesk@abc15.com,
iteam@abc.com, James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com,
Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, michael.siconolfi@wsj.com, kate.davidson@wsj.com,
john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
erik.ortiz@nbcuni.com, Anna.Brand@nbcuni.com, Tim.Perone@nbcuni.com,
Jessica.Simeone@nbcuni.com, cmartel@thehill.com, Jaquetta.White@nbcuni.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
newstip@globe.com, patricia.wen@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
spotlight@globe.com, insiders@icij.org, tips@publicintegrity.org, gryle@icij.org,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, andrea@americanthinker.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, drew@americanthinker.com,

contact@icij.org, fshiel@icij.org, investigations@icij.org, charles.ornstein@propublica.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, email@washingtonpost.com, Lisabennett418@gmail.com, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com, ryan.grim@theintercept.com, tips@propublica.org, info@AP.org, corderoric@yahoo.com, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, communication@lexisnexis.com, info@mail.huffpost.com, aturturro@alm.com, support@washposthelp.zendesk.com, Opencourt@cnn.com, aj.cameron1@gmail.com, wpmagazine@washpost.com, Evan.Allen@globe.com, Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, colorofmoney@washpost.com,

cc: [professors]

robert.bauer@nyu.edu, cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, dana.fowler@pscotus.gov, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu, jack.balkin@yale.edu, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu, charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu, bross@law.virginia.edu, wdellinger@omm.com, levi@law.duke.edu, ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, development@naacpldf.org, rfallon@law.harvard.edu, heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, ngertner@harvard.edu, tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu, mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu, lemos@law.duke.edu, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu, cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, d-strauss@uchicago.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, krooseve@law.upenn.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu, michael.waldman@nyu.edu, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net,

4. Holding unprecedented citizens hearings and a conference; and forming local chapters of a national movement for judicial reform

28. By writing their story, people can take their first step toward attaining one of their most compelling objectives in their quest for justice: telling it orally to the national public. That is the second step, which they can take at the proposed unprecedented citizens hearings. They are to be organized by journalists and politicians joining forces with professors and students at schools of journalism, law, business, Information Technology, and social sciences; and held at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conference so that the largest number of people everywhere can attend and testify inexpensively and with minimal disruption to their daily routine.
 - a. The report on the citizens hearings can be presented at the first-ever conference on judicial unaccountability and abuse of power, broadcast nationally and internationally multimedia and interactively.
 - b. Only after a thorough investigation and presentation of the nature, extent, and gravity of judges' unaccountable and abusive exercise of power can there be a discussion of measures to prohibit, prevent, detect, and punish their abuse.
29. Journalists and politicians can also work together to facilitate the formation by people who have cases before the same abusive judge or in the same court of local chapters. Their purpose will be to collectively demand compensation for abusees from judges and their judiciaries.
 - a. The local chapters are intended to coalesce eventually into a national movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation, and reform.

30. That is how the unprecedented citizens hearings and the conference will open the way for reforming the system of justice through transformative change: the system that enters the process of change will come out transformed into a different entity because reformative measures that today are deemed unthinkable will manifest themselves as unavoidable([OL3:1372¶f](#)) .

E. My offer of a presentation to you and your guests and a pitch of one or a series of my articles

31. The Senate confirmation hearings, the primaries, and the national *MeToo!*-BLM intolerance of all forms of abuse have coincided to turn the present time into the most propitious to make progress in judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform. By taking the above-mentioned and similar concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that I have proposed, you can advance your own commercial and reputational interests: "Scandal sells" and you can become nationally recognized by a grateful We the People as their Champion of Justice.

32. I offer to present these actions to you and your guests via video conference or in person. To schedule the presentation, use my contact information below.

33. To invite people to attend the presentation and send you their complaints and stories you can as widely as possible share this article with all your friends, relatives, workmates, etc., and post it to social media, such as Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google Plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp

Tweet: Tell journalists and politicians your story of judges' abuse of power to participate in unprecedented citizens hearings and demand compensation and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf

34. Therefore, this pitch can advance your commercial and reputational interests just as it can mine if you publish this or a series of my articles. I have written scores of them and made them available for download and review(infra, [OL3:App6§A](#)). In addition, there is a long list of subjects(id., [§B](#)) that I have already treated in my study of judges and their judiciaries(paragraph 17.a.5 supra). Of course, I can write on commission, whether articles, briefs, or case evaluations. See also my urban development [Offshoot Oases Project](#).

F. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

35. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and quest for justice are.

36. Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking at

Judicial Discipline Reform

37. **DONATE** by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle

from your account

to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;

or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

April 4, 2022

Mr. Félix Leo Campos
La Fortaleza Community Development Corporation (CDC)
The Bronx, NY
tel. (646)633 1790

Dear Mr. Campos and Colleagues,

I read your La Fortaleza Project. My comments on it that you requested, I respectfully submit to your and your colleagues' consideration in the form of "The Offshoot Oases Project" hereunder, which is downloadable[‡]. After you have read it, we can discuss it on the phone.

A. Arts and culture, a luxury for the wealthy; unaffordable by the poor

1. Arts and culture are activities paid for with money left after the necessities of life are taken care of. They are the first to be dropped from the budget, for they are "budgeted on the margin".
2. People facing eviction, suffering from food insecurity, in bad health, drop-outs, and the functionally illiterate do not spend on, or are not interested in, arts & culture. Survival dictates their spending.
3. Attachment to a project dear to one's heart should not prevent a business person from considering with objectivity and a sense of perspective the harsh realities of the business world and the circumstances and characteristics of even the people whose welfare motivates one's work.

B. "Repatriating \$487 million spent in other boroughs

4. In what fields is that money spent? A study should be conducted to gain current knowledge of the activities in which the residents of "The Bronx dormitory" spend their out-of-borough days and money. Based thereon it will be possible to determine:
 - a. which activities and types of business and companies running them can be attracted to, or offered in, The Bronx and the Upper East Side;
 - b. whether more spacious and affordable offices, residential apartments, and cultural sites can be used to attract them; and
 - c. what reasons account for the glut of unoccupied office space in Manhattan.

C. Current circumstances in and out of The Bronx propitious for development

5. Current circumstances in the U.S. and the rest of the world favor repatriation of research, development, and production facilities. Businesses want to have their activities based in the U.S. to counter the problems of:
 - a. the rising production cost, including salaries, in China, which are causing production facilities to be moved to Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, The Philippines, etc.;
 - b. uncertain importation from the production facilities in China, especially if China helps Russia's war in Ukraine and the U.S., Europe, Canada, and NATO impose sanctions on it;
 - c. shipping costs have exploded, multiplied by four for some products;
 - d. the unloading delays at the ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles in California;
 - e. the lack of truck drivers to distribute goods from those ports throughout the U.S.;

- f. The Bronx and the Upper East Side can offer an alternative given that production there can cut shipping and distribution costs and delays significantly. Moreover, it is estimated that American workers are three times more productive than their Chinese counterparts.
- 6. Billions of dollars are going to be invested in a microchip plant in a southern state.
- 7. Christmas goods, especially toys, can be produced in The Bronx and the Upper East Side.
- 8. The Bronx can become the site where the biotech industry builds new research, development, and production facilities to deal with the scarcity of microchips and the challenges presented by Covid-19, Omicron, and BA.2, as well as the current outburst of bird flu...and those yet to come.

D. “The Offshoot Oases Project”, ambitious and insightful

- 9. The Fortaleza Project makes reference to many “underused venues and locations” in The Bronx and the Upper East Side. They are an asset to be put to work to generate development and revenue.
- 10. CDC, the boroughs of The Bronx and Manhattan, and NYC can together offer those “underused venues and locations”, including vacant lots and buildings, as their contribution to a joint venture with investors and developers aimed at creating alternatives to what companies and residents in the other boroughs find too expensive; e.g., the former contribute underused and vacant lots and buildings, and the latter contribute money and development know-how and resources.
- 11. Gentrification is a means of increasing the value of the tax base: higher-tax payers are a source of further development beneficial to, and affordable by, low-income people. The tax base cannot be increased if too many people are receiving public assistance. Moms and Pops businesses do not generate employment or development. NYC’s failure to attract the new Amazon distribution center is rich in lessons of what to do and not to do. A higher tax base can produce the money necessary to deal with the most pressing need of the poor and even the middle class: affordable housing.
- 12. “The Offshoot Oases Project” aims to build in the most suitable “underused venues and locations” spacious and affordable offshoots of Wall Street, Madison Avenue, Fashion Week, Silicon Valley, and biotech laboratories in the development oases of the Upper East Side. They will consist of a complex of offices for lawyers, publishers, fashion industry members, living quarters and hotels for their employees and patrons, production facilities for soft- and hard-ware developers and biotech companies, recreational areas, and “The Joy of Rebirth Amusement Park”. An educational center jointly established by Cornell and Columbia Universities and NYU will provide the technical education for the necessary workforce. An areal map of areas to develop can be used to identify concentrations of “underused venues and locations” that can become Offshoot Oases. Imagine the brochure, *infra*, with red crosses on lots and the roofs of building that can be better used.

E. Offer of a presentation, backed up by a website that has proved its appeal


- 13. I can make an introductory presentation to you and your colleagues of the Offshoot Oases Project in exchange for a consulting fee. I post some of my economic and legal articles to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform**. They analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest. Those articles have attracted so many visitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of April 3, 2022, was **43,722+(Appendix 3)**. How many law firms, let alone lawyers, do you know who have a site with so many subscribers? I can present in a way that attracts people to read and hear it.

Sincerely, /s/ Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.




An areal map of the Upper East Side may be used for identifying concentrations of “underused venues and locations” that can become Offshoot Oases. Picture it on a wall with red crosses on lots and the roofs of buildings that are underused or vacant. In the short term, an oasis may be one building even as simultaneously whole areas are identified and developed as Oases.



The Offshoot Oases Project

aims to build in the most suitable “underused venues and locations” spacious and affordable offshoots of Wall Street, Madison Avenue, Fashion Week, Silicon Valley, and biotech laboratories in the development oases of the Upper East Side. They will consist of a complex of offices for lawyers, publishers, fashion industry members, living quarters and hotels for their employees and patrons, production facilities for soft- and hard-ware developers and biotech companies, recreational areas, and “The Joy of Rebirth Amusement Park”. An educational center jointly established by Cornell and Columbia Universities, and NYU will provide the technical education for the necessary workforce.



La Fortaleza Project of the Community Development Corporation makes reference to many “underused venues and locations” in the Upper East Side. They are an asset to be put to work to generate development and revenue. CDC, the boroughs of Manhattan and The Bronx, and NYC can propose them for a joint venture with investors and developers aimed at creating alternatives to what companies and residents in the other boroughs find too expensive and crowded; e.g., the former contribute underused and vacant lots and buildings, and the latter money and development resources.

Kinds of Marketing Materials to invite investors to PowerPoint presentations



I can make a presentation to the CDC board and its guests of the Offshoot Oases Project in exchange for a consulting fee. I post some of my economic and legal articles to my website [Judicial Discipline Reform](http://JudicialDisciplineReform.com). They analyze current events and propose concrete, reasonable, and feasible actions that webvisitors can take in their own interest. My articles have attracted so many visitors and elicited in them such a positive reaction that the number of those who had become subscribers as of April 3, 2022, was **43,722+**. Contact me at (718)827-9521 or Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net.

May 4, 2022

Association of Internet Research Specialists[‡]
support@aofirs.org
tel.+1 (905)-488-0753

Dear AIRS Officers,

Thank you for your email to **Judicial Discipline Reform** of April 28 advertising your upcoming “CIRS Certification Training | Weekend Batch”, scheduled to begin on May 7.

This is an application for free of charge participation in that training and receipt of its materials.

It is warranted by **Judicial Discipline Reform**'s uncompensated advocacy of the public interest in honest judges and their judiciaries; and its grant to the public of free access and use of its research findings and advocacy materials.

Moreover, I offer in exchange concrete, reasonable, and feasible research projects and a presentation for free to AIRS and its trainees on how you all can pursue them in your research and thereby further the public interest as well as our own financial and reputational interests.

A. Research findings and advocacy materials with proven public appeal

1. To begin with, you can access for free **Judicial Discipline Reform**'s three-volume study*[†][‡] of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It is titled downloadable as follows:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†][‡]**

2. **Judicial Discipline Reform** also advocates the public interest on its website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> .
3. There it posts articles with law research findings and legal strategy to which the national public has access for free. Those articles have attracted so many webvisitors and appealed to them so positively that as of May 3, 2022, the number of them who had become subscribers for free was 43,927+.([Appendix 3](#)) Do you know of any law firm that has so many subscribers to its website?
4. These subscribers have found the articles appealing although they are intellectually demanding and written in long form. It is reasonable to assume that the subscribers are the type of highly educated and well-off readers of such top publications as *The New York Times* and its Sunday Supplement, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, TIME Magazine, *The Atlantic*, *The Boston Globe*, etc.
5. These subscribers, as opposed to pro ses, can afford Internet researchers as they pursue their individual lawsuits and class actions. All of them as well as AIRS and its trainees can be presumed to be interested in pursuing the concrete, reasonable, and feasible [research projects](#) developed by **Judicial Discipline Reform**, which can be downloaded and examined for free (see also [Appendix 6§B](#)).

1. Research supported by top media, a court decision, and Congress

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

OL3:1473

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-AIRS_&_trainees.pdf
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393

[†].../OL2/...2.pdf>from OL2:394-1143

6. The foundational assertion of the study, the articles on the website, and the research projects is that judges are unaccountable and engage in consequent riskless abuse of power and financial criminality. This assertion has been unwittingly validated by a series of articles appearing in top publications([OL3:1446§B](#)) and a top member of Congress. Three of them are convincing enough:
- a. The Wall Street Journal, published on September 28, 2021, the first of a [series](#) of articles under the initial title “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”. Another article in the series was published on November 2, 2021, titled “Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn’t violate financial-conflicts law”; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Michael.Siconolfi@wsj.com.
 - b. Thomson Reuters, with 2,500+ journalists and 600+ photojournalists, published on June 30, 2020, the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe” on its massive [investigation](#) of state judges led by John.Shiffman@thomsonreuters.com and Michael.Berens@thomsonreuters.com. It found that “hardwired judicial corruption” intertwines state judges and the state commissions on judicial performance that are duty-bound to supervise and discipline them. Reuters asked readers to send it [their stories](#) of abuse by judges...and it was “inundated” with them. This goes to showing that people who have suffered or witnessed judges’ abuse want to tell their story to the largest public possible. Research on their behalf is reasonably expected to pay off for researchers in financial terms and enhanced reputation.
 - c. [Strickland v. U.S.](#), no. 21-1346, is a civil case where a decision was handed down by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit on April 26, 2022. It is unprecedented, for it holds that the Federal Judiciary and its officials are suable on grounds of the 5th and 14th Amendments due process and equal protection of the law clauses, as well as specific acts of Congress. Hence, it reversed the outright dismissal by the trial court, which had invoked judicial immunity –a [doctrine self-servingly conjured up](#) by judges themselves in defiance of the Constitution– and remanded for further proceedings.
 - d. The mood for exposing unaccountable judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality is not only apparent in the media, but also in Congress, as shown by [The Hill](#), in its article “House panel to explore impeachment [of Justice Clarence Thomas], judicial ethics in wake of Ginni Thomas texts” by [Emily Brooks](#), published on April 2, 2022. It reported that:
 - 1) “Representative [Hank Johnson](#) (D-Ga.), the chairman of the House Judiciary courts subcommittee, ...last year introduced the Supreme Court Ethics Act to implement a judicial code of conduct that applies to the Supreme Court. Jones co-led the Twenty-First Century Courts Act, which would similarly implement a code of conduct for the justices.
 - 2) “He said, “Recent reports that the text messages of a justice’s spouse urging the overturning of a free and fair election may have been at issue in a case in front [sic] the Supreme Court — but that the justice did not recuse himself from the case — is just the latest and particularly egregious example in an unfortunately long list of illustrations as to why Supreme Court justices need to follow a formal code of ethics,” Johnson told [The Hill](#). “I have been calling for this sort of reform for years, and I am encouraged to see a large, bipartisan majority of the public in favor of this long overdue legislation...Johnson called for Thomas’s resignation.”

2. Research projects that can lead to unprecedented citizens hearings

7. AIRS and its trainees can do so much better than merely sit back and watch as spectators how the above-mentioned and other publishers and Congress continue to pursue their exposure of judges' abuse of power and **financial criminality**. You all and I can in our own financial and reputational interest take the lead by **Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting** concerning two abusive practices through which judges have made millions of victims across the nation, namely:
 - a. the investigation by forensic Information Technology experts of judges' **interception** of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics(**OL:394§1**);
 - b. the **mathematical demonstration** that the overwhelming majority of briefs are not read by judges, but rather are disposed of to lighten their workload by having their clerks rubberstamp reasonless, unresearched, fiat-like 5¢ dumping forms;
 - c. the development of advanced statistical, linguistic, and literary **research software** to analyze all sorts and vast amounts of writings to detect the most persuasive kind of evidence: **patterns and schemes** of abuse;
 - d. the *Follow the Money!* and *Follow the Wire!* investigations that apply forensic research techniques(**jur:102§a**; **OL:194§E**) to discover assets that judges have grabbed through illegal and abusive practices; concealed to evade taxes; and subjected to money laundering when ready to spend(**OL:1**), all of which are crimes and can expose patterns of criminal activity so coordinated as to reveal federal judges running the Federal Judiciary as a **racketeering enterprise**.
8. Given the current outrage at the Supreme Court having written the draft decision overturning *Roe v. Wade*, research findings that exposed justices and judges engaged in racketeering for their personal and judicial class gain and convenience would take that outrage to its paroxysm. Researcher that contributed to such exposure would be instrumental in implementing the proposal for **unprecedented citizens hearings**.
9. Citizens hearings are to be held by journalists and students and their professors –motivated by their own financial and reputational interests– at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conferences. There people will be afforded the opportunity to do what drives their passionate quest for justice: tell the national public their story of the abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed, and demand compensation and reform.
10. Those hearings will be a source of invaluable leads for researchers to further their investigation of judges' abuse and criminality. Thus, the hearings can be expected to become a self-reinforcing mechanism for further research that make any investment in mounting the learning curve pay off and become long-term financially and reputationally profitable.

B. My offer of a webinar presentation via video conference

11. In exchange for AIRS agreeing to my free participation in, and receipt of the materials for, its Certification Training, I offer a free webinar on the subjects outlined above. Cf. **my webinar** together with **slides** on writing one's story of abuse by judges that one has suffered or witnessed.
12. This is an opportunity for us to become nationally recognized Champions of Justice. Let's seize it together. I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

May 9, 2022

**Proposal to Reuters, WSJ, WP, and all other media outlets
for a joint venture at the most propitious time:
when the Supreme Court’s leaked abortion draft opinion
has set the mood for national outrage to explode as a result of
the exposure of justices’ and judges’ participation in
abuse of power and financial criminality
so coordinated and widespread as to amount to
their running the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise:**
“Scandal sells” and can win Pulitzer Prizes by leading to
the serial resignation of justices, judges, and courts;
the loss of public trust in the Federal Judiciary that brings it down;
the calling of the constitutional convention already petitioned by 34 states;
and a new constitution by today’s *We the People*†

A. The foundation for exposing judges’ and justices’ abuse of power and financial criminality

1. The leaked draft opinion by Supreme Court Justice Samuel A. Alito revealing that a majority of the Court favors overturning *Roe v. Wade* has caused national jubilation but even more outrage.
2. That outrage would pale by comparison to that which can be provoked and overcome much jubilation by a series of responsible and principled articles, such as those already written and available for review([Appendix 6§A](#)) or that can be written on commission([Appendix 6§B](#)), exposing how the justices, who are unaccountable and not even held to any ethics code, run and condone the running of the Federal Judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
3. There is solid basis for raising these charges against justices and judges. To begin with, there is the [series](#) of articles that *The Wall Street Journal (WSJ)* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”.
 - a. Another article in the series was published on November 2, 2021, titled “Hidden Interests – Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. [U.S. Chief District Judge of the Eastern District of Texas] Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn’t violate financial-conflicts law”; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com, Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com, Coulter.Jones@wsj.com, Michael.Siconolfi@wsj.com.
 - b. The judges who failed to recuse themselves in order to grab money necessarily engaged, lest they incriminate themselves, in inside trading, fraud, concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering. Those are crimes. They are complex and require coordination. When judges committed them as principals or condoned them as accessories, they became the judicial class that turned the Federal Judiciary into a ‘racketeer influenced and corrupt organization’(Cf. Title 18 of the U.S. Code of federal law, section 1961 ([18 U.S.C. §1961](#))).
 - c. When a chief judge engages in such systematic abuse of power and financial criminality, how strongly do his fellow judges feel justified and encouraged by his example in committing any and all sorts of [abuse](#) and crimes so that abuse and [criminality](#) become their judiciary’s institutionalized way of doing business?

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1479
*.../OL/...>all prefixes:# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143
‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf

- d. Today journalists must ask the famous question that Sen. Howard Baker, the ranking minority member of the Senate Watergate Committee, asked of every witness at the Watergate hearings to find out what President Nixon knew about the Watergate affair(paragraph 14 infra), adapting it so:
- 1) What did SCt. [Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.](#), know about the abuse and criminality of his fellow judges, whom he is supposed to supervise; and when did he know it?
 - 2) What did Justice Samuel A. Alito, the circuit justice assigned under [28 U.S.C. §42](#), to, and with supervisory duties over, the Fifth Circuit, which includes Texas, know about it, and when did he know it?
 - 3) What did Justice Clarence Thomas know about his wife’s effort to overturn the 2020 election results; and when did he know it? Let him “[deal with it](#)” and with his failure to report in his annual financial disclosure reports her earnings([jur:72§b](#))
 - 4) What did Then-Judge, Now-Judge Sonia Sotomayor know about the embarrassing *DeLano* case and its suppression from the file on her submitted to the Senate Committee on Judicial Nominations; and when did she know it([jur:65§§1-3](#))
 - 5) What did President Joe Biden know through the FBI secret vetting reports about the participation in judges’ abuse and criminality, or their cover-up, by [former Chief Judge Merrick Garland](#) of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, now his Attorney General, and [Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson](#), who sits in that Circuit; and when did he know it?
4. Thomson Reuters, on the strength of its 2,500+ journalists and 600+ photojournalists, can scoop the story of the justices’ abuse and criminality. It already dare publish on June 30, 2020, the first of its three-part report “The Teflon Robe” on its massive [investigation](#) of state judges led by [John.Shiffman@thomsonreuters.com](#) and [Michael.Berens@thomsonreuters.com](#). It found that “hardwired judicial corruption” intertwines state judges and the state commissions on judicial performance that are duty-bound to supervise and discipline them.
- a. Reuters asked readers to send it [their stories](#) of abuse by judges...and it was “inundated” with them. This goes to showing that people who have suffered or witnessed judges’ abuse want to tell their story to the largest public possible.
5. The mood for exposing the justices’ unaccountability and their consequent riskless abuse of power and financial criminality is manifest in Congress. This is shown by [The Hill](#), in its article “House panel to explore impeachment [of Justice Clarence Thomas], judicial ethics in wake of Ginni Thomas texts” by [Emily Brooks](#), published on April 2, 2022. It reported that:
- a. “Representative [Hank Johnson](#) (D-Ga.), the chairman of the House Judiciary courts subcommittee, ...last year introduced the Supreme Court Ethics Act to implement a judicial code of conduct that applies to the Supreme Court. Jones co-led the Twenty-First Century Courts Act, which would similarly implement a code of conduct for the justices.
 - b. “He said, “Recent reports that the text messages of a justice’s spouse urging the overturning of a free and fair election may have been at issue in a case in front [sic] the Supreme Court — but that the justice did not recuse himself from the case — is just the latest and particularly egregious example in an unfortunately long list of illustrations as to why Supreme Court justices need to follow a formal code of ethics,” Johnson told [The Hill](#). “I have been calling for this sort of reform for years, and I am encouraged to see a large,

bipartisan majority of the public in favor of this long overdue legislation...Johnson called for Thomas's resignation."

6. *Strickland v. U.S.*, no. 21-1346, is a civil case where a decision was handed down by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit on April 26, 2022. It is unprecedented, for it holds that the Federal Judiciary and its officials are suable on grounds of the 5th and 14th Amendments due process and equal protection of the law clauses, as well as specific acts of Congress. Hence, it reversed the outright dismissal by the trial court, which had invoked judicial immunity—a doctrine self-servingly conjured up by judges themselves in defiance of the Constitution—and remanded for further proceedings.
 - a. *Strickland* opens the door for all abusees joining forces to collectively demand compensation from the Federal Judiciary and the justices themselves. Imagine the amount of business generated if Thomson Reuters, I, and other media outlets showed the abusees how to demand such compensation.

B. What I bring to the joint venture

7. I established **Judicial Discipline Reform**. Its website is at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. There I post articles with law research findings and legal strategy to which the national public has access. Those articles have attracted so many webvisitors and appealed to them so positively that as of May 14, 2022, the number of them who had become subscribers was 44,007+.(Appendix 3) Do you know of any law firm, let alone a lawyer, that has so many subscribers to their website?
8. The subscribers to my site have found my articles appealing although the articles are intellectually demanding and written in long form. It is reasonable to assume that the subscribers are mostly the type of highly educated and well-off readers of such top publications as *The New York Times* and its Sunday Edition, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, *TIME Magazine*, *The Atlantic*, *The Boston Globe*, etc.
9. These subscribers can afford the books and services of Thomson Reuters and other publishers, just as their lawyers can.
10. The general public too, including pro ses, is attracted to the website and can patronize its sponsor's advertisement there thanks to the concrete, reasonable, and feasible ways in which I have proposed that people take action in their own interest; e.g.:
 - a. the two-phase method for writing their own story;
 - b. how to use legal sources of information for brief writing(see a list of them at Appendix 6§C);
 - c. the folly of pro ses improvising themselves as lawyers;
 - d. how to seek free legal assistance.
11. **Judicial Discipline Reform**, its articles, and site rely on a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. It holds the materials corresponding to the (blue references) herein; and is titled and downloadable thus* † ♣:
**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**
12. Moreover, I bring to the joint venture a plan of also concrete, realistic, and feasible actions that

media outlets and I can undertake right away and that is reasonably calculated to be financially and reputationally beneficial for all venturers. It includes an investigative plan with an abundance of leads(OL:194§E).

C. From judicial resignations, an institutional crisis, a constitutional convention, to a new constitution by today's *We the People*

13. Reuters, *WSJ*, *The Washington Post (WP)*, and all other media outlets can do so much better than merely sit back and watch as spectators how other publishers and Congress scoop the exposure of judges' and justices' commission or condonation of **abuse of power** and **financial criminality**, just as **Politico** scooped the abortion draft opinion. They have the means of taking the reins of the investigative bandwagon and set out towards **Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting**.
14. *The Washington Post* published with courage and persistence a series of articles that set in motion a generalized media investigation of the crimes that President Nixon and 'All his Men' committed by organizing and covering up the break-in at the Democratic National Committee headquarters at the Watergate building in Washington, DC, on June 17, 1972, to commit political espionage. *WP* was instrumental in forcing Nixon to resign and causing 'All his Men' to go to jail. For that, *WP* became the symbol of excellence in journalism in the public interest, in general, and investigative journalism, in particular.
15. Today, Reuters, *WSJ*, *WP*, and all other media outlets can do so much more than bring down a group of men who went rogue. Rather, they can expose how a whole branch of government, the Federal Judiciary, has gone rogue to become a racketeering enterprise.
16. They can inform the national public about, and so outrage it at, judges' and justices' abuse and criminality as to stir up the public, having lost its trust in their integrity and honesty, to demand the resignation of judges, justices, and even a whole court, such as the Supreme Court.
17. This inform and outrage strategy is realistic, for the public is strongest during primaries and in view of elections. Then it wields its enormous power to donate money, volunteer as campaign workers, spread positive word of mouth, and vote.
18. There is also precedent for the strategy in the forced resignations of(OL3:1423§c):
 - a. Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969;
 - b. Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski on December 18, 2017;
 - c. Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, who resigned from the 3rd Circuit on February 11, 2019;
 - d. Circuit Judge Robert Bork of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit had his resignation preempted by the Senate's rejection on October 23, 1987, of his nomination to the Supreme Court. He was doomed by the public outrage that he had provoked more than a decade earlier when he carried out President's Nixon's order to fire Special Watergate Prosecutor Archibald Cox in the Saturday Night Massacre on October 20, 1973.
19. Indeed, public outrage is a powerful force very difficult to resist. The outrage unleashed by the exposure of justices' and judges' abuse and criminality can set off an institutional crisis: Which of the other two branches would dare hold judges accountable, who could retaliate by holding their laws and electoral programs unconstitutional or interpret them so expansively or restrictively that they are ineffective?(jur:23fn17a).

20. That crisis can force the occurrence of what 34 states have petitioned Congress to do since April 2, 2014, but its members will not do voluntarily, lest they lose their privileges and power: call a constitutional convention pursuant to the amending provisions of Article V of the [Constitution](#).
21. A constitutional convention ran away with its mandate and instead of reforming the Articles of Confederation that the Second Continental Congress had approved on November 1, 1777, cast them aside. The convention, likewise made up only of white landed Christian free men, drafted the current Constitution, which was ratified by the 13 states in 1789.
22. Public outrage has been building up since the eruption of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements, the protests against social and economic inequality, the resentment against the Covid mandates and discrimination of Asian and Pacific Islanders communities, the fast-intensifying animosity among supporters and opponents of the leaked abortion draft opinion.
23. That outrage can reach its paroxysm and clear the way to the irrepressible will of the living today to break free from the now dead hands of those who wrote the Constitution 233 years ago. Never conceived to deal with a world that their heads could not even imagine, that Constitution is twisted to read one way at one time and the opposite way at another time by nine unelected justices...who even unaccountably and risklessly participate in, or cover up, their and their colleagues' abuse of power and criminality. *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
24. Their outrage casting aside the current Constitution even before they become assembled in a constitutional convention, today's men, women, and LBGTQs, rich and poor, those of faith and no faith, of any color, whether in cities, suburbs, rural areas, and Indian land, workers at desks or with tools in their hands, from young adults to senior citizens, all of them can choose for themselves as the sovereign source of all public power the fundamental rules by which they want to live. They can author the Code of Governance of Today's *We the People*.

D. First steps toward the key objectives of the business venture

25. The media outlets that are instrumental in launching this chain of events can become for generations the symbol of excellence in journalism and its power to bring about transformative change in the public interest. Such recognition can begin with winning Pulitzer Prizes and making money, for "Scandal sells".
26. You all and I can in our own financial and reputational interest take the lead by **Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting**. Our audience will be, in general, the national public in voting mood, and, in particular, the scores of millions of people who have suffered or witnessed judges' abuse of power and financial criminality.
27. The first steps of the joint venture consist in both the serial publication by you of the following articles that I have written and can edit upon your review of them([Appendix 6§A](#)); and others that I can write on commission, whether along the lines of my sample of subjects([Appendix 6§B](#)) or a subject proposed by you; and the further investigation to follow the leads that they contain; e.g.:
 - a. judges' [interception](#) of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics; and its investigation by forensic Information Technology experts;
 - b. the [mathematical demonstration](#) that the overwhelming majority of briefs are not read by judges, but rather are disposed of to lighten their workload by their having clerks rubberstamp reasonless, unresearched, fiat-like 5¢ dumping forms; and its further statistical strengthening by auditing judges' decisions, most of which they post to their courts' websites, whose addresses can be found through the [federal court finder](#);

- c. the development of advanced statistical, linguistic, and literary [research software](#) to analyze all sorts and vast amounts of writings to detect the most persuasive kind of evidence: [patterns and schemes](#) of abuse;
 - d. the *Follow the Money!* and *Follow the Wire!* investigations that apply forensic research techniques, e.g., Fraud and Forensic Accounting([jur:102§a](#); [OL:194§E](#)) to discover assets that judges have grabbed, concealed, evaded taxes on, and money laundered([OL:1](#)); and determine their illegal use to do so of government property, such as the Federal Judiciary’s vast, national digital network and expertise; cf. [CM/ECF](#) (Case Management/Electronic Case Filing) and [PACER](#) (Public Access to Court Electronic Records);
 - e. judges’ abuse of the congressional grant to them of self-discipline authority under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980([28 U.S.C. §§351-364](#)) by [dismissing 100%](#) of complaints filed against any federal judge and [denying 100%](#) of petitions to review those dismissals, thus institutionalizing judges’ implicit or explicit [complicit agreement for reciprocal exoneration](#) from all complaints: ‘Today I exempt you from the complaint against you, and tomorrow you exempt me and my friends from any complaint against us, no matter the nature, extent, and gravity of the abuse complained-about’;
 - f. the investigation of Supreme Court justices and congressional leaders, which can benefit from the abundance of leads that I have collected([OL:194§E](#); [jur:65§B](#)).
28. The business venture can enhance my site technologically into a clearinghouse and a research center. This will allow people to post their stories of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witness as well as their complaints against judges already or to be filed; and to research them for patterns and schemes of abuse of power and financial criminality that but for [coordination](#) among judges and between them and their cronies would not have been organized and become operational.
29. The venture can enable people to tell their stories to the national public at [unprecedented citizens hearings](#). The latter will be organized by Reuters, *WSJ*, *WP*, other media outlets, their journalists, and professors and students. They will be held at university auditoriums, media stations, and via video conference to make it inexpensive for the largest number of people to tell their stories and virtually attend the citizens hearings.
- a. Those hearings will be a source of invaluable leads for researchers to further their investigation of justices’ and judges’ abuse and criminality. The hearings can be expected to become a self-reinforcing research mechanism that makes any investment in mounting the learning curve pay off and become long-term financially and reputationally profitable.

E. My offer to make a presentation on this proposal for a joint venture

30. I offer to present this proposal via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. Preview key features by reviewing my [webinar](#) and its [slides](#). To contact me use the information in the letterhead.
31. This is an opportunity for you and the rest of the media, so unjustly denigrated as “the enemy of the people”, to redeem yourselves by informing the people and channeling their outrage so as to enable them to assert their status as the Masters of all public servants, including justices and judges, entitled to exercise their authority as such to hold them accountable for their abuse of the public power entrusted to them and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
32. By so doing, we can become financially and reputationally rewarded not only with Pulitzer Prizes, but also by being nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as their Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

June 11, 2022

International Commission of Jurists and its Kenyan Section[†]
Rue des Buis 3
P.O. Box 1740
1211 Geneva 1, Switzerland
T +41 (0)22 979 38 00
F +41 (0)22 979 38 01
info@icj.org

Dear editor Jill Cottrell Ghai, Commission and Section members, and
Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for sharing with me your publication "Judicial Accountability in the New
Constitutional Order".

1. This is an overture to cooperate internationally to bring about judicial accountability in fact.
2. As in so many other fields, developments in judicial accountability in the United States can set a worldwide trend. Therefore, I respectfully submit to your consideration the article below, which proposes bringing about such developments by thinking strategically and taking concrete, reasonable, and feasible action. Its emphasis is on pragmatism that can enlist the enormous power of the media and an outraged national public, not theory to be discussed only by a handful of academics in a physical or digital room. Hence the inform and outrage strategy for launching a judicial accountability national and international movement.
3. This strategy is laid out in detail in my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ‡**

4. Some of my articles are posted to my website at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. The countless webvisitors to it have reacted to them so favorably that as of June 11, 2022, the number of those who have become subscribers is 44,217 and counting.
 - a. You too can subscribe to it:
 - 1) go to <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> <left panel ↓Register; or
 - 2) click + New or Users >Add New; or
 - 3) fill out the New User form at <https://www.judicial-discipline-reform.org/wp-admin/user-new.php>.

5. Three recent events in the United States are promising and should encourage all of us:
 - a. *Strickland v. U.S.*, No. 21-1346, is a federal case just decided last April 26, by the **U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th** Circuit. For the first time, a federal court held the federal judiciary and its officials suable. By so doing, it rejected the doctrine of judicial immunity that judges have conjured up in their self-serving interest in defiance of the tenets "Equal Justice Under Law" and "Nobody is Above the Law". This case can establish a precedent for suits against the judiciaries of every state. Hence, *Strickland's* financial and reputational potential is discussed in the article at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf).

- b. On Wednesday, June 8, 2022, it was announced that 90 U.S. gymnasts have joined in a class action to sue the FBI -the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the investigative arm of the U.S. Department of Justice- for over \$1 billion for dereliction of duty, negligence, and malfeasance in its handling of their complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar and the subsequent cover-up in which defendant FBI officers engaged. <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/06/08/us/politics/nassar-fbi-lawsuits.html>
- c. A draft opinion of the majority of the U.S. Supreme Court justices in favor of overturning *Roe v. Wade* was leaked to the public recently. It set off pro and contra manifestations all over the country. The final opinion is expected to be announced officially before the end of June 2022. It will set off the “summer of rage”.
- 1) This is so because since the start of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements, and the protests against socio-economic inequality and police brutality, the mood that has overtaken the public is of intolerance against any form of perceived abuse. Making the national public aware of how unaccountable judges commit abuse of power risklessly for their gain and convenience will provoke intense outrage. Only an outraged national public can bring about transformative change in the federal and state systems of justice.
 - 2) Hence, this is the time for all of us to share with the public our stories of judges’ abuse of power. To that end, we need to make them available to those with the most extensive means of distribution: all possible media outlets and journalists.
6. All of you can join in reaching out to the media, journalists, and people who have a story of abuse by judges that they have suffered or witnessed and want to tell it at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#): Whenever you receive an email from me, **click “Reply All” and “Send”**. Even though the subject line may be the same, the addressees in the To: box are different.

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.

**Put your money where your
outrage at abuse and
quest for justice are.**

Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking at:

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

DONATE

by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle

from your account

to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;
or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it

June 2, 2022

Dear Ms. McKinney and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

Thank you for bringing to my attention John Grisham's most recent novel "The Judge's List".

I like Grisham since I read "A Time to Kill". Thereafter, I read several of his judicial novels.

However, the novel of his that I like the most is one that has nothing to do with judges or the judiciary, namely, "A Painted House". It is a literary piece of work. It deals with the emotional growth of a boy born in the South as he learns how the farming and rural world around him functions. Eventually, he decides to go to a completely different world: the urban world of the car manufacturing Detroit.

That theme of a driving aspiration to change one's environment is poignantly described in one of the novels that I like the most, that is, "Angela's Ashes" by Frank McCourt.

It tells the story of a boy born into an Irish family that had emigrated to New York City. His drunken father plunges his family into a disastrous economic situation which forces him, the boy, and his mother to go back to Ireland. While the father continues to drink and to set the worst possible example of personal and family irresponsibility, the boy starts to develop an abiding commitment to returning to America and to the life that he wanted for himself and his mother. What is exemplary in him is the inner strength that makes him choose a life at the antipode of that of economic and emotional misery that surrounds him as he grows.

A. Novel inspiring strength to pursue judicial reform

1. His inner commitment in defiance of the depressing and defeating influences besieging him on the outside has served as a model for me as I struggle alone to apply **strategic thinking** to strike a different path toward **judicial reform**.
2. The one influence from the outside that has supported me is that my website, **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, where I post some of my articles, has attracted so many readers and so many among them have found them such a 'pleasant divergence' from one-paragraph blogs as well as informative and outraging that the number of them who as of June 25, 2022, have become subscribers is 44,327. (See Appendix 3.)
3. Indeed, I do not follow robotically the millions of people who for centuries have tried unsuccessfully to obtain redress for their grievances against judges' unaccountable and riskless **abuse of power** for their own gain and convenience: Those people merely go to court in the uncritical and unrealistic expectation that judges will judge themselves and their peers, colleagues, and friends by applying to them the law fairly and impartially.
4. Instead, I have developed the **out-of-court strategy** for informing the public of, and outraging it at, judges' abuse. Only an outraged national public is strong enough to compel change in the status and functioning of judges.
5. To that end, I try to build **alliances of result** with other entities even if they are driven by their own commercial, professional, and personal motives. Foremost among potential allies are journalists, for they have the most effective **means of informing** the public; "Scandal sells"; and they make a name for themselves by exposing the wrongdoing of public servants.
6. The higher in the hierarchy is a public servant, the most enduring the name that a journalist can make for herself by bringing him down. A most convincing and energizing precedent for that proposition is provided by *Washington Post* reporters Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward.

7. Thanks to their persistence, ingenuity, and courage, they were instrumental in setting in motion the investigative bandwagon on which every other journalist had to climb in order not to be left behind by the competition. As a result, President Nixon was forced to resign on August 8, 1974, and “All the President’s Men” ended up in prison for their involvement in the Watergate scandal that exposed the break-in at the National Democratic Committee as political espionage to assist Nixon’s reelection, a slush fund to pay for it and its cover-up, abuse of the IRS to retaliate against political opponents, etc. For more than a generation, Woodward and Bernstein have been icons of professional and principled journalism.

B. Distributing articles on the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy

8. Even as you continue to [read](#) Grisham, you too can make a name for yourself by helping to implement the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy. The moral and material rewards for you can be commensurate with the strategy’s objective: not just to bring down top individual corrupt public servants, as the journalists investigating Watergate already did, but rather to expose how judges and justices run a [racketeering enterprise](#) and thereby bring down a whole branch of government: the Federal Judiciary...with the state judiciaries to follow suit.
9. In that vein, I have requested you and all other Advocates of Honest Judiciaries to [help distribute](#) my emails containing my articles and this strategy by simply clicking “Reply All” and “Send”. Even if my emails reach you under the same Subject: or Re: line, they include your own email address as well as mine inserted in different sets of email addresses in the To: box.
10. I have not received a single of those articles so distributed. Either Advocates do not receive my emails; find it too burdensome to click “Reply All” and “Send”; or the articles so sent to me do not reach me. If the first and last reasons obtain, mine and your emails are being [intercepted](#). It is reasonable to assume that the interceptors are the people who have the most self-serving interest in preventing my articles from reaching others: judges, who are exposed in my articles.
11. To overcome that interception it is indispensable for so many Advocates to distribute [the articles](#) as to overwhelm the interception mechanism and thereby manage to get my articles to the public, in general, and [journalists](#), in particular. You can still help distribute those articles: go through your Inbox, Spam, and Trash boxes, and open each of my emails and click “Reply All” and “Send”. You can also distribute other articles of mine.
12. There can be no doubt that this is the [optimal moment](#) to cause journalists [to investigate judges](#) and the justices of the Supreme Court: An ever-larger segment of the public is outraged at judges and justices and thus willing to believe everything that is negative about them or that can be used to impugn their authority. You never get a better audience than one that has a personal interest in believing and using what you offer it...that is known to every reader of Grisham who impatiently awaits the annual installment of his novels.
13. By taking advantage of this optimal moment to distribute those articles and their out-of-court inform and outrage strategy, you may be nationally recognized by a grateful *We the People* as one of our Champions of Justice.

C. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement; none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money

14. Support the research and writing, and strategic thinking of **Judicial Discipline Reform** by donating to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673 and or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

August 20, 2022

c/o Assistant Alyssa Lary: Professor Jeannie Suk Gersen | Professor Susan Rose-Ackerman
Harvard Law School; jsg@law.harvard.edu | Yale Law School; ackerman@yale.edu

Dear Professor Professor Gersen, Rose-Ackerman, peers, and students,[‡]

1. I read with interest, Prof. Rose-Ackerman, your paper “Judicial Independence and Corruption”.
2. Thanks to your arguing, Prof. Gersen, of *Strickland v. U.S.*, the Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held on April 26 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers, including judges, can on constitutional and statutory grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities.
3. This is a proposal to follow a series of strategic steps to expose judicial independence as unaccountability that allows judges’ riskless corruption and abuse of power for their gain and convenience. Those steps should lead to a class action to compensate their victims. Yale and Harvard law students can take the lead in that exposure as they did in the opposition to the nomination of J. Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court. The action can be a teaching event, as shown infra.
4. **The first step** is for you and your students to invite me to present the proposal by video conference or in person to you, them, and your peers. You can preview it my article at [♦] and on my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and turned into subscribers 44,633 of them as of August 19, 2022.
5. They have been induced to subscribe by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. You all can assess the validity of that statement by reviewing the foundation of my articles posted there, namely, my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries^{* † ♣}:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{* † ♣}**

6. That study collects and discusses abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges individually and as a class through coordination engage in corruption and abuse of power. The most recent and indisputable evidence thereof is found in the **series** of articles that *The Wall Street Journal (WSJ)* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”. At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their clerks of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases.
7. The Federal Judiciary has not taken any disciplinary action against any of those judges. Judges protect each other through their explicit or implicit reciprocal cover-up agreement: ‘Today you protect me and tomorrow I’ll protect you, for if you let them take me down, *I’ll bring you with me!*’
8. This explains why no action is going to be taken by AG Merrick Garland given that he was a member, and for 7 years the chief judge, of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any investigation of judges authorized by him even if he subsequently recused himself would incriminate him as their accessory: He kept quite after learning of their act of corruption and abuse, whereby his expected silence enabled them before their next act; let alone if he were a principal. So, it falls on law professors and students, and lawyers to muster the courage and take the initiative to expose judges’ misconduct and the cover-up agreement that perpetuates it.
9. **The second step** envisages your and your students’ sharing this proposal with the officers of the student class and associations that will vie for new members during the fair of associations to be held at the beginning of next academic year. Thus, time is of the essence. It is also so because the

♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRoseAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf

♦ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf

public is getting ready to vote in the midterm elections. It can hold accountable the politicians who nominated and confirmed judicial candidates and since then protect them as ‘our men and women on the bench’, their harm to others notwithstanding. Hence the importance of turning into a key electoral issue judges’ corruption and abuse of power and politicians’ condonation of them.

10. **The third step** aims to do that by professors and students holding press conferences where they ask the media to join them in demanding that President Biden release the secret reports that the FBI has submitted to presidents after vetting judicial candidates by exercising, when needed, its subpoena power. That demand will be justified by the need to answer this question: What did the President and his predecessors know about their corruption and abuse and when did they know it? Will they claim that the reports were ‘accidentally erased during a system upgrade’, as the Secret Service and Homeland Security have concerning emails related to the January 6 Capitol assault?
11. **The fourth step** is the class action on behalf of judges’ victims. It will be supported by a public informed and outraged by journalists pursuing a scoop. It finds a strong precedent in the suit brought by 90 gymnasts against the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their dereliction of duty. In the same vein of suing even top government officers, seven Capitol Police **officers have sued former President Trump** and the organizers of the rally at the Ellipse where he held the inflaming speech that preceded the January 6 assault on the Capitol.
12. The above are manifestations of the strongest support for the class action, to wit, the national mood of intolerance of any form of abuse. Indeed, the public has grown increasingly determined to hold public figures and officers accountable and liable to compensate their victims since the eruption of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements; the protests against police brutality, socio-economic inequalities, and the Supreme Court's reversal of *Roe v. Wade*; the public hearings of the House January 6 Committee and the lip service assurance by AG Garland that "nobody is above the law" so that the Department of Justice will prosecute all Capitol assault organizers and participants.
13. The class action will generate a flood of motions to vacate, remand, and for new trials; for the reimbursement by recused judges of the cost of judicial process that they rendered useless and of disentangling contracts based on their now void or voidable decisions; and for actions against state judges and judiciaries. They will create a much-needed niche practice for you and your students.
14. Judges’ and their judiciaries’ conduct forms a pattern of racketeering that warrants bringing a count against them under federal and/or state civil RICO (**18 U.S.C. §1961**). They provide that the injured party "shall recover threefold the damages he sustains and at the attorney's fee" (§1964(c)).
15. **The fifth step** is for professors and students to develop their niche practice through public interest clinics centered on consulting and bringing those motions and actions on behalf of judges’ victims. Those clinics can return a profit for law schools at a time of **dwindling enrollment** and revenue.
16. Instead of teaching lofty principles of law only in theory applied by judges, law schools can give practical effect in their own and the public interest to their knowledge that judges have institutionalized their corruption and abuse of power as their modus operandi. Judges do so risklessly for their gain and convenience because they are held by themselves and politicians unaccountable. You, your peers, and students[‡] can take the proposed steps to lead the transformative change of law schools into a pole of power that uses its independence and knowledge of legal grounds to hold judges and their judiciaries accountable and liable. Let your actions speak with facts a tenet of our justice system: Nobody is Above the Law. *Dare trigger history!*...and you may enter it.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Dr Richard Cordero, Esq.

September 5, 2022

The dean of the law school, the dean of students, and the clinical law professors, and in their care to all other professors and the officers of the student class and other appropriate organizations
The law school

Dear Deans, Professors, and Officers,[‡]

1. This is a proposal¹ for a presentation on how through a [series of steps](#)² and a public interest clinic you all can counter the problems besieging law schools: [dwindling enrollment](#), imperiled financial viability, and [diminishing chances](#) of finding a law job upon graduation. It is based on precedent.
 - a. In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S.*, the Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held last April 26 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers, including judges, can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities.³ b. 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty. c. A PA state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/privately run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay victims [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages.
2. The presentation will center on three ongoing cases that can be further prosecuted through the device that can gain the highest payoff for law schools and [compensate](#) the largest number of victims: class actions including [RICO](#) charges. They can provoke national outrage⁴; open the floodgates of motions that create a niche practice for law students; and lead schools to hold [citizens hearings](#) that transform their role into *We the People's* watchdog⁵ on [unaccountable](#) judges and their judiciaries.
 - a. A person in an official capacity acquired knowledge firsthand about prosecutors, NYPD officers and detectives, and judges of a NY criminal court colluding to obtain an indictment against people charged with murder even though their 'supporting evidence' revealed that no crime had even occurred. A complaint was filed with the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) requesting that it investigate its members' participation in such wrongdoing. Its handling by over a dozen officers for three months indicates that IAB has coordinated a cover-up. A complaint against it has been escalated to NYPD Commissioner Keechant Sewell⁶. The class action plaintiffs will be thousands of people who have been and still are charged and/or prosecuted on false indictments and incarcerated. The defendants will be the wrongdoers and the administrative judges, elected officers, public defenders, and their institutions chargeable with [dereliction of duty](#) for failing to [investigate](#).
 - b. Medicare administers a budget of \$100s of billion for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds' claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare's schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. The majority of insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change⁷.
 - c. Federal judges [intercept](#) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics.⁸ They thus deprive *the People* of their most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed by the [1st Amendment](#) to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the [Internet](#)], and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances [e.g., [compensation](#)]"⁹.

I look forward to hearing from you all.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Endnotes

¹ This letter is at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf. It and its link can be shared widely; distributed at the student organizations fair at the start of the academic year, and posted to social media. The letter is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking; they undergird the production of a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries titled thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

♣ Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-1492+

The study collects and discusses abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability, which is also protected connivingly by the **politicians** who put them in office, and for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench'. As a result, judges engage in abuse of power risklessly for their gain and convenience individually and as a **coordinated class**.

Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and turned into subscribers 44,716 of them as of 5 September '22. They are potential class members.

² http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRackerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf

³ The most recent and indisputable evidence of unaccountable judges' abuse of power is found in the **series** of articles that *The Wall Street Journal* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "[now 152] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their **clerks** of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases. However, to date, not a single of those judges has been investigated, subjected to disciplinary measures, let alone referred for impeachment, or forced to **disgorge** the gains that they made by resolving in their favor their **conflict** of interests.

⁴ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf

⁵ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf

⁶ <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommKSevell.pdf>

⁷ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/22-8-17DrRCordero_motion_recuse_ALJLFleming.pdf

⁸ See the proposal for a **forensic examination** by Information Technology experts of the computers of critics of judges; cf. former **CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson** in her suit against DoJ.

⁹ The professors and students participating in the proposed public interest clinic can reasonably expect broad support: The *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and for racial and socio-economic equality are expressions of *the People's* self-assertive rallying cry: *Enough is enough!* We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore. So, the participants can give rise to a key midterm issue and be nationally recognized as the *People's* Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 11, 2022

**Application of recusal principles
and the holding of judges accountable and liable**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,[‡]

A. Application in state and federal courts and in civil and criminal cases

1. Federal law is applicable nationwide.
2. In state courts, it can be applied whenever a case does not meet the requirements for filing in federal court, e.g.:
 - a. the case does not meet the minimum amount in controversy, which is \$75,000, as provided for under [28 U.S.C. § 1332\(a\)](#); or
 - b. diversity of jurisdiction -one party is a citizen of the state where the court sits and the other party is not- is defeated by the addition of a state party to the out-of-state party.
3. The principles of recusal due to the probability of a judge's bias are applicable in civil and criminal cases, for they go to the essence of due process, whose application in every judicial process is required by the 14th Amendment, Section 1, of the [U.S. Constitution](#).
4. I respectfully encourage you to share my articles by simply clicking "Reply All" and "Send". While the subject line of the emails that you receive from me may be the same, each email has a different set of email addresses in the To: box.

B. Cases holding that judges can be held accountable and liable

5. Kindly have your lawyer review [Strickland v. U.S.](#), where the plaintiff, Ms. Strickland, had all the judges, that is, "the bench", of the Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) recuse themselves.
 - a. Strickland sued the United States and the Judicial Conference of the U.S, the highest policy-making body of the Federal Judiciary, which holds its twice a year meetings behind closed doors and never holds a press conference. It is presided over by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and includes all the chief judges of the 13 circuits and the two national courts as well as representative federal district and bankruptcy judges.
 - b. Also Strickland sued the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO), which provides administrative services to all the federal courts through its 20 committees, all composed of judges appointed by the Chief Justice, as are the AO director and deputy director.
 - c. In addition, Strickland sued judges, AO, and judicial circuit officers in their official and individual capacities.
6. A PA state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/privately run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay victims [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages. See also:
 - a. Brooklyn Beats Crime By Cleaning Record Tied To [Dirty Cops](#): All of this could have been prevented if they just made the cops pinky swear not to plant evidence; Chris Williams; Above the Law; September 9, 2022; cwilliams@abovethelaw.com;
 - b. Brooklyn district attorney [[Eric Gonzalez](#)] moves to dismiss nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops; John Annese; New York Daily News; September 7, 2022.

7. A question to consider is whether without going through all the appellate stages and obtaining a final decision from the state supreme court, as is normally required, you can sue your state judges and their judiciary in federal court; e.g.:
- a. filing a civil action under [42 U.S.C. §1983](#) –Title 42 of the U.S. Code of federal law, section 1983 of the Civil Rights Act of 1871– for deprivation of civil rights by state government employees and others acting "under color of state law". Since §1983 does not create civil rights, plaintiff must identify the civil right already in existence that was violated;
 - b. on the basis of "probable bias" of your state judges in favor of their state colleagues and their own judiciary, which denies the fundamental element of due process, that is, a fair and impartial tribunal;
 - 1) The Supreme Court has indicated that recusal does not require proof of actual bias, but rather a showing of circumstances “in which experience teaches that the **probability** of actual bias on the part of the judge or decision-maker is too high to be constitutionally tolerable.” *Withrow v. Larkin*, 421 U.S. 35, 47 (1975). (emphasis added)
 - 2) In *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 129 S. Ct. 2252 (2009), the Supreme Court “stressed that it was not required to decide whether in fact [the judge] was influenced [by one of the litigants]. The proper constitutional inquiry is whether sitting on the case then before [him] would offer a possible temptation to the average judge to lead him not to hold the balance nice, clear and true. [Where] the **probability** of actual bias rises to an unconstitutional level [recusal is required].” (internal quotations omitted; *Caperton*, pages 8-9, 16, of the [slip opinion](#), 556 U. S. __ (2009)) “Circumstances and relationships must be considered.” (*id.*, 10); (emphasis added).
 - c. on an application by analogy of the principles underlying diversity of citizenship, which allows a suit to be filed in, or removed to, federal court where an out-of-state party sues, or is sued by, a state party and it is necessary to avoid the possibility of bias by a state court in favor of the state party.

C. Share the product of professional legal research and writing and strategic thinking

8. I encourage you to share this article and its link, and the proposal for a presentation ([OL3:1491](#)) on holding judges accountable for their exercise of the power entrusted to them for the benefit of *We the People* and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse of such power.
9. Share my articles widely by simply clicking "Reply All" and "Send". While the subject line of the emails that you receive from me may be the same, each email has a different set of email addresses in the To: box.
10. Share my offer of a presentation by emailing it as well as posting it to social media, such as:
Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn, Instagram, Google
Plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, WhatsApp
Twitter: Proposal to professors & students of a Zoom/live presentation on a series of steps to expose judges' abuse of power, demand compensation, and become the watchdogs of the judicial system; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf.
Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 21, 2022

Dean of Business Sharon Matusik
Ross Business School, Ann Arbor, MI

Dear Dean Matusik,[‡]

1. I am a class '95 alumnus of your School. So, I received your invitation to your *Ross Talks* here in NYC on 28 instant. Before or after it, we could meet to discuss my proposal¹ for the School to take advantage of current business developments in the judiciary by offering a multidisciplinary course on fraud and forensic accounting and statistical analysis; litigation financing; and the private gains and social cost of racketeering. The School stands to benefit financially and reputationally.²
 - a. In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S.*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held last April 26 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers, including judges, can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities.³
 - b. 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty.
 - c. A PA state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/privately run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay victims [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages.
2. The presentation will center on three cases that can be further prosecuted through the device that can earn the School 1/3 of treble damages and attorney's fees; and [compensate](#) the most victims: class actions including [racketeering](#) charges. They can provoke national outrage⁴; open the floodgates of motions that create a consulting practice for your students; and lead schools⁵ to hold [citizens hearings](#) that transform their role into *We the People's* watchdog on [unaccountable](#) big business and judges.
 - a. Medicare administers a budget of \$100s of billion for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds' claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare's schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. The majority of insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change⁷.
 - b. [Walgreens](#) is [described](#) as having had \$139.5 billion in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards. It is a misnomer, for rewards are not earned by paying in cash and cannot be redeemed for cash despite the statement on its advertisement: "Save time. Redeem your rewards instantly at checkout". But at checkout you cannot pay the total of the purchase with your Cash Rewards. You can only apply one single "tier" of \$1, \$3, \$5, \$10 that is equal to or less than the total purchase. The balance must be paid with your money. Your rewards, though earned, are not yours, for they expire. They are a bait and switch scam. This is a test case for going after big businesses that make enormous gains by defrauding millions of customers of small amounts that do not justify the substantial cost of individual prosecution.
 - c. Federal judges [intercept](#) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics.⁸ They illegally deprive *the People* of their most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed by the [1st Amendment](#) to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [on the [Internet](#)], and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances [e.g., [compensation](#)]". No other case could cause a deeper public trust crisis or enhance our School's reputation⁹ more.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Endnotes

¹ This letter and its link[‡] can be shared widely; forwarded to professors, student organizations, business people; and posted to social media. The letter is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking; they are the skills that undergird the production of a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ‡**

* Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-1495+

The study collects and discusses abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability, which is also protected connivingly by the **politicians** who put them in office, and for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench'. As a result, judges engage in abuse of power risklessly for their gain and convenience individually and as a **coordinated class**.

Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and turned into subscribers 44,841 of them as of 20 September '22. They are potential class members.

² http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf

³ The most recent and indisputable evidence of unaccountable judges' abuse of power is found in the **series** of articles that *The Wall Street Journal* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "131 [now 152] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their **clerks** of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases. However, to date, not a single of those judges has been investigated, subjected to disciplinary measures, let alone referred for impeachment, or forced to **disgorge** the gains that they made by resolving in their favor their **conflict** of interests.

⁴ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf

⁵ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf

⁶ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf

⁷ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/22-8-17DrRCordero_motion_recuse_ALJLFleming.pdf

⁸ See the proposal for a **forensic examination** by Information Technology experts of the computers of critics of judges; cf. former **CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson** in her suit against DoJ.

⁹ The professors and students participating in the course and class actions in the public interest can reasonably expect broad support: The *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and for racial and socio-economic equality express *the People's* self-assertive rallying cry: *Enough is enough!* We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore. The participants can thereby insert a key issue in the national debate and be recognized as the *People's* Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

May 28, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207
tel.: (518)455-7700

Chief Administrative Judge
Lawrence K. Marks
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle St., Albany, NY 12207
tel.: (212)428-2120;
ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov

Deputy Chief Administrative
Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Supreme Court, New York
County, Civil Term
60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007
tel.: (646)386-5567

Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014
tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org,
IABCmdCntr@nypd.org

Sherrill Spatz, Esq.
Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
IG@nycourts.gov

Carol M. Hamm, Esq.
Deputy Inspector General

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties. I have written down that information in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.*

The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. Therefore, I respectfully request that you contact Judge Yearwood and ask that he release to you a copy of my Application so that you may promptly start your joint and several investigation of it given that each of you is an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon” such information.

Indeed, I have a legal and ethical duty to bring this information to your attention. That duty flows from NY Rules Of [Professional Conduct](#) (22 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 1200), which provides thus:

Rule. 8.3. REPORTING PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT(a). A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer shall report such knowledge to a tribunal or other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation.

Conversely, Judge Yearwood has a duty under the Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge PART 100. [Judicial Conduct](#), (C) Administrative Responsibilities.(1) to “diligently discharge the judge's administrative responsibilities without bias or prejudice” to protect fellow judges, staff, and others, and “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business.”

I also have a civic duty to report this information to you. That duty has been repeatedly declared and instilled in the citizenry in the guiding principle of civic conduct, “If you see something, say something”. I did see something...and then some...so I am saying it to you.

After you request from Judge Yearwood my Application and I receive from you an order to discuss it with you in person in NYC or by video conference, I will comply. I look forward to your acknowledgment of receipt of this letter and your statement of what you intend to do about it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

*

[(718)618-3000]

Greg Johnson, ACE [Acting Bureau Chief]
Doc Liaison

Lamar Decasseres, EMC [Bureau Chief]
Trial Support

[Of Supreme Court,
Bronx County Criminal Term]



CORNELL
1950 LAFAYETTE AVE
BRONX, NY 10473-9998
(800)275-8777

05/28/2022 03:34 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10014 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter Albany, NY 12207 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter Albany, NY 12207 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10007 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

First-Class Mail® Letter New York, NY 10004 Weight: 0 lb 0.40 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 06/01/2022	1		\$0.58
--	---	--	--------

Grand Total: \$3.48

Credit Card Remitted \$3.48
Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX9750
Approval #: 06340P
Transaction #: 318
AID: A000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Every household in the U.S. is now eligible to receive a third set of 8 free test kits.
Go to www.covidtests.gov

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350923-0113
Receipt #: 840-51000107-4-7346245-2
Clerk: 3



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

05/27/2022 05:59 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

First-Class Mail® Large Envelope Bronx, NY 10451 Weight: 0 lb 2.60 oz Estimated Delivery Date Tue 05/31/2022	1		\$1.56
---	---	--	--------

Grand Total: \$1.56

Credit Card Remitted \$1.56
Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX2499
Approval #: 05007P
Transaction #: 856
AID: A000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Every household in the U.S. is now eligible to receive a third set of 8 free test kits.
Go to www.covidtests.gov

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-51000087-1-5405554-2
Clerk: 77

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

June 18, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street
Albany, NY 12207
tel.: (518)455-7700

Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence
K. Marks, NYS Unified Court System
25 Beaver St., NY, NY 10004; tel. (212)
428-2120; question@nycourts.gov;
ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov,

Deputy Chief Administrative
Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Supreme Court, NY County,
Civil Term, 60 Centre St., NY,
NY 10007; tel.: (646)386-5567

Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014
tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org,
IABCmdCntr@nypd.org

Sherrill Spatz, Esq.
Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
IG@nycourts.gov

Carol M. Hamm, Esq.
Deputy Inspector General

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letter to you dated May 28, where I informed you that after I had entered an official position, I acquired information of substantial importance that you should without delay investigate as part of your official duties. I wrote down that information in an eight-page sworn “Emergency Application” consisting of 4,743 words, dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have received no letter acknowledging your receipt of mine. Yet, that information concerns criminal matters. It is so sensitive that the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties and even imprisonment for the unauthorized disclosure of it. That should have alerted you to the need to act “efficiently and effectively” because you have a duty to “diligently discharge [your] responsibilities” and Judge Yearwood has a duty to “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. Moreover, you have the means of calling him and meeting virtually with him and others in a teleconference, as follows from Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks’ [2021 Annual Report](#) on the NYS UCS. There he highlights that “we adopted and then mastered virtual technology to...ensure access to justice in the broadest range of cases”.

Chief DiFiore’s [Excellence Initiative](#) can only succeed if it promotes people’s trust in officers with the integrity needed to deliver the “level of justice services people have a right to expect and deserve”. That trust has been so battered as to spark the movement against police brutality and for defunding the police. The information at stake can so outrage people as to cause them to extend their distrust to prosecutors and judges. The suspicion that inaction is the result of a cover-up among the three branches will exacerbate such outrage. If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their individual and official capacities can be sued and held liable.

Thus, I respectfully request that you inform me of the action that you have taken and intend to take concerning the information at stake. Conversely, I inform you that I am asking political leaders to do likewise by contacting you. Motivated by either principles or opportunism, whether to protect their constituents, in general, and those already, and yet to be, victimized, in particular, or to further their own careers, they may cause you to “diligently discharge [your] responsibilities”.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

June 24, 2022

c/o: Mr. Frank Carone, Chief of Staff
Mayor Eric L. Adams
City Hall
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212) 639-9675

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa L Gibson, Diana [Ayala](#),
Eric [Dinowitz](#), Kevin C. [Riley](#), Marjorie [Velázquez](#), Pie-
rina Ana [Sanchez](#), Oswald [Feliz](#), Althea [Stevens](#), Rafael
[Salamanca, Jr.](#), Amanda [Farías](#); Bronx Advocate Socrates
[Solano](#); and NYC Public Advocate Jumaane [Williams](#)

Dear Mayor Adams, Mr. Carone, and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties to protect your constituents and hold public officers accountable. I have written down that information in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in investigating one’s friends and colleagues, and thus incriminating oneself, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder[‡]. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, J. Yearwood has a duty to “[cooperate](#) with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. You are an “authority [empowered to investigate](#) or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Therefore, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation separately or jointly with other Bronx district leaders. The onus to investigate is now on you.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “[diligently](#)” and “[efficiently and effectively](#)” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of top national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that published on September 28, 2021, and titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all officers, including you, will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The [Fourth Circuit](#) Court of Appeals held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

June 24, 2022

c/o: Mr. Rance Huff, Chief of Staff
Mr. Jumaane D. Williams
NYC Public Advocate
1 Centre Street, 15th Floor
NY, NY 10007; tel. (212)669-7200

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa L Gibson, Diana Ayala, Eric Dinowitz, Kevin C. Riley, Marjorie Velázquez, Pierina Ana Sanchez, Oswald Feliz, Althea Stevens, Rafael Salamanca, Jr., Amanda Farías; Bronx Advocate Socrates Solano; and NYC Mayor Eric L. Adams

Dear Mr. Williams, Mr. Huff, and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,[‡]

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your official duties. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement titled “Emergency Application” and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.* Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in investigating one’s colleagues and friends, and thus incriminating oneself, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder[‡]. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, Judge Yearwood has a duty to “cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. You are an “authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Therefore, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation separately or jointly with other Bronx district leaders. The onus to investigate is now on you.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “diligently” and “efficiently and effectively” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that of September 28, 2021, titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held by themselves and friends unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all officers, including you, will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCPublic_Advocate.pdf

Supreme Court
of the
State of New York



LAURENCE E. BUSCHING
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

CHAMBERS
BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE
265 EAST 161ST STREET
BRONX, NEW YORK 10451

June 29, 2022

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.

Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.

Very truly yours,

Laurence E. Busching

Laurence E. Busching, AJSC

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 1, 2022

Justine Olderman, Esq.
Executive Director
The [Bronx Defenders](#)
360 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451
tel: (718)838-7878

Bronx districts leaders Vanessa [Gibson](#), Diana [Ayala](#), Eric [Dinowitz](#), Kevin [Riley](#), Marjorie [Velázquez](#), Pierina Ana [Sanchez](#), Oswald [Feliz](#), Althea [Stevens](#), Rafael [Salamanca](#), Amanda [Fariás](#); Bronx Advocate Socrates [Solano](#); and NYC Mayor Eric [Adams](#) and Public Advocate Jumaane [Williams](#)

Dear Director Olderman and Bronx districts and NYC leaders,‡

I entered an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. While so discharging them, I acquired information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers of substantial importance that you too should without delay acquire and investigate as part of your criminal defense practice. I have written down that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement titled “Emergency Application” and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.* Given its origin in a criminal court, the information concerns criminal matters and affects your constituents directly, being apt to deprive them of their property, liberty, and life. In fact, the Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of it. So, I proceed prudently.

Aware of the inherent conflict of interests in people investigating their colleagues and friends, and thus incriminating themselves, I wrote on May 28, to the six top judicial and police officers named in the letter hereunder‡. I asked that they contact Judge Yearwood and request that he send them a copy of the “Application”. I have made numerous calls to each of them to find out how they have handled and intend to handle the information in it, to no avail. Yet, Judge Yearwood has a duty to “[cooperate](#) with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business”. Others are an “authority [empowered to investigate](#) or act upon such violation” of law as described in the “Application”. Hence, I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and those six top judicial and police officers to send you a copy of it so that you may conduct an investigation [separately or jointly](#) with Bronx districts and NYC leaders for your own and your constituents’ sake.

Would you expect and demand that an investigation be conducted “[diligently](#)” and “[efficiently and effectively](#)” if you were suffering the wrongdoing of public officers? Wrongdoing by police officers has eroded public trust in them so gravely as to spark the movement against their brutality and for defunding them. The involvement of national politicians in organizing the January 6 insurrection to overturn *We the People*’s electoral will has shocked many. The revelation by *The Wall Street Journal* in a series of articles beginning with that of September 28, 2021, titled “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a [Financial Interest](#)” has shown that judges can be risklessly corrupt in reliance on their colleagues’ cover-up: not one of them has been disciplined, let alone prosecuted. They are held by themselves and friends unaccountable.

If people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches, all those officers will suffer an unprecedented erosion of public trust. If such trust is of no concern to them, money should be to you: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. You can whether motivated by principles or opportunism use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice. So, let’s talk.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Bronx_Defenders.pdf

**Table of the members of Bronx Defenders
to whom the text of the letter to Executive Director Justine Olderman, Esq.,
of July 1, 2022, supra, OL3:1503,
was mailed in letters individualized by each of their respective names**

	honorific	Title	name	last	envelope
1.	Director	Executive Director	Justine Olderman, Esq.	Olderman	
2.	Ms.	Managing Director, CDP	Ann Mathews, Esq.	Mathews	Managing Director Criminal Defense Practice
3.	Ms.	Legal Director, CDP	Ilona Coleman, Esq.	Coleman	Legal Director Criminal Defense Practice
4.	Ms.	Director of Strategic Partnerships, Development	Amy Crawford , Esq.	Crawford	Director of Strategic Partnerships, Development
5.	Ms.	Managing Director, Strategic Communications	Chi Nguyen	Nguyen	Managing Director Strategic Communications
6.	Mr.	Supervising Attorney, HPG	David Anderson, Esq.	Anderson	Supervising Attorney Homicide Practice Group
7.	Ms.	Special Events & Marketing, Development	Ms. LaToya Wilcox	Wilcox	Advancement Officer Special Events & Marketing, Development
8.		Supervising Attorney, HPG	Annie Costanzo, Esq.	Costanzo	Supervising Attorney Homicide Practice Group
9.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, HPG	Mairin Fogarty, Esq.	Fogarty	Supervising Attorney Homicide Practice Group
10.	Mr.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Daniel Zeidman , Esq.	Zeidman	Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice
11.	Mr.	Supervising Attorney, HPG	Thomas Klein, Esq.	Klein	Supervising Attorney Homicide Practice Group
12.	Ms.	Adolescent Defense Project	Robyn Goldberg, Esq.	Goldberg	Adolescent Defense Project
13.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Talia Gooding-Williams, Esq.	Gooding-Williams	Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice
14.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Malika Lubell-Doughtie, Esq.	Lubell-Doughtie	Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice
15.	Mr.	Arrestment Supervisor, CDP	Andrew Multer, Esq.	Multer	Arrestment Supervisor Criminal Defense Practice
16.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Annette Lee, Esq.	Lee	Team Leader and Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice
17.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, Early DP	Lenora Easter, Esq.	Easter	Supervising Attorney Early Defense Practice
18.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDG	Kaitlin Jackson, Esq.	Jackson	Supervising Attorney Criminal Practice Group

19.	Mr.	Supervising Attorney, CPG	Daniel Kay, Esq.	Kay	Supervising Attorney Criminal Practice Group
20.	Ms.	Prisoners' Rights Project, CDP	Daiana Griffith, Esq.	Griffith	Prisoners' Rights Project Advocate Criminal Defense Practice
21.	Ms.	Supervising Homicide Attorney, HPG	Archana Prakash, Esq.	Prakash	Supervising Homicide Attorney Homicide Practice Group
22.	Ms.	Supervising Attorney, CDP	Alicia Sanchez-Ramirez, Esq.	Sanchez-Ramirez	Supervising Attorney Criminal Defense Practice
23.	Mr.	Director of Strategic Initiatives	Kumar Rao	Rao	Director of Strategic Initiatives
24.	Ms.	Investigator Supervisor, Team Leader	Olga Beltre	Beltre	Investigator Supervisor and Team Leader
25.	Mr.	c/o: IT Assoc. Dir. Jairo Santana	IT Director	Santana	IT Director c/o: Mr. Jairo Santana IT Associate Director

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 4, 2022

Mr. Kevin Rothermel, Acting Bronx County Clerk/Bronx Commissioner of Jurors
851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY 10451
bronxjury@nycourts.gov; tel.: (718)618-3360

Ms. Jocelyn E. Strauber, Commissioner of Investigation; NYC Department of Investigation
180 Maiden Lane, 16th Fl.; New York, NY 10038
Tel. (212)825-5959; Fax (212)825-2504


Dear Mr. Rothermel, Commissioner of Jurors, and Ms. Strauber,‡

The image hereunder depicts the NYS check that I received as a grand juror in Bronx. I object to both the amount paid for my service and the circumstances that caused it to last only four days, although the term of service was 20 days and I had made arrangements, and was able and willing, to serve it. Those circumstances are inextricably intertwined with the substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that I acquired as a grand juror. The Criminal Procedure Law provides serious penalties, including imprisonment, for the unauthorized disclosure of such information. Prudently, I stated those circumstances and information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26, 2022. I submitted it to, and it was received on May 31* by, Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700...to no avail.

I respectfully request that you ask Judge Yearwood and the six judicial and police officers addressed below to send you a copy of my “Emergency Application” so that you may conduct an investigation [separately or jointly](#) with them and the Bronx districts and NYC leaders named infra.

You should conduct that investigation not only on my behalf and that of third parties, but also for your own sake: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Those are precedents.

The below image of the check indicates that it must be cashed within 180 days. To mitigate damages, I will cash it, and will do so without prejudice, and reserving all my rights, to demand the payment of the full term of service and further compensatory damages on my behalf and that of third parties. Meantime, I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.



Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

July 11, 2022

Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood
Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term
265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451

Dear Judge Yearwood[‡]

1. I mailed you with date of May 26, 2022, and you received on May 30, a writing thus titled:

**Emergency Application
of Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq., for his reinstalment in
Panel A of the current grand jury,
which is seated for the period May 23-June 17, 2022,
after the peremptory discharge of him by
Grand Jury Judge Laurence E. Busching upon the statements to him of
ADAs and grand jurors accusing Dr. Cordero in his absence;
for preservation of evidence; and other relief action**

2. Since then, I have had to make numerous calls to your chambers at (718)618-3700 to request from your assistants Ms. Dana and Ms. Stephanie to speak with you and ask for an answer from you to the “Emergency Application”; to no avail. To the same end, I had to call at (718)618-3000 Chief Clerk Michelle Foggie; Mr. Greg Johnson, Acting Bureau Chief Document Liaison; and Mr. Lamar Decassures, Bureau Chief Trial Support. They too were unable to induce you to contact me.
3. However, now, a month later and without even recognizing my writing as an “Emergency Application”, Judge Busching mailed me a letter whose full text is the following:

Supreme Court
of the State of New York
LAURENCE E. BUSCHING
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

CHAMBER'S
BRONX COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE
265 EAST 161st STREET
BRONX, NEW YORK 10451

June 29, 2022

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood has referred your letter dated May 26, 2022, to me for response.

Please be advised that the A Panel, Sixth Term expired on June 17, 2022. Your application to be reinstated to the grand jury is therefore moot.

Very truly yours,
/s/ Laurence E. Busching, AJSC

4. My “Emergency Application” consists of 8 pages and 4,743 words. It states in its very title that it deals, in addition with my request for reinstatement in the grand jury, with the substantive issues of genuine material and legal importance of “the peremptory discharge of [me] by Grand Jury Judge Busching upon the statements to him of ADAs and grand jurors accusing Dr. Cordero in his absence; for preservation of evidence; and other relief action”.
5. More importantly, the “Emergency Application” deals with the accusation of murder against two people and their probable incarceration. The ADA who presented the indictment to Panel A of the

grand jury on May 23 and 24, 2022, failed to connect to the alleged murder the only two pieces of “evidence”, i.e., a pair of sneakers and of jeans shown on photos, so that they were irrelevant as they lacked any probative value. None of the 12 videos and pictures showed the scene of the alleged crime, the alleged victim lying on the street, or the autopsy report; and the NYPD police officers and detectives who testified before the grand jury did not even allege to have seen any of that. No bystander, nearby storeowner, or relative of the alleged victim was brought to testify. The alleged victim was seen on a video walking on the sidewalk with a friend, who was the only civilian to testify although he could not identify the alleged murderers or provide a composite of them. No murder weapon was recovered and no motive for the murder was alleged. The “evidence” and the testimony could only permit of one conclusion: *no murder had occurred!* Once more, an ADA had abused uncritical and indifferent grand jurors ‘to indict a sandwich’...and how many people?

6. A person guided by “common sense and good judgment” (Grand Juror’s Handbook, p.10), and especially officers of the court charged with administering justice, would have realized the emergency situation at hand: They would have promptly undertaken a determination of whether there was probable cause to free those two accused people immediately as well as people who like them had been accused and even incarcerated for crimes that they could not possibly have committed because the alleged crimes had not even occurred or the alleged “evidence” was totally irrelevant.
7. Judge Busching’s letter to me was non-responsive. Justice Thurgood Marshall put it this way in his dissent in *Greenholtz v. Inmates of the Nebraska Penal & Correctional Complex*, 442 U.S. 1, 40 (1979): “[A]n inability to provide any reasons suggests that the decision is, in fact, arbitrary”.
8. You had the duty to investigate the “Emergency Application” and do so with due diligence. You and J. Busching waited until Panel A’s term had expired to make my request for grand jury reinstatement moot and pretend that was the only issue. You committed bad faith and dereliction of duty.
9. You referred the “Emergency Application” to Judge Busching although he had every interest in not incriminating himself or causing ADAs, NYPD officers and detectives, and grand jurors to incriminate him if he faulted any of them. Self-servingly and inexcusably, you disregarded the millennial principle of judicial process “nobody can be a fair and impartial judge in his own cause”.
10. If you investigated the “evidence” and the transcripts of the proceedings before the grand jury and Judge Busching referred to in the “Application”, you knew how incriminating they were. That knowledge should have prevented you from referring it to him. If you failed to perform that investigation, you engaged in willful blindness and ignorance. Applying the principle, “People are deemed to intend the foreseeable consequences of their acts”, you complicitly intended a cover-up.
11. You, ADAs, witnesses, jurors, and Judge Busching have inflicted injury in fact on the two people referred to in ¶5 above; others similarly accused and incarcerated before and since then; and me. There is precedent that you have made applicable to you: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion last June 8, for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar filed with FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held in *Strickland v. U.S.*[‡] that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.
12. Hence, I respectfully request that you disqualify them and recuse yourself from any involvement in the “Emergency Application” and refer it, the “evidence”, and the transcripts to Chief Judge Janet DiFiori; Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence Marks; NYC Administrative Judge Deborah Kaplan; NYPD IAB Chief David Barrere; and Inspectors General Sherrill Spatz and Carol Hamm.

cc: Judge Laurence Busching

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

Chief Judge Janet DiFiore NYS Court of Appeals 20 Eagle Street Albany, NY 12207 tel.: (518)455-7700	Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks, NYS Unified Court System 25 Beaver St., NY, NY 10004; tel. (212) 428-2120; question@nycourts.gov ; ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov	Deputy Chief Administrative Judge Deborah A. Kaplan Supreme Court, NY County, Civil Term, 60 Centre St., NY, NY 10007; tel.: (646)386-5567
Chief of Internal Affairs David P. Barrere Internal Affairs Bureau NY Police Department PO Box 10001, New York, NY 10014 tel.: (212)741-8401; IAB@nypd.org , IABCmdCntr@nypd.org	Sherrill Spatz, Esq. Inspector General Office of Court Administration 25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004 tel.: (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158 IG@nycourts.gov	Carol M. Hamm, Esq. Deputy Inspector General

Dear Chief Judge DiFiore, Judges Marks and Kaplan, Chief Barrere, and IGs Spatz and Hamm,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letters of May 28 and June 18 and numerous calls, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized.

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Busching, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment on it are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may investigate it without further delay. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will promptly comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of the three branches of government. If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

c/o: Mr. Frank Carone, Chief of Staff
NYC Mayor Eric L. Adams
City Hall
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212)639-9675; <https://www1.nyc.gov/office-of-the-mayor/mayor-contact.page>

Dear Mayor Adams and Mr. Carone,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letter of last June 24, reproduced on the back hereof, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized. If you were the target of an unfounded accusation, never mind if as a result you were committed to Rikers, you would want and expect public officers with authority to help you to proceed with a sense of urgency and personal responsibility. This should be quite understandable since you too must know the outrageous amount of abuse, violence, and humiliation that fester in Rikers.

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Busching, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment on it are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may promptly begin your investigation. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of those branches of government protecting themselves rather than the people that they are sworn to protect.

If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.

Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely,
Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

c/o: Mr. Rance Huff, Chief of Staff
Mr. Jumaane D. Williams, NYC Public Advocate
1 Centre Street, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212)669-7200; <https://www.pubadvocate.nyc.gov/contact/>

Dear Mr. Williams and Mr. Huff,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letter of last June 24, reproduced on the back hereof, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized. If you were the target of an unfounded accusation, never mind if as a result you were committed to Rikers, you would want and expect public officers with authority to help you to proceed with a sense of urgency and personal responsibility. This should be quite understandable since you too must know the outrageous amount of abuse, violence, and humiliation that fester in Rikers.

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Bushing, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment thereon are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may promptly begin your investigation. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of those branches of government protecting themselves rather than the people that they are sworn to protect.

If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.

Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCPublic_Advocate.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

July 11, 2022

Ms. Jocelyn E. Strauber
Commissioner of Investigation
NYC Department of Investigation
180 Maiden Lane, 16th Fl.
New York, NY 10038
tel. (212)825-5959; fax (212)825-2504

Mr. Kevin Rothermel
Acting Bronx County Clerk/Bronx
Commissioner of Jurors
851 Grand Concourse
Bronx, NY 10451
bronxjury@nycourts.gov; tel. (718)618-3360

Dear Mr. Rothermel, Commissioner of Jurors, and Ms. Strauber,[‡]

This is a follow-up to my letter of 4 instant, reproduced on the back hereof, where I informed you that after entering an official position, I acquired substantially important information involving judicial, prosecutorial, and police officers that you should investigate as part of your duties. I stated that information in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn “Emergency Application” of May 26. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, where the information originated, and requested that you ask him for a copy.

I have not heard from you. That is disappointing and telling, for I brought to your attention probable cause to believe that there is organized wrongdoing by public officers duty-bound to enforce the law and administer justice, which implies that innocent people are being victimized. If you were the target of an unfounded accusation, never mind if as a result you were committed to Rikers, you would want and expect public officers with authority to help you to proceed with a sense of urgency and personal responsibility. This should be quite understandable since you too must know the outrageous amount of abuse, violence, and humiliation that fester in Rikers

Judge Yearwood has not answered my “Emergency Application” either. Instead, he referred it to Judge Laurence Busching, who enabled and covered up the organized wrongdoing. The text of his letter and my comment on it are in my letter attached hereto. I am complaining against both.

It follows that regardless of what you may have been or may yet be told, the “Emergency Application” has not been disposed of or dismissed...far from it. Indeed, it is not realistic to expect that I will drop the “Application” despite my duty to carry it on as the holder that I was of an official position, the lawyer that I am, and the responsible citizen that I intend to continue to be.

Therefore, I respectfully reiterate my request that you call Judge Yearwood at (718)618-3700 to ask that he forward to you a copy of the “Emergency Application” together with the related “evidence” and transcripts mentioned therein so that you may promptly begin your investigation. If you issue an order for me to release the “Application” to you, I will comply with it.

The information in the “Application” can cause an unprecedented erosion of trust in public officers if people come to suspect that inaction is the result of a cover-up among officers of those branches of government protecting themselves rather than the people that they are sworn to protect.

If public trust is of no concern to you, money should be: Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** last June 8, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held in *Strickland v. U.S.* that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.

Motivated by either principles or opportunism, you can use the “Application” information to become nationally recognized by a grateful *People* as one of their Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

July 26, 2022

Ms. Jaehyun Oh, Esq.
The Jacob Fuchsberg Law Firm
Phone (212)869-3500;
Fax (212)398-1532; j.oh@fuchsberg.com

Kate L. Fetrow, Esq.
Tel. (212)750-0800
kfetrow@nylag.org
New York Legal Assistance Group

Lisa Rivera, Esq.
President and CEO
lrivera@nylag.org

Dear Ms. Oh, Ms. Fetrow, and Ms. Rivera,[‡]

According to the NYS Academy of Trial Lawyers, you, Ms. Oh and Ms. Fetrow, are going to present the seminar “*Constitutional Claims for Injuries and Abuse Suffered by Incarcerated Persons*.” This course will include practical discussion regarding how to research, investigate, and litigate cases on behalf of incarcerated persons who suffer violence or abuse, and people who are wrongfully prosecuted and convicted.” This means that we pursue a common public interest.

Hence this proposal for you, The Jacob Fuchsberg Law Firm, the NY Legal Assistance Group, and me to join forces to expose wrongdoing coordinated among prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges. They harm people who still may be in, or are newly sent to, Rikers on indictments that were extracted from a grand jury too disinterested and lacking the critical judgment needed to analyze the evidence presented and realize that the accused could not possibly have committed the crime with which they had been charged because *that crime never happened*. The indictment was concocted as leverage to, e.g., extort the accused’s acceptance of the plea bargain offered.

That statement is based on the information that I gained after entering an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties “faithfully”. The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. So, I wrote it down in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.* Despite the letters that I have written since, such as those hereunder, and the numberless emails and phone calls to the authorities whose duties it is to investigate such public wrongdoing, I have only been given the runaround. To my knowledge, no authority has opened an investigation or even taken the initiatory action that I requested, namely, to contact Judge Yearwood to ask that he transmit to them a copy of my “Emergency Application”.

I respectfully request that you take that action. In addition, we can jointly ask those authorities what they have done about the information that I brought to their attention to ensure that accused people are not wrongfully left in, or sent to, Rikers. Do they deserve as much public criticism as former President Trump has received for his dereliction of duty during the [187 minutes](#) after he finished his inflaming speech to those in the rally at the Ellipse on January 6 and when he went on TV to ask the rioters to end their assault on the Capitol? Just as seven Capitol Police [officers have sued Trump](#) and rally organizers, we can expose those authorities’ complicit dereliction of duty in a class action that can give rise to national scrutiny of abuse of grand juries.

Also, we can assert their institutional, official, and individual liability to compensate those wrongfully indicted by invoking the precedent set by the Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) in *Strickland v. U.S.*, where it held that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in both their capacities can on constitutional grounds be held liable. We can argue that the class action should be tried in federal court by pointing to the need to prevent the bias toward local parties that justifies diversity of jurisdiction and that emerges when judges judge their colleagues; and their failure to “[avoid the appearance](#)” of a cover-up in their runaround. Thus, let’s discuss this proposal. It may lead us to become *We the People’s* Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-public_interest_advocates.pdf

July 27, 2022

Taylor D. Gibson, Esq.
Investigator Hart
Office of the Inspector General for the NYPD
tel. 1(212)825-5959; OIGNYPDcomplaints@doi.nyc.gov

Dear Mr. Gibson and Ms. Hart,

1. Thank you for calling me today concerning my complaint about wrongdoing coordinated among prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges. I gained first-hand knowledge of their wrongdoing while in an official capacity.
2. The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. So, I wrote it down in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700. You requested that I send it you. As agreed, it is hereunder.
3. I sent IAB Chief David Barrere my complaint about NYPD officers and detectives on May 28. It sets forth how they testified before a grand jury in support of charges of first-degree murder against the accused in the indictment. When the prosecutor presenting the indictment asked whether any grand juror had any questions, I asked for photos or videos of the scene of the crime; the alleged victim shot dead in the middle of the street; the autopsy report; any relation whatsoever to the crime of one photo of a pair of jeans and another of a pair of sneakers; the probative value of the videos presented although they did not show that any crime had happened at all!
4. The following day, the prosecutor had his supervising prosecutor come to the grand jury. She asked whether I was a lawyer and I said that I was. I complained about the grand jury warden, who had challenged me because of my questions, although the *Grand Juror’s Handbook*, which is prefaced by Chief Judge Janet DiFiore, page 16, cites the Judiciary Law, Article 190, §190.20.2), which provides that “Neither the grand jury panel nor any individual grand juror may be challenged” by even the judge impaneling the grand jury.
5. On what turned out to be a pretense, I was brought before the judge who had impaneled the grand jury, Judge Laurence E. Busching. While four courtroom police officers flanked me within arm’s length in the most intimidating way, Judge Busching said that prosecutors and grand jurors had accused me of “being disruptive and making them uncomfortable”...and he preemptorily discharged me from the grand jury.
6. In my absence, he had allowed them to accuse me, thus disregarding the constitutional right of every person to confront his accusers. In defiance of all semblance of fairness and impartiality, Judge Busching believed the accusations of the prosecutors and jurors, but denied my request that the transcript of the presentation of the indictment in question be brought in and examined. He also denied my request to have a copy of the transcript of the record made before him by the court reporter. Then he deprived me of my civil right to be a member of a grand jury.
7. Such secrecy, arbitrariness, and oppression are the characteristics of a star-chamber. They are resorted to by the powerful to cover up their wrongdoing.
8. Although they knew that I was a lawyer, that is how they treated me: with gross contempt for the provisions of Article 190 and the Constitution. How do you think that they treat Joe Schmock and

Jane Widget, who have no clue about their rights or the strictures of due process?

9. If you were the accused, would you trust NYPD officers to disclose exculpatory evidence after having given testimony about irrelevant ‘evidence’ that they could not connect to the alleged murder? Would you have probable cause to believe that they had supported an indictment fabricated as leverage to extort your acceptance of the plea bargain offered or the basis for prosecuting you on false charges? Would you trust Judge Busching not to let those officers mislead a grand jury too disinterested and lacking the critical judgment needed to analyze the evidence presented and realize that you could not possibly have committed a crime that had not even occurred?
10. I addressed my complaint against the NYPD officers and detectives that had appeared before the grand jury to IAB Chief David Barrere in my letter of May 28, 2022. Since then, I have sent many emails to IAB@nypd.org and IABCmdCntr@nypd.org and made numerous calls to (212)741-8401. The IAB officers with whom I have spoken have told me that they do not know where my complaint is or that I have to “give it more time”. Among them are Lt. Atala; Sgt. Cortes and Dario; Detectives Kifaieh, Arata, Pier-Owens, Peattie, Perez; Officers Atway, Duran, Kim, et al.
11. Is it reasonable to think that they would know the whereabouts and status of my complaint if I had not called IAB during the past two months? Would they know if only I had not shown any interest in my complaint at all? Rather, it is in spite of my letters, emails, and phone calls that they do not know, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is due to concealment of their actual knowledge or to willful ignorance or blindness.
12. Yet, it is their duty to know the whereabouts and status of my complaint because they are imputed with knowledge of what happens to people who land in Rikers: They are subjected to all kinds of threats, degradation, and abuse, including rape. Given the gravity and clear and present danger to those sent to Rikers or put through the ordeal of raising bail and going to trial, IAB had to proceed with due diligence. But it has intentionally failed to do so despite having the means to do it.
13. If IAB officers do not know the whereabouts and status of my complaint after two months since my filing it, it is certainly not going to investigate it. In fact, the assertion of ignorance by so many of its officers for such a long time shows a pattern of conduct born of coordination. They have provided probable cause to believe that IAB has engaged in a cover-up.
14. Hence, the onus to investigate IAB and the NYPD officers referred to in my complaint falls on you and the IG for the NYPD. You must with due diligence investigate the complaint to avoid the charge that may be brought against you as it can against IAB, namely, dereliction of duty.
15. With that charge the public is very aware since the House committee investigating the January 6 Capitol attack at its public hearing on July 21, 2022, detailed the [187 minutes](#) of President Trump’s dereliction of duty during the attack. For comparison, months have gone by since I filed my complaint. For being derelict in its duty, IAB deserves as much public criticism as former President Trump has received for his own dereliction.
16. Just as seven Capitol Police [officers have sued Trump](#) and the organizers of the rally that preceded the attack, those who have allowed people to remain or become victims of fabricated indictments can be sued. Such a suit will find strong support in the precedent set by the Court of Appeals for the [4th Circuit](#) in *Strickland v. U.S.*, where it held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.
17. By promptly undertaking a decisive and effective investigation, you avoid becoming a defendant, and instead earn the recognition of a grateful *We the People* as their Champions of Justice. Thus, I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

July 27, 2022

Ann Mathews, Esq.
Managing Director, CDP
The [Bronx Defenders](#)
360 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451
tel: (718)838-7878; AnnM@BronxDefenders.org

Dear Ms. Matthews,†

1. Thank you for calling me in response to my latest phone call and the letters that I had mailed you and the 24 other members of Bronx Defenders named in the Table included hereunder.
2. This file also includes my letter to you as well as other letters that describe the current state of this proposal.
3. Indeed, all those letters show that we pursue a common public interest, that is, to ensure the integrity of judicial process, in general, and of criminal process, in particular.
4. Hence, this is a proposal for you, Bronx Defenders, and me to join forces to expose wrongdoing coordinated among prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges. They harm people who still may be in, or are newly sent to, Rikers on indictments that were extracted from a grand jury too disinterested and lacking the critical judgment needed to analyze the evidence presented and realize that the accused could not possibly have committed the crime with which they had been charged because *that crime never occurred*.
5. The indictment was concocted, e.g., as leverage to extort the accused's acceptance of the plea bargain offered or as the basis for fabricated charges to be tried in court.
6. That statement derives from the information that I gained after entering an official position upon swearing to discharge my duties "faithfully". The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment.
7. So, I wrote it down in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled "Emergency Application", and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451; tel. (718)618-3700.
8. Since then, I have sent numberless letters and emails and made numerous phone calls to a host of authorities, such as those identified below, whose duty it is to investigate such public wrongdoing. To no avail, for I have only been given the runaround.
9. To my knowledge, no authority has opened an investigation or even taken the initiatory action that I requested: to contact Judge Yearwood to ask that he transmit to them a copy of my "Emergency Application". I respectfully request that you take that action.
10. Regardless of whether Judge Yearwood transmits a copy to you, we can jointly ask him and the other authorities what they have done about the information that I brought to their attention to ensure that accused people are not wrongfully left in, or sent to, Rikers. So asking them is justified by the duty to represent "faithfully" and zealously the criminally accused that are current and past clients of yours and other defenders.
11. If those authorities disregard us or provide unsatisfactory answers, we can bring pressure to bear on them by appealing to the media at a press conference.

12. Those authorities deserve as much public criticism as former President Trump has received for his dereliction of duty during the [187 minutes](#) after he finished his inflaming speech to those in the rally at the Ellipse on January 6 and when he went on TV to ask the rioters to end their assault on the Capitol. For comparison, those authorities have done nothing for months since I contacted them.
13. We too can take action beyond engaging in mere criticism: Seven Capitol Police [officers have sued Trump](#) and rally organizers. In the same vein, 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their inaction.
14. Their suits draw strong support from the decision of the Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) in *Strickland v. U.S.*, which held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable.
15. We can expose those authorities' complicit dereliction of duty and hold all of them liable to compensate their victims.
16. Those authorities have been derelict in their duty for their gain, e.g., to protect themselves through an explicit or implicit reciprocal cover-up agreement and increase their conviction rate. Their conduct constitutes a pattern of racketeering that warrants bringing a RICO count.
17. We can argue that the class action should be tried in federal court by pointing to the need to prevent the bias toward local parties that justifies diversity of jurisdiction and that emerges when judges judge their colleagues, friends, and appointees; and the authorities' failure to "[avoid the appearance](#)" of a cover-up in the runaround that they have given me and eventually you too.
18. The national mood favors such class action, for the public has grown increasingly determined to hold public figures and officers accountable and liable since the eruption of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements; the protests against police brutality, socio-economic inequalities, and the Supreme Court's reversal of *Roe v. Wade*; the public hearings of the House January 6 Committee and the assurance by Attorney General Merrick Garland that "nobody is above the law" so that the Department of Justice will prosecute all Capitol assault organizers and participants.
19. The class action can be brought on behalf of the known and other as yet unknown similarly situated victims of such wrongdoing coordinated among prosecutorial, police, and judicial officers. How many people have landed in Rikers upon false 'evidence' presented to grand juries known to be "easily manipulated into indicting even a sandwich"? For how many years has such abuse of people and grand juries been going on?
20. Answering those questions can give rise to a flood of motions to reopen convictions and dismiss charges.
21. The class action can provoke a national scrutiny of abuse of grand juries, in particular, and of the justice system, in general. That can strengthen the plaintiff class, bring new clients, and enhance our reputation.
22. Such national scrutiny can be hastened by turning this coordinated public wrongdoing into a national issue of the midterm elections. It follows that time is of the essence.
23. Consequently, I have also approached, among others, NY Legal Assistance Group and The Jacob Fuchsberg Law Firm with a view to bringing that class action.
24. Thus, let's discuss this proposal. It may lead us to become *We the People's* Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

August 19, 2022

Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell[‡]
New York City Police Department
One Police Plaza, New York, NY 10259-0001

Dear Commissioner Sewell,

This is to inform you of my efforts to bring to the attention of Internal Affairs Bureau Chief David Barrere by email to IAB@NYPD.org and IABCmdCntr@NYPD.org, and letter, as shown by those attached, and in phone conversations with, among others, the NYPD officers and detectives listed below, since May 28, 2022, the information that I acquired while in an official capacity concerning police officers and detectives as well as prosecutors and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that they could not possibly have committed because that crime never occurred.

The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing such information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. So, I wrote it down in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words, titled “Emergency Application”, and dated May 26, 2022. I submitted it to Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451, where the information originated. I asked that Chief Barrere request a copy from Judge Yearwood.

If he obtained it, then he knows the gravity of this information: NYPD officers and detectives participated in abusing the known indifference and uncritical judgment of grand jurors to obtain an indictment that lacked any evidentiary basis. Such an indictment and similar ones could be used to force the accused to accept a plea bargain or to prosecute them on fabricated charges.

If Chief Barrere met with Judge Yearwood’s refusal to send him a copy, he had the duty to question why the Judge did not want to cooperate with an IAB’s investigation of police wrongdoing that is corrupting judicial process. He could have subpoenaed or otherwise ordered the “Emergency Application” from me, as I suggested that he do and promised compliance. Given the abuse of power that I witnessed firsthand and the retaliation that I have already been subjected to, I want to be protected by an order so that I do not end up where those falsely accused did: in Rikers Island.

Since then, I have phoned IAB at (212)741-8401 and been given the runaround by officers who answered the call there or at the Records or Assessment Units to which I was transferred. They have alleged that they could not either find my emailed or mailed information or determine the status of complaints 2022-13831 of June 9; complaint 2022-15482 of June 30, or complaint 2022-15601 of July 1. Yet, some officers had copied the link <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/ChiefDBarrere.pdf>, which I gave them on the phone and they acknowledged having downloaded the file. Among those NYPD officers and detectives are the following:

1.	Names of NYPD Officers and Detectives at IAB Each time before calling, I resent my email to Chief Barrere	Date of call
2.	Officer Washington, badge 8281	6June22
3.	Officer Washington	7June22
4.	Detective Atway	7June22
5.	Det. Arata	9June22
6.	Officer Duran, who said that he would send the link, supra, to Records	9June22

7.	Det. Arata	9June22
8.	Det. Arata transferred me to Records; and I recorded a message there	23June22
9.	Det. Kifaieh	24June22
10.	Re 2022-13831	27June22
11.	Det. Arata transferred me to Records; and I recorded yet another message	29June22
12.	Sargent Cortes	30June22
13.	Det. Atway	30June22
14.	Det. Ms. Pier-Owen found the link and transferred me to Cmd Center to:	30June22
15.	Lt. Atala, who said that he would send the complaint to the Assessment Unit	30June22
16.	Det. Peattie opened complaint 2022-15482 after I complained about my complaint being scheduled to be sent, as officers told me it was, to “First District”, although nobody knew what that was or even its address!	30June22
17.	Sgt. Dario, Assessment Unit, downloaded & attached the file to the complaint	1July22
18.	Det. Ms. Perez at the In-Take was instructed to open complaint 2022-15601	1July22
19.	Det. Atway asked me to be patient and ‘give it more time’	14July22
20.	Det. Kifaieh said that that he would give my telephone number to somebody and have him or her call me; but nobody did	18July22
21.	Officer Kim could not determine the status of any of the three complaints; he said the he would have somebody call me, but nobody did	25July22
22.	Det. Kifaieh agreed to have his CO call me, but nobody did	9August22
23.	Det. Sunu transferred me to Records, where I could only record a message	9August22
24.	Det. Sunu said his CO was not there and again transferred me to Records, where I could only record another message; nobody called me back	9August22
25.	Det. Arata transferred me to Lt. Hoe. He checked and when he came back asked me whether my complaint was against a lawyer!, if so, IAB could not do anything. 2+ months after being filed, IAB ignored what it was all about!	9August22
26.	Det. Kifaieh agreed to print my complaints and give them to Captain Keon, his CO, and ask that he call me, but nobody called me.	9August22

The above generates probable cause to believe that IAB has engaged in a cover-up. Its officers have coordinated its implementation. Let it be their [derelection of duty](#)[‡], not yours, that allows thousands of falsely accused people to remain or be incarcerated. They are potential members of a class action. Failure to discharge your duty should offend against your oath to Protect and Respect.

If not, you will be shocked by the money consequences, other than those related to the call to defund the police: 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their inaction. The [Fourth Circuit](#) Court of Appeals held in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. Abusive state judges were ordered to [pay \\$200+ million](#) to their victims. Instead, do right and be recognized nationally as a Champion of Justice.

So, let’s meet.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

September 14, 2022

Brooklyn District Attorney [Eric Gonzalez](#)
350 Jay Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201
tel. (718)250-2747, (718)250-2001

Ben Crump, Esq., & NY Supervising Attorney
360 Lexington Ave., 11th Floor
New York, NY 10017; tel. (800)350-5777

[Law360](#) Reporter Marco Poggio & the Editor
Portfolio Media/LexisNexis
111 W 19 St, NY, NY 10011; tel. (646)783 7100

ACLU NY Director Donna [Lieberman](#), 125 Broad St,
18th Fl, NY, NY 10004; tel. (212)607-3300
[Justice Lab](#) Louisiana Director Nora [Ahmed](#)

Dear D.A. Gonzalez, Mr. Crump & NY Supervisor, Rep. Poggio & Editor, and Ms. Lieberman,[‡]

1. I read with interest the articles “[Brooklyn district attorney](#) moves to dismiss nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”; John [Annese](#); New York [Daily News](#); 7 September 2022; and “[Brooklyn Beats Crime By Cleaning Record Tied To Dirty Cops](#) [who planted evidence]”; Chris Williams; [Above the Law](#); 9 Sep. 2022; cwilliams@abovethelaw.com. There is more to clean.
2. You have shown that you dare take on the NYPD. Hence, your conduct supports the reasonable expectation that you can openly and/or discreetly help expose the case at hand: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning five NYPD officers, including detectives, as well as prosecutors and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not possibly have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
3. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court’s administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing it, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
4. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau ([IAB](#)) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: NYPD officers need not plant evidence; they simply fool grand juries with non-evidence. Their cover-up is not only of this particular case: It is the product of an institutional policy. Thus, the fact that this case occurred in Bronx instead of Brooklyn is irrelevant. You and your office deal with the same NYPD. Worse yet...
5. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#) who have taken no action to investigate this case. There can be not only “nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”, but rather a systemic policy. Under it, thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by NYPD officers, prosecutors, and [judges](#). By contrast, [your policy](#) is to favor “preventative and accountability solutions over criminal convictions and incarceration”. You with Mr. Crump, Law360, Above the Law, ACLU Lieberman, and law school [professors](#) and [students](#) can expand your “nationally-recognized Conviction Review Unit” so that it prevents convicting people on non-evidence and cleans the justice system of officers and [others](#) who are dirty and/or remiss in their duty and cover it up.

Let’s meet to discuss coordination.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

OL3:1520

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

September 17, 2022

NY Attorney General [Letitia James](#)
c/o: PIB Chief Gerard Murphy and AAG Susan Sadinsky
The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224-0341

Brooklyn District Attorney [Eric Gonzalez](#)
350 Jay Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201
tel. (718)250-2747, (718)250-2001

Dear A.G. James, Chief Murphy, AAG Sadinsky, and Brooklyn D.A. Gonzalez,[‡]

1. I read with interest the press release “[AG James](#) Announces Sentencing of Former NYS SCt Justice for accepting bribes”; 13 September 2022; which quoted you as saying “New Yorkers deserve a justice system they can have faith in. That’s why this corrupt behavior is so egregious and unacceptable. Regardless of party affiliation, my office remains committed to rooting out corruption in government, at every level and in every branch”...of which the case below implicates several.
2. I also read with interest the articles “[Brooklyn district attorney](#) moves to dismiss nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”; John [Annese](#); New York [Daily News](#); 7 September 2022; and “[Brooklyn Beats Crime By Cleaning Record Tied To Dirty Cops](#) [who planted evidence]”; Chris Williams; [Above the Law](#); 9 Sep. 2022; cwilliams@abovethelaw.com. There is more to clean.
3. You have shown that you dare take on judges and the NYPD. Thus, your conduct supports the reasonable expectation that you can openly and/or discreetly help expose this case: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning five NYPD officers, including detectives, as well as prosecutors and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not possibly have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
4. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court’s administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing it, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau ([IAB](#)) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, but to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: Instead of planting evidence, officers fool grand juries with non-evidence to leverage false indictments at plea bargaining. Their cover-up is not only of this case: It is the product of an institutional policy. So, the fact that this case occurred in Bronx instead of Brooklyn is irrelevant. You and your offices deal with the same NYPD. Worse yet...
6. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#) who have failed to investigate this case. There can be not only “nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops”, but rather a systemic policy. Under it, thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by NYPD officers, prosecutors, and [judges](#). By contrast, [your policy](#) favors “accountability solutions over incarceration”. You with law school [professors](#) and [students](#) can prevent convictions on non-evidence and generate “faith in the justice system” by cleaning it of officers and [others](#) who are dirty and remiss in their duty and cover it up.

Let’s meet to discuss coordination.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡]http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf

October 8, 2022

Twyla Carter, Esq.

Attorney-in-Chief and CEO

Tina Luongo, Esq.

Chief Attorney of the Criminal Defense Practice

The Legal Aid Society, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038

TheLegalAidSociety@legal-aid.org, info@legal-aid.org; tel. (212)577-3300; fax (212)509-8761

Dear Ms. Carter and Ms. Luongo,[‡]

1. I would like to congratulate you on being ranked No. 1 in *City & State's* Power List of the Top 100 NY Non-Profit Leaders. In the LAS October 6 [press release](#), you are quoted as saying, "I feel lucky to have joined an organization so fiercely committed to the clients and communities we serve. The opportunity to lead and support our attorneys and staff at this critical moment is an honor."
2. This is an appeal to that 'fierce commitment' to join forces to 'serve your clients' and others victimized by false indictments by colluding and conniving prosecutors, police officers, and judges:
3. As a grand juror, I learned on May 23 & 24 about people being indicted for a murder that they could not have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. The presenters relied on the grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
4. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court's administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: At a convenient time for him, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he dutifully investigate his officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, but to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of "NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769". There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: Instead of planting evidence, officers fool grand juries with non-evidence to leverage false indictments at plea bargaining. Their cover-up is not only of this case: It is the product of an institutional policy: to abuse power as modus operandi.
6. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#), mayor, public advocates, NYS attorney general, and borough officials, who have failed to investigate this case, as has Brooklyn DA Eric Gonzalez, who nonetheless "[vacated nearly 400 convictions](#) tied to dirty NYPD cops". Their dereliction of duty points to systemic wrongdoing founded on collusion and connivance. Thereby thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence. For those sent to Rikers only to be abused, humiliated and raped there, or have their lives disrupted as they tried to raise bail, this is "a critical moment".
7. They need you to "support our attorneys", such as me, to expose those powerful colluders and connivers. That is what another courageous female public defender dare do, who as plaintiff in *Strickland v. U.S.* exposed judges' abuse of power, causing the bench of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [4th Circuit](#) to recuse themselves. She established a precedent. You can launch a national trend toward exposing judges', prosecutors', and police's unaccountability and [riskless](#) abuse.

Let's meet to discuss 'your support'.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

October 8, 2022

Tina Luongo, Esq.

Chief Attorney of the Criminal Defense Practice

Twyla Carter, Esq.

Attorney-in-Chief and CEO

The Legal Aid Society, 199 Water Street, New York, NY 10038

TheLegalAidSociety@legal-aid.org, info@legal-aid.org; tel. (212)577-3300; fax (212)509-8761

Dear Ms. Luongo and Ms. Carter,[‡]

1. When LAS announced [LELU](#) in its press release of October 3, you were quoted as saying, "For too long, City Hall, the NYPD, and DOC withheld these misconduct records from the public, although these records contain critical information that all New Yorkers should have access to." It added, "We cannot count on those in charge to hold law enforcement accountable for the culture of impunity that has plagued our city for decades." This is an appeal that counts on you to jointly with me hold prosecutors, police officers, and judges accountable for concocting false indictments:
2. As a grand juror, I learned on May 23 & 24 about people being indicted for a murder that they could not have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that such crime ever occurred. The presenters relied on the grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime.
3. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the judge in charge of the grand jury, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words and submitted it to the court's administrative judge. The latter ensured his unaccountability by referring the statement to the discharging judge, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: At a convenient time for him, he [dismissed it](#) on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
4. I submitted this case in writing to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau ([IAB](#)) Chief David Barrere on May 28 and requested that he dutifully investigate his officers. Since then, [over 12 IAB officers](#) have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of this case, they have opened [three](#) complaints, but to no avail. I brought the case to the attention of NYPD [Commissioner](#) Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of "NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769". There is probable cause to believe that IAB and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up: Instead of planting evidence, officers fool grand juries with non-evidence to leverage false indictments at plea bargaining. Their cover-up is not only of this case: It is the product of an institutional policy: to abuse power as *modus operandi*.
5. You deal with the same NYC and NYS administrative and chief [judges](#), mayor, public advocates, NYS attorney general, and borough officials, who have failed to investigate this case, as has Brooklyn DA Eric Gonzalez, who nonetheless "[vacated nearly 400 convictions tied to dirty NYPD cops](#)". Their dereliction of duty points to systemic wrongdoing founded on collusion and connivance. Thereby thousands have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence. For those sent to Rikers only to be abused, humiliated and raped there, or have their lives disrupted as they tried to raise bail, this is "a critical moment".
6. I 'count on you' because "It is up to all of us to seek liability for" those powerful colluders and connivers. That is what another courageous female public defender dare do, who as plaintiff in [Strickland v. U.S.](#) exposed judges' abuse of power, thus causing the whole bench of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [4th Circuit](#) to recuse themselves. She established a precedent. You can launch a national trend toward holding judges, prosecutors, and police accountable for their [riskless](#) abuse.

Let's meet to discuss 'jointly seeking liability'.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*



NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
JODIE CORNGOLD
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI
HON. ROBERT J. MILLER
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
RONALD J. ROSENBERG
GRAHAM B. SEITER
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS
CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

646-386-4800 518-299-1757
TELEPHONE FACSIMILE
www.cjc.ny.gov

CONFIDENTIAL

November 3, 2022

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL

MARK LEVINE
DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR

BRENDA CORREA
JENNIFER L. LOWRY
PRINCIPAL ATTORNEYS

MELISSA DIPALO
VICKIE MA
ERIC ARNONE
SENIOR ATTORNEYS

KELVIN S. DAVIS
STELLA E. GILLILAND
ADAM B. KAHAN
STAFF ATTORNEYS

ALAN W. FRIEDBERG
SPECIAL COUNSEL

Mr. Richard Cordero. Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, New York 10472-6506

Re: 2022/N-1084

Dear Mr. Cordero:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your complaint received September 23, 2022.

Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint.

By law the Commission cannot offer legal advice and cannot represent litigants.

For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.

Very truly yours,

Lee Kiklier

Lee Kiklier

Senior Administrative Assistant

Encl.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

November 7, 2022

Mr. Joseph Belluck, Chair, M. Taa Grays, Vice Chair Robert Rembeckjian, Esq, Administrator
New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct
61 Broadway, Ste 1200, NY, NY 10006; tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757; www.cjc.ny.gov

Dear Chair Belluck, Vice Chair Grays, and Administrator Tembeckjian,[‡]

1. I filed a complaint, described hereunder, against Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood and Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching, both of the Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term, tel. (718)618-3700, 265 E. 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. They are indisputably NYS judges.
2. Defying that fact, your assistant Lee Kiklier has sent me a letter “Re: 2022/N-1084”, dated November 3 and attached hereto, dismissing the complaint on the following counterfactual pretense:

Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission’s jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint.

3. Did assistant Kiklier even gloss over the complaint, let alone “review” it or is that pretense for dismissal the Commission’s means of covering up the wrongdoing of judges to evade a scandal?
4. The gist of the complaint is this: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning prosecutors, five NYPD officers, including detectives, and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that any crime had occurred at all: No photos of the victim or the street crime scene or incident or autopsy report. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to J. Busching, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words -available upon the Commission’s order- and submitted it to J. Yearwood. The latter was remiss in his supervisory duty by referring the statement to J. Busching, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing the statement, he **dismissed it** on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted a complaint in writing to the Chief Judge and the NYS and NYC administrative **judges** on May 28, to no avail. I also submitted it to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau (**IAB**) Chief David Barrere and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, **over 12 IAB officers** have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of the complaint, they have opened **three** of them, but still no feedback. I brought the complaint to the attention of NYPD **Commissioner** Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that judges, IAB, and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up. The latter does not concern this complaint only: The cover-up is the product of complicit systemic coordination. Under it, thousands of people have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by prosecutors, NYPD officers, and **judges**.
6. This complaint is ripe for expanding the applicability of *Strickland v. U.S., the Judicial Conference of the U.S., the Office of the Public Defender, et al.* That is a civil case from the **4th Circuit Court of Appeals** that held that the Federal Judiciary as well as judges and others can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities. Will you be on the side of the defendants or of the Champions of Justice? See also the suit against the **FBI** for \$1+ billion.

I look forward to hearing from you shortly.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

November 7, 2022

Principal Attorneys Brenda Correa and Jennifer L. Lowry; Special Counsel Alan W. Friedberg
New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct
61 Broadway, Ste 1200, NY, NY 10006; tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757; www.cjc.ny.gov

Dear Attorneys Friedberg, Lowry, and Correa,[‡]

1. I filed a complaint, described hereunder, against Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood and Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching, both of the Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term, tel. (718)618-3700, 265 E. 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. They are indisputably NYS judges.
2. Defying that fact, your assistant Lee Kiklier has sent me a letter “Re: 2022/N-1084”, dated November 3 and attached hereto, dismissing the complaint on the following counterfactual pretense:

Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission’s jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint.

3. Did assistant Kiklier even gloss over the complaint, let alone “review” it or is that pretense for dismissal the Commission’s means of covering up the wrongdoing of judges to evade a scandal?
4. The gist of the complaint is this: I, while serving as a grand juror, acquired information concerning prosecutors, five NYPD officers, including detectives, and judges involved in indicting people of a murder that the latter could not have committed because the evidence presented by the prosecutor, the officers, and the alleged friend of the alleged victim did not show that any crime had occurred at all: No photos of the victim or the street crime scene or incident or autopsy report. They relied on the grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment to indict despite the non-evidence of a crime. When I asked questions pointing to that conclusion, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to J. Busching, who summarily discharged me. I described these circumstances in an eight-page sworn statement consisting of 4,743 words -available upon the Commission’s order- and submitted it to J. Yearwood. The latter was remiss in his supervisory duty by referring the statement to J. Busching, who foreseeably held himself unaccountable: Without discussing the statement, he **dismissed it** on the inconsequential fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I submitted a complaint in writing to the Chief Judge and the NYS and NYC administrative **judges** on May 28, to no avail. I also submitted it to NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau (**IAB**) Chief David Barrere and requested that he investigate the five officers. Since then, **over 12 IAB officers** have given me the runaround. Alleging that they cannot find out the status of the complaint, they have opened **three** of them, but still no feedback. I brought the complaint to the attention of NYPD **Commissioner** Keechant Sewell by letter and email of August 19 and 30, respectively. A reply email only informed me of “NY City Correspondence # 1-1-2213769”. There is probable cause to believe that judges, IAB, and One Police Plaza have engaged in a cover-up. The latter does not concern this complaint only: The cover-up is the product of complicit systemic coordination. Under it, thousands of people have been and still are incarcerated before and after trial on indictments of grand juries fooled with non-evidence by prosecutors, NYPD officers, and **judges**.
6. This complaint is ripe for expanding the applicability of *Strickland v. U.S.*, *the Judicial Conference of the U.S.*, *the Office of the Public Defender*, *et al.* That is a civil case from the **4th Circuit Court of Appeals** that held that the Federal Judiciary as well as judges and others can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities. Will you be on the side of the defendants or of the Champions of Justice? See also the suit against the **FBI** for \$1+ billion.

I look forward to hearing from you shortly.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.



NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
JODIE CORNGOLD
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI
HON. ROBERT W. MILLER
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
RONALD J. ROSENBERG
GRAHAM B. SEITER
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS
CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006
646-386-4800 518-299-1757
TELEPHONE FACSIMILE
cjc.ny.gov

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL
MARK LEVINE
DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR
BRENDA CORREA
JENNIFER L. LOWRY
PRINCIPAL ATTORNEYS
MELISSA DIPALO
VICKIE MA
ERIC ARNONE
SENIOR ATTORNEYS
KELVIN S. DAVIS
STELLA E. GILLILAND
ADAM B. KAHAN
STAFF ATTORNEYS
ALAN W. FRIEDBERG
SPECIAL COUNSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

December 6, 2022

Via Email: Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472-6506

Re: File No. 2022/N-1259-60
Dear Mr. Cordero:

This is to acknowledge receipt by the State Commission on Judicial Conduct of your complaint dated November 7, 2022.

Your complaint will be presented to the Commission, which will decide whether or not to inquire into it. We will contact you after the Commission has reviewed the matter.

For your information, we have enclosed some background material about the Commission, its jurisdiction and its limitations.

Very truly yours,



Laura A. Soto

Executive

Assistant to the Deputy Administrator

Encl.

April 19, 2023

In search of one or more knowledgeable, experienced, and financially capable law firms, investigative journalists, and IT experts with whom to join forces to further prosecute cases with national scope that are ripe for class action

Dear Law Firm Members, Journalists, IT experts, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,[‡]

1. This is a proposal to join forces to further prosecute any or all of the cases that I¹ have brought to ripeness for class action. They are briefly described herein and in detail in the [linked](#) files.
2. This search is an exercise of the rights most cherished by *We the People*, namely, those guaranteed by the 1st Amendment to the [Constitution](#) to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people [the sovereign source of all public power] peaceably to assemble [on the Internet too], and to petition [also through class actions] the Government [such as its 3rd branch, the judiciary, and agencies, e.g., Medicare] for a redress [through transparency, [accountability](#), and [compensation](#)] of grievances”.
 - a. While serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and NYPD officers charged people with a murder that the latter could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought in reliance on grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge. Late enough, he sent it to the [grand jury judge](#), who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. I filed a complaint with the [Chief Judge](#), the NYS and NYC administrative judges, the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau chief and the [Commissioner](#), [Bronx council](#) members, [public advocates](#), [et al.](#), who have not replied. They are part of the defendant class as [accessories](#) who fail to investigate judges to avoid retaliation³, abetting in self-interest abuse by indictments on false accusations.⁴
 - b. [Medicare](#) administers \$100s of billions for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds’ claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare’s schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. Most insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change. I appealed to the Medicare Appeals [Council](#). After I appeal to the Medicare Board, the class action can be filed in district court.
 - c. [Walgreens](#) is [described](#) as having had \$139.5 billion in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards. It is a misnomer, for rewards are not earned by paying in cash and cannot be redeemed for cash despite its false advertisement: “Save time. Redeem your rewards instantly at checkout”. But at checkout you cannot pay the total cost of the purchase with your Cash Rewards. You can only apply a single “tier” of \$1, \$3, \$5, or \$10 if it is equal to or less than the purchase cost. You must pay the balance with your money. Your rewards, though earned, are not yours, for they expire. The program is a bait and switch scam. This is a test case for suing big businesses that make enormous gains by defrauding millions of customers of small amounts that do not justify the substantial cost of individual prosecution.
3. I respectfully request that we meet in your office or via Zoom for a [presentation](#) on the above and other proposed [joint actions](#), and Qs&As.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it. Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Endnotes

¹ This letter and its link[†] can be shared with others potentially interested in joining any of the class actions and attending my presentation on these high profile cases in the public interest. The presentation is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking skills, which undergird my three-volume study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]**

a. The study discusses evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges complicitly exonerate each other; and are protected connivingly by the politicians who put them in office and deem them 'our men and women on the bench'. Risklessly, they engage in abuse of power for their gain and convenience individually and as a class that coordinate the running of judiciaries as racketeering enterprises.

b. Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted so many webvisitors that as of 22 April 2023 it had turned into subscribers 47,390 of them. They are potential class members.

² The class actions can expect sympathetic juries. Indeed, since the advent of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and racial and socio-economic inequality, the national public has become ever more intolerant of all forms of abuse, as expressed in its self-assertive rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.* Trump lawyers settled a case on their way to the first trial day rather than risk a huge verdict from one of Bronx blue collar juries, known for their negative attitude toward big companies and government.

³ Developments in the judiciary establish strong precedents that support a favorable expectation:

a. In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S., the Judicial Conference, et al.*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit held on 26 April '22 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities, including judges, can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. The plaintiff's exposure of complicit coordination caused the bench of the Court to recuse themselves!

b. Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for over \$1 billion on 8 June '22 for its failure to act on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty. USA Gymnastics and U.S. Olympic Committee had to pay \$380 million.

c. A PA state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay \$206 million in compensatory and punitive damages.

⁴ Led by their motive of protecting and increasing their gains, e.g., by breaking the law, as revealed by *The Wall Street Journal*-, federal judges intercept(18 U.S.C. §§2511) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. The Federal Judiciary has the means, as it runs one of the largest national computer networks and has the Information Technology (IT) expertise necessary therefor: It handles daily the filing, storage, and retrieval of 100s of millions of briefs, motions, applications, case records, reports, dockets, calendars, orders, decisions, rules, etc., through its Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) system. Judges have the opportunity to also compel such interception by the intelligence agencies to which in a quid pro quo they grant 100% of their secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under FISA (50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c).

a. There is proposed to hire IT experts to examine the computers of judges' critics. If the communications to and from you were intercepted, you can join the class action against the interceptor for treble damages³ and attorneys' fees. Cf. former CBS reporter Sharyl(1551¶¶a-c) Attkisson sued the Dept. of Justice for \$35 million for hacking into her computers to spy on her investigations.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

of the press, the right of the people [the sovereign source of all public power in a democracy] peaceably to assemble [by email and on social media too], and to petition [in writing or in person] the Government [of which Medicare is an agency and its officers are agents] for a redress of grievances [including the payment of compensation]”.

f. At the top of your story, add the following reference; and mail and email it to these addresses:

Reference: for consideration by the Medicare Appeals Council and the Board in appeal M-23-386

- 1) Go to <https://dab.efile.hhs.gov/>, register, and “File correspondence...and other written material in pending case” M-23-386.
- 2) Department of Health and Human Services
Departmental Appeals Board
Medicare Appeals Council, MS 6127
Cohen Building Room G-644
330 Independence Ave., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201
- 3) DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov

⁴ Developments in the judiciary establish strong precedents that support a favorable expectation:

- a. In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S.*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the **Fourth Circuit** held last April 26 that the Federal Judiciary and its **judges** in their official and individual capacities can on due process and equal protection grounds be sued and held liable. The plaintiff’s exposure of 4th Circuit judges’ complicit coordination caused the bench to recuse themselves! Judges from other circuits were seated by designation on the three-judge appellate panel.
- b. Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and FBI agents for **over \$1 billion** on June 8, 2022, for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to agents and the FBI’s cover-up of their dereliction of duty. This is in addition to the **\$380 million** that USA Gymnastics and the U.S. Olympic Committee had to pay to Nassar sexual abuse victims.
- c. A Pennsylvania state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay **\$206 million** in damages.

⁵ *The Wall Street Journal* revealed that federal judges hear cases in which they have a financial interest, thus breaking the law. Led by their **motive** of protecting their illegal **gains**, they **intercept**(18 U.S.C. §§2511; 1961) people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. The Federal Judiciary has the **means** of doing so, as it runs one of the largest national computer networks and has the Information Technology (IT) expertise necessary therefor: It handles daily the filing, retrieval, and storage of hundreds of millions of briefs, motions, applications, records, reports, dockets, calendars, orders, decisions, etc., through its Public Access to Court Electronic Records (**PACER**) system. Judges have the **opportunity** to compel such interception by the intelligence agencies to which in a quid pro quo they grant 100% of their secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act(50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c).

- a. There is **proposed** to hire IT experts to examine the communications and computers of judges’ critics. Exposing judges’ interception in a suit with **RICO** counts on behalf of their victims can generate national outrage, treble damages, and attorneys’ fees. See former CBS reporter Sharyl **Attkisson’s suit** against the Department of Justice for \$35 million. The examination can be announced at a press conference intended to capture national attention and to interest critics and victims of judges’ abuse in sharing their stories with the IT experts.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

December 23, 2022

**Assets other than my class action cases[‡]
that I bring to the negotiating table of a law firm or client**

A. My business plan to monetize my website Judicial Discipline Reform

1. My website at <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> has attracted 45,963 subscribers to date. I have a [business plan](#) to monetize it by offering services and products to subscribers as well as the public in general. The plan is guided by the motto Making Money While Doing Justice.
2. The plan treats that already high and still increasing number of subscribers as the initial client base for a good reason: These are people who in spite of the information overload that burdens everybody nowadays have subscribed to receive yet more information. Moreover, my articles are written in long form –running to more than 1,000 words- and are intellectually demanding. These subscribers are the kind of highly educated and affluent people who read publications and view newscasts such as *The Wall Street Journal*, *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, *The New Yorker*, NBC News, The Atlantic, TIME, Propublica, and so on. They are decision-makers and influencers.
3. To them and capital investors I offer to make a presentation on what they stand to gain from implementing the plan for developing the website from an informational platform into a research center.

B. My webinar and story-writing workshop

4. I have a [webinar](#) with [slides](#) on the implications of *The Wall Street Journal*'s article "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest"[\(Appendix 6§C.22\)](#), first published on September 28, 2021. Thereafter, it was updated with the news that up to then 57 federal judges had belatedly recused themselves and asked their clerks of court to notify the parties of their recusal and the need to appoint a new judge to retry their respective case.
5. Who is going to pay for a new trial and appeal, which can cost scores of thousands of dollars; and for the disentanglement of contracts based on biased decisions now void or voidable?!
6. The judges and their judiciaries can be held liable to compensate the parties that they harmed if their own decisions are applied to them in which they held pedophilic priests and the Catholic Church that covered for them liable to pay more than \$4 billion to their victims.
7. I have a workshop for teaching people the [two-phase method](#) to write in up to 500 words the story of judges' abuse of power that they have suffered or witnessed.

C. My syllabus for two university courses

8. I have written a [syllabus](#) for two 15-week, semester-long university courses. It shows that I have a concrete, realistic, and feasible program for teaching at graduate and undergraduate levels.
 - a. A multidisciplinary course on judges' [abuse of power](#) and [financial criminality](#) -breach of trust, concealment of assets, tax evasion, money laundering, obstruction of justice, spoliation– teaches law and economics research and writing; and applies role-playing to enact a realistic hands-on, adversarial library and field journalistic, business, and Information Technology investigation. The syllabus includes numerous journalistic leads([OL:194§E](#)).
 - b. The other course deals with the organization by the students of the first-ever, and national and multimedia conference on judicial abuse of power. It will report on the findings of the investigation and recommendations for action in the public interest. The conference and its report will be [Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability](#)

reporting* and the Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Reform Advocacy.

9. The courses will help implement my proposal for **unprecedented citizens hearings**. Organized by students, professors, journalists, and media outlets, these hearings will afford people a chance to tell their stories. The national mood is ripe for testifying at, and listening to, the hearings, for they will provide a venue for the public to express the self-assertive rallying cry that animates the *MeToo!* and BLM movements, and the demonstrations against police brutality and racial and socio-economic inequality: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.*
 - a. Whatever one may think of the Covid mandates, the fact is that a sizable segment of the public resents them as an abuse of power that justifies its refusal to comply...even at the risk of its own, its close relatives' and friends', and everybody else's lives. That shows the irrepressible emotion stoked by abuse: *Outrage!*, and the eruptive reaction that it provokes.
10. The citizens hearings on judicial abuse of power can be set in motion if thanks to your connections a major publication publishes **one** or a series(**Appendix 6§B**) of my articles on the subject. There is precedent for this expectation: *The New York Times* and *The New Yorker* dare publish on October 5 and 10, 2017, respectively, exposés on Hollywood mogul Harvey Weinstein's sexual predation. In less than a week, the *MeToo!* movement erupted here and abroad. It has changed the world.
 - a. Two recent and notable *MeToo!* casualties are: Herschel Walker, who was beset by accusations of sexual abuse and defeated in his race to the U.S. Senate from GA; and NY Gov. Andrew Cuomo, forced to resign due to his being officially investigated on the same grounds.

D. My creative writing and English teaching skills

11. I have superior knowledge of English grammar and composition; and public speaking. To ascertain the latter, you may watch my presentation **video** and follow it on its accompanying **slides**. You may also examine my **creative writings** consisting of two novels, seven movie scripts, and short stories. They emphasize self-realization and illustrate persuasive storytelling, which entertains as it inspires with a flight of the imagination to pursue transformative change for the common good.
12. You may also review my capacity to engage in original statistical analysis and set forth reports; e.g., one demonstrating mathematically that **judges do not read** the overwhelming majority of briefs and motions. Instead, they relegate to clerks the chore of disposing of them, which they do without any discussion of their facts or applicable law, or consideration of the only part of a brief that matters to any party, i.e., its "Relief requested from the court", by expediently rubberstamping "affirmed" or "denied" on the blanks of a meaningless and arbitrary fiat: a 5¢ dumping form!
13. That is how judges keep their judiciaries' conveyor belt moving along to administer to most parties only "*un*Equal Justice Under *pretense of Law*": The statistics of the federal circuit courts show that 93% of appeals are disposed of in decisions that are "procedural [mostly the catchall pretext of 'lack of jurisdiction'], unsigned, unpublished, without comment, and by consolidation [a bunch of cases slapped together]"(**OL2:455§§B, D**). But judges require all parties to pay court fees. That amounts to an offer for judicial services that is accepted through payment, thus giving rise to a contract, which judges foreseeably and thus intentionally breach due to their non-performance.
14. Language skills and the **auditing** of decisions are at the core of my statistical, linguistic, and literary **research projects**. They can be conducted at the proposed **Institute** of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy to be established under the aegis of a major university, think tank, or national news network. By helping to establish it and taking the above-proposed actions, you too can become nationally recognized by a grateful *We the People* as a Champion of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

December 12, 2022

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. Thank you for your emails. In reply to your question concerning the provision of law that prohibits balance billing by medical services and equipment providers, that is, billing the patient or insured for what [Medicare or Medicaid](#) does not cover, I furnish the following information:
2. Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the [Social Security Act](#), found in [Title 42](#) of the U.S. Code of federal laws, as modified by Section 4714 of the [Balanced Budget Act of 1997](#), prohibits Medicare providers from balance billing Medicaid QMBs [Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries] for Medicare cost-sharing. The provider must submit its bill to Medicaid and accept as full payment what Medicaid pays. The insured should complain to its health insurer, demanding that it cause the bill withdrawal.
3. Most people who have problems with balance billing try to solve them without the assistance of a lawyer...and they are *wiped out* by opposing counsel and the lawyers of Medicare, Medicaid, and their staff, including their administrative law judges, who conduct appeal hearings.
4. I am not available to answer questions for free any more than a doctor, an accountant, a restaurant owner, etc., is available to work for free. Payment in advance of a consulting fee is required.
5. Whatever you decide to do in your case, you will help yourself by reading thoroughly the [article](#) below, which I have made available for free, and joining the effort to distribute it as widely as possible. It will cost you less than 8 seconds per email to forward each email that you receive from me: All you have to do is click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**". Although all those emails have the same subject in the Re: box, each has a different set of email addresses in their respective To: box.
6. Indeed, only the national public is strong enough to force the issue of judges' [abuse](#) of power into the national debate and pressure politicians into investigating judges, lest they be voted out of, or not into, office. The public is strengthened by us [informing it of, and outraging](#) it at, judges' abuse.
7. We want [politicians, journalists](#), and media outlets to realize that it is in their own self-interest to investigate how unaccountable judges risklessly run their judiciaries as [racketeering enterprises](#). By so doing, politicians, journalists, and their outlets can win votes and Pulitzer Prizes.
8. You can join the effort to attain that strategic objective by distributing my articles([Appendix 6](#)). They center on exposing judges' abuse of power, for lawyers know that they need not be more law-abiding and ethical than judges are...they only need to keep their mouth shut about abusive and racketeering judges and follow their example and lead. Hence:
 - a. Click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**" to forward this and my other emails and articles to all those abused by judges and those interested in honest judiciaries. It is in your own interest to cause them to join forces in a national movement that shouts the self-assertive, rallying cry:
Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.
 - b. Write in up to 500 words your story of abuse by judges, Medicare, Medicaid, providers, and others. If you read and apply the [two-phase method](#), you will be surprised by how helpful that method is in writing an informative, accurate, and verifiable story that helps a [pattern of abuse](#) emerge. Send it to the address provided infra. Take action in your own interest!
 - c. Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking at [Judicial Discipline Reform](#). **DONATE** by making a deposit into, or an online transfer through the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle to, TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673; or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 23, 2022

Thinking and proceeding strategically to choose what to read; turn other abusees into informants; and inform and outrage the most resourceful and the strongest: the media and the national public‡

A. Evaluate a publisher's commercial and reputational risk and potential gains

1. I thank the Advocates of Honest Judiciaries who shared with me the article on judges that subject clerks to outbursts of insults and threats of termination. It was published by The Washington Post. Similar reputable media outlets lose substantial advertisement dollars and public esteem when they publish unverified and exaggerated news. So, they adhere to professional standards of responsible journalism. That is why I read the articles that you send me and appreciate your sending them.
2. By contrast, when the publishers are Joe Schmock and Jane Widget, who are mostly people with no training in journalism, and have nothing and, thus, nothing to lose, their articles are to be treated with great caution. Frequently, they resort to hyperbole and sensationalism to attract the attention of uncritical and uneducated readers. Investing effort and time reading them is not warranted.
3. Other people send me materials and requests that concern only their local, personal cases. However, your articles can be used for the benefit of the national public. Indeed, they already caught the attention of reputable journalists and media outlets. We need to join forces with them as they have what we lack but desperately need: the means of distributing articles nationwide. They will only use those means to advance their own commercial and professional interests, not ours. So, when we approach them, we must persuade them by arguing what they, not we, can lose or gain.

B. Judges protect each other, not their employees

4. Tenth Circuit Chief Judge Timothy Tymkovich dare take action against Ms. Garza because she was not a member of the class of real judges with a life-appointment, bound by a complicit mutual assistance agreement. She was only an employee under contract to work as a magistrate for an eight-year term. It was easy for him and his peers not to reappoint her due to her misconduct.
5. But C.J. Tymkovich did not dare take action against Then-Judge Brett Kavanaugh, though he was the subject of 83 complaints. Instead, he dismissed all of them. They included mine, no. 18-90089, against not only Judge Kavanaugh, but also against Then-Chief Judge Merrick Garland of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia District (now Attorney General).(OL2:748) My complaint was for abusively holding themselves unaccountable by dismissing 100% of complaints filed against them and their peers and colleagues in their court and the rest of their circuit.
6. Since C.J. Garland had to recuse himself from handling my complaint, it was referred to Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., who in turn referred it to Judge Tymkovich.(OL2:792) Their handling of my complaint and those of the other complainants was an exercise in public deception because it was a foregone conclusion that the complaints would be dismissed to protect fellow judges.(Id.)
7. Magistrate Garza was investigated and the findings of facts were made public. That must have infuriated her. This allows the application of the strategic thinking principle, "The enemy of my enemy is my friend". She now has a motive to apply equal protection of the law arguments to expose judges' abuse of power of which she or others were victim; and abuse that they committed for their gain and convenience; e.g.: a. information that judges received under seal or in chambers and thereafter used to buy or sell shares or real estate; b. their failure to report their participation in conferences, known as judicial junkets, to which third parties, who litigate in their courts, invited them and paid their expenses; c. their receipt of bribes by banks writing off their credit card debts.

8. In the same vein, the clerks victimized by not only other magistrates, but also by judges, must now feel encouraged to file their complaints. To that end, they can apply the employee dispute resolution rules of their court, circuit, or the Federal Judiciary. Additionally, they can publish their complaints as an exercise of their 1st Amendment right to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [by email and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including their payment of compensation]”. Even if clerks are afraid of the risk involved in publishing their complaints, they can be encouraged to share their contents with us as confidential informants.(OL2:468)
9. All Advocates can search for the email addresses and telephone numbers of Magistrate Garza, her peers, clerks, and their associations to share with them my articles. We need their interest in being vindicated and compensated to cause them to participate in turning the issue of judicial abuse of power into a key issue of the midterm elections and of the national political debate thereafter.

C. Even if you are not a lawyer, you must be fair, realistic, and think strategically

10. If you are not a lawyer, you will be hard put to write a knowledgeable and coherent brief based on the legal points in my [article](#) on moving for the recusal of one judge or [all the judges](#) of a court.
11. You may feel that the judges in your case abused their power by denying you due process and equal protection of the law or other constitutional and statutory rights. But that does not mean that, in light of the facts and the law of your case, they actually did so. A judge that denies you a right that given your limited knowledge of the law you imagine that you have but that you do not is not abusing you: He or she is only applying the law. Your opposing party is entitled to be treated "according to law". The judge is not there to protect you, regardless of the other party's rights.
12. Even if you were abused, that does not qualify you to write a competent brief that follows the rules of procedure, e.g., Federal Rules of Civil and Appellate Procedure and the Rules of Evidence in the [Appendix of 28 U.S.C.](#) These rules have been adopted practically word for word by the states.
13. Do not waste your effort, time, and sanity in a hopeless effort to improvise yourself as a lawyer. People go to law school for three years to learn the law and how to think and proceed like a lawyer. You cannot skip law school classes for three years yet pretend the [folly](#) that you are a pro se lawyer.
14. Instead, either retain an attorney and pay his/her fees or do following: Dress up to look professional and be taken seriously -appearance counts, for it gives the first impression of you-; go to your local law school and to the office of the dean of students; and ask the dean to put you in touch with an appropriate clinic: the students taking a course where under the supervision of a professor clients with real cases are served. You may be asked to pay a modest fee, but the advice and assistance that you will receive will be invaluable: Those students need to prove to themselves and their peers how good they are. Also, they are working for academic credit and must prove to the supervising professor that they deserve the highest grade possible. They work for themselves and you.
15. You may go to your local bar association and ask to be put in touch with a pro bono attorney, to wit, a lawyer who will at least hear you out, review some documents, and give you free advice.
16. Doing that shows strategic thinking. You can also proceed strategically by distributing my articles: Simply open one email at a time and click “Reply All” and “Send”. Although several emails may bear the same subject in the Re: box, each has a different set of email addresses in its respective To: box. Doing that takes less than eight seconds per email. Yet, that is how you can advance your own local, personal case as well as your own interest in informing the national public about judges' abuse of power, for only an outraged public is strong enough to force politicians to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

Dare trigger history!, and you may enter it.

October 3, 2022

Mr. Daren Batke, Chief Development Officer
Stephen M. Ross School of Business of the University of Michigan
701 Tappan Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109
Phone: (734)763-6682; batked@umich.edu; leadersandbest.umich.edu

Dear Mr. Batke,

Thank you for confirming your call to me at noon today, Monday, October 3.

I would like to propose that we begin discussing any of your questions and comments arising from my emails to Dean Sharon Matusik and you, which are [reproduced above](#).

Then we could discuss the way forward that is laid out next as a proposed agenda. With Dean Matusik's approval, the following agenda items could be implemented:

Proposed Agenda
of
The Business of Justice:
making money while doing justice

An innovative, courageous, and transformative academic way of turning professors and students into the advocates of the business and judicial interests of
We the People and the national promoters of our School and their own careers

A. Additional details of the proposal

1. Application of the principle "learning by doing" to offer next semester a multidisciplinary:
 - a. course similar to M-Track;
 - b. law clinic -a course where law students under the supervision of one or more professors represent real clients in discussions with the opposing party and in court-;
 - c. team journalism -a course where a group of journalism students learn to cover in a coordinated fashion one piece of news by some of them acting as reporters gathering information in the field and others acting as editors who actually write the article to be published or to be broadcast after others students have cut and spliced the footage filmed in the field and added artifacts such as music and animation;
 - d. computer-network examination -where IT students examine individual computers suspected of being infected by viruses and spyware, and subsequently investigate the network through which such viruses and spyware were infiltrated into those computers and information was exfiltrated to hackers-; and
2. Development of the legal strategy consisting of causes of action; research of the law to identify persuasive legal arguments; discovery and disclosure of evidence; defenses to counter the arguments of the opposing party; detection of, and notice to, potential class members to allow them to opt in or out of the class; certification of the class; and budgeting of the cost of prosecuting the class actions described in the letter.

B. Items on the agenda for developing the proposal in the near future

3. A discussion with Dean of Business Sharon Matusik via video conference or phone about the

proposal to her and the feedback to her on the conversation about it between Chief Development Officer Daren Batke and proposer Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

4. A letter advertising to Ross professors and students a presentation on the proposal in person in the Ross auditorium or via video conference; and inviting all to email in advance questions or comments to Dean Matusik, Mr. Batke, and Dr. Cordero; cf. a letter in the form of a [brochure](#). The presentation to Ross professors and students; cf. [video](#) and [slides](#)
5. Letter to the deans of the Michigan law, journalism, and Information Technology (IT) schools describing the proposal and requesting the opportunity for Dean Matusik, Mr. Batke, and Dr. Cordero to discuss it with them in their respective office
6. A letter to the law, journalism, and IT professors and students inviting them to a presentation and asking them to email their questions and comments to their respective dean and Dean Matusik, Mr. Batke, and Dr. Cordero
7. The presentation in person in their respective auditorium or via video conference; cf. [webinar](#) and [slides](#)
8. Meeting with the deans' assistants -including the professors interested in implementing the multidisciplinary *The Business of Justice* proposal- to draft its syllabus; cf. [Syllabus](#) and Public Presentation of *The Delano Case*
9. Dr. Cordero moves to Ann Arbor, MI, under contract
10. Meeting of all the deans -who may bring in their assistants- and Dr. Cordero for the deans to approve the syllabus and the class actions, and give their go-ahead for their implementation
11. In January, 2023, there begins the teaching and the preparation of any of the class actions.

C. New development: Report on Abuse in the Women's Soccer National Team

October 5, 2022

Dear Mr. Batke and Dean Matusik,

12. I would like to thank you, Mr. Batke, for having taken the time to call me and listen to my summarized proposal *The Business of Justice*: how the Ross Business School can take advantage of current business developments in the judiciary.
13. I also appreciate your having brought my proposed agenda to Dean Matusik's attention. The proposal is at [OL3:1495](#) and the agenda at [OL3:1533*](#) above.
14. I would like to encourage you to reconsider your stance on them in light of yet another business opportunity that presents itself to Dean Matusik and the Business School in the wake of an event that lays the foundation for yet another development in the judiciary with a profitable prospect.
15. Indeed, last night, October 4, it was announced in the PBS NewsHour show that:

A yearlong independent investigation into the Women's Soccer National League found systemic patterns of emotional abuse and sexual misconduct and that the league as well as the U.S. Soccer Federation failed to address players' complaints for years....The [investigation] report lays out in disturbing detail the misconduct of three past coaches [and its disregard by league officials]. The investigation was led by former Deputy Attorney General Sally Yates [who] conducted over 200 interviews, and

that includes [over 100](#) former and current players[,who] are asking for accountability and the taking of responsibility for what's happened in the past. [Abuse in women's soccer prompts calls for change Media; NewsHour; October 22, 2022.](#)

16. This piece of news should be put in the context of what I referred to in my proposal, namely, that 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8, for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty.
17. In the same vein and involving non-governmental entities:

Michigan State University, which was also accused of missing chances over many years to stop Nassar, agreed to [pay \\$500 million](#) to more than 300 women and girls who were assaulted by Nassar. USA Gymnastics and the U.S. Olympic and Paralympic Committee made a \$380 million settlement. [Simone Biles, Aly Raisman suing FBI over Larry Nassar investigation - CBS Boston \(cbsnews.com\); June 8, 2022.](#)
18. How would the School fare if thanks to your and Dean Matusik's business acumen and savvy you were to participate in the business aspect of representing in a class action the more than 100 soccer players that were abused physically, emotionally, and/or professionally by coaches and the league officials who covered for them and disregarded the players' complaints?
19. The School would stand to gain a share of one third of the recovery, possibly multiplied by three, i.e., treble damages, as well as attorneys' fees. No other single initiative taken by a school dean could produce a ROI that would come even remotely close to the ROI resulting from participation in a class action instituted by the soccer players and the other proposed class actions by offering a hands-on academic course on their common and particular business aspects.
20. As indicated in my proposal, there are similar cases that support the reasonable expectation of very large ROIs and whose prosecution calls for business expertise. Any accommodation that you might have to make in your curriculum or elsewhere would be worth it. ["Paris is Worth a Mass"](#)
21. The academic course can include subjects as challenging as the following, which decidedly require business expertise to tackle:
 - a. the formation and management of a team of alpha school deans and professors and their assistants by persuading them that they can advance their individual and institutional interests jointly by pursuing common interests through the proposed class actions;
 - b. the application of statistics and game theory to determine the probability of winning the class action and the recovery size, and the investment by each participant that they warrant;
 - c. the development of an innovative protocol for ascertaining the 'economic value' of physical, emotional, and professional abuse in order to establish the just compensation for each player;
 - d. the drawing up of a schedule for distributing the recovery among those prosecuting the class action given their respective amount of manpower invested, and the importance and uniqueness of their work as a function of the collective effort.
22. I trust that you recognize the wisdom of the business saying, "Seize a business opportunity when it presents itself, not when you have time for it...your competitors will not wait that long". Therefore, I respectfully request the opportunity to present to you my proposal and its agenda.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

October 10, 2022

**Without a strategy to sidestep the in-court process of justice
you and millions of other people abused by judges
remain in the same place of abuse, that is,
courts run by unaccountable judges,
whose abuse is riskless and beneficial to them.**

This is the most opportune time to join in implementing
the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy[‡]

A. Whining is not effective; being an abusee is not a competence qualification

1. Whining about how abusive judges are is so easy but leads nowhere.
2. Helping individuals with cases in court does not change the system of justice, never mind judges' unaccountability. More than 50 million cases are filed in the state and federal courts every year.
3. My articles lay out a concrete, realistic, and feasible [strategy](#) for [informing](#) the national public, in general, and journalists, in particular, about, and so intensely outrage them at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power as to cause them to force politicians to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
4. The objective is to expose coordinated and individual abuse of power by judges; obtain [compensation](#) from them and their judiciaries; and bring about transformative change in the system of justice.
5. Hence, I respectfully encourage all Advocates of Honest Judiciaries participate in sharing my emailed articles by easily clicking "Reply All" and "Send". Doing that takes less than eight seconds per email. Although you may receive several from me bearing the same subject in the Re: box, each one has a different set of emails in the To: box. You may also post them to social media.

B. The need to think out a strategy for effective action

6. Without a strategy to escape the current situation of being abused by judges who disregard the facts, the law, and their duty of fairness and impartiality, and arrive at a situation in accordance with the procedural and substantive requirements of due process of law, your actions are reduced to a cry of pain and uncritical, wishful thinking.
7. You make Einstein's aphorism applicable to you: "Doing the same thing while expecting a different result is the hallmark of irrationality". This is so because your actions show ignorance or disregard of a fundamental law of the physical and the human worlds: cause and effect.
8. Judges are not going to give up their abusively-gotten gains and convenience, let alone incriminate their fellow judges and thereby end up being incriminated themselves, simply because you ask them to do so in yet another brief.
9. Expecting to stop judges' abuse by promoting the adoption of another law is irrational due to its inherent self-contradiction: That law would have to be applied by the same judges that you criticize for disregarding the laws in your and all other abusees' cases. The judges will disregard the new law. You will remain in the same abusive courts where you were. Same cause, same effect.
10. Uncritical, anything-goes thinking is typical of pro ses. But educated people should not indulge in it. Half-baked ideas make for a shrill rant, but they are no substitute for a strategy of concrete,

reasonable, and feasible actions.

11. Whining while marching to the judges' procedural drum beat does not get you or the other abusees out of your present predicament. Your only-in-court actions are wasteful of your and everybody else's effort, time, and money. Everybody ends up being frustrated, exhausted, hopeless.
12. Hence, give yourself the opportunity to consider the hereunder described out-of-court strategy for informing the national public of, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power. To that end, *read this article and those supra and infra, re-read them, and read them again* until you understand how they articulate current facts, people's interests, and common sense enhanced by the craftiness of the street wise.
 - a. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Acquire both by reading the article and its references to my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. It is titled and downloadable thus:
Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣
 - b. visit my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. I have posted some of my articles there and they have attracted so many webvisitors and caused them to react so positively that as of 10 October 2022, the number of them who had become subscribers was 45,086 and counting.
13. This is the most propitious time to join the implementation of the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy, when, as discussed below:
 - a. top media outlets have dare expose state judges and even federal judges, who are appointed for life and can wield retaliatory power for a very long time;
 - b. politicians, whether principled or opportunistic, need to appear responsive to the public's outrage because they need its donations, volunteer work, and positive word of mouth to run their primaries and mid-term campaigns; and
 - c. the reversal of *Roe v. Wade*; the fear that the Supreme Court will reverse other landmark civil rights cases; and the nomination and confirmation of a justice are events that have focused national attention on all things judicial.
14. Be strategic! Oppose brains to judges' power. Join the effort to make the article go viral: whereby you can set in motion:
 - a. a generalized media investigation of judges and their judiciaries; and
 - b. a national, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, compensation of abusees, and reform through transformative reform.
15. To that end, post this article to social media, such as:
Facebook, Youtube, WhatsApp, LinkedIn, Instagram,
Google plus, Pinterest, Reddit, Snapchat, and
Tweet: You can take action to expose judges and their judiciaries for abusing their power to deny your rights for their own gain and convenience, and hold them accountable and liable to compensation; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf
16. When you receive by email one of my articles, click "Reply All" and "SEND". Emails may have

*http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

the same subject in the Re: box, but each has a different set of email addresses in the To: box.

17. There is no need to whine when you can *Take action!*

C. Instead of recounting the long abuse suffered, only highlight concisely in 500 words its most outrageous details

18. Many pro ses do not read in preparation for defending their rights. How many documents have they failed to read before signing them, writing a brief, or going to court?
19. Judges and opposing counsel realize that in less than a nanosecond, which reassures them that they can abuse non-readers risklessly because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER, and ignorance invites abuse.
20. Whenever one is lazy, the abuse one gets, *one had it coming!*
21. Whining is no substitute for willingness to do one's homework. If you are not willing to work in your own defense, why should judges care about you and stop looking after their own interests?
22. The [two-step method](#) for writing in up to 500 words your story of abuse is intended to make it easy for you to produce a story that only highlights the most outrageous abuse and provides accurate and significant data that induces journalists to verify it. Those 500 words are as much as judges are going to read. That is all journalists need to decide whether to investigate your story.
23. You are not being asked to tell in only 500 words the abuse that you have suffered for many years! Only tell what is necessary to convince the reader that you have story. The rest is in your references accessible through [blue links](#), similar to those provided here. *Less is more*: fewer words that inform and outrage effectively increase their chance of being read.
24. Let's think and proceed strategically: We must not miss the opportunity to provide my articles and your stories to national news organizations, such as:
 - a. *The Wall Street Journal* ([¶33 below](#));
 - b. the parties to *Strickland v. U.S.* establishing that judges can be held accountable and liable;
 - c. the 90 Olympic gymnasts suing the FBI for [over \\$1 bl.](#) and those likewise sexually harassed on the Women's Soccer National Team who may sue for compensation ([OL3:1533](#)).
25. We want to provide the public as well as journalists and media outlets evidence that it is in their own commercial and reputational interest to keep investigating judges' abuse of power and [financial criminality](#), and for them and us to do so more effectively by joining forces.
26. That is why we want my articles and 500-word stories to go viral: The more journalists and Advocates receive them, the greater their interest in investigating a subject that has proved its broad [public appeal](#). They must feel that they can get scoops out of the subject and win Pulitzer Prizes.
27. Thus, share this and my similar articles as widely as possible: click "Reply All" and "Send". Share the articles with all your friends, family, and colleagues, and post them to social media.
28. We are preparing the ground for a major initiative that will be undertaken at the appropriate time, which will come around after adequate financing has been secured. [Learn about it](#). Reading is an indispensable step toward knowledgeable and thoughtfully crafting strategy that is reasonably calculated to inform, outrage, and be effective...then *Take action!*

D. Contacting an organization to give rather than to ask for information

29. You need not have any special qualification to address any private or public organization to express

interest in its activities and request additional information.

30. In any event, to contact an organization that defends the interests of women, who are the most frequent victims of sexual harassment, such as "Law Clerks for Workplace Accountability," you satisfy a key qualification if you are a women; if you are not, you express your commitment to exposing any form of abuse by judges and their judiciary.
31. If the organization declines your request, you argue why it is in its own interest to provide you with additional information.
32. However, you need not *ask* for any information. On the contrary, you can make an offer, that is, of the assistance of yourself and other like-minded people. **Bring** to its attention my website and my study, which shows how readers' benefit from exposing judges' abuse of power even if they have not had and do not currently have a case in court: I argue what is in the readers' interest.
33. Indeed, my study and website collect and discuss abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability to risklessly abuse power individually and as a **coordinated class** for their **gain** and convenience. Judges are further protected connivingly by the **politicians** who put them in office, and for whom judges become '*our* men and women on the bench'.
 - a. The most recent and indisputable evidence of unaccountable judges' abuse of power is found in the **series** of articles that *The Wall Street Journal* began to publish on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "131 [now 152] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest". At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their **clerks** of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases.
 - b. However, to date, not a single of those judges has been investigated, subjected to disciplinary measures, let alone referred for impeachment, or forced to **disgorge** the gains that they made by resolving in their favor their **conflict** of interests.
 - c. The most powerful protector of judges is former Chief Judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and current Attorney General Merrick **Garland**. He will not dare investigate other judges for fear that in plea bargaining they trade up to 'a bigger fish': him. Judges have etched on their forefront a threatening warning that is a self-interested deterrent from exposing their peers, thus **immunizing** them while failing their own **institutional and legal duty**(OL3:1248¶11.a) to safeguard the integrity of judicial process:

I and my friends know about all our wrongdoings that you covered up as an accessory as well as those that you committed as a principal for your own gain and convenience. If you bring me down, *I'll take you with me!*
34. Tell the organization that we are organizing current and former law and court clerks and judges so that together with **journalists**, **professors** and **students**, they can expose openly or **confidentially** the **abuse** by judges that they have witnessed or suffered, whereby they can gain standing to join as plaintiffs a class action against judges and their judiciaries seeking compensation(¶24 above).
35. Share with it my contact information and my emails; and share with me their information. Share with any organization information about how judges exonerate themselves from all accountability and attendant liability by dismissing 100% of complaints against them **-including mine-** and denying 100% of petitions to review of those dismissals. That statement is based on the **official statistics** of the U.S. courts filed with Congress in the Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts(28 U.S.C. §604(a)(3) & (4)). So, keep reading and sharing. *Take action!*

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

September 29, 2022

John Bonina, Esq.
Bonina & Bonina, P.C.
32 Court Street, Suite 1700
Brooklyn, NY 11201
jbonina@medlaw1.com
tel. (718)522-1786; (888)MedLaw1

Jennifer L. Fay, Esq.
Faraci Lange, LLP
1882 South Winton Road, Suite 1
Rochester, NY 14618
tel. (888)997-4110
<https://www.faraci.com/contact-us>

1. I attended your informative Medical Malpractice webinar yesterday in the NYSATL annual update series. I appreciated your answer to my question about the liability of Medicare and an HMO.
2. In that vein, this is a proposal for you together with your recommended knowledgeable and financially capable law firms, and me, to bring a class action against Medicare and HMO EmblemHealth for fraud, racketeering under RICO, false advertisement, etc., arising from the **facts** that led to an ALJ video conference hearing last August 8; the hearing itself; and the ALJ's abuse of power and deception in the self-interest of depriving me of a copy of the video, which is the key exhibit of my **motion** for his recusal or disqualification by the Medicare Appeals Board.
3. The potential and reputational rewards of bringing a class action against these defendants are considerable.
 - a. Medicare administers a budget of \$100s of billion for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds.
 - b. EmblemHealth is a multi-billion company with more than 3 million members.
 - c. Maximus Federal Services would be another notable defendant: A qualified independent contractor -also known as an independent review entity-, Maximus is one of the largest providers of "government health and human services programs in the United States, United Kingdom, Canada, Australia and Saudi Arabia".
4. These defendants have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they:
 - a. deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds' claims as possible;
 - b. disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare's schedules of fees for services; and
 - c. condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance.
5. The majority of insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused.
6. It follows that the recovery can be huge.
7. In addition, the class action can be compensated with a moral reward of considerable value: to help the hundreds of thousands of people who are directly and indirectly -think of the intended enlarged pool of beneficiaries of the Wrongful Death Grieving Families Act- abused by huge companies against which they do not stand a chance of prevailing even after investing an enormous amount of effort and time, and suffering pervasive anguish.
8. The proposed class action can set in motion transformative change that progressively benefits tens

of millions of people affected by Medicare and the HMOs, and even the state Medicaid entities.

9. The class action can expect to count on a sympathetic jury. Indeed, since the advent of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and for racial and socio-economic equality the national public has become self-assertive, as expressed in its rallying cry:

Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.

10. Developments in the judiciary support a favorable expectation too:

- a. In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S.*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held last April 26 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers, including judges, can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable in their official and individual capacities.
- b. Last 8 June, 90 gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#), for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty.
- c. Pennsylvania state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay victims [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages.

11. As to my qualifications to be part of the prosecuting team, you can review the quality of the work that I have performed so far, accessible through the blue links in the second paragraph above.

12. Moreover, my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking are attested to by the articles that I have posted to my website **Judicial Discipline Reform** at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of September 29, 2022, it has motivated 44,960 of them to become subscribers.

- a. How many law firms, never mind individual lawyers, do you know that have a website with so many subscribers?
- b. Those subscribers constitute a readily accessible pool of mostly professionals willing to read intellectually demanding articles written in long form, as opposed to comments blurted in one-paragraph blogs. Hence, they may reasonably be deemed influencers who in turn have access to many potential class members.

13. This class action can become a test case that allows us to gain the necessary expertise for suing other big entities that abuse large numbers of people. Many of the latter have neither the necessary knowledge or education to fight back nor the incentive to do so because the cost of litigation would surpass their means and the potential recovery, and the litigation would only unbearably aggravate the anguish that they are already suffering.

14. It is pertinent to state that I have developed three other such cases to a considerable degree. As a result, you may read with interest my academic proposal to business, law, journalism, and Information Technology schools for a multidisciplinary course and clinic that would attract idealistic [students](#) as well as [professors](#) in quest of making a national name: "*The Business of Justice*".

15. Therefore, I respectfully request that you contact me to discuss this proposal on the phone or by video conference. Even if you were not inclined to participate in the proposed class action, I would be most grateful if you would refer me to law firms that you trust for their knowledge and financial capability and, of course, their reasonable and ethical conduct. I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

November 7, 2022

**Proposal to cybersecurity experts
to conduct a forensic examination of email accounts and websites to
determine whether judges intercept their critics' communications
to cover up their abuse of power and remain unaccountable**

An opportunity to become nationally recognized as
Expert Champions of Justice

Dear Mr. DS and Cybersecurity experts,

I appreciated the information that you furnished during your NYSATL webinar on Cybersecurity. The first impression that you provided of yourself was one of knowledge and competence. In addition, you encouraged attendees to contact you by email with their questions. This is mine:

QUESTION: Can you either alone or with other cybersecurity experts conduct a forensic investigation to determine whether emails and websites of critics of judges' abuse of power have been hacked to intercept their communications and prevent their exposure of judges?

The information provided hereunder will enable you to determine whether you have the means of conducting such investigation; have no conflict of interests that would prevent you from doing so; and have every interest in investigating given the professional and financial benefits that you can secure for yourself by scooping a scandal that will shake public trust in judges and their administration of judges. "There is no glory without risk" and there is precedent for such glory.

A. Why would anybody want to hack my emails and website

1. I hold a Ph.D. in law and engage in professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking on the subject of my three-volume study titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting** * † ♣

2. I post some of my articles to my website, Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. So many people visit my website and appreciate my articles that as of 31 October 2023, the number of webvisitors that had become subscribers was 49,082.

- a. How many law firms, let alone individual lawyers, do you know who have a website that has attracted so many subscribers?

3. The subject of my study and articles, and the public appeal of my website give probable cause to believe that those who have the strongest motive to intercept my emails, website, and mail are judges. They have the most to lose and nothing to gain from being exposed as abusers of powers. Hence their interception of communications to and from me to stop the spread of my writings and prevent readers from contacting me to request my assistance in opposing their judicial abusers or join forces with me to expose their abuse.

B. The evidence of interception of communications to and from me

4. The evidence of interception is laid out in detail my statistical analysis at [OL2:929](#). It includes this:

5. I have an emailing list with more than 20,000 email addresses. Among them are thousands of [journalists](#), lawyers, law and journalism [professors and students](#), and victims of judges' abuse. Some victims were so grateful for my articles that they donated to my website more than once. Yet, not even them or any of the others reply to my emails anymore.
6. By contrast, I receive automatic acknowledgements of receipt of emails indicating that my email was deleted without being read. It is simply not possible that in a country not only as divided, but also as polarized as ours everybody agrees not to communicate with me despite the fact that by so doing and joining forces with me they can advance their own interest.
7. I used WordPress to build my website. It would send me automatic notice of an average of 90 new subscribers daily. Now the number has dwindled to a single digit...and even to zero...precisely when ever more people:
 - a. doubt the Supreme Court's commitment to being apolitical, respecting long-standing precedent, and protecting civil and voting rights;
 - b. criticize the lower courts for the way they decided cases against their political leaders; and
 - c. distrust all government authorities to the point of defying the system that put them in place: democracy itself.
8. The national mood is one of ever stronger intolerance of any form of abuse. That mood constitutes the self-reinforcing cause and effect of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements; the demonstrations against police brutality and socio-economic inequalities; and the resistance to Covid health restrictions and requirements.
9. That mood makes it reasonable to expect that the national public would be increasingly more ready to believe every comment accusing judges of abuse of power. Accordingly, it makes it impossible for practically 100% of the addressees of my emails to be so indifferent to them as to not acknowledge their receipt, let alone express interest in their contents. The "totality of circumstances" give rise to probable cause to believe that such lack of reply to my emails and decreased response to my articles are the result of interception, not of coincidence.
10. Judges' self-preservation motive for intercepting is strengthened by their [self-enrichment](#) motive for securing gains and convenience for themselves. Their means of enacting their motives is their power to decide over people's property, liberty, and the rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identity. They can apply their means long-term and risklessly because they have a life-appointment and the power to wreak devastating retaliation([Lsch:17§C](#)) on politicians that merely appear to disrespect them([OL2:546](#)), never mind hint at investigating complaints against them. Judges' means of abuse is their unaccountable, "absolute power, which corrupts absolutely".
11. Judges' opportunity to abuse their power arises in the cases tried or argued before them. This has been exposed by as prestigious a publisher as *The Wall Street Journal*. It has been publishing a [series](#) of articles that began with the one on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "131 [\[now 152\]](#) Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest".
 - a. At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their [clerks](#) of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases.
 - b. Yet, not a single of those judges has been investigated, not even censured, let alone referred for impeachment by the House and removal by the Senate. Federal judges are as a matter of fact immune to [criminal prosecution](#) and irremovable...but perhaps not for much longer.

12. That may be the consequence of *Strickland v. U.S.*, [the Judicial Conference of the U.S.](#), [the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts](#), etc., a civil case. Plaintiff Caryn Strickland exposed outrageous law-breaking instances by federal judges, so much so that she caused the unprecedented: the chief judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) had to recuse himself and then all the other judges, that is, "the bench", of the Court had to recuse themselves too. The three judges needed to form an appellate panel had to be seated by designation from other circuits.
13. The panel held unanimously that the Federal Judiciary itself as well as its officers, including judges in their official and individual capacities, can on constitutional grounds be held accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.

C. The means of abuse: their national computer network and ‘their’ A.G. Garland

14. In addition to their power to decide cases and retaliate as means of abuse, federal judges have the material means to violate the law prohibiting the [interception](#) of electronic communications([18 U.S.C. \[Federal Criminal Code\] §2511](#)). The Judiciary runs one of the largest national computer networks and has the vast IT expertise necessary to do so. It handles daily the filing, retrieval, and storage of hundreds of millions of briefs, motions, applications, evidentiary records, reports, orders, decisions, dockets, calendars, etc., through its Public Access to Court Electronic Records ([PACER](#)) system. They grant 100% of secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act([50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c](#)). What is their quid pro quo?
15. Currently, federal judges are emboldened to violate the law because they have the most powerful protector that they could wish for: The entity that can prosecute them, the U.S. Department of Justice (DoJ), is headed by none other than U.S. Attorney General Merrick Garland, the former chief judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, the most important court after the Supreme Court.
 - a. He served as a judge for so long that he became the most senior judge of his court and as such the chief judge for seven years.
 - b. During his decades as judge, he broke the law as principal and/or as accessory by allowing others to break it individually and collective in schemes so complex that judges have to coordinate their acts, such as to:
 - a. run the [bankruptcy fraud scheme](#);
 - b. cause peers and court clerks to disregard the random assignation of cases in order to ensure that cases in which they have a financial interest are assigned to them;
 - c. manipulate the dates of entry of documents into the docket, thus justifying their finding that a party missed or complied with a filing deadline;
 - d. delete documents from the docket or never enter them into it;
 - e. trade on information submitted by parties under seal or disclosed in chambers, especially after excluding the court reporter;
 - f. reviewing court reporters’ transcripts before they are released so as to alter them to conceal judges’ and parties’ illegal acts or support judges’ arbitrary and capricious orders and decisions;
 - g. use the Judiciary’s computer network and expertise to move ill-gotten money around, e.g., to off-shore accounts as well as accounts in states where their bank secrecy laws conceal

accounts from the authorities as much as off-shore ones do;

h. decide cases whose papers they lack the time to read, demonstrated by [The math of abuse](#).

16. Now AG Garland cannot authorize an investigation of his former peers and colleagues without risking the exposure by investigators of his own law breaking as principal or accessory. Nor can he risk his former peers and colleagues trading up in plea bargain whereby in exchange for leniency they reveal to prosecutors what they know about “a bigger fish”: the AG himself.
17. How far DoJ is willing to go to thwart, rather than enforce, justice is illustrated by its handling of the case of former CBS and award-winning reporter Sharyl Attkisson. She noticed suspicious behaviors in her office and home computers.
18. So, reporter Attkisson and CBS hired three independent Information Technology (IT) experts to conduct a forensic examination of her computers. They found in them digital dust that included an email address that belonged to DoJ and allowed of the conclusion that the computers had been hacked by DoJ to roam through them and exfiltrate information without corrupting or deleting any file.
 - a. DoJ had ample motive to spy on reporter Attkisson’s computers to find out ‘the lay of her investigation’: It wanted to eavesdrop on her two most embarrassing stories for it and the rest of the Obama administration. One was how DoJ had allowed Islamic militants to kill the U.S. ambassador to Libya and his aides at Benghazi.
 - b. Reporter Attkisson broke the other story: the Fast and Furious operation of DoJ’s Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearm that sold assault weapons to criminals in order to track their journey to Mexican drug lords. It was a bungled gunrunning operation that made it possible for one of those weapons to be used by criminals to kill an American border patrol guard. Her story prompted a congressional investigation.
 - c. The investigators subpoenaed documents from DoJ, but what Then-Attorney General Eric Holder brought himself before the investigating committee was documents with pages blacked out even in their entirety. As a result, the whole Congress voted to hold AG Holder in contempt of Congress, the first time in history that it so held a sitting member of the President’s cabinet. Having lost the trust of Congress, AG had to resign.
 - d. The cover-up by DoJ did not stop there. On the contrary, former CBS reporter Attkisson, represented by the lawyers of the government watchdog [Judicial Watch](#) in Washington, D.C., sued DoJ for \$35 million. So much did DoJ oppose her every discovery demands and motions that she described its misconduct in a book that she titled “Stonewalled”, which became a bestseller.

D. The exposure of the interceptors falls on cybersecurity experts and can reward them as agents of transformative change

19. Your Cybersecurity materials provide information on the FBI’s Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3) and its Recovery Asset Team (RAT). But in light of the above, it is not reasonable to expect the DoJ or its FBI to participate in exposing a scandal in the administration of justice:
 - a. How federal judges abuse their power to deprive Americans of their most cherished rights: those guaranteed by the 1st Amendment to the [Constitution](#) to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to assemble [through the Internet and on social media too], and to petition the Government [of which judges are the third branch] for a

redress of grievances [including through the payment of [compensation](#)]"

20. Exposing judges' motive, means, and opportunity to abuse power and their actual abuse by breaching cybersecurity to intercept the emails and mail of their critics falls to courageous and principled people interested in doing something truly significant for the common good...and thus for themselves. While risky, the 'glory' for so doing comes in many appealing forms:
- a. webinars and a tour of paid presentations;
 - b. appearance at a press conference to enhance your public profile and name recognition;
 - b. a stream of new clients seeking protection from judges and evidence of having been hacked by them to sue for compensation, e.g., for violation of constitutional, commercial, and privacy rights, among others;
 - c. a franchise that offers expertise and specialized software for cybersecurity experts to provide such protection and evidence;
 - d. covers on every magazine depicting you to highlight your significant impact on judges and their administration of justice;
 - e. the nomination as TIME Experts of the Year;
 - f. a blockbuster movie where you are played by a List A actor or actress; e.g., think of "All the President's Men", the Oscar-winning movie starring Robert Redford and Dustin Hoffman; and "Erin Brockovich", starring Julia Roberts; since that Oscar-winning film, 'Brockovich has become a media personality, hosting the TV series "Challenge America with Erin Brockovich" on ABC and "Final Justice" on Zone Reality';
 - g. a bestseller and a book signing tour;
 - h. a Pulitzer Prize;
 - i. money;
 - 1) The Catholic Church is estimated to have had to pay more than \$4 billion to the victims of its pedophilic priests and its own cover-up of their crimes.
 - 2) Imagine the fees that a team of lawyers and cybersecurity experts could earn even on a contingency basis by suing those liable as principals and accessories for having intercepted their clients' communications, to wit, the Federal Judiciary, judges in their official and individual capacities, the Department of Justice, the [FBI](#) -which was sued by 90 Olympic gymnasts for more than \$1 billion for its dereliction of duty and cover-up of its agents' wrongful handling of their complaints about sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar's abuse-, etc.
 - 3) Those bringing [racketeering](#) counts in a civil suit under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations(18 U.S.C. §1961) can recover treble damages and attorneys' fees.
 - j. the most enduring and valuable reward, a moral one, that is, a name for the history books.
 - 1) Your name will become even more historically significant than those of *Washington Post* reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein, who were instrumental in forcing the resignation of President Nixon on August 8, 1974, due to his participation in the Watergate scandal, which sent "*All the President's Men*" to prison.

- 2) The stakes here are higher: Exposing judges to be running the Federal Judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#) protected by politicians afraid of their retaliatory power. The constitutional and public trust crisis that such exposure can bring about can lead to a transformative change in the administration of justice. *We the People* could demand the end of judicial life-appointments. To that end, *the People* could demand the constitutional convention that 34 states have petitioned Congress to call since April 2, 2014, thus fulfilling the requirement of Article V of the Constitution to amend it... but the congressional leadership will not call it, lest it lose its power and privileges.
- 3) A runaway convention can toss away the current constitution, just as the representatives of the 13 newly independent states convened to revise and amend the Articles of Confederation cast them aside and wrote a new constitution in 1789. That was 233 years ago. The world for which they wrote it ceased to exist a long time ago. Over its corpse, unelected judges and justices, like ventriloquists, make that constitution say whatever they want.
- 4) A [new constitution](#) can reflect the needs of today's world and the mood of today's national public. The latter's self-assertive rallying cry is:

Enough is enough!

We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.

21. Thanks to your bold and visionary cybersecurity undertaking you can be instrumental in establishing as a reality that *the People* are the Masters of all political power in a democracy and as such entitled to hold all their servants, including judicial public servants, accountable and liable because "Nobody is Above *the People*". Not even the men of God are immune from liability([¶20.i supra](#)).
22. I offer to make a presentation to you and your colleagues via video conference and, if here in NY City, in person. Hence, you may share this email with others to invite them to the presentation.

**E. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

23. Lip service advances nothing; but it continues to enable the abusers.

**Put your money where
your outrage at abuse and
quest for justice are.**

24. Support the professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking at:

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

DONATE

by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account

to TD Bank account # 43 92 62 52 45, routing # 260 13 673;
or Citi Bank account # 4977 59 2001, routing # 021 000 089.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

December 16, 2022

**Outline of the proposal for IT and law experts, and journalists
to investigate judges' interception of people's email
and financial criminality,
and offer to make a presentation thereon[‡]**

Dear Mr. James and fellow IT experts,

1. Thank you for your reply and your offer of your IT services to implement my proposal to investigate how federal judges and their Federal Judiciary intercept people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. That is illegal under **18 U.S.C.** [Federal Criminal Code] §2511.
2. Your services can be most effective in the context of a strategy well thought out. My proposed strategy rests on a solid foundation:
 - a. Evidence of judges' interception of people's communications is presented in detail in my statistical analysis at [OL2:929](#).
 - b. Leads for the investigation are collected at [OL:190§E](#).
 - c. Federal judges have the means of intercepting communications given that their Judiciary runs one of the largest national computer networks and has the necessary expertise therefor. It has hundreds of courthouses [located](#) in 13 circuits [and](#) 94 districts throughout the U.S., where there are 94 district and 90 bankruptcy courts, with some courts having seats in different cities. Through its Public Access to Court Electronic Records ([PACER](#)) system and the [Administrative Office](#) of the U.S. Courts, the Federal Judiciary handle daily the filing, storage, and retrieval of hundreds of millions of [records](#), briefs, motions, applications, reports, dockets, calendars, orders, decisions, certificates, [statistics](#), etc.

A. The strategy for conducting the investigation

3. The first strategic step is for you to read [the article](#) below and what I have already written on the subject. Knowing what I have discovered and exposed so far, you will be in a position to benefit from the saying: KNOWLEDGE IS POWER.

1. The presentation to IT experts and their associations and schools

4. From that position of power, you will be able to approach other IT experts and members of any IT association to which you have direct access as a member or indirect access through a peer of yours who is a member to organize a presentation to you and them by me either via video conference, or if here in New York City, in person.
5. It is necessary to make a series of presentations to IT experts until we can form a team of those willing to investigate judges' interception of people's emails and mail to detect and suppress their critics'. The experts may encourage their associations and IT schools where they may teach or of which they are alumni to hold similar presentations so as to gain their support for the investigation. Presentations at schools are important because our IT investigation will be more effective and efficient if it is conducted as a multidisciplinary exercise involving also professors of law, journalism, business, fraud and forensic accounting, and statistics. The participation of students will be invaluable, for they are idealistic, hardworking, and have so much to prove to themselves and to the job recruiters to whom they will describe their participation in a pioneering investigation.

- a. An encouraging precedent for the investigation is the case of former [CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson](#). She noticed strange behaviors on her office and home computers when she was embarrassing the Obama administration and the Department of Justice (DoJ) with her reporting on the ill-conceived and badly implemented Fast and Furious gunrunning operation of the DoJ Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives, which allowed the sale of firearms, including assault rifles, to fraudulent buyers in order to track their journey to Mexican drug gangs, leading to one of those rifles being used to kill an American border patrol; and the killing of the American ambassador and his aides at Benghazi, Libya.
- b. Her reporting set in motion congressional hearings; the holding of Attorney General Eric Holder in contempt of Congress for producing documents with pages entirely blacked out, which for the first time in history so held a sitting member of the cabinet; and his resignation.
- c. Meantime, reporter Attkisson and CBS brought in three independent IT experts to examine her computers. They discovered digital dust that they tracked down to an email account under DoJ's control. Reporter Attkisson, [represented by Watch](#) in Washington, DC, is suing DoJ for \$35 million. Her account of that suit is found in her bestseller *Stonewalled*.

2. The presentation to journalists at a press conference

6. The IT team will conduct its investigation until it has made findings significant enough for the next step: their presentation to journalists at a well-planned and advertised press conference.
7. Journalists and their media outlets have the means of reaching the national public. That is essential for implementing the strategy of [informing and outraging](#) the national public at judges' interception of communications and other forms of their [abuse of power](#) that the investigation will discover, e.g., their financial criminality discussed below.

3. The importance of informing and outraging the national public

8. An outraged national public is the only entity strong enough to cause judges' self-interested interception of people's communications to become a national political issue. The public is in a position of strength because the electoral campaign for the 2024 presidential primaries and general election has already started. Consequently, the public has what politicians need indispensably: donations, campaign volunteer work, and positive word of mouth and answers to opinion polls. In their own interest, politicians must at least pretend to be sensitive and responsive to the issues that outrage the public.
9. Hence, journalists will keep pursuing the issue with their own investigations, for "Scandal sells" and can lead to winning Pulitzer Prizes. Those are potent commercial and professional motivators.
10. This means that journalists and the public stand in a mutually reinforcing relationship. We want to spark that relationship when we present the IT findings at the press conference. The more informative, verifiable, and professional our presentation is, the more journalists will resort to us to assist them in verifying and further pursuing the technical IT and legal aspects of their investigations.
11. We want to develop a trust relationship with journalists and their media outlets. Journalists can help us gain access to, and the trust of, the public and thereby national recognition for our highly expert and professional work.
12. That is how the public can be convinced that their outrage at judges is justified by their violation of the rights most cherished by *We the People*, namely, those guaranteed by the [1st Amendment](#) to the [Constitution](#) to "freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people peaceably to

assemble [on the [Internet](#) too], and to petition the Government [of which judges form the third branch] for a redress of grievances [by holding them accountable and liable to [compensation](#)]”.

B. The investigation targets: critics’ computers; judges’ financial reports; and the FBI’s judicial vetting reports

13. The IT investigation can begin with the examination of the computers of critics of judges and their judiciaries. Many are among the more than 20,000 addressees on my emailing list.

1. Using judges’ financial reports to *Follow the money and the wire!*

14. Thereafter or in parallel with it, our IT investigation will be guided by two investigative methods: *Follow the money!*([jur:102§a](#)) and *Follow the wire!*([jur:105§b](#)).

- a. The precedent for this investigation is extraordinarily reliable: The [series](#) of articles published by *The Wall Street Journal* under the [initial title](#) “131 [now 152] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”.
- b. At last count, 58 of those judges had instructed their [clerks](#) of court to notify the parties to those cases that those judges should have recused themselves then, have done so now, and new judges will be assigned to their cases.
- c. Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., in his “[2021 Year-End Report](#) on the Federal Judiciary” considered the “matter of financial disclosure and recusal obligations” exposed by *The Wall Street Journal* so important that it was the first one that he discussed of the three issues that he said “will receive focused attention from the Judicial Conference and its committees in the coming months...Let me be crystal clear: the Judiciary takes this matter seriously. We expect judges to adhere to the highest standards, and those judges violated an ethics rule”. The Judicial Conference of the U.S. is the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary. It is presided over by the Chief Justice and includes the chief judges of the 13 circuit courts and those of the two national courts.
- d. But [not a single one](#) of those judges has been investigated, not even censured, let alone referred to the House for impeachment and to the Senate for removal. Yet, ill-gotten money needs to be covered up through concealment of assets, tax evasion, and money laundering.

15. Federal judges are as a matter of fact immune to [criminal prosecution](#) and irremovable because they protect themselves through systemic exonerations([OL:10-14](#); [OL2:548](#); [748](#); [1176](#)). They would end up incriminating themselves if they allowed an investigation that pursued one threatening question:

What did the Chief Justice know about his peers’ financial criminality and when did he know it?

16. The target of the investigation will be broadened to include all the Supreme Court justices ([jur:65§§1-4](#)) and the chief judges of the 13 federal judicial circuits, including former Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and current Attorney General [Merrick Garland](#). The latter has a personal interest in not allowing DoJ to investigate his former peers.

17. In addition to journalistic reports on judges’ criminality, another source of leads for our IT investigation is the judges’ mandatory annual [financial disclosure reports](#), available at the Judicial Watch website. Those reports are perfunctory and their information is suspicious([jur:105fn213b](#)). Their interpretation will be significantly assisted by experts in business, and fraud and forensic account-

ing and statistics, who can help point the *Follow the money and the wire!* in the right direction.

2. The FBI reports on the vetting of judicial candidates

18. A more important trove of information on judges' financial affairs and wrongdoing is hidden elsewhere: It is in the FBI's secret reports on its vetting of the candidates being considered by the president for nomination and by the Senate for confirmation.
19. Our presentation at the press conference of our initial IT findings should generate support for one unprecedented key demand that we will make from then on: that the president, the senators, and the FBI release those reports to the public.
20. Those reports are critically important because the FBI has what no individual IT expert or association, or journalist or media outlet has: the authority to conduct those judicial vetting investigations by exercising power of subpoena, of search and seizure, of contempt, and of referral to the Department of Justice for indictment and prosecution. The FBI can compel the production of evidence by people and entities that refuse to cooperate with, or mislead, any of its investigations.
21. Therefore, we want to turn the release of the FBI judicial vetting reports into a national electoral issue. It will be accompanied by a similar question that should dominate the presidential campaign:

What did the nominating presidents, senators, and the FBI know
and when did they know it?
22. This question has the potential for extending the IT investigation to top national officers responsible for recommending and endorsing judicial candidates, and shepherding them through the Senate confirmation process([jur:77§5-6](#)).

3. Judicial junkets and its investigation in social media

23. Another investigation target is judges' attendance at -and all the more so their participation as speakers in- seminars organized by rich and influential corporations and lobbying entities whose members have cases before those judges. The latter are prohibited from allowing the organizers to pay their expenses and [required to declare](#) their attendance to the Federal Judiciary. However, many judges neither declare it nor shy away from complicitly coordinating with the organizers the payment of their room, board, and travel expenses...and even a speaking fee. Thereby they turn the seminars into 'all-paid judge-bribing-and-extorting junkets'.([jur:146fn272](#))
24. The parties opposing in court the organizers, to wit, pro ses and relatively small companies, in the overwhelming majority of cases lack the financial means to organize similar seminars to lobby for their position, never mind to invite judges on an all-expenses paid basis.
25. Such imbalance in the parties' influence-peddling means operates as a disincentive for the judges in their cases to apply the law fairly and impartially. So, applying it would put at risk invitations to future seminars by the organizers as well as by other organizers that realize that those judges do not know, or want to play by, the rules of the money and influence game.
26. This line of investigation calls for searching social media to find photos and other postings concerning judges who participated in such seminars and other activities involving the organizers.
 - a. The precedent for this is the spectacular case of *Caperton v. Massey*: a photo found of a chief state justice socializing in southern France with the top executive of a company with a case in his court and heavily donating to his judicial election campaign.([jur:146fn271](#))
27. Photos and comments are also posted by little people invisible to the judges when the latter are

being entertained by the seminar host in the penthouse of the seminar venue, feasting on lobster, caviar, brandy, and waitresses serving them while the judges brag about how shrewd they are in cutting corners with due process and exerting pressure as needed by hosts. Nor do judges notice while on the phone the existence of drivers, bartenders, waiters, maids, bellboys, etc. ([jur:106§c](#)).

C. From the top national judges, to the state ones, and to individual cases

28. This proposed investigation can set rolling an unstoppable national investigative bandwagon on which every professional or citizen IT expert, student, journalist, and media outlet must climb, lest they be left behind by an audience chasing after those with the latest outrageous and scandalous news. The investigation will be extended from federal judges and their Judiciary to their state counterparts by local journalists capitalizing on their audience's interest in local abusive judges.
29. Today pro ses and even lawyers do not dare request that judges in their case disclose their financial report for fear that the judges will deny their request and retaliate against them.
30. But judges cannot retaliate against everybody every time without betraying their self-interest and vindictiveness. They are less likely to do so after being suspected of forming a corrupt class of principals who do wrong and accessories who cover for them if only by looking the other way.
 - a. The precedent for this is the significant drop in public approval of the Supreme Court after its reversal of *Roe v. Wade*. Now it is suspected of being ready to reverse similar landmark decisions. So, Congress and the president enacted the Respect for Marriage Act, which mandates the recognition by the several states of any marriage authorized by any other state.
31. Federal judges' retaliatory power will diminish precipitously after it is shown that they are held unaccountable by both themselves and the politicians who connivingly put them on the bench and protect them there as 'our men and women in the robe'. So, those judges risklessly run their Judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#) for their gain and convenience. But see [Strickland v. US, et al.](#)

D. Rewards for the pioneers of IT investigation of judges

32. It is reasonable to expect that ever more lawyers will hire IT experts to find out as much as possible about any interception of emails and mail, financial wrongdoing, and other abuse of power committed by the judges presiding over their cases.
 - a. The precedent for this is the ever-greater number of Human Resources staff who check the social media footprint of every job applicant.
33. Thus, the investigation of judges will give rise to a new IT niche and generate business for those IT experts who pioneered it and became known for having made the findings that provoked the most intense public outrage with the most significant political repercussions.
34. The IT pioneers may be portrayed in a best-seller and a blockbuster movie.
 - a. There is precedent for this: Reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein of *The Washington Post* were played by Robert Redford and Dustin Hoffman in *All the President's Men* dealing with the Watergate scandal; and Erin Brockovich was played by Julia Roberts in the eponymous blockbuster concerning a corporate cover-up of toxic waste.
35. These are rewards that you can reasonably pursue by taking action to have me make a presentation to you and your fellow IT experts as well as journalists and members of academe in relevant fields.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

December 25, 2022

Proposal for a presentation on the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for exposing unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power

Dear Ms. J., Colleagues, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

A. Articles on the strategy for exposing judges' abuse; and presentation on it

1. I am providing you the link[‡] to the articles that lay out my out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for exposing unaccountable judges' riskless abuse of power. You may share and post it widely.
2. Those articles and many others are based on my professional research and writing, and **strategic thinking**. They are part of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries thus titled:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ‡**

- a. Many of those articles are posted to my website, [Judicial Discipline Reform](http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org). The articles have attracted countless webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of December 24, 2022, the number of subscribers to this website was 45,977. (See Appendix 3.)
3. I suggest that you organize the presentation by me to you and your colleagues via video conference, for example, using Zoom, on a **Sunday at 2:00 p.m. EST**. If at least four people commit themselves to attending, we can hold the first of several presentations to an audience that they in turn invite. After the presentation, I will hold a Q&A session.

B. A presentation aimed at holding UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS

4. I encourage you all to read the linked article in preparation for the presentation. It highlights the central role of holding a tour of presentations at schools of law, journalism, business, and Information Technology (IT). The objective is to persuade them to hold in turn the proposed UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on the abuse by judges that individuals have witnessed or suffered. The hearings will be hosted by professors, students, journalists, and IT experts; and broadcast multimedia.
5. People interested in telling their story in five minutes to a city, state, and national audience will send a description of their story in up to 500 words composed by applying the two-phase method for writing it. The method enables people to write a story that is significant, accurate, and verifiable, so that the hosts can do their due diligence of ascertaining their factual basis and the legal merits of the story.
6. The one feature common to all those who have witnessed or suffered abuse by judges is their burning desire to tell their story. Their quest for justice will attract them to tell it at the hearings. They will be allowed to tell their story either at the hearings venues or wherever they are located, which will spare them the significant cost of travel, room, and board.
7. The audience will identify with those who will tell their story and cause them to scream, "*That happened to me too!*" Gradually a state and national audience will be reached. Ever more people will want to tell their story. Indeed, that is precisely the purpose of the citizens hearings: to inform the national public about, and outrage it at, judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power.
8. The many stories told by individuals unrelated to each other will allow the hearings hosts to detect the most convincing evidence of abuse: patterns, trends, and schemes of **abuse**.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

OL3:1555

*.../OL/...pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Presentation_to_Honest_Judiciaries_Advocates.pdf

9. The ever-growing public outrage will attract ever more journalists and media outlets to cover the hearings because “Scandal sells” and excellent coverage of it leads to winning Pulitzer Prizes.

C. Attracting politicians as a means of reaching the national electorate

10. Politicians will recognize in that outrage the appeal of the issue, which they can usefully turn into the center of their electoral platform. Regardless of whether they are motivated by opportunism or principles, they will contribute to attaining a key objective: to insert the issue of unaccountable judges’ abuse of power into the primaries and the general election of 2024. The campaign for them has started. It should be energized and eventually dominated by that issue as the decisive one.
11. Likewise, the issue of judges’ abuse of power should be inserted in the agenda of the new Congress as it begins its work in January.
12. Judges wield an enormous power in court over people’s property, liberty, and the rights and duties that frame their lives and shape their identities. But judges are vulnerable to a realistic strategy implemented outside their courts to expose their abuse to the public, as opposed to on a brief.
 - a. There is precedent for the strategy in the forced resignations of(OL3:1423§c):
 - 1) Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas on May 14, 1969;
 - 2) Former Ninth Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski on December 18, 2017;
 - 3) Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Donald Trump, who resigned from the 3rd Circuit on February 11, 2019;
 - 4) Circuit Judge Robert Bork of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit had his resignation preempted by the Senate’s rejection of his nomination to the Supreme Court on October 23, 1987. He was doomed by the public outrage that he had provoked more than a decade earlier when he carried out President’s Nixon’s order to fire Special Watergate Prosecutor Archibald Cox in the Saturday Night Massacre on October 20, 1973.
13. That strategy includes the formation of local chapters of a national movement for *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold judicial public servants accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
14. Similar to the cry of Emile Zola(jur:98§2), we want to utter our cry: *We accuse!* Today that cry has a modern version and it is just as much self-assertive and capable of having a potent rallying effect: *MeToo!* Our cry will resonate with the national public’s attitude of abuse intolerance.
15. The proposed presentation will prepare us for causing our cry to be shouted and heard nationwide. The outrage that it will provoke in informed people will give them the strength to bring about transformative change in the administration of justice, stripping judges of their unaccountability. To do so it may be necessary to call for a constitutional convention, as 34 states have done since April 2, 2014, in their petition to Congress, as provided for in Article V of the Constitution.

D. Taking action that leads to being recognize as Champions of Justice

16. You can set in motion this reasonably calculated strategy to expose judges’ abuse that begins by organizing my presentation on informing and outraging *the People* so that they are driven by an unwavering determination: *Enough is enough!* We won’t take any abuse by anybody anymore.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

December 26, 2022

**The need to multitask and join forces to expose judges' abuse of power
rather than remain focused on one's personal, local case[‡]**

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

We all are expected to be able to multitask.

Indeed, if you have a case in court and the opposing party files a motion or the judge issues an order, you need to multitask to answer the motion and comply with the order or file an appeal, lest you miss the deadline to do so and your case come to an end or you be found in default and penalized.

I did multitask when I spent 1 hour and 31 minutes on the call to me that you generated on Friday, December 23. In that call, I explained to you my out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to expose judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power; and its implementation by holding a series of presentation to you, your friends, and at law schools.

Would I have come across as reasonable if I had told you that I could not talk to you until February?!

During our conversation, you stated repeatedly that you know people in the U.S., Canada, and Mexico and even elsewhere in the world who are working toward judicial reform; and stressed the importance of working together.

I multitasked for hours to write the hereunder invitation to my presentation.

It is hardly a task for you to merely forward that invitation to people whom you know by name, such as Mr. Alex Baker, Ms. Shelly Hart, and Mr. Brendon O'Connell; and to all the others whose email addresses you already have.

Among them are the friend of yours who graduated from the University of Chicago Law School, as to whom you said that you were 99% sure that he would respond positively to my offer to make a presentation at his School; and your friend who is knowledgeable about a Zoom video conference and can take care of the technical aspects of Zoom while I make the presentation.

If everybody who claims to be interested in judicial reform nevertheless claims that for the next two months he or she cannot even forward an email to their friends, will we ever be able to join forces to take on the mighty judges of the Federal Judiciary or their state counterparts? They stand together and take care to protect each other whenever anyone of them is complained against. Each of those judges also multitasks: Each picks us off one at a time as soon as we file a paper in our personal, local case.

When we are so focused on our personal, local case that we cannot forward an email to people we know and with whom we share a common interest, we doom ourselves individually and collectively to failure.

You wrote, "If someone else wants to organize something I can attend". If you have time to multitask that way, you can certainly have time to simply forward my email to your friends. Here applies the legal maxim: 'She who can do the more can do the less'.

Therefore, I respectfully encourage you to forward to your friends my below invitation and let us manage the task of organizing and making the presentation. Simply set the task in motion. Your doing so will redound to your benefit.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

OL3:1557

*.../OL/...pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Presentation_to_Honest_Judiciaries_Advocates.pdf.pdf

February 3, 2023

NYPD Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038
tel. (646)610-5410;
fax (646)610-5865

Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Miguel A. Iglesias
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org

Dear Commissioner Sewell, Chief Iglesias, and fellow addressees in ¶6 and §§C, D infra,[‡]

1. This is a follow-up to my letters, reprinted below, to both of you and many other authorities, to no avail. Yet, they concern prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges at the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 East 161st Street, Bronx, NY 10451. On May 23 and 24, 2022, Assistant District Attorney Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta presented an indictment for murder allegedly committed on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street flanked by restaurants and bodegas. When they asked whether grand jurors had questions, I, a grand juror, asked critical ones because the 12 exhibits that they had presented contained:
 - a. not a single photo or video of the scene of the crime or of the victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring restaurants and bodegas, or any bystander...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police report or autopsy report by the medical examiner;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
2. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Judge Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of 'being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable'; presented no evidence; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
3. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to his supervisor, Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, who sits in the same building. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. Busching, who as judge in his own cause biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. They condoned and aided prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on false accusations.

A. Action requested

4. There are more details, augmented by those stated next, concerning the false accusations based on irrelevant and insufficient evidence; the discharge; and the complicit dereliction of duty in aid of a cover-up by the many officers with authority to investigate this case to whose attention I have brought it, many of whom are listed in ¶6 and §§C, D infra. Thus, I respectfully request that you:
 - a. investigate this complaint, first taking cognizance of my below Statement of new facts, sworn to under 28 U.S.C. §1746, and the copies of communications below and at ♦ and ‡;
 - b. call Judge Yearwood to ask for a copy of my 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement;
 - c. issue me with an order to produce it for your review based on your authority to investigate this kind of case, which will entitle me to disclose it and with which I will comply; and
 - d. ask me to come to your office for a recorded interview of me by you about this case.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

B. Statement of facts since January 5, 2023, showing a coordinated cover-up

5. On May 28, 2022, I mailed to IAB Chief David Barrere my first written complaint against all those NYPD officers in the grand jury room and the courtroom. I had to keep calling IAB at (212)741-8401 until I was given a complaint number, i.e., # 2022-13831. I kept calling to find out its status, but neither the Command Center nor the Records or the Assessment Units could find it. As a result, a second complaint was opened, # 2022-15482, uselessly, for the same happened to it. So, a third complaint was opened: # 2022-15601. They insisted that I had to be patient and wait until they called me. I waited for months. In the meantime, I contacted other public officers and entities.
6. On January 5, 2023, [Detective Arata](#) called me unexpectedly. As shown in my August 19 letter to Commissioner Sewell, I had spoken with him several times beginning on June 9. Even so, he asked that I tell him my complaint. He claimed to know nothing about my letters to Chief Barrere or the three complaints, or did not bother to read them before calling me. What contained my name and phone number that prompted him to call me? I offered to email him my written complaint and he agreed to call me to acknowledge receipt of it. But he failed to do so although since January 5, I have sent and resent daily my summarizing and previous emails to him and these other addressees: iabcmdctr@nypd.org, iab@nypd.org, question@nycourts.gov, rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov, reception@advocate.nyc.gov, oignypdcomplaints@doj.nyc.gov, gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov, mtesciq1@bb.nyc.gov, ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov, recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov, bronxjury@nycourts.gov, webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov, agencyemail@customercare.nyc.gov, ig@nycourts.gov, jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov, msabio@bronxbp.nyc.gov, lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov, jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov, scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov, pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov, mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov, amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov, district8@council.nyc.gov, dinowitz@council.nyc.gov, district12@council.nyc.gov, district13@council.nyc.gov, district14@council.nyc.gov, district15@council.nyc.gov, district16@council.nyc.gov, salamanca@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov, socratessolano2021@gmail.com, Info@bronxdefenders.org, media@bronxdefenders.org,
7. The overwhelming majority did not even acknowledge receipt. In the more than eight months since May 28, none has informed me of having investigated this case. What are the odds that those 34 email addressees plus those whom I could reach only by mail have just coincidentally decided not to answer my communications or take any action? Zero. Self-interested unaccountability 100%.
8. That provides probable cause to believe that there is either actual or constructive complicit coordination among them not to take any action that can provoke a frightening reaction: retaliation by NYS judges having closed ranks to protect the complained-against fellow judges and themselves; NYPD officers testifying as hostile witnesses to make prosecutors lose indictments and cases; and the embarrassment of, and firing by, former police captain and current NYC Mayor Eric Adams.
9. On January 30, I called IAB and spoke with the supervisor of Det. Arata, Sgt. Palermo. He claimed to know nothing about my complaints. He looked up the numbers that I gave him and said that my complaints had been referred to the U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Inspector General (IG) since they concerned judges rather than NYPD officers. I protested that willful misstatement given that I had complained against the five NYPD officers, including detectives, who testified in support of the false accusations presented to a grand jury and those who surrounded me in Judge Busching's courtroom, who intentionally intimidated me, while I was alone, as reminders of those who brutalized Abner Louima, Amodou Diallo, Eric Garner, and George Floyd, among others.
10. Sgt. Palermo had no explanation for such referral despite the fact that I have not complained against any federal officer. Nor could he state the authority on which that IG of the U.S. DoJ would

investigate New York State prosecutors, judges, and NYPD officers. He could not tell me the names of the IAB officers who made the referral; whether there was any cover letter, much less its text; the names of the referred-to DoJ officers; their addresses; the referral dates; or whether the complaints had, if at all, been put in envelopes with only my or no return address and mailed.

11. I stated a reasonable inference: If IAB had referred any or all of my complaints to DoJ, it did so in bad faith to evade having to investigate its officers and avoid judges' retaliation. Unable to dispute that inference, the Sgt. transferred me to Det. Stone for her to open a complaint: # 2023-3787.
12. On January 31, I called and spoke with Det. Arata. He claimed that he had not received my complaint. But shortly thereafter, he said that it had been sent to that IG and to the FBI because I had complained about it. I refuted that: I had cited as precedent for suing public officers the suit brought by 90 gymnasts against the FBI for ignoring their complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar (cf. my January 5 letter to him, ¶5.a.2). How disingenuous! When I pressed him on why he and IAB had not investigated the NYPD officers that I had complained against, he claimed that my 'complaint made no sense and I had given them nothing to investigate'. I replied that if so, it was his duty to call me to ask for clarifications or additional information. He was stumped. I told him that he was arguing in bad faith to cover up for his fellow officers. He terminated the call.
13. I called IAB and Det. Melexemis could not find who had referred my complaint to the U.S. DoJ. He asked me to call the Records Unit at (212)741-8414. There Det. Pier-Owen confirmed that my complaints had been referred to DoJ, including two others that she found: # 2022-19474 and 2023-00275. When I asked who had signed the referral cover letter and to whom it was addressed, she put me on hold. After coming back, she said that she could not find their names. She said that complaint # 2022-15482 had been referred to 'Dept. Discipline Committee for 1st District', whose address she could not find. Then she said that it had been referred to the U.S. District Court for NY. I said that courts do not investigate; they adjudicate. She said that I had complained against a lawyer. When I asked for his/her name, she could not find it. Of course, since I did not complain against any lawyer. She put me on another hold; then I overheard a female voice say "Don't bring him here!" She hung up on me and did not call me back although I had given her my phone number.
14. I called the Assessment Unit at (212)741-8444. I explained to Det. Jones what had just happened and gave her the numbers of the complaints and my phone number. I asked to speak with her supervisor, whom she identified as Sgt. Dario, and was stating the purpose...she hung up on me.
15. I called back the Assessment Unit, but landed in the Command Center. Sgt. Priola answered and said that my complaints had been sent to the FBI and circuit judges. I protested and asked who had referred them there. He did not know. He asked me whether I wanted to open a complaint against the NYPD. I said yes and he transferred me to Det. Stone. She opened complaint # 2023-3930.
16. I called the Records Unit. PAA Burkett transferred me to supervisor Lt. Davy Dob. He said that my complaint had been sent to the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct. I had independently filed a complaint with it, docketed under # 2022/N-1084 as of September 23. Senior Administrative Assistant Lee Kiklier wrote me on November 23 "that the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to NYS judges, which does not appear to apply to your complaint". Was this flagrant misstatement the result of an IAB cover letter pretending that I had complained against federal judges?
17. All these officers have in fact or in effect coordinated their dereliction of duty and cover-up to the detriment of the defendants in this case; those similarly situated, whose lives have been devastated by being jailed; forced to incur burdensome debt to make bail; and tainted or ruined domestically, socially, and professionally by a criminal record; and me. *It is your duty to investigate this case.*

*****Dare cry "*I accuse!*" ...You may trigger history and enter it.*****

C. Service List: This letter was individualized for, and served on, these public officers and entities:

A.

1. NYPD Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038
tel. (646)610-5410
fax (646)610-5865
2. First Deputy Commissioner Edward Caban
NY Police Department
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038
tel. (646)610-5410; fax (646)610-5865
3. Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Miguel Iglesias
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014
tel. (212)741-8401; IAB@NYPD.org
4. Jocelyn E. Strauber, Esq.
Commissioner of Investigation
NYC Department of Investigation
180 Maiden Lane, 16th Fl., NY, NY 10038
tel. (212)825-5959; fax (212)825-2504
5. Philip K. Eure, Esq., Inspector General for the
NYPD, Office of the IG for the NYPD
NYC Department of Investigation
80 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038
tel. (212)806-5200
6. Taylor Gibson, Esq., and Investigator Hart
Office of the IG for the NYPD
NYC Department of Investigation
80 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038
tel. (212)806-5200
7. Jonathan Darche, Esq., Executive Director
Civilian Complaint Review Board
100 Church Street, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10007
tel. (800)341-2272, (212)912-7235
8. Kathy Hirata Chin, Esq., Acting Chair
Commission to Combat Police Corruption
17 Battery Place, Suite 327
New York, NY 10004
tel. (212)806-5370

B.

- NYS Attorney General Letitia James
c/o Public Integrity Bureau Chief Gerard Murphy
28 Liberty Street, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10005
tel. (800)771-7755
- The Head of the Investigative Review Unit
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014
- The Head of the Integrity Testing Unit
Internal Affairs Bureau, Group 52
NY Police Department
PO Box 10001
New York, NY 10014
- NYC Mayor Eric L. Adams
c/o: Mr. Frank Carone, Chief of Staff
City Hall
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212)639-9675
- NYC Public Advocate Jumaane D. Williams
c/o: Mr. Rance Huff, Chief of Staff
1 Centre Street, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10007
tel. (212)669-7200
- Sherrill Spatz, Esq.
Inspector General
Office of Court Administration
25 Beaver Street, New York, NY 10004
tel. (646)386-3500; fax: (212)514-7158
- Judge Deborah A. Kaplan
Deputy Chief Administrative Judge
Supreme Court, New York County, Civil Term
60 Centre St., New York, NY 10007
tel. (646)386-5567
- Joseph W. Belluck, Esq., Chair
NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct
61 Broadway, Suite 1200
New York, NY 10006
tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757

D. Table of Exhibits

Date of Letter	Name of Addressee or Sender (=from...)
1. May 28, 2022	IAB Chief David Barrere; Office of Court Administration IG Sherrill Spatz; et al.
2. May 27 and 28, 2022	USCS receipt for postage to addressees in ¶4 above
3. June 18, 2022	addressees in ¶4 above, follow-up letter
4. June 24, 2022	Mayor Eric Adams, Chief of Staff Frank Carone, and Bronx & NYC public officers
5. June 24, 2022	NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams and Chief of Staff Rance Huff
6. June 29, 2022	<i>from</i> grand jury Judge Laurence Busching, Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term
7. July 11, 2022	Administrative Judge Alvin Yearwood, Supreme Court Bronx County Criminal Term
8. July 11, 2022	addressees in ¶4 above, follow-up letter
9. August 19, 2022	NYPD Commissioner Keechant Sewell
10. July 1, 2022	Bronx and NYC public officers; and Bronx Defenders Executive Director Justine Olderman
11. July 4, 2022	Kevin Rothermel, Acting Bronx County Clerk/Bronx Commissioner of Jurors and NYC Department of Investigation Commissioner Jocelyn Strauber
12. July 11, 2022	NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams and Chief of Staff Rance Huff
13. July 11, 2022	NYC Department of Investigation Commissioner Jocelyn Strauber and Kevin Rothermel, Acting Bronx County Clerk/Bronx Commissioner of Jurors
14. July 27, 2022	Office of the Inspector General for the NYPD Taylor Gibson, Esq., and Investigator Hart
15. September 17, 2022	NY Attorney General Letitia James and Brooklyn District Attorney Eric Gonzalez
16. November 3, 2022	<i>from</i> NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Senior Administrative Assistant Lee Kiklier
17. November 7, 2022	NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Chair Joseph Belluck, Vice Chair Taa Grays, and Administrator Robert Rembeckjian, Esq.
18. December 6, 2022	<i>from</i> NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Executive Assistant to the Deputy Administrator Laura Soto
19. January 5, 2023	IAB Detective Arata
20. January 10, 2023	<i>from</i> Clerk of the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct Celia Zahner
21. January 12, 2023	Deputy Director of the Office of the Mayor of the City of New York Jarrett Andrews
22. February 3, 2023	Cover letter and Statement of facts since January 5, 2023
23. February 3, 2023	USPS Certificate of Mailing to NYPD IAB Chief Miguel A. Iglesias and Commissioner Keechant L. Sewell
24. February 20, 2023	Each of the 10 members of the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777



RDC 99

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
BRONX, NY
10462
FEB 04, 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2304M111492-69

02/04/2023 04:15 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Large Envelope New York, NY 10014 Weight: 0 lb 3.10 oz Estimated Delivery Date Wed 02/08/2023	1		\$1.98
Cert of Mailing			\$1.85
Total			\$3.83

Grand Total: \$3.83

Credit Card Remit \$3.83
Card Name: VISA
Account #: XXXXXXXXXX5689
Approval #: 05595B
Transaction #: 859
AID: A0000000031010 Chip
AL: VISA CREDIT
PIN: Not Required

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-51000087-3-11884665-2
Clerk: 69



Certificate Of Mailing

To pay fee, affix stamps or meter postage here.

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq
2165 Bruckner Blvd
Bronx, NY 10472-6506



To: Bureau Chief Miguel Iyeksias
Internal Affairs Bureau
NY Police Department
P.O. Box 10001, NY, NY 10014

Postmark Here

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

AL: VISA CREDIT
PIN: Not Required

Preview your Mail



PARKCHESTER
1445 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

02/08/2023 07:03 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
First-Class Mail® Large Envelope New York, NY 10038 Weight: 0 lb 5.00 oz Estimated Delivery Date Sat 02/11/2023	1		\$2.22
Cert of Mailing			\$1.85
Total			\$4.07

Grand Total: \$4.07

Credit Card Remit \$4.07

Card Name: VISA
Account #: XXXXX XXXXX9060
Approval #: 008854
Transaction #: 921
ATD: A000000031010
AL: VISA CREDIT
PIN: Not Required

chip

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350912-0135
Receipt #: 840-51000087-2-9291548-2
Clerk: 69



**UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®**

**Certificate
Mail**

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472-6506

To: Commissioner Keechawt Seneff
New York Police Department
One Police Plaza
New York, NY 10038

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
BRONX NY
10462
FEB 08 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2305M144516-8



RDC 99

January 5, 2023

Detective Arata
Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB)
New York Police Department (NYPD); tel.: (212)741-8401
IAB@NYPD.org, IABCMDCNTR@nypd.org

Dear Detective Arata,

Thank you for calling me early this morning. Kindly find hereunder the complaint that I emailed and mailed so many times to IAB Chief David Barrere, you, and your colleagues last year. Indeed, my letter to NYPD Commissioner Keechant Sewell has a list of all the officers to whom I emailed my complaint and with whom I spoke on the phone. You may retrieve it using this [link](#)[‡].

The runaround that I have been given for over half a year justifies my assertion that there is a cover-up to protect NYPD officers and detectives that testify at grand juries in support of false accusations and deceive grand jurors, so as to protect the NYPD itself. The following brief description of what happened is intended as an introduction to the original letters that I emailed to the NYPD and others; it is not intended to serve as an excuse for not reading the letters below:

1. While serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and NYPD officers, including detectives, charged people with a murder that those people could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought for plea bargain leverage in reliance on grand jurors' indifference and uncritical judgment.
2. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, J. Laurence Busching of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term. He summarily discharged me without affording me even the opportunity to confront my accusers.
3. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge, J. Alvin Yearwood of the same Bronx Criminal Court. Late enough, he sent it to the grand jury Judge Busching, who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired.
 - a. The NY Criminal Procedure Law warns that disclosing grand jury information, except under court order, subjects a person to serious penalties, including imprisonment. Therefore, I respectfully request that IAB Chief Barrere or you contact Judge Yearwood and ask that he release to you a copy of my 8-page sworn statement so that you may promptly start your investigation of this complaint.
 - b. As lawyer, I have a legal and ethical duty to bring this information to your attention. It flows from the NY Rules of Professional Conduct (22 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 1200), which provides:

Rule. 8.3. REPORTING PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT(a). A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer [such as the prosecutors who presented a false indictment before the grand jury] shall report such knowledge to a tribunal *or other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation*. [emphasis added].
 - c. If the NYPD, as "*other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation*", issues me an order to submit to it my 8-page sworn statement, I will readily comply with it.

Your legal department must ensure that my compliance with such order will not expose me to criminal penalties, never mind imprisonment. Notice that the above-mentioned judges have already retaliated against me or condoned the retaliation against me by summarily discharging me from the grand jury in violation under color of law of my civil right to serve on it and my constitutional rights to due process.

4. I filed a complaint with the NY State [Chief Judge](#), the NYS and NYC administrative judges, the NYPD IAB chief and the [Commissioner](#), the [Bronx council](#) members, [public advocates](#), [et al.](#), who have not replied.
5. They form the defendant in the class action that I am preparing against all of them for, among other causes of action, abuse of power; actual or constructive complicity in a cover-up of official abuse of power; dereliction of duty; filing false accusations; and false imprisonment, in addition to violation of civil and constitutional rights.
 - a. There is strong precedent for suing them:
 - 1) In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S.*, the Judicial Conference of the U.S., the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#), et al., that Appeals Court held on April 26, 2022, that the Federal Judiciary and its [judges](#) in their official and individual capacities can on due process and equal protection grounds be sued and held liable. The plaintiff's exposure of 4th Circuit appellate judges' complicit coordination forced all the judges of that Court to recuse themselves! Judges from other circuits were seated by designation on the three-judge appellate panel. Their decision was unanimous.
 - 2) Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8 for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty. This is in addition to the [\\$380 million](#) that USA Gymnastics and the U.S. Olympic Committee had to pay to Nassar sexual abuse victims.
 - 3) A Pennsylvania state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages.
 - b. The officers in the class action, including the NYPD, do not investigate judges to avoid judges' retaliation, thus engaging in dereliction of duty for their own benefit.
 - c. They pursue a pecuniary benefit, which is anything to which a monetary value can be assigned. Their dereliction of duty is a policy and a systemic cover-up based on actual or constructive complicit coordination; cf. companies that coordinate their anti-competitive practices by following the price leader, which is illegal under antitrust law and case law.
6. Acting under color of law, the defendant officers have violated the civil rights of the likely thousands of people whom they have, and allowed to be, indicted on false accusations. Thereby they have caused those people injury in fact resulting from their having to bear the cost of bail, incarceration, and a criminal record that impairs their job and housing situation and social standing. Those people are among the plaintiffs in the class action. Their attorneys can earn vast rewards, including treble damages, attorney's fees, and national recognition as Champions of Justice.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.



13 Jan 23

NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
JODIE CORNGOLD
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ROBERT J. MILLER
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
RONALD J. ROSENBERG
GRAHAM B. SEITER
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS
CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

646-386-4800 518-299-1757
TELEPHONE FACSIMILE
www.cjc.ny.gov

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

January 10, 2023

Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Boulevard
Bronx, New York 10472-6506

Re: File No. 2022/N-1084

Dear Mr. Cordero:

The State Commission on Judicial Conduct has reviewed your letter of complaint dated September 22, 2022. The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint.

The Commission's jurisdiction is limited to misconduct by judges of the New York State unified court system.

Very truly yours,

Celia A. Zahner
Clerk of the Commission

CAZ/ja

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

January 12, 2023

Mr. Jarrett Andrews
Deputy Director
Office of the Mayor of the City of New York; mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov
City Hall, New York, NY 10007

Dear Mr. Andrews and Addressees in the TO: box of this email¹,

On June 24, 2022, I sent my [first letter](#)[‡] to former police officer and now NYC Mayor Eric Adams, his Chief of Staff Frank Carone, Public Advocate Jumaane Williams, and before and after that date also to the Bronx council members, commissioners, judges, et al., to inform them, and request an investigation, of the evidence that I had collected first-hand of the public corruption in criminal cases described hereunder, which involves prosecutors and NYPD officers, including detectives, seeking indictments based on false accusations, and a cover-up by judges, the NYPD, and others.

On July 21, you sent me the following email:

From: Office of the Mayor (imailagent) mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov
To: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net
Re: Office of the Mayor of New York City (Intranet Quorum IMA00304014)
IQFormatFile.txt (235 B)
<APP>WORKFLOW
<TRANS>304014</TRANS>
<ORIG_EMAIL>mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov</ORIG_EMAIL>
<ORIG_SERVICE_TYPE>Case</ORIG_SERVICE_TYPE>
<ORIG_NAME>OFFICE OF THE MAYOR</ORIG_NAME>
<DUE_DATE></DUE_DATE>
<ORIG_WFID>999726</ORIG_WFID>
</APP>

Dear Dr. Cordero:

Thank you again for contacting the Office of the Mayor. Your query has been routed to the appropriate agency for further action. You should receive a response soon.

Sincerely,

Jarrett Andrews
Deputy Director

Casework# 999726</ORIG_WFID>

Despite my continuous sending of the complaint to all of you, I have not received any statement of any action undertaken by any of you or any other officers.

¹ mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov, agencyemail@customercare.nyc.gov, iab@nypd.org, ucs-correspondence@nycourts.gov, OIGNYPDcomplaints@doi.nyc.gov, iabcmdcntr@nypd.org, question@nycourts.gov, drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org, recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov, reception@advocate.nyc.gov, gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov, bronxjury@nycourts.gov, rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov, webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov, socratessolano2021@gmail.com, jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov, msabio@bronxbp.nyc.gov, mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov, lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov, ig@nycourts.gov, jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov, scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov, pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, d11scheduling@council.nyc.gov, District8@council.nyc.gov, dinowitz@council.nyc.gov, district12@council.nyc.gov, District13@council.nyc.gov, District14@council.nyc.gov, District15@council.nyc.gov, District16@council.nyc.gov, salamanca@council.nyc.gov, District18@council.nyc.gov, jklous@council.nyc.gov, astaropoli@council.nyc.gov, corderoric@yahoo.com,

On the contrary, on August 31, I received the following email:

Subject: Your City of New York Correspondence Number is #1-1-2213769

Date: Tue, Aug 30, 2022 1:15 pm

From: agencymail (agencymail@customercare.nyc.gov)

Thank you for contacting the City of New York. Your message has been forwarded to the appropriate agency for review and handling.

For future reference, your correspondence number is 1-1-2213769.

Sincerely,

The City of New York

No public officer of the City of New York whom I have contacted by phone or email knows what to do with that "correspondence number". Do you?

Whatever that "agency" is that sent me that email, it intended to commit a pretense of a reply calculated to lead nowhere, and as such meaningless and useless. Here applies a tenet of the law of torts that provides: "people are deemed to intend the foreseeable consequences of their actions".

I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

- a. read the statement below to grasp the gravity of the complaint affecting countless people indicted, prosecuted, imprisoned, and tainted by a criminal record based on false accusations presented to a grand jury by prosecutors and NYPD officers;
- b. bring this matter to the attention of the Mayor's chief of staff for the appointment of independent investigators that do not have a conflict of interests about investigating the NYPD because they, unlike Mayor Adams, never were police officers, or for that matter, prosecutors or judges; and
- c. ask me in for an interview with you and the chief of staff via video conference or in person.

Meantime, I continue with the preparation of the class action, as described infra.

Every day in which the inaction persists of the public officers that know from me or others of this complaint will cause the outrage of those directly affected and the rest of the state and national public to be exponentially more intense and vocal when they learn how recklessly and with what callous indifference those officers in self-interest disregarded their individual and collective duty to investigate or cause the investigation of, and stop, the public abuse of power and corruption underlying the complaint and the explicit or implicit complicit coordination of its cover-up.

Those likely to number in the thousands who on false criminal accusations have been sent to, and kept jailed in, that place festering with violence, humiliation, and depravity, namely, the Rikers Island Prison, or who have lost their jobs or been evicted due to having been indicted, or been financially devastated to raise bail, will demand from those responsible therefor *in their official and individual capacities* correspondingly devastating compensation in line with the [cited precedent](#).

What would you do in their place?

Juries have awarded \$1.4 billion to the parents and relatives of the Sandy Hook victims for the defamatory words of Alex Jones and their practical injurious consequences.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely, *Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.*

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144-1572+ OL3:1569
* [.../OL/....pdf](#) >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 † [.../OL2/....2.pdf](#) >from OL2:394-1143

February 20, 2023

Mr. Joseph W. Belluck, Chair
New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct (CJC)
61 Broadway, Ste 1200, NY, NY 10006; tel. (646)386-4800; fax (518)299-1757; www.cjc.ny.gov

Dear Chair Belluck,

1. I filed a complaint, acknowledged by CJC as [received on](#) September 23, 2022, against two justices of the NYS Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, tel. (718)618-3700, 265 E. 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451: Administrative J. Alvin [Yearwood](#) and Grand Jury J. Laurence [Busching](#). That description sufficed for you to know that they are members of the NYS Unified Court System, which you could have confirmed by checking its [website](#); cf. [printouts](#) of their webnotes infra. ♦
2. Knowledge of it is imputed to you, all the other members of the Commission, and your [assistants](#), e.g., Lee [Kiklier](#), who on November 3 sent me letter “Re: 2022/N-1084”[‡] dismissing the complaint on the following grounds: “Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission’s jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System (UCS), which does not appear to apply to your complaint”. In my letter to you of November 7 ♦, I protested that knowingly and thus intentionally false grounds for dismissal.
3. On December 6, Executive Assistant to the Deputy Administrator Laura A. [Soto](#) wrote in “Re: File No. 2022/N-1259-60” that “Your complaint will be presented to the Commission, which will decide whether or not to inquire into it”[‡]. The Commission includes three fellow UCS judges and lawyers.
4. On January 10, 2023, Clerk of the Commission Celia A. [Zahner](#) wrote in “Re: File No. 2022/N-1084”, thus: “The commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint. [Its] jurisdiction is limited to misconduct of judges of the New York State unified court system”.
5. You, as a principal, have stated through the letters of your assistants that my complaint would be and was presented to the Commission. The dismissal decision is a Commission decision. The Commission predicated it on “false statements” pretending that the judges that I complained about are not UCS members. Its dismissal furthers a cover-up on behalf of officers, including fellow Bronx judges, prosecutors, and members of the NYPD, who sought an indictment from a grand jury based on false evidence and accusations, and complicitly coordinated the discharge of a grand juror, i.e., me on May 25, 2022, who questioned the irrelevance and insufficiency of the evidence presented.
6. These officers and the Commission are not the proverbial angels that during their life dedicated to “the legitimate needs of law enforcement” woke up one day, engaged in “perjury and contempt”, “tampering”, and “a scheme to defraud” defendants and jurors, but resumed their life of rectitude. Rather, the complaint and the [statement infra](#) point to the “pattern of criminal activity of a criminal enterprise of a group of persons sharing a common purpose”, a felony under the Enterprise Corruption Law, NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460, NY’s version of the federal [RICO](#) Act.

A. Action requested

7. Therefore, I respectfully request that the Commission:
 - a. investigate this complaint ♦, which is updated by the letter of February 19 [hereunder](#)[‡];
 - b. issue me with an order to produce the 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement dated May 28, 2022, that I submitted to J. Yearwood describing the events that prompted this complaint; and
 - c. ask me to come to your office for a recorded interview about this case.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

NYCOURTS.GOV

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM

12th JD – Criminal Supreme, Bronx



Bronx Criminal Home

Accessibility (ADA)

Criminal Term – Court Info

Jurors

FAQ

Calendars

WebCrims Court Appearance

Civil Term

Statewide Info

Links

Overview

Coronavirus Updates

Please see the [Covid page](#) for further information.

Administration

265 East 161st Street
Bronx, NY 10451
Phone: 718-618-3100
Fax: 718-618-3585

Administrative Judge, Criminal Term
Hon. Alvin Yearwood
718-618-3700

Chief Clerk
Michelle Foggie
718-618-3000

Introduction

The Criminal Term of Bronx Supreme Court handles a range of felony and misdemeanor cases, including burglaries, murder, conspiracies, weapons charges, drug and traffic cases.

If you need a **certificate of disposition**, please bring a photo ID to the courthouse. You will be charged a \$10 fee.

Court Calendars

- [Criminal Supreme](#)

Court Locator

Bronx and/or

Choose Court Type

Find the Court

NYCOURTS.GOV

Judicial Directory

JUDGES OF THE TRIAL COURTS

Select from below to view an alphabetical listing of trial court judges.



[A](#) [B](#) [C](#) [D](#) [E](#) [F](#) [G](#) [H](#) [I](#) [J](#) [K](#) [L](#) [M](#) [N](#) [O](#) [P](#) [Q](#) [R](#) [S](#) [T](#) [U](#) [V](#) [W](#) [X](#) [Y](#) [Z](#)

[Abbate, Rosamaria](#)
[Acker, Christi](#)
[Ackerman, Andra L.](#)
[Adams, Rachel](#)
[Adams, Suzanne](#)
[Adler, Lester](#)
[Agazarian, Jaclene A.](#)
[Aherne, Elizabeth Eleanor](#)
[Aldrich, M. Liberty](#)
[Alexander, David](#)
[Alexander, Katherine D.](#)
[Alford, Gary R.](#)
[Ally, Shahabuddeen](#)
[Aloise, Michael](#)
[Alomar, Karina](#)
[Alonso, Marisol](#)
[Alpert, Paul](#)
[Alvarado, Efrain](#)
[Amaker, Tamiko A.](#)
[Ambekar, Deepa](#)
[Ambro, Richard](#)
[Amoroso, Gregory](#)
[An, Giyang](#)
[Andrews, John](#)
[Andrews, Ross P.](#)
[Antignani, Stephen](#)
[Antonacci, Robert](#)
[Anzalone, Anna](#)
[Aragona, Maria](#)
[Argento, Victoria](#)
[Arias, Maria](#)
[Ark, John](#)
[Armstrong, Adrian](#)
[Arriaga, Frederick C.](#)
[Arrindell, Travis J.](#)
[Asforis, Evon](#)

NYCOURTS.GOV

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM

Judicial Directory

JUDGES HOME

[Judges of the Court of Appeals](#)

Judges of the Appellate Divisions:

- [First Dept.](#)
- [Second Dept.](#)
- [Third Dept.](#)
- [Fourth Dept.](#)

[Judges of the Trial Courts](#)

[Administrative Directory of Executive Officers, Administrative Judges, & Supervising Judges](#)

JUDGES OF THE TRIAL COURTS

Hon. Alvin M. Yearwood



Supreme Court, Bronx County
851 Grand Concourse
Bronx, NY 11201
(718) 618-3788

Judicial Offices

Acting Supreme Court Justice, Supreme Court, Bronx County, Appointed by Mayor, Bill deBlasio, 2018 to 2029

Judge, Criminal Court of the City of New York, Appointed by Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg, 2003 to 2005, Re-appointed 2006 to 2008, 2009 to 2018

Other Professional Experience

Office of the District Attorney, Bronx County, Assistant District Attorney, 1998 to 2003

Alvin Yearwood, Attorney at Law, Attorney, 1997 to 1998

Leonard Zack and Associates, Associate, 1997 to 1997

Leahey and Johnson, Associate, 1996 to 1997

Office of the District Attorney, Bronx County, Assistant District Attorney, 1988 to 1996

Heidell, Pittoni, Murphy and Bach PC, Associate, 1987 to 1988

Office of the District Attorney, Nassau County, 1987 to 1987

Admission to the Bar

NYS, Appellate Division, Second Department, 1987

Connecticut, 1986

United States District Court, Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, 1988

Education

J.D. Boston University School of Law, 1986

NYCOURTS.GOV

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM

Judicial Directory

JUDGES HOME

[Judges of the Court of Appeals](#)

Judges of the Appellate Divisions:

- [First Dept.](#)
- [Second Dept.](#)
- [Third Dept.](#)
- [Fourth Dept.](#)

[Judges of the Trial Courts](#)

[Administrative Directory of Executive Officers, Administrative Judges, & Supervising Judges](#)

JUDGES OF THE TRIAL COURTS

Hon. Laurence E. Busching



Criminal Court of the City of New York, Bronx County
265 East 161st Street
Bronx, NY 10451
(718) 618-2532

Judicial Offices

Acting Supreme Court Justice, Criminal Court of the City of New York, Bronx County, Appointed by Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks, 2019 to Present

Judge, Criminal Court of the City of New York, New York County, Appointed by Mayor Michael Bloomberg, 2013 to Present

Other Professional Experience

NYC Mayor's Office, First Deputy Criminal Justice Coordinator, 2012 to 2013

NYC Administration for Children's Services, Executive Deputy Commissioner, 2010 to 2012

New York City Law Department, Chief of the Family Court Division, 2005 to 2010

New York County District Attorney's Office, Chief of Family Violence and Child Abuse Bureau, 2000 to 2004

Admission to the Bar

NYS, Appellate Division, Second Department, 1991

Education

J.D., St. John's University School of Law, 1990

B.A., Boston College, 1987

Publications

Rethinking Strategies for Prosecution of Domestic Violence in the Wake of Crawford, 71 Brook. L. Rev. 391, 2005

Professional & Civic Activities

19 July 23



NEW YORK STATE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

JOSEPH W. BELLUCK, CHAIR
TAA GRAYS, VICE CHAIR
HON. FERNANDO M. CAMACHO
HON. JOHN A. FALK
HON. ROBERT J. MILLER
MARVIN RAY RASKIN
RONALD J. ROSENBERG
GRAHAM B. SEITER
HON. ANIL C. SINGH
AKOSUA GARCIA YEBOAH
MEMBERS
CELIA A. ZAHNER, CLERK

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

646-386-4800 518-299-1757
TELEPHONE FACSIMILE
www.cjc.ny.gov

ROBERT H. TEMBECKJIAN
ADMINISTRATOR & COUNSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

July 17, 2023

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Boulevard
Bronx, New York 10472

Re: File Nos. 2022/N-1259, 1260

Dear Dr. Cordero:

The Commission has asked me to respond to your February 20, 2023 letter and your additional correspondence concerning the disposition of your complaint.

The New York State Constitution and the Commission's governing statute (Judiciary Law §40 *et seq.*) do not provide for appeal or reconsideration of a decision by the Commission dismissing a complaint.

The Commission carefully considered your complaint. Your recent correspondence and information you submitted do not contain information that would change the Commission's decision dismissing your earlier complaint. The Commission is not a court of law and does not have the authority to intervene in a case or review the merits of a judge's rulings.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Celia A. Zahner'.

Celia A. Zahner
Clerk of the Commission

February 23, 2023

Acting Chief Judge Anthony Cannataro
(individualized to each of his fellow judges)
NYS Court of Appeals
20 Eagle Street, Albany, NY 12207
tel. (518)455-7700

NYS AG Letitia James
c/o Public Integrity Bureau Chief Gerard Murphy
28 Liberty Street, 15th Floor, NY, NY 10005
tel. (212) 416-8610, (800)771-7755
public.integrity@ag.ny.gov

Dear ACJ Cannataro, AG James, Chief Murphy, and addressees in ¶6 and §§C, D infra, ♦

1. This is a follow-up to my letters ♦ to former CJ J. DiFiore, Deputy Chief Administrative Judge D. Kaplan, et al., to no avail. Yet, they concern prosecutors, NYPD officers, and judges at the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451. On May 23 and 24, 2022, the first days of a grand jury term, ADA Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta presented an indictment for murder allegedly committed on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street lined with restaurants and bodegas. When they asked whether jurors had questions, I, a grand juror, asked critical ones because the 12 exhibits that they presented contained:
 - a. not a single photo or video of the scene of the crime or of the victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring restaurants and bodegas, or any bystander ...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police incident report; medical examiner autopsy report; or death or burial certificate;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
2. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of “being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable”; presented no evidence; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
3. I described these events in a May 26, 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement and submitted it to Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, who sits in the same building. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. Busching, who as judge in his own cause biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. These judges aided and condoned prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on “unfounded accusations”.

A. Action requested

4. There are more details, augmented by those stated next, concerning the false accusations based on irrelevant and insufficient evidence; the discharge; and the complicit dereliction of duty in aid of a cover-up by the many officers with authority to investigate this case to whose attention I have brought it, many of whom are listed in ¶6 and §§C, D infra. Thus, I respectfully request that you:
 - a. investigate this complaint, first taking cognizance of my below Statement of new facts, sworn to under 28 U.S.C. §1746, and the copies of communications below and at ♦ and ‡;
 - b. call J. Yearwood to ask for a copy of my May 26 statement and the transcript of the discharge;
 - c. based on your authority to investigate this kind of case, issue me with an order to produce the May 26 statement, which will entitle me to disclose it and with which I will comply; and
 - d. ask me to discuss this case with you, on video conference if not in NYC; otherwise, in person.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

FOR COPIES OF THIS BOOKLET CALL:
1-800-NY-JUROR, 1-800-695-8767

OR ORDER ON THE WEB:
WWW.NYJUROR.GOV

Revised March 15, 2017

MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUDGE



Thank you for being here today to participate ^{1.} in the work of the New York State courts. As grand jurors, you now are a part of our criminal justice system.

All across our great state, in courthouses from ^{2.} Riverhead on Long Island to Buffalo on the shores of Lake Erie, grand juries uphold the laws of the land by indicting those individuals believed to have committed crimes and protecting the rights of others against unfounded accusations. ←

We are keenly aware that New Yorkers have busy lives and we ^{3.} recognize that you have many demands on your time. Knowing that, over the past decade, we have transformed the jury system by increasing the jury pool and reducing frequency of jury service, in order to make service more convenient.

Grand jury presentations range from more than 5,000 a year in the ^{4.} most populated boroughs of New York City to just a handful in our least populous counties. To keep our vast justice system moving swiftly, fairly, and efficiently requires a dedicated corps of judges, attorneys, court administrators and grand jurors just like you.

Your mission is two-fold: on the one hand, to use your power as ^{5.} grand jurors to investigate crimes and hand up an indictment when sufficient evidence exists to do so; on the other, when the evidence is insufficient, to protect people from unfounded criminal accusations by not handing up an indictment. ←

Like voting, grand jury service gives you the opportunity to participate ^{6.} – in a very direct and personal way – in our democracy. For this brief period of time, you will be performing an important, essential public service. ¹

As Chief Judge, and on behalf of all the members of the judiciary, ^{7.} thank you for your participation in the pursuit of justice.

Janet DiFiore

March 29, 2023

Empower yourselves by gaining knowledge & informing and outraging *We the People*

1. There is not "the Supreme Court of New York". In this state, a supreme court is merely a trial court of general jurisdiction for cases where the amount in controversy is above a certain minimum. A party may appeal its decision to the [appellate division court](#) of the appropriate judicial department, of which there are four. An appeal from there lies with the highest court of NY State, namely, the Court of Appeals, which only takes up a case for review if in its discretion it has wider implications for the administration of justice in the state. This is to say the long way ahead of you. There is no way I can drop what I am doing to help you pro bono. I too have bills to pay.
2. I have an agenda intended to help people across the state of New York and throughout our country. You may learn about it in the proposal hereunder. You can help your case by distributing it as widely as possible because there is strength in numbers and this is the most propitious moment for drawing attention to judges' unaccountability and their consequent riskless abuse of power. Your case, though the most important to you, is only one of millions of such cases. Resolving that case in your favor will do absolutely nothing for the other millions of victims of judges' abuse of power.
3. Hence the strategy of widely distributing the articles that I write, which aim to make that abuse a top issue of the 2024 primaries and general election. Only an outraged *We the People* can persuade journalists and the media to investigate the issue, not just one single case, and force Congress and the state legislatures to conduct nationally televised public hearings...and cause the holding of the proposed [citizens hearings](#). That is in essence the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy for exposing judges' abuse, demanding [compensation](#), and forcing reform.
4. "KNOWLEDGE IS POWER" while in the weakness of ignorance abuse festers and is perpetuated. You will be empowered by the information found in my dealings with NYS judges and the [Commission on Judicial Conduct](#). You will be furthered strengthened by reading the articles accessible through the [blue links](#) herein. Indeed, the article below and many others have been posted to my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, which is titled thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣

- a. The study collects and discusses abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability by systematically [dismissing 100% of complaints](#) against fellow judges and denying 100% of petitions to review dismissals.
 - b. Judges are connivingly held unaccountable by the [politicians](#) who put them in office, for whom they are '*our* men and women on the bench'. As a result, judges engage in abuse of power risklessly for their gain and convenience individually and as a [coordinated class](#). Their pattern of conduct shows that they run their judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#).
 - d. Some of my articles have been posted to my website [Judicial Discipline Reform](#). That site has attracted countless webvisitors and as of 28 March 2023, had turned into subscribers 46,962 of them. They are potential class members and fellow victims of yours. Together we can outrage the national public and make opportunistic or principled politician insert the issue into their political platform so as to emerge as Champions of Justice.
5. So, it is in your interest to join the effort to distribute my articles widely: simply open each of the emails that you receive from me, click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**". While those emails may have the same subject in the Re: box, each has a different set of email addresses in the To: box.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq

March 30, 2023

Angelique Moreno, Esq. Avanzino & Moreno, PC 26 Court Street, Ste 2015 Brooklyn, NY 11242 tel. (718)802-1616	Hon. Judith McMahon Sct. Richmond County 10 Richmond Terrace Staten Island, NY 10301 (718) 675-8650	Hon. Doris Gonzalez Bronx Sct. Civil Term 851 Grand Concourse Bronx, NY 10451 tel. 718-618-1400	Hon. George Silver (Ret.) Silver & Collins 316 Main St, PO Box 218 Morristown, NY 13664 tel. (315)375-8836
--	---	---	--

Dear Judge Silver, Judge Gonzalez, Judge McMahon, and Att. Moreno,

Thank you for presenting your informative webinar on Settlement Techniques on the NYS ATL platform on March 28. I appreciated your insight based on individual and collective experience and your emphasis on conduct guided by principles, such as patience, trust, and fairness.

1. This is a proposal for you to put to work those principles in a challenging case involving public officers with whom you are unlikely to deal on a regular basis, which keeps you from having a conflict of interests in this matter, namely, Bronx prosecutors; NYPD officers, including detectives; judges of the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term; and the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct (CJC). The facts are stated in brief here and in detail in a pertinent file[†].
2. On May 23 and 24, 2022, Bronx ADA Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta presented an indictment for murder allegedly committed on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street flanked by restaurants and bodegas. When they asked whether grand jurors had questions, I, a grand juror, asked critical ones because the 12 exhibits that they presented contained:
 - a. not a single photo or video of the scene of the crime or of the victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring restaurants and bodegas, or any bystander...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police report or autopsy report by the medical examiner;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
3. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of 'being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable'; presented no evidence; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
4. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to his supervisor, Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, who sits in the same building. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. Busching. As judge in his own cause, the latter biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. They condoned and aided prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on false accusations.
5. The NYPD has failed to even reply to my repeatedly submitted complaint. The CJC has dismissed my complaint by dishonestly alleging 'those judges are not NYS judges, so we lack jurisdiction'.
6. You all and I can work jointly on behalf of the likely thousands of people who have been injured in fact by false accusations and the cover-up of wrongdoing officers provided by those duty-bound to investigate them. I respectfully propose that we meet in your office, otherwise on Zoom, to 'settle' on a course of action, to wit, from discreet approaches to derelict authorities; an 'expository webinar'; to a press conference that launches a generalized media investigation and turns you into nationally recognized Champions of Justice.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

April 4, 2023

**If a former president can be held accountable,
so can prosecutors, police officers, and judges for their abuse of power
as well as the commissions on judicial conduct that cover for them.**

Top media outlets have exposed how they abuse people indiscriminately,
and a current story illustrates how you too may have been abused.
A test case can expose them, demand compensation, and compel reform.[‡]

A. Media outlets that have exposed abuse in an unaccountable justice system

1. *The Wall Street Journal*, in its article “131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest”, published initially on September 28, 2021, wrote thus:

[Federal] judges failed to recuse themselves from 685 lawsuits from 2010 to 2018 involving firms in which they or their family held shares, a *Wall Street Journal* investigation found...Alerted to the violations by the Journal, 56 of the judges have directed court clerks to notify parties in 329 lawsuits that they should have recused themselves. That means new judges might be assigned, potentially upending rulings.

- a. One of its updating articles, published on April 27, 2022, under the title “[Dozens of Federal Judges Had Financial Conflicts...](#)”, stated the following:

A *Wall Street Journal* investigation found that 152 federal judges around the nation have violated U.S. law and judicial ethics by overseeing 1,076 [court cases](#) involving companies in which they or their family owned stock. As a result of the Journal’s reporting, judges in 883 cases have notified courts that they presided in the lawsuits improperly and that the cases are eligible to be reopened.

2. Thomson Reuters is a major U.S. news organization with some 2,500 journalists and some 600 photojournalists. In “The Teflon Robe” report, whose [first of three parts](#) was published on June 30, 2020, it reported “hardwired judicial corruption”: corruption that is an integral element of state judiciaries and that intertwines their judges and the conniving entities duty-bound to supervise them. Far from doing so, those entities cover up their abuse of power by not investigating, let alone punishing, them, not even disclosing the names of complained-about judges and their accomplices.
3. *The Boston Globe* published on September 30, 2018, its [investigative report](#) “Inside our secret courts”, in whose “private criminal hearings, who you are –and who you know– may be just as important as right and wrong”. Those conducting the hearings may not be lawyers or know the law.
4. The Center for Public Integrity published “[Federal judges plead guilty](#): The Center found 26 examples since 2010 where federal appellate judges ruled on cases in which they had a financial conflict”; Reity O’Brien, Kytja Weir, and Chris Young; CPI; April 28, 2014
5. You may not want to cede the scoop to the above courageous competitors or to *The New York Times*¹, *The New Yorker*², *The Washington Post*, [Above the Law](#), [LexisNexis](#), by letting them expose how judges coordinate their complicit mutual protection through systemic [exoneration](#)

¹ “2 Ex-Timesmen Say They Had a Tip on Watergate First”, by Reporter Richard Pérez-Peña, who rightly remarked that “If [Mr. Phelps’s] and Mr. Smith’s accounts are correct, *The Times* missed a chance to get the jump on the greatest story in a generation”; *NYT*; 24may09. Do not let others jump on this tip.

² Its article exposing sexual abuser Harvey Weinstein, together with that of *The New York Times*, published on October 10 and 5, 2017, respectively, caused the *MeToo!* movement to erupt. The world has not been the same since. Their articles are precedent for the transformative impact that an article can have.

from complaints and abuse their power as their institutionalized modus operandi to run risklessly their judiciaries for their gain and convenience(OL2:455§§B, D) as [racketeering enterprises](#).³

B. Story of abuse by prosecutors and the NYPD covered up by the Commissioner, the Chief Judge, the Mayor, et al. though affecting likely thousands of people

6. On May 23 and 24, 2022, Bronx ADA Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta presented an indictment for murder allegedly committed on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street flanked by restaurants and bodegas. When they asked whether grand jurors had questions, I, a grand juror, asked critical ones because the 12 exhibits that they presented contained:
 - a. not a single photo or video of the scene of the crime or of the victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring restaurants and bodegas, or any bystander...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police report or autopsy report by the medical examiner; no statement by relatives;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
7. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of ‘being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable’; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; showed no evidence; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
8. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to his supervisor, Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, at the Bronx SCt. criminal term. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. Busching. The latter, as judge in his own cause, biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. They condoned and aided prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on false accusations. Thousands may have been indicted, sent to prison, devastated financially while trying to make bail, and ruined professionally and reputationally by being tainted with a criminal record.
9. NYPD Commissioner Keechant Sewell and Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Miguel Iglesias; former NYPD captain and now NYC Mayor Eric Adams; Public Advocate Jumaane Williams, and many other public officers(OL3:1568) have failed to even reply to my repeatedly submitted complaint. [Chief Judges](#) Janet DiFiore and Anthony Cannataro have been derelict in their supervisory duties as have IGs. The [Commission on Judicial Conduct](#) dismissed the complaint by dishonestly alleging that ‘those judges are not NYS judges, so we lack jurisdiction’. The inaction of these officers points to an implicitly or explicitly coordinated reciprocally beneficial agreement not to investigate.

C. Proposed action: a presentation by me, and an investigation and articles by us


10. I respectfully propose that journalists and their outlets take action on behalf of thousands and the integrity of the system. Let’s meet in your office or on Zoom, followed by a press conference that launches a media investigation and turns you into nationally recognized Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

³ See my three-volume study^{*†*} of judges and their judiciaries titled “[Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting](#)”. Also, visit my website [Judicial Discipline Reform](#) at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. My articles posted there have so positively impressed its countless webvisitors that as of April 22, 2023, they had turned into subscribers 47,390 of them.

OL3:1580 ^{*}http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144
^{*}.../OL/... >all prefixes:# up to OL:393 [†].../OL2/... >from OL2:394-1143

April 6, 2023



Dear Ms. Wisecup and fellow NTD journalists and assigning editors,

1. Thank you for your courteous acceptance of the flyer that I tendered to you in Lower Manhattan, NYC, while former president Donald Trump was being arraigned in court. The text of the flyer is reproduced hereunder; its **blue words** are links through which to download additional information.
2. As I emphasized when we met, the flyer contains my proposal for you and your colleagues to work on behalf of the likely thousands of people that have been falsely accused by prosecutors and NYPD officers, including detectives, with the connivance of judges. It is reasonable to expect that if you and your colleagues were to scoop this story, you all could thereby launch a generalized media investigation through our country at a time when current events, e.g., the current investigations of Mr. Trump and demonstrations against police brutality, have focused the national public's attention on the fairness and honesty of prosecutors, the police, and judges.
3. The proposed investigation is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking skills, which undergird my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries titled:
**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**
4. The study collects and discusses evidence(OL:194§E) showing that **judges** coordinate their implicit mutual protection through systemic **exoneration** from complaints. Also, their unaccountability is protected connivingly by the **politicians** who put them in office and for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench' as well as a frightening threat given judges' retaliatory power if politicians criticize, let alone, investigate, them. Unaccountable, judges abuse their power risklessly as their institutionalized modus operandi for their gain and convenience(OL2:455 §§B, D) individually and as a **coordinated class**. As a result, they run their judiciaries as **racketeering enterprises**.
5. Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted so many webvisitors that as of 16 April 2023 it had turned into subscribers 47,333 of them.
6. The proposed investigation can do for you and NTD what the investigation did for those who scooped the breaking and entering into the Democratic National Headquarters at the Watergate complex in DC on June 17, 1972, namely, then-rookie reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein of *The Washington Post*, and those who continued to lend them their unwavering support, to wit, *WP* publisher Katharine Graham and editor Ben Bradlee.
7. Their competent and courageous journalistic investigation and publication were rewarded with a

Pulitzer Prize, a best-seller, and a blockbuster movie, both bearing the title *All the President's Men* - a reference to all his White House aides ending up in jail-; and the catapulting of *WP* to the level of *The New York Times* as a preeminent investigative media outlet. Also, as part of the Watergate scandal that they broke, they are studied in all schools of journalism for good reason: They were instrumental in causing the unthinkable to pass: the resignation of president Nixon on August 8, 1974.

8. However, the stakes of the proposed investigation are much higher. The investigation will take place at the most propitious time, that is, when not only the four current investigations of Trump, but also the primaries and the general campaign for the 2024 presidential election will keep directing journalistic attention to prosecutors, the police, and the courts, and make the national public ever more critical and demanding of consequences for unethical and illegal conduct.
9. As a result, the unthinkable can happen: the resignation of one, several, or all the justices of the U.S. Supreme Court for committing as principals offenses or even only failing to "avoid improprieties" (Canon 2 of the [Code of Conduct](#) for U.S. Judges), and covering up as accessories those of their colleagues. Proof of that is the ever more numerous calls for investigating Justice Clarence Thomas.
10. The resignation of justices is thinkable on the strength of multiple precedents([OL3:1482, Section C](#)). This can bring about, not just the fall of the top man of a branch, i.e., president Nixon's, but rather a branch itself, that is, the Federal Judiciary. Indeed, their resignation is bound to incite journalists to investigate lower court judges who have engage in improprieties under the protection of justices, beginning with circuit judges who were the peers of justices that served as circuit judges.
11. Section A. of the article below[‡] discusses the extensive investigations by top media outlets from which you can conclude that abuse of power and corruption are pervasive in the Federal Judiciary as well as in the state justice systems.
12. Section B. shows how launching the proposed investigation is realistic and manageable because it is limited to abuse in one district attorney's office and one court of a single state based on the concrete leads that it contains: names of people and places, dates of events, nature of abuse, etc.
13. For good measure, the second article hereunder[‡] provides a brief description of cases that I have made ripe for class action. Their journalistic investigation is promising because they involve millions of abusees and a corresponding large audience interested in the exposure of their abusers, obtaining compensation, and compelling justice system reform. They are the people abused by:
 - a. the public officers who in their personal and collective interest abuse the means and opportunity of their government entities to intercept the emails and mail of the public at large in order to detect and suppress those critical of them.
 - b. Medicare and HMOs, which condone illegal balance billing and surprise medical bills, both of which can drive their insureds into a financial predicament where they have to choose between paying those bills, buying food, making rent...or declaring bankruptcy;
 - c. Walgreens (the second largest pharmacy chain in the U.S.) and its purchase incentivizing Cash Rewards program that is a bait and switch scam to deceive tens of millions of its customers.
14. Therefore, I offer to lay out the proposed investigation at a presentation via video conference, such as on Zoom, to you and your fellow journalists and assigning editors to be followed by a Questions & Answers session. That presentation can be the beginning of a joint journalistic investigation by you all and me that can make you a nationally recognized media outlet led by Champions of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

Judicial Discipline Reform 2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. 1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

January 23, 2023

Ms. Marissa Britton
Duane Morris, LLP

Dear Ms. Britton,

Thank you for confirming my registration for the presentation this coming Thursday, January 26, of the Duane Morris book reviewing 2023 class actions. I am eager to attend, which I will do via Zoom, since I live in New York City.

Indeed, on January 7, I mailed a proposal for the joint prosecution of cases that I have brought to a ripe state for class action, as described below. Though I sent four individualized letters to partners Gerard Maatman, Esq., and Jennifer A. Riley, Esq., both in your Chicago office, and Melissa S. Geller, Esq., in New York City, and Max H. Stern, Esq., in San Francisco, CA, I have not heard from any of them.

Therefore, I would be grateful if you would let me know whether those colleagues of yours have received my letter to each of them. In any event, the letter is reproduced hereunder and can be downloaded through the link in the footer[‡].

I am interested in discussing my proposal with your colleagues, whether via Zoom or, if in your office here in New York City, in person. Time is of the essence because I have approached other law firms that have proved their capacity to prosecute class actions. Consequently, I would be grateful to you if you could arrange such discussion.

In this context, you and your colleagues may wish to learn something about me. That you can do by reviewing the articles referred to in my letter to them .

Moreover, you can review the articles that I have written and the subjects on which I can write articles on commission^(‡) (§A and §B, respectively). They bear on one of the most topical issues of our national debate: holding the powerful and well-connected accountable, from the current and former presidents; the January 6 Capitol rioters; to a Supreme Court searching for the leaker to the press of the draft abortion opinion; a politician who lied his way into the House of Representatives; a List-A movie actor and director charged with having killed a colleague on a movie set; etc.

My proposed class actions aim to hold abusive public officers and public and commercial entities accountable. They will benefit thousands of little people who cannot avoid their abuse.

In addition, you can review my website **Judicial Discipline Reform**, where some of my articles have been posted. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and as of 22 January 2023, it had turned into subscribers 46,250 of them. How many writers, let alone lawyers or even law firms, do you know who have a website with so many readers?

Those subscribers are people who in spite of the information overload that burdens everybody today, want to receive more of what I write. They are the kind of well-educated, affluent, and professionally successful readers of intellectually demanding publications such as *The Wall Street Journal*, *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, *TIME*, *The New Yorker*, etc. My website offers a platform on which to advertise the class actions and attract those readers, who can be influencers whether or not they are class members.

I bring to the table things of value. Let's sit down and discuss them. Thus, I look forward to hearing from you and your colleagues.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_class_actions-Duane_Morris_LLP.pdf



Certificate Of Mailing

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

To: Twyla Carter, Esq.
Attorney-in-Chief & CEO
The Legal Aid Society
199 Water St., NY, NY 10038

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
BRONX, NY
10462
APR 21, 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2305M144516-3



RDC 99



Certificate O

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

To: Andrew Ceresney, Esq.
Co-Chair, Litigation Dept.
Debevoise & Plimpton, LLP
66 Hudson Blvd., NY, NY 10001

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
BRONX, NY
10462
APR 21, 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2305M144516-3



RDC 99

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065



Certificate Of M

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

To: Ms Jamie Smith Hopkins
Editor, Center for Public Integrity
910 17th St, NW, Suite 1030
Washington, DC 20006

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
BRONX, NY
10462
APR 21, 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2305M144516-3



RDC 99



Certificate Of Ma

This Certificate of Mailing provides evidence that mail has been presented to USPS® for mailing. This form may be used for domestic and international mail.

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
2165 Bruckner Blvd.
Bronx, NY 10472

To: Prof. Lawrence Tribe
of Counsel
Kaplan Hecker & Fink
350 5th Av., 63rd Fl, NY, NY 10118

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
BRONX, NY
10462
APR 21, 23
AMOUNT
\$1.85
R2305M144516-3



RDC 99

PS Form 3817, April 2007 PSN 7530-02-000-9065

OL3:1584



PARKCHESTER
1449 WEST AVE
BRONX, NY 10462-9998
(800)275-8777

04/21/2023

05:39 PM

Product Qty Unit Price

First-Class Mail® 1 \$0.87
Letter
Washington, DC 20006
Weight: 0 lb 1.10 oz
Estimated Delivery Date
Tue 04/25/2023
Cert of Mailing \$1.85
Affixed Postage -\$0.63
Affixed Amount: \$0.63
Total \$2.09

First-Class Mail® 1 \$0.87
Letter
New York, NY 10118
Weight: 0 lb 1.30 oz
Estimated Delivery Date
Mon 04/24/2023
Cert of Mailing \$1.85
Affixed Postage -\$0.63
Affixed Amount: \$0.63
Total \$2.09

First-Class Mail® 1 \$0.63
Letter
New York, NY 10001
Weight: 0 lb 0.80 oz
Estimated Delivery Date
Mon 04/24/2023
Cert of Mailing \$1.85
Affixed Postage -\$0.63
Affixed Amount: \$0.63
Total \$1.85

First-Class Mail® 1 \$0.63
Letter
New York, NY 10038
Weight: 0 lb 0.90 oz
Estimated Delivery Date
Mon 04/24/2023
Cert of Mailing \$1.85
Affixed Postage -\$0.63
Affixed Amount: \$0.63
Total \$1.85

Grand Total: \$7.88

Credit Card Remit \$4.00

Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXXX9750
Approval #: 02584M
Transaction #: 261
AID: A000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Credit Card Remit \$3.88

Card Name: MasterCard
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXXX2499
Approval #: 00849M
Transaction #: 262
AID: A000000041010 Chip
AL: MasterCard
PIN: Not Required

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

April 21, 2023

Prof. Lawrence Tribe, of Counsel and Roberta Kaplan, Esq., Partner
Kaplan Hecker & Fink, 350 Fifth Avenue, 63rd Floor, NY, NY 10118; tel. (212) 763-0883

Dear Professor Tribe and Attorney Kaplan,[‡]

1. When you, Prof. Tribe, joined Kaplan Hecker & Fink, [Reuters](#) reported that you “chose it ‘because of the quality of its legal work, its mix of corporate and public-interest cases and its willingness to take on high-profile matters’ and your ‘eagerness to get involved in cases...that pose a threat to democracy and the rule of law’; and that you, Ms. Kaplan, ‘credited him with helping ‘pave the groundwork’ for recent legal wins for LGBTQ equality’”. This is a proposal¹ to join forces to prosecute cases that meet your criteria and are ripe for class action², as shown briefly below and in detail in the [linked[‡]](#) files.
 - a. While serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and NYPD officers charged people with a murder that the latter could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought in reliance on grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge. Late enough, he sent it to the [grand jury judge](#), who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. I filed a complaint with the [Chief Judge](#), the NYS and NYC administrative judges, the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau chief and the [Commissioner](#), [Bronx council](#) members, [public advocates](#), [et al.](#), who have not replied. They are part of the defendant class as [accessories](#) who fail to investigate judges to avoid retaliation³, condoning in self-interest abuse by indictments on false accusations. The abusers’ class action can earn their attorneys national recognition as Champions of Justice.⁴
 - b. [Medicare](#) administers \$100s of billions for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in, their networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds’ claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare’s schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. Most insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change. I appealed to the Medicare Appeals [Council](#). After I appeal to the Medicare Board, the class action can be filed in district court.
 - c. [Walgreens](#) is [described](#) as having had \$139.5 billion in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards. It is a misnomer, for rewards are not earned by paying in cash and cannot be redeemed for cash despite its false advertisement: “Save time. Redeem your rewards instantly at checkout”. But at checkout you cannot pay the total cost of the purchase with your Cash Rewards. You can only apply a single “tier” of \$1, \$3, \$5, or \$10 if it is equal to or less than the purchase cost. You must pay the balance with your money. Your rewards, though earned, are not yours, for they expire. The program is a bait and switch scam. This is a test case for suing big businesses that make enormous gains by defrauding millions of customers of small amounts that do not justify the substantial cost of individual prosecution.
2. There is neither democracy when the ruling class coordinate their abuse of power to trample upon the rule of law; nor acceptable equality when everybody can be abused by being falsely accused. I respectfully request that we meet in your office or via Zoom for a [presentation](#) on the above and other proposed [joint actions](#), and Qs & As.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ProfLTribe_AttrKaplan.pdf

Endnotes

¹ This letter and its link[†] can be shared with others potentially interested in joining any of the class actions and attending my presentation on these high profile cases in the public interest. The presentation is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking skills, which undergird my three-volume study*[†] of judges and their judiciaries titled and downloadable thus:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*[†]

a. The study discusses evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges complicitly **exonerate** each other; and are protected connivingly by the **politicians** who put them in office and deem them 'our men and women on the bench'. Risklessly, they engage in abuse of power for their gain and convenience individually and as a class that **coordinate** the running of judiciaries as **racketeering enterprises**.

b. Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted so many webvisitors that as of 22 April 2023 it had turned into subscribers 47,390 of them. They are potential class members.

² The class actions can expect sympathetic juries. Indeed, since the advent of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and racial and socio-economic inequality, the national public has become ever more intolerant of all forms of abuse, as expressed in its self-assertive rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore*. Trump lawyers settled a case on their way to the first trial day rather than risk a huge verdict from one of Bronx blue collar juries, known for their negative attitude toward big companies and government.

³ Developments in the judiciary establish strong precedents that support a favorable expectation:

a. In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S., the Judicial Conference, et al.*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit held on 26 April '22 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities, including **judges**, can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. The plaintiff's exposure of complicit coordination caused the bench of the Court to recuse themselves!

b. Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** on 8 June '22 for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty. USA Gymnastics and U.S. Olympic Committee had to pay **\$380 million**.

c. A PA state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay **\$206 million** in compensatory and punitive damages.

⁴ Led by their **motive** of protecting and increasing their gains, e.g., by breaking the law, as revealed by *The Wall Street Journal*-, federal judges **intercept**(18 U.S.C. §§2511) people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. The Federal Judiciary has the **means**, as it runs one of the largest national computer networks and has the Information Technology (IT) expertise necessary therefor: It handles daily the filing, storage, and retrieval of 100s of millions of briefs, motions, applications, case records, reports, dockets, calendars, orders, decisions, rules, etc., through its Public Access to Court Electronic Records (**PACER**) system. Judges have the **opportunity** to also compel such interception by the intelligence agencies to which in a quid pro quo they grant 100% of their secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under **FISA** (50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c).

a. There is **proposed** to hire **IT experts** to examine the computers of judges' critics. If the communications to and from you were intercepted, you can join the class action against the interceptor for treble damages³ and attorneys' fees. Cf. former CBS reporter Sharyl(1551¶¶a-c) Attkisson **sued** the Dept. of Justice for \$35 million for hacking into her computers to spy on her investigations.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

April 21, 2023

Twyla Carter, Esq., Attorney-in-Chief & CEO
The Legal Aid Society
199 Water Street, NY, NY 10038
info@legal-aid.org; tel. (212)577 3300

Andrew Ceresney, Esq., Partner
Co-chair, Litigation Department
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP; tel. (212)909-6947
66 Hudson Blvd, NY, NY 10001

Dear Att. Carter and Att. Ceresney,[‡]

1. You were properly pleased when the court denied the dismissal motion in *Douglas v. City of NY*, which “revolves around the NYPD’s practice of detaining individuals for low-level offenses instead of distributing appearance tickets.” How displeased would you be by the NYPD and courts abetting indictments for even murder based on false accusations? This is a proposal¹ to jointly prosecute abusive entities that “deny justice” to many individuals unable to defend themselves individually². We can help them **out of court** and through class actions, as shown below and in the [linked[‡]](#) files.
 - a. While serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and NYPD officers charged people with a murder that the latter could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought in reliance on grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge. Late enough, he sent it to the [grand jury judge](#), who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. I filed a complaint with the [Chief Judge](#), the NYS and NYC administrative judges, the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau chief and the [Commissioner](#), [Bronx council](#) members, [public advocates](#), [et al.](#), who have not replied. They are part of the defendant class as [accessories](#) who fail to investigate judges to avoid retaliation³, abetting in self-interest abuse by indictments on false accusations. We should jointly “[hold the NYPD, NYC \[et al.\] accountable](#)” and become Champions of Justice.⁴
 - b. [Medicare](#) administers \$100s of billions for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in, their networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds’ claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare’s schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. Most insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change. I appealed to the Medicare Appeals [Council](#). After I appeal to the Medicare Board, the class action can be filed in district court.
 - c. [Walgreens](#) is [described](#) as having had \$139.5 billion in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards. It is a misnomer, for rewards are not earned by paying in cash and cannot be redeemed for cash despite its false advertisement: “Save time. Redeem your rewards instantly at checkout”. But at checkout you cannot pay the total cost of the purchase with your Cash Rewards. You can only apply a single “tier” of \$1, \$3, \$5, or \$10 if it is equal to or less than the purchase cost. You must pay the balance with your money. Your rewards, though earned, are not yours, for they expire. The program is a bait and switch scam. This is a test case for suing big businesses that make enormous gains by defrauding millions of customers of small amounts that do not justify the substantial cost of individual prosecution.
2. I respectfully request that we meet in your office or via Zoom for a [presentation](#) on the above and other proposed [joint actions](#), and Qs & As.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-LegalAidSociety_DebevoisePlimpton.pdf

April 21, 2023

Ms. Jamie Smith Hopkins, editor
The Center for Public Integrity, 910 17th Street, NW, Suite 1030; Washington, DC 20006

Ms. Jennifer LaFleur, senior editor

Dear Ms. Hopkins and Ms. LaFleur,[‡]

1. Your Center and donation motto is “Rooted in Accountability: Support investigative journalism that makes a change”. This is a proposal¹ to jointly “produce independent journalism that exposes betrayals of public trust” by entities that abuse people incapable of defending themselves individually. We can “change” their “inequality of power” by defending them as a class² that holds abusers accountable, as [CPI did in 2014](#)[‡]; forces “a more just relationship”; and earns the biggest ‘donation’.
 - a. While serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and NYPD officers charged people with a murder that the latter could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought in reliance on grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge. Late enough, he sent it to the [grand jury judge](#), who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. I filed a complaint with the [Chief Judge](#), the NYS and NYC administrative judges, the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau chief and the [Commissioner](#), [Bronx council](#) members, [public advocates](#), [et al.](#), who have not replied. They are part of the defendant class as [accessories](#) who fail to investigate judges to avoid retaliation³, condoning in self-interest abuse by indictments on false accusations. The abusees’ class action can earn their attorneys national recognition as Champions of Justice.⁴
 - b. [Medicare](#) administers \$100s of billions for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in, their networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds’ claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare’s schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. Most insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change. I appealed to the Medicare Appeals [Council](#). After I appeal to the Medicare Board, the class action can be filed in district court.
 - c. [Walgreens](#) is [described](#) as having had \$139.5 billion in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards. It is a misnomer, for rewards are not earned by paying in cash and cannot be redeemed for cash despite its false advertisement: “Save time. Redeem your rewards instantly at checkout”. But at checkout you cannot pay the total cost of the purchase with your Cash Rewards. You can only apply a single “tier” of \$1, \$3, \$5, or \$10 if it is equal to or less than the purchase cost. You must pay the balance with your money. Your rewards, though earned, are not yours, for they expire. The program is a bait and switch scam. This is a test case for suing big businesses that make enormous gains by defrauding millions of customers of small amounts that do not justify the substantial cost of individual prosecution.
2. There is no accountability when the powerful coordinate their mutual protection to risklessly abuse individuals. We can hold them accountable when we support each other. I respectfully request that we meet via Zoom for a [presentation](#) on the above and other proposed [joint actions](#), and Qs&As.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

April 21, 2023

Mr. Gabe Roth, Executive Director
Fix the Court, 348 4th Av., #1007, Brooklyn, NY 11215
tel. (202) 780-4990; Gabe@FixTheCourt.com

Mr. Geoff Bennett, Co-anchor
NewsHour Productions
3939 Campbell Av., Arlington, VA 22206

Dear Mr. Roth and Mr. Bennett,[‡]

1. I listened with interest to [your interview](#) on April 13, 2023, regarding Justice Clarence Thomas's ethically questionable non-disclosure of the lavish gifts and trips that he received from Republican activist billionaire Harlan Crow. This is a proposal to jointly pursue 'the fixing of the courts', including the Supreme Court([jur:47§c](#); [65§B](#)), by promoting investigative([§A](#)) and informative([§B](#)) action concerning state and [federal](#) judges' abuse of power committed individually and in [coordination](#) among themselves and with prosecutors, police, politicians, witnesses, etc. Concrete, feasible, fixing actions are set forth in my [out-of-court strategy](#) to inform the national public of, and outrage it at, their abuse. The actions mentioned below are ripe for investigation and publication.
2. They are supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking skills, which undergird my three-volume study*^{† ♣} of judges and their judiciaries, thus titled and downloadable:
**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*^{† ♣}**
3. The study collects and discusses [evidence\(OL:194§E\)](#) showing that [judges](#) complicitly coordinate their protection from complaints by [exonerating](#) each other. They are also protected connivingly by the [politicians](#) who put them in office and for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench' and a clear and present danger given judges' retaliatory power([Lsch:17§C](#)) if politicians criticize, let alone, investigate, them. Assured of their unaccountability, judges risklessly abuse their power as their [institutionalized](#) modus operandi for their gain([¶1](#) infra) and convenience([OL2:455§§B, D](#)). The [patterns](#) of their conduct reveal that judges run their judiciaries as [racketeering enterprises](#).
4. Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors that as of 20 April 2023 the number of those who had become subscribers was 47,387. They are probably outraged by this:
5. **Action 1:** An indictment for murder was presented to a grand jury by Bronx ADA Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta on May 23 and 24, 2022. It was allegedly committed by two individuals on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street flanked by restaurants and bodegas. When the prosecutors asked whether grand jurors had questions, I, one of them, asked critical ones that doubted the relevance and sufficiency of their 12 exhibits, which contained:
 - a. not one photo or video of the alleged crime scene or victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring and still open restaurants and bodegas, or a bystander...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police report or medical examiner autopsy report; no statement by relatives;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
6. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of 'being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable'; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; showed no evidence; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ExecDirGRoth_CoanchorGBennett.pdf

7. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to his supervisor, Administrative Justice Alvin [Yearwood](#), tel. (718)618-3700, at the Bronx SCt. criminal term. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. [Busching](#). The latter, as judge in his own cause, biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. They condoned and abetted prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on false accusations. Thousands may have been indicted, sent to prison, devastated financially while trying to make bail, and ruined professionally and reputationally by being tainted with a criminal record.
8. [NYPD](#) Commissioner Keechant Sewell and Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Miguel Iglesias; former NYPD captain and now [NYC Mayor](#) Eric Adams; [Public Advocate](#) Jumaane Williams; and other public [officers\(OL3:1568\)](#) have not replied to my repeatedly submitted complaint. Neither have IGs and [Chief Judges](#) Janet DiFiore and Anthony Cannataro, derelict in their supervisory duties.
9. **Action 2:** I filed a complaint with the NYS [Commission on Judicial Conduct](#) (the Commission or CJC) about the above-described events. It was acknowledged as [received on](#) Sept. 23, 2022. My description sufficed for CJC to recognize those judges as members of the NYS Unified Court System (UCS), which CJC could have confirmed by looking up their bionotes on the UCS's [website](#). Knowledge of their UCS membership is imputed to all Commission members and their assistants.
10. Yet, on November 3, 2022, Administrative Assistant Lee [Kiklier](#) sent me letter "Re: 2022/N-1084"[‡] dismissing the complaint because "Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint". In my letter to CJC of November 7^{*}, I protested those knowingly and thus intentionally false grounds for dismissal.
11. On December 6, Executive Assistant to the Deputy Administrator Laura A. [Soto](#) wrote in "Re: File No. 2022/N-1259-60" that "Your complaint will be presented to the Commission, which will decide whether or not to inquire into it"[‡]. The Commission includes lawyers and three fellow UCS judges.
12. On January 10, 2023, Clerk of the Commission Celia A. [Zahner](#) wrote in "Re: File No. 2022/N-1084": "The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint. [Its] jurisdiction is limited to misconduct of judges of the New York State unified court system (sic)".
13. A CJC impudent enough to tell such a blatant falsehood to a lawyer, like me, must be presumed to place no bounds on its dishonesty when dealing with laypersons, covering up all sort of egregious and injurious unethical and illegal conduct of the named and unnamed judges in their complaints.
14. The Commission, as the principal, has stated by its assistants that my complaint would be and was presented to it. The dismissal is the Commission's decision. CJC predicated it on "false statements" to cover for judges, prosecutors, and NYPD officers. All of them have engaged in a "pattern of criminal activity of a criminal enterprise of a group of persons sharing a common purpose", a felony under the Enterprise Corruption Law, NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-[PEN §460](#), NY's version of the federal [Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act\(18 USC §1961\)](#).
15. **Proposed action:** I respectfully offer to present in your office or via Zoom to you and your colleagues, followed by a Q&A session, the above and other proposed [joint actions](#) to 'fix the courts' by, e.g., **a.** persuading the NewsHour and comparable media outlets to **i)** [investigate](#) the above-described actions on behalf of thousands of people injured by indictments and dismissals based on falsehoods and **ii)** [publish\(§A\)](#) one or a series of my([§§A-B](#)) and our articles; **b.** calling on complainants to CJC to send us copies of their complaints to search them for [patterns](#); **c.** petitioning for the release of the indictment, discharge transcript, and sworn statement([¶¶5-7↑](#)); etc.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

April 21, 2023

Justice Clarence Thomas's concealment of the lavish gifts and trips that he received from Republican activist billionaire Harlan Crow, and of real estate sales, and the complicit lack of criticism by his fellow justices, never mind investigation by the Supreme Court, are examples of abuse of power, unaccountability, and condonation set for all judiciaries. They lend credence to the following instances thereof.‡

1. This is a proposal to jointly promote investigative (§A) and informative (§B) action to expose abuse of power by unaccountable state and federal judges, including Supreme Court justices (jur:47§c; 65§B), committed individually and in coordination among themselves and with prosecutors, police officers, politicians, etc. Concrete, realistic, feasible actions are set forth in the [out-of-court strategy](#) to inform the national public of, and outrage it at, their abuse. The actions mentioned here are ripe for investigation and publication now, when public attention is ever more focused on judges.
2. They are supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking skills, which undergird my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, thus titled and downloadable:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

3. The study discusses [evidence\(OL:194§E\)](#) showing that judges complicitly coordinate their protection from complaints by [exonerating](#) each other. They are also protected connivingly by the [politicians](#) who put them in office and for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench' and a clear and present danger given judges' retaliatory power([Lsch:17§C](#)) if politicians criticize, let alone, investigate, them. Assured of their unaccountability, judges risklessly abuse their power as their [institutionalized](#) modus operandi for their gain([OL3:1579§A](#)) and convenience([OL2:455§§B, D](#)). The [patterns](#) of their conduct reveal that judges run their judiciaries as [racketeering enterprises](#).
4. Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. They have attracted so many webvisitors that as of 20 April 2023 the number of those who had become subscribers was 47,387. They are probably outraged by this:
5. **Action 1:** An indictment for murder was presented to a grand jury by Bronx ADA Burim Namani and supervising ADA Diana Jetta on May 23 and 24, 2022. It was allegedly committed by two individuals on or around May 24, 2021, early in the evening in a Bronx street flanked by restaurants and bodegas. When the prosecutors asked whether grand jurors had questions, I, one of them, asked critical ones that doubted the relevance and sufficiency of their 12 exhibits, which contained:
 - a. not one photo or video of the alleged crime scene or victim whether taken by the police, a surveillance camera of the neighboring and still open restaurants and bodegas, or a bystander...in the age of the ubiquitous smartphone with camera and a citizens journalist mentality!;
 - b. no police report or medical examiner autopsy report; no statement by relatives;
 - c. nothing but the allegations of five NYPD officers, including detectives, and an alleged friend that had been walking with the alleged victim that evening but who did not witness the murder.
6. On May 25, I was summoned to the courtroom of Grand Jury Justice Laurence E. Busching. He acted as lead counsel for those who had accused me of 'being disruptive and making other grand jurors feel uncomfortable'; denied me the opportunity to confront them and present witnesses; showed no evidence; had me surrounded by four intimidating NYPD officers; and discharged me.
7. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to his supervi-

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-investigating_&_exposing_judges.pdf

sor, Administrative Justice Alvin [Yearwood](#), tel. (718)618-3700, at the Bronx SCt. criminal term. Without acknowledging receipt or taking my calls, he forwarded it to J. [Busching](#). The latter, as judge in his own cause, biasedly dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. They condoned and abetted prosecutors and NYPD officers seeking indictments on false accusations. Thousands may have been indicted, sent to prison, devastated financially while trying to make bail, and ruined professionally and reputationally by being tainted with a criminal record.

8. [NYPD](#) Commissioner Keechant Sewell and Internal Affairs Bureau Chief Miguel Iglesias; former NYPD captain and now [NYC Mayor](#) Eric Adams; [Public Advocate](#) Jumaane Williams; and other public [officers\(OL3:1568\)](#) have not replied to my repeatedly submitted complaint. Neither have IGs and [Chief Judges](#) Janet DiFiore and Anthony Cannataro, derelict in their supervisory duties.
9. **Action 2:** I filed a complaint with the NYS [Commission on Judicial Conduct](#) (the Commission or CJC) about the above-described events. It was acknowledged as [received on](#) Sept. 23, 2022. My description sufficed for CJC to recognize those judges as members of the NYS Unified Court System (UCS), which CJC could have confirmed by looking up their bios on the UCS's [website](#). Knowledge of their UCS membership is imputed to all Commission members and their assistants.
10. Yet, on November 3, 2022, Administrative Assistant Lee [Kiklier](#) sent me letter "Re: 2022/N-1084"[‡] dismissing the complaint because "Although the law requires the Commission to review all complaints, please note that the Commission's jurisdiction is limited to judges in the New York State Unified Court System, which does not appear to apply to your complaint". In my letter to CJC of November 7^{*}, I protested those knowingly and thus intentionally false grounds for dismissal.
11. On December 6, Executive Assistant to the Deputy Administrator Laura A. [Soto](#) wrote in "Re: File No. 2022/N-1259-60" that "Your complaint will be presented to the Commission, which will decide whether or not to inquire into it"[‡]. The Commission includes lawyers and three fellow UCS judges.
12. On January 10, 2023, Clerk of the Commission Celia A. [Zahner](#) wrote in "Re: File No. 2022/N-1084": "The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint. [Its] jurisdiction is limited to misconduct of judges of the New York State unified court system (sic)".
13. A CJC impudent enough to tell such a blatant falsehood to a lawyer, like me, must be presumed to place no bounds on its dishonesty when dealing with laypersons, covering up all sort of egregious and injurious unethical and illegal conduct of the named and unnamed judges in their complaints.
14. The Commission, as the principal, has stated by its assistants that my complaint would be and was presented to it. The dismissal is the Commission's decision. CJC predicated it on "false statements" to cover for judges, prosecutors, and NYPD officers. All of them have engaged in a "pattern of criminal activity of a criminal enterprise of a group of persons sharing a common purpose", a felony under the Enterprise Corruption Law, NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460, NY's version of the federal [Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act\(18 USC §1961\)](#).
15. **Proposed action:** I respectfully offer to present in your office or via Zoom, followed by a Q&A session, the above and other [joint actions](#) to investigate and expose judges' abuse of power by:
 - a. holding a press conference to encourage journalists and lawyers to i) [investigate](#) the above-described actions on behalf of thousands of people injured by indictments and dismissals based on falsehoods and ii) publish([§A](#)) one or a series of my([§§A-B](#)) and our articles;
 - b. calling on complainants to CJC to send us copies of their complaints to search them for patterns;
 - c. petitioning for the release of the indictment, discharge transcript, and statement([¶¶5-7↑](#)); etc.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 7, 2023

Proposal to lawyers, journalists, professors, and IT experts to join forces to further pursue cases with national scope that are ripe for class action and can expose abusers of power and benefit many abusees[‡]

Gerard Maatman, Esq., Partner
Duane Morris, LLP
190 S LaSalle Street, Suite 3700
Chicago, IL 60603-3433

Jennifer A. Riley, Esq., Partner
Duane Morris, LLP
190 S LaSalle Street, Suite 3700
Chicago, IL 60603-3433

[Melissa S. Geller](#), Esq., Partner
Duane Morris, LLP
1540 Broadway
New York, NY 10036-4086

Max H. Stern, Esq., Partner
Duane Morris, LLP
Spear Tower, One Market Plaza, Suite 2200
San Francisco, CA 94105-1127

Dear Mr. Stern, Ms. Geller, Ms. Riley, and Mr. Maatman,[‡]

1. This is a proposal to join forces to further prosecute any or all of the cases that I¹ have brought to ripeness for class action². If after reading their brief description below you determine that you do not have any conflict of interests, you can go to the file[‡] with active links to detailed information.
2. This search is an exercise of the rights most cherished by *We the People*, namely, those guaranteed by the 1st Amendment to the Constitution to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the people [the sovereign source of all public power in a democracy] peaceably to assemble [on the Internet too], and to petition [also through class actions] the Government [such as its third branch, the judiciary; prosecutors and the police; and its agencies, e.g., Medicare; as well as private parties] for a redress [through transparency, accountability, and compensation] of grievances”.
 - a. Medicare administers \$100s of billions for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of medical services and equipment providers.
 - 1) To advance their interests they:
 - a) deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds’ claims as possible;
 - b) disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare’s schedules of fees for medical provisions³; and
 - c) condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance.
 - 2) Most insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused by having their rights denied or disregarded.
 - 3) I appealed to the Medicare Appeals Council. After I appeal to the Medicare Board, the class action can be filed in a federal district court.⁴ The recovery can be huge and force transformative change in the health insurance system.
 - b. Walgreens is described as having had \$139.5 billion in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards. It is a misnomer, for rewards are not earned by paying in cash and cannot be redeemed for cash despite its

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144

OL3:1593

*.../OL/...pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393

†.../OL2/...2.pdf >from OL2:394-1143

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_class_actions-Duane_Morris_LLP.pdf

false advertisement: “Save time. Redeem your rewards instantly at checkout”. But at checkout you cannot pay the total cost of the purchase with your Cash Rewards. You can only apply a single “tier” per purchase of either \$1, \$3, \$5, or \$10 if it is equal to or less than the purchase cost, i.e., “tiers” cannot be stacked. You must pay the balance with your money. Your rewards, though earned, are not yours, for they expire and you can use them only at Walgreens. The program is a bait and switch scam.

1) This is a test case for suing big businesses that make enormous gains by defrauding millions of customers of small amounts that do not justify the substantial cost of individual prosecution.

c. While serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and New York Police Department (NYPD) officers charged people with a murder that those people could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought for plea bargain leverage in reliance on grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me without affording me the opportunity to confront my accusers.

1) I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge. Late enough, he sent it to the grand jury judge, who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. I filed a complaint with the NY State Chief Judge, the NYS and NYC administrative judges, the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau chief and the Commissioner, the Bronx council members, public advocates, *et al.*, who have not replied.

2) They form part of the defendant class: They do not investigate judges to avoid becoming the target of judges’ devastating power⁵ of retaliation(Lsch:17§C). They also pursue a pecuniary benefit⁶, which is anything to which a monetary value can be assigned. So arises a politicians-judges *conniving relationship*. It harms the public and the integrity of the judicial system, whereby by denying equal treatment under law, their relationship generates abusive “Judges Above the Law”.

3) Their dereliction of duty is a policy and a systemic cover-up based on actual or constructive complicit coordination; cf. companies that coordinate their unfair practices and those in restraint of trade and commerce by following the price leader without even meeting or communicating to agree on their anticompetitive conduct, which is illegal under antitrust law and case law.

4) Acting under color of law, they have violated the civil rights of the likely thousands of people whom they have, and allowed to be, indicted on false accusations, thus causing them injury in fact resulting from their having to bear the cost of bail, incarceration, and a criminal record.

5) Those people’s class action can earn their attorneys vast rewards, including treble damages, attorney's fees, and national recognition as Champions of Justice.

3. I respectfully offer to make a *presentation* on these cases via video conference or, if in your NY City office, in person. Thus, I look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

ENDNOTES

¹ This email and its above-stated link[‡] can be shared with others who are potentially interested in joining any of the class actions under [FRCP Rule 23](#) and attending my [presentation](#) on this proposal. The latter is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking; they are the skills that undergird my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, which is titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

- a. The study collects and discusses abundant evidence(OL:194§E) showing that judges ensure each other's unaccountability by systematically [dismissing 100% of complaints](#) against any fellow judge and denying 100% of petitions to review dismissals.
- b. They are also protected connivingly by the [politicians](#) who put them in office and for whom they are 'our men and women on the bench'.
- c. Judges engage in abuse of power risklessly for their gain and convenience individually and as a [coordinated class](#). Their pattern of conduct shows that they run their judiciary as a [racketeering enterprise](#). See footnotes 5 and 6 hereunder.
- d. Some of my articles have been posted to my website [Judicial Discipline Reform](#). That site has attracted countless webvisitors and as of 23 April 2023, had turned into subscribers 47,395 of them. They are potential class members.

² The class actions can expect sympathetic juries. Indeed, since the advent of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements, and the demonstrations against police brutality, and racial and socio-economic inequality, the national public has become ever more intolerant of all forms of abuse, as expressed in its self-assertive rallying cry:

Enough is enough!
We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.

³ Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the [Social Security Act](#), found in [Title 42](#) of the U.S. Code of federal laws, as modified by Section 4714 of the [Balanced Budget Act of 1997](#), prohibits Medicare providers from balance billing Medicaid QMBs [Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries] for Medicare cost-sharing. The provider must submit its bill to Medicaid and accept as full payment what Medicaid pays.

⁴ Victims of abuse by Medicare officers, including administrative law judges, can share their story with the Medicare Appeals Council. The latter is the venue for the fourth of five levels of appeal in the Medicare system (the fifth level appeal lies with the Medicare Appeals Board). Hence, if you have not gone through the previous appeal levels, which begins with your HMO or other medical services or equipment provider, the Council will not treat your story as a complaint on appeal.

- a. However, you together with as many victims as possible can submit your story in order to inform the Council of the nature, frequency, and gravity of abuse within the Medicare system. There is strength in numbers. Numerous statements from unrelated parties yet consistent with each other allow for the detection of patterns of abuse of power. Patterns make an impression in the minds of those who detect, or are made aware of, them.

1) The federal criminal code provides at [18 U.S.C. §1961\(5\)](#) that a 'pattern is

constituted of at least two acts committed within ten years’.

- b. By writing your story, you take the first step toward showing that you have questions of law or fact and claims common to the class suing Medicare and providers, and qualify as a member of the class(R.23(a)), entitled to share in any **compensation** that the action may win for it.
- c. Your story must be brief. Never-ending rambling and whining sagas are wasteful of your and everybody else’s effort and time. They are not read to the end or taken seriously.
- e. By contrast, a story written in up to 500 words is more likely to be impactful if it consists only of verifiable and accurate facts, names, and addresses identifying only the most outrageous events that may have developed during months or years of abuse and litigation. Read and apply the **two-phase method** for writing such a story. You will be happily surprised by how helpful that method is. By applying it, you can write a story that may get you invited to tell it at the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS** on judges’ unaccountability and riskless abuse of power.
- f. Your story can contribute to detecting the most persuasive type of evidence of abuse: patterns of conduct of the same, related, or similarly situated officers and their cronies that harm many unrelated individuals in similar ways. A pattern of similarities may show that officers and cronies acted in a coordinated rather than coincidental way; and that stories have common facts and claims that qualify you and other abusees as members of the class.
- g. By making public your story, you too will be asserting your rights under the **First Amendment** to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right of the [little] people peaceably to assemble [on the Internet too], and to petition [as a numerous and thus big class] the Government [of which judges form the third branch] for a redress of grievances [including by holding judges and their cronies accountable and liable to **compensation**]”.
- h. Add at the top of your story the following reference; and mail and email it to these addresses:

Reference: for consideration by the Medicare Appeals Council and
the Board in appeal M-23-386

- 1) Go to <https://dab.efile.hhs.gov/>, register, and “File correspondence...and other written material in pending case” M-23-386. Thereby your story may become part of the record that may be filed on appeal in a federal district court and contribute to the formation of the class action and the holding of the citizens hearings.
- 2) Department of Health and Human Services
Departmental Appeals Board
Medicare Appeals Council, MS 6127
Cohen Building Room G-644
330 Independence Ave., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201
- 3) DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov,
Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov

⁵ Developments in the judiciary establish strong precedents that support a favorable expectation for the class actions:

- a. In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S.*, the Judicial Conference of the U.S., the Administrative

Office of the U.S. Courts, et al., the U.S. Court of Appeals for the [Fourth Circuit](#) held on April 26, 2022, that the Federal Judiciary and its [judges](#) in their official and individual capacities can on due process and equal protection grounds be sued and held liable. The plaintiff's exposure of 4th Circuit judges' complicit coordination forced all the judges of that Court to recuse themselves! Judges from other circuits were seated by designation on the three-judge appellate panel.

- b. Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for [over \\$1 billion](#) last June 8 for its [failure to act](#) on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty. This is in addition to the [\\$380 million](#) that USA Gymnastics and the U.S. Olympic Committee had to pay to Nassar sexual abuse victims.
- c. A Pennsylvania state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/private run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay [\\$206 million](#) in compensatory and punitive damages.
- d. Trump lawyers settled a case on their way to the first trial day rather than risk a huge verdict from one of the blue-collar juries in the Bronx, New York City, known for their distrust of, and resentment toward, big companies and government; and prone to "stick it to 'em".

⁶ *The Wall Street Journal* has published a [series](#) of articles that began on September 28, 2021, under the initial title "131 [[now 152](#)] Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest".

- a. Led by their **motive** of protecting their illegal [gains](#) and gain schemes, judges [intercept](#) - which is illegal under [18 U.S.C. §§2511](#)- people's emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics.
- b. The Federal Judiciary has the **means** of doing so, as it runs one of the largest national computer networks and has the Information Technology (IT) expertise necessary therefor: It handles daily the filing, storage, and retrieval of hundreds of millions of briefs, motions, applications, records, reports, recordings, dockets, calendars, orders, decisions, certificates, etc., through its Public Access to Court Electronic Records ([PACER](#)) system.
- c. Judges have the **opportunity** to also compel such interception by the intelligence agencies to which in a quid pro quo they grant 100% of their secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act([50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c](#)).
- d. There is [proposed](#) to hire IT experts to examine the communications and computers of critics of judges. The exposure of judges' interception in a suit with counts under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act (RICO;[18 U.S.C. §1961](#)) on behalf of their victims can generate national outrage, treble damages, and attorneys' fees. The examination can be announced at a press conference intended to capture national attention and to interest critics and victims of judges' abuse in sharing their stories with the IT experts.

- 1) See former CBS reporter Sharyl [Attkisson's suit](#) against the Department of Justice for \$35 million for the latter's hacking into her home and CBS computers to spy on the stories that she was investigating and that had embarrassed the Obama administration and Attorney General Eric Holder. Eventually, AG Holder was held in contempt of Congress for withholding evidence on those stories and forced to resign.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

January 23, 2023

Ms. Marissa Britton
Duane Morris, LLP[‡]

Dear Ms. Britton,

Thank you for confirming my registration for the presentation this coming Thursday, January 26, of the Duane Morris book reviewing 2023 class actions. I am eager to attend, which I will do via Zoom, since I live in New York City.

Indeed, on January 7, I mailed a proposal for the joint prosecution of cases that I have brought to a ripe state for class action, as described below. Though I sent four individualized letters to partners Gerard Maatman, Esq., and Jennifer A. Riley, Esq., both in your Chicago office, and Melissa S. Geller, Esq., in New York City, and Max H. Stern, Esq., in San Francisco, CA, I have not heard from any of them. Therefore, I would be grateful if you would let me know whether those colleagues of yours have received my letter to each of them. In any event, the letter is reproduced hereunder and can be downloaded through this link[‡].

I am interested in discussing my proposal with your colleagues, whether via Zoom or, if in your office here in New York City, in person. Time is of the essence because I have approached other law firms that have proved their capacity to prosecute class actions. Therefore, I would be grateful to you if you could arrange such discussion.

In this context, you and your colleagues may wish to learn something about me. That you can do by reviewing the articles referred to in my letter to them. Moreover, you can review the articles that I have already written as well as the subjects on which I can write articles on commission([‡] >§A and §B, respectively). They bear on one of the most topical issues of our national debate, namely, holding the powerful and well-connected accountable, from the current and former presidents; the January 6 Capitol rioters; to a Supreme Court searching for the leaker to the press of the draft abortion opinion; a politician who lied his way into the House of Representatives; a List-A movie actor and director charged with having killed a colleague on a movie set; etc.

My proposed class actions aim to hold powerful public officers as well as public and commercial entities accountable. Their beneficiaries are thousands of little people who lack the knowledge necessary to defend themselves, never mind the wherewithal to go on the offensive to demand compensation. In addition, you can review my website Judicial Discipline Reform, where some of my articles have been posted. That site has attracted countless webvisitors and as of [23 April] 2023, it had turned into subscribers 47,396 of them. How many writers, let alone lawyers or even law firms, do you know who have a website with so many readers?

Those subscribers are people who in spite of the information overload that burdens everybody today, want to receive more of what I write. They are the kind of well-educated, affluent, and professionally successful readers of intellectually demanding publications such as *The Wall Street Journal*, *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, *TIME*, *The New Yorker*, etc.

My website offers a platform on which to advertise the class actions and attract those readers, who can be influencers whether or not they are class members.

I bring to the table valuable things. Let's discuss them via Zoom or, if NY City, in person. So, I look forward to hearing from you and your colleagues.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

January 29, 2023

Dear Advocates of Honest Judiciaries, and Duane Morris officers[‡],

Thank you for your emails.

A. Learning about the requirements and cost of a class action

1. You all can learn about class actions by watching the video of the presentation via Zoom by a preeminent class action law firm, that is, Duane Morris, LLP, of its 2023 Class Action Review 450-page book. To that end, email Ms. Marissa Britton at mbritton@duanemorris.com and Michele Gruzlewski at mgruzlewski@duanemorris.com AND call them at (312)499-0122 to request the necessary links to the digital version of the book and the video.
2. Also, you can learn about the requirements for filing and prosecuting a class action by reading [Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure](#)(Title 28 U.S. Code [of federal law] [Appendix](#)).
3. Reading those materials is particularly important if you are planning on contacting Duane Morris in search of its representation of you and similarly situated people, you should first get its book, and read its introduction and any of its 23 chapters that deal with your type of case. After you read them, you will understand why it takes about \$500,000 to conduct a class action since you need to:
 - a. hire a firm that will identify the members of the class;
 - b. give each of them the opportunity to opt in or out of the class;
 - c. prepare the motion for the court to certify the class and your lead counsel -a lawyer, not a pro se-;
 - d. defend against the most likely motion in opposition by the defendant;
 - e. if certified, serve your complaint on the defendant; etc.
4. It is very important to read and learn to be knowledgeable before demanding that a class action be filed. Only lawyers have the necessary broad legal knowledge and capacity to strategize a class action, never mind one where the defendants are judges.
5. The purpose of you acquiring that knowledge is not for you to match it with that of the Duane Morris lawyers. It is to have a reasonably calculated chance of overcoming the first hurdle:
 - a. A law firm may prosecute a class action on a contingency basis. Yet, you should show the in-take associate who interviews potential clients that you are a professional who did your homework before contacting the firm.
 - b. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. The knowledge that you acquire will distinguish you from the many pro ses who have nothing but a bunch of ill-defined or even imagined grievances; a daydream about the millions of dollars that they are going to win; and no clue about class actions and their enormous complexity and cost.

B. A key question of a class action to expose official abuse of power

6. When you contact Duane Morris, you can tell them that only one question was placed in the Q&A (Questions and Answers) box of its Zoom presentation page. Nevertheless, the presenter said that there was none, although my question was there.
7. My question goes to the heart of the complaint of countless people who have been abused or have

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_class_actions-Duane_Morris_LL.P.pdf

witnessed abuse, by judges. It follows that it is in their interest and that of all Advocates of Honest Judiciaries to have Duane Morris answer it by bringing it to the attention of the people who answer your call and who interview you:

8. In light of *Strickland* v. U.S., Judicial Conference of the U.S., the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit, et al. (4th Cir. No. 21-1346) [see Endnote 5 infra]; and the current holding of presidents, a vice president, and other top public officers, including police officers and departments, accountable:
9. Can you prosecute viably a class action against prosecutors, police officers, and judges involved in presenting, and protecting the presentation of, false accusations to a grand jury in order to obtain indictments that could be used against the defendants to press them into unfavorable plea bargains?
10. Some of the class action members are the thousands of people that may have been falsely accused and even incarcerated as a result. For more details, download the file[‡].
11. You may email that question and even this email to the people who answer your call to Duane Morris to ask for the link to its 2023 Class Action Review book and its presentation video.

C. Sharing an article to inform and outrage potential class members and enable them to tell their story

12. You have a vital interest in contributing to finding out how many potential members are out there of a class action against public officers and their protectors, to wit, unaccountable judges, whose abuse of power you too may have suffered or witnessed.
 - a. Judicial candidates are recommended, endorsed, nominated, confirmed, or appointed by politicians. Sitting judges depend on politicians to be reappointed or elevated to a higher court.
 - b. Politicians depend on judges not to retaliate against them by:
 - 1) failing to uphold and instead holding unconstitutional or lacking statutory authority their:
 - a) whole legislative agenda, laws, and regulations;
 - b) subpoenas for document production, search and seizure, and to appear and testify;
 - c) secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA), 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c;
 - 2) dismissing their lawsuits, granting summary judgment against them, or issuing biased orders during the pendency of a suit and charges to juries; etc.
 - c. As a result, politicians and judges connivingly and reciprocally protect each other.
 - d. Only an informed and outraged national public can compel politicians, lest they be voted out of, or not into, office, to investigate judges, and hold them accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
 - e. For the public to be informed and outraged, the participation of journalists and their media outlets in their own reputational and commercial interest is indispensable. In turn, to cause them to participate, your sharing and posting of these emails and articles are likewise indispensable.

13. Therefore, join in the effort to implement the out-of-court strategy to inform the national public about, and outrage it at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power. To do so, share and post the article [here](#)[†] and above(OL3:1556).
14. Moreover, when you receive emails from me:
 - a. open each of my emails whether they have the same or a different subject in the Re: box;
 - b. each of them has in its To: box a different set of email addresses. Let the addressees decide for themselves whether they want to read the article;
 - c. click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**". It takes less than eight seconds per email to do so.
15. If the "Reply All" feature is not working:
 - a. copy the set of addresses in the To: box of my email;
 - b. click "Forward";
 - c. paste it in the To: box of the email that you are forwarding; and
 - d. click "Send".

D. Telling the national public your story of abuse by judges

16. The movement that I am trying to organize is a single-issue one, for it deals exclusively with what unites millions of people across our country, namely, abuse of power by unaccountable judges. Nobody is in favor of being abused by judges.
17. Everybody may have their own ideas about all other issues; so, those issues are divisive.
18. People will be able to prosecute in fairer and more law-abiding courts any issue that they want after we have been successful in so informing the national public about, and outraging it at, abusive, unaccountable judges that the public causes politicians and the media to investigate judges and adopt legislation and enforcement mechanisms to hold them accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse.
19. Using [the two-phase method](#), addressees can easily compose their story of abuse by judges and their protégés. Thereafter, they may even be able to tell that story to the national public at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#).
20. By joining in sharing the article, Advocates of Honest Judiciaries afford themselves, abusees, and the rest of the national public the opportunity to make a collective demand for compensation.
 - a. The demand made by each abusee on his or her own is dismissed by judges systematically one at a time: the complaint dismissal rate is **100%**!
 - b. But a collective demand will resonate throughout the country as ever more abusees, their relatives, friends, and Advocates join in self-assertively shouting a *MeToo!*-like cry for compensation that cannot be gagged and that, on the contrary, is rendered louder and clearer thanks to journalists and [the media](#) acting in their own commercial and reputational interest.
21. When you join in sharing the article, you are acting in your own interest in telling your story and demanding compensation together with other members of a numerous class of people like you.
22. You can write your story now and send it under the terms and to the address provided in Endnote 4.h. hereunder and at [OL3:1528fn3](#).

Take action!...it is in your own interest.

[†] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

April 23, 2023

How pro ses harm themselves by thinking that they have a chance in court and how they can help themselves by turning the national public into their ally by informing it about, and outraging it at, judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power

Dear Ms. B, XYZ members, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

1. You wrote me an email but did not even include a salutation with my name, although that is expected common courtesy. What are the chances that you know how to write the caption of a paper, that is, the top of a complaint, brief, or motion that identifies the court, the plaintiff and the defendant, the nature of the paper, and the substance of the claim?
2. If you make a mistake in the caption, you open the door for the opposing party to file a motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction or to correct the paper within the amount of time allowed by the procedural rules, and refile. Thereby you are enmeshed in 'motion practice', which is expensive, time-consuming, and overwhelming.
3. An experienced party can burry you in motions and cause you an enormous amount of expenses needed to engage in legal research and writing to determine how to answer a motion, let alone to learn how to raise your own motions against the opposing party.
4. Substantive issues are more demanding to solve: You want to bring RICO case under the federal Racketeer Influence and Corrupt Organization Act(18 U.S.C. §1961) or a state version of it(e.g., Enterprise Corruption Law, NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460) That law is highly technical. You, as pro ses, do not stand a chance of overcoming the lack of legal expertise obstacle that you confront when bringing a RICO case.
5. Do you even know how to show that the defendants engaged in "racketeering"? To begin with, the federal RICO act does not define "racketeering". You need to read many RICO and even non-RICO cases to be able "to craft an argument" to show that whatever it is that the defendants did amounted to "racketeering" chargeable under RICO.
6. You, who hardly ever read my articles, even though they are written for your benefit, are most unlikely to read the staggering number of cases needed to be cited to support your contentions that RICO applies to your defendants and provides an adequate basis for your claims. You do not even show an awareness of jurisdictional considerations: I live in New York. I do not have a license to practice law everywhere in the U.S.
7. Then there is the lack of awareness of practical obstacles: Although the license obstacle can be overcome, who is going to pay for me to travel wherever it is that you want to bring your case, e.g., to depose witnesses and argue motions, never mind to try the case in the courtroom? Chances are that it is in any of the other 49 states or the District of Columbia. Once I have traveled there, you need to put me up in a hotel and pay for my meals.
8. There is also the obstacle of judges who are intent on advancing their own and their cronies' interest even by abusing their power over people like you, that is, pro ses. That obstacle becomes harder to overcome if a party's dealings with judges and the opposing parties have been rendered defective and liable to be used against them by the party's failure to meet the standard of conduct that the law, in general, and the law of torts, in particular, applies to every party, witness, lawyer, and juror, i.e., a man or a woman informed of the relevant circumstances and judging them reasonably by applying common sense and good judgment.

9. Parties contact me by email, mail, and phone expecting me to drop what I am doing and rush to work for them for free. To expect that I be available to spend my effort, time, and money informing myself of their circumstances and then represent them for free is not reasonable.
10. Hence the justification for my attorney's fee. It is \$350 per hour plus all necessary and incidental expenses, including, but not limited to, access to specialized databases, books, transportation, room and board, communication, etc. An initial retainer of \$7,500 –which may fluctuate depending on the work requested and agreed upon– is paid in advance, from which my fee and expenses are deducted. In the alternative, an agreement can be reached for a flat fee paid in advance to cover a specific piece of work, such as consulting.
11. Consulting involves reading the briefs supporting the judge's latest decision and that decision itself; researching appropriate law authorities; thinking strategically; and writing my analysis and the strategy that I recommend that the consulting party follow.
12. Your dealings with the judge and their cronies have informed you that they do whatever they want for the worst possible reason: because they can and can get away with it given that judges are unaccountable and hold their cronies unaccountable.
13. Their unaccountability and their consequent riskless abuse of power are the facts that you want the national public to be informed of. Only that public, informed about, and outraged at, judges' abuse, is strong enough thanks to its voting power -such as that wielded in the primaries, abortion referenda, and midterm elections- to force politicians to investigate abusive judges.
14. Help yourself and your case by informing and outraging the national public. To that end, distribute the emails that you receive from me. That you can do easily, for all you have to do is open the emails that you receive from me and click "Reply All" and "Send". While the Subject: line of those emails may be the same, the set of email addresses in the To: box of each of them is different from that of the other emails. Such distribution takes less than 8 seconds per email from me.
15. You can participate consistently in that distribution even as you continue to pursue your own case after or without consultation with me. How does it feel now when it is you who are being asked to do something, however easy and in your own interest it is, without being compensated?
16. You can do something that will not cost you a cent, namely, learn how to write in only 500 words your story of the abuse by judges that you have suffered or witnessed. The [two-phase method](#) is intended to help you do so. By carefully reading and applying it, you can write a story that is significant, accurate, and verifiable. If you cannot grab the attention of your readers in 500 words, you lose them, who will, as it were, click another key on their remote control and be gone.
17. Retain your readers by giving them only the most significant information about your story: that which shows the outrageousness of judges' abuse. The more names of people, places, events, documents, dates, etc., you provide, the more your story will appear accurate. That will make it worth verifying by your target readers: journalists. They are interested in a scoop and have the means and know-how to verify your story. No responsible journalist will publish your story without first checking it out so as to avoid a lawsuit for defamation or the appearance of credulity and naivete. You need journalists if you want them to tell your story...or better yet, if you want to earn the opportunity to tell your story in five minutes to the national public at the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#). Let the public hear your cry of outrage by applying the [two-phase method](#).
18. The more people write significant, accurate, and verifiable stories, the more journalists will want to organize the hearings. Hence, distribute this and my other articles as widely as possible.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

June 24, 2023

Bronx DA Candidate Tess Cohen and
Campaign Manager Samantha Curatola-Wilber
tel. (205)276 2707
tess@tesscohen.com, sam@tesscohen.com,
info@tesscohen.com, info@pr01.wixemails.com

Dear Ms. Cohen and Ms. Curatola-Wilber,[‡]

1. In your platform, you, Ms. Cohen, pledge to “Ensure Fairness in **Prosecutions**” because “[you have] firsthand knowledge of how the system is often rigged against people accused of crimes].
2. I just learned today about your campaign. I want to contribute to it and to your work thereafter my firsthand knowledge as a Bronx grand juror of how prosecutions are rigged right from the stage of the indictment.
3. Indeed, while serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and NYPD officers charged two people with a murder that the latter could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage or photo of the alleged victim or the street crime scene; no incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought in reliance on grand jurors’ uncritical judgment and subservient deference. Abusive prosecutors take advantage of such attitude, which assures them that ‘they can indict a ham sandwich’.
4. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me. I described these events in a 4,743-word, 8-page sworn statement and submitted it to the administrative judge. Late enough, he sent it to the grand jury judge, who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired.
5. I filed a complaint with the Chief Judge, the NYS and NYC administrative judges, the NYPD Internal Affairs Bureau chief and the Commissioner, the Mayor and Bronx council members, public advocates, et al., who have not replied. The Commission on Judicial Conduct dare dismiss the complaint by alleging that it lack jurisdiction to investigate because the Bronx criminal term judges involved were not members of the NYS Unified Court System!
6. All of them are principals or accessories who either pursue through rigging a higher conviction rate as a career-advancing accomplishment or fail to investigate judges to avoid retaliation. Motivated by self-interest², they corrupt or condone the corruption of the process of justice from its inception in indictments sought and obtained through rigged accusations and abused grand juries.
7. By exposing both before and after the election the responsibility of DA Darcel Clark for the rigging that has occurred under her watch, we can help thousands of current and potential victims of rigged indictments.
8. Thereby we can cause DA Clark to lose the election or be too discredited by the rigging and overwhelmed by motions for review of indictments and claims for compensation to be sworn in or serve fully another term. This is a principled application of the aphorism “The enemy of my enemy is my friend.”
9. If your campaign for DA is not successful, we can join forces to help the victims through a class action³. It can be based initially on the facts known to me and the culpable indifference, coordinated in effect, shown by the top NYC and NYS public officers whom I have repeatedly

informed of those facts (see *infra*).

10. That class action can bring about an investigation of all those duty-bound to ensure the integrity of the system of justice⁴. The first investigators can be journalists, whom we inform at a press conference, and then authorities, including federal ones. The action can become a model for similar ones across the nation. Thereby it can establish rigging in the justice system as a decisive issue in the coming presidential debates and the 2024 primaries and general elections.
11. I am willing to discuss with both or either of you on the phone or via Zoom, even on Sunday, June 25, this opportunity for you to become not only the new Bronx DA who transforms as an insider the system of justice, but also a defense **attorney** who holds the powerful elites accountable –see also other proposed **joint actions**^{5, 6}– and in the process earns national recognition as *We the People's* Champion of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Endnotes

- ¹ This letter and its link[‡] can be shared with others potentially interested in joining any of the proposed class actions and attending my presentation on these high profile cases in the public interest. The presentation is supported by my professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking skills, which undergird my three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

- a. The study discusses evidence(OL:194§E) showing that **judges** complicitly **exonerate** each other; and are protected connivingly by the **politicians** who put them in office and deem them ‘*our* men and women on the bench’. Risklessly, they engage in abuse of power for their gain and convenience individually and as a class that **coordinate** the running of judiciaries as **racketeering enterprises**.
 - b. Some of my articles have been posted to my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>. That site has attracted so many webvisitors that as of 24 June 2023 it had turned into subscribers 48,004 of them. They are potential class members.
- ² Led by their **motive** of protecting and increasing their gains, e.g., by breaking the law, as revealed by *The Wall Street Journal*-, federal judges **intercept**(18 U.S.C. §§2511) people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics. The Federal Judiciary has the **means**, as it runs one of the largest national computer networks and has the Information Technology (IT) expertise necessary therefor: It handles daily the filing, storage, and retrieval of 100s of millions of briefs, motions, applications, case records, reports, dockets, calendars, orders, decisions, rules, etc., through its Public Access to Court Electronic Records (**PACER**) system. Judges have the **opportunity** to also compel such interception by the intelligence agencies to which in a quid pro quo they grant 100% of their secret requests for secret orders for secret surveillance under **FISA** (50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c).

- a. **There is proposed** to hire **IT experts** to examine the computers of judges' critics. If the communications to and from you were intercepted, you can join the class action against the interceptor for treble damages³ and attorneys' fees. Cf. former CBS reporter Sharyl(1551¶¶a-c) Attkisson **sued** the Dept. of Justice for \$35 million for hacking into her computers to spy on her investigations.

³ The class action can expect sympathetic juries. Indeed, since the advent of the *MeToo!* and BLM movements and those against police brutality, and racial and socio-economic inequality, the national public has become ever more intolerant of all forms of abuse, as expressed in its self-assertive rallying cry:

Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse from anybody anymore.

Trump lawyers settled a case on their way to the first trial day rather than risk a huge verdict from one of Bronx blue collar juries, known for their negative attitude toward big companies and government.

- ⁴ Developments in the judiciary establish strong precedents that warrant a favorable expectation:
 - a. In the civil suit *Strickland v. U.S., the Judicial Conference, et al.*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit held on 26 April '22 that the Federal Judiciary and its officers in their official and individual capacities, including **judges**, can on constitutional grounds be sued and held liable. The plaintiff's exposure of complicit coordination caused the bench of the Court to recuse themselves!
 - b. Ninety gymnasts sued the FBI and agents for **over \$1 billion** on 8 June '22 for its **failure to act** on the complaints against sexual predator Dr. Larry Nassar brought to FBI agents and the FBI's cover-up of their dereliction of duty. USA Gymnastics and U.S. Olympic Committee had to pay **\$380 million**.
 - c. A PA state court ordered judges who sent juveniles to government paid/privately run detention facilities in exchange for kickbacks to pay **\$206 million** in compensatory and punitive damages.

⁵ **Medicare** administers \$100s of billions for the benefit of its more than 33 million insureds. It works with hundreds of HMOs and other health insurance entities. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in, their networks the largest number of medical services providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds' claims as possible; disregard the legal obligation to accept as total payment Medicare's schedules of fees for services; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. Most insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. The recovery can be huge and force transformative change. I appealed to the Medicare Appeals **Council**. After I appeal to the Medicare Board, the class action can be filed in district court.

⁶ **Walgreens** is **described** as having had \$139.5 billion in revenue in 2020 and 277,000 employees in 2021. Its purchase-incentivizing program is Cash Rewards. It is a misnomer, for rewards are not earned by paying in cash and cannot be redeemed for cash despite its false advertisement: "Save time. Redeem your rewards instantly at checkout". But at checkout you cannot pay the total cost of the purchase with your Cash Rewards. You can only apply a single "tier" of \$1, \$3, \$5, or \$10 if it is equal to or less than the purchase cost. You must pay the balance with your money. Your rewards, though earned, are not yours, for they expire. The program is a bait and switch scam. This is a test case for suing big businesses that make enormous gains by defrauding millions of customers of small amounts that do not justify the substantial cost of individual prosecution.

July 10, 2023

A strategy for informing the public of, and outraging it at, judges' abuse of power and compel incumbent and running politicians to do likewise[‡]

Dear lawyers, journalists, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries,

A. Strategy for exposing judges' abuse of power that corrupts judicial systems

1. The strategy is quite different from repeating the knee jerk reaction to judges' abuse that consists in merely going to court expecting the colleagues of abusive judges or their protective politicians to expose the abusers...whereby they would incriminate themselves as accessories before and after the fact or even as principals of the same or similar abuse.
2. Judges cannot judge themselves. This explains the silence of the justices of the U.S. Supreme Court and judges of the lower courts on the exposure by the press of abuse by JJ. Thomas, Alito, Roberts, Sotomayor, Gorsuch, the late Scalia, former 9th Circuit Chief Judge Alex Kozinski, former 3rd Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, the sister of President Trump, and [hundreds of other judges](#).
3. Here applies Einstein's aphorism: "Doing the same thing while expecting a different result is the hallmark of irrationality". That is so because it betrays one's ignorance of, or willful blindness to, a fundamental law of the physical and human worlds: cause and effect.
4. Going to court to battle judges as people have done for thousands of years will only produce the same result: disappointment, frustration, and more abuse.
5. Hence, the strategy is based on the proposed [unprecedented citizens hearings](#) and the writing in up to 500 words of the story of abuse that one has suffered or witnessed by applying [the two-phase method](#). I commend to your reading the respective articles.
6. Citizens hearings are to be held by journalists and students and their professors –motivated by their own financial and reputational interests– at media stations, university auditoriums, and via video conferences. Those hearings will afford people the opportunity to do what drives their passionate quest for justice: the need to expose judges' abuse, collectively demand compensation, and force the reform of the system of justice.
7. Many of your stories are governed by state law. I do not know anything about the law of the 50 states and DC, except the state of New York. I concentrate on federal law. I know nothing about the law of other countries. As to my knowledge of abuse of power by judges, see my article on [forms of judges' abuse](#).
8. Moreover, I have a license to practice law only in the state of New York.
9. In addition, I do not deal with personal cases in a local court because those cases are incapable of exposing and reforming a judicial system from the inside. Nor can I do so for free, unlike your cardiologist, grocery seller, plumber, electrician, restaurant keeper, hairdresser, hotel manager, etc., all of whom are willing to work for you for free if you only tell them that you need their services. I, by contrast, have bills to pay, including rent, utilities, webhosting, electronic equipment, office supplies, transportation, food, etc.

B. The out-of-court inform and outrage strategy

10. Instead, I pursue an out-of-court strategy. It aims to inform you, the rest of the public, and the authorities in our country and abroad of, and outrage all at, abusive judges. The latter are held

unaccountable by themselves and the politicians who recommended, endorsed, nominated, and confirmed them to judgeships and justiceships and who thereafter deem them 'our men and woman on the bench'. Consequently, judges engage in riskless abuse of power to operate judicial systems as [racketeering enterprises](#) of an independent State within the state.

11. To learn how to expose judges' abuse, you can read as much as you can of my three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries. It is the product of professional law research and writing, and strategic thinking. Its title and the links for downloading its volumes for free are the following:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

12. You can also visit for free my website [Judicial Discipline Reform](#). There I post some of my [articles](#). Webvisitors have reacted so favorably to them that as of 9 July 2023, the number of visitors who had become subscribers was 48,142+ ([Appendix 3](#)).
13. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. Ignorance attracts abuse. Those who do not want to make the effort to read and learn have no right to whine about being abused. Tears only elicit pity, not convictions, those in the mind and those that lead to imprisonment. Abusees are not automatically qualified to defend themselves as self-improvised lawyers, much less to defend others.
14. We need knowledgeable, competent, and experienced people who think and proceed strategically. If we join forces, we can implement a [plan of action](#) to launch a multidisciplinary academic and business venture for judicial abuse exposure, compensation, and reform. By acquiring KNOWLEDGE, you start to empower yourself and others. Read, read, read *and learn!*
15. If you read the [two-phase method](#), you will learn how helpful that method is for writing in up to 500 words a non-rambling, to-the-point, accurate, significant, and verifiable story that may motivate journalists and the authorities to read it to the end and deem it outrageous enough to investigate it further and tell it to the public.
16. Your story in up to 500 words that may be read in only five minutes may give you the opportunity to tell it to the national public at the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).
17. The reading of many stories by unrelated abusees will allow the emergence of the most persuasive type of evidence of abuse: [patterns of abuse](#). Patterns provoke outrage, which in turn energizes the development of a national and international single issue, apolitical, and civic movement for exposing individual and coordinated abuse as judges' systemic means of doing business in the millions of cases in which they have the opportunity to pursue their motive of gain and convenience.
18. Only a public at large that is informed of, and outraged at, judges' abuse of power can make politicians, whether motivated by opportunism or principles, insert the issue of judicial abuse into their political stump speeches, rallies, townhall meetings, press interviews, and platforms. That is how judges' abuse of power can become a decisive electoral issue. Therefore, our immediate objective is to compel politicians to openly expose judges abuse, lest they lose the primaries, their party nomination, and the general election at the hands of an informed and outraged public that feels disregarded and left at the mercy of judges.
19. It is in your interest to join the effort to distribute this and the articles below as widely as possible. Simply open each of the emails that you receive from me, click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**". While those emails may have the same subject in the "Re:" box, each has a different set of email addresses in its "To:" box. This is a cause that can have the effect of turning you into a Champion of Justice.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

August 28, 2023

Ms. Margaret Hassel, Editor-in-Chief, and members
Columbia Law Review
Columbia Law School, 435 W 116th Street, NY, NY 10027

Dear Ms. Hassel and members,


1. The story¹ on your becoming the Editor-in-Chief revealed positively your character and goals. It has encouraged this proposal[‡] for you “to make *Law Review* valuable to the members” by leading them to organize in the public interest [unprecedented citizens hearings](#)² where people can tell the public over the Internet their story³ of having suffered or witnessed [abuse of power](#) by entities - see a-c infra- big enough to be immune to lawsuits by individuals and thus risklessly abusive. You can lead the trend for other law and journalism schools to give the public a voice. The latter will enable the detection of patterns of abuse, abusers’ coordination, and reciprocal cover-ups. Its voice can grow so loud as to be heard and replied to by politicians at their presidential debates, townhall meetings, and interviews. Unaccountability for abuse of power can become a decisive issue of the primaries and the general election. Students and their schools can emerge as a socio-political engine that drives transformative change. That would earn you the most valuable ‘line in the resume’.
 - a. While serving as a grand juror, I witnessed how prosecutors and NYPD officers charged people with a murder that the latter could not have committed because no evidence of a crime was presented: No footage of the crime or photos of the victim or the street crime scene, or incident or autopsy report. The indictment was sought for plea bargain leverage in reliance on grand jurors’ indifference and uncritical judgment. When I asked critical questions, the presenting and the supervising prosecutors referred me to the grand jury judge, who discharged me. I described these events in a 4,743-word statement and submitted it to the administrative judge. Late enough, he sent it to the [grand jury judge](#), who with no discussion dismissed it on the trivial fact that the grand jury term had expired. I filed a complaint with the [Chief Judge](#) and the NYS and NYC administrative judges; the NYPD IAB chief and the [Commissioner](#); the [Mayor](#), public advocates and [Bronx council](#) members; [et al.](#); none replied; but see the [CJC](#)’s reply! They do not investigate judges to avoid retaliation. Their dereliction of duty enacts in effect a complicitly coordinated cover-up. They devastate the lives of the falsely accused with the cost of bail, remand to jail, and a criminal record.
 - b. Medicare administers \$100s of billions for the benefit of its 33+ million insureds. It works with thousands of health insurers and other medical services and equipment providers. They have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds’ claims as possible; disregard the duty to accept as total payment Medicare’s schedules of fees for provisions; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance. Most insureds who appeal denials and balance billing appear pro se. Due to their ignorance of the law, they are abused. A decision of the Medicare Appeals [Council](#) can lead to a [class action](#) in federal district court.
 - c. Federal judges [intercept](#)⁴ people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics⁵ and keep running their judiciary for their gain and convenience as a [racketeering enterprise](#).⁶ They thus deprive *We the People* of our most cherished rights, i.e., those guaranteed by the [1st Amendment](#) to “freedom of speech, of the press, the right to petition for a redress of grievances”.
2. You can publish any of my articles⁷([‡§A](#)); and we can agree on the subject([§B](#)) of a new one. I offer to make in person or via video conference a [presentation](#)⁸ on the citizens hearings and how you and the members can be nationally recognized as the [youth](#) of the Montana climate case have been.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

as of 22 October 2023

A call[‡]
to Medicare Appeals Council
to decide appeal M-23-386, filed on 28 October 2022
and still pending as of Friday, 20 October 2023;



The screenshot shows the HHS.gov MOD e-File interface. The header includes the HHS.gov logo and the text "Departmental Appeals Board Medicare Operations Division Electronic Filing System". The main heading is "Appeal Status Information". Below this, a table displays the following information:

Docket Number	M-22-386
ALJ Appeal Number	3-7135145411
Status	Pending

At the bottom of the screenshot is a blue button labeled "Back to Check Appeal Status".

https://dab.efile.hhs.gov/mod/appeals/public_status_result?utf8=%E2%9C%93&authenticity_token=UVyRwr7T4WJpEQVQT6RPnkl2orgtVBBzMaKxze3wg%2FZ6tsTC%2BSjfoX1Wwizcqh4MdaMo1ASE%2FLX31hWwjcPcA%3D%3D&case_type=M&case_year=2022&case_seq=M-23-386&alj_appeal_number=3-7135145411&commit=Search
(alj appeal = appeal from the administrative law judge decision)

and

https://dab.efile.hhs.gov/mod/appeals/public_status_result?utf8=%E2%9C%93&authenticity_token=%2Fo3O%2FIE6Hn6UtRtnlIEKqsVtxgZtoBzpIx5p94pMMGR2O4Qv5A1cYnhRRT9oV%2B1OfZ6QL90HX1o4B7tmf8z9Gw%3D%3D&case_type=M&case_year=2022&case_seq=M-23-386&alj_appeal_number=3-10817205455&commit=Search;

and call

to people who have been denied their rights by Medicare and related entities,
to class action law firms, and to investigative journalists,

to join forces to expose the abuse of power and cover-up affecting so many people who assert their rights as single party to their stand-alone case and even do so without a lawyer (pro se), and as a result, have barely any chance against coordinated hospitals, medical practitioners, equipment and laboratory services providers, health insurance companies and health management organizations (HMOs) and their networks of services and equipment providers, medical decisions reviewers, administrative law judges, Medicare, Medicaid, and the Medicare Appeals Council, all with their lawyers and working together to further their common interest in enlarging their networks of services and equipment providers; denying claims of people to save money; and/or billing them for the balance of bills in excess of what the tables of medical costs allow by law and contract, which constitutes balance billing and has been illegal since 1997*([OL3:1611SA](#)) because it defeats the purpose of medical costs limited by health insurance, thus prompting the recent adoption by Congress of the [No Surprise Bill Act](#).

A. To lawyers, journalists, schools, patients, and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

1. The above-named entities have engaged in coordination consisting in harmonious conduct in support of common interests, described below. Thereby they have reached implicitly or explicitly reciprocal exoneration agreements providing that 'I help and protect you today and you help and protect me tomorrow'. They function as a collective entity 'too powerful to be held accountable'. As a result of their unaccountability, they have been able to form and operate a racketeering enterprise. Cf. Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ([RICO](#)); 18 U.S.C. [§§1961](#) to 1968; and [Enterprise Corruption](#); NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN [§460](#). There is a lot of money to be grabbed through racketeering.
 - a. "The [Medicare Program](#) [has] 65.0 million beneficiaries and total expenditures of \$905 billion in 2022". It works with hundreds of health insurance and management organizations (HMOs), and medical services and equipment providers. All of them have common interests: pay the fewest claims and attract to, and maintain in their, networks the largest number of providers. To advance their interests they deny and uphold the denial of as many of their insureds' claims as possible; disregard their legal duty to accept as total payment the amounts stated in Medicare's and HMOs' schedules of fees for services and equipment; and condone the billing of insureds for the unpaid balance, which constitutes the illegal practice of 'balance billing'.
 - 1) Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the [Social Security Act](#), found in Title 42 of the [U.S. Code](#) of federal laws, as modified by Section 4714 of the [Balanced Budget Act](#) of 1997, P.L. 105-33, prohibits services and equipment providers from balance billing Medicaid QMBs (Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries) for Medicare cost-sharing. The provider is duty-bound statutorily and contractually to submit its bill to Medicaid and accept as full payment what Medicaid pays, as set forth in its fee schedules.

See also [Overview](#) of Medicaid Provisions in the Balanced Budget Act.

- 2) Knowledge of the prohibition on balance billing insureds is imputed to the provider because by law and contract it was informed of it: There is no need to prove that it had actual knowledge. The provider has 'superior knowledge' relative to the knowledge that insureds can reasonably be expected to have. The provider and the insureds do not deal at arm's length. When the provider takes advantage of this knowledge differential to balance bill an insured, it abuses its power.
 - b. Most insureds who appeal claim denials and balance billing appear pro se, unable to afford lawyers. Due to their ignorance of the law, they easily fall prey to abusive providers. Moreover, precisely because of their health problems, few have the substantial resources of emotional energy, let alone money, needed to struggle through four levels of appeal until reaching the Medicare Appeals [Council](#), whose decision is appealable to a U.S. district court.
2. The exposure of the providers' abuse of power can be set off by holding [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#). They are to be held by journalists, media outlets, IT experts, and [journalism, law, and IT](#) students and professors. Their venue will be media stations, school auditoriums, and via the Internet so that wherever abusees are, they can tell their [story](#) of the abuse that they have suffered or witnessed by providers and the other entities. At the citizens hearings, the abusees will shout self-assertively the rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won't take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
3. Abusees can so [inform and outrage](#) the national public as to cause it to challenge the abusers' unaccountability through the electoral process and a class action. To that end, we can join forces to turn the above-named entities' coordinated [abuse of power](#) into a key issue of the presidential debates, the primaries, and the general election. This issue can attract the attention of the national public and politicians, whether principled or opportunistic, because "The [Medicare Program](#) is the second-largest social insurance program in the U.S.", after Social Security.
4. Together we can pioneer a multidisciplinary academic and [journalistic business venture](#); and launch of a civic, *MeToo!*-like movement arising from an informed and outraged national public ready to wield its strongest powers: electoral donating, volunteering, and voting. The venture and the movement can implement a concrete, reasonable, and feasible [plan of action](#) offering rewards:
 - a. The plan includes a [class action](#), for it can accomplish what abusees cannot proceeding individually. A class action win can force transformative change in the way health entities coordinate their abuse of patients, in particular, and of the national public, in general. Lawyers can win huge rewards: treble damages, attorney's fees, and national recognition that increases their number of clients; cf. the suits against tobacco, guns, and opioids entities.
 - b. Journalists who investigate([OL:194§E](#)) this story and join in holding the unprecedented citizens hearings can reasonably expect to be considered for a Pulitzer prize.
 - c. Students can be nationally recognized as the [youth](#) of the Montana climate case have been. They can parlay the experience gained by creating a niche law and investigative practice.
 - d. The media and the schools, suffering from low public esteem and income, can increase their appeal and profitability by becoming an engine of transformative socio-political change to be reckoned with. The schools can emerge as the fifth power for public accountability.
5. I offer to make via video conference or, if in NY City, in person, a [presentation](#) on the citizens hearings and the plan of action. See my contact information in the letterhead above. Consequently, this email and its link[‡] can be shared and posted widely to announce my offered presentation.

6. The presentation is supported by my professional [law research and writing](#), and [strategic thinking](#). They are the skills that have already produced my three-volume study*†♣ of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting*†♣**

7. The study discusses evidence supporting the axiom 'Unaccountability breeds abuse'. Its corollary is 'What [judges](#) allow themselves to do -exposed by *The Wall Street Journal* and [Thomson Reuters](#)-, others copy and exceed'.

a. How many of the above-named entities and judges have found comfort and encouragement in the unethical and illegal practices that justices of the U.S. Supreme Court and the 'Friends of the Justices' have engaged in for decades, as revealed by [ProPublica](#); and that a [former President](#) has engaged in for years, as stated by NY State [Judge Arthur Engoron](#) in his decision on Trump and his business of Tuesday, 26 September 2023?

8. Some of my articles on unaccountability and abuse of power are posted to my website [Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#). They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of 22 October 2023, the number of visitors that had become subscribers was [49,036](#). They not only read what is in front of them, but also welcome more. They can reasonably be expected to be educated, influential, and capable of understanding how they are harmed by coordinated health entities and willing to support a class action against them.

B. Thousands of emails to top Medicare and related officers and entities have met the silence of a coordinated cover-up

9. [Thousands of emails](#) have been sent to dozens of top officers of Medicare and health insurer EmblemHealth for more than a year, who have left them unanswered. Their same conduct cannot reasonably be said to be merely coincidental. Their failure to answer constitutes the circumstantial evidence from which a reasonable inference can be drawn: It betrays the silence of a coordinated cover-up. So does their failure to provide discovery, disclosure, even a responsive brief to answer my complaint of 21 May 2022, and to enter default judgment as a consequence thereof.

10. Likewise, their failure to decide the appeal M-23-386, filed with the Medicare Appeals Council almost a year ago on 28 October 2022, betrays self-interested dereliction of duty and obstruction of justice. A sample of the email headers and text has been collected below. They were sent:

To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov, OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov,
OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov, erin.nugent@hhs.gov, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov,
notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov, dawn.kos@hhs.gov, john.colter@hhs.gov,
appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov, James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov, Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov,
erin.brown@hhs.gov, Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov, Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov,
aethia.wimberly@hhs.gov, hillary.didona@hhs.gov, James.Brown@hhs.gov,
Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov, leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov, Shereese.Warren@hhs.gov,
corderoric@yahoo.com, medicareappeal@maximus.com, SHillegass@emblemhealth.com,
EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com, toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com,
CManalansan@emblemhealth.com, esosa@emblemhealth.com, M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com,
sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com, SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com,
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,

C. A similar case of coordinated abuse of power and cover-up involving prosecutors, police officers, and judges

11. In the same vein are the thousands of emails and letters [sent](#), and phone calls made, to the dozens of prosecutors, police officers, and judges in the below bloc of email addresses since 7 June 2022, with no response, on the subject of:

Fabricated indictments*

based on false and insufficient evidence presented to grand juries by prosecutors, police officers, and judges who abuse the jurors' ignorance of the law and untrained and uncritical judgment.

They reciprocally cover up leveraging fabricated indictments to coerce defendants into unfavorable plea bargains.

That leads to higher conviction rates, greater chances of reelection and promotion, and collection of IOUs to be cashed in when needed.

Thereby they gain a benefit by inflicting injury in fact on defendants, deprive them and the public of honest services, and obstruct justice.

They thus commit fraud, racketeering, and enterprise corruption.

The proposal to expose the fabricators and their abuse of power through *unprecedented citizens hearings* ([¶2↑](#))

12. The many officers listed next have failed to respond though duty-bound to deal effectively with their constituents' grievances, especially those brought to their attention so repeatedly and for such a long time. Their conduct is non-coincidental. It is motivated by interests that can foreseeably be advanced by obstructing justice through an implicitly or explicitly coordinated cover-up. Any alleged willful ignorance and blindness is particularly inexcusable because of their duty of due diligence to know. Those officers have engaged in dereliction of duty and abuse of power. The abusees can tell their stories at the CITIZENS HEARINGS, thereby enabling the detection of patterns of circumstances where the fabricators fester and their modus operandi.

To: iab@nypd.org, iabcmdcntr@nypd.org, outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov, Shawn.Morris@nypd.org,
Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org, Fernando.Garza@nypd.org, Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org,
Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org, Kandice.Hall@nypd.org, Robert.Candela@nypd.org,
John.McLoughlin@nypd.org, Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org, CorderoRic@yahoo.com
question@nycourts.gov, ig@nycourts.gov, doipress@doi.nyc.gov, bronxjury@nycourts.gov,
agency@mail@customercare.nyc.gov, rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov, reception@advocate.nyc.gov,
nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov, gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov, jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov,
recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov, public.integrity@ag.ny.gov, NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov,
ig.press@ig.ny.gov, Press.Office@exec.ny.gov, mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov,
scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov, pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov, mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov, lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov, jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov, jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov, rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov, accessibility@council.nyc.gov, dinowitz@council.nyc.gov,
district8@council.nyc.gov, district12@council.nyc.gov, district13@council.nyc.gov,
district14@council.nyc.gov, district15@council.nyc.gov, district16@council.nyc.gov,
district18@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov, socratessolano2021@gmail.com,
Info@bronxdefenders.org, justineo@bronxdefenders.org, media@bronxdefenders.org,
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_fabricated_indictments-public_officers.pdf

D. Sample of headings and text of thousands of emails sent to and from Dr. Cordero's email accounts at Verizon, Yahoo, and Judicial Discipline Reform, and Medicare officers and entities

1. Sample of emails from to date back to 1 October 2023

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>

To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov <medicare.appeals@hhs.gov>; OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov <osdabimmediateoffice@hhs.gov>; OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov <os-omhaatlecape@hhs.gov>; OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov <osomhahearingtechsupport@hhs.gov>; DABMODHotline@hhs.gov <dabmodhotline@hhs.gov>; notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov <notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov>; appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov <appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov>; James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov <james.griepentrog@hhs.gov>; Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov <jon.dorman@hhs.gov>; john.colter@hhs.gov <john.colter@hhs.gov>; erin.brown@hhs.gov <erin.brown@hhs.gov>; erin.nugent@hhs.gov <erin.nugent@hhs.gov>; Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov <darryl.holloway@hhs.gov>; Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov <rajda.nachampassak@hhs.gov>; alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov <alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov>; dawn.kos@hhs.gov <dawn.kos@hhs.gov>; hillary.didona@hhs.gov <hillary.didona@hhs.gov>; James.Brown@hhs.gov <james.brown@hhs.gov>; Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov <kathy.greene@hhs.gov>; leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov <leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov>; Sherese.Warren@hhs.gov <sherese.warren@hhs.gov>;

medicareappeal@maximus.com <medicareappeal@maximus.com>; SHillegass@emblemhealth.com <shillegass@emblemhealth.com>; esosa@emblemhealth.com <esosa@emblemhealth.com>; toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com <toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com>; EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com <ehcommunications@emblemhealth.com>; M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com <m_cipolla@emblemhealth.com>; SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com <sbergstrom@emblemhealth.com>; sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com <sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com>; CManalansan@emblemhealth.com <cmanalansan@emblemhealth.com>; sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com <sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com>; lcampos@emblemhealth.com <lcampos@emblemhealth.com>; DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org <drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org>; Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. <corderoric@yahoo.com>
Cc: michael.siconolfi@wsj.com <michael.siconolfi@wsj.com>; jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com <jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com>; christopher.stewart@wsj.com <christopher.stewart@wsj.com>; eric.sylvers@wsj.com <eric.sylvers@wsj.com>; kate.milani@wsj.com <kate.milani@wsj.com>; clare.ansberry@wsj.com <clare.ansberry@wsj.com>; john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com <john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com>; michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com <michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com>; matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com <matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com>; tips@thomsonreuters.com <tips@thomsonreuters.com>; alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com <alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com>; david.bario@tr.com <david.bario@tr.com>; liptak@nytimes.com <liptak@nytimes.com>; Mark.Lombardi@thomsonreuters.com <mark.lombardi@thomsonreuters.com>; mderienzo@publicintegrity.org <mderienzo@publicintegrity.org>; emily.holden@theguardian.com <emily.holden@theguardian.com>; tips@latimes.com <tips@latimes.com>; ryan.grim@theintercept.com <ryan.grim@theintercept.com>; tips@propublica.org <tips@propublica.org>; watchdog@publicintegrity.org <watchdog@publicintegrity.org>; Thehill@email.thehill.com <thehill@email.thehill.com>; media@propublica.org <media@propublica.org>; patricia.wen@globe.com <patricia.wen@globe.com>; newstip@globe.com <newstip@globe.com>; newsletters@lists.propublica.net <newsletters@lists.propublica.net>; Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org <stephen.engelberg@propublica.org>; Eric.Umansky@ProPublica.org <eric.umansky@propublica.org>; robin.fields@propublica.org <robin.fields@propublica.org>; a.c.thompson@propublica.org <a.c.thompson@propublica.org>; Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com <kimberly.kindy@washpost.com>; heather.long@washpost.com <heather.long@washpost.com>; letters@washpost.com <letters@washpost.com>; rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com <rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com>; ann.marimow@washpost.com <ann.marimow@washpost.com>; scwl@cox.net <scwl@cox.net>; aging@americanbar.org <aging@americanbar.org>; JARiley@duanemorris.com <jariley@duanemorris.com>; info@momsforliberty.org <info@momsforliberty.org>; larry@tribelaw.com <larry@tribelaw.com>; tribe@law.harvard.edu <tribe@law.harvard.edu>; paul.duggan@washpost.com <paul.duggan@washpost.com>; lynh.bui@washpost.com <lynh.bui@washpost.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2023 at 04:21:35 PM EDT

Subject: Medicare appeal M-23-386, filed on 28oct22, is still pending through a cover-up: a call to the public, class action lawyers, and journalists to expose similar abuse of power everywhere

Subject: Medicare appeal M-23-386, filed on 28oct22, is still pending through a cover-up: a call to Medicare Appeal Council to decide it; & to the public, lawyers & journalists to expose similar abuse of power everywhere

Date: 2023-10-05 7:58 pm

From: drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org

To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov, OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov, OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov, appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov, James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov, Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov, john.colter@hhs.gov, erin.brown@hhs.gov, erin.nugent@hhs.gov, Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov, Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov, alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov, dawn.kos@hhs.gov, hillary.didona@hhs.gov, James.Brown@hhs.gov, Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov, leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov, Sherese.Warren@hhs.gov, medicareappeal@maximus.com, SHillegass@emblemhealth.com, esosa@emblemhealth.com, toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com, EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com, M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com, SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com, sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com, CManalansan@emblemhealth.com, sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com, lcampos@emblemhealth.com, Corderoric@yahoo.com, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org

Cc: michael.siconolfi@wsj.com, jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com, christopher.stewart@wsj.com, eric.sylvers@wsj.com, kate.milani@wsj.com, clare.ansberry@wsj.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com, david.bario@tr.com, liptak@nytimes.com, Mark.Lombardi@thomsonreuters.com, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com, ryan.grim@theintercept.com, tips@propublica.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.org, Thehill@email.thehill.com, media@propublica.org, patricia.wen@globe.com, newstip@globe.com, newsletters@lists.propublica.net, Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org, Eric.Umansky@propublica.org, robin.fields@propublica.org, a.c.thompson@propublica.org, Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com, heather.long@washpost.com, letters@washpost.com, rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com, ann.marimow@washpost.com, scwl@cox.net, aging@americanbar.org, JARiley@duanemorris.com, info@momsforliberty.org, larry@tribelaw.com, tribe@law.harvard.edu, paul.duggan@washpost.com, lynh.bui@washpost.com

Subject: Medicare appeal M-23-386, filed on 28oct22, is still pending through a cover-up: a call to Medicare Appeal Council to decide it; & to the public, lawyers & journalists to expose similar abuse of power everywhere

Date: 2023-10-04 10:10 am

From: drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org

To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov, OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov, OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov, appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov, James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov, Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov, john.colter@hhs.gov, erin.brown@hhs.gov, erin.nugent@hhs.gov, Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov, Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov, alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov, dawn.kos@hhs.gov, hillary.didona@hhs.gov, James.Brown@hhs.gov, Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov, leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov, Sherese.Warren@hhs.gov, medicareappeal@maximus.com, SHillegass@emblemhealth.com, esosa@emblemhealth.com, toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com, EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com, M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com, SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com, sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com, CManalansan@emblemhealth.com, sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com, lcampos@emblemhealth.com, Corderoric@yahoo.com, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org

Cc: michael.siconolfi@wsj.com, jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com, christopher.stewart@wsj.com, eric.sylvers@wsj.com, kate.milani@wsj.com, clare.ansberry@wsj.com, john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com, matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com, david.bario@tr.com, liptak@nytimes.com, Mark.Lombardi@thomsonreuters.com, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com, ryan.grim@theintercept.com, tips@propublica.org, watchdog@publicintegrity.org, Thehill@email.thehill.com, media@propublica.org, patricia.wen@globe.com, newstip@globe.com, newsletters@lists.propublica.net, Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org, Eric.Umansky@propublica.org, robin.fields@propublica.org, a.c.thompson@propublica.org, Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com, heather.long@washpost.com, letters@washpost.com, rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com, ann.marimow@washpost.com, scwl@cox.net, aging@americanbar.org, JARiley@duanemorris.com, info@momsforliberty.org, larry@tribelaw.com, tribe@law.harvard.edu, paul.duggan@washpost.com, lynh.bui@washpost.com

On 2023-10-03 9:51 am, drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org wrote:

On 2023-10-03 9:03 am, drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org wrote:

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. <corderoric@yahoo.com>

To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov <medicare.appeals@hhs.gov>; OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov <osdabimmediateoffice@hhs.gov>; OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov <os-omhaatlecape@hhs.gov>; OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov <osomhahearingtechsupport@hhs.gov>; DABMODHotline@hhs.gov <dabmodhotline@hhs.gov>; notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov <notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov>; appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov <appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov>; James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov <james.griepentrog@hhs.gov>; Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov <jon.dorman@hhs.gov>; john.colter@hhs.gov <john.colter@hhs.gov>; erin.brown@hhs.gov <erin.brown@hhs.gov>; erin.nugent@hhs.gov <erin.nugent@hhs.gov>; Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov <darryl.holloway@hhs.gov>; Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov <rajda.nachampassak@hhs.gov>; alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov <alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov>; dawn.kos@hhs.gov <dawn.kos@hhs.gov>; hillary.didona@hhs.gov <hillary.didona@hhs.gov>; James.Brown@hhs.gov <james.brown@hhs.gov>; Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov <kathy.greene@hhs.gov>; leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov <leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov>; Sherese.Warren@hhs.gov <sherese.warren@hhs.gov>; medicareappeal@maximus.com <medicareappeal@maximus.com>; SHillegass@emblemhealth.com <shillegass@emblemhealth.com>; esosa@emblemhealth.com <esosa@emblemhealth.com>; toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com <toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com>; EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com <ehcommunications@emblemhealth.com>; M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com <m_cipolla@emblemhealth.com>; SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com <sbergstrom@emblemhealth.com>; sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com <sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com>; CManalansan@emblemhealth.com <cmanalansan@emblemhealth.com>; sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com <sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com>; lcampos@emblemhealth.com <lcampos@emblemhealth.com>; Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>; DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org <drccordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org>; Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. <corderoric@yahoo.com>

Cc: michael.siconolfi@wsj.com <michael.siconolfi@wsj.com>; jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com <jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com>; christopher.stewart@wsj.com <christopher.stewart@wsj.com>; eric.sylvers@wsj.com <eric.sylvers@wsj.com>; kate.milani@wsj.com <kate.milani@wsj.com>; clare.ansberry@wsj.com <clare.ansberry@wsj.com>; john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com <john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com>; michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com <michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com>; matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com <matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com>; tips@thomsonreuters.com <tips@thomsonreuters.com>; alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com <alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com>; david.bario@tr.com <david.bario@tr.com>; liptak@nytimes.com <liptak@nytimes.com>; Mark.Lombardi@thomsonreuters.com <mark.lombardi@thomsonreuters.com>; mderienzo@publicintegrity.org <mderienzo@publicintegrity.org>; emily.holden@theguardian.com <emily.holden@theguardian.com>; tips@latimes.com <tips@latimes.com>; ryan.grim@theintercept.com <ryan.grim@theintercept.com>; tips@propublica.org <tips@propublica.org>; watchdog@publicintegrity.org <watchdog@publicintegrity.org>; Thehill@email.thehill.com <thehill@email.thehill.com>; media@propublica.org <media@propublica.org>; patricia.wen@globe.com <patricia.wen@globe.com>; newstip@globe.com <newstip@globe.com>; newsletters@lists.propublica.net <newsletters@lists.propublica.net>; Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org <stephen.engelberg@propublica.org>; Eric.Umansky@ProPublica.org <eric.umansky@propublica.org>; robin.fields@propublica.org <robin.fields@propublica.org>; a.c.thompson@propublica.org <a.c.thompson@propublica.org>; Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com <kimberly.kindy@washpost.com>; heather.long@washpost.com <heather.long@washpost.com>; letters@washpost.com <letters@washpost.com>; rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com <rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com>; ann.marimow@washpost.com <ann.marimow@washpost.com>; scwl@cox.net <scwl@cox.net>; aging@americanbar.org <aging@americanbar.org>; JARiley@duanemorris.com <jariley@duanemorris.com>; info@momsforliberty.org <info@momsforliberty.org>; larry@tribelaw.com <larry@tribelaw.com>; tribe@law.harvard.edu <tribe@law.harvard.edu>; paul.duggan@washpost.com <paul.duggan@washpost.com>; lynh.bui@washpost.com <lynh.bui@washpost.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 3, 2023 at 12:06:33 AM EDT

Subject: Medicare appeal M-23-386, filed on 28oct22, is still pending through a cover-up: a call to the public, class action lawyers, and journalists to expose similar abuse of power everywhere

On Sunday, October 1, 2023 at 11:53:16 PM EDT, Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq. <corderoric@yahoo.com> wrote:

2. Emails to Medicare and related entities between 30 September 2022 and 30 September 2023

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>

To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov <medicare.appeals@hhs.gov>; OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov <osdabimmediateoffice@hhs.gov>; OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov <os-omhaatlecape@hhs.gov>; OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov <osomhahearingtechsupport@hhs.gov>; DABMODHotline@hhs.gov <dabmodhotline@hhs.gov>; notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov <notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov>; appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov <appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov>; James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov <james.griepentrog@hhs.gov>; Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov <jon.dorman@hhs.gov>; john.colter@hhs.gov <john.colter@hhs.gov>; erin.brown@hhs.gov <erin.brown@hhs.gov>; erin.nugent@hhs.gov <erin.nugent@hhs.gov>; Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov <darryl.holloway@hhs.gov>; Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov <rajda.nachampassak@hhs.gov>; alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov <alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov>; dawn.kos@hhs.gov <dawn.kos@hhs.gov>; hillary.didona@hhs.gov <hillary.didona@hhs.gov>; James.Brown@hhs.gov <james.brown@hhs.gov>; Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov <kathy.greene@hhs.gov>; leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov <leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov>; Sherese.Warren@hhs.gov <sherese.warren@hhs.gov>; medicareappeal@maximus.com <medicareappeal@maximus.com>; SHillegass@emblemhealth.com <shillegass@emblemhealth.com>; esosa@emblemhealth.com <esosa@emblemhealth.com>; toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com <toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com>; EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com <ehcommunications@emblemhealth.com>; M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com <m_cipolla@emblemhealth.com>; SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com <sbergstrom@emblemhealth.com>; sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com <sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com>; CManalansan@emblemhealth.com <cmanalansan@emblemhealth.com>; sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com <sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com>; Corderoric@yahoo.com <corderoric@yahoo.com>; DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org <drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org>; dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>

Cc: michael.siconolfi@wsj.com <michael.siconolfi@wsj.com>; jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com <jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com>; christopher.stewart@wsj.com <christopher.stewart@wsj.com>; eric.sylvers@wsj.com <eric.sylvers@wsj.com>; kate.milani@wsj.com <kate.milani@wsj.com>; clare.ansberry@wsj.com <clare.ansberry@wsj.com>; john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com <john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com>; michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com <michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com>; matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com <matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com>; tips@thomsonreuters.com <tips@thomsonreuters.com>; alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com <alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com>; david.bario@tr.com <david.bario@tr.com>; liptak@nytimes.com <liptak@nytimes.com>; Mark.Lombardi@thomsonreuters.com <mark.lombardi@thomsonreuters.com>; mderienzo@publicintegrity.org <mderienzo@publicintegrity.org>; emily.holden@theguardian.com <emily.holden@theguardian.com>; tips@latimes.com <tips@latimes.com>; ryan.grim@theintercept.com <ryan.grim@theintercept.com>; tips@propublica.org <tips@propublica.org>; watchdog@publicintegrity.org <watchdog@publicintegrity.org>; Thehill@email.thehill.com <thehill@email.thehill.com>; media@propublica.org <media@propublica.org>; patricia.wen@globe.com <patricia.wen@globe.com>; newstip@globe.com <newstip@globe.com>; newsletters@lists.propublica.net <newsletters@lists.propublica.net>; Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org <stephen.engelberg@propublica.org>; Eric.Umansky@ProPublica.org <eric.umansky@propublica.org>; robin.fields@propublica.org <robin.fields@propublica.org>; a.c.thompson@propublica.org <a.c.thompson@propublica.org>; Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com <kimberly.kindy@washpost.com>; heather.long@washpost.com <heather.long@washpost.com>; letters@washpost.com <letters@washpost.com>; rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com <rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com>; ann.marimow@washpost.com <ann.marimow@washpost.com>; scwl@cox.net <scwl@cox.net>; aging@americanbar.org <aging@americanbar.org>; JARiley@duanemorris.com <jariley@duanemorris.com>; info@momsforliberty.org <info@momsforliberty.org>; larry@tribelaw.com <larry@tribelaw.com>; tribe@law.harvard.edu <tribe@law.harvard.edu>; paul.duggan@washpost.com <paul.duggan@washpost.com>; lynh.bui@washpost.com <lynh.bui@washpost.com>

Sent: Saturday, September 30, 2023 at 11:36:07 PM EDT

Subject: Medicare appeal M-23-386, filed on 28oct22, is still pending through a cover-up: a call to the public, class action lawyers, and journalists to expose similar abuse of power everywhere

On Friday, September 29, 2023 at 11:58:37 PM EDT, dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net> wrote:

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>
To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov <medicare.appeals@hhs.gov>; OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov <osdabimmediateoffice@hhs.gov>; OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov <os-omhaatlecape@hhs.gov>; OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov <osomhahearingtechsupport@hhs.gov>; DABMODHotline@hhs.gov <dabmodhotline@hhs.gov>; notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov <notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov>; appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov <appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov>; James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov <james.griepentrog@hhs.gov>; Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov <jon.dorman@hhs.gov>; john.colter@hhs.gov <john.colter@hhs.gov>; erin.brown@hhs.gov <erin.brown@hhs.gov>; erin.nugent@hhs.gov <erin.nugent@hhs.gov>; Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov <darryl.holloway@hhs.gov>; Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov <rajda.nachampassak@hhs.gov>; alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov <alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov>; dawn.kos@hhs.gov <dawn.kos@hhs.gov>; hillary.didona@hhs.gov <hillary.didona@hhs.gov>; James.Brown@hhs.gov <james.brown@hhs.gov>; Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov <kathy.greene@hhs.gov>; leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov <leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov>; Shereese.Warren@hhs.gov <shereese.warren@hhs.gov>; medicareappeal@maximus.com <medicareappeal@maximus.com>; SHillegass@emblemhealth.com <shillegass@emblemhealth.com>; esosa@emblemhealth.com <esosa@emblemhealth.com>; toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com <toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com>; EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com <ehcommunications@emblemhealth.com>; M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com <m_cipolla@emblemhealth.com>; SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com <sbergstrom@emblemhealth.com>; sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com <sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com>; CManalansan@emblemhealth.com <cmanalansan@emblemhealth.com>; sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com <sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com>; Corderoric@yahoo.com <corderoric@yahoo.com>; DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org <drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org>; dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>
Cc: michael.siconolfi@wsj.com <michael.siconolfi@wsj.com>; jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com <jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com>; christopher.stewart@wsj.com <christopher.stewart@wsj.com>; eric.sylvers@wsj.com <eric.sylvers@wsj.com>; kate.milani@wsj.com <kate.milani@wsj.com>; clare.ansberry@wsj.com <clare.ansberry@wsj.com>; john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com <john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com>; michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com <michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com>; matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com <matthew.weber@thomsonreuters.com>; tips@thomsonreuters.com <tips@thomsonreuters.com>; alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com <alexia.garamfalvi@thomsonreuters.com>; david.bario@tr.com <david.bario@tr.com>; liptak@nytimes.com <liptak@nytimes.com>; Mark.Lombardi@thomsonreuters.com <mark.lombardi@thomsonreuters.com>; mderienzo@publicintegrity.org <mderienzo@publicintegrity.org>; emily.holden@theguardian.com <emily.holden@theguardian.com>; tips@latimes.com <tips@latimes.com>; ryan.grim@theintercept.com <ryan.grim@theintercept.com>; tips@propublica.org <tips@propublica.org>; watchdog@publicintegrity.org <watchdog@publicintegrity.org>; Thehill@email.thehill.com <thehill@email.thehill.com>; media@propublica.org <media@propublica.org>; patricia.wen@globe.com <patricia.wen@globe.com>; newstip@globe.com <newstip@globe.com>; newsletters@lists.propublica.net <newsletters@lists.propublica.net>; Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org <stephen.engelberg@propublica.org>; Eric.Umansky@ProPublica.org <eric.umansky@propublica.org>; robin.fields@propublica.org <robin.fields@propublica.org>; a.c.thompson@propublica.org <a.c.thompson@propublica.org>; Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com <kimberly.kindy@washpost.com>; heather.long@washpost.com <heather.long@washpost.com>; letters@washpost.com <letters@washpost.com>; rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com <rexivan.olarte@lexisnexis.com>; ann.marimow@washpost.com <ann.marimow@washpost.com>; scwl@cox.net <scwl@cox.net>; aging@americanbar.org <aging@americanbar.org>; JARiley@duanemorris.com <jariley@duanemorris.com>; info@momsforliberty.org <info@momsforliberty.org>; larry@tribelaw.com <larry@tribelaw.com>; tribe@law.harvard.edu <tribe@law.harvard.edu>; paul.duggan@washpost.com <paul.duggan@washpost.com>; lynh.bui@washpost.com <lynh.bui@washpost.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 28, 2023 at 12:00:30 AM EDT
Subject: Medicare appeal M-23-386, filed on 28oct22, is still pending through a cover-up: a call to the public, class action lawyers, and journalists to expose similar abuse of power everywhere

as of 22 October 2023

**Fabricated indictments
based on false and insufficient evidence presented to grand juries by
prosecutors, police, and judges who abuse the jurors'
ignorance of the law and untrained and uncritical judgment, and
reciprocally cover up their dereliction of honest service and due process duties[‡]**
The proposal to expose the abusers through UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS.[‡]

A. Jointly exposing the fabricators of indictments and those who cover for them

1. This is a call by a grand juror lawyer to abusees, class action lawyers, and investigative journalists, to join forces to expose those public officers in the administration of justice who have participated in the fabrication of indictments based on [false and insufficient evidence](#) and/or its cover-up, e.g.:
 - a. former NY State [Chief Judge Janet DiFiore](#); former acting Chief Judge Anthony Cannataro; Bronx Administrative Justice Alvin Yearwood & Grand Jury J. Laurence Busching; et al.;
 - b. former NY Police Department (NYPD) captain and now [NY City Mayor Eric Adams](#);
 - c. NYPD [Internal Affairs Bureau \(IAB\) Chief Miguel Iglesias & Commissioner Edward Caban](#);
 - d. [NY City Public Advocate Jumaane Williams](#) and his county counterparts in Bronx; et al.
2. Since 28 May 2022, some thirty public officers, whether personal or institutional, have been informed by [letter](#), email, and telephone of the fabricated indictments. A sample of the email headings and text is collected in a [pdf file[‡]](#); the addressees' email addresses are in the bloc of addresses below. Nevertheless, the officers have failed to even reply to them.
3. Their failure betrays coordination among them consisting in harmonious conduct in furtherance of common interests. Circumstantial evidence is as sufficient to establish such coordination as it is in a criminal case where it provides the predicate for the jury to find the defendant guilty and punishable by death. They have given rise to 'a community of interests too big to be held accountable'.
 - a. The officers have an interest in avoiding retaliation from the judges, who would close ranks to protect any fellow judge implicated in admitting into evidence or covering up fabricated indictments; and strike back at the implicators. The implication may derive from judges' possessing actual knowledge of the fabrication or committing willful ignorance or blindness.
 - b. Prosecutors and police officers are interested in using fabricated indictments as leverage to coerce acceptance of even very unfavorable terms in plea bargains that they offer people in their custody: The more cases they close without, or win at, trial, the better their chances of being reelected or promoted.
4. In purpose and effect, whether implicitly or explicitly, for their gain and convenience, the coordinated public officers run a corrupt and [racketeering](#) enterprise. Thereby they violate the [Enterprise Corruption Law](#), NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460; and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968. They have committed:
 - a. abuse of the public power entrusted to them for the benefit of the sovereign source and destination of all public power in a democracy: *We the People*;
 - b. dereliction of duty to investigate allegations of wrongdoing and enforce the law;
 - c. denial of due process and equal protection under law, causing the injury in fact of:

- d. deprivation of liberty and property of those falsely accused of a crime, who incur expense to make bail, lose their jobs, and suffer reputational impairment by having a criminal record;
 - e. obstruction of the administration of justice;
 - f. denial of honest services to be rendered through due diligence;
 - g. a cover-up to ensure reciprocal complicit protection: ‘I don’t investigate you and you don’t investigate me’; and
 - h. deprivation of the right to be a grand jury member, confront accusers, present evidence, etc.
5. The exposure of [coordinated abuse of power](#), [public unaccountability](#), and need for [justice system reform](#) can turn those issues into decisive ones of the presidential debates, the primaries, and the general election campaign.
6. An informed and outraged public can incentivize the holding of the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#).
- a. The citizens hearings are to be held by journalists, media outlets, Information Technology (IT) and AI experts, and [journalism](#), [law](#), and [IT](#) students and professors.
 - b. Their venue will be media stations and school auditoriums.
 - c. They will be transmitted via the Internet so that wherever abusees and the audience are, they can tell and listen to the [stories](#) of the abuse that they have suffered or witnessed by those who have fabricated indictments or covered them up. See [the two-phase method](#) for writing your story in up to 500 words and in a way so that it is accurate, significant, and verifiable.
 - d. At the hearings, the abusees will shout self-assertively the rallying cry: *Enough is enough! We won’t take any abuse by anybody anymore.*
 - e. Thus informing and outraging state and national audiences, the hearings will encourage ever more people to tell their story. A self-reinforcing process will snowball.
7. The citizens hearings can give rise to both a multidisciplinary academic and [business investigation](#); and the development of a national, civic, *Me Too!*-like movement. Both will contribute to implementing a [plan of action](#) that is concrete, realistic, and feasible.
- a. The hearings and the plan are aimed to spark the formation of a powerhouse centered on the media and academe that compels politicians, lest they be voted out of, or not into, office by an informed and outraged national public to join the exposure of indictments fabricators; the collective demand for [compensation](#) for the abusees; and the pursuit of judicial reform.
 - b. That powerhouse can grow so strong as to bring about transformative change in American society and government to carry out the will of *the People*.
8. This is the most propitious time to respond to the call to join forces because the national public is receptive to it and resentful of public abuse of power and unaccountability:
- a. Supreme Court justices have been exposed for engaging in unethical and illegal conduct; and failing to ‘disqualify themselves in proceedings where their impartiality might reasonably be questioned’ ([28 U.S.C. §445](#)), e.g., due to conflict of interests arising from their decades-long beneficial personal relationship with ‘Friends of the Justices’, such as billionaire Harlan Crow, as revealed by [ProPublica](#). Consequently, public trust in the justices has plummeted;
 - b. the judicial treatment of President Biden’s son and former President Trump has sparked al-

legations of double judicial standards and the politization of the Department of Justice; and

- c. the current electoral season will allow politicians, whether principled or opportunistic, to turn judicial abuse, unaccountability, and reform into the distinguishing issues of their platform in an effort to earn public recognition as *the People's* Champions of Justice.

B. Thousands[‡] of emails have been, and continue to be, sent to officers and entities duty-bound to safeguard the integrity of the justice system

9. These are email addressees to whom emails[‡] have been sent since 7 June 2022:

iab@nypd.org, iabcmdctr@nypd.org, Shawn.Morris@nypd.org, Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org,
Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org, Fernando.Garza@nypd.org, Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org,
Kandice.Hall@nypd.org, Robert.Candela@nypd.org, John.McLoughlin@nypd.org,
Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org, outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov, bronxjury@nycourts.gov,
question@nycourts.gov, ig@nycourts.gov, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org,
agency@mail.customer-care.nyc.gov, doipress@doi.nyc.gov, reception@advocate.nyc.gov,
nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov, gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov, jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov,
rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov, recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov, NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov,
public.integrity@ag.ny.gov, ig.press@ig.ny.gov, Press.Office@exec.ny.gov, mtcsciq1@bb.nyc.gov,
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov, mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov, pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov, lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov, webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov, jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov,
rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov, amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov, accessibility@council.nyc.gov,
dinowitz@council.nyc.gov, district8@council.nyc.gov, district12@council.nyc.gov,
district13@council.nyc.gov, district14@council.nyc.gov, district15@council.nyc.gov,
district16@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov, district18@council.nyc.gov,
socratessolano2021@gmail.com, Info@bronxdefenders.org, justineo@bronxdefenders.org,
msellick@bronxdefenders.org, media@bronxdefenders.org, corderoric@yahoo.com,

10. I respectfully offer you and your colleagues and guests to make via video conference or, if in New York City, in person, a presentation on how to join forces to achieve the above-stated exposure, compensation, and reform; followed by a Questions & Answers session.

11. The presentation is supported by my professional [law research and writing](#), and [strategic thinking](#). They are the skills that undergird my three-volume study^{*†♣} of judges and their judiciaries titled:

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting^{*†♣}

12. The study discusses the axiom 'Unaccountability breeds abuse'. Its corollary is 'What [judges](#) allow themselves to do -regardless of the requirement to "avoid the even the appearance of impropriety" (§100.2), as exposed by [The Wall Street Journal](#) and [Thomson Reuters](#)-, others copy and exceed'.

- a. [Former President Trump](#) was found by NY State [Judge Arthur Engoron](#) to have committed business fraud, as stated in his decision of 26 September 2023. How much encouragement did he receive to defraud from his sister, former 3rd Circuit Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, who was going to be investigated for fraud before she simply resigned with impunity(¶16c)?

13. Some of my articles on unaccountability and abuse of power are posted to my website [Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org](#). They have attracted so many webvisitors and impressed them so positively that as of 31 October 2023, the number of visitors that had become subscribers was [49,082](#).

C. A similar case of coordinated abuse of power and cover-up, this one involving Medicare and related medical services and equipment providers

14. Similar emails have been sent since 4 October 2022 to the top officers of Medicare and related entities named infra. They have not replied or produced discovery, disclosure, or the overdue decision of the Medicare Appeals Council. Such failure to reply, produce, or decide results from, or works in effect, a coordinated cover-up to obstruct justice. Those officers have abused their power. They need to be **exposed** through the proposed **UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS**(¶6↑) on:

**A call
to Medicare Appeals Council
to decide appeal M-23-386, filed on 28 October 2022 and still pending;
and
to people who have been denied their rights by Medicare and related entities,
to class action law firms, and to investigative journalists,
to join forces to expose the abuse of power and cover-up
affecting so many people who assert their rights as
single party to their stand-alone case and even do so without a lawyer (pro se),
and as a result, have barely any chance against coordinated
hospitals, medical practitioners, equipment and laboratory services providers,
health insurance companies and health management organizations (HMOs)
and their networks of services and equipment providers,
medical decisions reviewers, administrative law judges,
Medicare, Medicaid, and the Medicare Appeals Council...with their lawyers,
all of whom coordinate their pursuit of their common interest in
enlarging their network;
denying claims of people to save money; and/or
billing them for the balance of medical bills in excess of
what the tables of medical costs allow by law and contract, which
constitutes balance billing and has been illegal since 1997*(OL3:1611§A)
because it defeats the purpose of medical costs limited by health insurance,
thus prompting the recent adoption by Congress of the **No Surprise Bill Act****

1. emails sent To: Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, OSDABImmediateOffice@hhs.gov, OS-OMHAATLECAPE@hhs.gov, OSOMHAHearingTechSupport@hhs.gov, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, notifications@dab.efile.hhs.gov, appeals@dab.efile.hhs.gov, James.Griepentrog@hhs.gov, Jon.Dorman@hhs.gov, john.colter@hhs.gov, erin.brown@hhs.gov, erin.nugent@hhs.gov, Darryl.Holloway@hhs.gov, Rajda.Nachampassak@hhs.gov, alethia.wimberly@hhs.gov, hillary.didona@hhs.gov, dawn.kos@hhs.gov, James.Brown@hhs.gov, leslie.mcdonald@hhs.gov, Sherese.Warren@hhs.gov, Kathy.Greene@hhs.gov, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org, medicareappeal@maximus.com, SHillegass@emblemhealth.com, esosa@emblemhealth.com, CManalansan@emblemhealth.com, lcampos@emblemhealth.com, toni-ann.devito@emblemhealth.com, SBergstrom@emblemhealth.com, EHCommunications@emblemhealth.com, sbergstrom@emblem.mail.onmicrosoft.com, M_Cipolla@emblemhealth.com, sdambrosio@emblemhealth.com, Corderoric@yahoo.com,

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" ...You may trigger history and enter it.

D. Sample of thousands of emails on Fabricated Indictments sent since 7 June 2022, from Dr. Cordero's email accounts at Verizon, Yahoo, and Judicial Discipline Reform to prosecutors, police, and judges, who have failed to answer them, as they did letters and messages recorded on their telephone answering machines, thus committing a coordinated cover-up

1. Sample of emails from to date back to 1 October 2023

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>
To: iab@nypd.org <iab@nypd.org>; iabcmdctr@nypd.org <iabcmdctr@nypd.org>; outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov <outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov>; Shawn.Morris@nypd.org <shawn.morris@nypd.org>; Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org <sherman.tyson@nypd.org>; Fernando.Garza@nypd.org <fernando.garza@nypd.org>; Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org <billy.ramirez@nypd.org>; Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org <jesus.ramos@nypd.org>; Kandice.Hall@nypd.org <kandice.hall@nypd.org>; Robert.Candela@nypd.org <robert.candela@nypd.org>; John.McLoughlin@nypd.org <john.mcloughlin@nypd.org>; Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org <xiomara.linton@nypd.org>; question@nycourts.gov <question@nycourts.gov>; bronxjury@nycourts.gov <bronxjury@nycourts.gov>; ig@nycourts.gov <ig@nycourts.gov>; corderoric@yahoo.com <corderoric@yahoo.com>; agencymail@customercare.nyc.gov <agencymail@customercare.nyc.gov>; doipress@doj.nyc.gov <doipress@doj.nyc.gov>; rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov <rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov>; reception@advocate.nyc.gov <reception@advocate.nyc.gov>; nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov <nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov>; gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov <gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov>; jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov <jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov>; recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov <recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov>; NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov <nyag.pressoffice@ag.ny.gov>; public.integrity@ag.ny.gov <public.integrity@ag.ny.gov>; ig.press@ig.ny.gov <ig.press@ig.ny.gov>; Press.Office@exec.ny.gov <press.office@exec.ny.gov>; mtscsiq1@bb.nyc.gov <mtscsiq1@bb.nyc.gov>; scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov <scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov <pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov <mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov <everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov <lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov <jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov <webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov <jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov <rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov <amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; accessibility@council.nyc.gov <accessibility@council.nyc.gov>; dinowitz@council.nyc.gov <dinowitz@council.nyc.gov>; district8@council.nyc.gov <district8@council.nyc.gov>; district12@council.nyc.gov <district12@council.nyc.gov>; district13@council.nyc.gov <district13@council.nyc.gov>; district14@council.nyc.gov <district14@council.nyc.gov>; district15@council.nyc.gov <district15@council.nyc.gov>; district16@council.nyc.gov <district16@council.nyc.gov>; district18@council.nyc.gov <district18@council.nyc.gov>; socratessolano2021@gmail.com <socratessolano2021@gmail.com>; Info@bronxdefenders.org <info@bronxdefenders.org>; justineo@bronxdefenders.org <justineo@bronxdefenders.org>; msellick@bronxdefenders.org <msellick@bronxdefenders.org>; media@bronxdefenders.org <media@bronxdefenders.org>; DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org <drrcordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org>; dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>
Cc: Maya.Miller@propublica.org <maya.miller@propublica.org>; caroline.lipovsky@sydney.edu.au <caroline.lipovsky@sydney.edu.au>; heather.long@washpost.com <heather.long@washpost.com>; Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org <stephen.engelberg@propublica.org>; media@propublica.org <media@propublica.org>; info@propublica.org <info@propublica.org>; newsletters@lists.propublica.net <newsletters@lists.propublica.net>; eric.sylvers@wsj.com <eric.sylvers@wsj.com>; michael.siconolfi@wsj.com <michael.siconolfi@wsj.com>; jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com <jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com>; christopher.stewart@wsj.com <christopher.stewart@wsj.com>; clare.ansberry@wsj.com <clare.ansberry@wsj.com>; kate.milani@wsj.com <kate.milani@wsj.com>; Amy.Stevens@thomsonreuters.com <amy.stevens@thomsonreuters.com>; blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com <blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com>; diana.jones2@thomsonreuters.com <diana.jones2@thomsonreuters.com>; mike.scarcella@thomsonreuters.com <mike.scarcella@thomsonreuters.com>; marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com <marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com>; tr.legal.updates@thomsonreuters.com <tr.legal.updates@thomsonreuters.com>; Noeleen.Walder@thomsonreuters.com <noeleen.walder@thomsonreuters.com>;

2. Sample of emails on Fabricated Indictments to and from prosecutors, police, and judges from 7 June 2022 to 30 September 2023

On Saturday, September 30, 2023 at 11:43:59 PM EDT, dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net> wrote:

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>
To: iab@nypd.org <iab@nypd.org>; iabcmdctr@nypd.org <iabcmdctr@nypd.org>; outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov <outreach@oignypd.nyc.gov>; Shawn.Morris@nypd.org <shawn.morris@nypd.org>; Sherman.Tyson@nypd.org <sherman.tyson@nypd.org>; Fernando.Garza@nypd.org <fernando.garza@nypd.org>; Billy.Ramirez@nypd.org <billy.ramirez@nypd.org>; Jesus.Ramos@nypd.org <jesus.ramos@nypd.org>; Kandice.Hall@nypd.org <kandice.hall@nypd.org>; Robert.Candela@nypd.org <robert.candela@nypd.org>; John.McLoughlin@nypd.org <john.mcloughlin@nypd.org>; Xiomara.Linton@nypd.org <xiomara.linton@nypd.org>; question@nycourts.gov <question@nycourts.gov>; bronxjury@nycourts.gov <bronxjury@nycourts.gov>; ig@nycourts.gov <ig@nycourts.gov>; agencyemail@customercare.nyc.gov <agencyemail@customercare.nyc.gov>; doipress@doi.nyc.gov <doipress@doi.nyc.gov>; rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov <rhuff@advocate.nyc.gov>; reception@advocate.nyc.gov <reception@advocate.nyc.gov>; nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov <nsmith@advocate.nyc.gov>; gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov <gethelp@advocate.nyc.gov>; jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov <jdominguez@advocate.nyc.gov>; recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov <recordsaccess@advocate.nyc.gov>; NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov <nyag.pressoffice@ag.ny.gov>; public.integrity@ag.ny.gov <public.integrity@ag.ny.gov>; ig.press@ig.ny.gov <ig.press@ig.ny.gov>; Press.Office@exec.ny.gov <press.office@exec.ny.gov>; mtscsiq1@bb.nyc.gov <mtscsiq1@bb.nyc.gov>; scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov <scheduling@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov <pressinquiry@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov <mivory@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; Everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov <everas@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov <lwalton@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov <jpeguero@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov <webmail@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov <jcortes@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov <rmiraglia@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov <amukoko@bronxbp.nyc.gov>; accessibility@council.nyc.gov <accessibility@council.nyc.gov>; dinowitz@council.nyc.gov <dinowitz@council.nyc.gov>; district8@council.nyc.gov <district8@council.nyc.gov>; district12@council.nyc.gov <district12@council.nyc.gov>; district13@council.nyc.gov <district13@council.nyc.gov>; district14@council.nyc.gov <district14@council.nyc.gov>; district15@council.nyc.gov <district15@council.nyc.gov>; district16@council.nyc.gov <district16@council.nyc.gov>; district18@council.nyc.gov <district18@council.nyc.gov>; socratessolano2021@gmail.com <socratessolano2021@gmail.com>; Info@bronxdefenders.org <info@bronxdefenders.org>; justineo@bronxdefenders.org <justineo@bronxdefenders.org>; media@bronxdefenders.org <media@bronxdefenders.org>; corderoric@yahoo.com <corderoric@yahoo.com>; DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org <drccordero@judicial-discipline-reform.org>; dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net <dr.richard.cordero_esq@verizon.net>
Cc: eric.sylvers@wsj.com <eric.sylvers@wsj.com>; michael.siconolfi@wsj.com <michael.siconolfi@wsj.com>; jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com <jennifer.forsyth@wsj.com>; christopher.stewart@wsj.com <christopher.stewart@wsj.com>; clare.ansberry@wsj.com <clare.ansberry@wsj.com>; kate.milani@wsj.com <kate.milani@wsj.com>; Amy.Stevens@thomsonreuters.com <amy.stevens@thomsonreuters.com>; blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com <blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com>; diana.jones2@thomsonreuters.com <diana.jones2@thomsonreuters.com>; mike.scarcella@thomsonreuters.com <mike.scarcella@thomsonreuters.com>; marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com <marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com>; tr.legal.updates@thomsonreuters.com <tr.legal.updates@thomsonreuters.com>; Noeleen.Walder@thomsonreuters.com <noeleen.walder@thomsonreuters.com>; info@legalprof.thomsonreuters.com <info@legalprof.thomsonreuters.com>; Stephen.Engelberg@propublica.org <stephen.engelberg@propublica.org>; media@propublica.org <media@propublica.org>; info@propublica.org <info@propublica.org>; newsletters@lists.propublica.net <newsletters@lists.propublica.net>; heather.long@washpost.com <heather.long@washpost.com>; Kimberly.Kindy@washpost.com <kimberly.kindy@washpost.com>; letters@washpost.com <letters@washpost.com>; ann.marimow@washpost.com <ann.marimow@washpost.com>; spotlight@globe.com <spotlight@globe.com>; support@bostonglobe.zendesk.com

16 September 2023

The need for specificity in a defamation case; arguing by analogy & distinction[‡]

A. The demand for a more definite statement and the failure to provide it

1. Thank you, Sheriff SH for your email. I would like to suggest that you state with specificity the passages of Reporter BC's article that you consider to be defamatory or erroneous.
2. A plaintiff in a defamation suit cannot reasonably expect the defendant to withdraw a whole article, whereby the defendant would be admitting that he stated falsely or incorrectly the plaintiff's name, address, relation to the defendant or other persons; the date, location, and nature of the facts; their background;...in other words, the article was the product of fabrication or of a total incompetent.
3. If the defendant did so, she would totally impair her credibility by implying that she did not get a single detail right. That is impossible, for at least the defendant got a sufficient number of details right for the plaintiff to assume that the readers of the article would understand that it referred to the plaintiff. Hence, the plaintiff's demand for an apology and withdrawal needs to be made with specificity so that the defendant may know what statements to deny, apologize for, or correct.
4. If Rpr. BC disregards or denies your demand for a published apology and withdrawal and you sue him, he can file a motion for a more definite statement under [Rule 12\(e\)](#) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP; [28 U.S.C. Appendix](#)) or its equivalent under state law (all the states have incorporated into their system of justice those federal rules practically word for word). So, if you sue under Nevada law, [download the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure](#) and look up the rules bearing the same number as the FRCP. Consider also the [rules of the court](#) where you filed your case.
5. You will lose the motion if you cannot produce a more definite statement or if the passages alleged to be defamatory or erroneous are shown to be true, which is a complete defense; insignificantly rather than "materially" incorrect; or ambiguous so that a reasonable person examining them fairly and impartially can conclude that they caused no harm to your reputation. If the passages are not harmful, the most you can ask is that Rpr. BC correct them, not that he withdraw the whole article.
6. This note does not discuss the requirement that you, a public figure, show malice on the part of Rpr. BC, namely, that he knew that the statements were false or recklessly disregarded their truth or falsity and simply published them. See *New York Times v. Sullivan* and the cases that apply it.
7. If you fail to produce a more definite statement that makes a "prima facie" -a more likely than not- case that Rpr. BC defamed you, he can move for summary judgment under Rule 56. To decide it, the judge must give you, the respondent, the benefit of the doubt by interpreting the contested passages in the article by Rpr. BC, the movant, in the light most favorable to your claim of defamation. But in this scenario, you failed to state the specific passages in the article and/or point out the corresponding facts showing that it contains materially erroneous and defamatory statements. The judge may find your case frivolous and vexatious, and assess punitive damages against you.
8. If you lose the summary judgment motion, there is no trial and the court can enter judgment for Rpr. BC and even grant his request for sanctions against you for violating Rule 11(b): "a party certifies that to the best of the person's knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances: ...(3) its factual contentions have evidentiary support..."
9. The sanctions may include your reimbursement of all expenses incurred by Rpr. BC. Since you sued him as an employee, his company must be represented by a lawyer. The judge may order you to pay his attorney's fees, court costs, discovery expenses; and compensation as asked in his counterclaim for defaming him as an incompetent reporter. You risk losing more than you asked for.

[‡] http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_defamation_specificity_analogy_distinction.pdf

B. The folly of self-representation; and arguing by analogy and distinction

10. I invite you all to read two articles that show specificity, with titles containing specific details:

A call to join forces to people denied their rights by **Medicare** and related entities, to class action law firms, and to investigative journalists: Appeal M-23-386 to the Medicare Appeals Council, filed on 28oct22, is still pending by means of a cover-up. It illustrates how a stand-alone person barely has a chance against the coordinated abuse of power of hospitals, medical practitioners, equipment and laboratory services providers, health insurance companies and health management organizations (HMOs), the medical decisions reviewers, the administrative law judges, Medicare, Medicaid, and the Medicare Appeals Council.[‡]

Fabricated indictments based on false and insufficient evidence presented to grand juries by prosecutors, police, and judges who abuse the jurors' ignorance of the law and untrained and uncritical judgment, and reciprocally cover up their dereliction of honest services and due process duties. The proposal to expose the abusers through UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS.

11. Those articles give a hint of what a complaint, a brief, and a motion look like. Consider whether it is you or Rpr. BC who can use them as a sword in motion practice, discovery, or at trial, that is, as a means of establishing one's stance on the law and rules, and defeating the opponents', and justifying one's demand for relief. Those articles may alert you also to what you need to raise a shield to in order to protect yourself. In either case, suing him in court is not the same as emailing him.
12. In court, you need to comply with the law and the rules of procedure regardless of whether you appear without a lawyer, to wit, **pro se**, or a lawyer represents you by arguing your case. Appearing pro se is **folly**. For proof, see in §C the sources of law and rules that you must consult to write a brief or present oral argument in court...and you must know how to argue what you read.
13. Your claims against Rpr. BC allege that his article contains defamatory and erroneous statements. The above articles deal with claims of that kind. They allow you to engage in the key exercise of arguing precedent: Our system of justice arises from common law. Parties assert their claims or defend against the opposing party's and judges decide them by applying the law -if any was in force at the time of the facts of the case- and/or citing precedent, that is, how cases previously applied the law; and if there was no applicable law, they cite principles of law established in decided cases -rather than listed in a code- that they apply to the new legal issues arising from the facts.
14. Arguing precedent involves two opposite elements: analogy and distinction. You try to establish your claim by discussing how your case is the same as, or similar to, i.e., analogous, a previous case; or you defend against a claim by distinguishing it from a previous case that the opposing party or the judge alleges controls how your case should be decided to abide by this tenet of justice: "To the same or similar facts, the same or similar results". This tenet provides **notice** to everybody of what the system of justice holds to be right or wrong; **predictability** of the results of one's conduct in another situation with the same or similar facts; **restraint** on judges' decisional power to prevent decisions that are arbitrary, capricious or rooted in personal notions of right or wrong; and **consistency** because "The Law Applies Equally to Everybody" so that "Nobody is Above the Law".
15. If you do not understand the preceding, retain local counsel and do not appear pro se against Rpr. BC or anybody else for that matter, lest the saying apply: Plaintiff went in for milk and came out without cheese. Advance your interest in exposing abuse of power by distributing the above and similar articles of mine: post them or open an email from me and click "**Reply All**" and "**Send**". Even if my emails bear the same Subject: line, each has a different set of email addresses in its To: box.

Dare shout "*I accuse!*" ...You may trigger history and enter it.

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506
tel. +1(718)827-9521; follow @DrCorderoEsq
Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

26 October 2023

Chief Judge Rowan Wilson, Associate Judges, tel. (518)455-7700, and
Chief Administrative Judge Joseph Zayas; tel. in Albany (518)453-8680; in NYC (212)428-2884
Principal Administrative Secretary Tonya Speckhardt; tspeckha@nycourts.gov
NYS Court of Appeals, 20 Eagle Street, Albany, NY 12207

Dear Chief Judges Wilson and Zayas, and Associate Judges,¹

In your [materials](#), C.J. Wilson, for your webinar at the NYS Academy of Trial Lawyers on October 24, 2023, you wrote that “the common law is meant to be grounded in principles of fundamental fairness and justice [so that] judges’ job is arriving at a just result”.

No “just result” can be arrived at when judicial process begins with judges covering for indictments fabricated on [false and insufficient](#)¹⁵⁷⁵¹ evidence by DAs and police officers who abuse their power to exploit the jurors’ ignorance of the law and untrained and uncritical judgment.

I, a lawyer with a Ph.D. in law, witnessed such fabrication [as a grand juror](#) at the Supreme Court, Bronx County Criminal Term, 265 E 161st St., Bronx, NY 10451, on May 23 and 24, 2022. After the presentation of the indictment, I asked critical questions in the grand jury room. Thereupon, the presenting and the supervising assistant district attorneys, namely, ADA Burim Namani and ADA Diana Jetta, respectively, referred me to Grand Jury Justice Laurence [Busching](#). He discharged me on May 25, 2022, peremptorily without even allowing me to confront the accusers, whether those ADAs, any of the other 20 grand jurors, or the grand jury warden.

I complained in an 8-page, 4,743-word sworn statement of facts dated May 28, 2022, to Administrative Justice Alvin [Yearwood](#), who did not even reply or take any of my calls.

I filed a complaint with the [Commission](#) on Judicial Conduct, which dismissed it on the patently false allegation that it lacked jurisdiction because those judges “are [not members](#) of the NYS [Unified Court System](#)”!² To protect your colleagues, do you condone such [dishonesty](#) by CJC?

I complained in writing to each of the [Court of Appeals](#) judges on 23 February 2023; and to the NYC and NYS [administrative judges](#); I called and left messages for them [repeatedly](#).¹ None replied.

Are you so committed to “fundamental fairness and justice” that you will investigate how as a result of judges’ pattern of condoning fabricated indictments likely thousands of individuals have had their lives devastated by being jailed; forced to incur burdensome debt to make bail; and tainted or ruined domestically, socially, and professionally by a criminal record? You have the duty and the authority to expose the judges’ non-coincidental dereliction of duty, abuse of power, obstruction of justice, and reciprocal protection through an explicitly or implicitly coordinated cover-up.³

Action requested: I respectfully request that you investigate this matter, and to that end:

- a. call Judge Yearwood, tel. (718)618-3700, to ask for a copy of my May 28, 2022, sworn statement and the transcript of the court reporter’s record of Judge Busching’s May 25 discharge of me;
- b. based on your authority to investigate this kind of cases, issue me with an order to produce that statement, which will entitle me to disclose it without risking statutory penalties; and
- c. ask me to discuss this case with you, in person if in NYC; otherwise, via video conference.

Dare shout “*I accuse!*” ...You may trigger history and enter it.

Sincerely, Dr. Richard Cordero Esq.

¹ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

².../IAB/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf ³.../IAB/DrRCordero_fabricated_indictments-public_officers.pdf



CORNELL
 1950 LAFAYETTE AVE
 BRONX, NY 10473-9998
 (800)275-8777

10/28/2023 04:07 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
USPS Grnd Advtg	1		\$6.25
Albany, NY 12207			
Weight: 0 lb 8.90 oz			
Estimated Delivery Date			
Wed 11/01/2023			
Tracking #:			
9500 1150 6462 3301 8353 54			
Insurance			\$0.00
Up to \$100.00 included			
Affixed Postage			-\$3.50
Affixed Amount: \$3.50			
Total			\$2.75

Grand Total: \$2.75

Credit Card Remit \$2.75

Card Name: MasterCard
 Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX1591
 Approval #: 02808B
 Transaction #: 710
 AID: A0000000041010 Chip
 AL: Mastercard
 PIN: Not Required


Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm> or call 1-800-222-1811

Preview your Mail
 Track your Packages
 Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience. Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos> or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 350923-0113
 Receipt #: 840-5100107-2-9590759-2
 Clerk: 03

USPS® Item Delivered, Individual Picked Up at Postal Facility 9500115064623301835354
 From:auto-reply@usps.com
 To:corderoric@yahoo.com
 Date:Tuesday, October 31, 2023 at 10:24 AM EDT



Hello **Richard Cordero**,

Your item was picked up at a postal facility at 10:04 am on October 31, 2023 in ALBANY, NY 12207.

Tracking Number:
[**9500115064623301835354**](#)

Delivered, Individual Picked Up at Postal Facility

Tracking & Delivery Options

[My Account](#)

Get Tracking Updates in a Daily Email

Our Informed Delivery® feature sends you a daily email with mail and packages arriving soon. Tracking numbers are automatically included so you don't have to manually track your deliveries.

[Sign Up for Free](#)

Expected delivery date and time is subject to change, but if it does we'll send you an email update. Delivery options not available for all packages at all times.

Visit [USPS Tracking](#)® to check the most up-to-date status of your package. Sign up for [Informed Delivery](#)® to digitally preview the address side of your incoming letter-sized mail and



**A. Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support Judicial Discipline Reform and its [business plan](#) to:

1. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ‡**

2. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and elicited such a positive reaction that as of 31 Oct. 23, the number of those who had become subscribers was 49,083([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a **research center** for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy attached to a university or news network;
3. organize and embark on a tour of presentations to you and your group of guests; at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#);
4. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
5. organize the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
6. publish as its sequel an academics/journalists multidisciplinary Annual Report on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
7. launch an abuse investigation that attracts ever more media because *Scandal sells & earns Pulitzers*;
8. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.(¶57).

Put your money where your outrage at abuse and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through

either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into


Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

B. Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

9. offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set its terms and scheduling use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from OL3:1144 OL3:1627 

Blank

APPENDIXES

- [App.1.](#) Volumes of the study of judges and their judiciaries
- [App.2.](#) Offer of a presentation; and
Activities to support with donations and investment
- [App.3.](#) Number of subscribers to Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
- [App.4.](#) Statement by LinkedIn that Dr. Cordero has “one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012”
- [App.5.](#) Resume of Dr. Cordero
- [App.6.](#) Links to articles ready for review and publication; subjects for commissioned articles; and links to external sources of information
- [App.7.](#) Blocs of email addresses of the people to whom to send one’s story of judges’ abuse of power and financial criminality

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing
Judges' Unaccountability
and
Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power
Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org

Judicial Discipline Reform

New York City

<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>

Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power

Pioneering the news and publishing field
of
judicial unaccountability reporting

A three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries that exposes their coordinated abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; and promotes a generalized media investigation and unprecedented citizens hearings that inform and so outrage the national public as to stir it up to assert its right as *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, including judicial public servants, to hold judges accountable for their performance and liable to compensate the victims of their abuse

VOLUME III:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf

Volume I:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf

Volume II:

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf

December 23, 2022

Table of Contents

of the sections laying out the main concepts in Volume I,
with references to articles in Volumes II and III, of the study:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ♣**

This file contains only pages ggl:1-38. For a comprehensive list of articles, see Appendix 6. i.

Introduction: The goal is not only to expose judges' abuse of power, but also to enable *We the People*, the Masters of all public servants, to hold our judicial public servants accountable for their performance and **liable to compensation**, and thereby *trigger history!* **jur:1**

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf

ii. Tables compiling judicial statistics, and graphs, all supporting probable cause to believe that judges have complicitly coordinated an agreement for their 100% dismissal of complaints against any of them and 100% denial of petitions to review those dismissals, thus mutually ensuring their survival and continued abuse of power to **grab** illegal, unethical, and rules-disregarding gains and convenience **jur:9**

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf

A. Means, motive, and opportunity of federal judges to engage in, and so to coordinate their, abuse of power as to make it their institutionalized modus operandi, thereby ensuring that the Federal Judiciary is a safe haven that they run as a **racketeering enterprise**..... **jur:21**

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf

B. *In re DeLano*, Then-Circuit Judge Sonia Sotomayor presiding, and her nomination to the Supreme Court by President Barak Obama: evidence of a **bankruptcy fraud scheme** and her concealment of assets dismissed with knowing indifference and willful blindness to a bankruptcy mill operated by the bankruptcy judges appointed under **28 U.S.C. §152** by the circuit judges: the appointers cover for their appointees **jur:65**

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf

C. Nature and **forms of judges' abuse** of power and strategy to expose their unaccountability and riskless abuse, e.g., **auditing** their decisions and other people's writings to detect their patterns, trends, and schemes of individual and coordinated abuse..... **jur:81**

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf

D. Multimedia public presentation made by judicial unaccountability reporters on:
i) the **available evidence** of judges' abuse of power and the *In re DeLano-J. Sotomayor* story;

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all prefixes:# up to OL:393
† http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >OL3:394-1143
♣ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1555+

ii) their own findings through their <i>Follow the money!</i> and <i>Follow the wire!</i> investigations; and	
iii) the <i>We accuse!</i> denunciation at a press conference, in articles, and through broadcast reportage.....	jur:97
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_investigation_&_presentation.pdf	
E. Multidisciplinary academic and business venture leading up to the creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy.....	jur:119-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_academic_biz_venture&Institute.pdf	
§§1-4. The academic and business venture that implements the business plan in activities that include the holding of unprecedented citizens hearings, and publications to inform the national public of, and outrage it at, judges' abuse of power	jur:119
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf	
§5. Creation of the Institute of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy.....	jur:130
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf	
§§5-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:130-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
§§6-9. Establishment of an Inspector General for the Judiciary; proposed legislation; and precedent for a national, civic, apolitical movement for holding judges accountable and liable	jur:158-169
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf	
F. Offer to present <i>The Business of Justice</i> to expose judges' abuse of power, implement the business plan, and engage in actions to trigger history.....	jur:171
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf	
G. Evidence of interference with Dr. Cordero's email accounts.....	ggl:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf	
H. Presentation at schools on judges' abuse of power and a plan for judicial reform.....	Lsch:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf	
I. The <i>DeLano</i> case course: syllabus of classwork on investigating a case on judges' abuse of power and the organization of a conference to present its findings.....	DCC:1
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	
J. Creative Writings: using storytelling to persuade and inspire readers;	
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Syllabus.pdf	CW:1
K. OL:1-393; first part of the OL series of articles; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf	OL:1

**Every meaningful cause needs resources for its advancement;
none can be continued, let alone advanced, without money**

Support Judicial Discipline Reform and its [business plan](#) to:

1. continue its professional law research and writing, and [strategic thinking](#), which has produced a three-volume study of judges and their judiciaries, titled and downloadable thus:

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting * † ‡**

2. turn the site at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org> –whose articles([Appendix 6§A](#)) have attracted so many webvisitors and they have reacted so positively that as of 31 Oct. 23, the number of those who had become subscribers was 49,083([App.3](#))– from an informational platform, into:
 - a. a clearinghouse for [complaints](#) against judges uploaded by anybody;
 - b. a [research center](#) for fee-paying clients [auditing](#) judges' decisions and searching many other writings from many sources that through [computer-assisted](#) statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis can reveal the most persuasive type of evidence: judges' [patterns](#), trends, and [schemes](#) of [abuse of power](#), e.g.; their [interception](#) of people's emails and mail; and
 - c. the digital portal of the plan's business venture leading up to the [Institute](#) of Judicial Unaccountability Reporting and Reform Advocacy at a university or news network;
3. organize and embark on a tour of [presentations](#) at law, journalism, business, and Information Technology [schools](#); media outlets; etc., via video conference or in person to form [local chapters](#) of a national movement to investigate and hold judges accountable and liable under [Strickland v. U.S.](#);
4. hold together with academics, media outlets, and journalists, the proposed [UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS](#), where people will be able to tell the national public [their stories](#) of judges' abuse;
5. [organize](#) the first-ever, and national conference on judges' abuse in [connivance](#) with politicians, who fear their power of retaliation, where the report on the citizens hearings will be presented;
6. publish an academics/journalists multidisciplinary [Annual Report](#) on Judicial Unaccountability and Riskless Abuse of Power-cum-citizens inspector general report on the judiciary;
7. launch an abuse [investigation](#) that attracts the media, for *Scandal sells & wins Pulitzer Prizes*;
8. promote the formation of a national, single issue, apolitical, civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, [compensation](#) of abusees, and reform through transformative change; etc.(¶57).

Put your money where your [outrage at abuse](#) and passion for justice are.

DONATE by making a deposit or an online transfer through either the Bill Pay feature of your online account or Zelle from your account into

Citi Bank, routing # 021 000 089, account # 4977 59 2001;

or TD Bank, routing # 260 13 673, account # 43 92 62 52 45.

Offer to present this article and the above-listed cause-advancing activities

9. I offer to present this article and the [business plan](#) to you and your guests via video conference and, if in NY City, in person. To assess my capacity to present you may view my [video](#) and follow it on its [slides](#). To set the terms and schedule it use my contact information in the letterhead above.

Dare trigger history!...and you may enter it.

Users [Add New User](#)

Thanks for updating to Really Simple SSL 6.0! Check out our new features on the settings page.

[Dismiss](#) [View](#)



Hi, Really Simple SSL has kept your site secure for a month now, awesome! If you have a moment, please consider leaving a review on WordPress.org to spread the word. We greatly appreciate it! If you have any questions or feedback, leave us a [message](#).

- Rogier

[Leave a review](#) [Maybe later](#) [Don't show again](#)



Are you enjoying using Facebook Page Feeds Widget?

You have been using [Facebook Page Feeds Widget for WordPress](#) for sometime now! Could you please do me a favor and give it a 5-star rating on WordPress to help us spread the word and encourage my hardwork?

[Leave a Review](#)

No thanks / I already have

To get the most out of Social Media Feather and to help enable its continued development, please read the [ShareThis Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Notice](#), then [click here to accept the terms](#).

All | [Administrator](#) | [Editor](#) | [Author](#) | [Contributor](#) | [Subscriber](#) | [Customer](#) | [Shop manager](#)

Bulk actions [Apply](#) Change role to... [Change](#)

[Search Users](#)

49,139 items

<< < 1 of 2,457 > >>

<input type="checkbox"/>	Username	Name	Email	Role	Posts	Active subscriber?
<input type="checkbox"/>	1970mdegcf	—	einarcruickshank1989@e.bengira.com	Subscriber	0	-
<input type="checkbox"/>	1Barret1cuple	—	tornado102034@hotmail.com	Subscriber	0	-



Richard Cordero <dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com>


Congratulations! You have one of the top 5% most viewed LinkedIn profiles for 2012.

1 message

LinkedIn <linkedin@e.linkedin.com>
Reply-To: LinkedIn <donotreply@e.linkedin.com>
To: dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com

Thu, Feb 7, 2013 at 4:02 PM

LinkedIn now has 200 million members.



This is an occasional email to help you get the most of LinkedIn. [Unsubscribe](#)
This email was intended for Richard Cordero (Lawyer, researcher-writer, and advocate of judicial accountability and discipline reform). [Learn why we include this.](#)
If you need assistance or have questions, please contact [LinkedIn Customer Service](#) .
© 2013, LinkedIn Corporation. 2029 Stierlin Ct., Mountain View, CA 94043, USA.



Hi Richard,

Recently, LinkedIn reached a new milestone: 200 million members. But this isn't just our achievement to celebrate — it's also yours.

I want to personally thank you for being part of our community. Your journey is part of our journey, and we're delighted and humbled when we hear stories of how our members are using LinkedIn to connect, learn, and find opportunity.

All of us come to work each day focused on our shared mission of connecting the world's professionals to make them more productive and successful. We're excited to show you what's next.

With sincere thanks,

Deep Nishar
Senior Vice President, Products & User Experience

P.S. What does 200 million look like? [See the infographic](#)

A stat this delightful
deserves to be shared

Share



256,112 250,388

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.

2165 Bruckner Blvd., Bronx, NY 10472-6506; tel. (718) 827-9521

Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net, DrRCordero@Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_resume_publication_list_links.docx & ...pdf

BAR MEMBERSHIP AND SPECIAL SKILLS: • U.S. citizen; member of the NYS Bar; specialized in field and library research and writing of legal briefs and business and IT studies

- I would like to work for you as a lawyer and researcher-writer strategist in a position where I can contribute to your business or legal problem solution a talent that gives me a competitive advantage: I can gather seemingly unconnected pieces of information, select those relevant to the prioritized objectives to be pursued, and imaginatively integrate them into a coherent new structure -expressed clearly and concisely both orally and in writing- that renders those pieces meaningful and useful, like a mosaic that depicts a realistic and decorative scene of the ancient Romans, yet originates in insignificant stone fragments expertly sifted from dirt and artfully set together to appeal to the spirit and the mind while serving the practical purpose of making money.

ADVANCED KNOWLEDGE OF: • computers and their use for word processing, graphics composition, presentations, and research; and for developing IT products to audit cases through statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of opinions to give lawyers an informational advantage

LANGUAGES: • I speak English, Spanish, and French; and converse in German and Italian.

RELEVANT EXPERIENCE

FOUNDER OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE REFORM, 2008-to date New York City

- A non-partisan and non-denominational organization that advocates the study of the judiciary and the adoption of legislation to replace the inherently biased and ineffective judges-judging-judges system of judicial self-discipline with a system based on independent boards of citizens unrelated to the judges and empowered to publicly receive, investigate, and resolve complaints

RESEARCHER AND WRITER ATTORNEY, 1995-to date New York City

- Prosecution of cases from bankruptcy, district, and circuit courts to the SCt; practice in NY courts
- Developed the Euro Project, a 3-prong business package consisting of the Euro Conference, the Euro Consulting Services, and the Euro Newsletter; aimed at enabling firms to capitalize on their expertise in the euro by providing services for the adaptation of business practices and IT systems to the European Union's new common currency that replaced its national currencies

WAYNE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE, 1994 Detroit, MI

- Developed economic and marketing features of the master plan for the intermodal transportation and industrial complex of Willow Run Tradeport in Detroit
- Drafted and implemented proposals for increasing office productivity using IT and equipment

LAWYERS COOPERATIVE PUBLISHING, 1991-1993 Rochester, NY

- Member of the editorial staff of LCP, the foremost publisher of analytical legal commentaries.
- Researched and wrote articles on securities regulations, antitrust, and banking under U.S. law

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES, 1984-1985 Brussels, Belgium

- Devised proposals for harmonizing supervisory regulations on mortgage credit and on reporting large loan exposures by one and all members of a banking system to one and related borrowers
- My proposals were adopted by the EEC Banking Division and negotiated with the national experts in the supervision of financial institutions of the Member States
- Drafted replies to financial questions put by the European Parliament to the Commission

EDUCATION

THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, Faculty of Law, Ph.D., 1988 Cambridge, England

- Doctoral dissertation analyzed the existing European legal and political environment and proposed a new system for harmonizing the regulation and supervision of financial institutions

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, Business School, MBA, 1995 Ann Arbor, Michigan

- Emphasis on corporate strategies to maximize profitability and competitiveness through the optimal use of IT expert systems using artificial intelligence, and telecommunications networks

LA SORBONNE, Faculty of Law and Economics, French law degree, 1982 Paris, France

- Was awarded a French Government scholarship
- Concentrated on the operation of a currency basket to achieve monetary stability and on the application of harmonized regulations & antitrust rules on companies with dominant positions

RESEARCH WORKS

1. Study of judges and their judiciaries, based on an original and innovative analysis of the Federal Judiciary' statistics submitted to Congress annually, reports, judges' statements and websites, etc

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* †**

2. List of articles on judges' unaccountability and riskless abuse of power offered for publication individually or as a series; †>[OL2:719§C](#);
3. Complaint against Judge Brett Kavanaugh, Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers and colleagues of the District of Columbia Circuit (DCC), submitted to the DCC Court of Appeals and "Because of the exceptional circumstances related to this complaint", referred by it to Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., who assigned it to the 11th Circuit for disposition; includes the official letters of referral and the decision of the 11th Circuit chief judge; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
4. The official statistics of the U.S. District of Columbia Circuit show that P. Trump SCt nominee Judge Brett Kavanaugh, P. Obama SCt nominee Chief Judge Merrick Garland, and their peers received during the 1oct06/30sep17 11-year period, 478 complaints against judges in their Circuit and dismissed 100% of them and denied 100% of the petitions for review of those dismissals, thus covering as a matter of policy for abusive judges regardless of the gravity of their abuse; 1jun18; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/1DrRCordero_Judges_Unaccountability_Riskless_Abuse.pdf
5. Availability of an Implied Right of Action under the Tender Offer Provisions of §14d-f of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 USCS §78n(d)-(f)), added to the Exchange Act by the Williams Act of 1968, and Rules Promulgated thereunder by the SEC, **120 ALR Federal 145**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/2DrRCordero_120ALRFed145.pdf
6. Venue Provisions of the National Bank Act (12 USCS §94) As Affected By Other Federal Venue Provisions and Doctrines, **111 ALR Federal 235**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/3DrRCordero_111ALRFed235.pdf
7. Construction and Application of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 (12 USCS §§ 3401-3422), **112 ALR Federal 295**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/4DrRCordero_112ALRFederal295.pdf

8. Exemption or Immunity From Federal Antitrust Liability Under the McCarran-Ferguson Act (15 USCS §§1011-1013) and the State Action and Noerr-Pennington Doctrines for the Business of Insurance and Persons Engaged in It, **116 ALR Federal 163**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/5DrRCordero_116ALRFed163.pdf
9. Who May Maintain an Action Under §11(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 USCS §77k (a)), in Connection With False or Misleading Registration Statements, **111 ALR Fed. 83**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/6DrRCordero_111ALRFed83.pdf
10. Judicial Conference's Reforms Will Not Fix the Problem of Abusive Judges Who Go Undisciplined, Letter to the Editor, National Law Journal, March 3, 2008; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/7DrRCordero_Letters_To_Editor_NYLJ3mar8.pdf; <http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1204212424055>
11. The Creation of a European Banking System: A study of its legal and technical aspects, Peter Lang, Inc., NY, XXXVI, 390 pp., 1990; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/8DrRCordero_Creation_European_Banking_System.pdf; this book earned a grant from the Commission of the European Communities and was reviewed very favorably in 32 Harvard International Law Journal 603 (1991), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Harvard_Int_Law_J.pdf; and 24 New York University Journal of International Law and Politics 1019 (1992), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/NYU_JIntLaw&Pol.pdf
12. Competition Strategies Must Adapt to the Euro, 17 Amicus Curiae of the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, London, 27 (May 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/9DrRCordero_Compensation_Strategies_&_euro.pdf
13. Why Business Executives in Third Countries and Non-participating Member States Should Pay Attention to the Euro, European Financial Services Law 140 (March 1999); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/10DrRCordero_6European_Financial_Services_Law93.pdf
14. Some Practical Consequences for Financial Management Brought About by the Euro, 5 European Financial Services Law 187 (1998); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/11DrRCordero_5European_Financial_Services_Law_187.pdf
15. Impending Conversion to the Euro Prompts New Guidelines from the IRS, New York Law Journal, pg. 1, Friday, October 2, 1998; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/12DrRCordero_Conversion_to_the_Euro_&_IRS_NYLJ.pdf
16. The Development of Video Dialtone Networks by Large Phone and Cable Companies and its Impact on their Small Counterparts, 1 Personal Technologies no. 2, 60 (Springer-Verlag London Ltd., 1997); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/13DrRCordero_Dialtone_1Personal_Technologies2.pdf
17. Video Dialtone: Its Potential for Social Change, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (1996) http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/14DrRCordero_Dialtone_&_Social_Change_15JBF16.pdf
18. Video Dialtone Network Architectures, by Richard Cordero and Jeffery Joles, 15 Journal of Business Forecasting 16 (Summer 1996); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/15DrRCordero_Dialtone_networks_15JBF16.pdf
19. A Strict but Liberalizing Interpretation of EEC Treaty Articles 67(1) and 68(1) on Capital Movements, 2 Legal Issues of European Integration 39 (1989); http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/publications/16DrRCordero_Strict_but_liberalizing_interpretation_2LIEI39.pdf

31 October 2023

APPENDIX 6

**A study and articles already written on
judicial abuse of power, compensation of abusees, and transformative reform;
subjects for articles that may be commissioned; and
links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing***

Table of Contents

A. The study and articles available for review and publication	1
B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles	10
C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing	12

A. The study and articles available for review and publication

1. The study

1. The three-volume study* † ♣ of judges and their judiciaries that supports the articles, which are downloadable as individual files

**Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Abuse of Power:
Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting* † ♣**

* Volume 1: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >all
prefixes:page# up to prefix OL:page393

† Volume 2: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates2.pdf >from page
OL2:394-1143

* Volume 3: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >from
OL3:1144-1555+

- i. Download the volume files using MS Edge, Firefox, or Chrome.
- ii. Open the downloaded files using [Adobe Acrobat Reader](https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html), which is available for free at
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/us/en/acrobat/pdf-reader.html>.
- iii. In each downloaded file, go to the Menu bar >View >Navigation Panels >Bookmarks panel and use
its bookmarks, which make navigating to the contents' numerous(* † ♣ >blue footnote-like
references) very easy.

2. Many of the articles have been posted to the website of **Judicial Discipline Reform** at
<http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>.

3. Visit the website and join its 49,082 + subscribers to its articles thus: [homepage](#) <left panel ↓Register or
>Add New or [Users](#) >Add New.

2. The individual sections of Volume I of the study

1. jur:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Intro_trigger_history.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1144-1555+
*.../OL/....pdf >all prefixes:page# up to OL:393 †.../OL2/...2.pdf >OL2:394-1143
* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

2. jur:10; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics&graphs.pdf
3. jur:21§A; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_means_motive_opportunity_for_abuse.pdf
4. jur:65§B; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_bankruptcy_fraud_scheme_cover-up.pdf
5. jur:85§C; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_abuse_notions.pdf
6. jur:97§D; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_launch_investigation.pdf
7. jur:119§§E1-4; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_marketing_brochures_Annual-Report_team.pdf
8. jur:130§E5; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting.pdf
9. jur:130§E5-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_Judicial_Unaccountability_Reporting&agenda.pdf
10. jur:158§§E6-9; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_IG_legislation_civic_movement.pdf
11. jur:171§F; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_trigger_history.pdf
12. ggl:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_email_accounts_interference.pdf
13. jur:i-lix; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_jur_i-lix_summarizing_articles.pdf
14. Lsch:1; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/Lsch/DrRCordero_presentation_at_schools.pdf; see also http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
15. DCC:1; The *DeLano* Case Course, with two 15-week syllabi for classwork of case investigation and organization of findings presentation conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_Case_Course.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DCC/DrRCordero_DeLano_docs.pdf
16. CW:1; Creative writings: blurbs, synopses of novels and movie scripts, drama scenes, and a short story by Dr Cordero; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/CW/DrRCordero_creative_writings.pdf
17. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/a&p/DrRCordero-Agent&Publisher.pdf>
18. OL:1-393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_OL.1-393.pdf

3. The articles written and available for review and publication

19. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_collected_statistics_complaints_v_judges.pdf. Cf.:
 - a. jur:11: while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sonia **Sotomayor** served on the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf
 - b. OL2:546; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil **Gorsuch** served on the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, <http://Judicial-Discipline->

Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf

- c. OL2:748; Judge Brett **Kavanaugh**, Chief Judge Merrick **Garland**, and their peers and colleagues in the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed 478 complaints against them during the 1oct06-30sep17 11-year period; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland_exoneration_policy.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_table_exonerations_by_JJ_Kavanaugh-Garland.pdf
 - d. OL2:1176; while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Amy Coney **Barrett** served on the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
 - e. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
 - f. OL3:1237 on exposing attorney general designate Judge M. **Garland**; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
 - g. Template to be filled out with the complaint statistics on any of the 15 reporting courts: http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_template_table_complaints_v_judges.pdf
20. jur:32§§2-3; Congress's finding of cronyism in the federal courts, http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf
 21. jur:65; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_abuse_by_justices.pdf
 22. jur:72fn144d; <http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/journalists/CBS/11-5-18DrRCordero-ProdCScholl.pdf>
 23. jur:122; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_unaccountability_brochures_report.pdf
 24. jur:130; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Institute_judicial_unaccountability_reporting.pdf
 25. Lsch:13; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_dynamic_analysis&strategic_thinking.pdf
 26. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/DoJ-FBI/9-2-3DrRCordero-FBI_Corruption_Unit.pdf
 27. OL:42; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_law_research_proposals.pdf
 28. OL:158; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_no_judicial_immunity.pdf
 29. OL:180 http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_judges_clerks_into_irformants.pdf
 30. OL:190; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_institutionalized_judges_abuse_power.pdf
 31. OL:215; former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson and her suit against the Department of Justice for illegal electronic surveillance of her home and CBS office computers; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CBS_Reporter_SAttkisson.pdf
 32. OL:255; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-university_law_research.pdf
 33. OL:274; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_auditing_judges.pdf
 34. OL:311; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-presidential_candidates.pdf

35. OL2:440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
36. OL2:433; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Yahoogroups.pdf
37. OL2:452; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Deans_professors_students.pdf
38. OL2:453; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_accountability_presentation.pdf
39. OL2:468; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_turning_court_clerks_into_informants.pdf
40. OL2:546; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_complaint_dismissal_statistics.pdf; see also infra OL2:792; see the supporting official statistical tables of the federal courts at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/statistical_tables_complaints_v_judges.pdf
41. OL2:548; table of 100% complaint dismissal and a 100% dismissal review petitions denial while Then-Judge, Now-Justice Neil Gorsuch served on the 10th Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_hearings_JGorsuch_complainants&parties.pdf
42. OL2:567; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-The_Dissatisfied_with_Judicial_System.pdf
43. OL2:608, 760; article using official court statistics to demonstrate “the math of abuse”: neither judges nor clerks read the majority of briefs, disposing of them through 'dumping forms', which are unresearched, reasonless, arbitrary, ad-hoc fiat-like orders on a 5¢ rubberstamped form; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_do_not_read.pdf
44. OL2:614; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_how_fraud_scheme_works.pdf
45. OL2:760; see OL2:608
46. OL2:768; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Congress.pdf>
47. OL2:773; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Harvard_Yale_prof_students.pdf
48. OL2:781; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
49. OL2:792; Complaint filed with Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SupCt_CJ_JGRoberts.pdf
50. OL2:799; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-RepJNadler.pdf>
51. †>OL2:821; Programmatic presentation on forming a national civic movement for judicial abuse of power exposure, redress, and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_programmatic_presentation.pdf
52. OL2:840; <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>;
53. >OL2:879; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Black_Robed_Predators_documentary.pdf
54. OL2:901; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD.pdf>
55. OL2:918; File on the complaint's journey –from OL2:792– until its final disposition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-11Circuit.pdf>
56. OL2:929; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-IT_investigate_interception.pdf
57. OL2:932; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfRPosner.pdf>

58. OL2:947; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media.pdf>
59. OL2:951; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizens_hearings.pdf
60. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_video.mp4
61. OL2:957; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_slides.pdf
62. OL2:971; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
63. OL2:983; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_introduction_video_slides_judges_abuse.pdf
64. OL2:991; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_on_SenEWarren.pdf
65. OL2:997; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
66. OL2:1003; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_DARE.pdf
67. OL2:1006; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_pitch-Media.pdf
68. OL2:1022; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Capital_Investors.pdf
69. OL2:1027; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_SenEWarren_plan_judges.pdf
70. OL2:1032; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_international_exposure_judges_abuse.pdf
71. OL2:1037; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_out_of_court_inform_outrage_strategy.pdf
72. OL2:1040; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-parties_invoking_impeachment_trial.pdf
73. OL2:1045; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_Students_Journalists.pdf;
http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Professors_students_lawyers.pdf
74. >OL2:1051; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_citizen_hearings.pdf
75. OL2:1056; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-reporters_clerks.pdf = http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_sham_hearings.pdf
76. OL2:1066; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_adapting_to_new_legal_market.pdf,
discussing a proposal to LexisNexis
77. OL2:1073; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_be_compensated.pdf
78. >OL2:1081; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_intercepting_emails_mail.pdf
= <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LexisNexis.pdf>
79. OL2:1084; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Thomson_Reuters.pdf
80. OL2:1090; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-SZarestky_Above_the_Law.pdf
81. >OL2:1093; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Washington_Post.pdf
82. OL2:1101; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-judicial_abusees&publishers.pdf
83. OL2:1104; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Hiring_manager.pdf
84. OL2:1108; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Team.pdf

85. OL2:1116; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_research_documents&sources.pdf
86. OL2:1119; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judicial_abuse_forms.pdf
87. OL2:1125; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_judges_investigation.pdf
88. >OL2:1134; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
89. OL3:1144; analysis of Thomson Reuters's report "The Teflon Robe"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_Reuters.pdf
90. OL3:1154; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-American_Thinker.pdf
91. OL3:1164 and 1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_abuse_of_power.pdf
92. OL3:1168; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_making_allies.pdf
93. OL3:1172; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_judges_exposure_election_justice.pdf
94. OL3:1176; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_JgACBarrett_condonation_judges_power_abuse.pdf
95. OL3:1187; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-LDAD_repairing_democracy.pdf
96. OL3:1197; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings.pdf
97. OL3:1205; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_Law_Firm_Council.pdf
98. OL3:1212; agenda for video conference; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_preparing_video_conference.pdf
99. OL3:1221; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-News_Directors_on_judges_abuse.pdf
100. OL3:1228; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_emails_mail_intercepted_by_judges.pdf
101. OL3:1229; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-JudgeRPratt.pdf> and <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>
102. OL3:1237; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_media_exposing_judges.pdf
103. OL3:1243; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_talkshow_hosts_coalition.pdf
104. OL3:1246; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLEs_lawyers_media.pdf
105. <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-NYCBar.pdf>
106. OL3:1253; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_Judge_Garland&judges.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_actions_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
107. OL3:1257; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium.pdf; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_exposing_judges_power_abuse.pdf
108. OL3:1273; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Guardianship_Abuse_Symposium_slides.pdf
109. OL3:1283; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_facts_&_strategic_thinking.pdf
110. OL3:1291. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProPublica_&_media.pdf

111. OL3:1301; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Biden_ScT_reform_Commission.pdf
112. OL3:1318; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_outrage_compensation.pdf
113. OL3:1323; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-politicians_v_Biden_ScT_Commission.pdf
114. OL3:1329; the two-phase method for writing in up to 500 words your story of judges' abuse of power that you have suffered or witnessed; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_method_for_writing_your_story.pdf
115. OL3:1338; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_joining_forces_to_tell_your_story.pdf
116. OL3:1342; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_citizens_hearings_by_students&journalists.pdf
117. OL3:1348; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_politicians-judges_connivance.pdf
118. OL3:1351; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_folly_of_pro_se.pdf
119. OL3:1367; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_becoming_teacher&leader.pdf
120. OL3:1371; proposal to apply to judges expertise in financial criminality investigations; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
121. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf
122. OL3:1378; exposing the Federal Judiciary as a racketeering enterprise; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-media_to_investigate_judges_financial_criminality.pdf
123. OL3:1380; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_writing_reliable_stories&telling_national_public.pdf
124. OL3:1383; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_judges_abuse_compensation.pdf
125. OL3:1389; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar&story_workshop_slides.pdf
126. OL3:1393; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_webinar_&_workshop_dates.pdf
127. OL3:1394; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_thinking_strategically_to_gain_result_allies.pdf
128. OL3:1399; analysis of *The Wall Street Journal* article exposing how "131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest"; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-join_demand_for_compensation_from_judges.pdf
129. OL3:1407; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading_strategizing_taking_action.pdf
130. OL3:1411; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_plan_of_action_v_judges_abuse.pdf
131. OL3:1415; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_concrete_actions_by_advocates.pdf
132. OL3:1417; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Reuters_journalists_lawyers_on_judges_power_abuse.pdf
133. OL3:1426; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_forming_local_chapters&appealing_to_schools.pdf
134. OL3:1430; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ABC_investigate_judges_abuse.pdf

135. OL3:1436; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CLE_webinar_exposing_judges.pdf
136. OL3:1440; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_workshop_for_preparing_your_story.pdf
137. OL3:1445; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_your_story_for_media&citizens_hearings.pdf
138. OL3:1449; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_need_to_read&best_time_to_distribute.pdf
139. OL3:1451; to publishers to offer them my articles and describe a plan of action to enter jointly a multidisciplinary academic and business venture for judicial abuse exposure, compensation and reform; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_articles_actions_to_expose_judges.pdf
140. OL3:1457; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_reading&telling_knowledgeable_judicial_abuse_stories.pdf
141. OL3:1460; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-journalists_politicians_scooping_judges_racketeering.pdf
142. OL3:1470; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Offshoot_Oases_Project.pdf
143. OL3:1473; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_offering_law_services&articles.pdf
144. OL3:1476; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-CIRS_&_trainees.pdf
145. OL3:1479; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_from_abortion_decision_to_new_constitution.pdf
146. OL3:1485; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-International_Commission_Jurists.pdf
147. OL3:1487; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_distributing_articles_exposing_judges.pdf
148. OL3:1489; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-ProfSRAckerman_ProfJSGersen.pdf
149. OL3:1491; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_to_professors&students.pdf
150. OL3:1493; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_recusal_principles.pdf
151. OL3:1495; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_presentation_The_Business_of_Justice.pdf
152. OL3:1520; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-DAs_lawfirms_organizations_schools.pdf
153. OL3:1522; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Legal_Aid_Society.pdf
154. OL3:1525; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
155. OL3:1527; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_proposal_for_class_actions.pdf
156. OL3:1530; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_assets_for_negotiating_table.pdf
157. OL3:1532; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_ReplyAll_read_write_your_story.pdf
158. OL3:1533; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_think_proceed_strategically_to_expose_abuse.pdf
159. OL3:1538; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_effective_action.pdf

160. OL3:1542; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_Medicare&HMO_class_action.pdf
161. OL3:1544; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Cybersecurity_experts.pdf
162. OL3:1550; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_strategy_for_IT_experts.pdf
163. OL3:1555; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-Presentation_to_Honest_Judiciaries_Advocates.pdf
164. OL3:1558; <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-NYPDCommissioner.pdf>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Commission_Judicial_Conduct.pdf
165. OL3:1578; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/23-3-30DrRCordero-Settle_or_Expose_Team.pdf
166. OL3:1579; containing a list of media outlets that have exposed judges' abuse in an unaccountable justice system; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_holding_justice_system_accountable.pdf
167. OL3:1585; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ProfLTribe_AttRKaplan.pdf
168. OL3:1587; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-LegalAidSociety_DebevoisePlimpton.pdf
169. OL3:1588; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Center_Public_Integrity.pdf
170. OL3:1589; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-ExecDirGRoth_CoanchorGBennett.pdf
171. OL3:1593; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_class_actions-Duane_Morris_LLP.pdf
172. OL3:1602; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-pro_ses.pdf
173. OL3:1604; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-DA_Candidate_TCohen.pdf
174. OL3:1607; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_inform_outrage_to_expose_judges_abuse.pdf
175. OL3:1609; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero-schools_&_media.pdf
176. OL3:1611; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/ALJ/23-8-28DrRCordero_class_action_v_Medicare.pdf
177. OL3:1618; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero_fabricated_indictments-public_officers.pdf
178. OL3:1623. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_defamation_specificity_analogy_distinction.pdf
179. OL3:1625. Complaint to New York State (NYS) Court of Appeals (CA) Chief Judge Rowan Wilson about fabricated indictments based on false and insufficient evidence presented to a grand jury by prosecutors and NY Police Department (NYPD) officers with the participation of judges of the NYS Unified Court System (UCS) and the cover-up by him, his CA associate judges, the NYS and NY City (NYC) administrative judges, the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct, two NYPD Commissioners and two Chiefs of its Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB), and their appointer, namely, a former NYPD captain and current mayor of NYC, and NYPD and UCS inspectors general (IG): the black robe over judges' holding each other unaccountable covering the NYPD officers' blue wall of complicit silence to make their joint enterprise corruption and racketeering invisible and soundproof; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/IAB/DrRCordero-Judges_IAB_IGs.pdf

B. Subjects for commissioning one or a series of articles

180. judges' unaccountability(*>OL:265) and their riskless abuse of power(*>jur:5§3; OL:154§3);
181. statistical analysis for the public(† >OL2:455§§B-E, 608§A) and for researchers(jur:131§b);

182. significance of federal circuit judges disposing of 93% of appeals in decisions “on procedural grounds [i.e., the pretext of “lack of jurisdiction”], unsigned, unpublished, by consolidation, without comment”, which are unresearched, reasonless, ad-hoc, arbitrary, fiat-like orders, in practice unappealable(OL2:453);
183. to receive ‘justice services’(OL2:607) parties pay courts filing fees, which constitute consideration, whereby a contract arises between them to be performed by the judges, who know that they will in most cases not even read their briefs(OL2:608§A), so that courts engage in false advertisement, fraud in the inducement, and breach of contract(OL2:609§2);
184. Justiceship Nominee Judge Neil Gorsuch said, “An attack on one of our brothers and sisters of the robe is an attack on all of us”: judges’ gang mentality and abusive hitting back(OL2:546);
185. fair criticism of judges who fail to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”(jur:68^{123a});
186. abuse-enabling clerks(OL2:687), who fear arbitrary removal without recourse(jur:30§1);
187. law clerks’ vision at the end of their clerking for a judge of the latter’s glowing letter of recommendation (OL2:645§B) to a potential employer morally blinds them to their being used by the judge as executioners of his or her abuse;
188. judges dismiss 99.82% of complaints against them(jur:10-14; OL2:548), thus arrogating to themselves impunity by abusing their self-disciplining authority(jur:21§a);
189. escaping the futility of suing judges(OL2:713, 609§1): the out-of-court inform and outrage strategy to stir up the public into holding them accountable and liable to compensation(OL2:581);
190. how law professors and lawyers act in self-interest to cover up for judges so as to spare themselves and their schools, cases, and firms retaliation(jur:81§1; Lsch:17§C): their system of harmonious interests against the interests of the parties and the public(OL2:635, 593¶15);
191. turning insiders into Deep Throats(jur:106§C); outsiders into informants(OL2:468); and judges into criers of ‘Me Too! Abusers’(OL2:682¶¶7,8) that issue an *I accuse!*(jur:98§2) denunciation of judges’ abuse: thinking and acting strategically(OL2:635, 593¶15) to expose judges’ abuse by developing allies who want to become Workers of Justice(OL2:687), as opposed to being enforcers of abuse or enablers by endorsement or willful ignorance or blindness;
192. two unique national stories, not to replace a rogue judge, but to topple an abusive judiciary:
 - a. *Follow the money!* as judges grab(OL2:614), conceal(jur:65^{107a,c}), and launder(105²¹³) it;
 - b. The Silence of the Judges: their warrantless, 1st Amendment freedom of speech, press, and assembly-violative interception of people’s emails and mail to detect and suppress those of their critics(OL2:582§C;OL3:1228);
 - 1) made all the more credible by Former CBS Reporter Sharyl Attkisson’s \$35 million suit against the Department of Justice for its illegal intrusion into her computers to spy on her ground-breaking investigation and embarrassing reporting(OL2:612§b);
 - 2) by using Information Technology examination and statistical analysis, such interception and contents-based suppression can be exposed, which will provoke a scandal graver than that resulting from Edward Snowden’s revelations of NSA’s massive illegal collection of only non-personally identifiable metadata(OL2:583§3);
 - 3) the exposure can be bankrolled as discreetly as Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, bankrolled the suit of Hulk Hogan against the tabloid Gawker for invasion of privacy and thereby made it possible to prosecute and win a judgment for more than \$140 million(OL2:528);

- 4) principles can be asserted and money made by exposing judges' interception;
193. launching a Harvey Weinstein-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation into judges' abuse of power as their institutionalized modus operandi; conducted also by journalists and me with the benefit of the numerous leads(OL:194§E) that I have gathered;
194. **Black Robed Predators**(OL:85) or the making of a documentary as an original video content by a media company or an investigative TV show, with the testimony of judges' victims, clerks, lawyers, faculty, and students; and crowd funding to attract to its making and viewing the crowd that advocate honest judiciaries and the victims of judges' abuse of power;
195. promoting the unprecedented to turn judges' abuse of power into a key mid-term elections issue and thereafter insert it in the national debate:
- a. the holding by journalists, newsanchors, media outlets, and law, journalism, business, and IT schools in their own commercial, professional, and public interest as *We the People's* loudspeakers of nationally and statewide televised citizens hearings(OL2:675§2, 580§2) on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse;
 - b. a forensic investigation by Information Technology experts to determine whether judges intercept the communications of their critics(OL3:1228; OL2:633§D, OL2:582§C);
 - c. suits by individual parties and class actions to recover from judges, courts, and judiciaries filing fees paid by parties as consideration for 'justice services'(OL2:607) offered by the judges although the latter knew that it was mathematically(OL2:608§A; 457§D) impossible for them to deliver those services to all filed cases; so the judges committed false advertisement and fraud in the inducement to the formation of service contracts, and thereafter breach of contract by having their court and law clerks perfunctorily dispose of cases by filling out "dumping forms"(OL2:608¶5);
 - d. suits by clients to recover from their lawyers attorneys' fees charged for prosecuting cases that the lawyers knew or should have known(jur:90§§b, c) the judges did not have the manpower to deliver, or the need or the incentive to deal with personally, whereby the lawyers committed fraud by entering with their clients into illusory contracts that could not obtain the sought-for 'justice services'; and
 - e. suits in the public interest to recover the public funds paid to judges who have failed to earn their salaries by routinely not putting in an honest day's work, e.g., closing their courts before 5:00 p.m., thus committing fraud on the public and inflicting injury in fact on the parties who have been denied justice through its delay(cf. OL2:571¶24a);
196. how parties can join forces to combine and search their documents for communality points (OL:274-280; 304-307) that permit the detection of patterns of abuse by one or more judges, which patterns the parties can use to persuade journalists to investigate their claims of abuse;
197. the development of my website Judicial Discipline Reform at <http://www.Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org>, which as of 31 October 2023, had **49,082+** subscribers, into:
- a. a **clearinghouse** for complaints against judges uploaded by the public;
 - b. a **research center** for professionals and parties(OL2:575) to search documents for the most persuasive evidence of abuse: patterns of abuse by the same judge presiding over their cases, the judges of the same court, and the judges of a judiciary; and
 - c. the **showroom and shopping portal** of a multidisciplinary academic and business venture (jur:119§§1-4). It can be the precursor of the institute of judicial unaccountability reporting and

reform advocacy attached to a top university or established by a consortium of media outlets and academic institutions(jur:130§5);

198. a tour of presentations(OL:197§G) by me sponsored by you on:

- a. judges' abuse(jur:5§3; OL:154 ¶ 3);
- b. development of software to conduct fraud and forensic accounting(OL:42, 60); and to perform thanks to artificial intelligence a novel type of statistical, linguistic, and literary analysis of judges' decisions and other writings(jur:131§b) to detect bias and disregard of the requirements of due process and equal protection of the law;
- c. promoting the participation of the audience in the investigation(OL:115) into judges' abuse; and their development of local chapters of investigators/researchers that coalesce into a Tea Party-like single issue, civic movement(jur:164§9) for holding judges accountable and liable to their victims: *the People's Sunrise*(OL:201§J);
- d. announcement of a Continuing Legal Education course, a webinar, a seminar, and a writing contest(*>ddc:1), which can turn the audience into clients and followers;

199. a multimedia, multidisciplinary public conference(jur:97§1; *>dcc:13§C) on judges' abuses held at a top university(OL2:452) to pioneer the reporting thereon in our country and abroad;

the call of the constitutional convention(OL:136§3) that 34 states have petitioned Congress to convene since April 2, 2014, satisfying the amending provisions of the [Constitution](#), Article V.

C. Links to external sources of information useful for law research and writing

1. Treatises

200. **Start your research here to gain an overview of the subject and proceed to the ever more specific:**

<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Legal-Encyclopedias/American-Jurisprudence-2d/p/100027544>, covering state and federal, civil and criminal, substantive and procedural law

201. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction

202. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231?page=1&n=c%3d20231%3bcount%3d25%3bi%3d1%3bq1%3dFederal%3bq2%3dCriminal%2bLaw%2band%2bProcedure%3bsort%3dSC_Units%3bx1%3djurisdiction%3bx2%3dPracticeArea

2. Law reviews and journals

203. Gain a narrower and more specialized understanding of particular topics;

<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Law-Reviews-and-Journals/Law-Reviews--Journals-Westlaw-PROtrade/p/104937407>

3. U.S. Constitution

204. U.S. Constitution, Preamble: "*We the People* of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union,

establish Justice”; http://judicial-discipline-reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

205. U.S. Constitution, Article II, Section. 2. The President...shall have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/US_Constitution.pdf

4. U.S. Code (compilation of all federal, as opposed to state, laws)

206. <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; cf. Legal Information Institute (LII) of Cornell Law School; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/>
207. E.g., US Code, Title 11 (11 USC), Bankruptcy Code; *id.*; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Code.pdf
208. E.g., US Code, Title 18 (18 USC), Criminal Code, containing all federal criminal laws; *id.*; with bookmarks at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Code.pdf
209. E.g., US Code, Title 28 (28 USC), Judicial Code; *id.*; with bookmarks at <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>

5. The law organizing the Federal Judiciary

210. U.S. Code, Title 28 (28 USC), The Judicial Code; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Judicial_Code.pdf

6. Federal rules of procedure applicable in all federal courts

211. U.S. Code, Title 11, Appendix (11 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Bankruptcy** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/11usc_Bankruptcy_Rules.pdf
212. U.S. Code, Title 18, Appendix (18 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Criminal** Procedure; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc_Criminal_Rules.pdf
213. U.S. Code, Title 28, Appendix (28 USC Appendix) containing the Federal Rules of **Civil and Appellate** Procedure and **Evidence**; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc_Civ_App_Evi_Rules.pdf
214. *Federal Civil Judicial Procedure and Rules*, 2022 ed.; 1,248 pages; Thomson Reuters; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/Federal-Civil-Judicial-Procedure-and-Rules-2022-ed/p/106767284>
215. *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules and Commentary*, 2021 ed.; Steven S. Gensler and Lumen N. Mulligan; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Rules-of-Civil-Procedure-Rules-and-Commentary-2021-ed/p/106676872?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>
216. *Federal Civil Rules Handbook*, 2022 ed.; Steven Baicker-McKee and William M. Janssen; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Treatises/Federal-Civil-Rules-Handbook-2022-ed/p/106744908>
217. For the rules of the Supreme Court, see subsection 15 infra.

7. Rules of procedure specific to each federal court

218. E.g. Local rules and internal operating procedure of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; https://www.ca2.uscourts.gov/clerk/case_filing/rules/rules_home.html

8. Code of Federal Regulations

219. Regulations adopted by the federal administrative agencies that implement and enforce the applicable law; <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/cfr/>

9. Bills pending (in committees and on the floor of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives)

220. https://www.senate.gov/pagelayout/legislative/b_three_sections_with_teasers/active_leg_page.htm

221. <https://www.house.gov/legislative-activity>

10. Federal laws of particular interest

222. The **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978, Appendix to 5 USC; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/5usc_Ethics_in_Government.pdf

223. **Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO)**; 18 U.S.C. §§1961 to 1968; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; <http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title18-section1961&num=0&edition=prelim>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/18usc1961_RICO.pdf

224. Enterprise Corruption; NY Consolidated Laws, Penal Law-PEN §460; <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/PEN/460.00>; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf

225. Duty to report abuse, **18 USC §3057**; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>

226. Supreme Court justices assigned to federal circuits and known as circuit justices, **28 USC §42**

227. bill S.1873, passed on October 30, 1979, and HR 7974, passed on September 15, 1980, titled The Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980; Congressional Record, September 30, 1980; 28086; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/Jud_Councils_Reform_bill_30sep80.pdf (see also [jur:159²⁸⁰](#))

228. The Reform part of the bill included a provision for opening the meetings of the judicial councils, but was excluded from the version that was adopted; 28 U.S.C. §332(d)(1), http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc331-335_Conf_Councils.pdf (see also [jur:75¹⁴⁸](#))

229. **Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980**; (**28 USC §§351-364**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf> (see also [jur:24^{18a}](#)), setting forth a procedure for anybody to file a complaint about a federal judge with the chief circuit judge where the complained-about judge sits

230. **Rules for Processing Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaints**; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability>

231. https://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/rule_11 (duties of lawyers and pro ses who sign papers and make representations to the court; sanctions for non-compliance)

232. **Ethics** in Government Act of 1978; 5 U.S.C. Appendix; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>

233. Rule 23 on class actions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; <http://Judicial-Discipline->

Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Rule_23_Class_Actions_FRCP.pdf

234. **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act**; 50 U.S.C §§1801-1885c; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>; enhanced with bookmarks to facilitate navigation at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/50usc_FISA.pdf
235. Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the **Social Security Act**, https://www.ssa.gov/OP_Home/ssact/ssact-toc.htm, found in **Title 42** of the U.S. Code of federal laws, <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>, as modified by Section 4714 of the **Balanced Budget Act of 1997**, <https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>, prohibits Medicare providers from balance billing Medicaid QMBs [Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries] for Medicare cost-sharing. The provider must submit its bill to Medicaid and accept as full payment what Medicaid pays. See also **Overview of Medicaid Provisions** in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, P.L. 105-33; <https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/archive/908mcaid.htm>.

11. U.S. Supreme Court cases, rules of procedure, and case statistics

236. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/>
237. https://www.supremecourt.gov/filingandrules/rules_guidance.aspx
238. The annual report of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, who discusses the key issues of the Federal Judiciary and statistics on the cases filed with it and those handled by its judges during the reported year:
- a. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2021year-endreport.pdf>
 - b. <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
239. Cf. Workload of the Courts, Appendix to the Year-end Report of the Chief Justice; <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/year-end/2020year-endreport.pdf>
240. Table 1

Federal cases disposed of or terminated in the fiscal year to September 30, 2020		
Supreme Court		69
Courts of appeals (12 regional circuit courts)	48,300	
Federal circuit	1,568	
94 District courts (civil cases)	271,256	
94 District courts (criminal cases)	58,589	
90 Bankruptcy courts	721,251	
U.S. Court of International Trade	631	
U.S. Court of Federal Claims	1,742	
Totals		1,103,337

12. Landmark cases in the federal courts

268. *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254 (1964); <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/376/254/>
269. *Strickland v. U.S.*, No. 21-1346, <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/opinions/211346.p.pdf>, a federal civil case

decided on April 26, 2022, by the [U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit](https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/), <https://www.ca4.uscourts.gov/>, held that the Federal Judiciary itself and its officers, including judges in their official and individual capacities, can be held accountable for their performance and liable to compensation.

13. Forms

270. E.g., District Courts—Civil (Vols. 2-4A, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/District-CourtsmdashCivil-Vols-2-4A-Westsreg-Federal-Forms/p/100001667>
271. Bankruptcy Courts (Vols. 6-6C, West's® Federal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Bankruptcy-Courts-Vols-6-6C-Wests174-Federal-Forms/p/100001669>

14. Judicial Conference of the U.S. (the highest policy-making and disciplinary body of the Federal Judiciary)

272. 28 USC §331. Judicial Conference; <https://uscode.house.gov/download/download.shtml>
273. <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference>, which contains a list of its 20 committees
274. The Chief Justice appoints the members of the Judicial Conference committees; <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/governance-judicial-conference/about-judicial-conference>
275. Reports of the Judicial Conference's biannual meetings, <https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/reports-proceedings-judicial-conference-us>
276. Regulations on judges' annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, <https://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/judiciary-policies/ethics-policies/financial-disclosure-report-regulations>

15. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (federal, as opposed to state, courts)

277. **Administrative Office** of the U.S. Courts (AO); <https://www.uscourts.gov/>
278. <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>
279. Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts; (**28 USC §§601-613**); <http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/28usc.pdf>
280. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports>
281. Annual Report of the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, filed with Congress as a public document(**28 USC §604(a)(3-4)**); the Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court(§601); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/directors-annual-report>
282. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2021>
283. https://www.uscourts.gov/news/2022/03/15/judiciary-releases-annual-report-and-judicial-business-2021?utm_campaign=usc-news&utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery
284. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020>
285. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-facts-and-figures>
286. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/statistics&tables/num_jud_officers.pdf
287. Table 2

Number of federal judicial officers			
https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020			
Categories of federal judicial officers	30sep18	30sep19	30sep20
Supreme Court justices	9	9	9
circuit judges	166	175	179
senior circuit judges (semi-retired)	96	100	99
district judges id.	562	585	621
senior district judges	412	423	419
bankruptcy judges (including recalled judges)	350	344	334
magistrates (including recalled judges)	664	671	680
Totals	2259	2307	2341

329. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2020-tables>; and
330. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/annual-report-2019>
331. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judicial-business-2019-tables>
332. AO's 1997-2019 judicial business reports, containing the statistics on complaints about federal judges in Table S-22(28 USC §604(h)(2)); <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/analysis-reports/judicial-business-united-states-courts>
333. <https://www.uscourts.gov/statistics-reports/judicial-business-2019j>
334. Judicial misconduct procedure, e.g., in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit; <https://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/Content/Judicial+Misconduct>
335. <https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/court-appeals-miscellaneous-fee-schedule>

16. Federal Judicial Center (for research; and education of judges)

336. <https://www.fjc.gov>
337. List of the 8 impeached federal judges since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789; <https://www.fjc.gov/history/judges/impeachments-federal-judges>

17. PACER and other and other case and court finders

338. Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER); <https://pacer.uscourts.gov/>
339. Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF); <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-records/electronic-filing-cmefc>
340. Cf. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Statutes/c/20196>
341. To find the website of each federal court, where its cases are posted go to <https://www.uscourts.gov/federal-court-finder/search>

18. Other federal entities and people

342. White House press release of April 9, 2021, “**President Biden to Sign Executive Order Creating the Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States**”; <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/09/president-biden-to-sign-executive-order-creating-the-presidential-commission-on-the-supreme-court-of-the-united-states/>
343. Presidential Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States (PCSCOTUS): Commission charge and public comment policy; 14 June 2021; <https://www.regulations.gov/document/PCSCOTUS-2021-0001-0003/comment>
344. Office of Professional Responsibility of the U.S. Department of Justice; <https://www.justice.gov/opr>
345. Judges’ annual mandatory financial disclosure reports, collected by, and downloadable from, JudicialWatch.org; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
346. <https://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/content/senior-district-judge-robert-w-pratt>

19. United States Postal Service

347. <https://facts.usps.com/#:~:text=For%2055%20cents%2C%20anyone%20can%20send%20a%20letter%2C,mail%20pieces%20each%20day.%20Zero%20tax%20dollars%20used>

20. Sources of state legal authority

a. Treatises

348. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Publication-Types/Treatises/c/20231>

b. State constitutions and laws

349. https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books/jurisdictions?gclid=EAlaIQobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE&searchid=TRPPCSOL/Google/PrintUS_PP_Law-Books_Main_Search_Brand-Phrase_US/TRLegalBooks-Phrase&chl=ppc&cid=9015549&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&ef_id=EAlaIQobChMImbuX1sHh8gIVh9zICh0mTgt-EAAYASACEgl0nfD_BwE:G:s&s_kwid=AL!7944!3!440994957489!p!!g!!thomson%20reuters%20legal%20books
350. Search for a compilation of all state codes, laws, rules, and regulations; e.g., McKinney’s Consolidated **Laws of New York Annotated**® (Annotated Statute & Code Series); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=consolidated+laws+of+new+york>

c. Uniform laws (the product of agreements among the states)

351. Uniform Laws Annotated; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/p/100028543>
352. Uniform Commercial Code; <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Uniform-Laws-Annotated/Uniform-Commercial-Code-2020-2021-ed/p/106675446?trkcode=recspdpb&trktype=internal&FindMethod=recs>

d. Restatement of laws

353. <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/search?r=13001&s=KEYWORDSEARCH&q=restatement+of+laws>

e. Rules of procedure applicable in all the courts of a state

354. E.g., [McKinney's New York Civil Practice Law and Rules](https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075), 2020 ed.;
<https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075>

1) Rules of the specific court where a brief is being filed; e.g., in New York; <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/index.shtml>

355. Rules of the Chief Judge, <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefjudge/index.shtml>, of the Court of Appeals, <https://www.nycourts.gov/courts/courtofAppeals.shtml>, the highest NY State court (#1- to 81)

356. Rules of the Chief Administrative Judge (#100 to 154), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/chiefadmin/index.shtml>

357. Uniform Rules of the New York State trial courts (#200 to 221), <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/index.shtml>; e.g., the supreme and the county courts; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.shtml>.

a. Rules of the First Department Supreme Court [of four departments], which in NY is a trial court; <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/Commencement-of-Cases-2.shtml>

b. There are uniform rules (#205 to 221) for specialized courts, e.g., family and surrogate, capital cases, and particular activities, e.g., jury selection, depositions

358. Joint Rules of the Departments of the Appellate Division (partial: 22 NYCRR Parts 1200-1400); <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/rules/jointappellate/index.shtml>

a. Rules of the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; <https://nycourts.gov/courts/AD1/Practice&Procedures/index.shtml>

359. Each court may have supplementary rules of its own as well as rules of specific judges...so much for a New York State Unified Court System.

f. Regulations of the state administrative agencies

360. Go to the state's department of state; Google the state administrative agency; or search for a compilation of the state codes, laws, rules, and regulations

361. E.g., <https://govt.westlaw.com/nycrr/Index?bhcp=1&transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>

362. E.g., <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Statutes/New-York-Codes-Rules-and-Regulations-NYCRR/p/100019553>

g. Bills pending in the state legislature

363. E.g. <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation>

h. State laws

364. http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/DrRCordero_Enterprise_Corruption_NY_RICO_version.pdf

i. Sources of state cases

365. For information on state cases Google the highest court in the state, which may have a state court locator or a “Links of interest”; otherwise, Google the lower state court in question, which may have a website and post its cases to it; e.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/>
366. E.g., Court of Appeals of the State of New York (the highest court in New York State), <https://www.nycourts.gov/ctapps/index.htm>
367. E.g., <https://nycourts.gov/courts/cts-NYC-SUPREME.shtml> (the supreme courts in NYS are trial courts)
368. E.g., Supreme Court for the County of New York (Manhattan and Bronx) <http://ww2.nycourts.gov/courts/1jd/supctmanh/index.shtml>

i. Forms

369. E.g., Domestic Relations (Volume 7, West’s Legal Forms); <https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Forms---Topical/Domestic-Relations-Vol-7-Westsreg-Legal-Forms/p/100001671>

j. Cases from the Federal Judiciary and from other states

21. Entities representing state courts and compiling their statistics

370. Conference of **Chief Justices** of the states; <https://ccj.ncsc.org>
371. National Center for State Courts; www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/court-statistics
372. Court Statistics Project; <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics> <https://www.courtstatistics.org/court-statistics>
373. Conference of State Court **Administrators** (COSCA); <https://cosca.ncsc.org>
374. National Association for Court **Management** (NACM); <https://nacmnet.org>
375. National Conference of Appellate **Court Clerks** (NCACC); www.appellatecourtclerks.org
376. Number of cases filed in state courts annually; http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/docs/num_state_cases_07.pdf

22. Rules and codes of conduct for judges and lawyers

377. Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges; <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>
378. American Bar Association Model **Rules** of Professional Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_rules_of_professional_conduct/model_rules_of_professional_conduct_table_of_contents/
379. American Bar Association Model **Code** of Judicial Conduct; https://www.americanbar.org/groups/professional_responsibility/publications/model_code_of_judicial_conduct/
380. New York Rules of Professional Conduct; <https://nysba.org/attorney-resources/professional-standards/>

23. Reports by media outlets and VIPs that have exposed judges

a. Reports exposing judges

381. The Teflon Robe; Michael Berens and John Shiffman; Thomson Reuters:

- a. Part 1, 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-misconduct/>
 - b. Part 2, 9july20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-deals/>
 - c. Part 3, 14juy21; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-commissions/>
 - d. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-judges-commissions-snapshot-idUSKCN24F1E4>
 - e. 30jun20; <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-methodology-qanda/>
 - f. <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-judges-data/>
382. In the secret courts of Massachusetts – A Globe Spotlight report; Jenn Abelson, Nicole Dungca, and Todd Wallack; edited by Patricia Wen; The Boston Globe; 30sep18
- a. <https://apps.bostonglobe.com/spotlight/secret-courts/>
383. *The Wall Street Journal*; James.Grimaldi@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/james-v-grimaldi>; Coulter.Jones@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/coulter-jones>; reach Mr. Jones at 212-416-3778; Joe.Palazzolo@wsj.com; <https://www.wsj.com/news/author/joe-palazzolo>
- a. 131 Federal Judges Broke the Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had a Financial Interest; https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421?fbclid=IwAR17veisSou0tQJdrn4VM9Ssvk_JYFqCY-Foselbnkb1SsNx2ia1Fji1GAQ; 28sep21;
 - 1) updated under the title "Federal Judges Heard Cases Despite a Financial Interest"; 29sep21; https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-the-journal-found-judges-violations-of-law-on-conflicts-11632833775?mod=Searchresults_pos11&page=1
 - 2) updated under the title: Dozens of Federal Judges Had Financial Conflicts: What You Need to Know: A Wall Street Journal investigation finds more than 130 federal judges unlawfully ruled in cases involving companies in which they or their families held shares; *Michael Siconolfi, Coulter Jones, Joe Palazzolo, and James V. Grimaldi*; WSJ; April 27, 2022; <https://www.wsj.com/articles/dozens-of-federal-judges-broke-the-law-on-conflicts-what-you-need-to-know-11632922140>

A Wall Street Journal investigation found that 152 federal judges around the nation have violated U.S. law and judicial ethics by overseeing 1,076 [court cases](#) involving companies in which they or their family owned stock.

As a result of the Journal's reporting, judges in 883 cases have notified courts that they presided in the lawsuits improperly and that the cases are eligible to be reopened.
 - b. Texas Judge Leads Tally of Cases With Financial Conflicts --- Gilstrap didn't recuse in 138 suits involving firms in which he or his wife had an interest; 30sep21
 - c. Judges or Their Brokers Bought And Sold Stocks of Litigants --- 61 report trades made while they oversaw suits involving the companies; 16oct21
 - d. U.S. News: Bill Would Toughen Stock-Trading Rules for Federal Judges; 26oct21
 - e. Hidden Interests - Federal Judge Files Recusal Notices in 138 Cases After WSJ Queries. Rodney Gilstrap initially argued he didn't violate financial-conflicts law; 2nov21
 - f. U.S. News: Judge Acknowledges Possible Recusal Errors; 3nov21
 - g. U.S. News: Bill on Judge Disclosures Passes House Panel; 18nov21

h. U.S. News: Bill Gains To Speed Disclosure by Judges; 2dec21

384. Friends of the Court: SCOTUS Justices' Beneficial Relationships With Billionaire Donors; ProPublica; <https://www.propublica.org/series/supreme-court-scotus>; <https://www.propublica.org/article/clarence-thomas-scotus-undisclosed-luxury-travel-gifts-crow>
385. Federal Judges Admit Conflicts Of Interests, Leaving Litigants Reeling; HuffPost Latest News; Henry Kerali contributed to this report; Center For Public Integrity; Apr 28, 2014, 12:50 PM; https://www.huffpost.com/entry/judges-conflicts-of-interest_n_5227031
386. House panel to explore impeachment, judicial ethics in wake of Ginni Thomas texts; Emily Brooks; *The Hill*; April 2, 2022; https://thehill.com/news/house/3466200-house-panel-to-explore-impeachment-judicial-ethics-in-wake-of-ginni-thomas-texts/?email=dcd9182650c7057d9562f94b9683d2cb21956491&email=196e19bbfcd79590d53fee9f4e29783&emailb=3ec1a5012e1dfb515ec80cc7ab0f7d18aedc7608c79a990da27e4e0908e91fd4&utm_source=Social&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=04.26.22%20RZ%20The%20Hill%20News%20Alert%20SCOTUS%20impeachments&utm_term=News%20Alerts
387. Senator Elizabeth Warren's "I have a plan for the Federal Judiciary too"; <https://elizabethwarren.com/plans/restore-trust?source=soc-WB-ew-tw-ro>
388. Several of the above-listed reports are collected at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/financially_conflicted_judges.pdf

b. Reports with leads and methodology useful for investigating judges

389. Pandora Papers; International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, Washington, D.C.; 3oct21; <https://www.icij.org/investigations/pandora-papers/>

24. Journalists and media outlets

390. CBS news anchor Norah O'Donnell interviews Candidate Joe Biden on October 22, 2020, on 'packing the Supreme Court'; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enEzm-QL5RY>
391. *Biden's court-reform commission hears from experts on term limits and judicial review*; Mitchell Jagodinski; SCOTUSblog (July 1, 2021, 8:45 AM); <https://www.scotusblog.com/2021/07/bidens-court-reform-commission-hears-from-experts-on-term-limits-and-judicial-review/>
392. **The Associated Press**; <https://www.ap.org/about/>

25. Entities accrediting educational institutions (and serving as portals to them)

393. (journalism schools) <http://www.acejmc.org/accreditation-reviews/accredited-programs/accreditedreaccredited/>
394. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/

395. (business schools) <https://acbsp.org/page/contact-event>
396. https://www.academia.edu/upgrade?feature=searchm&stm_copy=a+thesis+chapter&trigger=stm; consortium of 16,941+ universities to enable the storage and retrieval of professional articles and reports)

26. Law book publishers

397. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/products/law-books>
398. <https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/en/support#contact>
399. https://store.legal.thomsonreuters.com/law-products/Jurisdictions/New-York/c/20075?elq_mid=23169&elq_cid=15386188&elq_ename=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNyTitles_em1_20201209&cid=9030215&email=drccordero%40judicial-discipline-reform.org&sfdccampaignid=7014000000vZOgQAM&campaignCode=&chl=Em&utm_medium=email&utm_source=eloqua&utm_campaign=P_PRNT_PRD_9030215_EMUSNPR1REMNyTitles_20201209&utm_content=9030215
400. <https://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page>

27. Other private entities and people

401. American Association of University Professors, <https://www.aaup.org/report/statement-professional-ethics>
402. American Association of Retired People; <https://press.aarp.org/?intcmp=FTR-LINKS-PRO-PRESS2-EWHERE>
403. Judicial Watch, <https://www.judicialwatch.org>
- a. Judicial Watch's repository of judges' financial disclosure reports, <https://www.judicialwatch.org/documents/categories/financial-disclosure/>
 - b. Judicial Watch representing former CBS reporter Sharyl Attkisson in her suit against the U.S. Department of Justice for hacking her office and home computers, for which she is demanding \$35 million in damages; <https://www.judicialwatch.org/cases/sharyl-attkisson-judicial-watch-v-u-s-department-justice-no114-cv-01944/>
404. 5 Young Women in Montana's Climate Case on How They Pulled Off Their Historic Win; Rachel Janfaza; Cosmopolitan; 18 August 2023; <https://www.yahoo.com/news/lifestyle/5-young-women-montana-climate-173600590.html>
- 405.

Appendix 7

Two blocs of email addresses of journalists, media outlets, professors, and students who can be persuaded to hold UNPRECEDENTED CITIZENS HEARINGS on judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless abuse of power.

Place each in the To: box of separate emails containing your story of abuse that you have suffered or witnessed. You can easily write it in up to 500 words by applying the [two-phase method](#). By so doing, your story will be informative, accurate, and verifiable by those who can enable you to tell it at the hearings.‡

To: [journalists and media officers]

john.shiffman@thomsonreuters.com, cjc@cjc.ny.gov, michael.berens@thomsonreuters.com,
blake.morrison@thomsonreuters.com, tips@thomsonreuters.com, contact@go.reuters.com,
marketresearch.thomsonreuters@thomsonreuters.com, patricia.wen@globe.comrs.com,
twallack@gmail.com, newstip@globe.com, spotlight@globe.com, brian.mcgrory@globe.com,
charles.ornstein@propublica.org, tracy.weber@propublica.org, gpduf@aol.com,
jimwdean@aol.com, investigate@ap.org, ajaffe@thehill.com, Thehill@email.thehill.com,
ijerr@spectacularjournals.org, newsletters@abovethelaw.com, NTotenberg@npr.org,
drew@americanthinker.com, tips@publicintegrity.org, mderienzo@publicintegrity.org,
watchdog@publicintegrity.com, emily.holden@theguardian.com, tips@latimes.com,
ryan.grim@theintercept.com, andrea@americanthinker.com, tips@propublica.org,
Laura.Crimaldi@globe.com, inytletters@nytimes.com, info@elizabethwarren.com,
Evan.Allen@globe.com, causecollector@msn.com, Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov,
ginger.thompson@propublica.org, mcnulaj@nytimes.com, MCoyle@alm.com,
communication@lexisnexis.com, aglantz@stanford.edu, joepatrice@abovethelaw.com,
info@mail.huffpost.com, tips@thedailybeast.com, aturturro@alm.com, Opencourt@cnn.com,
letters@nytimes.com, contact_us@spectacularjournals.org, Matt.Rocheleau@globe.com,
oped@nytimes.com, jmaxeiner@ubalt.edu, Jackie.Botts@thomsonreuters.com,
Vernal.Coleman@globe.com, hello@propublica.org, Jaimi.Dowdell@thomsonreuters.com,
Brendan.McCarthy@globe.com, info@AP.org, Andrew.Chung@thomsonreuters.com,
Lawrence.Hurley@thomsonreuters.com, Andrea.Januta@thomsonreuters.com,
CorderoRic@yahoo.com, sarah.childress@washpost.com, david.fallis@washpost.com,

To: [lawyers and professors]

jsg@law.harvard.edu, tribe@law.harvard.edu, awhite36@gmu.edu, kewhitt@princeton.edu,
cristina.rodriguez@yale.edu, robert.bauer@nyu.edu, kandrias@law.columbia.edu,
jack.balkin@yale.edu, RBauer@perkinscoie.com, baude@uchicago.edu, madams@yu.edu,
charles@law.duke.edu, acrespo@law.harvard.edu, wdellinger@omm.com,
ecb95@law.rutgers.edu, justin.driver@yale.edu, rfallon@law.harvard.edu,
heather.k.gerken@yale.edu, ngertner@law.harvard.edu, jgoldsmith@law.harvard.edu,
tgriffith@law.harvard.edu, tgrove@law.ua.edu, bhuang@law.columbia.edu,
mkang@northwestern.edu, ojohns@law.columbia.edu, lacroix@uchicago.edu,
lemos@law.duke.edu, levi@law.duke.edu, staff@pscotus.gov, trevor.morrison@nyu.edu,
cnelson@law.virginia.edu, rick.pildes@nyu.edu, mramsey@SanDiego.edu,
michael.waldman@nyu.edu, caroline.fredrickson@georgetown.edu, development@naacpldf.org,
krooseve@law.upenn.edu, DABMODHotline@hhs.gov, d-strauss@uchicago.edu,
bross@law.virginia.edu, Medicare.Appeals@hhs.gov, Dr.Richard.Cordero_Esq@verizon.net

End Page

‡ http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL2/DrRCordero_individual_files_links.pdf

* http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL3/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates3.pdf >OL3:1143-1602+

Blank

End Page